

Lévy processes and stochastic von Bertalanffy models of growth, with application to fish population analysis

Tommaso Russo, Paolo Baldi, Antonio Parisi, Giuseppe Magnifico, Stefano

Mariani, Stefano Cataudella

▶ To cite this version:

Tommaso Russo, Paolo Baldi, Antonio Parisi, Giuseppe Magnifico, Stefano Mariani, et al.. Lévy processes and stochastic von Bertalanffy models of growth, with application to fish population analysis. Journal of Theoretical Biology, 2009, 258 (4), pp.521. 10.1016/j.jtbi.2009.01.033 . hal-00554572

HAL Id: hal-00554572 https://hal.science/hal-00554572

Submitted on 11 Jan 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Author's Accepted Manuscript

Lévy processes and stochastic von Bertalanffy models of growth, with application to fish population analysis

Tommaso Russo, Paolo Baldi, Antonio Parisi, Giuseppe Magnifico, Stefano Mariani, Stefano Cataudella

PII: DOI: Reference: S0022-5193(09)00029-0 doi:10.1016/j.jtbi.2009.01.033 YJTBI 5440



www.elsevier.com/locate/yjtbi

To appear in:

Journal of Theoretical Biology

Received date:4 August 2008Revised date:21 January 2009Accepted date:21 January 2009

Cite this article as: Tommaso Russo, Paolo Baldi, Antonio Parisi, Giuseppe Magnifico, Stefano Mariani and Stefano Cataudella, Lévy processes and stochastic von Bertalanffy models of growth, with application to fish population analysis, *Journal of Theoretical Biology* (2009), doi:10.1016/j.jtbi.2009.01.033

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting galley proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Lévy processes and stochastic von Bertalanffy models of growth, with application to fish population analysis

Tommaso Russo $^{\mathrm{a},*}$, Paolo Baldi $^{\mathrm{b}}$, Antonio Parisi $^{\mathrm{c}},$

Giuseppe Magnifico^d, Stefano Mariani^e, Stefano Cataudella^a

^aLaboratorio di Ecologia Sperimentale e Acquacoltura - Dipartimento di Biologia -Università di Roma Tor Vergata

> ^bDipartimento di Matematica - Università di Roma Tor Vergata ^cDipartimento di Scienze Statistiche - Università di Padova ^dConsiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche - CNR - Roma

^eSchool of Biology and Environmental Science - University College of Dublin

Abstract

The study of animal growth is a longstanding crucial topic of theoretical biology. In this paper we introduce a new class of stochastic growth models that enjoy two crucial properties: the growth path of an individual is monotonically increasing and the mean length at time t follows the classic von Bertalanffy model. Besides the theoretical development, the models are also tested against a large set of length-at-age data collected on Atlantic Herring (*Clupea harengus*): the mean lengths and variances of the cohorts were directly estimated by least squares. The results show that the use of subordinators can lead to models enjoying interesting properties, in particular able to catch some specific features often observed in fish growth data. The use of subordinators seems to allow for an increased fidelity in the description

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

21 January 2009

of fish growth, whilst still conforming to the general parameters of the traditional von Bertalanffy equation.

Key words: Individual-based models, Subordinators, Fish growth, Clupea harengus, Fisheries

1 1 Introduction

The modeling of growth and the analysis of intra-population pattern of size 2 variability through time are central topics in animal population biology. 3 since the internal size structure of populations can have a decisive influence 4 on the population dynamics (DeAngelis et al., 1993; Imsland et al., 1998; 5 Uchmanski, 2000; Kendall & Fox, 2002; Fujiwara et al., 2004). In general, 6 the von Bertalanffy growth function (VBGF - von Bertalanffy, 1957) is the 7 best acknowledged and used relationship to describe the growth of fish and 8 other animals. This equation states that the size of an individual increases in 9 time according to the equation 10

$$x_t = L_{\infty} (1 - e^{-k(t+t_0)})$$
(1.1)

¹¹ Where L_{∞} is the extremal length that is attained as time goes to infinity, ¹² $-t_0$ is the time of conception, at which the size should be 0 and k is a ¹³ parameter that gives the speed of the process: the larger the value of k, the ¹⁴ quickest the growth. The VBGF is most commonly used as a descriptive ¹⁵ model of size-at-age data (Essington *et al.*, 2001). Nevertheless, equation ¹⁶ (1.1) describes the relationship between age and mean length of a

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +390672595974. Fax: +390672595965. E-mail address: Tommaso.Russo@Uniroma2.it

¹⁷ population, whereas the variability among individuals of the same age (e.g.

¹⁸ the variance or even the distribution of each cohort) is not included.

The popular assumption of gaussianity (Imsland *et al.*, 1998) is clearly a first 19 (rough) approximation in this direction. A natural approach to the problem 20 of determining the appropriate form of the probability distribution for a 21 population at a given time t is to model first the growth process of the 22 individuals (individual based models, IBM). Nowadays, both in ecological 23 (Arino et al., 2004), evolutionary (Conover & Munch, 2002; Ernande et al., 24 2004) and management (Caswell, 2001) contexts, one of the challenges of the 25 researcher is to model how the size of an individual changes in time and 26 deduce from the growth model which kind of probability distribution models 27 the size of fish at a given age (Lv & Pitchford, 2007; Fujiwara et al., 2004). 28

A suitable model of growth should account for both individual and 29 environmental variability. In fish, as in other animals, the first source of 30 variability is rooted in the physiological processes and is the net result of two 31 opposing processes, catabolism and anabolism (von Bertalanffv, 1938). The 32 inter-individual variability in growth is the result of several internal (genetic) 33 and external (environmental) factors which affect these physiological 34 processes. In fact, while each individual is born with a personal genetic 35 architecture which primarily determines his growth profile, a number of 36 physical and biological factors, such as water temperature (Sumpter, 1992). 37 dissolved oxygen (Brett, 1979), photoperiod (Imsland *et al.*, 2002), and the 38 availability of appropriate food sources (Rilling & Houde, 1999), have been 39 shown to affect growth rates. In order to take into account these aspects as 40 well as individual variability, a class of individually based model (IBM) was 41 developed (Lv & Pitchford, 2007; Sainsbury, 1980; Mulligan & Leaman, 42

43 1992; Wang & Thomas, 2003; Imsland et al., 2002; Wang, 1999;

