



HAL
open science

The European Carbon and Greenhouse Gas Balance Revisited

E.-Detlef Schulze, Philippe Ciais, Sebastiaan Luyssaert, Marion Schrumpf,
Ivan A Janssens, Balendra Thiruchittampalam, Jochen Theloke, Mathieu
Saurat, Stefan Bringezu, Jos Lelieveld, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

E.-Detlef Schulze, Philippe Ciais, Sebastiaan Luyssaert, Marion Schrumpf, Ivan A Janssens, et al..
The European Carbon and Greenhouse Gas Balance Revisited. *Global Change Biology*, 2010, C (B),
pp.1451. 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02215.x . hal-00552618

HAL Id: hal-00552618

<https://hal.science/hal-00552618>

Submitted on 6 Jan 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



The European Carbon and Greenhouse Gas Balance Revisited



Journal:	<i>Global Change Biology</i>
Manuscript ID:	GCB-10-0130
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Review
Keywords:	carbon cycle, greenhouse gases, non-greenhouse gases, Europe, agriculture, forestry, land-use change
Abstract:	<p>In an overview of the European carbon, greenhouse gas and non-GHG fluxes, gross primary productivity, GPP, is about 9.3 Pg yr⁻¹, and fossil fuel imports are 1.6 Pg yr⁻¹. GPP is about 1.25% of solar radiation, containing about 360 10¹⁸ J energy, which is five times as high as the energy content of the annual fossil fuel use (75 10¹⁸ J yr⁻¹). Net primary production, NPP, is 50%, terrestrial net biome productivity, NBP, is 3%, and the net greenhouse gas balance, NGB, is 0.3% of GPP. The net yield of human land use is 20% of NPP or 10% of GPP, or alternatively 1 ‰ of solar radiation after accounting for the inherent cost of agriculture and forestry for fossil fuel used during operations, for production of pesticides and fertilizer and for the carbon equivalent cost of GHG emissions. About 2.4% of the fertilizer input is converted into N₂O. Agricultural emissions are 50% of total methane and NO, 70% of total N₂O, and 95% of total NH₃ emissions. European soils are a net C sink (114 Tg yr⁻¹), but considering the emissions of GHGs, soils are a source of about 26 Tg CO₂ C-equivalent yr⁻¹. Forest, grassland and sediment sinks are offset by GHG emissions from croplands, peatlands and inland waters. Non-GHGs (NH₃, NO_x) interact significantly with the GHG and the carbon cycle through ammonium-nitrate aerosols and dry deposition. Wet deposition of nitrogen support about 50% of forest timber growth. Land use change is regionally important with large unidirectional fluxes totalling about 50 Tg C yr⁻¹. Nevertheless, for the European tracegas-balance, land-use intensity is more important than land-use change. Obviously, it is not sufficient to investigate the carbon cycle as an isolated entity, because associated emissions of GHGs and non-GHGs significantly distort the carbon cycle and compensate apparent carbon sinks.</p>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



For Review Only

1
2
3 1 REVIEW

4
5 2 **The European Carbon and Greenhouse Gas Balance Revisited**

6
7
8 3 Running title: Carbon and Greenhouse gas balance of Europe

9
10 4
11
12 5 E.D. SCHULZE^{1*}, P. CIAIS², S. LUYSSAERT², M. SCHRUMPF¹, I.A. JANSSENS³, B.
13 6 THIRUCHITTAMPALAM⁴, J. THELOKE⁴, M. SAURAT⁵, S. BRINGEZU⁵, J. LELIEVELD⁶, A.
14
15 7 LOHILA⁷, C. REBMANN⁸, M. JUNG¹, D. BASTVIKEN⁹,
16
17 8 G. ABRIL¹⁰, G. GRASSI¹¹, A. LEIP¹¹, A. FREIBAUER¹², W. KUTSCH¹², A. DON¹², J.
18
19 9 NIESCHULZE¹, A. BÖRNER¹, J. GASH^{13,14}, A.J. DOLMAN¹⁴

20
21
22 10 1 Max-Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, PO Box 10 01 64, 07701 Jena, Germany

23
24
25 11 2 Lab. des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, CEA CNRS UVSQ, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

26
27 12 3 Dept. of Biology, Univ. of Antwerp, Belgium

28
29 13 4 Institut für Energiewirtschaft und Rationelle Energieanwendung. University Stuttgart, Germany

30
31 14 5 Wuppertal Institut, Wuppertal, Germany

32
33 15 6 Max Planck Institut für Chemie, Mainz, Germany

34
35 16 7 Finish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Finland

36
37 17 8 Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, UFZ, Leipzig, Germany

38
39 18 9 Dept. of Thematic Studies - Water and Environmental Studies, Linköping University, Sweden

40
41 19 10 Laboratoire EPOC, CNRS, University of Bordeaux, France

42
43 20 11 Joint Research Centre, European Commission, Ispra, Italy

44
45 21 12 von Thuennen Institut, Braunschweig, Germany

46
47 22 13 Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, UK

48
49 23 14 VU University, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

50
51 24 Correspondence: Ernst-Detlef Schulze: Tel. +49 3641 576100; Detlef.Schulze@gbc-jena.mpg.de

52
53 25 **Key words:** Carbon cycle, Greenhouse gases, non-greenhouse gases, CO₂, N₂O, CH₄, NH₃, NO_x, O₃,
54
55 26 Europe, agriculture, forestry, Land-use change

Abstract:

In an overview of the European carbon, greenhouse gas and non-GHG fluxes, gross primary productivity, GPP, is about 9.3 Pg yr^{-1} , and fossil fuel imports are 1.6 Pg yr^{-1} . GPP is about 1.25% of solar radiation, containing about $360 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ J}$ energy, which is five times as high as the energy content of the annual fossil fuel use ($75 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ J yr}^{-1}$). Net primary production, NPP, is 50%, terrestrial net biome productivity, NBP, is 3%, and the net greenhouse gas balance, NGB, is 0.3% of GPP. The net yield of human land use is 20% of NPP or 10% of GPP, or alternatively 1‰ of solar radiation after accounting for the inherent cost of agriculture and forestry for fossil fuel used during operations, for production of pesticides and fertilizer and for the carbon equivalent cost of GHG emissions. About 2.4% of the fertilizer input is converted into N_2O . Agricultural emissions are 50% of total methane and NO , 70% of total N_2O , and 95% of total NH_3 emissions. European soils are a net C sink (114 Tg yr^{-1}), but considering the emissions of GHGs, soils are a source of about $26 \text{ Tg CO}_2 \text{ C-equivalent yr}^{-1}$. Forest, grassland and sediment sinks are offset by GHG emissions from croplands, peat-lands and inland waters. Non-GHGs (NH_3 , NO_x) interact significantly with the GHG and the carbon cycle through ammonium-nitrate aerosols and dry deposition. Wet deposition of nitrogen support about 50% of forest timber growth. Land use change is regionally important with large unidirectional fluxes totalling about 50 Tg C yr^{-1} . Nevertheless, for the European tracegas-balance, land-use intensity is more important than land-use change. Obviously, it is not sufficient to investigate the carbon cycle as an isolated entity, because associated emissions of GHGs and non-GHGs significantly distort the carbon cycle and compensate apparent carbon sinks.

1. Introduction

The difference between carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions from burning fossil fuels and land use, and the growth rate of atmospheric CO₂ suggests the existence of a terrestrial and oceanic carbon (C) sink. Globally, the terrestrial carbon sink has absorbed about 30% of anthropogenic emissions over the period 2000-2007 (Canadell *et al.* 2007; LeQuéré *et al.* 2009), showing that carbon sequestration by land vegetation is a major ecosystem service. If we had to create a sink of that magnitude by mitigation technologies, it would currently cost about 0.5 Trillion US\$ per year (Canadell & Raupach 2008). The fact that the inter-hemispheric gradient of CO₂, δ¹³C, and O₂ in the atmosphere is smaller than predicted from fossil fuel emissions alone (Tans *et al.* 1990; Ciais *et al.* 1995; Keeling *et al.* 1996) suggests that a significant fraction of the global land sink must be north of the Equator. Using vertical profiles of atmospheric CO₂ concentrations as a constraint in atmospheric inversions, Stephens *et al.* (2007) inferred that the magnitude of the total northern land sink ranges between 0.9 and 2.1 Pg C yr⁻¹, which would be about 10 to 25% of the anthropogenic fossil fuel emissions in 2006 (Canadell *et al.* 2007). Assuming that this sink was evenly distributed across the land surface, the European continent would absorb about -120 Tg C yr⁻¹, which is of the same magnitude as earlier estimates (-135 Tg C yr⁻¹; Janssens *et al.* 2003). New estimates, combining atmospheric and land-based measurements indicate an even stronger carbon sink of about -270 Tg C yr⁻¹ (Schulze *et al.* 2009); however these estimates also suggest that this “sink” is being balanced by emissions of other greenhouse gases, leaving little or no net absorption.

In this study we summarize the fluxes of the most important greenhouse and non-greenhouse gas fluxes for geographic Europe as bordered in the east by the Ural mountains, the Caspian Sea, the Caucasus and the Black Sea. Some data refer to the EU-25, which is shorthand for the western European nations (excluding Switzerland, Norway, Rumania and Bulgaria and the west Balkans see Schulze *et al.* Supplement 2009).

2. The carbon cycle of Europe

The carbon cycle of Europe consists of two major components: (1) activities within terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, and (2) industrial-, transport- and household-activities. These two account for most fossil fuel burning. Since our focus is on the contribution of the land surface and of land use to the overall trace gas fluxes of Europe, we will first discuss these land-use related fluxes on a per unit area basis and then expand to the continent.

The carbon and greenhouse gas balance of different land-use types

When comparing all sites across Europe, no statistically significant difference could be found between the annual gross primary productivity (GPP) per unit land area of forests, grasslands and croplands (Table 1). Only peat-lands have a lower GPP. This came as a surprise because crops are fertilized and occasionally irrigated, and they are typically grown on better soils and under better climatic conditions than forests. Crops are also seeded cultivars, and under favourable conditions multiple crops may be grown each year. We therefore anticipated larger GPP in croplands than in forests and grasslands. However, most often crops have a shorter growing season than forests and grasslands (see Fig. 1). Obviously the available light and the length of the growing season are the limiting factors.

