



HAL
open science

Breast meat traits of Muscovy ducks fed on a microalga (*Cryptocodinium cohnii*) meal supplemented diet

Achille Schiavone, Riccardo Chiarini, Margherita Marzoni, Annelisse Castillo,
Sonia Tassone, Isabella Romboli

► To cite this version:

Achille Schiavone, Riccardo Chiarini, Margherita Marzoni, Annelisse Castillo, Sonia Tassone, et al..
Breast meat traits of Muscovy ducks fed on a microalga (*Cryptocodinium cohnii*) meal supplemented
diet. *British Poultry Science*, 2007, 48 (05), pp.573-579. 10.1080/00071660701615796 . hal-00545321


HAL Id: hal-00545321

<https://hal.science/hal-00545321>

Submitted on 10 Dec 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**Breast meat traits of Muscovy ducks fed on a microalga
(*Cryptocodium cohnii*) meal supplemented diet**

Journal:	<i>British Poultry Science</i>
Manuscript ID:	CBPS-2007-022.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Date Submitted by the Author:	11-Apr-2007
Complete List of Authors:	Schiavone, Achille; Università di Torino, Produzioni Animali, Epidemiologia ed Ecologia Chiarini, Riccardo; Università di Pisa, Produzioni Animali Marzoni, Margherita; Università di Pisa, Produzioni Animali Castillo, Annelisse; Università di Pisa, Produzioni Animali Tassone, Sonia; Università di Torino, Scienze Zootecniche Romboli, Isabella; Università di Pisa, Produzioni Animali
Keywords:	Ducks, Meat, Fats and fatty acids



1 **Breast meat traits of Muscovy ducks fed on a microalga**
2 **(*Cryptocodium cohnii*) meal supplemented diet**

3
4 A. SCHIAVONE, R. CHIARINI¹, M. MARZONI², A. CASTILLO¹,
5 S. TASSONE² AND I. ROMBOLI¹

6
7 *Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Dipartimento di Produzioni Animali,*
8 *Epidemiologia ed Ecologia, Università degli Studi di Torino,* ¹*Faculty of*
9 *Veterinary Medicine, Dipartimento di Produzioni Animali, Università degli Studi*
10 *di Pisa,* ²*Faculty of Agriculture, Dipartimento di Scienze Zootecniche, Università*
11 *degli Studi di Torino, Italy*

12
13 **RUNNING TITLE: DIETARY MICROALGA IN DUCKS**

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

* Correspondence address: A. Schiavone, Dipartimento di Produzioni Animali,
Epidemiologia ed Ecologia, Facoltà di Medicina Veterinaria, 10095 Grugliasco
(TO), Italy.

Tel.: +39-011-6709208

Fax: +39-011-6709240

E-mail: achille.schiavone@unito.it

Accepted for publication 15th May 2007

1 **Abstract** 1. A trial was conducted in order to increase the docosahexaenoic acid
2 (DHA) content in the meat of Muscovy ducks (*Cairina moschata domestica* L.)
3 fed on a diet supplemented with the microalga *Cryptothecodinium cohnii*.
4 2. Two diets were provided to 48 male and 48 female ducks, belonging to an
5 Italian rural strain during the last three weeks of life: a maize-soybean based diet
6 as the control diet and the same diet supplemented with 5 g/kg microalga meal.
7 3. Dietary treatment did not induce differences in growth performances and
8 slaughter traits. Similarly, chemical composition, colour, pH, oxidative stability
9 and sensory characteristics of breast muscle were not influenced by the diet.
10 4. A significant increase of DHA content in breast meat of ducks fed on the
11 *Cryptothecodinium cohnii* enriched diet was observed.

12 INTRODUCTION

13 In human nutrition, the biological effect of n-3 long chain polyunsaturated fatty
14 acids (LC-PUFAs) has received great interest as they play an active role in the
15 prevention and management of several pathologies such as coronary heart disease,
16 hypertension, type 2 diabetes, renal disease, ulcerative colitis, chronic obstructive
17 pulmonary disease and Crohn's disease (Simopoulos, 2000). The main molecules
18 of the n-3 LC-PUFAs family involved in the beneficial biological effects are
19 eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, C20:5 n-3), docosapentaenoic acid (DPA, C22:5 n-3)
20 and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA, 22:6 n-3). The current Western diet is
21 characterised by low fish consumption, representing the main source of n-3 LC-
22 PUFAs, and a high consumption of products from terrestrial animal origin,
23 characterised by a large content of PUFAs of the n-6 series (Simopoulos, 2000).
24 On the other hand, the nutritional value of these products rich in n-6 PUFAs, such

1 as poultry meat, could be enhanced by increasing the n-3 LC-PUFAs content. In
2 fact, several studies aimed to enrich poultry products with n-3 LC-PUFAs
3 indicated fish oil as the most effective source for this purpose (Hargis and Van
4 Elswyk, 1993; Leskanich and Noble, 1997). Our previous experience showed an
5 increase in the amount of LC-PUFAs in duck meat with dietary fish oil
6 (Schiavone *et al.*, 2004). The recommendation of the European Union (decision
7 00/766/EU), concerning the ban on the use of animal proteins in animal nutrition,
8 and the consumers' preferences, stimulated the interest in making diets for birds
9 using exclusively vegetable ingredients.

