



HAL
open science

On the topology of semi-algebraic functions on closed semi-algebraic sets

Nicolas Dutertre

► **To cite this version:**

Nicolas Dutertre. On the topology of semi-algebraic functions on closed semi-algebraic sets. Manuscripta mathematica, 2012, 139 (3-4), pp.415-441. hal-00545183

HAL Id: hal-00545183

<https://hal.science/hal-00545183>

Submitted on 9 Dec 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON THE TOPOLOGY OF SEMI-ALGEBRAIC FUNCTIONS ON CLOSED SEMI-ALGEBRAIC SETS

NICOLAS DUTERTRE

ABSTRACT. We consider a closed semi-algebraic set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a C^2 semi-algebraic function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f|_X$ has a finite number of critical points. We relate the topology of X to the topology of the sets $\{f * \alpha\}$, where $*$ \in $\{\leq, =, \geq\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and the indices of the critical points of $f|_X$ and $-f|_X$. We also relate the topology of X to the topology of the links at infinity of the sets $\{f * \alpha\}$ and the indices of these critical points. We give applications when $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and when f is a generic linear function.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ be an analytic function-germ with an isolated critical point at 0. The Khimshiashvili formula [Kh] states that:

$$\chi(f^{-1}(\delta) \cap B_\varepsilon^n) = 1 - \text{sign}(-\delta)^n \text{deg}_0 \nabla f,$$

where $0 < |\delta| \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$, B_ε^n is a closed ball of radius ε centered at 0, ∇f is the gradient of f and $\text{deg}_0 \nabla f$ is the topological degree of the mapping $\frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|} : S_\varepsilon^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$. As a corollary of this formula, one gets (see [Ar] or [Wa]):

$$\chi(\{f \leq 0\} \cap S_\varepsilon^{n-1}) = 1 - \text{deg}_0 \nabla f,$$

$$\chi(\{f \geq 0\} \cap S_\varepsilon^{n-1}) = 1 + (-1)^{n-1} \text{deg}_0 \nabla f,$$

and:

$$\chi(\{f = 0\} \cap S_\varepsilon^{n-1}) = 2 - 2 \text{deg}_0 \nabla f \text{ if } n \text{ is even.}$$

In [Se], Sekalski gives a global counterpart of Khimshiashvili's formula for a polynomial mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with a finite number of critical points. He considers the set $\Lambda_f = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ of critical values of f at infinity, where $\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_k$, and its complement $\mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda_f = \cup_{i=0}^k]\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1}[$ where $\lambda_0 = -\infty$ and $\lambda_{k+1} = +\infty$. Denoting by $r_\infty(g)$ the numbers of real branches at infinity of a curve $\{g = 0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , he proves that:

$$\text{deg}_\infty \nabla f = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k r_\infty(f - \lambda_i) - \sum_{i=0}^k r_\infty(f - \lambda_i^+),$$

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) : 14P10, 14P25
Supported by *Agence Nationale de la Recherche* (reference ANR-08-JCJC-0118-01).

where for $i = 0, \dots, k$, λ_i^+ is an element of $] \lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1}[$ and $\deg_\infty \nabla f$ is the topological degree of the mapping $\frac{\nabla f}{\|\nabla f\|} : S_R^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$, $R \gg 1$. Here a real branch is homeomorphic to a neighborhood of infinity in \mathbb{R} and hence has two connected components.

Our aim is to generalize Sekalski's formula and to establish other similar results. We consider a closed semi-algebraic set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ equipped with a finite semi-algebraic Whitney stratification $(S_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ and a C^2 semi-algebraic function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f|_X$ has a finite number of critical points p_1, \dots, p_l . The index of $f|_X$ at p_i is defined by:

$$\text{ind}(f, X, p_i) = 1 - \chi(X \cap \{f = f(p_i) - \delta\} \cap B_\varepsilon^n(p_i)),$$