Forest is the only land-use type that stores carbon in aboveground biomass across Europe and these stocks have grown mainly because harvest has been lower than growth for the past few decades (Ciais *et al.* 2008). Forest standing-stocks have nearly tripled during the past 50 years. Because this carbon is being sequestered in the ecosystems over decades to centuries it can be regarded as a component of the Net Biome Productivity (NBP_{biomass}). However, this accumulation should not hide the fact that the carbon incorporated in forest biomass is vulnerable to natural disturbances such as fire and insects, and

1
2
3 1 of course to harvest. Part of the capacity of European forests to sequester carbon results from an age
4
5 2 structure caused by large-scale clear cuttings during and after World War I and II and the subsequent
6
7
8 3 replanting (Nabuurs *et al.* 2003; Böttcher *et al.* 2008), and from new plantations in the 1970s. Now,
9
10 4 sixty to a hundred years later, these stands are reaching the time to be harvested. Thus, this sink
11
12 5 component of NBP in biomass should not be regarded as permanent or secure. The magnitude of the
13
14 6 forest sink depends on stand age (Luyssaert *et al.* 2008), atmospheric nitrogen-deposition (Schulze &
15
16 7 Ulrich., 1991; Reay *et al.* 2008; Magnani *et al.* 2007; DeVries *et al.* 2009) and forest management
17
18 8 (DeVries *et al.* 2006).
19
20
21
22 9
23
24

25 10 Carbon storage in soils is the most stable component of NBP. Comparing the European grassland
26
27 11 analysis of Soussana *et al.* (2007) and the forest analysis of Luyssaert *et al.* (2010), it can be seen that
28
29 12 grasslands sequester more carbon in soils than forests – likely due to a higher belowground carbon
30
31 13 allocation and root turnover, and possibly to nitrogen fertilization. In addition, the vesicular-arbuscular
32
33 14 mycorrhizae of grasses are specialized in mobilizing mineral ions, especially phosphorus (Smith &
34
35 15 Read, 1988), but are less efficient in breaking down organic matter, than the ectomycorrhizae, which
36
37 16 are associated with European tree species (Read 1993). Moreover, vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae
38
39 17 may exude components that stimulate the stabilization of organic matter through accelerated formation
40
41 18 of soil aggregates (Rillig 2004). A direct consequence of these mycorrhizal characteristics is that
42
43 19 afforestation of grasslands may enhance decomposition of soil organic matter, rather than sequestering
44
45 20 more carbon in the soil. Thuille and Schulze (2005) found that soil carbon decreased following
46
47 21 afforestation across central Europe; for 60 years following afforestation, the total carbon balance was
48
49 22 found to be negative. After that time the carbon storage in tree biomass balanced the soil carbon losses,
50
51 23 but at that age the trees are close to harvest. A similar trend appeared in a meta-analysis of land-use
52
53 24 change effects on soil carbon stocks (Guo & Gifford 2002).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 25

1
2
3 1 Cropland NBP, as assessed through a full crop cycle, is distinct from that in grasslands and forests in
4
5 2 that cropland soils appear to lose carbon through management. Per unit ground area, the net loss of
6
7
8 3 carbon is of the same order of magnitude as the rate of soil carbon sequestration in forests. A
9
10 4 verification of this flux through direct observation remains an important issue.
11
12
13 5
14
15 6 All agriculturally managed ecosystems (grasslands and croplands) emit other trace gases, mainly
16
17 7 methane from grazing animals, nitric oxides (NO, NO_x) (Steinkamp *et al.* 2009), and nitrous oxide
18
19 8 (N₂O). Accounting for their GHG emissions, croplands most likely have a positive greenhouse gas
20
21
22 9 balance (NGB) and thus contribute to an increase in the radiative forcing of the atmosphere (IPCC
23
24 10 2007). Also, GHG emissions partially offset the strength of the carbon sink of grasslands to the extent
25
26
27 11 that their NGB is no longer higher than forests, as was the case for NBP (Table 1). However, in contrast
28
29 12 to croplands, grasslands do remain a net sink for greenhouse gases. The GHG emissions from croplands
30
31
32 13 increase NGB to about 40 g m⁻² yr⁻¹, which more than balances the NGB-sink of grasslands and forest
33
34 14 totalling about 33 g m⁻² yr⁻¹. The absence of substantial CH₄ and N₂O emissions from forests
35
36 15 differentiates them from agricultural ecosystems. However, tree plantations that produce biomass for
37
38 16 energy production (*Populus*, *Salix*) will also emit NO and N₂O if they are fertilized.
39
40
41 17
42
43 18 Table 1 includes the carbon flow through peat-lands, which in this study are mainly bogs dominated by
44
45 19 *Sphagnum*. Wetlands with grasses or sedges are included in the grassland sector and afforested bogs are
46
47
48 20 covered under forests. We must emphasize that the information on peat-lands is less integrated than the
49
50 21 information on forests, grasslands and croplands. Our data average a transect study in Finland (Alm *et*
51
52
53 22 *al.* 2002; A Lohila pers. comm.) without weighting the average by the area of the different peat-land
54
55 23 types. GPP, NPP and NBP are significantly lower than in the other land-use types, but they still may be
56
57
58 24 overestimated. The uncertainty of these data is large due to the heterogeneity of peat management,
59
60 25 which ranges from pristine bogs to commercial peat extraction. Depending on the height of the water

1
2
3 1 table, substantial methane emissions may occur from peat-lands. The CO₂-carbon equivalent CH₄
4
5 2 fluxes change the carbon sink (negative NBP) into a greenhouse gas source (positive NGB).
6
7

8 3
9
10 4 Ecosystems do not only exchange CO₂, but also need other elements, such as nitrogen for growth, and
11
12 5 they exchange water vapour and heat with the atmosphere. Therefore, Table 1 also includes the specific
13
14 6 fluxes of water vapour (or latent heat), LE and sensible heat, H, and nitrogen. Per unit area croplands
15
16 7 appear to require about 30% more water than forests and grasslands. However, this difference also
17
18 8 includes the fact that crops are grown at lower elevation and in warmer climates than forests. The
19
20 9 higher water use by crops is accompanied by lower dissipation of sensible heat into the atmosphere than
21
22 10 by forests. Forests are coupled to the vapour pressure deficit of the atmosphere while short vegetation is
23
24 11 coupled to net radiation (Jarvis & McNaughton 1986; Schulze *et al.* 2002). The evaporation and
25
26 12 sensible heat fluxes were estimated by upscaling eddy covariance measurements (Baldocchi 1988)
27
28 13 based on the approach of Jung *et al.* (2009) using satellite and meteorological data. A first order
29
30 14 correction of the measurements was applied to ensure consistency with measured net radiation, which
31
32 15 yields estimates consistent with the hydrological balance of catchments and largely eliminates the
33
34 16 systematic underestimation of evaporation by the eddy covariance technique (Jung *et al.* in
35
36 17 preparation).
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 19 Because of the frequent removal of nutrient-rich biomass during harvest, crops and grasslands require
47
48 20 almost twice as much nitrogen as forests to maintain their growth. Considering the combined use of all
49
50 21 resources (carbon, nitrogen, and water), forests are the least demanding land-use with the highest
51
52 22 efficiency at producing NBP and NGB. Pristine peat-lands have an even higher nitrogen use efficiency
53
54 23 (per unit of carbon sequestered) than forests, but peat-lands remain a GHG source due to their methane
55
56 24 emissions.
57
58
59
60 25

1
2
3 1 The comparisons of the flux balances between land-use types as presented in Table 1, which are
4
5 2 European averages, implicitly include the variation related to where these land-use types exist. Forests
6
7 3 are dominant in northern Europe, crops cover lower elevations, and grasslands dominate in southern
8
9 4 and eastern Europe, and near the Atlantic coast. Thus, it is important to also compare these land-use
10
11 5 types at regional scale under similar climatic conditions. Presenting daily and seasonal rates of net
12
13 6 ecosystem exchange, NEE, via so-called “fingerprints” of CO₂ and water vapour exchange makes
14
15 7 specific differences between land-cover types more obvious than the European annual budgets. Fig. 1a
16
17 8 clearly shows the shorter growing season and the larger variation of active photosynthesis in crops than
18
19 9 in forests and grasslands. Winter wheat (2003) and oil seed rape (2004) have the shortest period of
20
21 10 carbon uptake despite these crops being seeded in autumn, and grasslands show seasonal variability due
22
23 11 to grazing. In contrast, conifers show the longest period of net carbon uptake. During warm winters
24
25 12 temperate coniferous ecosystems may act as sinks throughout the year (Dolman *et al.* 2002; Carrara *et*
26
27 13 *al.* 2004). In total, the NEE measurements reveal that the CO₂ sink capacity of forests, growing in the
28
29 14 same region is about 10% higher than the sink capacity of grasslands and agriculture; this is the
30
31 15 opposite to the European averages shown in Table 1 for NPP and NBP. It demonstrates the effects of
32
33 16 geographic differences in the growing regions underlying the European comparisons.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 17 The eddy-covariance technique also measures the latent heat or water vapour fluxes (Fig. 1b). Water
44
45 18 vapour fluxes show less variation than the CO₂ fluxes, likely because the bare soil of arable fields also
46
47 19 loses water vapour through evaporation from the soil. Evaporation is also constrained by the
48
49 20 precipitation and the available soil moisture. Thus differences between land-cover types are less
50
51 21 obvious for evaporation. Within the same region, deciduous forests have about 20% lower water
52
53 22 consumption than coniferous forests, which lose as much water as agricultural systems. Due to
54
55 23 differences in albedo and Bowen ratio the sensible heat flux is about 50 to 60% higher in deciduous and
56
57 24 coniferous forests than in grasslands and croplands (Fig. 1c). In addition to the differences in NGB and
58
59 25
60

1
2
3 1 the water fluxes, this difference of about $125 \text{ MJ m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ should be considered when assessing the
4
5
6 2 effects of land use and land-use change on climate.
7

8 3
9
10 4 *Fossil fuel emissions per unit area*
11
12 5

13
14
15 6 Regional differences of fossil fuel emissions across Europe (Ciais *et al.* 2010c) indicate that the main
16
17 7 region of fossil fuel burning stretches from the south of England to Italy, with highest emissions in the
18
19 8 Benelux states and in north-western Germany (see also Fig. 5a). Russia and the Scandinavian countries
20
21 9 are distinctly different from western Europe due to their high proportion of energy generated as
22
23 10 hydroelectricity in the north and due to lower energy consumption in the east. France is notably
24
25 11 different from neighbouring countries due to the 80% of electricity that is generated by nuclear power,
26
27 12 lowering fossil fuel emissions per unit land area by about one third. In total, and neglecting changes in
28
29 13 bunkers, the fossil fuel emissions of continental Europe in the period of 2000 to 2004 average 1620 Tg
30
31 14 yr^{-1} , amounting to $162 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Schulze *et al.* 2009). Based on an energy mix for the EU-27 of 7.9%
32
33 15 coal and lignite, 36.8% oil and 23.9% gas (and 31.3% nuclear power and renewable energies), the
34
35 16 energy content of the 1620 Tg yr^{-1} fossil fuel used is equivalent to 1.8 Gt of oil with 41.868 GJ t^{-1} .
36
37 17 Thus, the fossil fuel use is equivalent to $75.4 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ J yr}^{-1}$
38
39 18 (<http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/sdi/indicators/theme6>, and
40
41 19 <http://www.sei.ie/reio.htm>).
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 21 *The carbon cycle of continental Europe*
52
53 22
54

55 23 Conceptually, the cycle of plant photosynthesis, plant biomass production and decay of dead organic
56
57 24 matter is disturbed by the injection of additional CO_2 through fossil fuel burning, and by the injection of
58
59 25 additional trace gases into the atmosphere by land use and fossil fuel burning (Fig. 2). The effects of
60
26 land use are diverse. Agriculture is responsible for methane emissions from animal husbandry and for

