



HAL
open science

Magnetic Properties of Mixed Ligand NiII2 and NiII4 Complexes Composed of Macrocyclic Hexaamine-Dithiophenolato and Bridging Tetrazolato Ligands

Berthold Kersting

► **To cite this version:**

Berthold Kersting. Magnetic Properties of Mixed Ligand NiII2 and NiII4 Complexes Composed of Macrocyclic Hexaamine-Dithiophenolato and Bridging Tetrazolato Ligands. *Journal of Inorganic and General Chemistry / Zeitschrift für anorganische und allgemeine Chemie*, 2010, 636 (11), pp.1980. <10.1002/zaac.201000087>. <hal-00552464>

HAL Id: hal-00552464

<https://hal.science/hal-00552464v1>

Submitted on 6 Jan 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization



**Magnetic Properties of Mixed Ligand NiII₂ and NiII₄
Complexes Composed of Macrocyclic Hexaamine-
Dithiophenolato and Bridging Tetrazolato Ligands**

Journal:	<i>Zeitschrift für Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie</i>
Manuscript ID:	zaac.201000087.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Apr-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Kersting, Berthold; Universitaet Leipzig, Institut für Anorganische Chemie
Keywords:	Nickel Complexes, Coordination Chemistry, Tetrazolato Complexes, Magnetic Properties
<p>Note: The following files were submitted by the author for peer review, but cannot be converted to PDF. You must view these files (e.g. movies) online.</p> <p>Figure2.cdx Scheme1.cdx Scheme2.cdx Scheme3.cdx</p>	



1
2
3
4 **Magnetic Properties of Mixed Ligand Ni^{II}₂ and Ni^{II}₄ Complexes Composed of**
5 **Macrocyclic Hexaamine-Dithiophenolato and Bridging Tetrazolato Ligands**
6
7
8

9
10 Jochen Lach,^a Sergei V. Voitekhovich,^b Vasile Lozan,^c Pavel N. Gaponik,^b Oleg A.
11
12 Ivashkevich,^b Jörg Lincke,^a Daniel Lässig,^a and Berthold Kersting^{a*}
13
14

15
16 ^aInstitut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität Leipzig, Johannisallee 29, D-04103 Leipzig,
17
18 Germany
19

20
21 ^bResearch Institute for Physical Chemical Problems, Belarusian State University,
22
23 Leningradskaya 14, 220030 Minsk, Belarus
24
25

26
27 ^cLaboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Institute of Chemistry, Academy of Sciences of
28
29 Moldova, Academiei str. 3, MD-2028, Chisinau, Republic Moldova
30
31

32
33 Reprint requests to Prof. Dr. Berthold Kersting, FAX +49 341 973 6199.
34

35
36 E-mail: b.kersting@uni-leipzig.de
37
38
39
40
41

42 **Abstract.** The magnetic properties of the dinuclear and tetranuclear nickel(II) tetrazolato
43
44 complexes [Ni₂L(RCN₄)] [BPh₄] (R = H (**4**), Me (**5**), Ph (**6**)) and [(Ni₂L)₂(1,4-(CN₄)₂-
45
46 C₆H₄)] [BPh₄]₂ (**7**), where (L)²⁻ represents a 24-membered macrocyclic N₆S₂ supporting
47
48 ligand, are reported. Analysis of temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility
49
50 measurements over the temperature range from 2 to 300 K reveals the presence of weak
51
52 ferromagnetic exchange interactions between the Ni^{II} ions in the binuclear [Ni₂L(μ-L)]⁺
53
54 subunits with values for the magnetic exchange coupling constants *J*₁ of 13.5 cm⁻¹ for **4**, 20.0
55
56 cm⁻¹ for **5**, 19.2 cm⁻¹ for **6**, and 15.2 cm⁻¹ for **7** (**H** = -2*JS*₁*S*₂). The exchange coupling *J*₂
57
58 across the bistetrazolato bridge in **7** is less than 0.1 cm⁻¹ suggesting that no significant
59
60

interdimer coupling occurs in this compound. The synthesis and crystal structure of the new complex **7**·2MeCN is also reported.

Key words: Nickel Complexes, Coordination Chemistry, Tetrazolato Complexes, Magnetic Properties

Introduction

The five-membered N-heterocycles pyrazolate **[1]** and tetrazolate **[2]** have a rich coordination chemistry due to a number of different coordination modes. To establish if substantial structural and chemical analogy exists between pyrazolato and tetrazolato complexes, we are preparing isostructural complexes of the general form $[\text{Ni}_2\text{L}(\mu\text{-L})]^+$ ($\text{L}' = \text{RC}_3\text{H}_2\text{N}_2^-$ or RCN_4^-) **[3–5]**. The macrocyclic hexaamine-dithiophenolato ligand L^{2-} **[6]** enforces accommodation of the pyrazolato and tetrazolato ligands in analogous, that is, symmetric bridging modes (Scheme 1).