44 Gudmundsson, 2005).

These models can be classified into two main categories. The first comprises those which consider the inter-individual variability as a stochastic factor to be added to the general growth curve of the population. The distribution of this factor is the same for all the individuals. In the most recent approaches of this kind (Gudmundsson, 2005; Lv & Pitchford, 2007; Wang, 1999) some individual-based stochastic models of growth are proposed using a stochastic differential equations. These models take the general form:

$$dL_t = f(L_t, t) + \alpha(L_t, t) dB(t)$$
(1.2)

Here L_t is the size at time t, $f(L_t, t)$ characterizes the deterministic intrinsic growth (drift coefficient) of the individual (the same for all individuals); $\alpha(L_t, t)$ gives the magnitude of the random fluctuations (diffusion coefficient) and B(t) is a standard Brownian motion, or Wiener process, which is commonly used to model a variety of background and environmental fluctuations in physical, financial and biological contexts, see Karlin & Taylor (1981) e.g.

The stochastic component of these models is intended to account both for the environment and the inter-individual variability. It should be stressed, however, that (as already remarked in Gudmundsson, 2005) the solution of an equation as (1.2) cannot be monotonically increasing and therefore appears to be unsuitable to model the evolution of the size of an individual. Stochastic models like the one of (1.2) are conversely well suited in order to model quantities, as prices in financial markets, that are characterized by an

oscillating and therefore non monotonic behaviour. This aspect seems to be 66 a drawback for a growth model of several animals like fish. In fact, for fish 67 and other vertebrates, the physiological mechanism of growth in length (i.e. 68 addition of bone material to the axil unit of the skeleton that is the 69 vertebra) leads to a pattern in which the size of an individual is necessarily 70 increasing in time (Weatherley & Gill, 1987). Let us point out that there 71 exist no Gaussian process which is increasing. Therefore, modeling size 72 variability through an individual growth process, can not give rise to a 73 Gaussian distribution. 74

⁷⁵ Closely related to the models (1.2) are those introduced in Gudmundsson ⁷⁶ (2005) where it is the derivative of the growth process that is the solution of ⁷⁷ a stochastic equation. It is possible in this way to obtain a stochastic process ⁷⁸ that is increasing. These models are interesting and deserve to be tried by ⁷⁹ testing against real data. Remark however that the mean size at time t of a ⁸⁰ population following such a model does not follow a VBGF.

The second category of stochastic models suggested so far comprises non-deterministic models in which the individuals of a fish population have different parameters of the VBGF. In this way, each individual has its own triplet (L_{∞}, t_0, k) , that is retained throughout its life (Sainsbury, 1980). Considering the length to age relationship, the length X_t at age t of the *i*-th individual with the parameters triple $(L_i, t_{0,i}, k_i)$ is given by:

$$X_{t,i} = L_i (1 - e^{-k_i(t+t_{0,i})})$$
(1.3)

⁸⁷ This model displays a monotonic behaviour and considers the

⁸⁸ inter-individual variability of growth parameters, but does not account for

⁸⁹ the randomness coming from the environment, which can be seen as a

⁹⁰ limitation of the model.

Finally, it should be considered that individual growth is a complex energetic 91 process. Individual length increases only when enough energy from food is 92 available for growth. On the other hand lack of food does not usually lead to 93 a reduction in length, because organisms can lose body mass without 94 shrinking in length (Kooijman, 2000) also because of the presence of a 95 skeleton. Energy may also be allocated to storage for future use, producing 96 "memory" in growth dynamics. Individual organisms encounter and ingest 97 food, which is then assimilated. Assimilated food is transformed into reserve 98 material such as protein and fat. A fixed fraction of the energy from the 99 reserve is used for both metabolic maintenance and growth, and the rest is 100 used for reproduction. Looking at the length of an individual, we suggest that 101 this process determines a pattern in which periods of no growth (determined 102 by scant energy inlet) are separated by periods of growth. If the periods of 103 growth are short, the growth process could be well described by a model 104 allowing for discontinuities, i.e. for instantaneous increases of the length 105 (jumps). This idea is consistent with several observations reported for fish in 106 general and for the species we are going to study (Hinrichsen *et al.*, 2007). 107

In this paper we introduce two classes of stochastic models of growth that
attempt to overcome the drawbacks pointed out above. The main idea
developed in this paper is to model the growth process as the solution of a
stochastic equation of the form

$$dX_t = (L_\infty - X_{t-}) \, dZ_t$$

- where $(Z_t)_t$ is a *subordinator*. These are a class of stochastic processes that are strictly increasing and the solution $(X_t)_t$ turns out to be increasing also.
- ¹¹⁴ These models enjoy a certain number of desirable features, namely
- they take into account both the individual and environmental sources of
- 116 randomness;
- they are increasing.
- the mean size at time t follows a VBGF.

In §2 we make a quick review on the topics of subordinators upon which our
models are built. In §3 and §4 some models are developed, attempting to
answer to the points exposed above. Finally in §6 we apply the proposed
models to a large set of length-at-age data of Atlantic herring *Clupea harengus*, presented in §5.

124 2 Subordinators

- ¹²⁵ A subordinator is a stochastic process $(Z_t)_t$ such that
- $Z_0 = 0;$
- its paths are right continuous and increasing almost surely;
- has independent and stationary increments.
- This means in particular that the distribution of $Z_{t+h} Z_t$ is independent of t for every $h \ge 0$ and that $Z_t - Z_s$ and $Z_v - Z_u$ are independent r.v.'s for $u < v \le s < t$. Also the increments $Z_{t+h} - Z_t$ must be stationary, in the sense that their distribution depends on h only and not on t. The characterization of such processes (that are particular instances of Lévy processes) has received much attention in time and it is characterized in

terms of the Laplace transform of Z_t . It is immediate that if

$$M_t(\theta) = \mathcal{E}(e^{-\theta Z_t}), \qquad \theta \ge 0$$
 (2.4)

