



HAL
open science

Different soil media for free-range laying hens

Evangelia N. Sossidou

► **To cite this version:**

Evangelia N. Sossidou. Different soil media for free-range laying hens. *British Poultry Science*, 2008, 49 (04), pp.390-395. 10.1080/00071660802159041 . hal-00545336


HAL Id: hal-00545336

<https://hal.science/hal-00545336>

Submitted on 10 Dec 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Different soil media for free-range laying hens

Journal:	<i>British Poultry Science</i>
Manuscript ID:	CBPS-2007-272.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Date Submitted by the Author:	10-Feb-2008
Complete List of Authors:	Sossidou, Evangelia; NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH FOUNDATION, Animal Research Institute; NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH FOUNDATION, Veterinary Research Institute
Keywords:	Behaviour, Free range, Environment



Revised to END OF REFERENCES

E. N. SOSSIDOU, S. P. ROSE¹, S. S. P. SILVA¹, N. W. HALL²
A. TSERVENI-GOUSSI³ AND V. CHRISTODOULOU

*National Agricultural Research Foundation (N.AG.RE.F.), Animal Research Institute, 58100 Giannitsa, Greece*¹ *The National Institute of Poultry Husbandry, Harper Adams University College, Edgmond, Newport, Shropshire, England, TF10 8NB*² *Crop and Environment Research Centre, Harper Adams University College, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Animal Production, Ichthyology, Ecology and Protection of Environment, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece*³

Running head: SOIL FOR FREE-RANGE LAYERS

Correspondence to

Accepted 19 February 2008

Abstract.

1. A replicated experiment compared bird use, soil structure, grass wear and free-moving nematode populations in 4 different soil media (recycled vegetable compost, 90% recycled vegetable compost and 10% sand, re-used topsoil and sterilised topsoil) all with established grass swards within the range area of a large free-range laying hen unit.
2. The birds initially spent a greater proportion of their time on the two topsoil swards in comparison to the two compost-based swards. However, once the whole flock of hens had prolonged access to the different swards (unfenced areas) there were no significant differences in the number of birds that frequented the different sward types.
3. The two compost-based soil media had 33% fewer nematodes per gram of dry soil compared to the two topsoil based media. However, the rate of loss of grass from the subplots was greater with the two compost-based soil media; this was probably due to the greater porosity of these types of soil media.

Formatted: Numbering: Continuous

Deleted: ¶

¶
¶
¶
¶
¶
¶

Formatted: Left

Deleted: ¶

¶
¶

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Deleted: ,

Deleted: ¶

¶
¶

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Left

Deleted: ;

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: ;

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Deleted: ¶

Corresponding author. Dr Evangelia N. Sossidou, National Agricultural Research Foundation, Animal Research Institute, 58100 Giannitsa, Greece. Tel.: +30 23820 31700; Fax: +30 23820 32332; E-mail: sossidou.arig@nagref.gr¶

¶
¶
¶

INTRODUCTION

Deleted: ¶

Page Break

¶
¶

There is a requirement that free-range laying hens must have continuous day-time access to open-air runs that are mainly covered with vegetation (EC Council Directive 199/74/EC). Permanent, or semi-permanent, housing positioned within grass-sown leys are often the most practical method of providing these conditions for commercial laying hen flocks. A good-quality sward is important in that it provides some forage for the birds at range and it avoids the area becoming muddy. Mud can stick to the feet of the birds and so can transfer inside the egg laying areas. Even with a good quality sward, the areas close to the entrances of houses frequently become denuded.

Parasitic worm infections are a particular problem in un-caged laying hens, and there is a high incidence in commercial free-range poultry units (Pennycott and Steel, 2001). The parasites are mostly nematode worms and their incidence is higher than in other loose-housing systems (Permin *et al.*, 1999). The nematode worms survive in the soil and this allows them to complete their life-cycle successfully. There is a need to examine if particular soil media can be used to reduce the surviving nematode population in the land surrounding free-range laying houses.

