



HAL
open science

A mutated thermostable *Thermus aquaticus* DNA polymerase with reverse transcriptase activity for one step RNA pathogen detection

Andreas Marx, Ramon Kranaster, Nicole Engel, Manfred Weidmann, Frank Hufert, Matthias Drum

► **To cite this version:**

Andreas Marx, Ramon Kranaster, Nicole Engel, Manfred Weidmann, Frank Hufert, et al.. A mutated thermostable *Thermus aquaticus* DNA polymerase with reverse transcriptase activity for one step RNA pathogen detection. *Biotechnology Journal*, 2010, 5 (2), pp.224. 10.1002/biot.200900200 . hal-00552334

HAL Id: hal-00552334

<https://hal.science/hal-00552334v1>

Submitted on 6 Jan 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



A mutated thermostable *Thermus aquaticus* DNA polymerase with reverse transcriptase activity for one step RNA pathogen detection

Journal:	<i>Biotechnology Journal</i>
Manuscript ID:	biot.200900200.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Oct-2009
Complete List of Authors:	Marx, Andreas; University of Konstanz, Konstanz Research School Chemical Biology Kranaster, Ramon; University of Konstanz Engel, Nicole; University of Konstanz Weidmann, Manfred; University of Goettingen Hufert, Frank; University of Goettingen Drum, Matthias; UNiversity of Konstanz
Main Keywords:	
All Keywords:	
Keywords:	DNA polymerase, RNA pathogen detection, reverse transcription



Research Article ((5072 words))**A mutated thermostable *Thermus aquaticus* DNA polymerase with reverse transcriptase activity for one step RNA pathogen detection**

Ramon Kranaster¹, Matthias Drum¹, Nicole Engel¹, Manfred Weidmann², Frank T. Hufert², and Andreas Marx^{1,*}.

¹Department of Chemistry and Konstanz Research School Chemical Biology, University of Konstanz, Universitätsstrasse 10, D 78457 Konstanz, Germany

²University Medical Center, Goettingen, Department of Virology, Kreuzberggring 57, 37075 Göttingen

Keywords: DNA polymerase, RNA pathogen detection, reverse transcription, RT – PCR, RNA-secondary structures, G-Quadruplex

Correspondence: Prof. Dr. Andreas Marx, Department of Chemistry, Konstanz Research School Chemical Biology, University of Konstanz, Universitätsstrasse 10, D 78457 Konstanz, Germany

Email: andreas.marx@uni-konstanz.de

Tel.: +49 7531 885139

Fax: +49 7531 885140

Abbreviations: **CP**, Crossing points; **Ct**, threshold-crossing points; **dNTPs**, deoxynucleotide triphosphates; ***E. coli***, *Escherichia coli*; **KlenTaq**, N-terminal shortened form of a DNA polymerase from *Thermus aquaticus*; **PAGE**, polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; **PCR**, polymerase chain reaction; **RT**, reverse transcription; **Taq M1**, mutated DNA polymerase from *Thermus aquaticus*; **Tth** *Thermus thermophilus*.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Abstract

We describe the cloning and characterisation of a mutated thermostable DNA polymerase from *Thermus aquaticus* (Taq) which exhibits an increased reverse transcriptase activity and is therefore designated for one step PCR pathogen detection using established real-time detection methods. We demonstrate that this Taq polymerase mutant (Taq M1) has similar PCR sensitivity and nuclease activity as the respective Taq wild-type DNA polymerase. In addition and in marked contrast to the wild-type, Taq M1 exhibits a significantly increased reverse transcriptase activity especially at high temperatures (> 60°C). RNA generally hosts highly stable secondary structure motifs such as hairpins and G-quadruplexes which complicate or in the worst case obviate reverse transcription (RT). Thus, RT at high temperatures is desired to weaken or melt secondary structure motifs. To demonstrate the ability of Taq M1 for RNA detection of pathogens we performed TaqMan probe-based diagnostics of Dobrava viruses by one step RT-PCR. Indeed, we found similar detection sensitivities compared to commercial available RT-PCR systems without further optimization of reaction parameters thus making this enzyme highly suitable for any PCR probe based RNA detection method.

1 Introduction

Emerging viral pathogens such as arthropod-borne Flavi- and Alphaviruses or rodent-borne Hantavirus [1] or the recently occurring Influenza A virus subtype H1N1 [2] are a constant threat to global public health [3]. To monitor and detect their appearance and circulation reliable pathogen detection methods are necessary. Apart from several antibody based assays [4] like the hemagglutination inhibition test (HI), enzyme immunoassay (EIA), and virus neutralization tests (VN), nucleic acid detection assays (NA) such as the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) are among the most reliable detection techniques used for pathogen detection [5]. For PCR a DNA polymerase needs specific primers (short DNA fragments) with sequences complementary to a target DNA region. During repeated cycles of heating and cooling new DNA is generated and is itself used as a template for replication. Due to the enzymatic replication under consumption of the primers and deoxynucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs), the selected DNA sequence framed by the primers is exponentially amplified in theory. Almost in every PCR applications heat-stable DNA polymerases are employed which remain active during the thermal cycling steps necessary to physically separate the two strands of the DNA double helix (usually at high temperatures $\sim 95^{\circ}\text{C}$). Nowadays real-time PCR methods using unspecific fluorescent dyes, e. g. SYBRgreen I or specific probes e.g. TaqMan probes [6-9], report the amount of amplified DNA in real-time and have significantly shortened conventional PCR methods. Consequently, they are the method of choice for detection and quantification of DNA and RNA targets such as retroviruses and viral pathogens [9]. In routine molecular diagnostics probe based real time PCR systems are state of the art since they are highly sensitive and include a specificity control. Two enzymes are needed to detect RNA by a reverse transcription (RT)-PCR. In a first crucial step for RT-PCR, the RNA target is reverse transcribed into the