1
2
3 1 N₂O and NH₃ emissions from fertilizers and manure (Schulze *et al.* 2009). Vehicle traffic produces not
4
5 2 only CO₂ but also NO_x, which is not a greenhouse-gas in the strict sense (IPCC 2007). However, NO_x
6
7 3 interacts with oxygen in the atmosphere and is the main catalyst for tropospheric ozone production and
8
9 4 removal (Ravishkanara *et al.* 2009; Lelieveld & Dentener 2000). Attribution studies of the radiative
10
11 5 forcing of chemically reactive species showed that globally the NO_x emissions have a cooling effect on
12
13 6 climate because they indirectly remove CH₄ through increased abundance of OH (Shindell *et al.* 2005).
14
15 7 Eventually NO_x will be oxidized to nitrate-anions which react with ammonium producing ammonium-
16
17 8 nitrate, the most abundant aerosol component across Europe. This reaction results in an additional
18
19 9 cooling effect on climate. Higher NO_x environments also show an increased conversion of SO₂ into
20
21 10 sulphate aerosols, which again cool the climate (Shindell *et al.* 2009).
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 12 Ammonium nitrate is washed out of the atmosphere as “acid rain” (Schulze & Ulrich 1991), but at the
30
31 13 same time stimulates plant growth through nitrogen fertilization. Evidence is accumulating that in the
32
33 14 long run plant growth can only benefit from increased CO₂ when sufficient nitrogen is available (Oren
34
35 15 *et al.* 2001). In nitrogen-limited regions, atmospheric wet deposition of ammonium nitrate, and dry
36
37 16 deposition of NH₃ and NO_x (Harrison *et al.* 2000; Nösberger *et al.* 2006; Reay *et al.* 2008) could be a
38
39 17 major source of plant-available nitrogen. We emphasize through Fig. 2 that the carbon cycle strongly
40
41 18 interacts with the nitrogen cycle not only in producing additional trace gases, but also by affecting plant
42
43 19 growth and retarding decomposition of soil organic matter (Janssens & Luysaert 2009). We further
44
45 20 emphasize that the quantification of non-greenhouse gas fluxes, such as NO, NO_x and ammonia, is
46
47 21 essential if we are to understand changes in important greenhouse effect determinants, such as ozone
48
49 22 and aerosols.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 24 Based on this conceptual representation of the carbon cycle and its main drivers, we detailed an
59
60 25 integrated flux balance of trace gases across the continent of Europe (Fig. 3, a de-convoluted version of
26
Fig. 3 and the data-sources are presented in the supplement 1 and 2). This figure contains in its centre

1
2
3 1 the carbon fluxes (black lines) of the main land-use types, i.e. forest, grassland, cropland and peat-land.
4
5
6 2 In addition to this natural carbon-cycle we have added the anthropogenic fluxes due to imports of wood
7
8 3 and food products, the losses by disturbance e.g. by fire, and fossil fuel carbon which enters the carbon
9
10 4 cycle as CO₂ from the production and consumption system. Associated with the land biosphere fluxes
11
12
13 5 and fossil fuel emissions are the fluxes of CH₄ (red lines) and N₂O (green lines). Additional trace gases
14
15 6 are nitrogen oxides, NO_x, (blue line) and ammonia, NH₃, (grey lines). These species have an indirect
16
17 7 effect on climate through their role in atmospheric chemistry processes, particularly the abundance of
18
19
20 8 OH (Shindell *et al.* 2009). These gases, together with biological volatile organic compounds, BVOC,
21
22 9 interact with OH radicals and thus impact the radiative forcing of ozone and aerosols (white lines). Fig.
23
24
25 10 3 also shows the major water fluxes (rain, evaporation and run-off, assuming a constant groundwater-
26
27 11 level) and the flux of sensible heat. Although combining all fluxes in Fig. 3 results in a fairly complex
28
29 12 scheme, this is still a simplification that omits the feedbacks and controls. However, to our knowledge,
30
31
32 13 this is the first time that all these fluxes have been assembled in one single scheme for one region. The
33
34 14 fluxes have different units for carbon, nitrogen, water and energy. Molar units would simplify the
35
36 15 scheme, but molar units are not established in this field of science. Whenever possible, fluxes were
37
38
39 16 expressed as carbon or CO₂-C equivalents (IPCC 2007). The present knowledge of the emissions and
40
41 17 sinks of atmospheric trace gases indicates decreasing knowledge and thus increasing uncertainty of
42
43
44 18 these fluxes from the inner core of the diagram, i.e. plant carbon cycle towards the outer envelope of
45
46 19 non-greenhouse gases (see Section 3 of this study for the associated uncertainty analysis).
47

48
49
50
51 21 The total photosynthetic carbon fixation (GPP) of Europe amounts to about 9.3 Pg C yr⁻¹. Based on an
52
53 22 energy content of 15.65 kJ g⁻¹ of glucose (Bresinsky *et al.* 2008) which is 39 J g⁻¹ C, total GPP contains
54
55 23 about 360 10¹⁸ J yr⁻¹, which is 1.24% of solar radiation reaching Europe. About 50% of GPP is
56
57
58 24 transformed into plant growth (net primary production, NPP). Biomass has an energy content of about
59
60 25 20 kJ g⁻¹ dry weight (Larcher 1994, 40 kJ g⁻¹ C). Thus, total NPP represents about 180 10¹⁸ J yr⁻¹, which
26
is only 6 ‰ of solar radiation. About 30% of NPP enters the product chain as harvestable food, wood or

1
2
3 1 fibre (1.4 Pg C yr⁻¹). But harvesting has its own “cost”. Some of the harvestable biomass returns to the
4
5 2 field as manure (about 150 Tg C yr⁻¹), and fossil fuel is needed to manage the field and for pesticide
6
7 3 production (26 to 36 Tg C yr⁻¹). In addition fossil fuel is needed for fertilizer production (11 to 20 Tg C
8
9 4 yr⁻¹; www.fertilizer.org/ifa, Dalgaard *et al.* 2001; Hülsbergen *et al.* 2001). It was estimated for the USA
10
11 5 that the operational CO₂ emissions of land management should be doubled in order to obtain the total
12
13 6 emissions by agriculture, not including other GHGs (Nelson *et al.* 2009). Agricultural land also
14
15 7 contributes to the emission of N₂O and CH₄ from the land surface and from freshwater (in total about
16
17 8 440 Tg CO₂-C equivalent per year from croplands and grasslands, plus 30 Tg CO₂-C equivalent per
18
19 9 year from surface waters). All these factors (manure, fossil fuel for operation, pesticides and fertilizer,
20
21 10 CH₄ and N₂O emissions) add to a cost amounting to at least a sum of about 440 Tg CO₂- C equivalent
22
23 11 per year. Our data on the cost factors in agriculture remain quite uncertain, and are most likely a low
24
25 12 estimate. They do not include the energy requirements for heating greenhouses or for cooling cold-
26
27 13 stores, to mention just a few unaccounted costs. Considering these costs, the net yield (930 Tg CO₂- C
28
29 14 equivalent per year) is only about 20% of NPP and about 10% of GPP. In terms of energy the harvested
30
31 15 net yield contains about 1 ‰ of solar radiation, which is a very low energy use efficiency when for
32
33 16 example compared with solar cells (WBGU 2004).
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 18 Disturbances consume, on average, only an additional 0.5% of NPP, but this may be a low estimate due
44
45 19 to dispersed records and documentation (Schelhaas *et al.* 2008). The highest rates of damage take place
46
47 20 in forests, and the lowest in peat-lands. Total terrestrial net biome productivity (NBP, which accounts
48
49 21 for heterotrophic respiration and disturbance losses) throughout Europe amounts to about 270 Tg yr⁻¹
50
51 22 (Table 1), which is about 3% of the photosynthetic carbon gain as net yield, and 0.3 ‰ of solar
52
53 23 radiation, or one third of that entering into the human product chain. Croplands are net CO₂ sources
54
55 24 offsetting 10% of the forest and grassland NBP. Forest NBP contains the increment in woody biomass.
56
57 25 At this moment we are unable to predict how NBP would quantitatively change with anthropogenic
58
59 26 harvests, but, most likely, C-extraction from ecosystems for human use will reduce NBP.
60

1
2
3 1
4
5
6 2 The definition of NBP requires a time scale, which must be long enough to average inter-annual
7
8 3 variability. Although not all data we analyze cover the same period of time, our NBP estimate
9
10 4 corresponds broadly to the period 2000 to 2005. We are aware of decadal variability in carbon fluxes
11
12 reflecting decadal variability in climate (e.g. Piao *et al.* 2009). Thus, our estimate of NBP is still
13 5
14 affected by climate variability. In particular, the North Atlantic Oscillation (NOA), which is strongly
15 6
16 correlated with winter rainfall and precipitation patterns has been in a high phase since 1990, favouring
17 7
18 warm and humid winters in northern Europe and lower winter precipitation in southern Europe. We can
19 8
20 speculate that a high NOA is also systematically associated with an earlier onset of vegetation growth
21 9
22 in spring (Menzel & Fabian 1999; Maignan *et al.* 2008), and thus higher than average NBP.
23 10
24
25
26
27 11
28

29 12 Large amounts of CH₄ and N₂O are lost from croplands and grasslands, including housed animals (here
30
31 the additional emissions and radiative forcing of NO_x are ignored). Additional amounts of CH₄ and
32 13
33 N₂O are emitted from inland waters (Kroeze and Seitzinger, 1998; Transvik *et al.*, 2009) based on
34 14
35 lateral inputs from the land surface. The resulting NGB of the land surface appears as a sink of radiative
36 15
37 forcing that represents (in CO₂ equivalents) 3 % of the photosynthetic CO₂ fixation. This sink is
38 16
39 established by forests, grasslands, and burial in sediments, while croplands and peat-lands are carbon-
40
41 equivalent sources to the atmosphere offsetting about 55% of the forest and grassland sink. Discarding
42 17
43 the harvestable and thus vulnerable biomass sink of wood in forests, the only long-term storage of
44 18
45 carbon is in soils and inland water sediments. Our carbon balance suggests the carbon sink of forest and
46 19
47 grassland soils (-83 Tg yr⁻¹) is negated by losses in crops and wetlands (+152 Tg yr⁻¹). Thus, the
48 20
49 terrestrial soils are a net carbon-equivalent source totalling 69 Tg C yr⁻¹. Part of this loss is balanced by
50
51 burial of carbon in sediments (-37 Tg CO₂- C equivalent per year). Nevertheless, European soils and
52 21
53 sediments remain a net carbon-equivalent source of about 30 Tg CO₂- C equivalent per year. This
54
55 demonstrates a substantial impact of humans on the carbon cycle of Europe.
56 23
57
58
59
60 25

1
2
3 1 Considerable re-allocation of land and associated carbon pools occurs when land-use changes, LUC.
4
5 2 These changes are estimated to have created only an additional small carbon sink of 9 to 10 Tg yr⁻¹
6
7
8 3 across Europe over the past 20 years (see Section 5 on LUC, below). Forests and grasslands gain carbon
9
10 4 in the process of land-use change, but a loss of similar magnitude exists due to expansion of agriculture,
11
12 settlements and infrastructure. Comparing this estimate with the carbon balance of soils and sediments,
13 5
14
15 6 it is obvious that in Europe as a whole the effects of land-use intensity on the atmospheric composition
16
17 7 are more important than effects of land-use change.