136 then M is of the form

$$M_t(\theta) = e^{t\phi(\theta)} \tag{2.5}$$

where the exponent ϕ is characterized by the Lévy-Khintchin formula (see,

¹³⁸ for the subjects developed in this section and in the next ones

¹³⁹ Cont & Tankov 2004 and Sato 1999 e.g.). More precisely, the

140 Lévy-Khintchin formula for subordinators states that

$$\phi(\theta) = -\theta\gamma + \int_0^{+\infty} (e^{-\theta x} - 1) \nu(dx)$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ and ν , the *Lévy measure*, is a positive measure on \mathbb{R}^+ such that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{x}{1+x} \nu(dx) < +\infty \tag{2.6}$$

Intuitively a subordinator increases as the superposition of a deterministic 142 evolution $t \to \gamma t$ and of a stochastic process which only makes jumps. These 143 are made at times governed by a Poisson process. More precisely, if 144 0 < a < b, then $\nu([a, b])$ is the intensity of the Poisson process of the jumps 145 whose size is larger than a and smaller than b. This means that if t is the 146 (random) time at which the jump occurs, then the path has, at t, a left limit 147 X_{t-} and a right limit X_{t+} that are different, with $X_{t-} < X_{t+}$. For our 148 purposes we shall therefore be much interested in subordinators whose Lévy 149 measure is large to near 0 and gives a small mass only away from 0. The 150 resulting process would hence be characterized by many very small jumps. 151

As every Lévy process, the independence and stationarity properties of the increments implies that if Z_t has a finite mean, then

$$\mathcal{E}(Z_t) = \mu t$$

¹⁵⁴ for some real number μ and if it has a finite variance then

$$\operatorname{Var}(Z_t) = \sigma^2 t$$

155 for some $\sigma^2 \ge 0$.

¹⁵⁶ It is not possible for a general Lévy measure to compute explicitly the

157 Laplace transform and/or the density at time t of the associated

¹⁵⁸ subordinator. The next examples introduce some families of subordinators of

¹⁵⁹ interest for which these feature can be determined.

• the Gamma process, where the distribution of Z_t follows a $\Gamma(\alpha t, \lambda)$

. 7.

¹⁶¹ distribution. Recall that such a distribution has a density

$$f(x) = \frac{\lambda^{\alpha t}}{\Gamma(\alpha t)} x^{\alpha t - 1} e^{-\lambda x}, \qquad x > 0$$

and f(x) = 0 for $x \le 0$. Of course the increment $Z_t - Z_s$, having the same distribution as Z_{t-s} , has a $\Gamma(\alpha(t-s), \lambda)$ distribution. Its Laplace transform is

$$M_t(\theta) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \theta}\right)^{\alpha t} \tag{2.7}$$

so that $\phi(\theta) = -\alpha \log \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \theta}$. From well-known properties of the $\Gamma(\alpha t, \lambda)$ distribution the statistical indices of interest of a Gamma process are: mean = $\alpha t/\lambda$, variance = $\alpha t/\lambda^2$, skewness = $2/\sqrt{\alpha t}$ and kurtosis =

167 $3 + 6/(\alpha t)$.

168 Here the Lévy measure is

$$\nu(dy) = \frac{\alpha}{y} e^{-\lambda y} \, dy.$$

¹⁶⁹ This process therefore gives a high intensity to small jumps (see Fig 1).

• The *inverse Gaussian processes*, where Z_t is defined as the first time at

which a process of the form $\sqrt{\rho}B_s + bs$ crosses level t. Here $(B_t)_t$ denotes a

standard Brownian motion and $\rho, b > 0$. Its density at time t is, for x > 0,

$$f_t(x) = \frac{t e^{bt/\rho}}{(2\pi\rho)^{1/2} x^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{b^2}{2\rho} x - \frac{t^2}{2\rho x}\right).$$

¹⁷³ It depends on the positive parameters b, ρ . Its Laplace transform at time t is

$$M_t(\theta) = \exp\left(-t\left(\sqrt{\frac{b^2}{\rho^2} + \frac{2\theta}{\rho}} - \frac{b}{\rho}\right)\right)$$

- from which one can derive the expression of the statistical indices of interest: mean = t/b, variance = $\rho t/b^3$, skewness = $3\sqrt{\rho/(tb)}$ and kurtosis =
- 176 $3 + 15\rho/(tb)$.
- 177 The Lévy measure is

$$\nu(dy) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\rho y^3}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\rho}b^2 y} \, dy.$$
(2.8)

We stress that both the Gamma and the inverse Gaussian process have a
Lévy measure that gives much weight to small jumps and that decreases fast
at infinity.

• The α -stable process, $0 < \alpha < 1$ whose Laplace transform is

$$M_t(\theta) = \mathrm{e}^{-c\theta^{\alpha}t}$$

For these processes an explicit expression for the density does not exist, unless $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. In this case, for $c = \sqrt{2}$, the density is, for x > 0,

$$f(x) = \frac{t}{(2\pi)^{1/2} x^{3/2}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2x}}$$
.

Notice that, as $x \to +\infty$ the density decreases very slowly and, in particular, both expectation and variance are infinite. Also the Lévy measure decreases very slowly at infinity, therefore allowing very large jumps. We shall see that this model is not well suited for the growth phenomena of interest and we mention it only for reasons of completeness.

¹⁸⁹ To the previous examples one should add the deterministic increasing

¹⁹⁰ process: $Z_t = \gamma t$. Recall also that the sum of independent subordinators is a

¹⁹¹ subordinator itself.

¹⁹² 3 Modeling by time change

- ¹⁹³ A first growth model using subordinators can be obtained by time change.
- ¹⁹⁴ We simply consider that the size of the fish X_t at time t is given by

$$X_t = Z_{A_t}$$

where Z is the subordinator and A is the von Bertalanffy type function

$$A_t = (1 - e^{-k(t+t_0)}).$$

¹⁹⁶ If the subordinator Z_t has finite mean, then for some real number μ

$$E(X_t) = \mu (1 - e^{-k(t+t_0)})$$

¹⁹⁷ and the means follow therefore a VBGF, where μ plays the role of L_{∞} (recall ¹⁹⁸ that $\mu = E(Z_1)$).

If in particular Z is a Gamma process, then $\mu = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}$. It is also immediate that, if Z_t has finite variance,

$$Var(X_t) = \sigma^2 \left(1 - e^{-k(t+t_0)}\right)$$
(3.9)

for some $\sigma^2 > 0$ ($\sigma^2 = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2}$ if Z is a Gamma process). Recall that the variance is always increasing in t. This fact makes that the models obtained by time change of a subordinator are rather rigid and are in particular unable to account for a non monotonic behaviour of the variance, as is often observed in data.

