

Phosphane Copper(I) Dicarboxylates: Synthesis and Their Potential Use as Precursors for the Spin-coating Process in the Deposition of Copper

Heinrich Lang

► To cite this version:

Heinrich Lang. Phosphane Copper(I) Dicarboxylates: Synthesis and Their Potential Use as Precursors for the Spin-coating Process in the Deposition of Copper. Journal of Inorganic and General Chemistry / Zeitschrift für anorganische und allgemeine Chemie, 2010, 636 (11), pp.1931. 10.1002/zaac.201000082 . hal-00552462

HAL Id: hal-00552462 https://hal.science/hal-00552462

Submitted on 6 Jan 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Zeitschrift für Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie

Phosphane Copper(I) Dicarboxylates: Synthesis and Their Potential Use as Precursors for the Spin-coating Process in the Deposition of Copper

Journal:	Zeitschrift für Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie
Manuscript ID:	zaac.201000082.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	18-May-2010
Complete List of Authors:	Lang, Heinrich; der TU Chemnitz, Institut fÂr Chemie
Keywords:	Copper, Dicarboxylic Acids, Electrolysis, Spin-Coating, Copper Deposition



1
2
2
3
4
5
6
7
1
8
9
10
11
10
12
13
14
15
16
47
17
18
19
20
21
∠ I 00
22
23
24
25
20
20
27
28
29
30
24
31
32
33
34
35
30
36
37
38
39
10
40
41
42
43
44
15
40
40
47
48
49
50
50
DI
52
53
54
55
55
56
57
58
59
60
00

Table 1. Bond distances (Å) and angles (°) of the intermolecular hydrogen bond	of 6d . ^a	l)
---	-----------------------------	----

D-H A	D-H	H A	D A	D-H A
O7–H7O […] O1	1.13(3)	1.35(3)	2.4753(19)	177(3)
O4–H4O […] O6	1.05(4)	1.43(4)	2.463(2)	168(3)

a) D = Donor, A = Acceptor.

	5a	6a	6d
Empirical formula	$C_{78}H_{68}Cl_8Cu_2O_4P_4\\$	$C_{38}H_{82}CuO_4P_3$	$C_{31}H_{58}CuNO_4P_2$
Formula weight	1603.88	759.49	634.26
<i>T /</i> K	298(2)	293(2)	100(1)
Radiation, λ /Å	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073
Crystal system, space group	monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	triclinic, P1
Unit cell dimensions a, b,c /Å	12.656(5)	13.246(3)	13.3023(9)
	21.622(9)	13.785(3)	15.6730(11)
	30.730(13)	25.771(5)	19.1704(10)
α, β, γ /°			93.788(5)
	97.958(8)	93.863(4)	105.727(5)
			111.759(7)
$V/\text{\AA}^3$	8328(6)	4695.0(15)	3510.5(4)
Z, Calculated density / $g \cdot cm^{-3}$	4, 1.279	4, 1.074	4, 1.200
Absorption coefficient /mm ⁻¹	0.888	0.599	0.745
<i>F</i> (000)	3288	1664	1368
Crystal size /mm	0.3 x 0.2 x 0.2	0.5 x 0.1 x 0.05	0.2 x 0.2 x 0.18
θ range /°	1.34 to 23.26	1.68 to 25.35	2.89 to 26.08
Index ranges	$-14 \le h \le 13, 0 \le k \le 24, 0 \le l \le 34$	$-15 \le h \le 15, -16 \le k \le 16, -31 \le l \le 31$	$-16 \le h \le 16, -16 \le k \le 19, -23 \le l \le 23$
Reflections collected	67882	45083	26289
Reflections unique	15686 [$R(int) = 0.0600$]	8374 [R(int) = 0.0667]	13314 [$R(int) = 0.0219$]
Completeness to theta max.	99.6	97.5	95.6
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents	Semi-empirical from equivalents	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.99999 and 0.33977	1 and 0.712398	1.00656 and 0.99347
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	11888 / 192 / 977	8374 / 0 / 415	13314/0/711
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.040	1.020	0.945

Table 2. Crystal and Intensity Collection Data for 5a, 6a, and 6d.

 ZAAC

Final <i>R</i> indices $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$ <i>R</i> indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0969, wR_2 = 0.2716$ $R_1 = 0.1439, wR_2 = 0.3273$	$R_1 = 0.0667, wR_2 = 0.1750$ $R_1 = 0.1491, wR_2 = 0.2087$	$R_1 = 0.0311, wR_2 = 0.0690$ $R_1 = 0.0596, wR_2 = 0.0760$	
Largest diff. peak and hole /e $Å^{-3}$	2.410 and -0.458	0.558 and -0.378	0.438 and -0.363	
$\overline{R_1 = [\sum(F_0 - F_c)/\sum F_0); wR_2} = [\sum(F_0)/\sum F_0]$	$w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2) / \sum (wF_o^4)]^{1/2}$. $S = [\sum w(F_o^4)^2]^{1/2}$.	$F_{\rm o}^2 - F_{\rm c}^2)^2]/(n-p)^{1/2}$. $n =$ number of r	eflections, $p = $ parameters used.	
		Wiley-VCH		

Considered to be published in Zeitschrift für Anorganische und Allgemeine Chemie (Alex8.doc)

Phosphane Copper(I) Dicarboxylates: Synthesis and Their Potential Use as Precursors for the Spin-coating Process in the Deposition of Copper^{\dagger}

Alexander Jakob, Tobias Rüffer, Petra Ecorchard, Bernhard Walfort, Kathrin Körbitz, Swantje Frühauf, ^{a)} Stefan E. Schulz, ^{a)} Thomas Gessner, ^{a)} and Heinrich Lang*⁾

Chemnitz, Technische Universität, Fakultät für Naturwissenschaften, Institut für Chemie, Lehrstuhl für Anorganische Chemie

a) Chemnitz, Technische Universität, Fakultät für Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik,
 Zentrum für Mikrotechnologien, Reichenhainerstr. 70, 09126 Chemnitz (Germany)

Bei der Redaktion eingegangen am ...

Abstract.

Dicopper dicarboxylates $[(R_3P)_mCuXCu(PR_3)_m]$ (**5a**, $X = O_2CCO_2$, R = Ph, m = 2; **5b**, $X = O_2CCO_2$, $R = {}^nBu$, m = 3) were prepared by treatment of $[Cu_2O]$ (**1a**) with HO₂CCO₂H (**2a**) in presence of PR₃ (**4a**, R = Ph; **4b**, $R = {}^nBu$). A further synthesis approach to mono- and dicopper dicarboxylates is given using an electrolysis cell equipped with Cu electrodes and charged

[†] Dedicated to Prof. Dr. Rüdiger Kniep on occasion of his 65th birthday.

ZAAC

with acids H_2X and phosphanes R_3P . Without addition of a base mononuclear $[(^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{m}CuXH]$ (6a, m = 3, XH = O₂CCO₂H; 6b, m = 3, XH = O₂CCH₂CO₂H; 6c, m = 3, XH = $O_2CCH_2CH_2CO_2H$; 6d, m = 2, XH = $O_2C-2-C_5H_4N-6-CO_2H$) was formed, while in presence of NEt₃ the dicopper systems $[(R_3P)_mCuXCu(PR_3)_m]$ (5a, X = O₂CCO₂, R = Ph, m = 2; **5b**, X = O₂CCO₂, R = ^{*n*}Bu, m = 3; **5c**, X = O₂CCH₂CO₂, R = ^{*n*}Bu, m = 3; **5d**, X = $O_2CCH_2CH_2CO_2$, R = ⁿBu, m = 3; **5e**, X = $O_2C-2-C_5H_4N-6-CO_2$, R = ⁿBu, m = 3) were produced. When **6a** was reacted with $[(\text{tmeda})\text{Zn}^n\text{Bu}_2]$ (7), trimetallic $[(\text{tmeda})\text{Zn}((^n\text{Bu}_3\text{P})_3\text{Cu}$ $O_2CCO_2_2$ (8) was accessible. In this heterobimetallic complex the Zn(tmeda) unit spans two CuO₂CCO₂ entities. The molecular structures of 5a, 6a and 6d in the solid state were determined by single X-ray structure analysis. Complexes 5a and 6a are monomers, while 6d creates in the solid state a linear open chain coordination polymer by hydrogen bridge formation. Characteristic for **6d** is the somewhat distorted trigonal bipyrimidal geometry around Cu with the ^{*n*}Bu₃P ligands in axial and the C₅H₃NCO₂H oxygen and nitrogen atoms in equatorial positions. In **5a** the oxalate connectivity binds in a μ -1,2,3,4 fashion being part of a planar Cu₂(oxalate) core. TG studies of several mono- and dicopper dicarboxylates were carried out. Release of the PR₃ ligands is recognized and the remaining Cu-(di)carboxylate unit decomposes to afford elemental Cu and CO₂. The deposition of Cu onto pieces of PVD-Cu oxidized silicon wafers by applying the spin-coating process and using 5c and 5d as precursors is discussed.