where $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$. In Section 3, Proposition 3.6 and Corollary 3.7, we give relations between the Euler characteristics of the sets $\{f * \alpha\}$, where $*$ $\in \{\leq, =, \geq\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the indices of the critical points of $f|_X$ and $-f|_X$ and four numbers $\lambda_{f,\alpha}$, $\lambda_{-f,-\alpha}$, $\mu_{f,\alpha}$ and $\mu_{-f,-\alpha}$. These numbers are defined in terms of the behavior of $f|_X$ at infinity (Definition 3.5). Then we consider the following finite subset of \mathbb{R} :

$$\Lambda_f^* = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \mid \beta \mapsto \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f * \beta\})) \text{ is not constant} \right. \\ \left. \text{in a neighborhood of } \alpha \right\},$$

where $*$ $\in \{\leq, =, \geq\}$ and $\text{Lk}^\infty(-)$ denotes the link at infinity. Writing $\Lambda_f^{\leq} = \{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$, $\mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda_f^{\leq} = \cup_{i=0}^r]b_i, b_{i+1}[$ with $b_0 = -\infty$ and $b_{r+1} = +\infty$ and studying the behavior at infinity of the numbers $\lambda_{f,\alpha}$, $\lambda_{-f,-\alpha}$, $\mu_{f,\alpha}$ and $\mu_{-f,-\alpha}$, we show that (Theorem 3.16):

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) + \sum_{j=0}^r \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq b_j^+\})) \\ - \sum_{j=1}^r \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq b_j\})),$$

where for $j \in \{0, \dots, r\}$, $b_j^+ \in]b_j, b_{j+1}[$. Similar formulas involving Λ_f^{\geq} and $\Lambda_f^=$ are proved in Theorem 3.17 and Corollary 3.18.

Next we consider the finite subset $\tilde{B}_f = f(\{p_1, \dots, p_l\}) \cup \Lambda_f^{\leq} \cup \Lambda_f^{\geq}$ of \mathbb{R} . We show that if $\alpha \notin \tilde{B}_f$ then the functions $\beta \mapsto \chi(X \cap \{f * \beta\})$, $*$ $\in \{\leq, =, \geq\}$, are constant in a neighborhood of α (Proposition 3.19). In Theorem 3.20 and Theorem 3.21 we express $\chi(X)$ in terms of the indices of the critical points of $f|_X$ and $-f|_X$ and the variations of the Euler characteristics of the sets $\{f * \alpha\}$, $\alpha \in \{\leq, =, \geq\}$.

In Section 4, we apply all these results to the case $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ in order to recover and generalize Sekalski's formula (Theorem 4.4).

In Section 5, we apply the results of Section 3 to generic linear functions. For $v \in S^{n-1}$, we denote by v^* the function $v^*(x) = \langle v, x \rangle$. We show that

for v generic in S^{n-1} , the sets $\Lambda_{v^*}^{\leq}$, $\Lambda_{v^*}^{\geq}$ and $\Lambda_{v^*}^{\geq}$ are empty. Hence for such a v , the Euler characteristics of the sets $X \cap \{v^*? \alpha\}$, $? \in \{\leq, =, \geq\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, as well as the Euler characteristics of their links at infinity, can be expressed only in terms of the critical points of $v|_X$ and $-v|_X$ (Proposition 5.4 and Proposition 5.5). We use these results to give a new proof of the Gauss-Bonnet formula for closed semi-algebraic set (Theorem 5.8), that we initially proved in [Dut3, Corollary 5.7] using the technology of the normal cycle [Fu] and a deep theorem of Fu and McCrory [FM, Theorem 3.7].

Section 2 of this paper contains three technical lemmas.

We will use the following notations: for $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, $B_\varepsilon^n(p)$ is the ball of radius ε centered at p and $S_\varepsilon^{n-1}(p)$ the sphere of radius ε centered at p . If $p = 0$, we simply set B_ε^n and S_ε^{n-1} and if $p = 0$ and $\varepsilon = 1$ we use the standard notations B^n and S^{n-1} . If \mathcal{E} is a subset of \mathbb{R}^n then $\mathring{\mathcal{E}}$ denotes its topological interior.