1
2
3 complementary DNA strand. This is performed by a non-thermostable RNA-
4
5 dependent DNA polymerase (reverse transcriptase) followed by real-time
6
7 amplification of the transcribed target by a thermostable DNA polymerase [9]. In real
8
9 time RT-PCR, fluorescent probes are used to increase the level of specificity and to
10
11 avoid detection of non-specific side-products [6, 7, 10]: The fluorescent probe
12
13 hybridises to a sequence in-between the flanking primer sequences of the PCR
14
15 target. In a TaqMan probe fluorophor and a quencher molecule are covalently
16
17 attached to the 5' and 3' end of the probe allowing for Förster resonance energy
18
19 transfer (FRET) to occur between both dye molecules, resulting in suppressed
20
21 fluorescence of the fluorophor dye. During the PCR extension steps, a DNA
22
23 polymerase, which harbours an active nuclease domain, degrades the DNA stretch of
24
25 fluorescence probe that is annealed to the target strand. The fluorophor molecule is
26
27 cleaved from the probe and released from close proximity to the quencher molecule,
28
29 resulting in increased fluorescence. Thus, the generated fluorescence signal is
30
31 directly proportional to the amplified target molecules after each cycle. The most
32
33 critical step in this method is the conversion from the RNA target into DNA. This
34
35 reverse transcription is prone to failure, because RNA often hosts highly stable
36
37 secondary structure motifs such as hairpins and G-quadruplexes that complicate or
38
39 even prevent reverse transcription [11]. Thus, thermostable reverse transcriptases,
40
41 which are able to work at higher temperatures, are of urgent need to increase
42
43 reliability and sensitivity of RNA pathogen detection systems. It is known that some
44
45 DNA polymerases for example from *Thermus aquaticus* exhibit a low intrinsic RT
46
47 activity that is too inefficient for a fast and reliable RT-PCR based RNA detection [12].
48
49 Myers and Gelfand [11] reported a DNA polymerase from *Thermus thermophilus*
50
51 (Tth) that exhibits increased RT activity exclusively in the presence of Mn^{2+} ions, but
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 unfortunately for many biotechnological applications like pathogen detection or gene
4
5 expression analysis, employment of Mn^{2+} is inappropriate [9].
6

7
8 We previously evolved a N-terminal shortened form of a DNA polymerase from
9
10 *Thermus aquaticus* (KlenTaq) with increased reverse transcriptase activity [13]. In
11
12 order to investigate whether the full-length Taq DNA polymerase mutant (henceforth
13
14 called Taq M1) including the nuclease domain would be applicable in real time one
15
16 step detection of pathogenic DNA using TaqMan probes, we fused the nuclease
17
18 domain to the prior generated mutant KlenTaq. We demonstrate the successful
19
20 generation of the nuclease activity under conservation of the previously evolved
21
22 reverse transcriptase activity. Our results show that Taq M1 has similar PCR
23
24 sensitivity and nuclease activity as the respective wild-type Taq DNA polymerase
25
26 however, exhibits reverse transcriptase ability. In addition, we demonstrate the
27
28 usefulness of Taq M1 for fast and reliable RNA pathogen detection in a case study
29
30 for the detection of RNA from Dobrava virus and its advantages in RT-PCR using
31
32 RNA targets that form stable secondary structure motifs.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 **2 Materials and methods**

42 **2.1 Reagents and Instruments**

43
44 Oligonucleotides were purchased from Purimex or Metabion, Germany. High Pure
45
46 PCR Cleanup Micro Kit, High Pure Plasmid Isolation Kit, and RNA from
47
48 Bacteriophage MS2 were from Roche. RNeasy Mini Kit and QIAquick Gel Extraction
49
50 Kit were purchased from Qiagen. PageRuler unstained Protein Ladder, DNaseI,
51
52 RiboLock™ RNase Inhibitor and Rapid DNA Ligation Kit were purchased from
53
54 Fermentas. Real-time PCR was performed in iCycler or Chromo4 instrument from
55
56 BioRAD. SYBRgreenI was purchased from Molecular Probes. Denaturing PAGE was
57
58 analysed with a Molecular Imager Fx from BioRAD. Phusion DNA polymerase,
59
60

1
2
3 Antarctic Phosphatase, Low MW ladder, EcoRV, and BsmBI were purchased from
4
5 New England Biolabs.
6
7
8
9