* Prof. Dr. H. Lang
Techn. Universität
Inst. f. Chemie, Anorganische Chemie
Straße der Nationen 62
D-09111 Chemnitz
E-mail: heinrich.lang@chemie.tu-chemnitz.de. Phone: (+49)371-531-21210. Fax: (+49)371-531-21219.

Keywords: Copper; Dicarboxylic Acids; Electrolysis; Spin-coating; Copper Deposition

Introduction

Copper deposition processes play, for example, an important role in micro- and nanoelectronics industry [1]. Numerous deposition methods, such as ionized metal plasma, physical, chemical and atomic vapor deposition, plasma-assisted and plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition, as well as electroplating and electroless plating have been used to deposit metal films on different surfaces [2]. For copper CVD (= Chemical Vapor Deposition) and ALD (= Atomic Layer Deposition) processes several copper(I) and copper(II) organometallics and metal-organic complexes were developed as precursor materials [3]. Among them copper(I)- β -diketonates [L_mCu(β -diketonate)] (L = phosphane, phosphite, alkene, alkyne, ...; *m* = 1, 2) were prepared to obtain an assortment of precursors with different physical and chemical properties [4]. However, these species decompose by a thermally induced disproportionation giving elemental copper along with Cu(II)- β -diketonates and the free Lewis-bases L [30, 4]. Very recently, the successful use of copper(I) and silver(I) carboxylates as efficient CVD precursors was reported [3c, 5]. Their gas-phase behavior using temperature-programmed and *in*-

ZAAC

situ mass spectrometry was established [5d-f]. The advantage of these compounds in comparison with the appropriate β -diketonate species is that they produce pure metal films in quantitative yield [3c,o, 5g, 6]. Next to CVD and ALD metallization processes the spin-coating process is an alternative technique for the deposition of metals [7].

We here describe the synthesis of phosphane mono- and dicopper dicarboxylates by using chemical and electrochemical synthesis methodologies. The use of these compounds as spincoating precursors for the deposition of copper onto pieces of PVD-copper oxidized silicon wafer materials will be discussed.

Results and discussion

The preparation of copper(I) dicarboxylates can be realized by using chemical [8] and electrochemical [5g, 9] procedures of which the latter method proved to be more efficient and additionally allows the straightforward synthesis of mono- and di-copper dicarboxylates depending on the chemical composition of the electrolyte solution.

Synthesis, reaction chemistry, and characterization

One possibility to synthesize dicopper dicarboxylates $[(R_3P)_mCuXCu(PR_3)_m]$ (**5a** [10], X = O₂CCO₂, R = Ph, *m* = 2; **5b**, X = O₂CCO₂, R = ^{*n*}Bu, *m* = 3) is given by the reaction of Cu₂O (**1a**) with HO₂CCO₂H (**2a**) and PR₃ (**4a**, R = Ph; **4b**, R = ^{*n*}Bu) in presence of MgSO₄, whereby the phosphanes are added in a 1:4 or 1:6 molar ratio in pyridine at 50 °C (Reaction 1). After appropriate work-up, **5a** and **5b** could be isolated in virtually quantitative yield.

$$Cu_{2}O \qquad \xrightarrow{HO_{2}CCO_{2}H (2a),} \\ \underbrace{4 \text{ PR}_{3} (4a) \text{ or } 6 \text{ PR}_{3} (4b)}_{\text{Pyridine, MgSO}_{4}} \rightarrow (R_{3}P)_{m}CuO_{2}CCO_{2}Cu(PR_{3})_{m} \qquad (1)$$

$$1 \qquad 5a: R = Ph, m = 2$$

$$5b: R = {}^{n}Bu, m = 3$$

Though the latter synthesis methodology gives pure **5**, the disadvantage of this method is that only small quantities of the corresponding copper complexes are accessible per batch (5 g scale) and that work-up requires purification steps. This inspired us to search for another and hence, more straightforward synthesis procedure, which resulted in the electrochemical preparation of copper dicarboxylates by the oxidation of a sacrificial anode in a *non*-aqueous solution in presence of the appropriate acid H_2X and PR_3 (Schemes 1 and 2). It was not necessary to add any extra electrolyte.

In a typical experiment, the direct electrochemical oxidation of copper was carried out in acetonitrile containing H₂X and PR₃ at 40 °C (Scheme 2). The initial voltage was 2 - 6 V depending on the nature of H₂X and PR₃. Electrolysis was carried out until insignificant deposition of copper metal on the cathode was observed (1 – 4.5 hours). The formation of hydrogen, as consequence of the cathodic reduction of H⁺, was visually observed. After electrolysis the reaction solutions were transferred to Schlenk flasks and all volatiles were removed in *vacuum* to exclusively leave mononuclear complexes [(ⁿBu₃P)_mCuXH] (**6a**, m = 3, XH = O₂CCO₂H; **6b**, m = 3, XH = O₂CCH₂CO₂H; **6c**, m = 3, XH = O₂CCH₂CO₂H; **6d**, m = 2, XH = O₂C-2-C₅H₄N-6-CO₂H) as colorless (**6a** - **6c**) or yellow (**6d**) solids (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1: Schematic representation of the electrochemical synthesis of mononuclear copper complexes **6a** – **6d**.

From Scheme 1 it can be seen that a convenient and hence, straightforward synthesis route to a variety of mono-copper(I) dicarboxylates exists, when no additional electrolyte is added. However, to selectively obtain dicopper(I) dicarboxylates it is necessary to add triethylamine to the reaction solution to increase the conductivity of the electrolyte solution by formation of ammonium salts [Et₃NH]₂X and hence, the formation of hydrogen from Et₃NH⁺ is facilitated. The electrochemical preparation of $[(R_3P)_mCuXCu(PR_3)_m]$ (**5a**, X = O₂CCO₂, R = Ph, *m* = 2; **5b**, X = O₂CCO₂, R = ^{*n*}Bu, *m* = 3; **5c**, X = O₂CCH₂CO₂, R = ^{*n*}Bu, m = 3; **5d**, X = O₂CCH₂CH₂CO₂, R = ^{*n*}Bu, m = 3; **5e**, X = O₂C-2-C₅H₄N-6-CO₂, R = ^{*n*}Bu, m = 3) followed essentially the same procedure as described earlier, phosphanes PR₃ had to be added in a 1:4 or 1:6 ratio based on H₂X (Scheme 2). Metal-organics **5a** - **5d** are colorless solids, **5e** is yellow. Complexes **5b** - **5e** dissolve in common organic solvents including *n*-pentane, toluene, diethyl ether, dichloromethane, and tetrahydrofuran, **5a** is only soluble to a minor extent in acetonitrile. All coordination compounds should best be stored under inert gas atmosphere, handling without significant decomposition for a period of 30 minutes under air is possible.



Scheme 2: Electrolytic synthesis of the dicopper dicarboxylates 5a – 5e.

The use of Ph_3P and nBu_3P phosphanes influence the formation of products **5a** – **5e** which results in either two (Ph_3P) or three (nBu_3P) auxiliary ligands on the copper atom. This different behavior, most probably attributed to steric hindrance [11], has a significant influence on the binding mode of the appropriate carboxylic unit to copper. In the case of Ph_3P the oxalato unit is chelate-bonded, while nBu_3P favors a mono-dentate binding.

The synthesis of complexes 5 and 6 by electrolysis (Schemes 1 and 2) allows the preparation of analytically pure mono- and dicopper carboxylates in virtually quantitative yields without extensive purifications methods. This makes them excellent starting materials for the deposition of elemental copper by, for example, the spin-coating process (vide infra).

Furthermore, mono-nuclear 6a - 6e with their *non*-coordinated HO₂C functionality should allow the introduction of a further metal-organic building block. Exemplary, we reacted 6awith [(tmeda)ZnⁿBu₂] (7) (tmeda = N,N'-<u>t</u>etra<u>m</u>ethyl<u>e</u>thylene<u>dia</u>mine) in a 2:1 molar ratio in diethyl ether at ambient temperature (Reaction 2). After appropriate work-up, the trimetallic Cu₂Zn complex **8** could be isolated as colorless oil in quantitative yield. Complex **8** dissolves

ZAAC

in most organic solvents and can be handled in air for a short period (3 hours). In **8** two $({}^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{3}Cu$ -oxalato entities are connected by a hexa-coordinated Zn(tmeda) unit, whereby a dynamic exchange behavior of the ligands and a fluctuation of the oxalate binding mode at ambient temperature could not be excluded. Most likely one of the two CO₂ oxalato moieties is chelate-bonded to zinc(II) and the other unit is σ -bonded to copper(I). [12]



The identities of **3**, **5** – **6** and **8** have been confirmed by elemental analysis, IR, ¹H, ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ and ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectroscopy (if soluble). The molecular structures of **5a**, **6a** and **6b** in the solid state were determined by single X-ray structure analysis, thus confirming the structural assignment made from spectroscopic analyses.