10 This investigation aimed to increase the docosahexaenoic acid (DHA)
11 content of the breast muscle of Muscovy ducks. Therefore, ducks were fed on a
12 diet supplemented with marine microalga meal at the end of the finisher period.
13 The microalga *Cryptothecodinium cohnii*, a non-photosynthetic marine
14 dinoflagellate, rich in DHA (Jiang *et al.*, 1999) was included in the diet and its
15 effect evaluated at the minimum slaughter age for Muscovy ducks. Besides, meat
16 sensory evaluation was performed to exclude the presence of a disagreeable taste.

17 MATERIALS AND METHODS

18 **Animals and diets**

19 Muscovy ducklings (*Cairina moschata domestica* L.) of both sexes of an Italian
20 rural strain, hatched at the Experimental Avian Station of the Department of
21 Animal Production of Pisa (Italy), were used for this trial. The experimental
22 protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Veterinary
23 Medicine of Pisa, Italy. All animal housing conformed to European Union
24 guidelines.

1 A total of 48 males and 48 females were distributed by sex in 6 pens,
2 respectively. Density was 3.5 males/m² and 4 females/m². All ducks received the
3 same diet before the beginning of the experimental trial: a starter diet (12.1 MJ
4 ME/kg and 240 g of crude protein/kg), and a finisher diet (12.0 MJ ME/kg and
5 200 g of crude protein/kg). The trial began when male ducks and female ducks
6 were 50 and 43 d old, respectively. During the 21-d experimental period the
7 finisher diet (Control diet, C) was compared with the same diet enriched with 5
8 g/kg dried microalga *Cryptocodium cohnii* (Microalga diet, MA) (Algamix –
9 AG DHA ®). The two diets were formulated to be isoenergetic and
10 isonitrogenous (Table 1). Each diet was randomly assigned to three pens for each
11 sex. Olive oil was used as fat source for both diets. During the trial, individual
12 duck body weight and feed consumption per pen were recorded weekly to
13 calculate the feed conversion ratio (FCR).

Table 1 near here

14 **Carcass assessment and meat quality**

15 At the minimum slaughter age, seven 71-d old males and seven 64-d old females
16 per dietary treatment were sacrificed by electrical stunning followed by neck-
17 cutting, after a 12-h starving period. Eviscerated and plucked carcasses were
18 weighted after removal of the feet and abdominal fat to obtain ready to cook
19 carcasses (RCC). Breast fillets and liver were excised from refrigerated RCC (6
20 hours at +4°C) and weighed to evaluate slaughter traits.

21 Just before the dissection, pH and colour measurements of *Pectoralis*
22 *major* muscles were determined. pH was measured using a Hanna Instruments
23 8417 pH-meter supplied with a Hamilton Biotrode electrode. Meat colour
24 (CIELAB system: L^* , a^* , b^*) was measured on breast muscle surface using a
25 Minolta Chroma-Meter CR-300 colour analyser.

1 *Pectoralis major* muscle was vacuum-packaged and frozen (-20°C) for
2 analytical and sensory determinations described below. AOAC methods (1990)
3 were used to assess moisture, ash, protein and ether extract and results were
4 expressed as percentage on a fresh matter basis. Fatty acid composition of both
5 breast muscle and diets was ascertained by capillary gas chromatography after
6 lipid extraction (Folch *et al.*, 1957) and esterification (Christie, 1982), using
7 sodium methoxide as catalyst. Fatty acid methyl esters were analysed by a Perkin-
8 Elmer AutoSystem gas-chromatograph, equipped with a flame ionisation detector
9 and a Supelco Omegawax 320 capillary column (30 m x 0.32 mm, 0.25 µm film).
10 Each fatty acid peak was identified by pure methyl ester standards (Supelco and
11 Restek Corporation, Bellefonte, PA) and data were conveyed as relative values.