<< Scheme 1 here >>

Scheme 1. Coordination modes of pyrazolato and tetrazolato ligands in dinuclear $[\text{Ni}_2\text{L}(\text{L}')]^+$ complexes (supporting ligand L^{2-} omitted for clarity).

The X-ray crystal structures of the pyrazolato complexes $[\text{Ni}_2\text{L}(\text{C}_3\text{H}_3\text{N}_2)]^+$ **(1)** **[3]**, $[(\text{Ni}_2\text{L})_2(4,4'-(\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{N}_2)_2)]^{2+}$ **(2)** **[4]**, $[(\text{Ni}_2\text{L})_2(1,4-(\text{C}_3\text{H}_2\text{N}_2)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4)]^{2+}$ **(3)** **[4]**, and the tetrazolato complexes $[\text{Ni}_2\text{L}(\text{HCN}_4)]^+$ **(4)**, $[\text{Ni}_2\text{L}(\text{MeCN}_4)]^+$ **(5)**, and $[\text{Ni}_2\text{L}(\text{PhCN}_4)]^+$ **(6)** have already been reported **[5]**. It was established that tetrazolato ligands RCN_4^- interact less strongly with

1
2
3
4 the $[\text{Ni}_2\text{L}]^{2+}$ fragment than pyrazolato ligands $\text{RC}_3\text{H}_2\text{N}_2^-$ [5], as can be seen from the Ni–
5
6 $\text{N}_{\text{coligand}}$ bond length noted in Table 2.

7
8
9 In this work we describe the synthesis, characterization and X-ray single-crystal structure
10 determination of the new tetranuclear Ni^{II}_4 complex $[(\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}_2\text{L})_2(1,4\text{-(CN}_4)_2\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4)][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ (**7**)
11 and discuss the structural differences between **3** and **7**. The results of temperature-dependent
12 magnetic susceptibility measurements on **4**, **5**, **6**, and **7** are also described.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 Results and Discussion

24
25 Scheme 2 shows the synthesized compounds and their labels. The dinuclear Ni^{II} complexes
26 $[\text{Ni}_2\text{L}(\text{HCN}_4)][\text{BPh}_4]$ (**4**), $[\text{Ni}_2\text{L}(\text{MeCN}_4)][\text{BPh}_4]$ (**5**) and $[\text{Ni}_2\text{L}(\text{PhCN}_4)][\text{BPh}_4]$ (**6**) bearing
27 tetrazolato (HCN_4^-), 5-Me-tetrazolato (MeCN_4^-), or 5-Ph-tetrazolato (PhCN_4^-) coligands
28 respectively, were prepared as described in the literature [5]. The tetrazole 5,5'-(1,4-
29 phenylene)bis-1*H*-tetrazole (H_2pbtz), necessary for the preparation of **7**, was synthesized from
30 1,4-dicyanobenzene according to a literature procedure [7]. The tetranuclear complex
31 $[\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}_2\text{L}(1,4\text{-(CN}_4)_2\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4)][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ (**7**) was prepared by a substitution reaction between the
32 chlorido complex $[\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}_2\text{L}(\mu\text{-Cl})][\text{ClO}_4]$ [6] and triethylammonium 5,5'-(1,4-phenylene)bis-1*H*-
33 tetrazolato (prepared *in situ* from the corresponding bistetrazole and triethylamine) in a 1:2
34 molar ratio, followed by precipitation with LiClO_4 and subsequent metathesis with NaBPh_4 in
35 64 % yield as outlined in Scheme 2. The linking of the two $[\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}_2\text{L}]^{2+}$ fragments by the
36 bistetrazolato ligand is a clean and facile step driven forward by the low solubility of the
37 product. A similar approach was used to prepare the tetranuclear complex **3** [5].
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

<< Scheme 2 here >>

Scheme 2. Structures and synthesis of complexes **3 – 7**.

All compounds gave satisfactory elemental analyses and were characterized by appropriate spectroscopic methods (IR, UV/Vis and ESI-MS), as well as by X-ray single-crystal structure analysis. The tetraphenylborate salt **7** is an air-stable solid that is soluble in aprotic solvents such as dimethylformamide, dichloromethane, and acetonitrile, but virtually insoluble in methanol, ethanol and water. The electrospray ionization mass spectrum (ESI-MS, positive mode) of a dilute CH₂Cl₂ solution of **7** exhibits a molecular ion peak with the correct isotopic distribution for the dication **7**²⁺ (*m/z* = 891.36). Two prominent absorption bands are seen in the UV/Vis spectrum of **7** in CH₂Cl₂, as in the tetrazolato-bridged Ni₂ complexes **4 – 6**, at 615 and 1133 nm [5]. These are assigned to the spin-allowed ³A_{2g} → ³T_{1g} (ν₂) and ³A_{2g} → ³T_{2g} (ν₁) transitions of a nickel(II) (*S* = 1) ion (in O_h symmetry for simplicity) [8]. The observed values closely compare with those of **4 – 6** indicative of pseudo-octahedral N₃S₂N^{tetrazolate} coordination environments around the metal atoms. The intensities of ν₁ and ν₂ are approximately twice as large as those of **4 – 6**, which is in good agreement with the formulation of compound **7** as a 2:1 complex. The salt **7** reveals also four well-resolved UV bands at 231, 270, 336, and 391 nm, the former three of which are attributable to the π-π* transitions within the thiophenolato units of the [Ni₂L]²⁺ fragments. The band at 391 nm can be attributed to a thiophenolate → Ni^{II} charge transfer absorption [9]. All these findings strongly indicate that the tetranuclear complex in **7** remains its integrity in the solution state.