Most characteristic in the IR spectra of all complexes are the strong \tilde{v}_{CO} vibrations of the carboxylato units between 1350 - 1732 cm⁻¹ (Experimental Section). Whereas, the asymmetric \tilde{v}_{CO} bands (1578 – 1732 cm⁻¹) can be always detected, the respective symmetric vibrations (1388 to 1464 cm⁻¹) only at times, which is attributed to additional ligand absorptions in this region. The difference of the asymmetric and symmetric CO vibrations ($\Delta \tilde{v}$) gives an indication of the binding mode of the carboxylate ligand. [13] For complexes **5a**, **5b** and **5e** $\Delta \tilde{v}$ was determined to 187, 172, and 167 cm⁻¹, respectively, indicating that the RCO₂ units are in a μ -bridging mode as characteristic for ionic acetetate species ($\Delta \tilde{v} = 164 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). [13] Complexes **5c** ($\Delta \tilde{v} = 225 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and **5d** ($\Delta \tilde{v} = 203 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) show a typical monodentate binding of the carboxylate groups, while it appeared that for **6a** – **6d** possessing a CO₂H and a MCO₂ fragment it is difficult to unequivocally assigning the appropriate vibrations. The IR spectra of **6a** - **6d** dis-

play, as expected, typical broad \tilde{v}_{OH} bands at ca. 3400 cm⁻¹ for the free CO₂H moieties pointing to hydrogen bridge formation.

 ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H}$ NMR spectroscopy can be used to monitor the progress of the formation of **5** and **6** during electrolysis (Experimental Section). For example, the resonance signal for **4a** at -32.2 ppm is shifted to lower field (-22.1 ppm, **5b**; -22.3 ppm, **6a**) upon complexation of the phosphorus atom to copper(I), which is representative in transition metal phosphane chemistry. [5g, 14] The ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ resonances are usually observed as broad signals, due to possible ligand exchange processes and the quadrupol effect of copper. [5g, 15]

The successful formation of phosphane copper(I) complexes **5** and **6** were also confirmed by ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectroscopies. The appropriate spectra show the expected signals together with the typical coupling patterns for the PR₃, XH or X ligands (Experimental Section).

The molecular structures of **5a**, **6a** and **6d** in the solid state were determined by single Xray crystallographic analysis. Suitable crystals of **5a** were obtained by the diffusion of *n*hexane into a dichloromethane solution containing **5a** at 25 °C, while crystals of **6a** and **6d** could be grown by cooling a concentrated *n*-hexane solution containing either **6a** or **6d** to -30 °C. ORTEP diagrams and selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) are shown in Figures 1 (**5a**), 2 and 3(**6a**), as well as 4 and 5 (**6d**), respectively. Crystal and intensity collection data are summarized in Table 2 (Experimental Section).



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of 5a with the atom numbering scheme. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 30 % probability level. All hydrogen atoms and the four dichloromethane solvent molecules are omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Cu1–P1 2.262(3), Cu1–P2 2.203(2), Cu1–O1 2.103(5), Cu1–O2 2.104(5), Cu2–P3 2.265(3), Cu2–P4 2.204(2), Cu2–O3 2.087(5), Cu2–O4 2.117(6), C1–C2 1.541(12); P1–Cu1–P2 125.02(9), O1–Cu1–O2 79.3(2), P1–Cu1–O1 103.90(17), P2–Cu1–O1 118.84(16), P3–Cu2–P4 126.20(10), O3–Cu2–O4 79.5(2), P3–Cu2–O3 104.29(17), P3–Cu2–O4 101.11(18), Cu1–O1–C1 117.4(7), Cu1–O2–C2 112.8(5), Cu2–O3–C1 112.6(5), Cu2–O4–C2 112.5(5), O1–C1–C2 117.3(7), O1–C1–O3 124.8(7), O2–C2–C1 117.4(7), O2–C2–O4 125.8(7), O3–C1–C2 117.8(7), O4–C2–C1 116.9(7).

Complex **5a** features a planar Cu₂(oxalato) core with the oxalato unit in a μ -1,2,3,4 bridging mode (Figure 1). Each copper(I) ion (Cu1, Cu2) is thereby coordinated by two phosphorus (P1, P2; P3, P4) and two oxygen atoms (O1, O2; O3, O4) resulting in an pseudo-tetrahedral environment at copper. The copper-phosphorus and copper-oxygen separations are comparable to similar bond distances found in other (di)copper(I) oxalato and copper-phosphane complexes [8a, 16].



Figure 2. ORTEP diagram (30 % probability level) of the molecular structure of 6a. All carbon-bonded hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Cu1–O1 2.134(3), Cu1–P1 2.2812(12), Cu1–P2 2.2762(13), Cu1–P3 2.2745(14); Cu1–O1–C2 124.5(3), O1–Cu1–P3 100.93(10), O1–Cu1–P1 94.55(8), P1–Cu1–P2 116.96(5), P1–Cu1–P3 116.51(5).

Complex **6a** crystallized in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$. The molecular structure of **6a** shows a somewhat distorted tetrahedral geometry around Cu1 with three phosphorus (P1, P2, P3) and one oxalato oxygen atom(s) (O1) bonded to Cu1 (Figure 2). All bond distances and angles are comparable to those values found elsewhere [8b, 17 – 19]. Two molecules of **6a** interact with each other, due to the formation of intermolecular hydrogen bridges. A graphical illustration of a selected dimer is shown in Figure 3 together with geometrical details of the hydrogen bridge.





Figure 3. The dimer of 6a in the solid state formed by intermolecular hydrogen bonds. Label 'A' refers to atoms of a symmetry generated molecule of 6a. Hydrogen bridge bond lengths and angles: < D-H^{...}A (O4–H4O^{...}O2) = 144(6) °, D-H (O4–H4O) = 0.89(7) Å, H^{...}A (H4O^{...}O2) = 1.92(7) Å, D^{...}A (O4^{...}O2) = 2.688(5) Å. (D = donor, A = acceptor.)

Molecule **6d** crystallized with two crystallographically independent molecules **6dA** and **6dB** (**6dA**: possessing the Cu(I) ion labeled Cu1, **6dB**: possessing the Cu(I) ion labeled Cu2) in the asymmetric unit in the triclinic space group *P*1 (Figure 4). Related bond distances of **6dA** and **6dB** differ up to 2.5 %, while related bond angles show higher differences (up to 5 %). The two crystallographically independent molecules of **6d** are connected to each other by the formation of an intermolecular hydrogen bond between the carboxylic acid functionality of **6dB** (O7-H7o) and the carboxylic group of **6dA** (O1) (Figure 4, Table 1).





Figure 4. ORTEP diagram (50 % probability level) of the two crystallographically independent molecules of 6d with the atom numbering scheme. All carbon-bonded hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): *Molecule A*: Cu1–N1 2.0696(14), Cu1–P1 2.2295(6), Cu1–P2 2.2234(6), Cu1–O1 2.3962(13), Cu1–O3 2.5658(14); P1–Cu1–N1 109.43(4), P2–Cu1–N1 115.13(4), P1–Cu1–P2 134.09(2), P1–Cu1–O1 100.92(4), P2–Cu1–O1 101.16(4). *Molecule B*: Cu2–N2 2.0672(15), Cu2–P3 2.2112(6), Cu2–P4 2.2241(6), Cu2–O5 2.4521(14), Cu2–O7 2.5058(13); P3–Cu2–N2 118.73(4), P4–Cu2–N2 106.79(4), P3–Cu2–P4 134.11(2), P3–Cu2–O5 93.47(4), P4–Cu2–O5 93.87(4).

Furthermore, a second intermolecular hydrogen bridge is formed by the carboxylic acid function of **6dA** (O4-H4o) and the carboxylic unit of a symmetry generated molecule of **6dA** (O6) (Figure 5, Table 1). Thus, complex **6d** forms in the solid state a 1D chain, due to repeated formation of intermolecular hydrogen bonds (Figure 5).

Page 17 of 39

ZAAC





Figure 5. Representative part of one 1D chain formed by molecules of 6d in the solid state by intermolecular hydrogen bonds. All hydrogen atoms, except the *O*-bonded ones, have been omitted for clarity.

In **6d** the nitrogen atom of individual O₂C-2-C₅H₄N-6-CO₂H moieties is coordinated to Cu(I). In addition, the Cu(I) ions interact with two oxygen donors of the O₂C-2-C₅H₄N-6-CO₂H group, whereby one oxygen refers to the negatively charged O₂C oxygen atom (**6dA**: d(Cu1-O1: 2.3962(13) Å; 6dB: d(Cu2-O5: 2.4521(14) Å) and the second oxygen donor refers to the carbonyl HO₂C oxygen (**6dA**: d(Cu1-O3: 2.5658(14) Å; 6dB: d(Cu2-O7: 2.5058(13) Å). All Cu(I)–O distances are significantly longer than the sum of the ionic radii (2.17 Å) of participating ions but, on the other side, significantly shorter than the sum of the van-der-Waals radii of copper and oxygen (2.90 Å), respectively. [20] Thus, all Cu-O interactions of **6dA** and **6dB** can be regarded as weak, giving rise to a trigonal-bipyramidal coordination geometry around Cu1 ($\tau = 0.193$) and Cu2 ($\tau = 0.187$). [21] As usually observed for

trigonal-bipyramidal coordination geometries, the more electronegative ligands occupy the apical positions. [22]

 D-H^{...}A
 D-H
 H^{...}A
 D^{...}A
 D-H^{...}A

 O7-H7O^{...}O1
 1.13(3)
 1.35(3)
 2.4753(19)
 177(3)

 O4-H4O^{...}O6
 1.05(4)
 1.43(4)
 2.463(2)
 168(3)

Table 1. Bond distances (Å) and angles (°) of the intermolecular hydrogen bonds of **6d**. ^{a)}

a) D = Donor, A = Acceptor.