18
19
20 8
21
22 9 Fossil fuels are used in power stations, in ground transportation, by industry (partly as substrate for
23
24 products and partly as fuel) and households, and for agriculture (e.g. to produce fertilizers and
25 10
26 pesticides, and for operations). The total fossil fuel emission of 1620 Tg yr⁻¹ in Europe is slightly higher
27 11
28 than the total carbon in harvested products of forestry and agriculture (1400 Tg yr⁻¹). However, in terms
29 12
30 of energy, the fossil fuel use of 75 10¹⁸ J yr⁻¹ is eight times larger than the energy content of harvested
31 13
32 net yield (harvest minus fossil fuel and GHG cost) and about half of the energy content in NPP. This
33
34 14 demonstrates the importance of fossil fuel use on the European carbon cycle. CO is a by-product of
35
36 15 fossil fuel burning. CO is a relatively short-lived greenhouse-gas and ozone precursor, which is
37
38 eventually oxidized into CO₂. The oxidation of CO consumes OH radicals, which increases the lifetime
39 16
40 of CH₄. Therefore, anthropogenic CO emissions globally have a net warming effect. Human activities
41 17
42 and fossil fuel burning also emit significant amounts of CH₄ and N₂O. CH₄ emission from fossil fuel
43 18
44 burning and industry is about the same as from agriculture.
45
46 19
47
48 20
49
50
51 21

52
53 22 Three important non-greenhouse gases are emitted in the process of burning fossil fuel. These are NO
54
55 23 and NO_x from vehicle transport, energy production, industrial processes and agriculture (Steinkamp *et al.*
56
57 *al.* 2009) and NH₃ mainly from agriculture. NO is converted into NO₂ (together with NO_x), which
58 24
59 photochemically interacts with volatile organic compounds in the formation of ozone and increases OH
60 25
26 (Lelieveld & Dentener, 2000; Jöckel *et al.* 2006; Versteng *et al.* 2004). The ozone flux shown in Fig. 3

1
2
3 1 is the gross flux, which does not include ozone losses, because both processes nearly balance on large
4
5 2 scales in the anthropogenically influenced, as well as the pristine, atmosphere. NO_x oxidizes into NO₃⁻
6
7
8 3 and combines with NH₄⁺ from NH₃ forming ammonium nitrate aerosols, which in turn are washed out
9
10 4 from the atmosphere by wet deposition of nitrogen (Schulze & Ulrich 1991). Dry deposition of NO_x
11
12 5 and NH₃ through stomatal uptake, and of ammonium and nitrate through uptake by plant surfaces have
13
14
15 6 not been included in this study, but may be 2 to 7 times higher than wet deposition (Harrison *et al.*
16
17 7 2000; M. Sutton pers. comm.). Wet deposition, originating from NH₃ and NO_x emissions plus fertilizer
18
19
20 8 input total at least 33 Tg yr⁻¹. This input can be compared with the total nitrogen emissions of N₂O-N
21
22 9 from agriculture and freshwaters (Seitzinger & Kroeze 1998), in total about 0.34 Tg N yr⁻¹. Thus, about
23
24
25 10 2.6% of the nitrogen input of fertilizer is emitted as N₂O, which is close to the estimate of 2.5% by
26
27 11 Davidson (2009).

28
29 12
30
31
32 13 Human nitrogen inputs are a major disturbance of the carbon cycle. 13 Tg N yr⁻¹ are added as mineral
33
34 14 fertilizer, 9Tg N yr⁻¹ through manure application and animal droppings, and 10 to 30Tg N yr⁻¹ through
35
36 15 wet deposition. Adding compost and sewage residues is not included. Also dry deposition of N remains
37
38
39 16 an additional un-quantified source. How to quantify the effects of the atmospheric nitrogen input on
40
41 17 growth is still under discussion. De Vries *et al.* (2006) suggested that the carbon pool changes in
42
43 18 European forests result mainly from forest management. In contrast, Magnani *et al.* (2007) described
44
45
46 19 wet deposition as the major determinant of the CO₂ uptake over entire forest rotation cycles. Although
47
48 20 an intense debate arose about the exact magnitude of the N-induced carbon sink in forests (e.g. de Vries
49
50
51 21 *et al.*, 2008; Sutton *et al.*, 2008; Janssens and Luysaert, 2009), the fact is that nitrogen is a major cause
52
53 22 of variation in the net annual productivity, NEP, of forests. In a recent analysis of soil- and wood
54
55 23 carbon changes in nearly 400 intensively monitored European forests, de Vries *et al.* (2009) reported
56
57
58 24 that N deposition typically stimulated forest ecosystem carbon sequestration of by 20 to 40 gC g⁻¹N
59
60 25 deposited, with lower efficiency of carbon sequestration at higher nitrogen deposition rates. According
26 to Fig. 3, 20 Tg N yr⁻¹ wet deposition would result in 400 to 800 Tg of added growth across all land-use

1
2
3 1 types; 30% of which would be covered by forests. Thus, 200 Tg yr⁻¹ nitrogen induced growth can be
4
5 2 compared with forest NBP and the harvest (405 Tg yr⁻¹), which implies that at least 50% of forest
6
7 growth are caused by wet deposition.
8 3
9

10 4
11
12 5 Human activities also create an additional carbon sink by dumping materials. Food waste (Hall *et al.*
13 6 2009) may represent as much carbon as dumping of carbon-containing products (1% of total
14
15 7 agricultural yield). While food waste would decompose rapidly, their products would remain in the
16
17 8 ground as a sink. In Europe, the associated losses of other trace gases appear to be small (see also
18
19 9 Bogner & Matthews 2003).
20
21
22
23
24
25 10
26

27 11 The entire carbon cycle is closely linked to the hydrological cycle. Water availability is essential for
28
29 12 plant production: the dry, hot year of 2003 showed a 20% reduction in grain yields (Ciais *et al.* 2005).
30
31 13 Moreover microbes need water to decompose soil carbon (Davidson & Janssens 2006). Therefore, we
32
33 have included the main components of the water cycle into Fig. 3. Based on river outflow, about 40%
34 14 of the rainfall returns to rivers via groundwater discharge and surface runoff. This river discharge
35
36 15 contains a considerable amount of dissolved carbon. This carbon is processed, entrained or buried in
37
38 16 inland waters and partly discharged into the coastal margins, where it interacts with the marine carbon
39
40 17 cycle. The remaining 60% of the water returns as water vapour to the atmosphere. Our ratio between
41
42 18 river discharge and evapotranspiration confirms regional water balances (see Schulze *et al.* 2002). The
43
44 19 energy dissipation associated with the evaporation is about 50% higher than the energy content of the
45
46 20 sensible heat flow (H/LE is 0.65). Although large scale agricultural irrigation does not currently take
47
48 21 place in Europe, possible future irrigation of crops for biodiesel would perturb the water cycle (Service
49
50 22 2009).
51
52 23
53
54
55
56
57
58 24
59
60 25

3. Uncertainty of the carbon balances

1
2
3 1 The accuracy of regional carbon balances and their components can be quantified by estimating the
4
5 2 same quantities using independent data. Luyssaert *et al.* (2010) and Ciais *et al.* (2010a,b,c) used field
6
7
8 3 observations, remote sensing and ecosystem model simulations as largely independent approaches to
9
10 4 estimate NPP and other components of ecosystem carbon flows in forests, grasslands and croplands.
11
12
13 5 For example, up-scaled terrestrial observations and model-based approaches agree on the mean NPP of
14
15 6 forests to within 25%. Similarly, Schulze *et al.* (2009) compiled the European carbon balance based on
16
17 7 atmospheric GHG concentration measurements on the one hand and land-based carbon stocks and
18
19
20 8 fluxes on the other hand. Based on atmospheric measurements a terrestrial carbon sink of $-120 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$
21
22 9 ¹ was estimated for the EU-25 whereas the land-based approach estimated a sink of $-102 \text{ Tg C yr}^{-1}$
23
24
25 10 (Schulze *et al.* 2009). Again, convergence of the outcomes of independent approaches suggests
26
27 11 increased confidence in the mean estimate of the component. For the moment, the various data streams
28
29 12 can only be verified by applying at least two approaches (i.e. top-down and bottom-up as by Schulze *et*
30
31
32 13 *al.* (2009) or by a rigorous consistency check, as by Luyssaert *et al.* (2009). Although using
33
34 14 independent estimates is a powerful tool to increase our confidence, it cannot assist in quantifying the
35
36 15 uncertainty of individual component fluxes.
37
38
39 16
40

41 17 Uncertainties of individual components are needed to quantify the importance of individual processes,
42
43 18 their interactions and statistical significance. Large but uncertain component fluxes are typically the
44
45
46 19 prime target when it comes to improving the overall uncertainty of the estimate. Heterotrophic
47
48 20 respiration, for example, is a key flux in determining soil carbon sequestration in croplands. Partly due
49
50
51 21 to the lack of spatially representative and reliable heterotrophic respiration estimates, models (i.e. -8.3
52
53 22 $\pm 13 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) and soil inventories ($13 \pm 33 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$) are both inconclusive whether croplands are
54
55 23 a carbon sink or a carbon source (Ciais *et al.* 2010a). Reducing the uncertainty of the heterotrophic
56
57
58 24 respiration flux would help to establish whether European croplands are a small sink or a small source.
59
60 25

1
2
3 1 The uncertainty of an individual component should be quantified by subjecting the measurements to
4
5 2 rigorous uncertainty propagation (i.e. accuracy of the measurements, representativeness of the samples,
6
7 3 spatial and temporal resolution of the sample design, etc.) and all subsequent data processing (i.e.
8
9 4 uncertainty of the relationships used for up or down-scaling, etc.). Typically, only few of the possible
10
11 5 sources of uncertainty are quantified; this was certainly the case for the uncertainties reported by
12
13 6 Luysaert *et al.* (2010) and Ciais *et al.* (2010a,b,c). In most cases none of the components of uncertainty
14
15 7 have been estimated and spatial, seasonal or interannual variability is often (wrongly) used as a proxy
16
17 8 for the total uncertainty. Consequently, methods that report a low uncertainty are not necessarily more
18
19 9 reliable than methods with a high uncertainty, and it is possible that the latter simply reflects a more
20
21 10 complete uncertainty estimate.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 12 When independent estimates, each with their own uncertainty, are available for the same flux quantity,
30
31 13 propagating uncertainties becomes even more complicated. Forest inventories, for example, use a
32
33 14 model to estimate heterotrophic respiration. Although the modelled flux is highly uncertain, owing to
34
35 15 the high number of sampling plots (10^5) its spatial up-scaling is quite certain. On the other hand, site-
36
37 16 level heterotrophic measurements are more reliable than the modelled flux, but are available on just 100
38
39 17 sites resulting in an uncertain up-scaling. How should the uncertainty of these independent data streams
40
41 18 be weighted? Data assimilation tools could prove to be a useful tool to address this issue, if the errors
42
43 19 are known.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 21 Finally, components and their uncertainties are compiled in a single balance sheet. Given the lack of
52
53 22 information, due to the shortcomings mentioned above, such compilations rely on assumptions i.e.
54
55 23 uncertainties of different estimates are independent, the sampling networks are representative, and the
56
57 24 uncertainties follow a predefined distribution (i.e. normal or uniform). The impact of these assumptions
58
59 25 on our estimate of the European carbon sink and subsequent statistical analyses remains to be
60

1
2
3 1 determined. Future work should pay more attention to consistent and rigorous analysis of the
4
5
6 2 uncertainty of the component fluxes that make up the GHG balance under study.
7
8 3

9
10 4 The flux magnitude is plotted in Fig. 4 as a function of accuracy and uncertainty, these are the two
11
12 5 metrics that determine the reliability of flux components and eventually the carbon balance (see also
13
14
15 6 Supplement 3). Given that a balance is most sensitive to its largest component, ideally these large
16
17 7 components should have a high accuracy and low uncertainty, and appear in the lower left corner of the
18
19
20 8 graph. Substantial improvements in the European carbon balance are expected by either increasing the
21
22 9 accuracy by confirming the present magnitude with independent estimates of the component fluxes (i.e.
23
24
25 10 import of fossil fuel, harvest, farmyard and sawmill products, and dissolved organic and inorganic
26
27 11 carbon), or by decreasing the uncertainty by rigorous measurements and representative sampling
28
29 12 networks for assessing the components of autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration, and terrestrial trace
30
31
32 13 gas fluxes of CH₄ and N₂O, as well as the anthropogenic fluxes of urban and industrial activities.
33
34 14
35
36 15
37
38