The EU Waste Management Strategy (COM(96) 399) has resulted in the Landfill Directive 99/31/EC that requires 25% of household waste to be composted. Composted recycled vegetable waste is now readily available and inexpensive. Not only does the composting process eradicate nematodes from the vegetable material (Noble and Roberts, 2004) but also the stable composted material reduces the ability of nematodes, and some bacteria, to repopulate (USEPA, 1997). Vegetable waste compost can be used directly, or with some modification, as a soil medium and good grass swards can be established.

1
2
3 60 Although the use of vegetable waste compost as a soil medium for the whole
4
5 61 range area would be uneconomic, it is possible that the managers of commercial egg
6
7 62 production units would consider using it to seed areas that are close to the entrances of
8
9 63 the fixed housing and that probably have the greatest bird use. There is a need to
10
11 64 examine whether the use of recycled vegetable compost has the potential to be used as
12
13 65 a soil medium in the range areas and whether its use is practicable. The compost has
14
15 66 a high water-holding capacity, so it may be that compost that includes some free-
16
17 67 draining material, for example sand, may improve its characteristics for use in free-
18
19 68 range units.

20
21 69 The objective of this study was to give preliminary information on the
22
23 70 potential value of composted recycled vegetable waste as a soil medium for
24
25 71 commercial free-range units.

26 27 28 29 73 MATERIALS AND METHODS

30
31 74 The experimental work was conducted at Harper Adams University College using a
32
33 75 4500 bird free-range egg production unit. The unit was comprised of a fixed,
34
35 76 environmentally-controlled laying hen house that contained nest boxes, drinking and
36
37 77 feeding equipment and 380 m² of slatted floors. The building had a total of 9 m of
38
39 78 external pop-holes, that gave the birds access to a slatted, partially-enclosed verandah
40
41 79 area (80 m²). Pop-holes (9 m in total) gave the birds access from the verandah to the
42
43 80 range area. The fixed unit was sited centrally in an approximately square 2.0 hectare
44
45 81 field. The unit had been in continuous production for the 9 years since its
46
47 82 establishment and no amendments to the range had been made during this time. The
48
49 83 range area used for the unit was sown to grass and the soil type was a free-draining
50
51 84 sandy loam over sandstone. A flock of sheep was allowed to graze the range area but
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

85 their access was restricted to more than 100 m from the fixed laying hen house and
86 away from the three experimental blocks.

Deleted: within

Deleted: of

Deleted: well

87 Three experimental areas (with a dimension of 4 m x 4 m) were chosen that
88 were each approximately 50 m from a verandah entrance to the laying hen house.

89 Each area was further subdivided into 4 subplots each of 4 m² (dimensions of 4 x 1 m)
90 and 20 cm of the topsoil was removed and replaced with one of the 4 soil media.

91 These media were either recycled composted vegetable waste, a mixture of 90%

92 recycled composted vegetable waste and 10% sand, part-sterilised (steam application

93 to a soil temperature of 86°C for approximately 90 min), topsoil or un-sterilised topsoil

Deleted: part-

Deleted: (steam application to a soil temperature of 86°C for approximately 90 minutes)

94 obtained from the same site. The relative positions of each of the soil media subplots
95 within the main plots were chosen using random numbers. A proprietary grass seed
96 mixture (a mixture of grass varieties that included 35% ryegrass and 35% red fescue)
97 was used to seed each of the 4 different soil media.

98 The grass swards were sown in March 2005 and a new 16-week-old flock of

99 Lohmann Brown laying hens were placed in the house in the following month. The

Deleted: strain

100 flock was managed according to the established rules for free-range egg production

101 and the birds were fed, ad libitum, a proprietary laying hen feed. Shade and water was

102 provided on the range at locations that were approximately 25 m from each of the

103 experimental plots but feed was only provided within the fixed laying house. The

104 experimental swards were protected from access by the birds by wire cages until

105 September 2005 to allow correct establishment of the sward. During this time, the

106 grass was cut mechanically and removed and grass length was maintained at 5 cm + 2

107 cm throughout this period. No fertiliser or chemical applications were made to the

108 grass during this time.