²⁰⁶ 4 Modeling by Doléans exponential

²⁰⁷ A more interesting model of growth is given by the process $(X_t)_t$ which is ²⁰⁸ obtained as the solution of the stochastic equation

$$dX_{t} = (L_{\infty} - X_{t-}) dZ_{t}$$

$$(4.10)$$

$$X_{-t_{0}} = 0$$

where $(Z_t)_t$ is a subordinator. Here $-t_0$ denotes the time of conception, at which the size must be considered equal to 0. If the subordinator Z has a drift γ equal to 0, the solution of (4.10) is a process that remains constant between the jumps of Z, whereas it has an increment of size

$$\left(L_{\infty} - X_{t-}\right)\Delta Z_t$$

whenever Z has a jump of size ΔZ_t . The quantity X_{t-} denotes the value of X just before the jump that occurs at time t. If we define $Y_t = L_{\infty} - X_t$, then Y is the solution of

$$dY_t = -Y_{t-} dZ_t$$

$$Y_{-t_0} = L_{\infty} .$$
(4.11)

Therefore Y is equal to the Doléans exponential of the Lévy process -Zmultiplied by L_{∞} . The Doléans exponential of the Lévy process is a subject that has received much attention and it is possible to derive an explicit solution of (4.11), at least if one makes the assumption that the process Z cannot make jumps larger than 1 (which is a quite reasonable assumption, in

 $_{221}$ our case). Under this assumption the solution of (4.11) is

$$Y_t = L_\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\widetilde{Z}_{t+t_0}} \tag{4.12}$$

where \tilde{Z} is another subordinator whose Lévy measure $\tilde{\nu}$ and drift $\tilde{\gamma}$ are obtained from ν and γ in an explicit way. More precisely $\tilde{\nu}$ is the image of ν through the application $x \to \log(1 + x)$ and $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma$. The solution of (4.10) is therefore

$$X_t = L_{\infty} (1 - e^{-\tilde{Z}_{t+t_0}}).$$
(4.13)

It is easy to compute the mean $E(X_t)$, as the quantity $E(e^{-\tilde{Z}_{t+t_0}})$ is the Laplace transform at $\theta = 1$ of the r.v. Z_{t+t_0} , which is easy to compute thanks to (2.4) and (2.5):

$$E(X_t) = L_{\infty}(1 - e^{-(t+t_0)\widetilde{\phi}(1)}).$$
(4.14)

Hence also for this model whatever the subordinator that is chosen (provided
its Lévy measure vanishes outside]0, 1]), the mean of the solution of (4.10)
follows a von Bertalanffy-type equation.

Note 4.1 Recall that the VBGF (1.1) is the solution of equation (4.10) when Z is the deterministic subordinator $Z_t = kt$. Therefore (4.10) appears as a natural stochastic extension of the VBGF.

²³⁵ Remark also that some models already present in the literature are

particular instances of (4.10). It is the case of the model (3.4) of

²³⁷ Lv & Pitchford (2007) where the authors actually consider $Z_t = rt + \sigma B_t$.

 $_{238}$ We point out again that the solution to (4.10) in this case does not have

²³⁹ paths which are monotonically increasing.

The solution (4.13) however has a drawback as a growth model because 240 $X_t \to L_\infty$ as $t \to +\infty$ (unless $Z_t \equiv 0$). This implies that $\operatorname{Var}(X_t) \to 0$ as 241 $t \to +\infty,$ which is not realistic, as it would imply that all individuals should 242 reach the same limiting size as t increases. In order to overcome this problem 243 it seems natural to assume that the extremal size L_{∞} is itself a random 244 variable, thus accounting for the individual variability. In this way the two 245 sources of randomness, L_{∞} and $(Z_t)_t$, appearing in equation (4.10) have the 246 meaning of modeling the random individual variability and the 247 environmental randomness respectively. It is therefore natural to assume 248 that L_{∞} and $(Z_t)_t$ are independent. In this case formula (4.14) remains valid 249 if one replaces L_{∞} by $E(L_{\infty})$. Therefore the expectation $E(X_t)$ still follows a 250 von Bertalanffy-type pattern as in (4.14), but with L_{∞} replaced by $E(L_{\infty})$. 251 Similarly it is easy to compute the variance which is given by 252

$$\operatorname{Var}(X_{t}) = \operatorname{Var}(1 - e^{-\widetilde{Z}_{t+t_{0}}}) \operatorname{E}[L_{\infty}^{2}] + \operatorname{Var}(L_{\infty}^{2}) \operatorname{E}[1 - e^{-\widetilde{Z}_{t+t_{0}}}]^{2} =$$

$$= \left(e^{-(t+t_{0})\widetilde{\phi}(2)} - e^{-2(t+t_{0})\widetilde{\phi}(1)} \right) \operatorname{E}[L_{\infty}^{2}] + \operatorname{Var}(L_{\infty}^{2}) \left(1 - e^{-(t+t_{0})\widetilde{\phi}(1)} \right)^{2}.$$
(4.15)

Notice that $\operatorname{Var}(X_t)$ appears as the sum of two terms. As both $\tilde{\phi}(1)$ and $\tilde{\phi}(2)$ are positive, the first term vanishes at $-t_0$ and at $+\infty$ and it easy to see that (unless $Z_t \equiv 0$) it increases at first and then decreases. The second one conversely is increasing in t. Therefore the variance of X_t , according to different values of the parameters, can exhibit two possible behaviours:

₂₅₈ ● increasing or

• first increasing and then decreasing.

²⁶⁰ See Figure 2 for an illustration of this typical behaviour.

The non monotonic behaviour of the variance is an interesting feature that is enjoyed also by some of the models proposed by Gudmundsson, 2005 and Lv & Pitchford, 2007. This is not surprising as the computation above only makes use of the fact that $(X_t)_t$ is the solution of equation (4.10) with a driving process $(Z_t)_t$ which is a Lévy process, possibly a Brownian motion as it happens to be the case for the models of the authors above.

