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#### Abstract

Toll-like receptors (TLRs) play a key role in the innate immune system. TLRs recognize pathogenassociated molecular patterns and initiate an intracellular kinase cascade to induce an immediate defensive response. During recent years TLRs have become the focus of tremendous research interest. A central repository for the growing amount of relevant TLR sequence information has
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been created. Nevertheless, structural motifs of most sequenced TLR proteins, such as leucine-rich repeats (LRRs), are poorly annotated in the established databases. A database that organizes the structural motifs of TLRs could be useful for developing pattern recognition programs, structural modeling and understanding functional mechanisms of TLRs. We describe TollML, a database that integrates all of the TLR sequencing data from the NCBI protein database. Entries were first divided into TLR families (TLR1-23) and then semi-automatically subdivided into three levels of structural motif categories: (1) signal peptide (SP), ectodomain (ECD), transmembrane domain (TD) and Toll/IL-1 receptor (TIR) domain of each TLR; (2) LRRs of each ECD; (3) highly conserved segment (HCS), variable segment (VS) and insertions of each LRR. These categories can be searched quickly using an easy-to-use web interface and dynamically displayed by graphics. Additionally, all entries have hyperlinks to various sources including NCBI, Swiss-Prot, PDB, LRRML and PubMed in order to provide broad external information for users. The TollML database is available at http://tollml.Irz.de.
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## Introduction

Since the Drosophila Toll gene was discovered in the mid1980s [1], genome projects have led to the identification of 13 receptors in mammalian and more than 20 receptors in non-mammalian genomes that are homologs of Drosophila Toll. These receptors have been termed collectively Tolllike receptors (TLRs). TLRs play a key role in innate immunity. They recognize invading microbial pathogens
and rapidly initiate intracellular signal transduction pathways to trigger expression of genes, whose products can control innate immune responses [2]. All TLRs have a common domain organization, with an extracellular ectodomain (ECD), a helical transmembrane domain (TD), and an intracellular Toll/IL-1 receptor homology (TIR) domain [3]. The ectodomain is a horseshoe-shaped solenoid structure and is directly involved in the recognition of a variety of pathogens including lipopolysaccharide, lipopeptide, cytosine-phosphate-guanine (CpG) DNA, flagellin, imidazoquinoline and dsRNA [4]. The transmembrane domain determines the subcellular localization of TLRs [5]. The TIR domain is conserved across all TLRs and IL-1 receptors, and is also shared by downstream signaling adaptor molecules. Upon receptor ligation, a TIR signaling complex is formed between the receptor and the adaptor TIR domains [6].

The TLR ectodomain contains varying numbers of leucine-rich repeat (LRR) motifs, which are arrays of 20 to 30 amino acid-long protein sequences that are enriched with the hydrophobic amino acid leucine. All LRR sequences can be divided into a highly conserved segment (HCS) and a variable segment (VS). The HCS consists of an 11 or 12 residue stretch with the consensus sequence LxxLxLxxN(Cx)xL. In this notation, the letter $L$ represents the amino acids leucine, isoleucine, valine or phenylalanine, which form a hydrophobic core, N represents asparagine, threonine, serine or cysteine, and $x$ is any amino acid. The variable segment can vary in both length and consensus sequence. Accordingly, several types of LRRs have been proposed [7, 8]. Of these, typical (T) type (xxLxxxxLxxLxx) and bacterial (S) type (xxLPx(x)LPxx) LRRs have been observed in TLRs [9]. All LRRs in TLRs are capped by N - and C-terminal LRRs that are usually irregular and do not match any type of LRR consensus sequences.

The atomic-detail crystal structure of the human TLR1 and TLR2 TIR domain was published in 2000 and gave the first insight into the molecular basis of TIR signaling [10]. The crystal structures of the ectodomains of human TLR1-4 and mouse TLR2-4 have also been resolved [11-16]. These structures demonstrate how the LRR-based platform is adapted to ligand recognition. Nevertheless, more than 2,000 TLR proteins have been sequenced by highthroughput genome sequencing projects. It is clear that the discrepancy between the rate at which novel protein sequences are discovered and the rate at which detailed structural information on proteins can be obtained from X-ray diffraction or nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy will persist for the foreseeable future. Thus, a comparative analysis at the sequence level is a useful approach to identify and characterize structural motifs of TLRs [9, 17] and to gain insight into how receptors and
ligands interact. Due to the variability of LRR motifs in TLRs, however, the indicated repeat number and positions (beginning/end of a repeating unit) for individual TLRs are quite different or missing in established databases. Currently, there is no collection of structural information for features that are contained within LRRs, such as HCS, VS and sequence insertions.