39 16 **4. The role of soils**

40
41 17
42
43 18 The importance of soils for carbon fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems is generally accepted (Bellamy *et al.*
44
45
46 19 2005; Don *et al.* 2008). Nevertheless, our knowledge about quantitative changes in SOC over time is
47
48 20 still very limited. The sequestration of soil carbon (NBP_{soil}) is presently predicted mostly from top-
49
50
51 21 down modelling of NBP and observations of carbon exchange between the atmosphere and the
52
53 22 biosphere. In future it will be important to confirm and constrain these predictions with direct
54
55 23 measurements of soil carbon changes (Schumpf *et al.* 2008; von Lützow *et al.* 2006). Field-based
56
57
58 24 measurements of soil carbon changes are scarce and hampered by the inherently high small-scale
59
60 25 spatial heterogeneity of SOC stocks. Due to the long observation period necessary for observing
26
changes in soil carbon against a very high background of carbon in soils, it is expected that it may take

1
2
3 1 decades to verify soil carbon changes (Smith 2004; Meersmans *et al.* 2009). In addition, the carbon
4
5
6 2 store in soils is sensitive to changes in vegetation cover, harvest of biomass residues in croplands and
7
8 3 forests, and to all kinds of mechanical soil disturbances such as ploughing (Schrumpf *et al.* 2008).
9
10 4 However, irrespective of these inherent difficulties another “tier” of top-down predictions and bottom-
11
12
13 5 up verifications is needed to reduce the uncertainty of soil carbon changes.
14
15 6
16
17 7 Regional assessments of soil organic carbon (SOC) changes suffer from various shortcomings usually
18
19
20 8 as a result of their relying on soil surveys originally not designed for the purpose of assessing SOC
21
22 9 stock changes. Often only concentrations of organic carbon were directly determined in the field.
23
24
25 10 Average bulk densities and stone contents, were derived from pedotransfer functions (Bellamy *et al.*
26
27 11 2005; Hopkins *et al.* 2009; Sleutel *et al.* 2003). Also changes in methodologies and instrumentation
28
29 12 take place over the very long period of observation. This increases the uncertainty of the results.
30
31
32 13 Conversion factors for new methods are often not generally applicable (Letten *et al.* 2007).
33
34 14 Furthermore, most studies of the past focused on the upper 5-30 cm of the mineral soil. Results were
35
36 15 expanded to 1 m using soil models. Meanwhile several studies showed that SOC changes are not simply
37
38
39 16 restricted to topsoil layers (e.g. Don *et al.* 2008). For grassland soils in Flanders, Meersmans *et al.*
40
41 17 (2009) calculated small SOC losses for the upper 30 cm of the mineral soil, but gains of $14 \text{ kg C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$
42
43 18 ¹ when 0-100 cm was considered. Changes in management such as shifts from organic to mineral
44
45
46 19 fertilizer, changing the tillage regime or forest re-growth following harvest can cause SOC changes in
47
48 20 topsoils as well as in deeper soil layers (Diochon *et al.* 2009; Gál *et al.* 2007). SOC losses in topsoils
49
50
51 21 may be balanced by gains in sub-soils, which are overlooked when only topsoils are analyzed or
52
53 22 modelled. This range of possible limitations makes the use of past soil studies problematic when aiming
54
55 23 at the detection of a change in SOC.
56
57
58 24
59
60 25 The CarboEurope project chose a different approach; rather than regional surveys (Schrumpf *et al.*
26
2008) many samples were taken at individual sites to cover the small scale variability, which could

1
2
3 1 override time-dependent changes. A total of 100 soil cores were taken at each of 3 cropland sites, 3
4
5 2 grassland sites, 3 deciduous forests, and 3 coniferous forests. The re-sampling of these sites is still
6
7
8 3 ongoing. However, first data are available from the Hainich site, a deciduous forest reserve, which has
9
10 4 been protected for the past 60 years, and which was re-sampled after 5 years; a short period considering
11
12 5 soil processes. For the Hanich site, Mund and Schulze (2006) analyzed a transect study across age
13
14 6 classes in that region and reported 20 to 50 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ soil carbon accumulation. However, this
15
16 7 estimate was not statistically significant. Kutsch *et al.* (2010) used CO₂ fluxes and tree growth studies
17
18 8 to suggest a sequestration rate of 1 to 35 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹. A soil survey (Schrumpf, unpublished) taking 100
19
20 9 repeated samples after 5 years, showed a significant carbon accumulation of 26 to 50 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹. Thus
21
22 10 we are confident, that this forest accumulates carbon in soils, even in the short term.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 13 **5. Regional distributions of greenhouse gas fluxes**

33
34 14
35
36 15 The distribution of GHG fluxes obtained from inverse models (N₂O, fossil fuel) and from databases
37
38 16 (NO_x, NH₃) shows large regional variation (Fig. 5). Fossil fuel emissions are centred in mid-Europe
39
40 17 with highest rates in a region between the south of England and north Italy (see Schulze *et al.* 2009).
41
42 18 The fossil fuel consumption is much lower in eastern than in western Europe. Highways emerge as the
43
44 19 main source. Because traffic is a main NO_x source, the fossil fuel map is matched by the NO_x map (see
45
46 20 Fig. 5b). The input of organic and mineral fertilizer is the additional main input into ecosystems (Fig.
47
48 21 5f). It shows a maximum in the Benelux states, in eastern Germany, and in north Italy, with high rates
49
50 22 across the main cropping regions of Europe. The regional patterns of NH₃ and N₂O are very similar.
51
52
53
54
55 23
56
57
58 24 The N₂O map is based on atmospheric measurements and inverse modelling, the emission database for
59
60 25 NO_x, NH₃ and fossil fuel maps are based on national reports to the UNFCCC secretariat, and the NH₃
26
emission database are based on the work of Amann *et al.* (2008). The figures on fertilizer inputs are

1
2
3 1 based on a separate database (JRC). The assumption that the maps for fossil fuel, NH₃ and NO_x
4
5 2 emissions, and on fertilizer on the one side and the N₂O map on the other side are based on independent
6
7
8 3 information, justifies an investigation into correlations between these fluxes and N₂O. NH₃ emissions
9
10 4 correlate with total fertilizer input with an r^2 of 0.42. Manure application to soils is the dominant
11
12
13 5 source of NH₃ and the 2nd most important source of N₂O after mineral fertilizer application. Thus, N₂O
14
15 6 fluxes correlate with NH₃ fluxes with an r^2 of 0.32. N₂O fluxes also correlate with fossil fuel
16
17 7 consumption with an r^2 of 0.25 and with the NO_x flux with an r^2 of 0.31 due to absorption and
18
19
20 8 denitrification in soils. In a multiple regression, two variables, namely fossil fuel consumption and
21
22 9 fertilizer application explain .58 % of the variation of N₂O.
23
24
25 10
26

27 11 **5. Quantifying the effects of land-use change**

28
29 12
30
31

32 13 *Global LUC carbon balance of the EU 25*

33
34 14
35

36 15 Assessing the carbon balance of land-use changes (LUC) at the European level is challenging. LUC
37
38 usually represent relatively small and scattered events, not easily captured by official statistics or
39 16 independent studies; but also the effects of LUC depend on how associated GHG emissions are
40
41 17 discounted, i.e. for how much time the emissions are counted as being generated by the LUC, before
42
43 18 being included in a new land-use category. The GHG inventories that countries submit annually to the
44
45
46 19 United Nation Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC,
47
48 20 http://unfccc.int/national_reports/annex_i_ghg_inventories/national_inventories_submissions/items/477
49
50 21 [1.php](http://unfccc.int/national_reports/annex_i_ghg_inventories/national_inventories_submissions/items/477)) are a valuable source of information on LUC. Following Guidance (IPCC 2006), LUC in
51
52
53 22 UNFCCC context is defined as any transition between six land uses: forest, cropland, grassland,
54
55 23 wetland, settlements and other lands. By default, land remains in ‘conversion status’ in the UNFCCC
56
57
58 24 statistics for 20 years (e.g. the sink of a “cropland converted to forest” in 1984 is counted in the LUC
59
60 25

1
2
3 1 flux till 2003), but different periods may also be used. Thus, we cannot rule out that an unknown part of
4
5 2 the NBP estimate contains fluxes that were caused by LUC.
6
7

8 3
9
10 4 According to the information contained in the 2009 GHG inventory reports, the total area reported
11
12 5 under a “land-use change” category in EU-25 was about 380,000 km² for the year 1990 and 352,000
13
14 6 km² for the year 2007, i.e. slightly decreasing over time (Table 2). When considering the time a land
15
16 7 remains in the conversion status (20 years for most countries), approximate values of LUC annual rates
17
18 8 may be estimated (Table 2, average for the period 2003-2007). About 17,800 km² undergo a land-use
19
20 9 change every year within EU-25, representing a small fraction (0.41%) of the total area. Additionally, it
21
22 10 is estimated that about 4300 km² are annually converted to forest in the European part of the Russian
23
24
25 11 Federation, Belarus and Ukraine.
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 13 The gross fluxes from LUC at European level are summarized in Fig. 3. The carbon balance associated
33
34 14 with LUC is a net sink of 1.5 Tg C yr⁻¹ for EU-25 and reaches 9.6 Tg C yr⁻¹ when data from European
35
36 15 Russia, Belarus and Ukraine are also considered (a sink of 9.0 Tg C yr⁻¹ due to conversion to forest and
37
38 16 a source of 0.9 Tg C yr⁻¹ due to deforestation reported in European Russia). These numbers include
39
40
41 17 average emissions during 2003-2007 due to LUC that occurred up to 20 years before, depending on the
42
43 18 methods used by each country.
44
45
46
47

48 20 The net small sink from LUC at the European level masks large fluxes of opposite signs between
49
50 21 different land-use types. For instance, at EU-25 level one can see that the largest single LUC-induced
51
52 22 gross area change is the conversion of croplands to pasture (5000 km² yr⁻¹), which sequestered 11 Tg C
53
54 23 yr⁻¹ carbon. But this transition is offset elsewhere by about 4400 km² yr⁻¹ of grasslands being ploughed
55
56 24 for crop cultivation, which causes a net loss of carbon to the atmosphere of nearly 10 Tg C yr⁻¹. At the
57
58 25 European level, the largest single flux occurs in lands converted to forests (a sink of 18.3 Tg C yr⁻¹).
59

60 26 Part of this sink is balanced by carbon loss by deforestation (7.3 Tg C yr⁻¹). If the absolute values of all

1
2
3 1 LUC are summed up, it results in a total flux of 50 Tg C yr⁻¹ induced by LUC at the European level. As
4
5
6 2 many countries do not yet report all LUC to UNFCCC, this estimate is likely to be underestimated. The
7
8 3 analysis indicates that despite the net carbon balance of all land-use changes being small, and despite
9
10 4 the fact that the LUC areas are usually very small compared to total area, regionally the LUC can be
11
12
13 5 very important, corresponding to a very high NBP of positive or negative sign.
14

15 6
16
17 7 When interpreting the data on LUC in Table 2 and Fig. 3 it is important to note that differences may
18
19
20 8 occur among countries in terms of: (i) completeness of reporting (while most countries report
21
22 9 conversions to forests and many report conversions from/to cropland and grasslands, conversions from
23
24 10 other land uses are reported less frequently); (ii) reported time series (e.g. most countries use the 20
25
26
27 11 year default transition period, but some countries have data only since 1990); (iv) coverage of carbon
28
29 12 pools (e.g. many countries do not report fluxes from forest soils); (iii) land use definition (e.g. some
30
31
32 13 lands may be classified either under cropland or grassland, depending on the country's definitions); (v)
33
34 14 methods to estimate carbon stock changes (in some case the spatiotemporal variability of soil carbon
35
36 15 and biomass is explicitly considered, but in other cases only default IPCC emission rates are used).
37
38
39 16 Moreover, some basic data are unknown, such as for instance, the fate of carbon in settlements. When
40
41 17 buildings are constructed, whether soil carbon buried under concrete isolated from the atmosphere, or
42
43
44 18 decomposed by microbes and quickly lost to the atmosphere will change the sign of the carbon balance
45
46 19 of new settlements. At face value, gardens are productive and fertilized lands, which may be
47
48 20 overlooked and yet are a significant carbon sink given the total urban and peri-urban area, which is
49
50
51 21 about 7% of total land area. Despite these limits, the data from countries' GHG inventories are
52
53 22 presently the best data available for LUC-induced carbon flux estimates.
54

55 23
56
57
58 24 Obviously, net land-use change has no major effect on the trace gas cycle of Europe. Land-use intensity
59
60 25 and the associated emissions from fertilizer application and meat production is more important than
26
land-use change.