Description of the Crystal Structures of 7·2MeCN and 7·CH₂Cl₂

1
2
3
4 Single-crystals suitable for X-ray structure analysis could be obtained by two different
5
6 methods. In a first attempt crystals were grown by slow diffusion of methanol into a solution
7
8 of **7** in dichloromethane. Unfortunately those crystals gave unsatisfying R-values because of
9
10 heavily disordered CH₂Cl₂ in the crystal structure, making it necessary to use the SQUEEZE
11
12 routine of PLATON [10]. Slow evaporation of a 1:1 acetonitrile/ethanol solution of **7** yielded
13
14 single-crystals of **7**·2MeCN giving much better R-values. Both crystal structures are
15
16 composed of tetranuclear [(Ni₂L)₂(1,4-(CN₄)₂C₆H₄)]²⁺ dications, tetraphenylborate anions,
17
18 and acetonitrile (or dichloromethane) solvent molecules respectively. The structure of
19
20
21
22
23 **7**·2MeCN is representative and will be discussed.

24
25
26 An ORTEP plot of the structure of the centrosymmetric dication of **7** is depicted in Figure 1.
27
28 Selected bond lengths and angles are summarized in Table 1. The metrical data for the
29
30 corresponding bispyrazolato complex [(Ni₂L)₂(1,4-(C₃H₂N₂)₂C₆H₄)]²⁺ (**3**) [4] are included for
31
32 comparison.
33

34
35 << Figure 1 here >>
36
37

38
39 **Figure 1.** Left: Van der Waals plot of the [(Ni₂L)₂(1,4-(CN₄)₂C₆H₄)]²⁺ dication in crystals of
40
41 **7**·2MeCN. Right: ORTEP representation of the core structure of the dication with the atom
42
43 labeling scheme. Ellipsoids are represented at the 50 % probability level. Symmetry code
44
45 used to generate equivalent atoms: $-x, -y, 2-z$ (¹).
46
47
48
49

50
51 The bistetrazolate unit acts as a tetradentate bridging ligand joining two dinuclear [Ni^{II}₂L]²⁺
52
53 fragments through the ring nitrogen atoms N(7) and N(9). The [Ni₂L]²⁺ subunits in **3** and **7** are
54
55 structurally very similar, and the Ni–N and Ni–S distances lie within very narrow ranges
56
57 (Table 1). The Ni···Ni distance in **7** is 3.442(1) Å, which is very similar to that in **3** and other
58
59 dinuclear nickel complexes with bridging tetrazolates [5]. The Ni–N_{tetrazolato} bond lengths
60
reveal no anomalies and are comparable to those in **3**. Each Ni^{II} atom is surrounded in a

highly distorted octahedral fashion by two sulfur atoms and three nitrogen atoms from the supporting ligand L^{2-} , and one nitrogen atom from the tetrazolato group.

Table 1. Selected bond lengths [\AA] and angles [$^\circ$] in $7 \cdot 2\text{MeCN}$, $7 \cdot \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ and $3 \cdot 6\text{MeCN} \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ [2].

	$7 \cdot 2\text{MeCN}$	$7 \cdot \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$	$3 \cdot 6\text{MeCN} \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$
N(7)–N(9) ^[a]	1.349(5)	1.321(3)	1.354(3)
Ni(1)–N(7)	2.078(4)	2.052(2)	2.065(2)
Ni(1)–N(1)	2.335(5)	2.270(2)	2.276(2)
Ni(1)–N(2)	2.147(4)	2.149(2)	2.186(2)
Ni(1)–N(3)	2.218(5)	2.273(2)	2.279(2)
Ni(1)–S(1)	2.528(2)	2.4537(6)	2.4692(5)
Ni(1)–S(2)	2.477(2)	2.5143(7)	2.4878(5)
Ni(2)–N(9)	2.048(4)	2.083(2)	2.091(2)
Ni(2)–N(4)	2.256(4)	2.271(2)	2.247(2)
Ni(2)–N(5)	2.160(4)	2.157(2)	2.236(2)
Ni(2)–N(6)	2.315(4)	2.262(2)	2.300(2)
Ni(2)–S(1)	2.545(2)	2.4642(7)	2.3983(5)
Ni(2)–S(2)	2.449(2)	2.4964(6)	2.4967(5)
Ni–N	2.195(4)	1.907(2)	2.210(2)
Ni–S	2.500(2)	2.4822(7)	2.4630(5)
Ni \cdots Ni	3.442(1)	3.4253(1)	3.349(1)
Ni–Ni ^{cent} /Ni–Ni ^{cent} [b]	13.261(8)	13.3037(7)	14.040(1)
N–M–N _{cis} ^[c]	88.8(2)	88.83(8)	90.69(6)
N–M–N _{trans} ^[c]	176.1(2)	176.30(9)	177.04(6)
S–M–N _{cis} ^[c]	91.1(1)	91.09(6)	91.06(5)
S–M–N _{trans} ^[c]	170.7(1)	170.47(7)	170.22(5)
S–M–S ^[c]	80.72(5)	80.42(2)	80.46(2)
M–S–M ^[c]	87.03(5)	87.27(2)	85.68(2)

