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EDITOR’S COMMENTS TO AUTHOR:
Both reviewers and myself agree that this is an interesting topic and original data. We also still think that the message would have been strengthened by the addition of adequate controls with the prevalence of ESBL+ among non pouch IBD.

Response:
We agree with the editor and reviewer’s comments and hope in time to perform a follow-up study which reviews the prevalence of ESBL in pouch patients with and without a previous history of pouchitis.

REVIEWERS’ COMMENTS TO AUTHOR:

Reviewer: 1
Comments for Transmission to the Authors
None

Reviewer: 2
Comments for Transmission to the Authors
Results:
1. "Twenty patients had recurrent pouchitis and twenty eight (59%) patients had relapsing pouchitis. All patients with relapsing pouchitis were receiving treatment with maintenance antibiotic therapy... " relapsing pouchitis? it means refractory?

-Response:
-Thank you. We have corrected this typo.

2. "ESBL producing organisms were identified in faecal samples from 16 (33%) patients, two of these patients were on two weekly alternating antibiotic treatment. 10 (55.6%) of 18 patients who were ESBL positive had symptoms of active pouchitis." It is not clear if the ESBL positive patients were 16 or 18. If 16: 10/16=62.5%

-Response:
-This is a typo, the text should read: “10 (62.5%) of 16 patients who were ESBL positive had symptoms of active pouchitis.” We have corrected this typo in the manuscript.

3. " In an earlier open-label study reporting 10 patients with pouchitis complicated by extensive pre-pouch ileitis treated with infliximab. Nine achieved clinical remission (29). ", nine achieved clinical remission (29).

-Response:

-Unfortunately this reviewer’s comment is not clear to us.
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Abstract

Background: Treatment with fluoroquinolones is associated with the development of Clostridium difficile and extended spectrum beta lactamase producing bacteria (ESBL). Clostridium difficile and ESBL are resistant to many antibiotics and each may cause pouchitis after restorative proctocolectomy (RPC) refractory to empirical antibiotic therapy.

Aim: To assess the prevalence and establish risk factors for the development of ESBL and CDT in RPC patients with recurrent or refractory pouchitis under follow-up at our institution over a one year period.

Method: An enzyme linked immunosorbent assay was used to detect Clostridium difficile toxins (CDT) and a culture technique was used to identity ESBL in faecal samples. All patients had previously received fluoroquinolone treatment.

Results: 48 patients (35 (74%) males; median age 42 years) underwent testing at a median interval from RPC of 8 (range 1-25) years. No patient had a positive CDT result but ESBL bacteria were identified in 16 (33%) samples. ESBL positivity was significantly related to pre-pouch ileitis (p= 0.035) and maintenance antibiotic therapy (p= 0.039).

Conclusions: ESBL but not CDT is a common finding in faecal samples from patients with recurrent or refractory pouchitis. Treatment with maintenance antibiotics and pre-pouch ileitis are risk factors for developing ESBL producing bacteria.

Background:

Restorative proctocolectomy with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis (RPC) is the surgical procedure of choice for patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) and selected patients with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) who require surgery (1).

Pouchitis is the most common cause of morbidity following RPC. The incidence increases with length of follow-up (1) rising to about 50% at five years with a prevalence of clinically significant recurrent or chronic refractory pouchitis in 5-10% of patients.

In addition about 13% of patients with pouchitis will have pre-pouch ileitis, this is a histological entity distinct from Crohn’s disease and can be defined as inflammation proximal to the pouch in a patient with pouchitis and characteristic histological features (2). The histological features of the pre-pouch ileum in pre-pouch ileitis are the same as those seen in pouchitis and include colonic phenotypic change (3).

Empirical antibiotic treatment with ciprofloxacin (a fluoroquinolone) or metronidazole or in combination with other antibiotics is effective in chronic pouchitis and pre-pouch ileitis (4-6). Long-term follow up studies have shown that pouchitis is responsible for 10% of all pouch failures (7). The causes for this are not understood but the development of antibiotic resistance is likely to be important. Where patients are exposed to repeated antibiotic treatment, antibiotic resistance or
Clostridium difficile infection may develop. Clostridium difficile is an important cause of treatment failure in patients presenting with symptoms of pouchitis (8;9) and should be excluded in those with pouchitis (1;10).