2. SOME LEMMAS

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed semi-algebraic set equipped with a semi-algebraic Whitney stratification $(S_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$: $X = \sqcup_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha$. Let $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 semi-algebraic function such that $g^{-1}(0)$ intersects X transversally (in the stratified sense). Then the following partition:

$$X \cap \{g \leq 0\} = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha \cap \{g < 0\} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha \cap \{g = 0\},$$

is a Whitney stratification of the closed semi-algebraic set $X \cap \{g \leq 0\}$.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be another C^2 semi-algebraic function such that $f|_{X \cap \{g \leq 0\}}$ admits an isolated critical point p in $X \cap \{g = 0\}$ which is not a critical point of $f|_X$. If S denotes the stratum of X that contains p , this implies that:

$$\nabla(f|_S)(p) = \lambda(p) \nabla(g|_S)(p),$$

with $\lambda(p) \neq 0$. We assume that $f|_{\{g=0\}}$ is a submersion in the neighborhood of p if $\dim S < n$ and, for simplicity, that $f(p) = 0$.

Lemma 2.1. *For $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(f^{-1}(-\delta) \cap B_\varepsilon^n(p) \cap X \cap \{g \leq 0\}) &= 1 \text{ if } \lambda(p) > 0, \\ \chi(f^{-1}(-\delta) \cap B_\varepsilon^n(p) \cap X \cap \{g \leq 0\}) &= \\ \chi(f^{-1}(-\delta) \cap B_\varepsilon^n(p) \cap X \cap \{g = 0\}) &\text{ if } \lambda(p) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We assume first that $\dim X < n$. Let $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a semi-algebraic approximating function for X from outside (see [BK, Definition 6.1]). This implies that h satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) The function h is nonnegative and $h^{-1}\{0\} = X$.
- (ii) The function h is of class C^3 on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus X$.
- (iii) There exists $\delta > 0$ such that all $t \in]0, \delta]$ are regular values of f .

- (iv) If $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of points in \mathbb{R}^n tending to a point x in X such that $h(y_k) \in]0, \delta]$ and $\frac{\nabla h}{\|\nabla h\|}(y_k)$ tends to v , then v is normal to $T_x S$, S being the stratum containing x .

Let us choose ε sufficiently small so that the ball $B_{\varepsilon'}^n(p)$ intersect X , $\{g \leq 0\}$ and $X \cap \{g \leq 0\}$ transversally for $\varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon$. For $r > 0$ sufficiently small, the set $W_{\varepsilon, r} = B_{\varepsilon}^n(p) \cap \{g \leq 0\} \cap \{h \leq r\}$ is a manifold with corners. To see this, it is enough to prove that r is not a critical value of $h|_{B_{\varepsilon}^n(p) \cap \{g \leq 0\}}$, which means that r is not a critical value of:

$$h_1 = h|_{B_{\varepsilon}^n(p) \cap \{g < 0\}}, \quad h_2 = h|_{B_{\varepsilon}^n(p) \cap \{g = 0\}}, \quad h_3 = h|_{S_{\varepsilon}^{n-1}(p) \cap \{g < 0\}},$$

and:

$$h_4 = h|_{S_{\varepsilon}^{n-1}(p) \cap \{g = 0\}}.$$

The fact that r is not a critical value of h_1 is trivial by Condition (iii) above. If for $r > 0$ small, r is a critical value of h_2 then we can find a sequence of points (q_n) in $B_{\varepsilon}^n(p) \cap \{g = 0\}$ such that $h(q_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $h|_{\{g=0\}}$ admits a critical point at q_n . Applying Condition (iv) above, we see that there exists a point q in $B_{\varepsilon}^n(p) \cap X \cap \{g = 0\}$ such that $g^{-1}(0)$ does not intersect X transversally at q , which is impossible. Similarly, we can prove that r is not a critical value of h_3 and h_4 .