Note 4.2 In $\S6$ we adapt the models of this section and of $\S3$ to a 267 population of herrings. It is fair however to point out a limitation that arises 268 when trying to model real populations with processes driven by 269 subordinators. Recall that the driving subordinator is meant to model the 270 randomness of the growth process arising from the environment. The 271 assumption of independence and stationarity of the increments of the 272 subordinator implies the assumption that the environment remains stable 273 and stationary in time, which is a feature that can be expected to hold in 274 real world only for a short span of time, as the effect of season and of other 275 sources of modification of the natural habitat should introduce a 276 time-dependent effect. It is clear however that more realistic models would 277 be far more complicated and, possibly, intractable in practice. The same 278 observation, by the way, applies to the VBGF, which is an equation that is 270 derived under the assumption of stationarity of the environment. 280

In the rest of this section we give more details in two particular cases, making assumptions on Z that imply that \tilde{Z} is either a Gamma or an inverse Gaussian process.

Assume that the Lévy measure ν of Z has a density h with respect to the

Lebesgue measure. h must be ≥ 0 , must vanish on $[1, +\infty)$ and be such that

$$\int_0^1 y h(y) dy < +\infty$$

²⁸⁶ Then -Z has a drift $= -\gamma$ and a Lévy measure $\overline{\nu}$ given by the density

$$\overline{h}(y) = h(-y)$$

²⁸⁷ The image of the measure $\overline{h}(y) dy$ by $y \to \log(1+y)$ is

$$\widetilde{h}(y) = \mathrm{e}^{-y}h(1 - \mathrm{e}^{-y})$$

288 Example 4.3 (The Gamma process) Let us assume that

$$h(y) = \frac{\alpha}{-\log(1-y)} (1-y)^{\lambda-1}$$
(4.16)

for $0 < y \le 1$ and h(y) = 0 for y > 1, where $\alpha > 0$, $\lambda > 0$. With this choice we obtain

$$\tilde{h}(y) = \frac{\alpha}{y} e^{-\lambda y}$$

which is the Lévy measure of a Gamma process. Hence if we choose a driving subordinator Z with a Lévy measure as in (4.16) and drift γ , the solution of (4.10) is

$$X_t = L_{\infty} (1 - e^{-\gamma t - \widetilde{Z}_{t+t_0}})$$
(4.17)

where \tilde{Z} is a Gamma process with parameters α and λ and drift γ . Thanks to (4.10) and (2.7), for this model the mean is equal to

$$E(X_t) = E(L_{\infty}) \left(1 - e^{-\gamma(t+t_0)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1} \right)^{\alpha(t+t_0)} \right)$$
(4.18)

²⁹⁶ which, as already noted, is a von Bertalanffy equation with

²⁹⁷ $k = \gamma + \alpha \log \frac{\lambda + 1}{\lambda}$. The variance of X_t is also easily computed using (4.15):

$$\operatorname{Var}(X_t) = \operatorname{E}[L_{\infty}^2] e^{-2\gamma(t+t_0)} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+2} \right)^{\alpha(t+t_0)} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1} \right)^{2\alpha(t+t_0)} \right] + \\ + \operatorname{Var}(L_{\infty}) \left[1 - e^{\gamma(t+t_0)} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1} \right)^{\alpha(t+t_0)} \right]^2.$$

$$(4.19)$$

It is also possible to compute the density of X_t , conditioned to the value of $L_{\infty} = l$, which is given by

$$f(x) = \frac{\lambda^{\alpha(t+t_0)}(l-x)^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha(t+t_0))l^{\lambda}} \left(-\log(1-\frac{x}{l}) - \gamma(t+t_0)\right)^{\alpha(t+t_0)-1} e^{\lambda\gamma(t+t_0)}$$
(4.20)

for $l(1 - e^{-\gamma(t+t_0)}) \le x \le l$ and f(x) = 0 otherwise. The graph of this density for different values of t is produced in Figure 3. See in Figure 4 the graph of some simulated paths of $(X_t)_t$ with $\gamma = 0$.

Example 4.4 (The inverse Gaussian process) Let us assume that Z is a Levy process such that \tilde{Z} is an inverse Gaussian process with drift γ . If the Lévy measure of Z has a density

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\rho}(-\log(1-x))^{3/2}} (1-x)^{\frac{b^2}{2\rho}-1}$$

for 0 < x < 1 and h(x) = 0 elsewhere, then it turns out that \tilde{Z} is an inverse Gaussian process with parameters b and ρ . The density of

308 $X_t = L_{\infty}(1 - e^{-\widetilde{Z}_t - \gamma t})$ given $L_{\infty} = l$ is straightforward to compute and is

$$f_t(x) = \frac{t e^{bt/\rho}}{\sqrt{2\pi\rho}} \left(-\log(1-\frac{x}{l}) \right)^{-3/2} \left(1-\frac{x}{l}\right)^{\frac{b^2}{2\rho}-1} \exp\left(\frac{t^2\rho}{2\log(1-\frac{x}{l})}\right)$$

for 0 < x < l and $f_t(x) = 0$ otherwise.

One could also think of \tilde{Z} as a stable process with exponent $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. This choice however does not seem really suitable. Indeed in this case it is also possible to compute the density, as the same type of computation produces in this case the density, conditional on $L_{\infty} = l$,

$$g_t(x) = \frac{t + t_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}(l - x)(\log\frac{l}{l - x})^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t + t_0)^2}{2\log\frac{l}{l - x}}\right), \qquad 0 < x < l.$$

(with $\gamma = 0$). The expression of this density suggests that the use of stable subordinators is inappropriate in order to model fish growth, as $g(x) \to +\infty$ as $x \to l^-$, for every value of t.

The model based on the Doléans exponential (4.10) appears to enjoy many 317 interesting features. It is fair however to point out that in order to take 318 advantage of it there remains the question of determining an appropriate 319 distribution for the maximal length L_{∞} . It also appears difficult to find a 320 distribution such that the density distribution of the length of the 321 individuals of a given time $t,\,L_\infty(1-{\rm e}^{-Z_{t+t_0}})$ has an explicit analytic 322 expression. This density can be computed numerically, but it may be 323 impossible to use of statistical methods based on maximum likelihood. 324

The question of determining a good candidate of distribution has been already tackled in (Sainsbury, 1980), where the author suggested a Gamma distribution.