Thermal gravimetric and spin-coating deposition experiments

Metal thin film deposition, by using the spin-coating process, is a technique for coating a thin metal film of *non*-volatile precursor materials within a few tens up to several hundred of nanometers onto a substrate or onto previously deposited layers. [7] On heating, a chemical change at the surface takes place leaving a metal film. This method is, when compared with other deposition techniques, [2] a very cost-effective method because it does not require complicated technical and hence, expensive equipment.

It is helpful before carrying out such deposition experiments that the thermal solid state behavior of the metal organic precursors is known. Thus, thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out with selected samples of **5** and **6** to evaluate the decomposition behavior with increasing temperature. It was found that the appropriate phosphane copper(I) oxalato complexes decompose to elemental copper by release of PR₃ and CO₂, respectively. The thermal decomposition pattern for all other complexes is somewhat different since minor impurities of carbon are observed as well (Experimental Section). The decomposition of all complexes is completed at 450 °C. Exemplarily, the thermal gravimetric curve for **5c** is shown in Figure 6.

ZAAC

Under typical TG conditions, complex **5c** undergoes a decomposition which starts at 95 °C and ends at 330 °C. The mass loss of 93.4 % is in accordance with the theoretical percentage calculated for the formation of elemental copper, the difference is less then 2.5 %. Organic products formed during the redox process of the internal dicarboxylic entity are in general volatile and vaporize during the decomposition process.



Figure 6. TG trace for metal-organic 5c (heating rate 8 K min⁻¹, nitrogen atmosphere, flow 20 L h^{-1}).

Based on the thermal properties obtained from TG studies we chose **5c** and **5d** as potential spin-coating precursors. Precursor samples obtained from both complexes had a high viscosity. They were dispensed from a pipette onto a pre-cleaned and afterwards oxidized silicon wafer coated with a double layer of sputter deposited TiN diffusion barrier and Cu with a thickness of 40/100 nm. A spin-off speed of 1100 rpm was chosen in order to get a thin homogeneous liquid film on the wafer after 30 seconds of dispersion time. An industrial spin-coating system (Suss Microtec) with a closed deposition chamber and a protecting nitrogen stream was used for these studies. The treated wafers were transferred to an oven and have then been heated with a defined ramp rate of 8 K min⁻¹ up to 450 °C. The decomposition temperature followed the thermal gravimetric curve shown in Figure 6. The films have been annealed at 400 °C for 1 h in N₂/H₂ (ratio 98:2) atmosphere. SEM images of obtained copper films from **5c** and **5d** are shown in Figures 7 (**5c**) and 9 (**5d**). As it can be seen from Figure 7,

the copper film obtained form **5c** is not completely homogeneous and shows defects. This can be explained by the different film thickness obtained from the spin-coating process, which upon heating produced defects due to unequal evaporation of the *in-situ* formed reaction products leading to partially cracked copper films.



Figure 7. Surface SEM images showing the morphology of the copper film obtained from 5c on a PVD-copper coated oxidized silicon substrate (350 °C, heating rate 8 K min⁻¹, 1000 rpm, 10 s).

The results of EDX studies at the formed copper metal layers are shown in Figure 8. The sample deposited from **5c** displays a pure copper film without major contaminations from the precursor (Figure 8). In contrast, for the sample with the copper film derived from **5d** an oxygen as well as an increased carbon signal has been detected. This is assumed to result from an incomplete decomposition of the precursor and formation of copper oxides, rather than resulting from the silicon oxide from the substrate, since no silicon signal was detected.





Figure 8. EDX spectra of the copper films obtained from 5c (top) and 5d (bottom).



Figure 9. Surface SEM image showing the morphology of the copper film obtained from 5d on a PVD-copper coated oxidized silicon substrate (350 °C, heating rate 8 K min⁻¹, 1000 rpm, 10 s).

Conclusion

Straightforward synthesis methodologies for the preparation of mono- and dicopper dicarboxylates of structural type $[(^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{m}CuXH]$ and $[(R_{3}P)_{m}CuXCu(PR_{3})_{m}]$ (X = O₂CCO₂,

 $O_2CCH_2CO_2$, $O_2C(CH_2)_2CO_2$, $O_2C-2-C_5H_3N-6-CO_2$; m = 2, 3; $R = {}^nBu$, Ph) is discussed. The molecular structures of $[(Ph_3P)_2CuO_2CCO_2Cu(PPh_3)_2]$, $[({}^nBu_3P)_3CuO_2CCO_2H]$, and $[({}^nBu_3P)_3CuO_2C-2-C_5H_3N-6-CO_2H]$ in the solid state were determined, whereby these are the first single x-ray crystal structures of $({}^nBu_3P)_mCu$ carboxylates. While complex $[(Ph_3P)_2CuO_2C-CO_2Cu(PPh_3)_2]$ is monomeric and contains chelated carboxylic moieties, compound $[({}^nBu_3P)_3.CuO_2CCO_2H]_2$ is a dimer setup by hydrogen bridges between the CO_2H moieties. Complex $[({}^nBu_3P)_3CuO_2C-2-C_5H_3N-6-CO_2H]$ forms a linear open 1D coordination polymer. The different coordination behavior of the carboxylic units in L₂Cu and L₃Cu compounds is attributed to the number of the auxiliary ligands present and hence, to the steric hindrance and electronic nature at copper(I). The reaction chemistry of $[({}^nBu_3P)_3CuO_2CCO_2H]$ towards $[(tmeda)Zn^nBu_2]$ (tmeda = N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylene-1,2-diamine) is reported, whereby a zinc reagent complex of higher nuclearity is obtained.

The thermal decomposition of dicopper dicarboxylates shows in all cases that the PR₃ ligands are well separated from the internal redox processes of the internal Cu₂-dicarboxylate units. The deposition of elemental copper onto pieces of PVD-copper coated oxidized silicon wafer materials by applying the spin-coating process and using $[(^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{3}CuO_{2}CCH_{2}CO_{2}Cu-(P^{n}Bu_{3})_{3}]$ and $[(^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{3}CuO_{2}CCH_{2}CH_{2}CO_{2}Cu(P^{n}Bu_{3})_{3}]$ as potential precursors is discussed. The obtained layers are, however, not defect free. The EDX results of these films showed that pure copper films were obtained with the $[(^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{3}CuO_{2}CCH_{2}CO_{2}Cu(P^{n}Bu_{3})_{3}]$ precursor, while it could not be satisfactorily shown that copper films obtained from $[(^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{3}CuO_{2}C-CH_{2}CH_{2}CO_{2}Cu(P^{n}Bu_{3})_{3}]$ are not free of carbon and oxygen impurities.

Experimental Section

Materials and Methods

ZAAC

Complexes $[(\text{tmeda})\text{Zn}^n\text{Bu}_2]$ (7) was prepared in analogy to a published procedure [23]. Chemicals were purchased from commercial providers and were used as received.

All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen using standard Schlenk techniques. Tetrahydrofuran, diethyl ether and *n*-hexane were purified by distillation from sodium/benzophenone ketyl; dichloromethane was purified by distillation from calcium hydride. Celite (purified and annealed, Erg. B.6, Riedel de Haen) was used for filtrations. Infrared spectra were recorded with a Perkin Elmer FT-IR spectrometer Spectrum 1000. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker Avance 250 spectrometer operating at 250.130 MHz in the Fourier transform mode; ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra were recorded at 62.860 MHz. Chemical shifts are reported in δ units (parts per million) downfield from tetramethylsilane with the solvent as reference signal (¹H NMR: CDCl₃ (99.8 %), $\delta = 7.26$; (CD₃)₂CO (99.9 %), $\delta =$ 2.05; CD₃CN (99.8 %), $\delta = 1.94$. ¹³C{¹H} NMR: CDCl₃ (99.8 %), $\delta = 77.16$; (CD₃)₂CO (99.9 %), $\delta = 29.84$, 206.26). ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded at 101.255 MHz in CDCl₃ with P(OMe)₃ as external standard ($\delta = 139.0$, rel. to H₃PO₄ (85 %) with $\delta = 0.00$). Thermogravimetric studies were done with the Perkin Elmer System Pyris TGA 6 with a constant heating rate of 8 °C min⁻¹ under N₂ (20.0 dm³ h⁻¹). DSC experiments were carried out with the Perkin Elmer System Pyris DSC 6 with a constant heating rate of 8 °C min⁻¹ under N₂ (20.0 dm³ h⁻¹). Melting points were determined using analytically pure samples, sealed off in nitrogen purged capillaries on a Gallenkamp MFB 595 010 M melting point apparatus. Microanalyses were performed with a C, H, N-analyzer FlashAE 1112 (Thermo Company). The Spin-coating experiments were carried out on an industrial spin-coating system from Suss Microtec Inc. with a closed deposition chamber. A precursor sample of 1.6 g of the respective complex was diluted in 0.4 mL of tetrahydrofuran and was mixed for 2 minutes under a nitrogen stream. Since tetrahydrofuran is volatile, a rotation speed of 1100 rpm was chosen. The sample was dispensed on pre-cleaned PVD-copper oxidized silicon wafers and after spin-off for 10 seconds the film was almost dry. The applied heating rate was 15 °C min⁻¹, maximum

ZAAC

thermolysis temperature 450 °C, and dwell time 0.5 hours. SEM micrographs were recorded on a SEM instrument type DSM 982 Gemini from LEO Corp. EDX analysis was preformed on the EDX system EDWIN (Röntec Corp.) attached to the SEM.