Let δ be such that $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon$ and the fibres $f^{-1}(-\delta)$ and $f^{-1}(\delta)$ intersect $X \cap \{g \leq 0\} \cap B_{\varepsilon}^n(p)$ transversally. This is possible since f has an isolated critical point at p on $X \cap \{g \leq 0\}$. Let us study the critical points of $f|_{W_{\varepsilon, r}}$ and $f|_{W_{\varepsilon, r} \cap \{g=0\}}$ lying in $f^{-1}([-\delta, \delta])$, when r is small. Always using Condition (iv) above, we can see that they only appear in $\{h = r\} \cap \{g = 0\} \cap B_{\varepsilon}^n(p)$. Furthermore, with the terminology introduced in [Dut2, §2], if $\lambda(p) > 0$ then they are all outwards for $f|_{W_{\varepsilon, r}}$. If $\lambda(p) < 0$ then such a critical point is inwards for $f|_{W_{\varepsilon, r}}$ if and only if it is inwards for $f|_{W_{\varepsilon, r} \cap \{g=0\}}$. Moving f a little, we can assume that these critical points are non-degenerate for $f|_{\{h=r\} \cap \{g=0\} \cap B_{\varepsilon}^n(p)}$. Applying Morse theory for manifolds with corners, if $\lambda(p) > 0$ then we get:

$$\chi(f^{-1}([-\delta, \delta]) \cap W_{\varepsilon, r}) - \chi(f^{-1}(-\delta) \cap W_{\varepsilon, r}) = 0.$$

If $\lambda(p) < 0$ then we get:

$$\chi(f^{-1}([-\delta, \delta]) \cap W_{\varepsilon, r}) - \chi(f^{-1}(-\delta) \cap W_{\varepsilon, r}) =$$

$$\chi(f^{-1}([-\delta, \delta]) \cap \{g = 0\} \cap W_{\varepsilon, r}) - \chi(f^{-1}(-\delta) \cap \{g = 0\} \cap W_{\varepsilon, r}).$$

We conclude remarking that:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(f^{-1}([-\delta, \delta]) \cap W_{\varepsilon, r}) &= \chi(f^{-1}([-\delta, \delta]) \cap X \cap \{g \leq 0\} \cap B_{\varepsilon}^n(p)) = \\ &= \chi(f^{-1}(0) \cap X \cap \{g \leq 0\} \cap B_{\varepsilon}^n(p)) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\chi(f^{-1}(-\delta) \cap W_{\varepsilon, r}) = \chi(f^{-1}(-\delta) \cap X \cap \{g \leq 0\} \cap B_{\varepsilon}^n(p)),$$

$$\chi(f^{-1}([-\delta, \delta]) \cap \{g = 0\} \cap W_{\varepsilon, r}) = \chi(f^{-1}([-\delta, \delta]) \cap X \cap \{g = 0\} \cap B_{\varepsilon}^n(p)) = 1,$$

and:

$$\chi(f^{-1}(-\delta) \cap \{g = 0\} \cap W_{\varepsilon,r}) = \chi(f^{-1}(-\delta) \cap X \cap \{g = 0\} \cap B_\varepsilon^n(p)),$$

if r is sufficiently small.

If $\dim X = n$ then we apply the previous case to the semi-algebraic set $X \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and the functions F and G defined by $F(x, t) = f(x) + t$ and $G(x, t) = g(x)$, where (x, t) is a coordinate system of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. \square

This lemma was inspired by results on indices of vector fields or 1-forms on stratified sets with boundary (see [KT] or [Sc, Chapter 5]).

Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a C^2 semi-algebraic manifold of dimension k . Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 semi-algebraic function. Let Σ_f^M be the critical set of $f|_M$. For any $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by ρ_a the function $\rho_a(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a_i)^2$ and by $\Gamma_{f,a}^M$ the following semi-algebraic set:

$$\Gamma_{f,a}^M = \left\{ x \in M \mid \text{rank}[\nabla(f|_M)(x), \nabla(\rho_a|_M)(x)] < 2 \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *For almost all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Gamma_{f,a}^M \setminus \Sigma_f^M$ is a smooth semi-algebraic curve (or empty).*

Proof. Let Z be the semi-algebraic set of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ defined as follows:

$$Z = \left\{ (x, a) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \in M \setminus \Sigma_f^M \text{ and } \text{rank}[\nabla(f|_M)(x), \nabla(\rho_a|_M)(x)] < 2 \right\}.$$

Let (x, a) be a point in Z . We can suppose that around x , M is defined by the vanishing of $l = n - k$ semi-algebraic functions g_1, \dots, g_l of class C^2 . Hence in a neighborhood of (x, a) , Z is defined by the vanishing of g_1, \dots, g_l and the minors:

$$\frac{\partial(g_1, \dots, g_l, f, \rho_a)}{\partial(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{l+2}})}.$$

Furthermore since x belongs to $M \setminus \Sigma_f^M$, we can assume that:

$$\frac{\partial(g_1, \dots, g_l, f)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_l, x_{l+1})}(x) \neq 0.$$

Therefore Z is locally defined by $g_1 = \dots = g_l = 0$ and:

$$\frac{\partial(g_1, \dots, g_l, f, \rho_a)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{l+1}, x_{l+2})} = \dots = \frac{\partial(g_1, \dots, g_l, f, \rho_a)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{l+1}, x_n)} = 0,$$

(see [Dut1, §5] for a proof of this fact). Since the gradient vectors of these functions are linearly independent, we see that Z is a smooth semi-algebraic manifold of dimension $2n - (l + n - (l + 2) + 1) = n + 1$. Now let us consider the projection $\pi_2 : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $(x, a) \mapsto a$. Bertini-Sard's theorem (see [BCR, Théorème 9.5.2]) implies that the set D_{π_2} of critical values of π_2 is a semi-algebraic set of dimension strictly less than n . Hence, for all

$a \notin D_{\pi_2}$, $\pi_2^{-1}(a)$ is a smooth semi-algebraic curve (maybe empty). But this set is exactly $\Gamma_{f,a}^M \setminus \Sigma_f^M$. \square

Now consider a semi-algebraic set $Y \subset M$ of dimension strictly less than k . We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *For almost all $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $(\Gamma_{f,a}^M \setminus \Sigma_f^M) \cap Y$ is a semi-algebraic set of dimension at most 0.*

Proof. Since Y admits a finite Whitney semi-algebraic stratification, we can assume that Y is smooth of dimension $d < k$. Let W be the semi-algebraic set of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by:

$$W = \left\{ (x, a) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \in Y \setminus \Sigma_f^M \text{ and } \right. \\ \left. \text{rank}[\nabla(f|_M)(x), \nabla(\rho_{a|_M})(x)] < 2 \right\}.$$

Using the same method as in the previous lemma, we can prove that W is a smooth semi-algebraic manifold of dimension $n+1+d-k$. We can conclude as in the previous lemma, remarking that $d-k \leq -1$. \square