^[a] N(9) corresponds to N(8) in **3**.

^[b] Distance between center of the Ni \cdots Ni axes of the Ni₂ units.

^[c] Average values.

The major structural difference between **3** and **7** concerns the conformations of the tetradentate coligands (Figure 2). In **3**, the Ni₂-heterocycle planes are coplanar with each

1
2
3
4 other, and the central aromatic ring is twisted out of this plane, the dihedral angle being $\tau =$
5
6
7 23.8(2)°. In **7**·2MeCN, the Ni₂N₂ planes are slightly folded with respect to the tetrazolato
8
9 plane (folding angle = 173.4(2)°). The twisting angle between the central aromatic ring and
10
11 the tetrazolato planes is smaller at 12.7(6)°. The corresponding data for **7**·CH₂Cl₂ are
12
13 178.0(1)° and 5.7(3)°. In contrast to **3**, there are also two short intramolecular C···C distances
14
15 between the *t*Bu methyl groups and the aromatic ring, (d(C^{*t*BuMe} centroid phenyl ring): 3.634
16
17 Å), indicative of CH···π interactions [11].
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 << Figure 2 here >>
25
26
27

28 **Figure 2.** Mutual orientation of the Ni₂tetrazolato and Ni₂pyrazolato planes in **7**·2MeCN,
29
30 **7**·CH₂Cl₂ and **3**·6MeCN·2H₂O, respectively.
31
32
33

34 << Figure 3_left and Figure 3_right here >>
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Figure 3.** Packing of the molecules in **7**·CH₂Cl₂ (left) and **7**·2MeCN (right). View along
41
42 the *a* axis. Hydrogen atoms, solvent molecules and counter ions are omitted for clarity.
43
44
45
46

47 Figure 3 presents the packing of the molecules in the structures of **7**·CH₂Cl₂ and
48
49 **7**·2MeCN. As can be seen, there are no significant intermolecular interactions between the
50
51 Ni₄ complexes. The shortest intermolecular Ni···Ni distance is at 8.460(1) Å. The distance
52
53 between the center of the Ni···Ni axes of the binuclear subunits amounts to 13.261(1) Å
54
55 [12].
56
57
58

59 The N–N and N–C distances of the tetrazolato ligands in **7** differ significantly from the
60
corresponding distances of the free 5,5'-(1,4-phenylene)bis-1*H*-tetrazole [13] and non-

1
2
3
4 coordinated 5,5'-(1,4-phenylene)bis-1*H*-tetrazolate anion [14]. Particularly affected are the
5
6 N(7)–N(9) bonds. Thus, for bistetrazole and bistetrazolate these bonds lengths are 1.298(2) Å
7
8 and 1.295(1) Å, much shorter than 1.354(3) Å in **3** or 1.349(5) Å in **7**. Similar differences
9
10 were also observed for the set of tetrazolato complexes, **4** – **6** [5] showing N–N distances of
11
12 1.367(3), 1.331(3) and 1.353(5) Å.
13
14
15
16
17

18 19 **Magnetic Properties**

20 For the complexes **4** – **6**, and **7**·2MeCN temperature dependent magnetic susceptibility
21
22 measurements were carried out using a MPMS 7XL SQUID (Quantum Design)
23
24 magnetometer to investigate whether magnetic exchange interactions are present in the
25
26 complexes. The susceptibility data have been recorded for dry, powdered samples between 2
27
28 and 300 K in an applied external field of 1.0 T. The temperature dependence of the effective
29
30 magnetic moment for the four complexes is shown in Figure 4.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38 << Figure 4 here >>
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 **Figure 4.** Temperature dependence of μ_{eff} for **4** (open stars), **5** (open squares), **6** (open
46
47 triangles), and **7** (open circles). The solid lines represent the best theoretical fits to equations 1
48
49 and 2 (see text). Experimental and calculated values are provided as Supporting Information.
50
51
52