7. Where does the excess carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide go?

Figure 3 shows an export of CO₂ and N₂O out of the European domain, and the question emerges:

Where do these reactive and non-reactive trace gases go, and what area outside Europe would be needed to assimilate this surplus? This would be the trace gas footprint of Europe.

Assuming that CO, CH₄, NH₃, and NO_x are deposited in Europe, N₂O and CO₂ remain as the major trace gases being exported to other regions of the globe.

In total, Europe exports 0.4 Tg N₂O-N yr⁻¹. Assuming an uptake in forest equivalent to Europe of about 2 g N₂O-CO₂-C-eq m⁻² yr⁻¹, the Siberian forests extending over 12.80 10¹² m² (Shvidenko & Nilsson, 1994) would assimilate only about 20% of this excess, the remaining could interact with volatile organic carbon, would be mixed across the globe, or enter into the stratosphere.

The excess CO₂ of 1294 Tg yr⁻¹ could be absorbed by oceans or the biosphere or add to the atmospheric increase in CO₂. According to Canadell *et al.* (2007) we may assume that 23% enters into the oceans, and 38% remains in the atmosphere. 39% or 504 Tg yr⁻¹ is expected to be absorbed by vegetation of neighbouring continents. We may take Siberia as one candidate for this footprint. Shvidenko and Nilsson (2002) estimate a carbon sequestration rate for Siberian forest of 210 Tg C yr⁻¹ and an additional 50 Tg C yr⁻¹ may enter into soils (Shvideno & Nilsson, unpublished). Thus, the Siberian forest may re-assimilate 20% of the excess European CO₂-C, leaving about 244 Tg yr⁻¹ to be assimilated in other regions. In any case, the European footprint on the global terrestrial surface may be twice as large as Siberia.

8. Conclusions

1
2
3 1 The carbon cycle shows a significant distortion from human impacts. We are extracting 30% of the
4
5
6 2 carbon flow as harvest, which reduces the amount that could be stored in soils. The carbon balance of
7
8 3 soils (excluding the carbon storage in forest biomass) is still a minor carbon sink. However, studying
9
10 4 only the carbon cycle is not sufficient, if a mitigation of the global warming potential is anticipated. Not
11
12
13 5 only greenhouse gases, but also non-greenhouse gases interact, mostly offsetting the apparent terrestrial
14
15 6 sink. Although CO₂ from fossil fuel burning remains the most important greenhouse gas added into the
16
17 7 atmosphere by human activity, CH₄, N₂O and CO contribute almost 50% to the total European global
18
19
20 8 warming potential, and about 75% of this input originates from agriculture. Including these emissions
21
22 9 of greenhouse gases, the European soils are a net source.
23
24
25 10

26
27 11 The human impact on the carbon cycle is significant and occurs everywhere. Harvest exceeds NBP by
28
29 12 threefold, which means that more carbon is extracted from the natural carbon cycle than it is remaining
30
31
32 13 in soils. Total harvest takes about 30% of NPP, but 10% of this is the hidden cost of production. On the
33
34 14 other hand, growth of vegetation is stimulated by atmospheric nitrogen deposition. 50% of forest NBP
35
36 15 and harvest could be due to the anthropogenic input of atmospheric nitrogen.
37
38
39 16

40
41 17 Europe creates an excess of N₂O and CO₂, which is re-assimilated on other continents, in the ocean or
42
43 18 remains in the atmosphere. For the excess CO₂ a land surface of at least twice the size of Siberia would
44
45
46 19 be needed to compensate European emissions and ensure no net contribution to the global carbon
47
48 20 balance (no climate mitigation). Obviously, Europe has a long way to go to reach climate neutrality.
49
50
51 21

52
53 22 What are the implications of these findings for climate change mitigation? Reducing fossil fuel burning
54
55 23 still remains the prime target for climate mitigation. However, given the large emissions from croplands
56
57
58 24 and grasslands including in-house livestock, and the still increasing intensity of land-use, a strong effort
59
60 25 will also be needed from agriculture. Thus, additional measures must be taken, to restrict fertilizer use
26 according to site conditions and the types of crops, reduce the organic and mineral fertilizer input in

1
2
3 1 hot-spot areas and reduce animal farming. We would not distinguish between ruminants and non-
4
5 2 ruminants, because each group has its own detrimental emissions. We also would not distinguish
6
7
8 3 between organic and mineral fertilizer, because both have similar effects. However, the choices
9
10 4 between using the biosphere as carbon sink, for production of food, fibre, construction material and for
11
12
13 5 bioenergy remain competing options of land-use and mitigation. Obviously there is no general solution
14
15 6 as to under what conditions and assumptions a certain option is to be recommended, and regional
16
17 7 conditions are important.
18
19
20 8

21
22 9 At this moment a significant trend of greenhouse and non-greenhouse gas emission cannot be
23
24 10 established from this study, but this study may be a benchmark against which to measure the anticipated
25
26
27 11 mitigation policies for the period up until 2020.
28
29 12
30
31 13
32

33 14 **References**

- 34
35 15
36 16 Aldous AR (2002) Nitrogen transportation *Sphagnum* mosses. Effects of atmospheric nitrogen
37
38 17 deposition. *New Phytologist*, **156**, 241-253
39
40 18 Alm J, Shurpali NJ, Minkkinen K *et al.* (2007) Emission factors and their uncertainties for the exchange
41
42 19 of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O in Finish managed peatlands. *Boreal Environmental Research*, **12**, 191-
43
44 20 209
45 21 Amann M, Bertok I, Cofala J *et al.* (2008) NEC Scenario Analysis Report 6 National Emission ceilings
46
47 22 for 2020 based on 2008 Climate and Energy package. International Institute for Applied
48
49 23 Systems Analysis (IIASA). <http://www.iiasa.ac.at/rains/gains-online.html?sb=9>
50
51 24 Baldocchi DD (2003) Assessing the eddy covariance technique for evaluating carbon dioxide exchange
52
53 25 rates of ecosystems: past, present and future. *Global Change Biology*, **9**,479-492
54 26 Bastviken D, Cole J, Pace M, Tranvik L (2004) Methane emissions from lakes. Dependence of lake
55
56 27 characteristics, two regional assessments, and a global estimate. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*,
57
58 28 **18**, GB4009, DOI:10.1029/2004GB002238
59 29 Bellamy PH, Loveland PJ, Bradley RI, Lark RM, Kirk GJD (2005) Carbon losses from all soils across
60
30 England and Wales 1978-2003. *Nature*, **437**, 245-248

- 1
2
3 1 Bogner J, Matthews E (2003) Global methane emissions from landfills: New methodology and annual
4 estimates 1980-1996. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **17**, 1065
5 2
6
7 3 Böttcher H, Kurz W, Freibauer A (2008) Accounting of forest carbon sinks and sources under a future
8 climate protocol - factoring out past disturbance and management effects on age-class structure.
9 4
10 5
11 *Environmental Science and Policy*, **11(8)**, 669-686
12 6
13 Bresinsky A, Körner Ch, Kadereit JW, Neuhaus G, Sonnewald U (2008) *Straßburger: Lehrbuch der*
14 7
15 *Botanik*. Spektrum Verlag. 36. Aufl. 1173pp
16 8
17 Canadell JG, LeQuéré C, Raupach MR *et al.* (2007) Contributions to accelerating CO₂ growth from
18 9
19 economic activity, carbon intensity, and efficiency of natural sinks. *PNAS*, **104**, 18866-18870
20 10
21 Canadell JG, Raupach MR (2008) Managing forests for climate mitigation. *Science*, **320**, 1456-1457
22 11
23 Carrara A, Janssens IA, Curiel Yuste J, Ceulemans R (2004) Seasonal changes in photosynthesis,
24 12
25 respiration and NEE of a mixed temperate forest. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, **126**, 15-
26 13
27 31
28 14
29 Ciais P, Borges AV, Abril G, Meybeck M, Folberth G, Hauglustaine D, Janssens IA (2008) The impact
30 15
31 of lateral carbon fluxes on the European carbon balance. *Biogeoscience*, **5**, 1259-1271
32 16
33 Ciais P, Paris JD, Marland G *et al.* (2010c) The European carbon balance revisited. Part 4: Fossil fuel
34 17
35 emissions. *Global Change Biology*, in press
36 18
37 Ciais P, Reichstein M, Viovy N *et al.* (2005) Europe-wide reduction in primary productivity caused by
38 19
39 the heat and drought in 2003. *Nature*, **437**, 529-533
40 20
41 Ciais P, Schelhaas MJ, Zaehle S *et al.* (2008) Carbon accumulation in European forests. *Nature*
42 21
43 *Geoscience*, **1**, 425-429
44 22
45 Ciais P, Soussana JF, Vuichard N *et al.* (2010b) The European carbon balance revisited. Part 3:
46 23
47 Grasslands. *Biogeosciences*, submitted
48 24
49 Ciais P, Tans PP, Trolier M, White JW, Francey RJ (1995) A large northern-hemisphere terrestrial sink
50 25
51 indicated by the ¹³C/¹²C ratio of atmospheric CO₂. *Science*, **269**, 1098-1102
52 26
53 Ciais P, Watterbach M, Vuichard N *et al.* (2010a) The European carbon balance revisited. Part 2:
54 27
55 Croplands. *Global Change Biology*, in press
56 28
57 Dalgaard T, Halberg N, Porter JR (2001) A model for fossil energy use in Danish agriculture used to
58 29
59 compare organic and conventional farming. *Agr. Ecosystems and Environment*, **87**, 51-65
60 30
61 Davidson EA (2009) The contribution of manure and fertilizer nitrogen to atmospheric nitrous oxide
62 31
63 since 1860. *Nature Geoscience*, **2**, 659-662
64 32
65 Davidson EA & Janssens IA (2006) Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and
66 33
67 feedbacks to climate change. *Nature*, **440**, 165-173