12 Susceptibility to lipid oxidation was estimated from thiobarbituric acid
13 reactive substances (TBARS) according to the iron-induced TBARS procedure,
14 described by Huang and Miller (1993): 3 g of minced breast were homogenised in
15 57 ml of a chilled 1.15% KCl solution; 30 ml of the homogenate were incubated
16 at 37°C in a shaking water bath with 8.34 mg FeSO₄·7H₂O (final concentration 1
17 mM Fe⁺³) as oxidative agent. The iron-induced TBARS assay was performed at 0,
18 60 and 120 minutes of incubation and the absorbance was read at 532 nm. Liquid
19 malonaldehyde bis (diethyl acetal) (MDA) (Aldrich Chemical Co Ltd, Dorset
20 England) was used as the standard to determine the linear standard response and
21 recovery. TBARS values were calculated by multiplying absorbance by a constant
22 coefficient K (23.58), combining standard response, recovery (93.4%), molecular
23 weight of the MDA and sample weight. TBARS values were expressed as mg
24 MDA/kg fresh meat.

1 A sensory panel test was performed on *Pectoralis major* muscle, without
2 skin, roasted in a hot air oven at 165°C until an internal temperature of 70°C,
3 without salt or spices and skin. Cooked samples were immediately sliced into 8
4 pieces and randomly offered to 6 trained panellists. The trial consisted of 4
5 sessions and the traits assessed were: tenderness, juiciness, fibrousnesses and
6 acceptability. A 5-point scale was used: 1 referring to very disagreeable, very
7 tough, very dry, very fibrous and 5 to very agreeable, extremely tender, very
8 juicy, without fibre (Cross *et al.* 1986).

9 **Statistical analysis**

10 Measurements of *in vivo* performances, slaughter traits, chemical composition,
11 fatty acid profile and sensory analysis of meat were split by sex and analysed with
12 a linear model including the fixed effect of diet (SPSS, 1997). Significance of
13 differences was evaluated by t-test.

14 **RESULTS**

15 Fatty acid composition of microalga meal was characterised by a 30.6% DHA
16 content. The 5 g/kg inclusion of microalga meal in MA diet resulted in a 2.3 g/kg
17 content of DHA of total fatty acids, while DHA in the C diet was not detected
18 (Table 1). Growth performances, slaughter traits and breast muscle traits (colour,
19 pH and chemical composition) were not influenced by dietary treatments (Tables
20 2, 3 and 4). Similarly, susceptibility to lipid oxidation was not affected by the
21 diet (Table 4). Besides, the inclusion of microalga did not influence tenderness,
22 juiciness, fibrousness and acceptability of meat (Table 4).

Tables 2,3,4 near here

23 Fatty acid composition of breast meat (Table 5) was affected ($P < 0.05$) by
24 the diet. In particular DHA content was significantly higher in birds fed on the
25 MA diet (+ 2.9 fold in males and + 2.8 fold in females, for MA and C diet,

1 respectively). The improvement of DHA content in breast muscles due to MA diet
2 significantly influenced the total n-3 PUFAs amount (+ 1.9 fold in males and +
3 1.8 fold in females, for MA and C diet, respectively) and the n-6/n-3 ratio (- 1.8
4 fold in males and - 1.9 fold in females, for MA and C diet respectively).

Table 5 near here

DISCUSSION

6 Our results of moisture, protein and lipid contents in breast meat, 74.6 - 76.8 %,
7 20.2 - 21.2 %, 0.99 - 1.06 % respectively, are similar to those reported by other
8 authors, (Baéza *et al.*, 1997; Baéza *et al.*, 2002; Koci *et al.*, 1982; Paquin, 1988).

9 Avian fatty acids are typically monounsaturated, due to an active hepatic
10 delta-9 desaturase, and an oleic and palmitoleic acid predominance. Domestic
11 granivores use dietary carbohydrate for *de novo* fatty acid synthesis. Birds
12 usually, except for some species, lack the enzymatic capacity to introduce double
13 bonds past the ninth carbon of the fatty acid. Thus, they cannot use stearic acid to
14 synthesise linoleic acid or alpha-linolenic acid, which are essential fatty acids in
15 birds (Klasing, 2000). Dietary provision of lipids was shown to influence the
16 composition of phospholipid membranes and adipose depots. In fact,
17 susceptibility of avian tissues and yolk lipids to fatty acid manipulation has been
18 reviewed by Leskanich and Noble (1997) and Hargis and Van Elswyk (1993).
19 Thus, the enrichment of poultry products with n-3 fatty acids, especially those
20 with a number of carbons up to 20, is the main goal of fatty acid manipulation.
21 Maldijan *et al.* (1996) showed that phospholipid fatty acid composition of eggs
22 from chicken and duck (*Anas platyrhynchos L.*) markedly differed. The
23 phospholipid fraction of duck eggs has higher proportions of arachidonic acid and
24 lower proportions of DHA than chicken eggs. This shows that duck liver is quiet
25 efficient at converting dietary 18:2 n-6 into 20:4 n-6, whereas in chicken the