Extended spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL) are bacterial enzymes which are capable of hydrolysing penicillins, broad-spectrum cephalosporins and monobactams. ESBL producing bacteria are inherently resistant to many classes of antibiotic because other determinants of resistance are often linked on the same plasmid (11). Fluoroquinolone resistance in particular is associated with ESBL producing bacteria (12;13) and exposure to antibiotics including ciprofloxacin and metronidazole is associated with an increased risk of developing ESBL producing bacteria (14).

Faecal carriage of ESBL producing bacteria is uncommon in the general UK community. One group report that during the period 2004-05 only 1% of 1253 community faecal samples taken from patients with diarrhoea contained ESBL-producing bacteria (15). Certain patient groups have been shown to have increased faecal carriage of ESBL producing bacteria, but to the best of our knowledge this has not previously been studied in IBD or pouchitis patients. One recent UK study identified ESBL-producing E. coli in 40% of asymptomatic nursing home residents (15). In countries where antibiotics are available without prescription high levels of ESBL carriage in asymptomatic individuals have been reported. In one study from Saudi Arabia ESBL-producing organisms were isolated from 13.1% of asymptomatic outpatients (16), a study from Israel reported a prevalence of 8% in patients being admitted to hospital (17). These studies suggest that asymptomatic carriage of ESBL producing bacteria is common in patients exposed to frequent antibiotic treatment.

Recently we have shown that ESBL producing bacteria may in some cases be associated with antibiotic refractory pouchitis (18). This may be particularly seen in recurrent or refractory pouchitis which may require frequent courses of antibiotics or continuous maintenance treatment. In practice, development of resistance to the usually prescribed antibiotics may limit the treatment options to nitrofurantoin or colistin. Clostridium difficile toxin (CDT) has recently been reported to be present in 18% of stool samples from RPC patients (19) but the prevalence of ESBL producing bacteria is unknown. It is likely that ESBL producing bacteria in stool may predispose to an increased risk of resistant pouchitis and pouch failure in the long-term due to failure of medical therapy.

Aim

To assess the prevalence and establish risk factors for the development of ESBL and CDT in RPC patients with recurrent or refractory pouchitis under follow-up at our institution over a one year period.

Method

Ethical permission was granted by the Brent and Harrow Research Ethics Committee to collect prospective and retrospective data on RPC patients entered into the St Mark’s Hospital Pouch Registry. Consecutive patients with recurrent or refractory pouchitis defined as three or more episodes of pouchitis per year or persistent pouchitis requiring continuous antibiotic treatment (4) attending the outpatient clinic were identified over a one year period. Demographic details including
age and sex were recorded. Clinical details were also obtained including the presence of symptomatic pouchitis at the time of testing, the interval from RPC, and a previous history of pre-pouch ileitis. Information on whether mucosal healing was achieved after four weeks of antibiotic treatment and whether the patient was taking maintenance antibiotic therapy was also obtained. Fresh faecal samples were collected by patients themselves during their hospital attendance and these were transported to the hospital laboratory and analysed without delay. An enzyme linked immunosorbent assay was used to detect Clostridium difficile toxins A and B (Meridian Bioscience, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA). To identify ESBL producing bacteria in the faecal samples a standard culture technique as per British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy and HPA guidelines was used (20). This technique utilises Iso-sensitest agar (Oxoid, Cambridge, UK) which is a non selective media used for sensitivity testing of gram negative and gram positive organisms. Fecal samples were inoculated onto Iso-sensitest agar using a sterile swab and a rotary spreader. Antibiotic discs containing ciprofloxacin, trimethoprim, cephalaxin, co-amoxiclav, nitrofurantoin, cefpodoxime, cefuroxime, and cefixime were added. We incubated plates at 37°C for 18 to 24 hours and recorded the sensitivity patterns. ESBL status was defined as a zone size to cefpodoxime of >25mm, as per British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy guidance (20).

Statistical analysis

SPSS version 15 (SPSS inc. Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used for all statistical analysis. For the description of data, the median and range were calculated. The Mann-Whitney U test (two tailed) was used to compare continuous data and a chi-squared test used to compare categorical variables between the ESBL negative and ESBL positive groups. A two-tailed P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. A multivariate analysis using a backwards stepwise regression technique with a cut-off value of p less than 0.05 was also performed.