Synthesis of $(Ph_3P)_2CuO_2CCO_2Cu(PPh_3)_2$ (**5a**) and $({}^nBu_3P)_3CuO_2CCO_2Cu-(P{}^nBu_3)_3$ (**5b**)

Method A

To 20 mL of pyridine were added 430 mg (3.00 mmol) of copper(I) oxide (**1b**), 252 mg (2.80 mmol) of oxalic acid (**2a**), 2.94 g (11.20 mmol) of **4a** or 3.90 g (16.80 mmol) of **4b**, and 2.0 g of MgSO₄. After 4 hours of stirring at 25 °C, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The title compounds were obtained as a colorless solid (**5a**) or oil (**5b**). Yield: 3.49 g (2.76 mmol, 99 %, based on **2a**) **5a**; 3.89 g (2.72 mmol, 97 %, based on **2a**). Both complexes should best be stored at -30 °C to prevent them for decomposition.

Method B

 $[(Ph_3P)_2CuO_2CCO_2Cu(PPh_3)_2]$ (5a) [10]

0.48 g (5.32 mmol) of oxalic acid (**2a**), 5.59 g (21.29 mmol) of triphenylphosphane (**4b**) and 0.54 g (5.323 mmol, 0.74 mL) of triethylamine were dissolved in 60 mL of acetonitrile in an electrolysis cell equipped with copper electrodes and a stirring bar. The reaction was performed with a cell potential of 2 V at 40 °C over a period of 3 hours. After 1.5 hour a colorless compound precipitated. The supernatant solution was decanted and the remaining residue was washed twice with diethyl ether (20 mL). Yield: 6.73 g (5.32 mmol, 99 % based on **2a**).

Mp: 185 °C. Anal. calc. for C₇₄H₆₀Cu₂O₄P₄ (1264.25) C 70.30, H, 4.78; found C 70.09, H, 4.91 %. **IR** (KBr, cm⁻¹): $\tilde{v} = 1620$ (vs, CO), 1433 (vs, CO). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 6.50 - 7.85$

(m, 60 H, Ph). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 128.5$ (°C/C₆H₅), 129.2 (^{*p*}C/C₆H₅), 134.0 (d, $J_{CP} = 15$ Hz, ^{*m*}C/C₆H₅), 134.7 (^{*i*}C/C₆H₅), 160.0 (CO). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = -5.3$.

$[(^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{3}CuO_{2}CCO_{2}Cu(P^{n}Bu_{3})_{3}]$ (5b)

Complex **5b** was prepared by the method, as described for the synthesis of **5a**: 0.50 g (5.55 mmol) of oxalic acid (**2a**), 6.74 g (33.32 mmol) of **4a** and 0.56 g (5.323 mmol, 0.77 mL) of triethylamine in 60 mL of acetonitrile. Reaction conditions: potential 2 V, reaction time 4.5 hours, temperature 40 °C. After appropriate work up, complex **5b** was obtained as colorless oil. Yield: 7.936 g (5.55 mmol, 99 %, based on **2a**).

Anal. calc. for C₇₄H₁₆₂Cu₂O₄P₆ (1429.01) C 62.20, H 11.43; found C 62.61, H 11.56 %. **IR** (NaCl, cm⁻¹): $\tilde{v} = 1635$ (s, CO), 1463 (s, CO). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.89$ (t, $J_{HH} = 6.8$ Hz, 54 H, P(CH₂)₃CH₃), 1.27 - 1.48 (m, 108 H, P(CH₂)₃CH₃). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 14.0$ (P(CH₂)₃CH₃), 24.6 (d, $J_{CP} = 12$ Hz, P(CH₂)₂CH₂CH₃), 26.2 (d, $J_{CP} = 6$ Hz, PCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 27.5 (d, $J_{CP} = 8$ Hz, PCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₃), 163.1 (CO). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = -22.1$.

Synthesis of $(^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{3}CuO_{2}CCH_{2}CO_{2}Cu(P^{n}Bu_{3})_{3}$ (5c)

Compound **5c** was prepared as described for **5a**: 0.18 g (1.73 mmol) of malonic acid (**2b**), 2.10 g (10.38 mmol, 2.56 mL) of **4a** and 0.35 g (1.73 mmol, 0.48 mL) of triethylamined. Cell potential 4 V, 40 °C, 1.5 hours. After appropriate work-up complex **5c** was isolated as colorless oil. Yield: 2.50 g (1.70 mmol, 99 %, based on **2b**).

Anal. calc. for C₇₅H₁₆₄Cu₂O₄P₆ (1443.06) C 62.42, H, 11.46; found C 62.32, H, 11.50 %. **IR** (NaCl, cm⁻¹): $\tilde{v} = 1602$ (vs, CO), 1377 (vs, CO). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.87$ (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.0 Hz, 54 H, CH₃), 1.32 - 1.40 (m 108 H, P(CH₂)₃CH₃), 3.04 (s, 2 H, O₂CCH₂CO₂). ¹³C{¹H} **NMR** (CDCl₃): $\delta = 13.9$ (P(CH₂)₃CH₃), 24.7 (d, ³*J*_{CP} = 12 Hz, P(CH₂)₂CH₂CH₃), 26.1 (PCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 27.6 (d, ¹*J*_{CP} = 8 Hz, PCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₃), 38.7 (O₂CCH₂CO₂), 173.7 (CO).

Page 26 of 39

³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = -26.5. TGA (8 K/min): Step 1: T_{start} = 95 °C, T_{end} = 230 °C, Δm = 58.7 %; Step 2: T_{start} = 230 °C, T_{end} = 333 °C, Δm = 34.7 %. DSC (8 K/min): Peak 1: T = 182 °C, ΔH_1 = -40.1 J/g; Peak 2: T = 193 °C, ΔH_2 = -7.3 J/g.

ZAAC

Synthesis of $({}^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{3}CuO_{2}CCH_{2}CH_{2}CO_{2}Cu(P^{n}Bu_{3})_{3}$ (5d)

Complex **5d** was synthesized as described earlier (synthesis of **5a**). Starting materials: 0.20 g (1.72 mmol) of succinic acid (**2c**), 2.08 g (21.29 mmol) of **4a**, and 0.35 g (1.73 mmol, 0.48 mL) of triethylamine. Cell potential 6 V, 40 °C, 2 hours. After appropriate work-up, **5b** was isolated as colorless oil. Yield: 2.50 g (1.70 mmol, 99 %, based on **2c**).

Anal. calc. for C₇₆H₁₆₆Cu₂O₄P₆ (1457.09) C 62.64, H, 11.48; found C 62.38, H, 11.08 %. **IR** (NaCl, cm⁻¹): $\tilde{v} = 1620$ (vs, CO), 1417 (vs, CO). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.86$ (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.0 Hz, 54 H, CH₃), 1.30 - 1.42 (m 108 H, P(CH₂)₃CH₃), 2.53 (s, 4 H, O₂CCH₂CH₂CO₂). ¹³C{¹H} **NMR** (CDCl₃): $\delta = 13.9$ (P(CH₂)₃CH₃), 24.7 (d, ³*J*_{CP} = 12 Hz, P(CH₂)₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 26.1 (PCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 27.6 (d, ¹*J*_{CP} = 9 Hz, PCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₃), 32.6 (O₂CCH₂CH₂CO₂), 177.5 (CO). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = -27.1$. **TGA** (8 K/min): Step 1: *T*_{start} = 61 °C, *T*_{end} = 197 °C, $\Delta m = 37.8$ %; Step 2: *T*_{start} = 197 °C, *T*_{end} = 256 °C, $\Delta m = 41.8$ %; Step 3: *T*_{start} = 256 °C, *T*_{end} = 412 °C, $\Delta m = 11.7$ %. **DSC** (8 K/min): Peak 1: *T* = 107 °C, $\Delta H_1 = 66.2$ J/g; Peak 2: *T* = 207 °C, $\Delta H_2 = -78.5$ J/g.