1 conversion of 18:3 n-3 into 22:6 n-3 has priority. Thus, variations in the fatty acid
2 profiles of yolk lipids may be derived from dietary provision of PUFAs as well as
3 genetic differences among avian species regarding liver metabolic activities.
4 However, in broiler chicken the capability to transfer LC-PUFAs from liver to
5 peripheral tissue seems to be less effective than the mechanism involved in the
6 incorporation of these in the yolk lipids. In fact Lopez-Ferrer *et al.* (2001) showed
7 that dietary linolenic acid (28% of total dietary fatty acid) stimulates hepatic
8 metabolic pathways involved in the elongation and desaturation of C18:3 n-3 into
9 22:6 n-3 (5.67%-7.16% of total fatty acid), resulting in an inefficient build-up in
10 thigh muscles (0.25% of total fatty acid). Similarly, in turkey, the conversion of
11 C18:3 n-3 to EPA and DHA is weak. According to Komprda *et al.* (2005) the
12 administration of three different diets containing 1.9%, 36.5% and 1.1% alpha-
13 linolenic acid resulted in 6.8%, 9.0% and 5.7% EPA+DHA in breast meat.

14 Dietary algae have been successfully used in the nutrition of laying hens
15 (Herber and van Elswyk, 1996; Nitsan *et al.*, 1999; Tallarico *et al.*, 2002), broiler
16 chickens (González-Esquerria and Leeson, 2001; Mooney *et al.*, 1998; Sirri *et al.*,
17 2003a; Sirri *et al.*, 2003b) to obtain enriched poultry products, characterised by a
18 significant amount of DHA or EPA, which could contribute to the novel concept
19 of “functional food” in human nutrition (Garg *et al.*, 2006; Almeida *et al.*, 2006).
20 In biological tissues and fluids, susceptibility to lipid oxidation is related to fatty
21 acid chain length, number of double bonds (Liu *et al.*, 1997) and amount of
22 antioxidant molecules (Surai, 1999). Our data on iron-induced TBARS showed no
23 differences between groups in spite of the increase in DHA amount in animals fed
24 on the diet supplemented with the microalga meal. This might be explained by the
25 fact that the content of naturally occurring carotenoids, such as beta-carotene and

1
2
3
4 1 canthaxanthin in the *Crypthecodinium cohnii* (Barclay *et al.*, 1994), may provide
5
6 2 LC-PUFAs stabilisation due to their antioxidant properties (Surai, 2002). Other
7
8 3 authors studied the effect of other dietary microalgae on lipid stability of avian
9
10 4 meat. No differences were found by Mooney *et al.* (1998) in breast meat TBARS
11
12 5 values among chickens fed on 0%, 2.8% and 5.5% of dried *Schizochytrium*. Sirri
13
14 6 *et al.* (2003a and 2003b) studied the effect of 0.0%, 0.5% and 1.0% dietary
15
16 7 *Schizochytrium* in broiler chicken on lipid stability of both drumstick and breast
17
18 8 meat. TBARS values of drumstick meat were not influenced by dietary
19
20 9 *Schizochytrium*, oppositely breast meat TBARS values of the same birds receiving
21
22 10 1.0% *Schizochytrium* appeared to be significantly higher than those from birds fed
23
24 11 on 0.0 and 0.5% alga meal.

25
26
27
28
29
30 12 The 5 g/kg inclusion of *Crypthecodinium cohnii* did not affect the sensory
31
32 13 panel test, agreeing with the results shown by Sirri *et al.* (2003b) when
33
34 14 *Schizochytrium* were administered to chickens. Likewise Mooney *et al.* (1998)
35
36 15 referred no modification of flavour in fresh chicken meat, while cooked meat
37
38 16 samples from the experimental group with the highest level of *Schizochytrium*
39
40 17 (5.5% of diet) were judged “undesirable”.

41
42
43
44 18 In conclusion the 5 g/kg inclusion of microalga *Crypthecodinium cohnii* in
45
46 19 the diet, provided for the last 21 d of life, improved DHA content in the duck meat
47
48 20 without negative influences on sensory traits of the breast meat.