109 **Behavioural observations**

1
2
3 110 Observational data of the laying hens were collected from the established plots during
4
5 111 September 2005 using the general procedures outlined by Martin and Bateson (1993).
6
7 112 The first objective was to determine the relative preference of the hens for the swards
8
9 113 grown on the 4 soil media. This work was undertaken immediately prior to allowing
10
11 114 the flock access to the experimental plots. Each plot was securely fenced and 12
12
13 115 randomly-selected birds were placed into each plot where they had free access to each
14
15 116 of the 4 subplots. Observations of the location of the birds placed within the plot were
16
17 117 taken for one hour. After this time the birds were removed and returned to the main
18
19 118 flock and a further 12 birds were randomly selected and placed in the same plot for
20
21 119 another hour of observations. Observations of bird location were therefore made on
22
23 120 two occasions (morning and afternoon) for each single main plot and observations
24
25 121 were made on each of the three main plots on following days of the same week.

26
27 122 The second objective of the behavioural observations was to determine the
28
29 123 relative use of the swards by the entire flock. The plot areas were previously unfenced
30
31 124 and the whole flock had been allowed free access to the three experimental areas as
32
33 125 well as the rest of the whole range area. Two 1-h observational periods counted the
34
35 126 numbers of birds standing on each of the 4 subplots for each open area.

36
37 127 **Soil structure and grass cover measurements**

38
39 128 After the first (fenced) behavioural observations, the three plots were left unfenced in
40
41 129 ambient conditions and the free-range flock had daily access to the three plots, and
42
43 130 any other area of the range area. Six series of measurements were made at the
44
45 131 beginning of the each month, from October 2005 to March 2006 to quantify the
46
47 132 amount of grass cover remaining on the soil media in each plot. Grass score was
48
49 133 described as the proportion of grass vegetation that covered each subplot area. ^A

Deleted: These measurements were made approximately one day after the plots were first opened for access to the entire flock.

Deleted: ndition

1
2
3 134 | [weather station at Harper Adams University College was used to collect monthly](#)
4 135 | [rainfall data during the experimental period.](#)
5
6

7 136 Two methods were used to assess soil structure on samples taken in October
8
9 137 2006 after approximately one month of continuous use by the flock but when there
10
11 138 was still a substantial amount of grass cover on each of the subplots. The first method
12
13 139 measured penetrometer resistance with a 30° cone penetrometer (Finlay Irvine Ltd,
14
15 140 Penicuik, Scotland) using the method described by USDA (1995). Two series of
16
17 141 readings were taken – one at the surface of the soil and the second 10 cm below the
18
19 142 surface. Ten readings were taken for each subplot and the mean computed. The
20
21 143 second method measured the porosity of the soil using thin-section microscopy. An
22
23 144 undisturbed sample (5 cm depth) was taken from each subplot. Thin sections were
24
25 145 prepared using the method of Murphy (1986) with image capture (Coolview II,
26
27 146 Photonic Science Ltd., Mountfield, UK) and electronic image analysis (Image Pro
28
29 147 Plus, Media Cybernetics Inc., Silver Spring, USA)

30
31 148 Extraction of free living nematode stages used an adapted Baermann funnel
32
33 149 method (Hooper 1986). An approximate weight of 600 g of soil was sampled from the
34
35 150 top 2 cm of the soil surface from each subplot. Free moving nematodes were extracted
36
37 151 by soaking the soil sample for 12 h in water and allowing the nematodes to move into
38
39 152 the aqueous phase. The nematodes were extracted into 20 ml of water and, after
40
41 153 thorough mixing, a count of motile nematodes was made on a 1 ml sub-sample using
42
43 154 a 10 x10 magnification.

44 45 155 **Statistical analysis**

46
47 156 Treatment differences were statistically compared using a randomised block analysis
48
49 157 of variance. The treatment sums of squares were orthogonally partitioned into three
50
51 158 specific pre-planned treatment comparisons (Steel and Torrie, 1960). All statements
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

159 of significance are based on a probability of less than 0.05. Statistical analysis was
160 performed using SPSS (1997) and GENSTAT software.