10 **2.2 Cloning, Protein Expression and Purification of Taq M1 Polymerase**

11
12 Respective plasmids (pASK-IBA37plus) harbouring KlenTaq M1 and Taq wild-type
13
14 gene were isolated from the respective *E. coli* cultures using the High Pure Plasmid
15
16 Isolation Kit. The KlenTaq M1 polymerase gene [14] was amplified by using Phusion
17
18 DNA polymerase with the forward primer (5'-GAT CTA CGT CTC CGC CCT GGA
19
20 GGA GGC CC-3') and reverse primer (5'-CAG GTC AAG CTT AGT TAG ATA TCA
21
22 CTC C-3'). Taq nuclease domain DNA [15] including the whole pASK-IBA37plus
23
24 plasmid sequence was amplified by using Phusion DNA polymerase with the forward
25
26 primer (5'-GCC AAG GAG TGA TAT CTA ACT AAG CT-3') and reverse primer (5'-
27
28 ATG ATC CGT CTC AGG GCC TTG GGG CTT TCC AGA A-3'). Both amplicates
29
30 were purified by 0.8% agarose gel and isolated using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit.
31
32 Isolated DNA was digested by EcoRV and BsmBI, purified using the High Pure PCR
33
34 Cleanup Micro Kit. The Taq nuclease domain amplicate was dephosphorylated
35
36 using Antarctic Phosphatase and ligated with the KlenTaq amplicate by using the
37
38 Rapid DNA Ligation Kit and transformed into electro competent *E. coli* XL10 gold
39
40 cells. Clones were picked from agar plates and separately grown overnight in LB
41
42 medium (100 µg/ml carbenicillin). Integrity of whole mutant clone was proved by
43
44 sequencing of the respective purified plasmid (GATC Biotech AG, Germany) using
45
46 the sequencing primers p1 - p5 (p1 5'-GAG TTA TTT TAC CAC TCC CT-3', p2 5'-
47
48 CCT GGC TTT GGG AAA AG-3', p3 5'-CCC GAG CCT TAT AAA GC-3', p4 5'-CGT
49
50 AAG GGA TGG CTA GCT CC-3', p5 5'-CGC AGT AGC GGT AAA CG-3'). Enzyme
51
52 purification and concentration determination was conducted as previously described
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 [13, 16].

2.3 Assay of nuclease activity

Reaction mixtures (60 μ l) contained 50 mM Tris·HCl (pH 9.2), 16 mM $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$, 0.1% Tween 20, 2.5 mM MgCl_2 , 50 nM of each dNTP, 150 nM substrate DNA (22 nt, 5'-[^{32}P]-CCC CCC CCC CTC ATA CGT ACA C-3', 225 nM template DNA (5'-GTG TAC GTA TGA TCA TGC AGG TAG CCG ATG AAC TGG TCG AAA GAC CAG TTC ATC GGC TAC CTG CAT GAT-3'). After an initial denaturation and annealing step (95°C for 5 min, 0.5°C/s cooling down to 4°C), the reaction mixture was heated to 30°C and the reaction was started by addition of DNA polymerase (50 nM final concentration). 5 μ l aliquots were taken at various time periods up to 60 min and reaction was stopped by addition of gel loading buffer (80% formamide, 20 mM EDTA). Product mixtures were analysed by 12 % denaturing PAGE and quantified using a Phosphorimager.

2.4 Real-time PCR, template dilution series

Reaction mixtures (20 μ l) contained 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.2), 16 mM $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$, 0.1% Tween 20, 2.5 mM MgCl_2 , 250 μ M of each dNTP, tenfold dilution series of template RNA from bacteriophage MS2 (10 nM – 10 fM) or DNA template MS2 (1 nM – 10 fM, 100 nt, 5'-d(ATC GCT CGA GAA CGC AAG TTC TTC AGC GAA AAG CAC GAC AGT GGT CGC TAC ATA GCG TGG TTC CAT ACT GGA GGT GAA ATC ACC GAC AGC ATG AAG TCC G)-3'), 200 nM of each primer (5'-d(ATC GCT CGA GAA CGC AAG TT)-3' forward, 5'-d(CGG ACT TCA TGC TGT CGG TG)-3' reverse), 0.6 x SYBRgreenI, 10 nM Taq DNA polymerase wt / M1 and for the temperature dependence reactions (vide infra) 5 nM enzyme respectively. After an initial reverse transcription cycle (95°C for 30 sec, 55°C for 35 sec and 65°C for 30

1
2
3 min) the product was amplified by 30 PCR cycles (95 °C for 30 sec, 55 °C for 35 sec
4
5 and 72 °C for 40 sec) and following melting curve measurement from 30-94 °C. In
6
7 case of DNA templates the PCR was performed without the RT step. Temperature
8
9 dependence of reverse transcriptase activity was tested by applying a temperature
10
11 gradient (from 60-72 °C for 15 min) during the reverse transcription cycle and
12
13 subsequent amplification as described above.
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 **2.5 Primer extension assay with an RNA template**