49
50
51 21 Also, the dietary microalga did not negatively influence growth
52
53 22 performances or slaughter traits. In addition, chemical composition, colour, pH
54
55 23 and oxidative stability of breast muscle were not influenced by the microalga
56
57 24 supplemented diet. Muscovy duck appeared to react positively to this dietary
58
59
60

1 supplementation. Thus, the use of *Crypthecodinium cohnii* could be used as a
2 dietary strategy to enhance n-3 PUFA in duck meat.

3 In conclusion this DHA-enriched meat could contribute to human
4 nutrition, providing an increase of about three-fold in DHA content compared to
5 meat from ducks fed on a diet without microalga meal supplementation.

6 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

7 We are grateful to Mrs Manuela Baglini for duck breeding and Mrs Chiara
8 Bianchi for technical support. Acknowledgements are due to Bios Pan Srl
9 (Concordia sulla Secchia – MO, Italy) for providing the Algamix –AG DHA ®.

10 REFERENCES

- 11 ALMEIDA, M.D.V., PINHÃO, S., STEWART-KNOX, B., PARR, H.J, &
12 GIBNEY, M.J. (2006). An overview of findings from a six-country European
13 survey on consumer attitudes to the metabolic syndrome, genetics in nutrition, and
14 potential agro-food technologies. *British Nutrition Foundation Nutrition Bulletin*,
15 **31**: 239-246.
- 16 AOAC (1990). *Official methods of analysis*, 14th ed. Arlington, VA. USA:
17 Association of Official Analytical Chemistry.
- 18 BAÉZA, E., DE CARVILLE, H., SALICHON, M.R., & LECLERQ, B. (1997).
19 Effect of selection, over three four generations, on meat yield and fatness in
20 Muscovy ducks. *British Poultry Science*, **38**: 359-356.
- 21 BAÉZA, E., DESSAY, C., WACRENIER, G., MARCHE, G., & LISTRAT, A.
22 (2002). Effect of selection for improved body weight and composition on muscle
23 and meat characteristics in Muscovy duck. *British Poultry Science*, **4**: 560-568.

- 1
2
3
4 1 BARCLAY, W.R., MEAGER K.M., & ABRIL, J.R. (1994). Heterotrophic
5
6 2 production of long chain omega-3 fatty acid utilizing algae and algae-like
7
8 3 microorganisms. *Journal of Applied Phycology*, **6**: 123-129.
9
10 4 CHRISTIE, W.W. (1982). A simple procedure for rapid transmethylolation of
11
12 5 glycerolipids and cholesteryl esters. *Journal of Lipid Research*, **23**: 1072-1075.
13
14 6 CIELAB Colour System (1976). *Commission International de l'Eclairage*. Paris:
15
16 7 CIE Publication.
17
18 8 CROSS, H.R., DURLAND, P.R., & SEIDEMAN, S.C. (1986). Sensory qualities
19
20 9 of meat, in P.J. BECHTEL (Ed.), *Muscle as food*, pp. 279-3290 (Orlando,
21
22 10 Harcourt Brace Jovanovich).
23
24 11 FOLCH, J., LEES, M., & SLOANE-STANLEY, H. (1957). A simple method for
25
26 12 the isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissue. *Journal of Biology*
27
28 13 *Chemistry*, **226**: 479-509.
29
30 14 GARG, M.L., WOOD, L.G., SINGH, H., & MOUNGHAN, P.J. (2006). Mean of
31
32 15 delivering recommended levels of long chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in
33
34 16 human diets. *Journal of Food Science*, **71 (5)**: 66-71.
35
36 17 GONZÁLEZ-ESQUERRA, R., & LEESON, S. (2001). Alternatives for
37
38 18 enrichment of eggs and chicken meat with omega-3 fatty acids. *Journal of Animal*
39
40 19 *Science*, **81 (3)**: 295-305.
41
42 20 HARGIS, P.S., & VAN ELSWYK, M.E. (1993). Manipulation of fatty acid
43
44 21 composition of poultry meat and eggs for the health conscious consumer. *World's*
45
46 22 *Poultry Science Journal*, **49**: 257-264.
47
48 23 HERBER, S.M., & VAN ELSWYK, M.E. (1996). Dietary marine algae promotes
49
50 24 efficient deposition of n-3 fatty acids for the production of enriched shell eggs.
51
52 25 *Poultry Science*, **75**: 1501-1507.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1 HUANG, Y.X., & MILLER, E.L. (1993). Iron-induced TBARs as an indicator of
2 oxidative stability of fresh chicken meat. *Proceedings of the 11th European*
3 *Symposium on the Quality of Poultry Meat*, Tours, pp 478-484.
- 4 JIANG, Y., CHEN, F., & LIANG, S.-Z. (1999). Production potential of
5 docosahexaenoic acid by the heterotrophic marine dinoflagellate *Cryptocodinium*
6 *cohnii*. *Process Biochemistry*, **34**: 633-637.
- 7 KLASING, K.C. (2000). Lipids, in: KLAISING, K.C. (Eds) *Comparative avian*
8 *nutrition*, pp 171-173 (CAB International, Cambridge, UK).
- 9 KOČI, E., BAUMGARTNER, J., ILLES, V., & PALANSKA, O. (1982). Carcass
10 and nutritive value of the small white broiler duck and its crosses. *Archiv für*
11 *Geflügelkunde*, **46**: 157 – 163.
- 12 KOMPRDA T., ZELENKA J., FAJMONOVA E., FIALOVA M. & KLADROBA
13 D. (2005). Arachidonic acid and long-chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid
14 contents in meat of selected poultry and fish species in relation to dietary fat
15 sources, *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, **53**: 6804-6812.
- 16 LESKANICH, C.O., & NOBLE, R.C. (1997). Manipulation of n-3
17 polyunsaturated fatty acid composition of avian eggs and meat. *World's Poultry*
18 *Science Journal*, **53**: 155-183.
- 19 LIU, J., YEO, H.C., DONIGER, S.J., & AMES, B.N. (1997). Assay of aldehydes
20 from lipid peroxidation: gas chromatography-mass spectrometry compared to
21 thiobarbituric acid. *Analytical Biochemistry*, **14, 245**: 161-166.
- 22 LOPEZ-FERRER, S., BAUCCELLS, M.D., BARROETA, A.C., GALOBART, J.,
23 & GRASHORN, M.A. (2001). n-3 enrichment of chicken meat. Use of precursors
24 of long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acids: linseed oil. *Poultry Science* **80**: 753-
25 761.