In this paper, we describe a database of TLR structural motifs called TollML. The current release (3.1) includes all known TLR sequences from the NCBI protein database [18]. Structural motifs were identified and annotated by a semi-automatic procedure that included comparison of sequences with the sequences of TLRs that have a known structure, consensus sequence matching, secondary structure prediction and multiple sequence alignments. Three levels of motif elements were generated: (1) signal peptide (SP), ectodomain (ECD), transmembrane domain (TD) and TIR domain of each TLR; (2) LRRs of each ECD; and (3) HCS, VS and insertions of each LRR. Some program application examples are presented in the last section of the paper.

## Construction and content

## Data extraction and pre-processing

Initial TLR sequences were extracted from the NCBI protein database. Two groups of search results were obtained using the search keys toll* and tlr*, where the asterisk stands for any suffix, to ensure that all TLRs were included. A manual data pre-processing step was performed before the motif identification of these sequences. We inspected the NCBI annotations of entries one-by-one to exclude TLR related molecules such as adaptors, protein kinases and transcription factors. After we performed these filtering steps, 2,572 TLR entries remained (NCBI release: 1 September 2009). We then categorized the TLRs into different families (TLR1-23) based on their original annotations. In the instances in which entries were not associated with explicit comments, we compared the sequences with well classified TLR sequences using sequence BLAST.

## Motif identification

Three levels of structural motif categories were generated adapting to the structural organization of TLRs. First, each full length TLR sequence was divided into ECD, TD and TIR domain. If a sequence started with a SP, which directs the subcellular transport of a protein, the presence of a SP was also indicated. Second, the ECD of each TLR was partitioned into individual LRRs including
canonical LRRs and N/C-terminal LRRs. Third, each LRR was further divided into a highly conserved segment (HCS) and a variable segment (VS). Insertions within the VS that are longer than three residues were identified and annotated.

The procedure mentioned above was semi-automated. We first sorted the TLR sequences from each family into subgroups, so that an arbitrary pair of sequences from the same subgroup had a local sequence similarity greater than $90 \%$. Then, the three-level structural motifs were identified manually for a selected template sequence from each subgroup. This selected template sequence was a full length sequence that had the most detailed original annotations so that the most accurate motif identifications could be performed. Finally, the other members of the subgroup received their motif partition assignments through multiple alignments with the template. More than 300 subgroups were generated for the 2,572 entries. Thus more than 300 templates were processed manually.

The manual motif identification of a template sequence combined three approaches: consensus sequence matching, secondary structure prediction and reference to original annotations or literatures. The four domains on the first level of the motif categorization (SP, ECD, TD and TIR) have characteristic sequence features, so are usually accurately divided in the original annotation of a selected template. If a template did not have clear annotations, then its sequence was compared to similar sequences with known domains to determine its domain partitions. For the second level of motif categorization, if a selected template was associated with a reliable reference such as a known crystal structure, its LRR partition was then assigned accordingly. Otherwise, we matched the LRR consensus sequence $\operatorname{LxxLxLxxN}(\mathrm{Cx}) \mathrm{xL}$ to the template sequence amino-acid-by-amino-acid and detected LRR motifs manually. In addition, protein secondary structure predictions (PredictProtein [19], NNPREDICT [20], PSIPRED [21] and SSPro [22]) helped to improve the accuracy of LRR detection because all known crystal structures of TLRs show that there is always a short $\beta$-strand (3-5 residues) beginning at approximately the third position of an LRR motif [11-15]. After an LRR was identified, consensus sequence matching was used to identify its HCS and VS motifs, as well as any insertions that were longer than three residues for the third level. Simultaneously, each LRR motif was classified into different types (detailed in Database content) according to the VS consensus sequences.

Database content

The TollML release 3.1 contains 2,572 TLR entries divided into 23 families (entry distribution shown in Table 1).