21
22 Reaction mixtures (20 µl) contained 50 mM Tris·HCl (pH 9.2), 16 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄,
23
24 0.1% Tween 20, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 nM Taq DNA polymerase wt or M1, 150 nM DNA
25
26 primer (20 nt, 5'-[³²P]-d(CGT TGG TCC TGA AGG AGG AT)-3'), 225 nM template
27
28 RNA (5'- AAA UCA ACC UAU CCU CCU UCA GGA CCA ACG-3'). After an initial
29
30 denaturation and annealing step (95 °C for 2 min, 0.5 °C/s cooling to 40 °C for 30 sec),
31
32 a temperature gradient (from 60–72 °C, in detail: 60.1, 60.3, 61.2, 62.5, 63.9, 65.3,
33
34 66.7, 68.1, 69.5, 70.8, 71.7, 72.0 °C) was applied and the reaction was started by
35
36 addition of 100 nM dNTPs. After 10 min of incubation the reactions were stopped by
37
38 addition of gel loading buffer (80% formamide, 20 mM EDTA). Product mixtures were
39
40 separated by 12% denaturing PAGE and visualised using a Phosphorimager.
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 **2.6 Real time RT-PCR conditions**

49
50 Real time RT-PCR for Dobrava virus was performed as described [17] using the
51
52 LightCycler[®] 480 RNA Master Hydrolysis Probes kit containing an aptamer blocked
53
54 Tth (RT and DNA polymerase). Taq M1 was tested using the Tris·HCl-(NH₄)₂SO₄-
55
56 buffer described above (pH 9.2) or a bicine buffer (50 mM Bicine (pH 8.2), 115 mM
57
58 KOAc, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 8% glycerol). Primer concentrations were 500 nM for the
59
60 primers and 200 nM for the probe and the following temperature profile of RT 63 °C 5

1
2
3 min, Activation 95°C, 1 min, 45 cycles of 2-step PCR 95°C, 5 sec and 60°C 1 min
4
5 was used for both enzymes. A transcribed quantitative RNA standard was used for
6
7 sensitivity testing. All tests with the quantitative RNA standard were done in
8
9 triplicates.
10
11

12 13 14 15 **2.7 Real time RT PCR using a RNA template that form stable secondary** 16 17 **structure**

18
19 psiCHECK2 (Promega) plasmids containing either a G-quadruplex structure 5'-
20 d(GGG TGG GTG GGT GGG TGG GTG GG)-3' or a similar control sequence 5'-
21 d(GTG TGT GTG TGT GGG)-3' were kindly provided by Prof. Hartig, University of
22
23 Konstanz. [18] By using primer with a 5'-overhang containing the T7 promoter
24
25 sequence 5'-d(TAA TAC GAC TCA CTA TAG GGC TTG TCG AGA CAG AGA AGA
26
27 CTC TTG C)-3' and the reverse primer 5'-d(CGA TGT GAG GCA CGA CGT GCC
28
29 TCC)-3' a 406 respectively 398 base pair DNA fragment was generated. RNA
30
31 templates with the G-quadruplex sequence 5'-(GGG UGG GUG GGU GGG UGG
32
33 GUG GG)-3' or the control sequence 5'-(GUG UGU GUG UGU GGG)-3' were gained
34
35 by in vitro T7 transcription. Remaining DNA was digested with DNaseI and the RNA
36
37 purified with the Qiagen RNeasy kit. 40 units of RiboLock™ RNase Inhibitor were
38
39 added to the RNA templates. Reaction mixtures (20 µl) for Taq M1 contained 50 mM
40
41 Tris-HCl (pH 9.2), 16 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.1% Tween 20, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM KCl, 250
42
43 µM of each dNTP, 0.5 nM RNA-Template, 200 nM of each primer (5'-d(GGT GTC
44
45 CAC TCC CAG TTC AAT TAC AG)-3' forward, 5'-d(GCG TTT GCG TTG CTC GGG
46
47 GTC GTA CAC C)-3' reverse), 0.6 x SYBRgreenI, 20 nM Taq M1 DNA polymerase.
48
49 Experiments with Roche Titan one Kit were performed with the same template,
50
51 primer, dNTP, SYBRgreenI and KCl concentrations in the supplied buffer. According
52
53 to the manual DTT and enzyme mix were added. In the initial reverse transcription
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 step a temperature gradient (from 55-70°C for 15 min) was applied. The product
4
5 (133/141 base pairs) was amplified in 30 PCR cycles (94°C for 2min, 55°C for 30 sec
6
7 and 68°C for 45 sec) and analyzed by melting curve measurement from 30-94°C.
8
9

10 11 12 **3 Results and discussion** 13

14
15 Previously, we discovered a thermostable DNA polymerase (KlenTaq M1) with RT
16
17 PCR activity [13] by directed enzyme evolution. An overview of the mutations in the
18
19 KlenTaq domain (dark blue) is shown in Figure 1A on a ribbon representation of the
20
21 crystal structure [19]. Here, by using the scaffold of KlenTaq M1 we constructed a full
22
23 length Taq DNA polymerase (Taq M1) with the respective amino acid mutations of
24
25 the KlenTaq M1. Taq M1 was over-expressed in *E. coli* cells and purified by Ni-NTA
26
27 affinity chromatography followed by a gel filtration (see Figure 1b).
28
29