- 1 MALDIJAN, A., CRISTOFORI, C., NOBLE, R.C., & SPEAKE, B.K. (1996).
2 The fatty acid composition of brain phospholipids from chicken and duck
3 embryos. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology* **B, 115B**: 153-158.
- 4 MOONEY, J.W., HIRSCHLER, E.M., KENNEDY, A.K., SAMS, A.R., & VAN
5 ELSWYK, M.E. (1998). Lipid and flavour quality of stored breast meat from
6 broilers fed marine algae. *Journal Science of Food Agriculture*, **78**: 134-140.
- 7 NITSAN, Z., MOKADY, S., & SUKENIK, A. (1999). Enrichment of poultry
8 products with n-3 fatty acids by dietary supplementation with the alga
9 *Nannochloropsis* and mantur oil. *Journal of Agriculture Food Chemistry*, **47**:
10 5127-5132.
- 11 PAQUIN, J. (1988). Valeur nutritionnelle des viandes de volailles, in ROSSET, R.
12 (Ed.) *L'aviculture française*. Informations Techniques des Services Vétérinaires
13 (Paris).
- 14 SCHIAVONE, A., ROMBOLI, I., CHIARINI, R., & MARZONI, M. (2004).
15 Influence of dietary lipid source and genotype on fatty acid composition of
16 Muscovy duck meat. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, **88**: 88-
17 93.
- 18 SIMOPOULOS, A.P. (2000). Human requirement for n-3 polyunsaturated fatty
19 acid, *Poultry Science*, **79**: 961-970.
- 20 SIRRI, F., MINELLI, G., IAFFALDANO, N., TALLARICO, N., &
21 FRANCHINI, A. (2003a). Oxidative stability and quality traits of n-3 PUFA
22 enriched chicken meat. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, **2(1)**: 450-452.
- 23 SIRRI, F., MINELLI, G., MELUZZI, A., & FRANCHINI, A. (2003b). Quality
24 traits and oxidative stability of n-3 PUFA enriched meat, in: BAEZA, E. &

- 1
2
3
4 1 FERNANDEZ, X. (Eds.). *Proceedings of the XVI European Symposium on the*
5
6 2 *Quality of Poultry Meat*, Saint-Brieuc, pp. 258-264.
7
8
9 3 SPSS (1997). Guida dell'utente SPSS, base 7.5 per Windows ®. (Inc. Chicago,
10
11 4 Illinois. U.S.A.).
12
13 5 SURAI, P.F. (1999) Vitamin E in avian reproduction. *Poultry and Avian Biology*
14
15 6 *Reviews*, **10 (1)**, 1-60.
16
17 7 SURAI, P.F. (2002) Carotenoids in natural antioxidants in avian nutrition and
18
19 8 reproduction. Nottingham University Press (U.K), pp 129-200.
20
21 9 TALLARICO, N., SIRRI, F., MELUZZI, A., PITTIA, P., PERPINELLO, G.P., &
22
23 10 FRANCHINI, A. (2002). Effect of dietary vegetable lipids on functional and
24
25 11 sensory properties of chicken eggs. *Italian Journal of Food Science*, **14 (2)**: 159-
26
27 12 166.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. *Ingredients, chemical and fatty acid composition of the diets*