53
54 The complexes **4** – **6** show similar magnetic properties. At room temperature, the respective
55
56 values of μ_{eff} are 4.71 μ_B , 4.67 μ_B and 4.67 μ_B per dinuclear complex. With decreasing
57
58 temperature the μ_{eff} values increase steadily to maximum values of 5.60 μ_B (14 K), 5.35 μ_B
59
60 (22 K) and 5.30 μ_B (20 K) for **4**, **5** and **6**, respectively. On lowering the temperature these

values decrease again to $4.98 \mu_B$, $4.18 \mu_B$ and $4.35 \mu_B$ at 2 K. This presumably occurs due to saturation effects or the zero-field splitting of nickel(II). For the tetranuclear complex **7 a** slightly different behavior is observed. Here μ_B first increases from $6.54 \mu_B$ at 300 K to a maximum value of $7.65 \mu_B$ at 18 K and then again rapidly decreases to $5.62 \mu_B$ at 2 K. The abrupt decrease in μ_B below 18 K is again presumably due to zero-field splitting of Ni^{II} .

Although μ_{eff} at 18 K is smaller than the expected spin-only value of $9.84 \mu_B$ for a $S_T = 4$ ground-state ($S_i = 1$, $g_i = 2.20$), it still is larger than $6.22 \mu_B$, a value which would be expected for four noninteracting Ni^{II} ions. This finding very much compares to what is observed for the structurally similar dipyrazolato complex $[(\text{L}^2\text{Ni})_2(\text{bpz})[\text{BPh}_4]_2]$ (**2**) [4, 15], where also no significant interdimer exchange coupling occurs. In conclusion it can be said that weak ferromagnetic exchange interaction can be noted within the dinuclear subunits, but virtually no interaction across the bistetrazolato bridge is seen.

The magnitude of the exchange interactions was determined by least-squares fitting of the experimental magnetic susceptibility data to the appropriate spin Hamiltonian equation 1 or 2 including the isotropic HDvV exchange, the single-ion Zero-field splitting and the single-ion Zeeman interactions using a full-matrix diagonalization approach [16].

$$H = -2J\hat{S}_1\hat{S}_2 + \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[D_i(\hat{S}_{zi}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\hat{S}_i(\hat{S}_i + 1)) + g_i\mu_B S_{i\tau} B_\tau \right] \quad (\tau = x, y, z) \quad (1)$$

$$H = -2J_1(\hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{S}_2 + \hat{S}_3 \cdot \hat{S}_4) - 2J_2(\hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{S}_4 + \hat{S}_2 \cdot \hat{S}_3) + \sum_{i=1}^4 \left[D_i(\hat{S}_{zi}^2 - \frac{1}{3}\hat{S}_i(\hat{S}_i + 1)) + g_i\mu_B S_{i\tau} B_\tau \right] \quad (\tau = x, y, z) \quad (2)$$

The experimental data of the binuclear compounds **4 – 6** were fitted to equation 1 over the temperature range 2 – 300 K, assuming identical D and g -values for the two Ni^{II} ions in each one of the complexes. The data are listed in Table 2 along with selected structural data. The data of the pyrazolato complex **2** are included for comparison. For the afore-mentioned complexes **4 – 6** J_1 was determined to be $+13.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $+19.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $+19.2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The g -

1
2
3
4 values also show a very narrow distribution, namely 2.28, 2.20 and 2.17. D -values were also
5
6 determined and all of them lie well below 10 cm^{-1} (Table 2). Although the inclusion of the D
7
8 parameter improves the fit dramatically, J values are unaffected by its inclusion. Nonetheless
9
10 the derived D values should be seen rather indicative. The finding that the J_1 , g and D values
11
12 are very similar corroborates nicely with the fact that the complexes **4** – **6** are structurally very
13
14 similar (Table 2).
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 Scheme 3 illustrates the exchange coupling pathways used to model the susceptibility data of
22
23 the tetranuclear complex **7**. In this model the exchange interaction between the Ni^{II} ions
24
25 within a binuclear subunit are represented by J_1 , whereas J_2 describes the interdimer
26
27 interaction across the bistetrazolate bridge.
28
29
30
31
32

33 << Scheme 3 here >>
34
35
36
37

38 **Scheme 3.** Magnetic exchange pathways used for simulation of the magnetic susceptibility
39
40 data of the tetranuclear complex **7** (left) and the dinuclear complexes **4** – **6** (right).
41
42
43

44 The zero-field-splitting parameters D and the g -values were considered to be identical for all
45
46 four Ni^{II} ions. Fitting the experimental data over the full temperature range led to $J_1 = +15.2$
47
48 cm^{-1} , $J_2 = +0.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $g = 2.21$ and $D = +10.80 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The low-temperature fit was significantly
49
50 improved by the inclusion of the D parameter, but one should bear in mind that, since
51
52 temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility measurements are not very appropriate for the
53
54 determination of D -values, the latter should be taken rather indicative than definite. **In**
55
56 **addition, temperature dependent magnetic susceptibility measurement are not very**
57
58 **appropriate for the determination of the sign of D [17].** However, the theoretical fit reveals
59
60

that besides the expected exchange couplings in the binuclear subunits, no significant coupling ($J_2 = +0.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) across the tetrazolate bridge occurs.