Results

48 patients of median age 42 years (range 20-69) and male gender in 35 (71%) were studied. Two patients had familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP), three indeterminate colitis (IC) and the remainder had UC. No patient had received regular treatment with a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) in the preceding 6 months. The median interval from RPC to the present assessment was 8 years (range 1-25). Twenty patients had recurrent pouchitis and twenty eight (59%) patients had refractory pouchitis. All patients with refractory pouchitis were receiving treatment with maintenance antibiotic therapy and 10 (20%) of these patients had symptomatic pouchitis at the time of faecal testing. Of the patients treated with antibiotic maintenance therapy, the antibiotics used were ciprofloxacin (18) co-amoxiclav (7), colistin (2), nitrofurantoin (2), cefixime (1), trimethoprim (2). Three patients were on two weekly alternating antibiotic combination therapy.

ESBL producing organisms were identified in faecal samples from 16 (33%) patients, two of these patients were on two weekly alternating antibiotic treatment. 10 (62.5%) of 16 patients who were ESBL positive had symptoms of active pouchitis. Clinical and statistical data for the ESBL positive and
ESBL negative groups are shown in Table 1. It can be seen that the presence of ESBL producing bacteria was not associated with age, sex or the number of years from RPC. Univariate analysis however identified an association with a history of pre-pouch ileitis complicating pouchitis and of maintenance antibiotic therapy. Multivariate analysis demonstrated that only pre-pouch ileitis predicated the development of ESBL-producing bacteria.

CDT was not identified in any patient sample.
Table 1.
Clinical and statistical data for ESBL positive and ESBL negative groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ESBL Negative</th>
<th>ESBL Positive</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Odds-ratio (95% confidence interval)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients (%)</td>
<td>32 (67%)</td>
<td>16 (33%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (range) years</td>
<td>42 (21-58)</td>
<td>45 (20-69)</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>22 (67%)</td>
<td>13 (81%)</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>2.321 (0.449-12.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of years from RPC median(range)</td>
<td>8.5 (1-25)</td>
<td>6.5 (2-25)</td>
<td>0.539</td>
<td>0.956 (0.858-1.064)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of pre-pouch ileitis (%)</td>
<td>8 (50%)</td>
<td>9 (69%)</td>
<td><strong>0.033</strong></td>
<td>3.020 (0.764-11.938)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance antibiotic therapy (%)</td>
<td>16 (48.5%)</td>
<td>13 (81.3%)</td>
<td><strong>0.037</strong></td>
<td>3.823 (0.801-18.238)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal healing following antibiotic treatment</td>
<td>14 (44%)</td>
<td>9 (56%)</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

In the light of a recent report indicating that ESBL producing bacteria may in some cases be the cause of antibiotic refractory pouchitis (18), we reviewed the prevalence of ESBL in our RPC patients with recurrent or refractory pouchitis. The study has shown that ESBL producing bacteria occur in 33% of such patients and that maintenance antibiotic therapy and pre-pouch ileitis are associated with a significantly increased risk of ESBL producing bacteria.

None of the patients studied had detectable CDT. This is in contrast with a recent finding by Shen et al who studied 115 RPC patients undergoing routine pouchoscopy for surveillance or investigation of pouch dysfunction. These authors reported a prevalence of 18% of which 5% of patients were symptomatic. In their univariate analysis, male gender and a previous history of left sided colitis were associated with an increased risk of CDT after RPC. Surprisingly the previous use of antibiotics
was not (19). The reasons for the difference in the prevalence of CDT in the present study and that of Shen et al are unclear but could be related to differences in antibiotic use and the prevalence of \textit{Clostridium difficile} in the local hospital and community population. There were limitations to our study. The number of patients was small but this reflects the fact that recurrent or refractory pouchitis is uncommon in the general RPC population, affecting only about 5\% of patients (1).

Patients requiring antibiotic maintenance therapy at our institution are treated with ciprofloxacin according to our own and others previously published guidelines (1;22). In those where this becomes ineffective faecal coliform sensitivity testing is performed and an appropriate antibiotic prescribed according to the sensitivity patterns identified. Therefore all patients treated with maintenance therapy will have received ciprofloxacin; consequently we were unable to reliably establish whether different antibiotics are associated with an increased risk of developing ESBL-producing bacteria.