Among these, 2,350 of the sequences contain an ectodomain and thus received motif annotations that correspond to the second and third levels of motif categorization. The other entries contain only a TIR domain with or without a transmembrane domain. A total of 46,720 LRR motifs were recognized from the ectodomain containing TLRs. These LRR motifs were classified into five types: typical (T), bacterial (S), N-terminal (NT), C-terminal (CT) and irregular (I). A histogram of LRR length statistics (Fig. 1) shows the characteristic length distribution of each LRR type. The standard length of the T type LRR is 24 amino acids. A large number of T type LRRs have insertions and only some have deletions. These statistics suggest that the evolution of T type LRRs may prefer insertion over deletion. By contrast, the S type LRRs are more highly conserved. Their lengths are concentrated on 20 and 21. N-terminal LRRs vary in length and do not form a peak value in length distribution. Most C-terminal LRRs contain four cysteines that are distantly separated at the sequence level and form disulfide bonds with each other. C-terminal LRRs are generally greater than 35 amino acids in length.

Annotations for each TLR entry include:
(1) Data management information: TollML ID and access/ modification date;
(2) Primary information extracted from the NCBI and related literature: FASTA sequence, biological definition, cell information, glycosylation sites and ligands;
(3) Protein family classification;
(4) Database cross links: NCBI, Swiss-Prot [23], PDB [24], LRRML [8] and PubMed [25];
(5) Three-level motif information.

## Database comparison

Currently, several protein databases, such as Pfam [26], InterPro [27], SMART [28] and Swiss-Prot, contain information about TLRs. These databases predict the LRR numbers and positions for their TLR entries by various computational methods, thus resulting in a high frequency of false negative predictions. Table 2 illustrates the LRR numbers for human TLR1-10 as reported by these databases. The manual motif identification procedure discussed here provides TollML with the most complete database of LRR motifs. Although Swiss-Prot presents more accurate results than the other three databases that we investigated (Table 2), TollML has four prominent characteristics that distinguish it from Swiss-Prot:
(1) Comprehensive entry coverage. TollML covers 2,572 TLR sequences from 121 species and all sequences are provided with detailed motif annotations. Swiss-Prot

Table 1 Entry distribution over Toll-like receptor (TLR) families for mammalian/non-mammalian groups

| TLR | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 19 | $20-23$ | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mammalian | 85 | 146 | 108 | 443 | 132 | 106 | 109 | 101 | 124 | 72 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,456 |
| Non-mammalian | 356 | 276 | 84 | 77 | 58 | 3 | 102 | 11 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 42 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 52 | 1,116 |
| Total | 441 | 422 | 192 | 520 | 190 | 109 | 211 | 112 | 154 | 72 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 6 | 42 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 52 | 2,572 |

covers 636 TLR sequences from 17 species and only 59 sequences have LRR annotations (results obtained on 24 February 2009).
(2) Structural motifs within an LRR. TollML annotates the HCS, VS and insertion for each LRR. This information is not present in any other published protein databases.
(3) Uniform LRR definition. The beginning/end positions of LRRs have been defined inconsistently across researchers due to the periodicity of LRR motifs. This variation leads to non-uniform LRR assignments in Swiss-Prot. All LRR motifs in TollML start at the beginning of the HCS and end at the end of the VS, just before the HCS of the next LRR.
(4) Accessibility of motif sequences. The amino acid sequence of any available motif is directly accessible in TollML, whereas only the full length sequence is directly accessible in Swiss-Prot.

## Utility

## Web application

The extensible markup language (XML) was standardized in the 1990s and is well established as a format for hierarchical biological data. TollML was designed by using
eXist [29], an XML database management system, and XPath/XQuery [30] for processing queries and web forms. The document type definition (DTD) file of TollML is provided in the electronic supplementary material (ESM; Supplementary file 1).

The entire database is browsable. When browsing, entries appear in a summary table containing ID, definition, family, species and links of motif partitions. Clicking on an entry opens an XML Stylesheet (XSLT) [30] converted HTML web page that describes the entry in detail. The original XML file can also be downloaded. The XSLT file that the program uses is provided in the ESM (Supplementary file 2). Aside from the textual view, the structural motifs of TLRs can be exhibited by three-level dynamic graphics. Figure 2 demonstrates the motif assignment for an example entry (ID: TLR_561).

On the advanced search page of TollML, users can search entries flexibly by inputting keywords, specifying search fields, and defining annotation contents of the output. After selected entries are returned, a search within result button allows for further term filtering. The resulting entries, or an arbitrarily selected subset thereof, can be sent to generate multiple sequence alignments supplied by the T-Coffee package [31]. In addition, a Wu-BLAST search tool [32] is available. A query sequence can be BLASTed against the entire database, against a certain TLR family, or against a collection of sequences marked by a user-defined label (available for registered users).