161

162 RESULTS

163 All the grass swards established successfully in each of the 4 soil media on each of the
164 three separate areas and there was complete grass cover on each of the subplots when
165 the wire cage protections were removed and the birds were given free access. There
166 were no visual differences in the condition or growth of the swards between the 4 soil
167 media. The monthly rainfall during the experimental period for October 2006 to
168 March 2006 was 80.4, 67.4, 38.8, 12.8, 27.2 and 54.0 mm respectively, compared to
169 the mean rainfall in the same month over the previous 10 years of 65.3, 57.7, 54.9,
170 37.0, 42.2 and 39.5 mm respectively. Although there were monthly variations, the
171 total rainfall over the 6-month period (280.6 mm) was similar to the mean 10-year
172 average rainfall for these months (296.6 mm) at the research site.

173 There were statistically significant differences in the hens' preferences for
174 grass swards grown on the 4 soil media when the birds were first introduced to the 4
175 different swards (fenced areas) (Table 1). The birds spent a greater proportion
176 ($P<0.01$) of their time on the two topsoil swards in comparison to the two compost-
177 based swards. However, once the whole flock of hens had prolonged access to the
178 different swards (unfenced areas) there were no significant ($P>0.05$) differences in the
179 number of birds that frequented the different sward types.

180 In common with the rest of the range area that was adjacent to the three main
181 plots, the grass sward gradually disappeared over the course of the 6-month period. At
182 the end of the 6-month period, no subplot still had any grass cover (Figure 1).
183 However, the rate of loss of grass from the subplots was greater ($P<0.001$) with the

Formatted: Body Text, Left, Indent:
First line: 0 pt, Adjust space between
Latin and Asian text, Adjust space
between Asian text and numbers

Deleted: However, the soil below the
sward of the two compost-based soil
media did appear to be somewhat darker
in colour. ¶

184 two compost-based soil media and the rate of loss in the 100% compost soil was
185 greater ($P<0.05$) than the 90% compost and 10% sand.

186 Results on the effect of the 4 soil media on soil structure and free-moving
187 nematodes are presented in Table 2. Porosity values were generally satisfactory for
188 air and water movement and root development (Yilmaz *et al*, 2003). The 100%
189 Compost had a very high porosity rating at a proportion of 0.423 (pores larger than
190 0.02 mm in diameter). The 100% compost had a greater ($P<0.05$) porosity than the
191 other three soil media and with such a high porosity and organic matter content, a soil
192 that was weak to excavation would be expected. Penetrometer resistance values are
193 generally low to very low (Yilmaz *et al*, 2003). Although there were no ($P>0.05$)
194 differences at the surface, the penetrometer resistance at 10 cm was greater ($P<0.01$)
195 in the 90% compost + 10% sand treatment compared to the 100% compost soil
196 medium (Table 2). The two compost-based soil media had 33% fewer ($P<0.05$)
197 nematodes per gram of dry soil compared to the two topsoil based media.

Deleted: ¶

DISCUSSION

200 When birds were first introduced to the fenced areas they preferred to move to the
201 topsoil swards in comparison to the swards grown on compost-based soils. The birds
202 used in this experiment had had a long experience of ranging on grass grown on
203 topsoil and evidently had an initial aversion to the recycled vegetable waste swards.
204 Birds are known to use olfactory cues to locate and discriminate between foods
205 (Wenzel and Sieck, 1972) and were apparently able to detect the difference between
206 the two soil media types. However, the lack of differences in preferences in the
207 unfenced areas, when the birds were allowed long-term access to the areas, indicated

1
2 208 that these initial preferences were soon overcome and other cues to the birds' location
3
4 209 within the range dominated their preferences.

5
6 210 Approximately 6 birds/h stood on each of the experimental plots during the
7
8 211 behavioural observation periods. This was a relatively high use of the plots by the
9
10 212 laying hens in comparison to adjacent parts of the range area. The three plots only
11
12 213 comprised approximately 0.2% of the total range area and not all birds made any use
13
14 214 of the range. Zeltner *et al.* (2004) observed a 3000 bird free-range flock and found
15
16 215 that only 19.5% of the birds used the range at all and over half of these never went
17
18 216 away from the area immediately nearest to the fixed house.