- 1
2
3 1 DeVries W, Reinds GJ, Gundersen P, Sterba H (2006) The impact of nitrogen deposition on carbon
4 sequestration in European forests and forest soils. *Global Change Biology*, **12**, 1151-1173
5 2
6 De Vries W, Solberg S, Dobbertin M *et al.* (2008) Ecologically implausible carbon response. *Nature*
7 3
8 **451**: February 14, Brief Communications arising E1 – E3
9 4
10 5 De Vries W, Solberg S, Dobbertin M *et al.* (2009) The impact of nitrogen deposition on carbon
11 sequestration by European forests and heathlands. *Forest Ecology and Management* **258**: 1814-
12 6
13 1823
14 7
15 8 Diochon A, Kellman L, Beltrami H (2009) Looking deeper: An investigation of soil carbon losses
16 following harvesting from a managed northeastern red spruce (*Picea rubens* Sarg.) forest
17 9
18 chronosequence. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **257**, 413-420
19 10
20 11 Dolman AJ, Freibauer A, Valentini R (2008a) The continental scale greenhouse gas balance of Europe.
21 *Ecological Studies* Vol. 203, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 390pp
22 12
23 13 Don A, Scholten T, Schulze ED (2008) Conversion of croplands into grasslands: Implications for soil
24 organic-carbon stocks in two soils with different texture. *J Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, **172**, 53-62
25 14
26 15 Gál A, Vyn TJ, Michéli E, Kladvko EJ, McFee WW (2007) Soil carbon and nitrogen accumulation
27 with long-term no-till versus moldboard plowing overestimated with tilled-zone sampling
28 16
29 depths. *Soil & Tillage Research*, **96**, 42-51
30 17
31 18 Guo LB, Gifford RM (2002) Soil carbon stocks and land use change: a meta analysis. *Global Change*
32 *Biology*, **8**, 345-360
33 19
34 20 Hall KD, Guo J, Dore M, Chow CC (2009) The progressive increase of food waste in America and its
35 environmental impact. *PLoS One*, **4**, e7940
36 21
37 22 Harrison AF, Schulze ED, Gebauer G, Bruckner G (2000) Canopy uptake and utilization of
38 atmospheric pollutant nitrogen. *Ecological Studies* Vol. 142, 171-188
39 23
40 24 Hopkins DW, Waite IS, McNicol JW, Poulton PR, Macdonald AJ, O'Donnell AG (2009) Soil organic
41 carbon contents in long-term experimental grassland plots in the UK (Palace Leas and Park
42 Grass) have not changed consistently in recent decades. *Global Change Biology*, **15**, 1739-1754
43 25
44 26 Hülsbergen KJ, Feil B, Biermann S, Rathke GW, Kalk WD, Diepenbrock W (2001) A method of
45 energy balancing in crop production and its application in a long-term fertilizer trial. *Agric.*
46 *Ecosystem and Environment*, **86**, 303-321
47 27
48 28 IPCC (2006) Revised IPCC Guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories. Intergovernmental
49 Panel of Climate Change Publication.
50 29
51 30 IPCC (2007) IPCC Climate change: The Physical Science Basis (eds. Solomon S *et al.*). Cambridge
52 University Press.
53 31
54 32
55 33
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 1 Janssens IA, Freibauer A, Ciais P *et al.* (2003) Europe's terrestrial biosphere absorbs 7 to 12% of the
4 European anthropogenic CO₂ emissions. *Science*, **300**, 1538-1542
5 2
6
7 3 Janssens IA, Luysaert S (2009) Nitrogen's carbon bonus. *Nature Geoscience*, **2**, 318-319
8
9 4 Jarvis PG, McNaughton KG (1986) Stomatal control of transpiration: scaling from leaf to region. *Adv.*
10 5
11 *Ecol. Res.*, **15**, 1-15
12 6
13 Jöckel P, Tost H, Pozzer A *et al.* (2006) The atmospheric chemistry general circulation model
14 7
15 ECHAM5/MESSy: consistent simulation of ozone from the surface to the mesosphere. *Atmos.*
16 8
17 *Chem. Phys.*, **6**, 5067-5104
18 9
19 Jung M, Reichstein M, Bondeau A (2009) Towards global empirical upscaling of Fluxnet eddy
20 covariance observations: validation of a model tree ensemble approach using a biosphere model.
21 11
22 *Biogeosciences*, **6**, 2001-2013
23 12
24 Keeling RF, Piper SC, Heimann M (1996) Global and hemispheric CO₂ sinks deduced from changes in
25 13
26 atmospheric O₂ concentrations. *Nature*, **381**, 218-221
27 14
28 Kroeze C, Dumont E, Seitzinger SP (2005) New estimates of global emissions of N₂O from rivers and
29 estuaries. *Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences* **2**: 159-165
30 16
31 Kutsch WL, Persson T, Schrumpf M, Moyano FE, Mund M, Andersson S, Schulze ED (2010)
32 17
33 Heterotrophic soil respiration and soil carbon dynamics in the deciduous Hainich forest obtained
34 18
35 by three approaches. *Biogeochemistry*, submitted
36 19
37 Larcher W (1993) Ökophysiologie der Pflanzen. Ulmer Verlag, 5. Aufl., 394pp
38 20
39 Lelieveld J, Berresheim H, Borrmann S *et al.* (2002) Global air pollution crossroads over the
40 21
41 Mediterranean. *Science*, **298**, 794-799
42 22
43 Lelieveld J, Dentener F (2000) What controls tropospheric ozone? *J. Geophys Res*, **105**, 3531-3551
44 23
45 LeQuéré C, Raupach MR, Canadell JG *et al.* (2009) Trends in the sources and sinks of carbon dioxide.
46 24
47 *Nature Geoscience*, **2**, 831-836
48 25
49 Lettens S, De Vos B, Quataert P, van Wesemael B, Muys B, van Orshoven J (2007) Variable carbon
50 26
51 recovery of Walkley-Black analysis and implications for national soil organic carbon
52 27
53 accounting. *European Journal of Soil Science*, **58**, 1244-1253
54 28
55 Luysaert S, Ciais P, Piao SL *et al.* (2010) The European carbon balance revisited. Part 3: Forests.
56 29
57 *Global Change Biology*, in press
58 30
59 Luysaert S, Reichstein M, Schulze ED *et al.* (2009) Towards a consistency cross-check of eddy
60 31
61 covariance flux-based and biometric estimates of ecosystem carbon balance. *Global*
62 32
63 *Biogeochemical Cycles*, **23**, GB3009; DOI: 10.1029/2008GB003377
64 33
65 Luysaert S, Schulze ED, Boerner A *et al.* (2008) Old-growth forests as global carbon sink. *Nature*,
34 **455**, 213-215, DOI: 10.1038/nature 07276

- 1
2
3 1 Magnani F, Mencuccini M, Borghetti M *et al.* (2007) The human footprint in the carbon cycle of
4
5 2 temperate and boreal forests. *Nature*, **447**, 849-851
6
7 3 Maignan F, Bréon FM, Vermote E, Ciais P, Viovy N (2008) Mild winter and spring 2007 over Western
8
9 4 Europe led to a widespread early vegetation onset. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **35**, L02404
10
11 5 Meersmans J, Van Wesemael B, De Ridder F, Dotti MF, De Bats S, Van Molle M (2009) Changes in
12
13 6 organic carbon distribution with depth in agricultural soils in northern Belgium, 1960-2006.
14
15 7 *Global Change Biology*, **15**, 2739-2750
16
17 8 Menzel A, Fabian P (1999) Growing season extended in Europe. *Nature*, **397**, 659
18
19 9 Mund M, Schulze E-D (2006) Impacts of forest management on the carbon budget of European beech
20
21 10 (*Fagus sylvatica*) forests. *Allg. Forst u. Jagd Ztg.*, **177**, 47-63
22
23 11 Nabuurs GJ, Schelhaas MJ, Mohrens GMJ, Field CB (2003) Temporal evolution of the European forest
24
25 13 sector carbon sink from 1950 to 1999. *Global Change Biology*, **9**, 152-162
26
27 14 Nelson RG, Hellwinckel CM, Brandt CC, West TO, Ugarte DG de la Torre, Marland G (2009) Energy
28
29 15 use and carbon dioxide emissions from cropland production in the United States, 1990 – 2004.
30
31 16 *J. Envir. Qual.*, **38**, 418-425
32
33 17 Nösberger J, Long SP, Norby RJ, Stitt M, Hendrey GR, Blum H (2006) Managed ecosystems and CO₂.
34
35 18 *Ecological Studies* Vol. 187, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, 457 pp
36
37 20 Oren R, Ellsworth DS, Johnson KH *et al.* (2001) Soil fertility limits carbon sequestration by forest
38
39 21 ecosystems in a CO₂-enriched atmosphere. *Nature* **411**, 469-470
40
41 22 Piao S, Friedlingstein P, Ciais P, Peylin P, Zhu B, Reichstein M (2009) Footprint of temperature
42
43 23 changes in the temperate and boreal forests carbon balance. *Geophys. Research Letters*, **36**,
44
45 24 L07404
46
47 25 Ravishkanara AR, Daniel JS, Portmann RW (2009) Nitrous oxide (N₂O): The dominant Ozone-
48
49 26 depleting substance emitted in the 21st century. *Science*, **326**, 123-125
50
51 27 Read DJ (1993) Plant-microbe mutualism and community structure. *Ecological Studies* Vol. 99, 181-
52
53 28 210
54
55 29 Reay DS, Dentener F, Smith P, Grace J, Feely RA (2008) Global nitrogen deposition and carbon sinks.
56
57 30 *Nature Geoscience*, **1**, 430-437
58
59 31 Rillig MC (2004) Arbuscular mycorrhizae and terrestrial ecosystem processes. *Ecol. Letters*, **7**, 740-754
60
61 32 Schelhaas MJ, Nabuurs GJ, Schuck A (2003) Natural disturbances in the European forests in the 19th
62
63 33 and 20th centuries. *Global Change Biology*, **9**, 1620-1633
64
65 34 Schrumpp M, Schumacher J, Schöning I, Schulze ED (2008) Monitoring Carbon Stock Changes in
66
67 35 European Soils: Process Understanding and Sampling Strategies. In: *The Continental-Scale*