30
31 First, we tested if the mutations of KlenTaq M1 domain influence the activity of the
32
33 added N-terminal attached nuclease domain. Therefore we used a stable DNA
34
35 hairpin structure to which a radioactive labelled cleavage substrate anneals at the
36
37 complementary site (Figure 2A). This structure harbours a displaced 5' end and a
38
39 frayed 3' primer terminus and has been shown to be the preferred substrate for
40
41 cleavage by the nucleases of Taq DNA polymerase and *E. coli* DNA polymerase I
42
43 [15]. Figures 2B, C show the time-dependent cleavage of the 22 nt substrate
44
45 resulting in the cleaved shorter product. Taq M1 exhibits similar nuclease activity
46
47 than the wild-type Taq DNA polymerase (Taq wt). Thus, it appears that the mutations
48
49 in the polymerase domain have little if any effect on the nuclease activity.
50
51

52
53 Next, we investigated the PCR activity of Taq M1 compared to Taq wt (Figure 3). For
54
55 this, we amplified a 100 nt long DNA template which was diluted tenfold stepwise
56
57 from 1 nM to 10 fM concentration of template. The resulting real-time PCR
58
59 amplification curves using SYBRgreen I were measured and are shown in Figure 3.
60

1
2
3 By comparing the threshold-crossing points (Ct) between Taq wt and Taq M1 we
4 found very similar Ct values and thus the same PCR sensitivity as for Taq wt
5
6
7
8 (Figure 3C).
9

10 To investigate the ability of Taq M1 for reverse-transcription (RT) in comparison with
11 Taq wt we first conducted primer extension reactions. A 20 nucleotide 5'-³²P-
12 phosphate labelled DNA primer strand was annealed to its complementary site on a
13 30 nt RNA template strand. As control we used the respective DNA template. We
14
15 further conducted the reactions at different temperatures ranging from 60-72°C to
16
17 find an optimal RT temperature. After 10 minutes incubation we analyzed the reaction
18
19 products by denaturing PAGE. The control reaction in the presence of the respective
20
21 DNA template yields with both employed enzymes the expected 31 nt long full-length
22
23 product (Figure 4, C = control reaction). Both enzymes add an additional nucleotide
24
25 in non-templated manner as it has been observed for 3'-5'-exonuclease deficient
26
27 DNA polymerases before [20-22]. On the contrary, in reactions employing the RNA
28
29 template the wt enzyme extends the primer by seven nucleotides and only at
30
31 temperatures below 65°C. Surprisingly at higher reaction temperatures no extension
32
33 products were visible at all. Whereas using the same reaction conditions, mutant M1
34
35 reverse transcribes the RNA template significantly more efficient and produces the
36
37 full-length product. Interestingly the reverse transcription efficiency is significantly
38
39 reduced at temperatures higher than 70°C. Furthermore, by comparing the RT
40
41 activities between the Taq M1 with the previously evolved KlenTaq M1 we observed
42
43 a higher RT activity of the Taq variant which may be due to an increased processivity
44
45 which is known for Taq DNA polymerases compared to their nuclease lacking
46
47 variants (data not shown) [23].
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59

60 Next, we performed real-time RT-PCR experiments employing the 3569 nt long RNA
genome from bacteriophage MS2. The RNA template was diluted stepwise from 10

1
2
3 nM to 10 fM. In chosen set-up a 100 nt RNA target-sequence had to be first reverse
4 transcribed within 30 min and subsequently amplified according to a standard one-
5 step RT-PCR protocol (Figure 5). Interestingly, we found that only the mutated Taq
6 M1 is able to efficiently process the RNA target. Taq wt in contrast showed only low
7 PCR activities and amplified the reverse transcribed RNA target resulting in Ct value
8 differences of more than ~10 cycles depending on the RNA template concentration.
9 These results corroborate previous findings of a low intrinsic RT activity of Taq DNA
10 polymerase [11, 12]. Inspired by the finding of the temperature dependence of RT
11 reaction (see Figure 4) we conducted real-time RT PCR experiments at different
12 temperatures (ranging from 60-72°C) during the RT step (see Figure 6) and found a
13 clear temperature dependence of the RT which is in good agreement to the
14 previously conducted RNA primer extensions (see Figure 4). The RT optimum
15 reaction temperature with the lowest Ct value is between 63-68°C. The efficiency
16 drastically drops when the RT temperature is below 63°C or higher than 70°C.
17

18
19 After having obtained these promising results, we next validated these findings in the
20 detection of pathogenic RNA obtained from natural sources in a case study. To test
21 the performance of the newly generated TaqM1 enzyme in an established virus real-
22 time RT-PCR TaqMan assay the enzyme was adapted for use in an assay for the
23 detection of Dobrava virus [17]. The real time RT-PCR assay for Dobrava virus has
24 an analytical sensitivity of 10^2 molecules when using the Roche Kit containing an
25 aptamer blocked Tth DNA polymerase. We found that the TaqM1 enzyme did not
26 perform well in the real-time RT-PCR assay using a Tris-HCl $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ -based buffer
27 (pH 9.2) employed in the previous real-time PCR and the extension assays. Better
28 results were obtained with an analytical sensitivity of 10^3 molecules in a less basic 50
29 mM bicine buffer (pH 8.2). Comparison of the efficiencies of the Dobrava assays (E
30 $=10^{(-1/\text{slope})-1}$) of 0.56 and 0.61 for Tth based kit and TaqM1 in bicine buffer, however
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 clearly indicate that the novel TaqM1 enzyme shows a real-time RT-PCR
4 performance comparative to the aptamer blocked Tth DNA polymerase.
5
6