19
20
21 217 The faster rate of loss of grass from the compost-based soils would be of great
22
23 218 practical significance to a commercial free-range egg producer who would want to
24
25 219 maintain a good grass cover on the range. There was no evidence that the birds
26
27 220 preferred the compost-based soil swards, but the faster rate of grass loss may have
28
29 221 been due to a poorer soil structure. The high porosity of the compost-based soils
30
31 222 indicates that the soil was more fragile and liable to break up under the feet of the
32
33 223 birds as seen in the grass cover results. The 90% compost and 10% sand treatment
34
35 224 gave a significant ($P<0.05$) reduction in porosity. However porosity was still
36
37 225 satisfactory for air and water movement and root development (Yilmaz *et al.*, 2003),
38
39 226 although it was not as high as the two topsoil treatments. The penetrometer results for
40
41 227 10 cm depth are interpreted as showing that soil just below the surface provided better
42
43 228 support for the overlying soil aiding sward preservation. This indicates that particular
44
45 229 soil media formulations, that are based on compost, could be derived that have
46
47 230 suitable soil structure characteristics.

48
49 231 The nematode quantification technique measured total motile nematodes in the soil
50
51 232 and was not able to identify between species. However, it gives an indication of the
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

233 | probable poultry nematode worm infestation on the different swards. The mean size
 234 | and number of nematodes was used to approximately calculate the motile nematode
 235 | biomass and this indicated that it was approximately 400 mg per m² of soil media.
 236 | This is similar to the mean motile nematode biomass found in a survey of UK
 237 | temporary grass swards (Cook *et al.*, 1992). The part-sterilised topsoil had the
 238 | numerically highest ($P>0.05$) nematode population of the 4 soil media. The soil
 239 | nematode sample was taken approximately 6 months after the soil media was
 240 | introduced and this indicates that nematodes are quickly able to repopulate an area.
 241 | The two compost-based soils had fewer ($P<0.05$) nematodes than the topsoil-based
 242 | soils and this indicates that there are soil media that can slow the increase in nematode
 243 | populations in the range areas of laying hens. Only two rudimentary compost
 244 | formulations were used in this experiment, but it shows that the inclusion of a
 245 | proportion of composted vegetable waste could have significant long-term benefits to
 246 | the health status of free-range laying hen flocks. The results therefore indicate that
 247 | there may be potential to use compost to formulate soil media to reduce the nematode
 248 | worm populations in the range areas for laying hens.

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted: is a

Deleted: hat can

Deleted: In conclusion, this study has given preliminary evidence that composted vegetable waste can be used as a soil media for free-range hens. The high porosity of the 100% material resulted in a rapid loss of grass but modifications of the media formulation could improve this characteristic significantly. Although birds had an initial aversion to use of grass swards grown on compost, this was quickly overcome and the reduction in nematode populations after six months of use indicated that there could be potential health and productive performance advantages from the use of these types of soil media.¶

249 | Acknowledgements

250 | The authors acknowledge the support of the British Council and the National
 251 | Agricultural Research Foundation through the project “*Improvement of the welfare of*
 252 | *free-range laying hens by innovative changes in range management*”.

253 **References**

254 COOK, R., MIZEN, K.A., PLOWRIGHT, R.A. and YORK, P.A. (1992).

255 Observations on the incidence of plant parasitic nematodes in grassland in England

256 and Wales. *Grass and Forage Science*, 47 : 274-279.

Formatted: Font: Italic

257 EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES (1999). EU focus on waste management. ISBN 92-

258 828-4825-6. EUROPEAN COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 1999/31/EC (1999). Landfill

259 of waste in the EU-1.

260 HOOPER, D.J. (1986). Extraction of free-living stage from soil. In: Southey, J.F. ed.,

261 *Laboratory Methods for Work with Plant and Soil Nematodes*. Ministry of

262 Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, No. 402. London, Her Majesty's Stationery Office,

263 5-30.

264 MARTIN, P.& BATESON, P.P.F.(1993). *Measuring Behaviour. An Introductory*

265 *Guide*. 2nd Edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Deleted: .

266 MURPHY, C.P. (1986). *Thin Section Preparation of Soils and Sediments 1. Soil*

267 *Micromorphology*. AB Academic Publishers, Berkhamstead, Herts, UK.