- 1
2
3 1 *Greenhouse Gas Balance of Europe* (eds. Dolman AJ, Freibauer A, Valentini R), Springer, New
4 2 York.
5 3 Schulze ED, Beck E, Müller-Hohenstein K (2002) Plant ecology. Springer Verlag Heidelberg
6 4 Schulze ED, Luysaert S, Ciais P, *et al.* (2009) Importance of methane and nitrous oxide for Europe's
7 5 terrestrial greenhouse gas balance. *Nature Geoscience*, **2**, 842-850
8 6 Schulze ED (2000) Carbon and nitrogen cycling in European forest ecosystems. *Ecological Studies*
9 7 **142**, 500 pp
10 8 Schulze ED, Ulrich B (1991) Acid Rain – a large-scale, unwanted experiment in forest ecosystems.
11 9 *SCOPE*, **45**, 89-106
12 10 Seitzinger SP, Kroeze C (1998) Global distribution of nitrous oxide production and N-inputs in
13 11 freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, **12**, 93-113
14 12 Service RF (2009) Another biofuels drawback: The demand for irrigation. *Science*, **326**, 516-517
15 13 Shindell DT, Faluvegi G, Koch DM, Schmidt GA, Unger N, Bauer SE (2009) Improved attribution of
16 14 climate forcing emissions. *Science*, **326**, 716-718
17 15 Shindell DT, Faluvegi G, Bell N, Schmidt GA (2004) An emissions-based view of climate forcing by
18 16 methane and tropospheric ozone. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **32**, L04803, doi:
19 17 10.1029/2004GL021900
20 18 Shvidenko A, Nilsson S (1994) What do we know about the Siberian forests? *Ambio*, **23**, 396-404
21 19 Shvidenko A, Nilsson S (2002) Dynamics of Russian forests and the carbon budget in 1961-1998: An
22 20 assessment based on long-term forest inventory data. *Climatic Change*, **55**, 5-37
23 21 Sleutel S, De Neve S, Hofman G *et al.* (2003) Carbon stock changes and carbon sequestration potential
24 22 of Flemish cropland soils. *Global Change Biology*, **9**, 1193-1203
25 23 Smith P (2004) How long before a change in soil organic carbon can be detected? *Global Change*
26 24 *Biology*, **10**, 1878-1883
27 25 Smith SE, Read DJ (1997) Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. Academic Press, San Diego
28 26 Soussana JF, Fuhrer J, Jones M, VanAmstel A (2007) The greenhouse gas balance of grasslands in
29 27 Europe. *Agric Ecosystems and Environment*, **121**, 1-4
30 28 Sutton MA (2008) *Global Change Biology* **14**: 2057
31 29 Steinkamp J, Ganzeveld LN, Wilcke W, Lawrence MG (2009) Influence of modeled soil biogenic NO
32 30 emissions on related trace gases and the atmospheric oxidizing efficiency. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,
33 31 **9**, 2663-3677
34 32 Stephens BB, Gurney KR, Tans PP *et al.* (2007) Weak northern and strong tropical land carbon uptake
35 33 from vertical profiles of atmospheric CO₂. *Science*, **316**, 1732-1735

- 1
2
3 1 Sutton MA, Simpson D, Levy P, Smith RI, Reis S, vanOijen M, De Vries W (2008) Uncertainties in the
4 relationship between atmospheric nitrogen deposition and forest carbon sequestration. *Global*
5 2 *Change Biology* **14**: 2057-2063
6
7 3
8
9 4 Tans PP, Fung IY, Takahashi T (1990) Observational constraints on the global atmospheric CO₂
10 5 budget. *Science*, **247**, 1431-1438
11
12 6 Thuille A, Schulze E-D (2005) Carbon dynamics in successional and afforested spruce stands in
13 Thuringia and in the Alps. *Global Change Biology*, **12**, 325-342; DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-
14 7 2486.2005.01078.x
15 8
16
17 9 Tranvik L, Downing JA, Cotner JB *et al.* (2009) Lakes and reservoirs as regulators of carbon cycling
18 and climate. *Limnology and Oceanography*, **54**, 2298-2314
19
20
21 11 Vestreng A, Breivik K, Adams M, Wagner A, Goodwin J, Rozovykaya O, Pacyna JM (2004) Inventory
22 review, emission data reported to CLRTAP and under NEC Directive, EMEP/EEA Joint Review
23 12 Report. EMEP/MS-C-W Note 1/2004
24
25 13
26 14 von Lützow M, Kogel-Knabner I, Ekschmitt K, Matzner E, Guggenberger G, Marschner B, Flessa H
27 (2006) Stabilization of organic matter in temperate soils: mechanisms and their relevance under
28 15 different soil conditions - a review. *European Journal of Soil Science*, **57**, 426-445
29
30
31
32 17 WBGU (2004) World in Transition: Towards sustainable energy systems. German Advisory Council on
33 18 Global Change. Earthscan, London, 242pp
34
35
36

37 20 **Acknowledgements:**

38
39 21 We are truly grateful for the funding of the CarboEurope-IP (Project No. GOGC-CT-2003n505572) by
40 the EU. The EU funding supplemented national funding from different nations. EDS was supported by
41 22 the Max-Planck Gesellschaft as Emeritus. SL received funding from the Centre of Excellence (ECO,
42 23 University of Amsterdam-Methusalem) Markus Reichstein provided the solar radiation data. Jon Cole
43 and Paul del Giorgio provided valuable input regarding aquatic carbon cycling.
44
45
46
47
48 26

49 27 Author Contributions: EDS wrote the manuscript and coordinated the CarboEurope project, GG, AF
50 and PC: LUC analysis; SL: uncertainty analysis; MS and IJ: soils; BT, TJ, MS, SB: CH₄, NO_x, NH₃,
51 28 CH₄ fluxes; JL: CO, VOC, O₃-fluxes, AL and AF: peat, CR, AD and WK: regional fingerprints; MJ:
52 CH₄ fluxes; ET and H, DB and GA: inland waters; AL: Fertilizer input; JN and AB: Maps and statistics; JG
53 29 editorial, and AJD: regional assessments
54
55 30
56
57 31
58
59
60

List of Tables

Table 1: Carbon, water, heat and nitrogen fluxes in major land-cover types (C-flow: Schulze *et al.* 2009; water vapour and sensible heat as estimated from eddy covariance and hydrological data: M. Jung, pers comm.; Nitrogen requirement: Schulze (2000); Aldous (2002)

	Flux unit	Forest	Grassland	Cropland	Peat-land
Gross Primary Productivity, GPP	g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	-1107±55	-1343±269	-1120±224	-690±340
- Autotrophic Respiration, Ra	g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	589±88	593±297	570±171	395±190
Net Primary Productivity, NPP	g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	-518±67	-750±150	-550±50	-295±150
Harvest	g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	63±11	217±43	257±23	91
Net Biome Productivity, NBP_{Biomass}	g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	-55	0	0	0
Manure	g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	0	-40	-26	0
Heterotrophic Respiration, Rh	g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	368±107	508±152	319±89	172±86
Disturbance	g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	5±1	1±0.3	3±2	6±2
Dissolved Carbon, DOC/DIC	g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	7±3	7±3	7±3	7±3
Net Biome Productivity, NBP_{soil}	g C m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	-20±12	-57±34	+10±9	-19±12
Other Greenhouse Gases, GHGs	g CO ₂ -C eq m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	1±1	43±14	30±9	63±30
Net Greenhouse gas Balance, NGB	g CO ₂ -C eq m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	-19±11	-14±18	+40±40	+44±7
Water vapour, ET	MJ m ⁻² yr ⁻¹ mm yr ⁻¹	873±60 353±24	891±101 360±41	1204±64 487±26	744±260 300±105
Interception, E _{wet surfaces}	mm yr ⁻¹	240±40 ¹	<1	<1	<1
Water use efficiency, ET/NPP	g g ⁻¹	680	480	885	996
Sensible heat, H	MJ m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	720±45	1077±105	634±35	455±80
Nitrogen requirement for NPP	gN m ⁻² yr ⁻¹	9±3	18±3	18±3	3±1

Table 2: Areas of land-use change in Europe (EU-25) which reported to the UNFCCC (elaborated data)

Average 2003-2007	Conversions from forest	Conversions from cropland	Conversions from grassland	Conversions from wetlands	Conversions from Settlements	Conversions from Otherlands	Total "to"
Conversions to forest		96	179	19	18	86	398
Conversions to cropland	13		445	1	15	16	490
Conversions to grassland	37	500		3	21	39	600
Conversions to wetlands	2	3	11		2	8	26
Conversions to settlement	24	65	81	2		19	192
Conversions to Otherland	15	10	46	7	1		80
Total "from"	91	658	740	29	54	155	

List of Figures

Fig. 1: Flux fingerprint of net ecosystem exchange, evaporation and sensible heat for cropland, grassland, deciduous forest and conifers in Thuringia, Germany for the year 2004 to 2006.

Annual sums for Net Ecosystem Exchange are in $\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, for evaporation in mm, and for sensible heat in MJ m^{-2} .

Fig. 2: General scheme of carbon-nitrogen interactions in the carbon cycle


Fig. 3: A summary of greenhouse and non-greenhouse gas fluxes across Europe. **Black**: Carbon fluxes (Schulze *et al.* 2009; Tranvik *et al.* 2009); **red**: Methane fluxes (Schulze *et al.* 2009, Bastviken *et al.* 2004), **green**: N_2O fluxes (Schulze *et al.* 2009, Seitzinger and Kroeze, 1998; NAMEA data base Wuppertal Institute); **blue**: NO_x fluxes (IER-Stuttgart database, NAMEA data base Wuppertal Institute, Eurostat Air Emissions Accounts), **grey**: CO fluxes (Lelieveld 2000), NH_3 fluxes (IER-Stuttgart database, NAMEA data base Wuppertal Institute), Ozone flux (Lelieveld 2002), water fluxes (M. Jung, pers. Comm.), and input of mineral fertilizer (www.fertilizer.org/ifa). Solar radiation: CE-IP ECMWF data base. The fluxes have different units for carbon, nitrogen and water. Molar units would simplify the scheme, but molar units are not established even in the science community. The present knowledge of the emissions and sinks of atmospheric trace gases indicate a decreasing knowledge of these fluxes from the inner core of the plant carbon cycle towards the outer envelope of non-greenhouse gases. The background picture is from J. Bruegel the elder (1568 to 1625): Forested Landscape, Landesmuseum Hannover, Germany. The sources of the data for individual fluxes are listed in the supplement. The uncertainties are depicted in Fig. 4.

Fig 4. Flux magnitude for a 100-year horizon (surface of the bubble; Tg C yr^{-1}) as a function of current accuracy and uncertainty of the component flux. Edge colour of the bubble shows the flux species where black denotes carbon, red methane and green nitrous oxide fluxes. Bubbles with a green, blue, orange and white face colour show respectively terrestrial, aquatic, anthropogenic and inversion fluxes. 12 Terrestrial net biome production, 13 Dissolved (in)organic carbon, 14 Urban emissions CH_4 , 15 Terrestrial CH_4 , 16 Forest biomass, 17 Carbon in manure, 18 Change in atmospheric CH_4 , 19 Terrestrial N_2O , 20 Terrestrial net greenhouse gas balance, 21 Aquatic outgassing, 22 Forest soils, 23 Fossil Fuel CO , 24 Aquatic net biome production, 25 Export of dissolved (in)organic carbon, 26 Aquatic net greenhouse gas balance, 27 Biological volatile organic compounds, 28 Farm yard fossil fuel, 29 Disturbance, 30 Urban emissions N_2O , 31 Land fills CO_2 , 32 Power plants and traffic CH_4 , 33 Import products, 34 Fertilizer fossil fuel, 35

1
2
3 1 Respiration from food waste, 36 Aquatic CH₄, 37 Geological CH₄, 38 Aquatic N₂O, 39 Power
4
5 2 plants and traffic N₂O, 40 Land-use change, 41 Land fills CH₄, 42 Land fills N₂O
6
7 3

8
9 4 Fig. 5: Regional distribution of trace gas fluxes across Europe based. A: fossil fuel (Schulze *et al.*
10 5 2009), b: NO_x (IER data base, Stuttgart), c: Biological N₂O-sources (Schulze *et al.* 2009), d:
11 6 Biological CH₄ sources (Schulze *et al.* 2009), e: Ammonia (IER data base, Stuttgart), f: organic
12 7 and inorganic fertilizer input (JRC-data base).
13
14 8
15 9
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60


For Review Only



244x240mm (600 x 600 DPI)




1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




210x165mm (600 x 600 DPI)

Only



© Schulze, Luyssaert, Ciais, Börner; background picture: J. Brueghel the Elder (1568 to 1625): Forested Landscape, Landesmuseum Hannover, Germany




147x134mm (600 x 600 DPI)

my

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



279x163mm (600 x 600 DPI)

View Only