Synthesis of $[({}^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{3}CuO_{2}C-2-C_{6}H_{4}N-6-CO_{2}Cu(P^{n}Bu_{3})_{3}]$ (5e)

Complex **5e** was synthesized as described earlier (synthesis of **5a**). 0.50 g (2.99 mmol) of 2,6-pyridine-dicarboxyilc acid (**2e**), 3.63 g (17.95 mmol, 4.48 mL) of **4a**, and 0.30 g (2.99 mmol, 0.42 mL) of triethylamine in 60 mL of acetonitrile. The reaction was performed with a cell potential of 4 V at 40 °C over a period of 3 hours. When elemental copper or black copper deposited at the cathode, the reaction was immediately stopped. Afterwards, the yellow

Page 27 of 39

ZAAC

solution was transferred to a 250 mL Schlenk tube and all volatiles were removed in *oil-pump* vacuum giving **5e** as yellow oil. Yield: 4.51 g (2.99 mmol, 99 %, based on **2e**).

Anal. calc. for C₇₉H₁₆₅Cu₂NO₄P₆ (1506.09) C 63.00, H, 11.04; N, 0.93; found C 63.04, H, 11.33; N, 0.97 %. **IR** (NaCl, cm⁻¹): $\tilde{\nu} = 1630$ (vs, CO), 1463 (s, CO). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta =$ 0.90 (t, $J_{\text{HH}} = 6.6$ Hz, 54 H, P(CH₂)₃CH₃), 1.20 - 1.75 (m, 108 H, P(CH₂)₃), 7.71 (s, 1 H, C₅H₃N/⁴H), 8.32 (d, $J_{\text{HH}} = 7.7$ Hz, 2 H, C₅H₃N/^{3, 5}H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 13.7$ (CH₃), 24.4 (d, $J_{\text{CP}} = 14$ Hz, CH₂CH₃), 25.5 (PCH₂CH₂), 27.3 (PCH₂), 126.0 (C₅H₃N), 136.3 (C₅H₃N), 153.0 (C₅H₃N); 168.1 (CO). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = -25.0$.

Synthesis of $[(^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{3}CuO_{2}CCO_{2}H]$ (6a)

0.63 g (7.00 mmol) of oxalic acid (**2a**) and 4.25 g (21.00 mmol, 5.24 mL) of tri-*n*butylphosphane (**4a**) were dissolved in 60 mL of acetonitrile in an electrolysis cell equipped with copper electrodes and a stirring bar. The reaction was preformed with a cell potential of 2 V at 40 °C over a period of 1 h. Afterwards the colorless solution was transferred to a 250 mL Schlenk tube and all volatiles were removed in *oil-pump* vacuum. Complex **6a** was thereby obtained as colorless oil. Yield: 5.31 g (7.00 mmol, 99 %, based on **2a**).

Mp: 35 °C. Anal. calc. for C₃₈H₈₂CuO₄P₃ (759.49) C 60.09, H 10.88; found C 60.38, H, 10.77 %. **IR** (KBr, cm⁻¹): \tilde{v} = 3417 (w, CO₂H), 1635 (vs, CO), 1405 (vs, CO). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 0.91 (t, 27 H, CH₃), 1.21 – 1.75 (m, 54 H, CH₂), 10.92 (s, 1 H, CO₂H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 14.2 (P(CH₂)₃CH₃), 25.0 (P(CH₂)₂CH₂CH₃), 25.3 (PCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 27.2 (PCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₃), 163.1 (CO), 163.2 (CO). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = -22.3.

Synthesis of $[(^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{3}CuO_{2}CCH_{2}CO_{2}H]$ (6b)

Compound **6b** was synthesized as described for the preparation of **6a**. As starting materials were used: 0.27 g (2.59 mmol) of malonic acid (**2b**) and 1.57 g (7.76 mmol) of tri-*n*-butylphosphane (**4a**). The reaction was performed with a cell potential of 2 V at 40 °C over a

Wiley-VCH period of 2 hours. After appropriate work-up, compound **6b** was obtained as colorless oil. Yield: 1.95 g (2.52 mmol, 97 %, based on **2b**).

Anal. calc. for C₃₉H₈₄CuO₄P₃ (773.55) C 60.55, H 10.95; found C 60.28, H, 11.23 %. **IR** (NaCl, cm⁻¹): $\tilde{v} = 3420$ (w, CO₂H), 1731 (s, CO), 1602 (s, CO), 1463 (s, CO). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.86$ (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.0 Hz, 27 H, P(CH₂)₃C*H*₃), 1.26 - 1.36 (m, 54 H, P(CH₂)₂), 2.57 (bs, 4 H, CH₂), 10.93 (bs, 1 H, CO₂H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 13.7$ (P(CH₂)₃CH₃), 24.7 (d, *J*_{CP} = 12 Hz, P(CH₂)₂CH₂CH₃), 25.3 (d, *J*_{CP} = 12 Hz, PCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 26.9 (PCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₃), 38.6 (CH₂CO₂H), 173.3 (CO), 173.4 (CO). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = -22.6$.

Synthesis of $[(^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{3}CuO_{2}CCH_{2}CH_{2}CO_{2}H]$ (6c)

Complex **6c** was prepared as described for **6a**: 0.30 g (2.54 mmol) of succinic acid (**2c**) and 1.54 g (7.61 mmol) of tri-*n*-butylphosphane (**4a**) were reacted. The cell potential was 2 V at 40 °C over a period of 4 hours. Complex **6c** was obtained as colorless oil. Yield: 1.89 g (2.40 mmol, 95 %, based on **2c**).

Anal. calc. for C₄₀H₈₆CuO₄P₃ (787.58) C 61.00, H 11.01; found C 61.09, H, 11.14 %. **IR** (NaCl, cm⁻¹): $\tilde{v} = 3420$ (w, CO₂H), 1724 (s, CO), 1565 (s, CO), 1511 (s, CO), 1464 (s, CO). ¹**H NMR** (CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.92$ (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.2 Hz, 27 H, P(CH₂)₃CH₃), 1.45 - 1.58 (m, 36 H, PCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₃), 1.48 - 1.55 (m, 18 H, PCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₃), 2.49 (bs, 2 H, CH₂), 3.03 (bs, 2 H, CH₂), 11.71 (bs, 1 H, CO₂H). ¹³C{¹H} **NMR** (CDCl₃): $\delta = 13.8$ (P(CH₂)₃CH₃), 24.6 (d, *J*_{CP} = 12 Hz, P(CH₂)₂CH₂CH₃), 25.0 (d, *J*_{CP} = 12 Hz, PCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₃), 27.0 (d, *J*_{CP} = 3 Hz, P(CH₂)₂CH₂CH₃), 32.6 (O₂(CH₂)₂CO₂), 32.8 (O₂(CH₂)₂CO₂), 178.3 (CO), 177.5 (CO). ³¹P{¹H} **NMR** (CDCl₃): $\delta = -22.6$.

Synthesis of $[(^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{3}CuO_{2}C-2-C_{6}H_{4}-6-CO_{2}H]$ (6d)

ZAAC

Complex **6d** was synthesized as described earlier (synthesis of **6a**). 1.00 g (5.98 mmol) of 2,6-pyridine-dicarboxyilc acid (**2e**) and 2.42 g (11.97 mmol) of tri-*n*-butylphosphane (**4a**) were dissolved in 60 mL of acetonitrile. The reaction was performed with a cell potential of 2 V at 40 °C over a period of 4 hours. After appropriate work-up, **6d** was obtained as yellow oil. Yield: 3.79 g (5.98 mmol, 99 %, based on **2e**).

Mp: 72 °C. Anal. calc. for C₃₁H₅₈CuO₄P₂ (634.27) C 58.70, H 9.22; N, 2.21; found C 59.11, H, 9.13; N 2.21 %. **IR** (KBr, cm⁻¹): \tilde{v} = 3463 (w, CO₂H), 1736 (s, CO), 1355 (s, CO). ¹**H** NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 0.77 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 6.5 Hz, 27 H, CH₃), 1.18 - 1.69 (m, 54 H, CH₂), 8.00 (pt, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.9 Hz, 1 H, ⁴H/py), 8.42 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.8 Hz, 2 H, ³H, ⁵H/Ph), 12.81 (s, 1 H, CO₂H). ¹³C{¹**H**} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 13.9 (P(CH₂)₃CH₃), 24.6 (P(CH₂)₂CH₂CH₃), 24.7 (PCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 26.5 (PCH₂(CH₂)₂CH₃), 127.6 (³C/py), 138.6 (⁴C/py) 148.9 (²C/py), 166.5 (CO). ³¹P{¹**H**} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = -20.8.

Synthesis of $[(tmeda)Zn((^{n}Bu_{3}P)_{3}CuO_{2}CCO_{2})_{2}]$ (8)

To 0.39 g (1.32 mmol) of **7** dissolved in 30 mL of diethyl ether were dropwise added 2.00 g (2.63 mmol) of **6a** dissolved in 30 mL of diethyl ether at -30 °C. After 1 h of stirring at this temperature the reaction solution was warmed to 25 °C and stirring was continued for another hour at this temperature. All volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, whereby the title complex was obtained as colorless oil. Yield: 2.24 g (1.32 mmol, 99 %, based on **7**).