On univariate analysis pre-pouch ileitis and long term antibiotic treatment were both associated with an increased risk of developing ESBL producing bacteria. Of these, pre-pouch ileitis was shown to be the more important on multivariate analysis. It is known that exposure to antibiotics is associated with an increased risk of developing ESBL producing bacteria (14) therefore the finding in the present study is not surprising. Why ESBL producing bacteria are more common in patients with pouchitis complicated by pre-pouch ileitis than in patients with pouchitis only is less clear. Pre-pouch ileitis may be due to reflux of pouch contents into the pre-pouch ileum (2). It can be treated with combination antibiotic therapy (23), suggesting that bacteria are important in its aetiology. The present study has also shown that patients with pre-pouch ileitis or those treated with maintenance antibiotic therapy are at increased risk of developing ESBL producing bacteria. It is possible that pouchitis complicated by pre-pouch ileitis may be associated with a higher bacterial load predisposing to the development of bacterial resistance (24).

The majority of patients with ESBL producing bacteria in this study were asymptomatic. It is possible, however, that over time symptomatic pouchitis secondary to ESBL-producing bacteria (ESBL pouchitis) may occur. Treatment of ESBL pouchitis with nitrofurantoin or colistin is effective in most but not all cases (18) and it is likely that resistance to these antibiotics may develop over time. Thus it is possible that the carriage of ESBL producing coliforms may be associated with an increased risk of pouch failure.

Strategies to reduce antibiotic use and therefore to reduce the risk of developing EBSL producing bacteria and \textit{Clostridium difficile} should be considered. We have now adopted the practice that all patients with symptoms of pouchitis undergo endoscopy to confirm the diagnosis before initiating antibiotic treatment and we have been careful not to treat patients before the diagnosis is certain. In patients where a flexible pouchoscopy cannot be arranged promptly, measurement of faecal calprotectin to confirm a clinical diagnosis of pouchitis is a reasonable alternative (25). Non-antibiotic treatment would clearly reduce the risk but few effective treatments have been reported. Oral budesonide was suggested to be useful in patients with refractory pouchitis who did not respond to single antibiotic therapy (open label study) (26), but unfortunately a randomised double blind study of budesonide enemas found it no more effective than metronidazole (27). Infliximab has recently been reported to be efficacious in chronic refractory pouchitis. 10 patients received Infliximab, and of these seven maintained a clinical response at a median follow up of 8.5 months (28). In an earlier open-label study reporting 10 patients with pouchitis complicated by extensive pre-pouch ileitis treated with infliximab. Nine achieved clinical remission (29). Adalimumab has been
reported to be effective in RPC patients with Crohn’s disease but has not yet been studied in patients with UC (30). Sulphasalazine has been reported to be an effective treatment for pouchitis. In an open-label study of 11 patients 63% of patients were in remission after 8 weeks treatment (31). The probiotic VSL#3 has been shown to be effective in patients with mild to moderate pouchitis (defined as a PDAI between 7 and 12). In an open-label study Gionchetti et al studied 23 patients who were treated with VSL#3 (900 billion bacteria) twice daily for four weeks. Sixteen (69%) entered remission. Following this, maintenance treatment was continued with 1800 billion bacteria per day, and all 16 patients maintained remission for 6 months (32). It is possible that VSL#3 may be an alternative to antibiotic agents in the treatment of mild to moderate pouchitis.

Maintenance therapy with an antibiotic or VSL#3 is recommended in patients with chronic antibiotic dependent pouchitis (21). Treatment with VSL#3 rather than ciprofloxacin maintenance therapy may reduce the risk of developing ESBL producing bacteria or Clostridium difficile, but evidence of efficacy is limited to patients with complete (33) or near-complete mucosal (34) healing. This may partly explain the disappointing results reported in an open-label clinical study (35). Surprisingly there is no evidence that immunomodulators are useful in pouchitis. Although there are no controlled studies, two groups have reported the occurrence of pouchitis in patients treated with azathioprine for primary sclerosing cholangitis (36;37) suggesting that this may not be effective.

In conclusion we found no evidence of Clostridium difficile, but ESBL producing bacteria occurred in 33% of our patients with recurrent or refractory pouchitis. Further research is required to establish whether ESBL are common in all RPC patients or as is more likely, occur only in those who have received antibiotics. Because ESBL pouchitis is difficult to treat, longitudinal studies are warranted to establish whether the presence of ESBL producing bacteria predisposes to pouch failure. Although the present study supports the policy that antibiotics for pouchitis should be limited wherever possible it is, however, unlikely that they can be avoided in most patients with recurrent or refractory pouchitis.
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