	Experimental period (last 21 d of life)	
	C diet	MA diet
<i>Ingredients (g/kg)</i>		
Maize meal	598	602
Soybean meal	340	333
Dicalcium phosphate	20	20
Calcium carbonate	17	17
Sodium chloride	2	2
DL-methionine	2	2
L-lysine	1	1
Vitamin and mineral premix ^a	5	5
Olive oil	15	13
<i>Cryptocodinium cohnii</i> meal	-	5
<i>Chemical composition (g/kg)</i>		
Dry matter	878.1	878.6
Crude protein	200.1	202.6
Ether extract	34.4	37.3
Crude fibre	29.2	31.4
Ash	67.7	63.2
Metabolisable energy (MJ/kg)	12.09	12.07
<i>Fatty acid composition (g/100 g fatty acid)</i>		
C14:0	0.11	0.31
C16:0	13.89	13.92
C16:1n7	0.33	0.34
C18:0	3.06	2.81
C18:1n9ct	31.84	31.80
C18:2n6c	45.19	45.45
C18:3n3	1.99	1.72
C22:6n3	-	0.23
SFAs ^b	17.96	17.98
UFAs ^c	79.81	79.65
SFAs/UFAs	0.23	0.23
MUFAs ^d	32.38	32.45
PUFAs ^e	47.43	47.20
n6	45.48	45.22
n3	1.95	1.99
n6/n3	23.00	23.00

^a provided per kg of diet: retinol 3 mg; cholecalciferol, 45 mg; DL- α -tocopheryl acetate 30 mg; thiamine 1.5 mg; riboflavin 3 mg; pyridoxine 1.5 mg; cobalamin 0.015 mg; pantothenic acid 8.0 mg; niacin 25 mg; choline chloride 500 mg; Fe (FeSO₄ · 7H₂O), 30 mg; Cu (CuSO₄ · 5H₂O) 1.5 mg; Mn (MnSO₄ · H₂O) 80 mg; Zn (ZnSO₄ · 7H₂O) 30.0 mg; I (KI) 1.4 mg.

^b saturated fatty acids; ^c unsaturated fatty acids; ^d monounsaturated fatty acids;

^e polyunsaturated fatty acids.

1 **Table 2.** *Effects of microalga meal incorporation in diets for 3 weeks on growth*
 2 *performance of Muscovy ducks (means, n = 24)*
 3

	Females				Males				
		C	MA	SEM	P	C	MA	SEM	P
Initial age	d	43	43			50	50		
Final age	d	64	64			71	71		
Initial LW ^a	g	1343	1369	21.05	ns	2314	2318	32.64	ns
Final LW	g	1893	1909	22.72	ns	3314	3339	41.55	ns
Total body weight gain	g	550	540	11.44	ns	1000	1021	24.34	ns
ADG ^b	g	26.20	25.71	0.54	ns	47.64	48.62	1.16	ns
FCR ^c		5.31	5.03	0.11	ns	4.36	4.00	0.11	ns

- 4
 5 ^a Live body weight.
 6 ^b Average daily weight gain.
 7 ^c Feed conversion ratio (n = 3 for females; n = 4 for males).

1 **Table 3.** *Effects of microalga meal incorporation in diets for 3 weeks on slaughter*
 2 *traits of Muscovy ducks (means, n = 7)*
 3

		Females (64 d old)				Males (71 d old)			
		C	MA	SEM	P	C	MA	SEM	P
LW ^a	g	1895	1918	24.89	ns	3443	3454	23.12	ns
RCC ^b	g	1171	1193	16.07	ns	2163	2181	20.72	ns
	%LW	61.78	62.23	0.46	ns	62.82	63.14	0.53	ns
BM ^c	g	244.40	249.26	4.98	ns	431.20	432.17	14.67	ns
	%RCC	20.88	20.90	0.42	ns	21.27	21.69	0.32	ns
Liver	g	27.54	28.60	1.31	ns	67.76	70.53	3.93	ns
	%RCC	2.35	2.40	0.11	ns	3.18	3.24	0.19	ns

4 ^a Live body weight.

5 ^b Ready to cook carcasse.

6 ^c Breast muscles.