Anal. calc. for C₈₂H₁₇₈Cu₂N₂O₈P₆Zn (1698.62) C 57.98, H, 10.56; N, 1.65; found C 57.73, H, 10.65; N, 1.38 %. **IR** (NaCl, cm⁻¹): $\tilde{v} = 1679$ (s, CO), 1616 (vs, CO), 1464 (s, CO). ¹H **NMR** (CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.83$ (t, $J_{\text{HH}} = 7.0$ Hz, 54 H, P(CH₂)₃CH₃), 1.20 - 1.80 (m, 108 H, P(CH₂)₃), 2.32 (bs, 12 H, NCH₃), 2.45 (bs, 4 H, NCH₂). ¹³C{¹H} **NMR** (CDCl₃): $\delta = 13.9$ (P(CH₂)₃CH₃), 24.7 (CH₂CH₃), 25.1 (PCH₂CH₂), 27.1 (PCH₂), 47.2 (NCH₃), 56.6 (NCH₂), 168.3 (CO), 168.5 (CO), 168.6 (CO), 168.7 (CO). ³¹P{¹H} **NMR** (CDCl₃): $\delta = -23.7$.



Crystal Structure Determinations

Crystal data for **5a**, **6a**, and **6d** are presented in Table 2. Data were collected with a Oxford Gemini 5 (**6d**) or a Bruker SMART CCD 1K diffractometer at 298 K (**5a** and **6a**) or 100 K (**6d**) using Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). The molecular solid state structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97 [24] and refined by full-matrix least-square procedures on F² using SHELXL-97 [25]. All *non*-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and a riding model was employed in the refinement of the hydrogen atom positions. In case of **6a** and **6d** the positions of *O*-bonded hydrogen atoms were taken from the difference Fourier map and refined isotropically. In case of **5a** the four dichloromethane molecules as packing solvents have been refined disordered on two positions with occupation factors of 0.52/0.48 (C75, C11, C12/C75', C11', C12'), 0.445/0.555 (C76, C13, C14/C76', C13', C14'), 0.427/0.573 (C77, C15, C16/C77', C15', C16') and 0.644/0.356 (C78, C17, C18/C78', C17', C18').

15 16

30

32

	5a	6a	6d
Empirical formula	$C_{78}H_{68}Cl_8Cu_2O_4P_4\\$	$C_{38}H_{82}CuO_4P_3$	$C_{31}H_{58}CuNO_4P_2$
Formula weight	1603.88	759.49	634.26
<i>T /</i> K	298(2)	293(2)	100(1)
Radiation, λ /Å	0.71073	0.71073	0.71073
Crystal system, space group	monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	triclinic, P1
Unit cell dimensions a, b,c /Å	12.656(5)	13.246(3)	13.3023(9)
	21.622(9)	13.785(3)	15.6730(11)
	30.730(13)	25.771(5)	19.1704(10)
<i>α, β, γ Ι</i> °			93.788(5)
	97.958(8)	93.863(4)	105.727(5)
			111.759(7)
V/Å ³	8328(6)	4695.0(15)	3510.5(4)
Z, Calculated density / $g \cdot cm^{-3}$	4, 1.279	4, 1.074	4, 1.200
Absorption coefficient /mm ⁻¹	0.888	0.599	0.745
<i>F</i> (000)	3288	1664	1368
Crystal size /mm	0.3 x 0.2 x 0.2	0.5 x 0.1 x 0.05	0.2 x 0.2 x 0.18
θ range /°	1.34 to 23.26	1.68 to 25.35	2.89 to 26.08
Index ranges	$-14 \le h \le 13, 0 \le k \le 24, 0 \le l \le 34$	$-15 \le h \le 15, -16 \le k \le 16, -31 \le l \le 31$	$-16 \le h \le 16, -16 \le k \le 19, -23 \le l \le 23$
Reflections collected	67882	45083	26289
Reflections unique	15686 [$R(int) = 0.0600$]	8374 [$R(int) = 0.0667$]	13314 [$R(int) = 0.0219$]
Completeness to theta max.	99.6	97.5	95.6
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents	Semi-empirical from equivalents	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.99999 and 0.33977	1 and 0.712398	1.00656 and 0.99347
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	11888 / 192 / 977	8374/0/415	13314/0/711
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.040	1.020	0.945



Final <i>R</i> indices $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0969, wR_2 = 0.2716$	$R_1 = 0.0667, wR_2 = 0.1750$	$R_1 = 0.0311, wR_2 = 0.0696$
<i>R</i> indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.1439, wR_2 = 0.3273$	$R_1 = 0.1491, wR_2 = 0.2087$	$R_1 = 0.0596, wR_2 = 0.0760$
Largest diff. peak and hole /e $Å^{-3}$	2.410 and -0.458	0.558 and -0.378	0.438 and -0.363
$R_1 = [\Sigma(F_2 - F_2)/\Sigma F_2); wR_2 = [\Sigma(w(F_2^2 - F_2^2))/\Sigma(wF_2^4)]^{1/2}, S = [\Sigma w(F_2^2 - F_2^2)^2]/(n-p)^{1/2}, n = \text{number of reflections}, p = \text{parameters used}.$			

 $\frac{1}{R_1 = [\sum(||F_0| - |F_c|)/\sum|F_0|); wR_2 = [\sum(w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2)/\sum(wF_0^4)]^{1/2}. S = [\sum w(F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2]/(n-p)^{1/2}. n = \text{number of reflections}, p = \text{parameters used}.$

Supplementary Material

Crystallographic data for the structural analyses of **5a**, **6a**, and **6d** have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC No. 764731 for **5a**, CCDC No. 764729 for **6a**, and CCDC No. 764730 for **6d**. Copies of this information may be obtained free of charge from The Director, CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EZ UK (Fax. +44-1223-336033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk or www: http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (International Research Training Group GRK 1215, "Materials and Concepts for Advanced Interconnects"), the BASF AG, Ludwigshafen, and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie for financial support.

References

- [1] a) S. P. Murarka, R. J. Gutmann, A. E. Kaloyeros, W. A. Lanford, *Thin Solid Films*, 1993, 236, 257; b) P. Doppelt, T. H. Baum, *MRS Bull.*, 1994, 19, 41; c) A. V. Gelatos, A. Jain, R. Marsh, C. J. Mogab, *MRS Bull.*, 1994, *19*, 49; d) C. Whitman, M. M. Moslehi, A. Paranjpe, L. Velo, T. Omstead, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A*, 1999, *17*, 1893; e) Semiconductor Industry Association (Ed.), The International Technology Roadmap for Semiconductors ITRS, 2005 (http://www.itrs.net).
- [2] a) C. Niu, F. Wang, G. Magsamen, B. Le, B. Stephenson, *Thin Solid Films*, 2008, 516, 1826; b) A. P. Ehiasarian, J. G. Wen, I. Petrov, *J. Appl. Phys.* 2007, *101*, 054301; c) W. Zhang, L. Yi, J. Tu, P. Chang, D. Mao, J. Wu, J. Elec. Mater. 2005, 34, 1307; d) O. Zimmer, *Surf. Coat. Technol.*, 2005, *200*, 440; c) P. Kumara, F. Zhua, A. Madan, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2008, *33*, 3938; d) L. Zeng, H. Peng, W. Wang, Y. Chen, W. Qi,

D. Lei, J. Liang, J. Zhao, X. Kong, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 10735; e) Q. Cheng,
S. Xua, J. W. Chai, S. Y. Huang, Y. P. Ren, J. D. Long, P. P. Rutkevych, K. Ostrikov,
Thin Solid Films, 2008, 516, 5991; f) S. Mathur, T. Ruegamer, N. Donia, H. Shen, J.
Nanosci. Nanotechnol, 2008, 8; 2597; g) J. Prokop, G. Finnah, J. Lorenz, V. Piotter, R.
Ruprecht, J. Hausselt, Microsyst Technol, 2008, 14,1669; h) N. Uchiya, Y. Furuta, H.
Nishikawa, T. Watanabe, J. Haga, T. Satoh, M. Oikawa, Y. Ishii, T. Kamiya,
Microsyst Technol, 2008, 14, 1537; i) B.-J. Kim, S.-J. Park, J. Colloid Interface Sci.,
2008, 325, 297; j) K. Klosová, J. Hubálek, Phys. Stat. Sol. A, 2008, 205, 1435; k) L.
Yuan, A. Goldbach, H. Xu, J. Membr. Sci., 2008, 322, 39; l) C. Domínguez-Ríos,
M.V. Moreno, R. Torres-Sánchez, Wilber Antúnez, A. Aguilar-Elguézabal, J. González-Hernández, Surf. Coat. Technol, 2008, 202, 4848; m) H. Lang, R. Buschbeck
"Deposition of Metals and Metal Oxides by Means of Metal Enolates" in The Chemistry of Metal Enolates, J. Zabicky (Ed.), John Wiley & Sons Limited, Chichester, 2009.

a) H. Kim, H. B. Bhandari, S. Xu, R. G. Gordon, J. Electrochem. Soc. 2008, 155, H496; b) S. H. Yoon, K. W. Seo, S. S. Lee, I.-W. Shim, Thin Solid Films, 2006, 515, 1544; c) A. Grodzicki, I. Łakomska, P. Piszczek, I. Szymánska, E. Szłyk, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2005, 249, 2232; d) P. D. Tran, P. Doppelt, Surf. Coat. Technol. 2007, 201, 9066; e) I.B. Szymańska, P. Piszczek, W. Bała, K. Bartkiewicz, E. Szłyk, Surf. Coat. Technol. 2007, 201, 9015; f) N. G. Semaltianos, Surf. Coat. Technol. 2007, 201, 7327; g) S. W. Kang, D. J. Seong, J. Y. Yun, S. W. Rhee, Electrochem. Solid-State Lett. 2006, 9, C161; h) L. Wang, G. L. Griffin, J. Electrochem. Soc. 2006, 153, C137; i) J. A. T. Norman, WO 2008/085426, 2008; j) G. Scarel, M. Fanciulli, Materials for Information Technology, 2005, 31; k) J. Rickerby, J. H. G. Steinke, Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1525; l) N. Roth, A. Jakob, T. Waechtler, S. E. Schulz, T. Gessner, H. Lang, Surf. Coat. Technol. 2007, 201, 9089; m) Y. Shen, M. Leschke, S. E. Schulz, R. Ecke, T. Gessner, H. Lang, Heinrich, Chin. J. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 20, 1257.