Table 2. Magnetic parameters J_1 [cm^{-1}], J_2 [cm^{-1}], g and $|D|$ [cm^{-1}] for **2** and **4-7** and selected structural data.

	2	4	5	6 ^[a]	7 ^[b]
J_1 [cm^{-1}]	+24.0	+13.5	+20.0	+19.2	+15.2
J_2 [cm^{-1}]	<0.1	–	–	–	+0.1
g ^[c]	2.19	2.28	2.20	2.17	2.21
$ D $ [cm^{-1}] ^[c]	4.82	0.98	8.10	5.85	10.80
Ni...Ni [\AA]	3.373(1)	3.455(1)	3.425(1)	3.447(1)	3.4253(1)
S-Ni-S [$^\circ$] ^[d]	82.10(2)	79.79(2)	80.30(3)	79.74(4)	80.42(2)
Ni-S-Ni [$^\circ$] ^[d]	85.53(2)	88.03(2)	87.12(3)	87.92(4)	87.27(2)
N(7)–N(9) [\AA]	1.368(2)	1.367(3)	1.331(3)	1.353(5)	1.321(3)
Ni–N _{coligand} ^[d]	2.021(2)	2.080(2)	2.067(2)	2.061(3)	2.063(4)

^[a] There are two crystallographically independent molecules A and B in the asymmetric unit. The second value refers to molecule B.

^[b] Crystal data refer to $7 \cdot \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$.

^[c] Identical values for each Ni^{II} atom assumed.

^[d] Average values

Table 2 reveals that the tetrazolato complexes **4-7** have smaller J_1 values than the pyrazolate complex **2**. This fact might be attributed to the differences in the Ni–N_{coligand} binding strength. The observation that the tetrazolato complex **4** has the longest Ni–N coligand bonds and the smallest J_1 value would be consistent with this in view. Further experiments are planned in order to establish whether this tendency applies as a general rule.

Conclusion

1
2
3
4 In summary, we presented the synthesis and characterization of a new tetranuclear
5
6 nickel(II) complex, where two Ni₂ amine-thiophenolate subunits are interconnected by a
7
8 5,5'-(1,4-Phenylene)bis-1*H*-tetrazolato bridging ligand. The complex forms discrete
9
10 complexes in solid state and in solution, as verified by various analytical methods. The
11
12 magnetic properties of this compound reveal weak ferromagnetic exchange interactions
13
14 within the dinuclear Ni^{II} subunits. Coupling across the tetrazolato bridge was found to be
15
16 negligible. The dinuclear Ni^{II} complexes **4** – **6** also reveal weak ferromagnetic exchange
17
18 interactions between the Ni^{II} (S=1) ions. The magnitude of the exchange interactions
19
20 appears to decrease with increasing Ni-N^{heterocycle} bond length.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 **Experimental Section**

29
30 *General remarks:* Solvents and reagents were of reagent grade quality and used as received
31
32 unless otherwise specified. Synthetic steps involving [Ni^{II}₂L(μ-Cl)][ClO₄] were carried out
33
34 under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen. The compounds 5,5'-(1,4-phenylene)bis-1*H*-tetrazole
35
36 [**7**], [Ni^{II}₂L(μ-Cl)][ClO₄] [**6**], [Ni^{II}₂L(HCN₄)] [BPh₄] [**5**], [Ni^{II}₂L(MeCN₄)] [BPh₄] [**5**] and
37
38 [Ni^{II}₂L(PhCN₄)] [BPh₄] [**5**] were prepared according to the literature procedures. Melting
39
40 points were determined with a Barnstead Electrothermal IA9100 Series instrument in open
41
42 glass capillaries and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Bruker Vector27
43
44 FT-IR-spectrometer. Electronic absorption spectra were taken on a JASCO V670 UV/vis/near
45
46 spectrometer, elemental analyses on a VARIO EL – elemental analyzer. Temperature-
47
48 dependent magnetic susceptibility measurements on powdered solid samples were carried out
49
50 using a MPMS 7XL SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design) over the temperature range
51
52 from 2 – 300 K at an applied magnetic field of 1.0 Tesla. The observed susceptibility data
53
54 were corrected for underlying diamagnetism.
55
56
57
58
59
60

Safety note! Caution. *Perchlorate salts of transition metal complexes are hazardous and may explode. Only small quantities should be prepared and handled with great care.*