268 NOBLE, R. & ROBERTS, S.J. (2004). Eradication of plant pathogens and nematodes

269 during composting: a review. *Plant Pathology*, 53: 548-568.

270 PENNYCOTT, T.W. & STEEL, F. (2001). Parasitic worms in commercial free-range

271 poultry flocks in England and Wales. *Veterinary Record*, 149: 428.

272 PERMIN, A., BISGAARD, M., FRANSEN, F., PEARMAN, M., KOLD, J. &

273 NANSEN, P. (1999). Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths in different poultry

274 production systems. *British Poultry Science*, 40: 439-443.

275 SPSS (1997). *SPSS Base 7.5 for Windows*, Chicago, IL, SPSS.

276 STEEL, R.G.D. & TORRIE, J.H. (1960). *Principles and Procedures of Statistics*, 2nd

277 edn, New York, McGraw-Hill.

278 USDA (1995). *Soil Survey Manual. Handbook No. 18*. National Resource
279 Conservation Service, Washington D.C.

280 USEPA (1997). Innovative uses of compost. Disease control for plants and animals.

281 EPA530-F07-044. United States Environmental Protection Agency (5306W).
282 Washington D.C.

283 WENZEL, B.M. & SIECK, M.H. (1977). Olfactory perception and bulbar electrical
284 activity in several avian species. *Physiology and Behaviour*, 9: 287-293.

285 YILMAZ, K, N W HALL, N W, & COŞCAN P K. (2003). An evaluation of soil
286 compaction in the Narli Plain irrigation area, Kahramanmaraş, eastern Turkey. *Soil*
287 *Science*, 168 7, 516-528.

288 ZELTNER, E., HIRT, H. & HAUSER, J. (2004). How to motivate laying hens to use
289 the hen run? *Proceedings 2nd SAFO Workshop, Witzenhausen, Germany. Pp 161-165.*

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times
New Roman, Polish

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times
New Roman, Italic, Polish

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times
New Roman, Polish

Table 1. *Hens' preferences for grass swards grown on the 4 soil media.*

Deleted: Bird use of swards grown on four different soil media

Soil media	Enclosed area study (Proportion of time spent standing on treatment subplot)	Open area study Number of birds (from the whole flock) standing on the subplot (No. birds/h)
Composted vegetable waste	0.175	6.44
90% Composted vegetable waste + 10% sand	0.135	6.86
Topsoil	0.288*	7.94
Sterilized topsoil	0.402*	5.58
SED ¹ (6 degrees of freedom)	0.04547	1.447

* = Factors within the same coloumn that are significantly different at $P=0.05$
 SED¹=Standard Errors of Differences of Means.

Formatted: Font: (Default) TimesNewRoman, 8.5 pt, Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Font: (Default) TimesNewRoman, 8.5 pt, Not Superscript/ Subscript

Table 2. Effect of *the 4* soil media on soil structure and *grass cover measurements*.

Deleted: free-moving nematodes ¶

Variable	Soil media				
Soil penetrometer resistance (Cone index units)	Composted vegetable waste	90% composted vegetable waste 10% sand	Topsoil	Sterilised topsoil	SED ¹ (6 degrees of freedom)
(Sampled in October 2005)					
Surface	18.0	24.1	23.9	27.1	5.62
10 cm depth	30.0	38.8**	26.1	33.7	3.76
Soil microscopy (Sampled in October 2005)					
No. of pores per 50 mm ²	424	351	445	384	67.8
Porosity	0.423*	0.204	0.278	0.197	0.0204
(Proportion of soil as air for pores greater than 0.02 mm diameter)					
Soil nematode count (Sampled in February 2006)					
Water content of soil sample (g/kg)	306	268	262	302	52.5
Nematode count per g of dry matter	13.8*	14.2*	18.6	23.5	3.93

* = Factors within the same row that are significantly different at $P=0.05$.

** = Factors within the same row that are significantly different at $P=0.01$.

SED¹ = Standard Errors of Differences of Means.

Deleted: ¶

Figure 1. Proportion of grass cover on four soil media (vertical bars indicate SED (6df))