328 5 The Dataset

The data used in this study were collected as part of the EU FP5 project "HERGEN" (Mariani *et al.*, 2005; Ruzzante *et al.*, 2006), and include a

homogeneous collection of genetically and phenotypically characterized 331 North Sea Autumn Spawning herring (Clupea harengus L., 1758), from ICES 332 areas zones IVa, IVb and IVc. Herring is the dominant converter of 333 zooplankton production, using the biomass of copepods, mysids, euphausids 334 in the pelagic environment of the northern hemisphere (Winters & Wheeler, 335 1987). Additionally, herring is a central prey item for higher trophic levels. 336 The spawning period of this species in the western North Sea is 337 September/October. 338

The samples were collected east of the Shetland Islands in July 2005. At that 339 moment, fish were located in that area to feed (summer feeding aggregation). 340 Aging was performed by counting the otolith (sagitta) winter rings, following 341 standard procedures (Ruzzante et al., 2006; AA.VV, 2007). This method was 342 validated and tested for reliability following the procedure reported in 343 Beverton et al. (2004). The dataset is composed by 1255 specimens 344 belonging to 7 cohorts. The abundances and the ages of these cohorts are 345 showed in Table 1. The values of the empirical means and variances of the 346 cohorts can be found in Fig 5 347

348 6 Analysis of the herring dataset

In order to apply the models developed in §3 and §4 to the analysis of a dataset as the herring one described in the previous section, one is confronted with two kind of problems.

³⁵² The first one is the determination of the appropriate driving subordinator.

Actually subordinators form a large family, every Lévy measure on \mathbb{R}^+

satisfying (2.6) being associated to a corresponding subordinator. This is

therefore a non parametric problem and it appears very difficult to 355 determine this Lévy measure starting from data in the form of cohorts, as is 356 the case for the herring dataset.

357

The second order of problems comes from the fact that these models are to 358 be considered only approximatively correct, as they do not take into account 359 the time variability of the environment (see Note 4.2). 360

In this section we produce the results obtained fitting the models of \$3 and 4 361 to the herring dataset. In both cases we decided to work with the Gamma 362 model, as it seemed to give better results than the inverse Gaussian. 363

In order to have a benchmark for the analysis of the dataset, we shall first 364 adapt a normal Gaussian model inside each cohort. This is the default choice 365 in literature (Imsland *et al.*, 1998). Given the age t_i , the length X_{t_i} is 366 assumed to be normally distributed with mean $L_{\infty}(1 - e^{-k(t_i+t_0)})$ and 367 variance σ_i^2 (different cohorts are allowed to have different variances). This 368 model requires therefore a number of parameters that is equal to 3+the 369 number of cohorts. 370

It is worth noting that this is not a mixture model, as we are able to assign 371 every individual to its class. Recall also that, as stated in the introduction, 372 this is not a Individual Based Model. 373

The normal and time change models have been estimated by means of 374 maximum likelihood, which appears to be the most natural method for 375 them. As already pointed out in §4, for the Doléans exponential model, 376 which is the most promising, the maximum likelihood method is 37 inapplicable, as the distribution of the maximal size L_{∞} is not known. We 378 therefore resorted to the least squares method in order to fit the moments. 379

³⁸⁰ The estimates obtained with different models are shown in Table 2.

³⁸¹ It is apparent that the normal model has a good agreement with the data,

³⁸² which is not surprising, given also the availability of many parameters.

383 6.1 Time change model

As already hinted in §3 this kind of models shows a certain rigidity. We give the estimates obtained using it for completeness sake only. Assuming that the lengths at time t_i follow a $\Gamma(\alpha(1 - e^{-k(t_i+t0)}), \lambda)$ distribution, we have a model with 4 parameters (whatever the number of cohorts). The estimated values are $\alpha = 388.2$, $\lambda = 1.33$, k = 0.64 and $t_0 = 0.047$. From this we deduce \hat{L}_{∞} as the ratio $\hat{\alpha}/\hat{\lambda}$ (see Table 2). Estimates of the means and variances are obtained using (3.9).

Notice that the estimated means are very close to the empirical means (with the exception of cohort 1). However it is clear that this model cannot accommodate the variances. Recall that for this model the variances are necessarily increasing with time, at a difference with the behaviour of the empirical variances.

As already mentioned above, the model based on time change is a particularly parsimonious explanation of the data in terms of number of parameters, but has limited capacities of catching some relevant features.

399 6.2 Doléans exponential

We tried a model based on the solution of (4.10) with respect to a Gamma process, as in Example 4.3.

According to this model, the density of the observations follows a 402 distribution, given $L_{\infty} = l$, that is given in (4.20). Noting that the mean 403 values of the lengths of the different cohorts must lie on the VBGF, we 404 decided to perform a two-stage method of moments, in which, at first, the 405 empirical means are interpolated by a VBGF function (1.1), therefore 406 estimating the parameters $E(L_{\infty})$, k and t_0 . In the second stage, we 407 interpolated the empirical variances with the function (4.19). In this way we 408 obtained estimates of α , λ , γ together with an estimate of $\sigma_{L_{\infty}}^2 = \operatorname{Var}(L_{\infty})$. 409 Recall that the quantities k and α , λ , γ are related by the constraint 410 $k = \gamma + \alpha \log \frac{\lambda + 1}{\lambda}$. For both stages we used a least squares method in order 411 to fit the moments. 412

413 See Fig 5 for the graph of the fitted von Bertalanffy function and the
414 empirical means with error bars.

This method produces an estimate of the mean and variance of L_{∞} without 415 assuming any distribution for L_{∞} . The estimates are $\alpha = 1.3$, $\lambda = 15$, 416 $\gamma = 0.55, \, k = 0.63, \, t_0 = 0.072, \, \mathrm{E}(L_\infty) = 297.3$ and $\sigma_{L_\infty}^2 = 160.$ Finally, in 417 order to obtain a concrete and visually appreciable estimate of the density of 418 each cohort, we computed it numerically assuming for L_{∞} a Gamma 419 distribution having parameters matching the estimated mean and variance, 420 that is with parameters $\alpha_0 = 552.34$ and $\lambda_0 = 1.86$. Figure 6 produces a 421 comparison between the density obtained as described above from the model 422

and an empirical density, produced from the data with a usual kernel
estimator. Taking into account the limited number of parameters employed
by this model one can appreciate the nice fit for some of the cohorts.
Discrepancies can be found for the first two cohorts and for the sixth one.
For the fifth one there is a evident discrepancy: notice however that, as
pointed out in Fig 5, also the estimated VBFG curve that fits the mean of
this fifth cohort. At this point one should keep in mind Note 4.2.