Fig. 1 Leucine-rich repeat (LRR) length distribution

Table 2 Comparison of leucinerich repeat (LRR) numbers of human TLR1-10 in different databases (results obtained on 24 February 2009)

|  | TLR1 | TLR2 | TLR3 | TLR4 | TLR5 | TLR6 | TLR7 | TLR8 | TLR9 | TLR10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| InterPro | 4 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| Pfam | 4 | 4 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 4 |
| SMART | 6 | 9 | 19 | 12 | 10 | 7 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 7 |
| Swiss-Prot | 8 | 14 | 22 | 21 | 15 | 13 | 27 | 24 | 26 | 15 |
| TollML | 21 | 21 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 28 | 28 | 28 | 21 |

## Application in LRR prediction

The automatic identification of motifs in a protein sequence is essentially a statistical pattern recognition problem. Therefore, the performance of a prediction method is thus strongly dependent on the quality and scale of the training data set. A recent program, LRRscan [17], demonstrated the feasibility of a statistics-based consensus matching algorithm applied to LRR detection. TollML supplies a large and reliable source to train LRR prediction methods of different algorithms. As an example, we developed a $20 \times$ 12 position-specific weight matrix of LRR motifs based on
the LRR partitions from TollML (matrix available on the TollML webpage). A matrix element denotes the frequency probability of a certain amino acid occurring at a certain position in the LRR HCS. We have already obtained confident results through this sort of matrix scan with an appropriate cut-off score. A five-fold cross validation against all TollML entries indicates that the sensitivity and specificity are both greater than $93 \%$. This program was implemented on the TollML webpage and has been named LRRFinder. This method can be extended to predict LRR motifs in other LRR containing proteins besides TLRs, since all LRR types possess the same HCS pattern.

Fig. 2 Online graphic display of three-level structural motifs of a database entry

TLR_561 : Toll-like receptor 9 precursor (CD289 antigen)


Name:
Position LRR Number Sequence
34... 808
25 LRRs, $2 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{C}$-terminal LRRs, 1 undefined region. PCELQPHGLVACHWLFLKSVPHFSMAAPRGNVTSLSLSSNRIHHLHDSDFAHLPSLRHLN LKWNCPPVGLSPMHFPCHMTIEPSTFLAVPTLEEINLSYNNIMTVPALPKSLISLSLSHT NKWNCPPVGLSPMH


| LRR Name : | LRR-20 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Position : | $600 \ldots 629$ |
| Length : | 30 |
| Type : | Typical type (T) |

Sequence : IRALDFSGNALGHMWAEGDLYLHFFQGLSG



## Application in homology modeling

Homology modeling is currently the most accurate computational method to predict protein structure. This system constructs a structural model for a target protein sequence from a template structure of a homologous protein. For this method to work, the target and template structures must have a sequence identity over $30 \%$. The known crystal structures of human TLR1-4 and mouse TLR2-4 ectodomains supply valuable templates for the homology modeling of other TLR ectodomains. However, given the variability in repeat numbers and type arrangements of LRRs contained within TLRs, a full length template with a sufficient sequence identity is typically not available. This limitation can be overcome by assembling multiple LRR templates. First, all LRRs in the target sequence are identified. Then, the most similar structure-known LRR based on sequence is found for each LRR in the target sequence as a local template. Finally, all local templates are assembled to generate a multiple sequence alignment for the complete target sequence. TollML enables the direct accessibility of accurate LRR sequence partitions for the first step. The LRRML database [8] can further provide suitable LRR structural templates. This LRR template assembling approach was proven to be both feasible and significant by recent structure modeling research into human TLR7-9 [33].

## Conclusions

We have developed a specialized database of TLR structural motifs called TollML. It is supported by an XML database management system and can be searched and browsed with an easy-to-use web interface. This interface is suitable for use with most graphical web browsers and has been tested on the Windows, Mac and Linux operating systems. TollML includes all TLR sequences that are published in the NCBI protein database and semiautomatically creates three levels of motif annotations. This database can help to develop motif prediction programs, to model three-dimensional structures of TLRs and to design new mutation experiments to better understand receptorligand or receptor-receptor interactions. We plan to update TollML every 2-3 months since the number of sequenced TLR proteins increases constantly.

## Availability and requirements

This database is freely available at http://tollml.lrz.de. Any internet user can search and download data from the database, but only registered users can define and save labels for arbitrary entries.
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