Wiley-VCH

ZAAC

[4] a) H.-K. Shin, K. M. Chi, J. Farkas, I. M. J. Hampden-Smith, T. T. Kodas, E. N. Duesler, *Inorg. Chem.*, **1992**, *31*, 424; b) T. T. Kodas, M. J. Hampden-Smith, *The Chemistry of Metal CVD*, VCH Verlagsgesellschaft mbH, Weinheim, 1994; c) G. Schmidt, U. Behrens, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **1996**, *509*, 49; d) Y. Shen, T. Rüffer, S. E. Schulz, T. Gessner, L. Wittenbecher, H.-J. Sterzel, H. Lang, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **2005**, *690*, 3878; e) H. Lang, M. Leschke, M. Melter, B. Walfort, K. Köhler, S. E. Schulz, T. Geßner, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, **2003**, *629*, 2371.

- [5] a) A. Jakob, H. Schmidt, P. Djiele, Y. Shen, H. Lang, *Microchem. Acta.*, 2007, 156, 77; b) T. Haase, K. Kohse-Höinghaus, N. Bahlawane, P. Djiele, A. Jakob, H. Lang, *Chem. Vap. Depositon*, 2005, 11, 195; c) T. Haase, K. Kohse-Höinghaus, B. Atakan, H. Schmidt, H. Lang, *Chem. Vap. Deposition*, 2003, 9, 144; d) H. Schmidt, Y. Shen, M. Leschke, Th. Haase, K. Kohse-Höinghaus, H. Lang, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2003, 669, 25; e) A. Jakob, Y. Shen, T. Wächtler, S. E. Schulz T. Gessner, R. Riedel, C. Fasel, H. Lang, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2008, 634, 2226.
- [6] a) P. Doppelt, *Microelectro. Eng.* 1997, *37/38*, 89; b) Y. Shen, T. Rüffer, S. E. Schulz, T. Gessner, L. Wittenbecher, H.-J. Sterzel, H. Lang, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2005, 690, 3878; c) J. Teichgräber, S. Dechert, F. Meyer, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2005, 690, 5255.
- [7] a) A. Jakob, H. Schmidt, B. Walfort, G. Rheinwald, S. Frühauf, S. Schulz, T. Gessner,
 H. Lang, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2005, 631, 1079; b) L. Rast, A. Stanishevsky, Appl.
 Phys. Lett. 2005, 87, 223118; c) S. Schaefers, L. Rast, A. Stanishevsky, Mater. Lett.
 2006, 60, 706; c) J. He, T. Kunitake, Langmuir 2006, 22, 7881.
- [8] a) K. Köhler, J. Eichhorn, F. Meyer, D. Vidovic, Organomettalics 2003, 22, 4426; b)
 C.-H. Wu, L. Sun, B. C. Faust, J. Phys. Chem. A 2000, 104, 4989; b) D. J.
 Darensbourg, M. W. Holtcamp, B. Khandelwal, J. H. Reibenspies, Inorg. Chem. 1994, 33, 531; c) D. J. Darensbourg, M. W. Holtcamp, J. H. Reibenspies, Polyhedron 1996,

15, 2341; d) P.-L. Fabre, A. M. Galibert, B. Soula, F. Dahan, P. Castan, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2001, 1529.

- [9] N. Kumar, D. G. Tuck. K. D. Watson, *Can. J. Chem.*, **1987**, *65*, 740.
- [10] J. Diez, S. Falagan, P. Gamasa, J. Gimeno, *Polyhedron*, **1988**, *7*, 37.
- [11] a) K. A. Bunten, L. Chen, A. L. Fernandez, A. J. Poe, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2002, 233/234, 41; b) C. A. Tolman, *Chem. Rev.* 1977, 77, 313.
- [12] a) S. J. Lippard, J. J. Mayerle, *Inorg. Chem.* 1972, *11*, 753; b) E. L. Muetterties, C. W. Alegranti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1970, *92*, 4115, c) S. J. Friese, B. E. Kucera, L. Que, Jr., W. B. Tolman, *Inorg. Chem.* 2006, *45*, 8003; d) D. A. Brown, N. J. Fitzpatrick, H. Müller-Bunz, A. T. Ryan, *Inorg. Chem.* 2006, *45*, 4497; e) D. A. Brown, W. Errington, N. J. Fitzpatrick, W. K. Glass, T. J. Kemp, H. Nimir, A. T. Ryan, *Chem. Commun.* 2002, 1210.
- [13] a) D. A. Edwards, R. Richards, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1975, 637; b) K. Nakamoto, Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, Wiley Intersince, 1997, 232.
- [14] E. R. Bartholomew, S. H. Bertz, S. Cope, D. C. Dorton, M. Murphy, C. A. Ogle, *Chem. Commun.* 2008, 1176.
- [15] a) D. J. Eisler, C. W. Kirby, R. J. Puddephatt, *Inorg. Chem.* 2003, 42, 7626; b) K. B.
 Nilsson, I. Persson, *Dalton Trans.* 2004, 1312; c) S. Berger, S. Braun, H. O.
 Kalinowski, *NMR-Spektroskopie von Nichtmetallen*, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 1993, 88, and references therein.
- [16] a) D. J. Darensbourg, M. W. Holtcamp, E. M. Longridge, B. Khandelwal, K. K. Klausmeyer, J. H. Reibenspies, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1995, *117*, 318; b) D. J. Darensbourg, M. W. Holtcamp, B. Khandelwal, K. K. Klausmeyer, J. H. Reibenspies, *Inorg. Chem.* 1994, *33*, 2036.
- [17] D. J. Darensbourg, D. L. Larkins, J. H. Reibenspies, *Inorg. Chem.* 1998, 37, 6125.

Wiley-VCH

1		
2 3 4	[18]	A. I. Wallbank, A. Borecki, N. J. Taylor, J. F. Corrigan, Organometallics 2005, 24,
5 6 7		788.
7 8 9	[19]	FK. Zheng, Y. Cui, WD. Cheng, JS. Huang, Chin. J. Struct. Chem. 1997, 16, 365.
10 11	[20]	A. Bondi, J. Phys. Chem. 1964, 68, 441.
12 13 14	[21]	A. W. Addison, T. N. Rao, J. Reedijk, J. van Rijn, G. C. Verschoor, J. Chem. Soc.,
15 16		Dalton Trans. 1984, 1349.
17 18	[22]	A. R. Rossi, R. Hoffmann, Inorg. Chem. 1975, 14, 365.
19 20 21	[23]	a) J. G. Noltes, J. W. van den Hurk, J. Organomet. Chem. 1964, 1, 377; b) H. K.
22 23		Hofstee, J. Boersma, J. D. van der Meulsen, G. J. M. van der Kerk, J. Organomet.
24 25 26		<i>Chem.</i> 1978 , <i>153</i> , 245.
20 27 28	[24]	G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1990, 46, 467.
29 30	[25]	G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement, University
31 32 33		of Göttingen, 1997.
33 34 35		
36 37		
38 39		
40		
41 42		
43		
44 45		
46		
47		
40 49		
50		
51		
52 52		
55 54		
55		
56		
57		

- 58 59
- 60

Synopsis

Phosphane Copper(I) Dicarboxylates: Synthesis and Their Potential Use as Precursors for the Spin-coating Process in the Deposition of Copper

Alexander Jakob, Tobias Rüffer, Petra Ecorchard, Bernhard Walfort, Kathrin Körbitz, Swantje Frühauf, Stefan E. Schulz, Thomas Gessner, and Heinrich Lang

Two synthesis methodologies, and the reaction chemistry of a series of phosphane copper(I) dicarboxylates is discussed. Their use as precursors in the spin-coating process for copper deposition is reported.

Pictogram

Phosphane Copper(I) Dicarboxylates: Synthesis and Their Potential Use as Precursors for the Spin-coating Process in the Deposition of Copper

Alexander Jakob, Tobias Rüffer, Petra Ecorchard, Bernhard Walfort, Kathrin Körbitz, Swantje Frühauf, Stefan E. Schulz, Thomas Gessner, and Heinrich Lang