7
8 Finally, we set out to investigate the ability of Taq M1 to reverse transcribe RNA
9 targets that form stable secondary structures [18]. Therefore, we chose a well
10 described RNA sequence, which is forming a stable G-quadruplex motif and
11 conducted real time RT-PCR experiments in comparison with a commercial available
12 kit (Titan One Tube RT-PCR System, Roche) that contains an enzyme mix
13 comprising AMV reverse transcriptase (RT) and a thermostable DNA polymerase
14 blend (see Figure 8). Both set-ups show a strong amplification signal at standard RT
15 temperatures (55 °C) using an RNA template unable to form a G-quadruplex motif, as
16 a positive control (see Figure 8a, left side). When applying increased RT
17 temperatures (70 °C) nearly the same PCR curve is obtained for Taq M1 whereas the
18 C_t value of the commercial kit increased from 7 to more than 10 indicating an
19 inactivation of the thermosensitive AMV RT. Using the G-quadruplex forming RNA
20 target the commercial system was neither able to amplify at standard RT
21 temperatures (here 55 °C) nor at 70 °C. On the contrary, Taq M1 showed amplification
22 at both temperatures. These experiments clearly demonstrate the benefits from being
23 able to perform reverse transcription at higher temperatures by Taq M1. Thus, this
24 novel enzyme has a high potential for the detection of secondary structure prone
25 RNA molecules found in RNA viruses or tm-RNA in bacteria [24, 25].
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 52 53 **4 Concluding remarks**

54
55 We successfully combined a nuclease domain to a previously described N-terminal
56 shortened mutated Taq DNA polymerase [12] that has significantly increased reverse
57 transcriptase activity without significantly compromising polymerase and nuclease
58 function of the resulting chimera Taq M1. It is shown that Taq M1 has similar PCR
59
60

1
2
3 activity as the Taq wt enzyme. Furthermore, the mutations in the polymerase domain
4
5 have little effect on the activity of the attached nuclease domain. We demonstrate
6
7 that Taq M1 can be used for reverse transcription of RNA targets at high
8
9 temperatures (~60-70°C). The nuclease domain of Taq M1 renders this enzyme
10
11 highly suitable for any probe based detection methods. We demonstrated this in the
12
13 detection of RNA pathogens from natural sources. Noteworthy, without laborious
14
15 optimisation of parameters comparable detection sensitivities than commercially
16
17 available one-step RT-PCR systems, which are usually based on enzyme blends,
18
19 were found. We think that the system might be further enhanced by optimizing
20
21 reaction buffer composition, reaction conditions like pH and reagent concentrations.
22
23 Further advancements of RNA detection by one-step RT-PCR might be feasible in
24
25 particular of complex RNA targets with highly stable secondary structure motifs in
26
27 which reverse transcription at high temperatures is of urgent need. The scaffold of
28
29 Taq M1 could serve as the basis for further progress along these lines employing
30
31 directed enzyme evolution [26-30].
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 *Funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and by project InSan M SAB1*
42
43 *4A008 of the BMVg is gratefully acknowledged.*
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

5 References

- [1] Schmaljohn, C., Hjelle, B., Hantaviruses: a global disease problem. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 1997, 3, 95-104.
- [2] Palese, P., Influenza: old and new threats. *Nat. Medicine* 2004, 10, 82–87.
- [3] Morens, D. M., Folkers, G. K., Fauci, A. S., The challenge of emerging and re-emerging infectious diseases. *Nature* 2004, 430, 242-249.
- [4] De Paula, S. O., Fonseca, B. A., Dengue: a review of the laboratory tests a clinician must know to achieve a correct diagnosis. *Braz. J. Infect. Dis.* 2004, 8, 390-398.
- [5] Mullis, K. B., Faloona, F. A., Specific synthesis of DNA in vitro via a polymerase-catalyzed chain reaction. *Methods Enzymol.* 1987, 155, 335-350.
- [6] Holland, P. M., Abramson, R. D., Watson, R., Gelfand, D. H., Detection of specific polymerase chain reaction product by utilizing the 5'-3' exonuclease activity of *Thermus aquaticus* DNA polymerase. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1991, 88, 7276-7280.
- [7] Strerath, M., Marx, A., Genotyping – From genomic DNA to genotype in a single tube. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2005, 44, 7842-7849.
- [8] Lynch, J. R., Brown, J. M., The polymerase chain reaction: current and future clinical applications. *J. Med. Genet.* 1990, 27, 2-7.
- [9] Bustin, S. A., Mueller, R., Real-time reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) and its potential use in clinical diagnosis. *Clin. Sci.* 2005, 109, 365–379.
- [10] Nazarenko, I. A., Bhatnagar, S. K., Hohman, R. J., A closed tube format for amplification and detection of DNA based on energy transfer. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1997, 25, 2516-2521.
- [11] Myers, T. W., Gelfand, D. H., Reverse transcription and DNA amplification by a *Thermus thermophilus* DNA polymerase. *Biochemistry* 1991, 30, 182-192.