430 7 Discussion

Although several stochastic model are available in the literature in order to
compute the time evolution of the distribution of the size of a population, a
certain number of drawbacks still remain, leading to the need of a more
appropriate formulation that is able to take into account some key aspects of
animal growth.

These aspects basically concern the inclusion of the different sources of 436 variability in growth rate among individuals, which directly determine the 437 size density observed at different ages, and the property of the growth 438 process of being increasing. In this work we developed two models based on 439 the use of subordinators as driving processes. Our aim was to provide a new 440 stochastic model, mainly of theoretical interest for the description of the 441 growth process for a large class of organisms. It turns out that one of them, 442 the model based on the Doléans exponential giving rise to a Gamma process, 443 is also able to produce an appreciable fit with the data. In particular it is 444 able to reproduce the non monotonic trend of the variance, that is the 445 measure of intra-cohort variability of size. This is an important feature: a 446

reduction of the demographic variance starting at some time is oftenobserved in data (Gudmundsson, 2005 e.g.).

In general, the variability in individual size increases within the same cohort
through time when the individual growth rate is positively correlated with
itself in time (Gudmundsson, 2005). This phenomenon is called "growth
depensation".

Conversely, a reduction of the cohort variance (the so called "growth 453 compensation") has been up to now explained with the fact that survival of 454 individuals are not independent and identically distributed (Kendall & Fox, 455 2002). In other words, there needs to be some systematic structure in the 456 population. Common biological mechanisms that can produce a reduction of 457 demographic variance are contest competition (including territoriality), 458 long-lived individuals with lifetime demographic traits ("individual 459 heterogeneity"), maternally imposed variation, and directional or balancing 460 selection. 461

⁴⁶² Observe however that our model explains this typical behaviour of the ⁴⁶³ variance without introducing an explicit selection mechanism related to some ⁴⁶⁴ size-dependent mortality. By this we do not claim that such a mechanism ⁴⁶⁵ does not exist (and we think that it might be natural to devise a more ⁴⁶⁶ sofisticated model including this feature), but simply that it is not necessary ⁴⁶⁷ in order to explain the observed behavior of the empirical variances.

The possibility to model and predict this aspect of fish growth seems to be of great importance in both theoretical studies and fishery management applications. This is particularly true if referred to the increasing use of measurement of the growth pattern of organisms (like fish) as an ecological

indicator (Bennett et al., 1995). Further, assessment of growth pattern, 472 combined with other measurement of physiological condition, have the 473 potential to yield information on the history of environmental stress (e.g. 474 from contaminants) or selective pressures (e.g. fisheries) and adaptation to 475 environmental change (Jorgensen et al., 2007). In fact, statistical analyses of 476 long-term data from some exploited fish stocks have revealed evolutionary 477 changes in reaction norms (Ernande et al., 2004). Accuracy of the growth 478 model at the basis of these analyses obviously affect the results, so that the 479 development of sound approach to model and predict size distribution is a 480 main target. 481

A future direction will concern the assessment of the effect of the aging error on the estimates obtained using our model. Even if the aging method is reliable, it seems that errors in aging young cohorts can significantly affect the estimates. This is due to the fact that both VBGF and the variance function converge with age.

The present work represent a first attempt to apply the Lévy processes to the subject. We think that these and the ideas developed in this paper can be adapted to introduce stochasticity into more complex models of growth (see Edwards *et al.*, 2007).

491 8 Conclusion

The aim of the present work was the development of a stochastic model of growth, and therefore an Individual Based Model for the distribution of data, that is compatible with the von Bertalanffy function and might be able to catch more of the features empirically observed.

The model developed in relation with the Doléans exponential shows interesting features. As other models already in the literature (Wang, 1999; Gudmundsson, 2005; Lv & Pitchford, 2007) it is able to explain the observed non monotonic behaviour of the variances. However, it is the first stochastic model producing paths that are increasing, thus, giving a realistic random model of individual growth.

Our main objective was mainly theoretical, but we think that the models developed in §4 can be of interest for practical applications such as stock assessment and forecasting. In this direction however some questions require a deeper investigation.

506 These are

• The determination (elicitation) of a suitable distribution for the maximal size L_{∞} .

• The determination of the appropriate subordinator.

510 References

- AA.VV (2007). Report of Herring Assessment Working Group for the Area
 south of 628 N. ICES CM 2007/ACFM: 11.
- 513 ARINO, O., SHIN, Y. & MULLON, C. (2004). A mathematical derivation of
- size spectra in fish populations. C.R. Biol. 327, 245–254.
- 515 BENNETT, W., OSTRACH, D. & HINTON, D. (1995). Condition of larval
- striped bass in a graught-stricken estuary: evaluating pelagic food web
- ⁵¹⁷ limitation. *Ecol. Appl.* **5**, 680–692.
- 518 BEVERTON, R., HYLEN, A., ØSTVEDT, O.-J., ALVSVAAG, J. & ILES, T.

- ⁵¹⁹ (2004). Growth, maturation, and longevity of maturation cohorts of
- ⁵²⁰ Norwegian spring-spawning herring. *ICES J.Mar.Scie.* **61**, 165–175.
- 521 BRETT, J. (1979). Environmental factors and growth. In: Fish Physiology,
- 522 Vol. III, Bioenergetics and Growth. New York: Academic Press Inc.
- ⁵²³ CASWELL, H. (2001). Matrix population models: construction, analysis, and
- *interpretation.* Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA: Sinauder Associated.
- ⁵²⁵ CONOVER, D. & MUNCH, S. (2002). Sustaining fisheries yields over
- evolutionary time scales. Science 297(5578), 94–96.
- 527 CONT, R. & TANKOV, P. (2004). Financial modelling with jump processes.
- 528 Chapman & Hall/CRC Financial Mathematics Series. Chapman &
- 529 Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL.
- 530 DEANGELIS, D., ROSE, K., CROWDER, L., MARSHALL, E. & LIKA, D.
- (1993). Fish cohort dynamics: Application of complementary modelling
 approaches. Am. Nat. 142(4), 604–622.
- 533 EDWARDS, A., PHILLIPS, R., WATKINS, N., FREEMAN, M., MURPHY,
- 534 E., Afanasyev, V., Buldyrev, S., Da Luz, M., Raposo, E. &
- 535 STANLEY, H. (2007). Revisiting Lévy flight search patterns of wandering
- albatrosses, bumblebees and deer. Nat. 449, 1044–1049.
- 537 ERNANDE, B., DIECKMANN, U. & HEINO, M. (2004). Adaptive changes in
- harvested populations: plasticity and evolution of age and size at
- ⁵³⁹ maturation. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. **271**(1537), 415–423.
- 540 ESSINGTON, T., KITCHELL, J. & WALTERS, C. (2001). The von
- 541 Bertalanffy growth function, bioenergetics, and the consumption rates of
- 542 fish. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 58, 2129–2138.
- 543 FUJIWARA, M., KENDALL, B. & NISBET, R. (2004). Growth
- autocorrelation and animal size variation. *Ecol. Lett.* 7, 106–113.
- 545 GUDMUNDSSON, G. (2005). Stochastic growth. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.