$[(\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}_2\text{L})_2(1,4\text{-(CN)}_4)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_4][\text{BPh}_4]_2$ (**7**). Triethylamine (20 mg, 0.20 mmol) was added to a solution of 5,5'-(1,4-phenylene)bis-1*H*-tetrazole (21 mg, 0.10 mmol) in methanol (30 mL). $[\text{Ni}^{\text{II}}_2\text{L}(\mu\text{-Cl})][\text{ClO}_4]$ (184 mg, 0.20 mmol) was added to this solution with constant stirring. After the reaction mixture was stirred overnight $\text{LiClO}_4 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (160 mg, 1.00 mmol) was added. The resulting green precipitate was collected by filtration, washed several times with cold methanol, and redissolved in methanol (70 mL). A solution of NaBPh_4 (342 mg, 1.00 mmol) in methanol (50 mL) was added. The mixture was allowed to stir for 1 h, before the volume of the solution was reduced in vacuum to about 50 mL, and the green precipitate was filtered off. The green product was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (100 mL) and NaBPh_4 (342 mg, 1 mmol) in methanol (20 mL) was added. After removal of CH_2Cl_2 in vacuum, the product was filtered off, washed with ethanol and dried in vacuum to give 155 mg (64 %) of **7** as a green, air-stable, microcrystalline powder. M.p. 358° C (decomp.). IR (KBr, cm^{-1}): $\nu = 3442$ (m), 3054 (s), 3030 (s), 2997 (s), 2964 (vs), 2896 (s), 2863 (s), 2811 (m), 2744 (w), 1636 (w), 1618 (w), 1580 (m), 1479 (s), 1460 (vs), 1426 (s), 1394 (m), 1363 (s), 1308 (m), 1263 (m), 1233 (s), 1200 (m), 1152 (m), 1132 (m), 1109 (w), 1074 (s), 1055 (s), 1041 (s), 999 (m), 982 (w), 930 (m), 911 (m), 881 (m), 843 (w), 825 (s), 817 (s), 807 (m), 749 (s), 733 (vs), 704 (vs), 668 (w), 629 (m), 611 (s), 564 (w), 543 (w), 492 (w), 470 (w), 418 (w). UV/Vis (CH_2Cl_2): $\lambda_{\text{max}}(\epsilon) = 231$ (93852), 270 (63211), 336 (20681), 391 (4889), 447sh (482), 615 (100), 950sh (70), 1133 nm ($131 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). Elemental analysis (%) for $\text{C}_{133}\text{H}_{174}\text{B}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_{20}\text{Ni}_4\text{S}_4$ (2508.50): calcd. C 63.68, H 6.99, N 11.17, S 5.11 found C 63.76, H 7.07, N 10.90, S 5.07. MS (ESI⁺, CH_2Cl_2): m/z (7^{2+})=891.36. Single-crystals of **7** suitable for an X-ray structure analysis were

grown by slow diffusion of methanol into a solution of **7** in CH₂Cl₂ or by slow evaporation of an ethanol/acetonitrile solution of **7**.

Crystal structure determination

The X-ray diffraction data were collected at 213 K using a IPDS-I (STOE) diffractometer and graphite monochromated Mo-K_α radiation ($\lambda=0.71073$ Å). The intensity data were processed with the program STOE X-AREA [18]. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares on the basis of all data against F^2 using SHELXL-97 [19]. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically whereas the coordinates of the hydrogen atoms were calculated for idealized positions with isotropic displacement parameters.

During refinement **7**·2MeCN was found to contain in summary one acetonitrile molecule per asymmetric unit of which one molecule is only half occupied whereas the other half is additionally disordered on two positions. The DFIX command was used to constrain the bond distances of the acetonitrile molecules to 1.15 Å for the C-N triple bond and 1.50 Å for the C-C distance.

In case of **7**·CH₂Cl₂ the tetraphenyl borate anion was found to be disordered on two positions. Because of this a split atom model for the relevant phenyl groups was introduced using the PART command and the involved carbon atoms were refined isotropically. Electron density attributed to heavily disordered dichloromethane molecules was removed from the structure (and the corresponding F_o) with the SQUEEZE procedure implemented in the PLATON [10] program suite.

Crystal data for **7**·2MeCN: C₁₃₆H₁₇₂B₂N₂₂Ni₄S₄, $M_r = 2499.66$, monoclinic, space group

1
2
3
4 $P2_1/c$, $a = 1385.9(1) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 3021.9(2) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 1689.5(2) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 99.00(1)^\circ$, $V = 6989(1) \text{ \AA}^3$,
5
6 $Z = 2$, $\rho_{\text{calcd}} = 1.188 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$; $T = -60^\circ\text{C}$, $\mu (\text{MoK}\alpha) = 0.645 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$); 33126
7
8 reflections measured, 10618 unique, 5648 with $I > 2\sigma(I)$, refinement converged to $R_I =$
9
10 0.0483, $wR_2 = 0.1443$ ($I > 2\sigma(I)$), 738 parameters and 6 restraints, min./max. residual
11
12 electron density = $+1.1/-0.3 \text{ e/\AA}^3$.
13
14