- 1
2
3 [12] Jones, M. D., Foulkes, N. S., Reverse transcription of mRNA by *Thermus*
4 *aquaticus* DNA polymerase. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1989, *17*, 8387-8388.
5
6
7
8 [13] Sauter, K. B. M., Marx, A., Evolving thermostable reverse transcriptase activity in
9 a DNA polymerase scaffold. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006, *45*, 7633-7635.
10
11 [14] Barnes, W. M., The fidelity of Taq polymerase catalyzing PCR is improved by an
12 N-terminal deletion. *Gene* 1992, *112*, 29–35.
13
14 [15] Lyamichev, V., Brow, M. A. D., Varvel, V. E., Dahlberg, J. E., Comparison of the
15 5' nuclease activities of Taq DNA polymerase and its isolated nuclease domain.
16 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1999, *96*, 6143-6148.
17
18 [16] Summerer, D., Rudinger, N. Z., Detmer, I., Marx, A., Enhanced DNA Polymerase
19 Mismatch Extension Fidelity by Directed Combinatorial Enzyme Design *Angew.*
20 *Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005, *44*, 4712-4715.
21
22 [17] Weidmann, M., Schmidt, P., Vackova, M., Krivanec, K., *et al.*, Identification of
23 genetic evidence for dobrava virus spillover in rodents by nested reverse transcription
24 (RT)-PCR and TaqMan RT-PCR. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2005, *43*, 808-812.
25
26 [18] Halder, K., Wieland, M., Hartig, J. S., Predictable suppression of gene
27 expression by 5'-UTR-based RNA quadruplexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2009, epub.
28 PMID: 19740765
29
30 [19] Li, Y., Korolev, S., Waksman, G., Crystal structures of open and closed forms of
31 binary and ternary complexes of the large fragment of *Thermus aquaticus* DNA
32 polymerase I: structural basis for nucleotide incorporation. *EMBO J.* 1998, *17*,
33 7514-7525
34
35 [20] Clark, J. M., Novel non-templated nucleotide addition reactions catalyzed by
36 procaryotic and eucaryotic DNA polymerases. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1988, *16*, 9677–
37 9686.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 [21] Holzberger, B., Marx, A., Enzymatic synthesis of perfluoroalkylated DNA. *Bioorg.*
4
5 *Med. Chem.* 2009, 17, 3653-3658.
6
7
8 [22] Kranaster, R., Marx, A., Taking Fingerprints of DNA Polymerases: Multiplex
9
10 Enzyme Profiling on DNA Arrays. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2009, 48, 4625-4628.
11
12 [23] Wang, Y., Prosen, D. E., Mei, L., Sullivan, J. C., Finney, M., Vander Horn, P. B.,
13
14 A novel strategy to engineer DNA polymerases for enhanced processivity and
15
16 improved performance in vitro. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2004, 32, 1197-1207.
17
18 [24] Kuo, K. W., Leung, M. F., Leung, W. C., Intrinsic secondary structure of human
19
20 TNFR-I mRNA influences the determination of gene expression by RT-PCR. *Mol.*
21
22 *Cell. Biochem.* 1997, 177, 1-6.
23
24
25 [25] O'Grady, J., Ruttledge, M., Sedano-Balbas, S., Smith, T. J., *et al.*, Rapid
26
27 detection of *Listeria monocytogenes* in food using culture enrichment combined with
28
29 real-time PCR. *Food Microbiol.* 2009, 26, 4-7.
30
31
32 [26] Ghadessy, F. J., Ong, J. L., Holliger, P. Directed evolution of polymerase
33
34 function by compartmentalized self-replication. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2001, 98,
35
36 4552-4557.
37
38
39 [27] Xia, G., Chen, L., Sera, T., Fa, M. *et al.*, Directed evolution of novel polymerase
40
41 activities: mutation of a DNA polymerase into an efficient RNA polymerase. *Proc.*
42
43 *Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2002, 99, 6597-6602.
44
45
46 [28] Vichier-Guerre, S., Ferris, S., Auberge, N., Mahiddine, K., *et al.*, A population of
47
48 thermostable reverse transcriptases evolved from *Thermus aquaticus* DNA
49
50 polymerase I by phage display. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2006, 45, 6133-6137.
51
52
53 [29] Patel, P. H., Loeb, L. A., Multiple amino acid substitutions allow DNA
54
55 polymerases to synthesize RNA. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2000, 275, 40266-40272.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 [30] Summerer, D., Rudinger, N. Z., Detmer, I., Marx, A., Enhanced fidelity in
4 mismatch extension by DNA polymerase through directed combinatorial enzyme
5
6 mismatch extension by DNA polymerase through directed combinatorial enzyme
7
8 design. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 2005, 44, 4712-4715.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

1
2
3 ((Figure Legends))
4
5
6

7 Figure 1. (A) Taq M1 mutations mapped on a ribbon representation of Taq DNA
8 polymerase (PDB code 1TAQ, [19]). KlenTaq domain (deep blue) and nuclease
9 domain (light blue) of Taq M1 are depicted. (B) SDS-PAGE gel of purified Taq DNA
10 polymerases.
11
12
13
14
15
16

17
18
19 Figure 2. Nuclease activity (A) Hairpin structure of template and 22 nt substrate
20 (bold). The arrow indicates the expected cleavage position based on reported studies
21 on *E. coli* DNA polymerase I and Taq DNA polymerase [15]. (B) Reaction products
22 separated by denaturing PAGE. (C) Product formation (quantified ratio of product to
23 the sum of product and substrate) after certain time periods (0, 5, 15, 30, 60 min).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33 Figure 3. PCR activity test of Taq wt compared to Taq M1.