546 62 , 1746–17	'55.
-------------------------	------

- 547 HINRICHSEN, H.-H., BUEHLER, V. & CLEMMESEN, C. (2007). An
- individual-based model for direct conversion of otolith into somatic growth
 rates. Fish.Oceanogr. 16(3), 207–215.
- ⁵⁵⁰ IMSLAND, A., JONASSEN, T., LANGSTON, A., HOARE, R., WERGELAND,
- H., FITZGERALD, R., MULCAHY, M. & STEFANSSON, S. (2002). The
- interrelation of growth performance and disease resistance of juvenile
- atlantic halibut (hippoglossus hippoglossus l.) from different populations.
- ⁵⁵⁴ Aquacul. **204**, 167–177.
- ⁵⁵⁵ IMSLAND, A., NILSEN, T. & FOLKVORD, A. (1998). Stochastic simulation
- of size variation in turbot: possible causes analysed with an individual
- ⁵⁵⁷ based-model. J. Fish. Biol. **53**, 237–258.
- JORGENSEN, C., ENBERG, K., DUNLOP, E., ARLINGHAUS, R., BOUKAL,
- 559 S., Brander, K., Ernande, K., Gardmark, A., Johnston, F.,
- 560 MATSUMURA, S., PARDOE, H., RAAB, K., SILVA, A., VAINIKKA, A.,
- DIECKMANN, U., HEINO, M. & RIJNSDORP, A. (2007). Managing

⁵⁶² evolving fish stocks. *Science* **318**, 1247–1248.

- 563 KARLIN, S. & TAYLOR, H. M. (1981). A second course in stochastic
- processes. New York: Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich
 Publishers].
- KENDALL, B. & FOX, G. (2002). Variation among individuals and reduced
 demographic stochasticity. *Cons. Biol.* 16(1), 109–116.
- 568 KOOIJMAN, S. (2000). Dinamic energy and mass budgets in biological
- ⁵⁶⁹ systems. Second edition. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press Inc.
- 570 LV, Q. & PITCHFORD, J. W. (2007). Stochastic von Bertalanffy models,
- with applications to fish recruitment. J. Theoret. Biol. 244(4), 640–655.
- 572 MARIANI, S., HUTCHINSON, W., HATFIELD, D., E.M.C. RUZZANTE,

573	Simmonds, T. A. C., E.J. Dahlgren, Brigham, J., Torstensen,
574	E. & CARVALHO, G. (2005). Biocomplexity in a highly migratory marine
575	pelagic fish, atlantic herring. Mar. Ecol. Progr. Ser. 303, 245–257.
576	Mulligan, T. & Leaman, B. (1992). Length-at-age analysis: Can you get
577	what you see? Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 49, 632–643.
578	RILLING, G. & HOUDE, E. (1999). Regional and temporal variability in the
579	distribution and abundance of bay anchovy (anchoa mitchilli) eggs, larvae,
580	and adult biomass in the chesapeake bay. Estuar. 22, 1096–1109.
581	Ruzzante, D., Mariani, S., Bekkevold, D., Andre, C.,
582	Mosegaard, H., Clausen, L., Dahlgren, T., Hutchinson, W.,
583	Hatfield, E., Torstensen, E., Brigham, J., Simmonds, E.,
584	LAIKRE, L., LARSSON, L., STET, R., RYMAN, N. & CARVALHO, G.
585	(2006). Biocomplexity in a highly migratory marine pelagic fish, atlantic
586	herring. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. 273, 1459–1464.
587	SAINSBURY, K. (1980). Effect of individual variability on the von
588	Bertalanffy growth equation. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 37, 241–247.
589	SATO, KI. (1999). Lévy processes and infinitely divisible distributions,
590	vol. 68 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge:
591	Cambridge University Press. Translated from the 1990 Japanese original,
592	Revised by the author.
593	SUMPTER, J. (1992). Control of growth of rainbow trout (oncorhynchus
594	mykiss). Aquacul. 100 , 299–320.
595	UCHMANSKI, J. (2000). Individual variability and population regulation: An
596	individual-based model. Oikos 9, 539–548.
597	VON BERTALANFFY, L. (1938). A quantitative theory of organic growth.

- ⁵⁹⁸ Human Biol. **10**, 181–213.
- ⁵⁹⁹ VON BERTALANFFY, L. (1957). Quantitative laws in metabolism and

MANUSCRIE 어어그 리메리카

- growth. Quart. Rev. Biol. 32(3), 217–231. 600
- WANG, Y. (1999). Estimating equations for parameters in stochastic growth 601
- models from tag-recapture data. *Biometrics* 55, 900–903. 602
- WANG, Y. & THOMAS, M. (2003). Accounting for individual variability in 603
- the von Bertalanffy growth model. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 52, 604
- 1368 1375.605
- WEATHERLEY, A. & GILL, H. (1987). The biology of fish growth. New 606
- York: Academic Press Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich Publishers]. 607
- WINTERS, G. & WHEELER, J. (1987). Clupeoid fishes of the world 608
- (suborder clupeioidei). an annotated and illustrated catalogue of the 609
- herrings, sardines, pilchards, sprats, shads, anchovies and wolf-herrings. 610
- Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 44, 882–900. 611

Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Fig. 3



Fig. 4



Fig. 5



Fig. 6



X