1 **Table 4.** Effects of microalga meal incorporation in diets for 3 weeks on physico-
 2 chemical characteristics, oxidation susceptibility and sensory traits of the
 3 Pectoralis major muscle from Muscovy ducks (means, $n = 7$ or 5 for chemical
 4 composition)
 5

	Females				Males			
	C	MA	SEM	<i>P</i>	C	MA	SEM	<i>P</i>
pH ^a	5.64	5.67	0.04	ns	5.75	5.69	0.03	ns
<i>Chemical composition</i> ^a								
Moisture - %	74.61	75.20	0.22	ns	76.77	76.57	0.09	ns
Protein - %	21.15	20.90	0.11	ns	20.59	20.17	0.20	ns
Fat - %	1.06	1.00	0.09	ns	0.99	1.02	0.06	ns
Ash -%	1.35	1.36	0.02	ns	1.23	1.26	0.02	ns
<i>Iron-induced TBARS (mg MDA kg⁻¹ meat)</i> ^a								
0 minutes	0.54	0.41	0.10	ns	0.88	1.20	0.22	ns
60 minutes	0.97	0.83	0.11	ns	1.89	2.46	0.37	ns
120 minutes	1.62	1.41	0.15	ns	1.63	2.18	0.27	ns
<i>Colour</i> ^a								
<i>L</i> *	44.95	45.14	0.55	ns	46.28	46.84	0.41	ns
<i>a</i> *	17.71	17.98	0.54	ns	17.07	16.68	0.30	ns
<i>b</i> *	5.46	5.54	0.29	ns	4.53	4.70	0.36	ns
<i>Sensory traits</i> ^b								
Tenderness	3.48	3.35	0.09	ns	3.35	3.21	0.13	ns
Juiciness	3.39	3.08	0.14	ns	2.73	2.78	0.17	ns
Fibrousness	3.32	3.04	0.13	ns	2.62	2.55	0.10	ns
Acceptability	3.03	2.96	0.15	ns	2.48	2.83	0.103	ns

6 ^a results are means of two replicate analyses.

7 ^b each value is the mean of the judgement of 4 panellists.

1 **Table 5.** Effects of microalga meal incorporation in diets for 3 weeks on fatty acid
 2 composition (% of total fatty acids) of the Pectoralis major muscle from Muscovy
 3 ducks (means, $n = 3$, results are means of three replicates analyses)
 4
 5

	Female				Male			
	C	MA	SEM	<i>P</i>	C	MA	SEM	<i>P</i>
C14:0	0.35	0.38	0.02	ns	0.36	0.33	0.04	ns
C16:0	24.57	24.57	0.71	ns	24.00	22.36	0.55	ns
C16:1n7	1.27	1.17	0.16	ns	2.09	1.40	0.45	ns
C18:0	12.82	12.48	0.78	ns	12.29	13.17	0.76	ns
C18:1n9ct	23.75	23.11	1.48	ns	23.73	23.31	1.73	ns
C18:1n7	2.81	2.52	0.08	ns	2.64	2.44	0.16	ns
C18:2n6c	16.85	17.03	0.45	ns	15.08	16.38	1.12	ns
C18:3n3	0.36	0.36	0.05	ns	0.33	0.34	0.03	ns
C20:4n6	8.87	9.10	0.96	ns	9.90	9.85	0.42	ns
C20:5n3	0.06	0.11	0.02	ns	0.08	0.12	0.01	ns
C22:4n6	1.99	1.80	0.21	ns	2.14	1.77	0.13	ns
C22:5n6	1.24	1.04	0.15	ns	1.20	0.96	0.11	ns
C22:5n3	0.40	0.39	0.07	ns	0.47	0.44	0.04	ns
C22:6n3	0.70	1.97	0.11	< 0.05	0.74	2.12	0.18	< 0.05
SFAs ^a	38.08	37.82	0.26	ns	37.01	36.25	0.37	ns
UFAs ^b	60.06	61.73	0.40	ns	60.28	61.54	0.75	ns
SFAs/UFAs	0.63	0.62	0.01	ns	0.61	0.59	0.01	ns
MUFAs ^c	28.55	27.80	1.52	ns	29.13	27.80	2.05	ns
PUFAs ^d	31.51	32.93	1.40	ns	31.16	33.74	1.80	ns
n6	29.98	30.10	1.25	ns	29.50	30.65	1.61	ns
n3	1.53	2.83	0.16	< 0.05	1.66	3.09	0.21	< 0.05
n6/n3	19.85	10.65	1.05	< 0.05	17.84	9.98	0.67	< 0.001

6
 7 ^a saturated fatty acids; ^b unsaturated fatty acids; ^c monounsaturated fatty acids;
 8 ^d polyunsaturated fatty acids.