15
16
17 Crystal data for $7 \cdot \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$: $\text{C}_{132}\text{H}_{172}\text{B}_2\text{N}_{20}\text{Ni}_4\text{S}_4$, $M_r = 2423.60$, monoclinic, space group
18
19 $P2_1/n$, $a = 1711.38(9) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 1749.25(7) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 2491.0(2) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 108.680(4)^\circ$, $V =$
20
21 $7064.3(6) \text{ \AA}^3$, $Z = 2$, $\rho_{\text{calcd}} = 1.139 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$; $T = -60^\circ\text{C}$, $\mu (\text{MoK}\alpha) = 0.635 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ ($\lambda =$
22
23 0.71073 \AA); 29958 reflections measured, 12280 unique, 9929 with $I > 2\sigma(I)$, refinement
24
25 converged to $R_I = 0.0405$, $wR_2 = 0.1268$ ($I > 2\sigma(I)$), 729 parameters, min./max. residual
26
27 electron density = $+0.5/-0.3 \text{ e/\AA}^3$.
28
29
30

31
32
33
34 CCDC 764855-764856 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These
35
36 data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via
37
38 www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.
39
40

41 42 43 **Acknowledgements**


44
45 We are grateful to Prof. Dr. H. Krautscheid for providing facilities for X-ray crystallographic
46
47 measurements. This work was supported by the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* (Priority
48
49 programme "Molecular Magnetism", KE 585/4-3), and the University of Leipzig. J. Lach
50
51 thanks the SAB for an ESF grant.
52
53
54

- 55
56
57
58 [1] L. Yet, Pyrazoles, in: A. R. Katritzky, C. A. Ramsden, E. F. V. Scriven, R. J. K.
59
60 Taylor, V. V. Zhdankin (Eds.), *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry* III, vol. 4,

- 1
2
3
4
5 Elsevier, Oxford, Tokyo, **2008**, pp. 1–141.
- 6
7 [2] P. N. Gaponik, S. V. Voitekhovich, O. A. Ivashkevich, *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *75*,
- 8
9 507–539.
- 10
11 [3] J. Hausmann, V. Lozan, M. H. Klingele, G. Steinfeld, D. Siebert, Y. Journaux, J. J.
- 12
13 Girerd, B. Kersting, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2004**, *10*, 1716–1728.
- 14
15 [4] V. Lozan, P. Solntsev, K. V. Domasevitch, B. Kersting, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2007**,
- 16
17 3217–3226.
- 18
19 [5] V. Lozan, S. V. Voitekhovich, P. N. Gaponik, O. A. Ivashkevich, B. Kersting, *Z.*
- 20
21 *Naturforsch.* **2008**, *63b*, 496–502.
- 22
23 [6] (a) B. Kersting, G. Steinfeld, *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 1376–1377; (b) M. H. Klingele,
- 24
25 G. Steinfeld, B. Kersting, *Z. Naturforsch.* **2001**, *56b*, 901–907; (c) M. H. Klingele, B.
- 26
27 Kersting, *Z. Naturforsch.* **2001**, *56b*, 437–439.
- 28
29 [7] W. G. Finnegan, R. A. Henry, R. Lofquist, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1958**, *80*, 3908–3911.
- 30
31 [8] The $^3A_{2g} \rightarrow ^3T_{1g}(P)$ transition is presumably obscured by the strong LMCT transitions.
- 32
33 [9] V. Lozan, B. Kersting, *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 5386–5393.
- 34
35 [10] PLATON/SQUEEZE, A. L. Spek, *J. Appl. Cryst.* **2003**, *36*, 7–13.
- 36
37 [11] E. A. Meyer, R. K. Castellano, F. Diederich, *Angew. Chem.* **2003**, *115*, 1244–1287;
- 38
39 *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 1210–1250.
- 40
41 [12] Coordinates of the centroid of the central phenyl ring: X1a: 0, 0, 0.
- 42
43 [13] X. He, B. L. An, M. X. Li, *Acta Crystallogr.* **2008**, *E64*, o40.
- 44
45 [14] Z. J. Ma, J. Tao, R. B. Huang, L. S. Zheng, *Acta Crystallogr.* **2005**, *E61*, m361–m363.
- 46
47 [15] V. Lozan, C. Loose, J. Kortus, B. Kersting, *Coord. Chem Rev.* **2009**, *253*, 2244–2260.
- 48
49 [16] julX, E. Bill, http://ewww.mpi-muelheim.mpg.de/bac/logins/bill/julX_en.php.
- 50
51 [17] K. K. Nanda, A. W. Addison, N. Paterson, E. Sinn, L. K. Thompson, U. Sakaguchi,
- 52
53 *Inorg. Chem.* **1998**, *37*, 1028–1036.
- 54
55
56
57
58
59
60


1
2
3
4 [18] X-AREA, Stoe & Cie (2006), Darmstadt, Germany.
5
6

7 [19] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.* **2008**, *A64*, 112–122.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




27x34mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




62x112mm (300 x 300 DPI)




55x46mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



55x46mm (300 x 300 DPI)



99x76mm (300 x 300 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60