34 (A) and (B) Real-time PCR curves of a template dilutions series using Taq wt (A) and
35 Taq M1 (B) including a negative control without template (dashed line). Generally, all
36 reactions were performed in triplicates. (C) Ct values vs. detected DNA template
37 molecules.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Figure 4. Reverse transcription primer extension of Taq M1 compared to Taq wt
49 under equal reaction conditions. M = Marker, reaction mix without enzyme. C =
50 control reaction with the corresponding DNA template. Incubation (10 min, 10 nM
51 enzyme concentration) was carried out at different temperatures ranging from 60-
52 72 °C (from left to right: 60.1, 60.3, 61.2, 62.5, 63.9, 65.3, 66.7, 68.1, 69.5, 70.8, 71.7,
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 72.0 °C).

1
2
3 Figure 5. Real-time RT PCR activity test of Taq wt compared to Taq M1.

4
5 (A) and (B) Real-time RT PCR curves of a tenfold template dilutions series with Taq
6 wt (A) and Taq M1 (B) including a negative control without template (dashed line).
7
8
9
10 Generally, reactions were performed in triplicates. (C) Ct values vs. number of RNA
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
template molecules.

Figure 6. Temperature dependence of Taq M1 reverse transcriptase activity.

Resulting Ct values of subsequent amplification vs. applied RT temperature. RT
reaction (15 min incubation, 5 nM enzyme concentration) was carried out at different
temperatures ranging from 60-72 °C.

Figure 7. Dobrava virus detection by one step real-time RT PCR. Crossing points
(CP) are plotted against RNA molecules detected. Each regression line was
calculated from a triplicate data set.

Figure 8. Real-time RT PCR using a RNA template that forms secondary structure
(G-quadruplex motif) (B) compared to a quadruplex-free control template (A).
Amplification curves are deriving using Taq M1 (solid line) or a commercial kit with a
reverse transcription step at 55°C or 70°C for 15 min, respectively.

((biot200900200-figures))




Figure 1. (A) Taq M1 mutations mapped on a ribbon representation of Taq DNA polymerase (PDB code 1TAQ, [19]). KlenTaq domain (deep blue) and nuclease domain (light blue) of Taq M1 are depicted. (B) SDS-PAGE gel of purified Taq DNA polymerases.




Figure 2. Nuclease activity (A) Hairpin structure of template and 22 nt substrate (bold). The arrow indicates the expected cleavage position based on reported studies on *E. coli* DNA polymerase I and Taq DNA polymerase [15]. (B) Reaction products separated by denaturing PAGE. (C) Product formation (quantified ratio of product to the sum of product and substrate) after certain time periods (0, 5, 15, 30, 60 min).




Figure 3. PCR activity test of Taq wt compared to Taq M1.

(A) and (B) Real-time PCR curves of a template dilutions series using Taq wt (A) and Taq M1 (B) including a negative control without template (dashed line). Generally, all reactions were performed in triplicates. (C) Ct values vs. detected DNA template molecules.




Figure 4. Reverse transcription primer extension of Taq M1 compared to Taq wt under equal reaction conditions. M = Marker, reaction mix without enzyme. C = control reaction with the corresponding DNA template. Incubation (10 min, 10 nM enzyme concentration) was carried out at different temperatures ranging from 60-72 °C (from left to right: 60.1, 60.3, 61.2, 62.5, 63.9, 65.3, 66.7, 68.1, 69.5, 70.8, 71.7, 72.0 °C).




Figure 5. Real-time RT PCR activity test of Taq wt compared to Taq M1.

(A) and (B) Real-time RT PCR curves of a tenfold template dilutions series with Taq wt (A) and Taq M1 (B) including a negative control without template (dashed line). Generally, reactions were performed in triplicates. (C) Ct values vs. number of RNA template molecules.




Figure 6. Temperature dependence of Taq M1 reverse transcriptase activity.

Resulting Ct values of subsequent amplification vs. applied RT temperature. RT reaction (15 min incubation, 5 nM enzyme concentration) was carried out at different temperatures ranging from 60-72°C.




Figure 7. Dobrava virus detection by one step real-time RT PCR. Crossing points (CP) are plotted against RNA molecules detected. Each regression line was calculated from a triplicate data set.




Figure 8. Real-time RT PCR using a RNA template that forms secondary structure (G-quadruplex motif) (B) compared to a quadruplex-free control template (A). Amplification curves are deriving using Taq M1 (solid line) or a commercial kit with a reverse transcription step at 55°C or 70°C for 15 min, respectively.