3. TOPOLOGY OF SEMI-ALGEBRAIC FUNCTIONS

For any closed semi-algebraic set equipped with a Whitney stratification $X = \sqcup_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha$, we denote by $\text{Lk}^\infty(X)$ the link at infinity of X . It is defined as follows. Let $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 proper semi-algebraic positive function. Since $\rho|_X$ is proper, the set of critical points of $\rho|_X$ (in the stratified sense) is compact. Hence for R sufficiently big, the map $\rho : X \cap \rho^{-1}([R, +\infty]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a stratified submersion. The link at infinity of X is the fibre of this submersion. The topological type of $\text{Lk}^\infty(X)$ does not depend on the choice of the function ρ . Indeed, if ρ_0 and $\rho_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are two C^2 proper semi-algebraic functions then $X \cap \rho_0^{-1}(R_0)$ and $X \cap \rho_1^{-1}(R_1)$ are homeomorphic for R_0 and R_1 big enough. To see this, we can apply the procedure described by Durfee in [Dur]. First we remark that, applying the Curve Selection Lemma at infinity [NZ, Lemma 2], for each stratum S_α of X , the gradient vector fields $\nabla(\rho_0|_{S_\alpha})$ and $\nabla(\rho_1|_{S_\alpha})$ do not point in opposite direction in a neighborhood of infinity. Next we choose R_0 and R_1 sufficiently big so that $\rho_0^{-1}([R_0, +\infty]) \subset \rho_1^{-1}([R_1, +\infty])$ and all the gradient vector fields $\nabla(\rho_0|_{S_\alpha})$ and $\nabla(\rho_1|_{S_\alpha})$ do not point in opposite direction in $\rho_0^{-1}([R_0, +\infty])$. Then the function $\rho : (\rho_0^{-1}([R_0, +\infty]) \setminus \rho_1^{-1}([R_1, +\infty])) \cap X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by:

$$\rho(x) = \frac{R_1 - \rho_1(x)}{R_1 - \rho_1(x) + \rho_0(x) - R_0},$$

is a proper stratified submersion such that $\rho^{-1}(0) = X \cap \rho_1^{-1}(R_1)$ and $\rho^{-1}(1) = X \cap \rho_0^{-1}(R_0)$.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 semi-algebraic function such that $f|_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has a finite number of critical points (in the stratified sense) p_1, \dots, p_l . For

each p_i , we define the index of $f|_X$ at p_i as follows:

$$\text{ind}(f, X, p_i) = 1 - \chi(X \cap \{f = f(p_i) - \delta\} \cap B_\varepsilon^n(p_i)),$$

where $0 < \delta \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$. Since we are in the semi-algebraic setting, this index is well-defined thanks to Hardt's theorem [Ha]. The following theorem is well-known.

Theorem 3.1. *If $f|_X$ is proper then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have:*

$$\chi(X \cap \{f \geq \alpha\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f = \alpha\}) = \sum_{i: f(p_i) > \alpha} \text{ind}(f, X, p_i),$$

and:

$$\chi(X \cap \{f \leq \alpha\}) - \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq \alpha\})) = \sum_{i: f(p_i) \leq \alpha} \text{ind}(f, X, p_i).$$

Proof. We use Viro's method of integration with respect to the Euler characteristic with compact support, denoted by χ_c .

For all $x \in X$, let $\varphi(x) = \chi_c(X \cap f^{-1}(x^-) \cap B_\varepsilon^n(x))$ where x^- is a regular value of f close to $f(x)$ with $x^- \leq f(x)$. Applying Fubini's theorem [Vi, Theorem 3.A] to the restriction of f to $X \cap \{f > \alpha\}$, we get:

$$\int_{X \cap \{f > \alpha\}} \varphi(x) d\chi_c(x) = \int_{] \alpha, +\infty[} \left(\int_{f^{-1}(y)} \varphi(x) d\chi_c(x) \right) d\chi_c(y).$$

For any $y \in \mathbb{R}$, let y^- be a regular value of $f|_X$ close to y with $y^- \leq y$. Let us denote by z_1, \dots, z_s the critical points of $f|_X$ lying in $f^{-1}(y)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_c(X \cap f^{-1}(y^-)) &= \chi_c(X \cap f^{-1}(y^-) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^s B_\varepsilon^n(z_i)) + \\ &\quad \sum_{i=1}^s \chi_c(X \cap f^{-1}(y^-) \cap B_\varepsilon^n(z_i)) = \\ \chi_c(X \cap f^{-1}(y) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^s B_\varepsilon^n(z_i)) &+ \sum_{i=1}^s \varphi(z_i) = \\ \chi_c(X \cap f^{-1}(y) \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_s\}) &+ \sum_{i=1}^s \varphi(z_i) = \\ \int_{X \cap f^{-1}(y) \setminus \{z_1, \dots, z_s\}} \varphi(x) d\chi_c(x) &+ \sum_{i=1}^s \varphi(z_i) = \int_{f^{-1}(y)} \varphi(x) d\chi_c(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let us write:

$$] \alpha, +\infty[=] \alpha, \alpha_1[\cup] \alpha_1, \alpha_2[\cup \dots \cup] \alpha_{j-1}, \alpha_j[\cup] \alpha_j, +\infty[,$$

where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j$ are the critical values of $f|_X$ strictly greater than α . Since $\chi_c(] \alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1}[) = 0$ and $f|_{X \cap] \alpha_k, \alpha_{k+1}[}$ is locally trivial, we obtain that:

$$\int_{X \cap \{f > \alpha\}} \varphi(x) d\chi_c(x) = -\chi_c(X \cap f^{-1}(\beta)),$$

where β is a regular value of f strictly greater than α_j and therefore:

$$\chi_c(X \cap \{f > \alpha\}) + \chi_c(X \cap f^{-1}(\beta)) = \sum_{i: f(p_i) > \alpha} \text{ind}(f, X, p_i).$$

Applying this equality to $\alpha = \beta$ and using the local triviality of $f|_X$ over $[\beta, +\infty[$, we get:

$$\chi_c(X \cap \{f > \beta\}) + \chi_c(X \cap f^{-1}(\beta)) = 0.$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} & \chi_c(X \cap \{f > \alpha\}) + \chi_c(X \cap f^{-1}(\beta)) = \\ \chi_c(X \cap \{\alpha \leq f \leq \beta\}) - \chi_c(X \cap \{f = \alpha\}) - \chi_c(X \cap \{f > \beta\}) + \chi_c(X \cap f^{-1}(\beta)) = \\ & \chi(X \cap \{\alpha \leq f \leq \beta\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f = \alpha\}). \end{aligned}$$

To conclude, we remark that, since $f|_{X \cap \{f \geq \alpha\}}$ is proper and locally trivial over $[\beta, +\infty[$, $X \cap \{\alpha \leq f \leq \beta\}$ is a deformation retract of $X \cap \{\alpha \leq f\}$. The second equality is proved with the same method and the fact that $\chi_c(Y) = \chi(Y) - \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(Y))$ for any closed semi-algebraic set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. \square

The following corollaries are straightforward consequences of the previous theorem.

Corollary 3.2. *If $f|_X$ is proper then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:*

$$\chi(X \cap \{f = \alpha\}) = \chi(X) - \sum_{i: f(p_i) > \alpha} \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) - \sum_{i: f(p_i) < \alpha} \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i),$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X \cap \{f \geq \alpha\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f \leq \alpha\}) = & \sum_{i: f(p_i) > \alpha} \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) - \\ & \sum_{i: f(p_i) < \alpha} \text{ind}(f, X, p_i). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Corollary 3.3. *If $f|_X$ is proper then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have :*

$$\chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq \alpha\})) = \chi(X) - \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(f, X, p_i).$$

\square

Corollary 3.4. *If $f|_X$ is proper then we have:*

$$2\chi(X) - \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X)) = \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) + \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i).$$

□

Now we want to investigate the case when $f|_X$ is not proper. Keeping the notations of the previous section, for $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define $\Gamma_{f,a}^X$ and $\Gamma_{f,a}$ by:

$$\Gamma_{f,a}^X = \left\{ x \in X \mid \text{rank}[\nabla(f|_S)(x), \nabla(\rho_{a|S})(x)] < 2 \right. \\ \left. \text{where } S \text{ is the stratum that contains } x \right\},$$

$$\Gamma_{f,a} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \text{rank}[\nabla f(x), \nabla \rho_a(x)] < 2\}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we can choose a such that $\Gamma_{f,a}^X$ is a smooth semi-algebraic curve outside a compact set of X . Applying Lemma 2.3 to $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ and Y the closed semi-algebraic set defined as the union of the strata of X of dimension strictly less than n , we can choose a such that $\Gamma_{f,a}^X$ and $\Gamma_{f,a}$ do not intersect outside a compact set of Y . Let us fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $R \gg 1$ such that:

- (1) $X \cap B_R^n(a)$ is a deformation retract of X ,
- (2) $X \cap \{f * \alpha\} \cap B_R^n(a)$ is a deformation retract of $X \cap \{f * \alpha\}$ where $*$ $\in \{\leq, =, \geq\}$,
- (3) $S_R^{n-1}(a)$ intersects X and $X \cap \{f * \alpha\}$ transversally,
- (4) $\Gamma_{f,a}^X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a)$ is a finite set of points q_1^R, \dots, q_m^R ,
- (5) $p_1, \dots, p_l \in B_R^n(a)$.

For each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, q_j^R is a critical point of $f|_{X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a)}$ but not a critical point of $f|_X$. Hence there exists $\mu_j^R \neq 0$ such that:

$$\nabla(f|_S)(q_j^R) = \mu_j^R \nabla(\rho_{a|S})(q_j^R),$$

where S is the stratum that contains q_j^R .

Definition 3.5. We set:

$$\lambda_{f,\alpha} = \sum_{\substack{j: f(q_j^R) > \alpha \\ \mu_j^R < 0}} \text{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R),$$

$$\mu_{f,\alpha} = \sum_{\substack{j: f(q_j^R) < \alpha \\ \mu_j^R > 0}} \text{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R),$$

$$\nu_{f,\alpha} = \sum_{\substack{j: f(q_j^R) < \alpha \\ \mu_j^R < 0}} \text{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R).$$

The fact that $\lambda_{f,\alpha}$, $\mu_{f,\alpha}$ and $\nu_{f,\alpha}$ do not depend on R will appear in the next propositions. Let us remark that if $\mu_j^R < 0$ then $f(q_j^R)$ decreases to $-\infty$ or to a finite value as R tends to $+\infty$, and that if $\mu_j^R > 0$ then $f(q_j^R)$ increases to $+\infty$ or to a finite value as R tends to $+\infty$. This implies that when $f|_X$ is proper, the numbers $\lambda_{f,\alpha}$ and $\mu_{f,\alpha}$ vanish.

Proposition 3.6. *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:*

$$\chi(X \cap \{f \geq \alpha\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f = \alpha\}) = \sum_{i:f(p_i) > \alpha} \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) + \lambda_{f, \alpha},$$

and:

$$\chi(X \cap \{f \leq \alpha\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f = \alpha\}) = \sum_{i:f(p_i) < \alpha} \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) + \lambda_{-f, -\alpha}.$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 3.1 to $f|_{X \cap B_R^n(a)}$ and we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X \cap B_R^n(a) \cap \{f \geq \alpha\}) - \chi(X \cap B_R^n(a) \cap \{f = \alpha\}) = \\ \sum_{i:f(p_i) > \alpha} \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) + \sum_{j:f(q_j^R) > \alpha} \text{ind}(f, X \cap B_R^n(a), q_j^R), \end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X \cap B_R^n(a) \cap \{f \leq \alpha\}) - \chi(X \cap B_R^n(a) \cap \{f = \alpha\}) = \\ \sum_{i:f(p_i) < \alpha} \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) + \sum_{j:f(q_j^R) < \alpha} \text{ind}(-f, X \cap B_R^n(a), q_j^R). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Gamma_{f,a}^X$ and $\Gamma_{f,a}$ do not intersect outside a compact set of Y , we can use Lemma 2.1 to evaluate $\text{ind}(f, X \cap B_R^n(a), q_j^R)$ and $\text{ind}(-f, X \cap B_R^n(a), q_j^R)$. Namely, if $\mu_j^R > 0$ then we have:

$$\text{ind}(f, X \cap B_R^n(a), q_j^R) = 0,$$

and:

$$\text{ind}(-f, X \cap B_R^n(a), q_j^R) = \text{ind}(-f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R).$$

If $\mu_j^R < 0$ then we have:

$$\text{ind}(f, X \cap B_R^n(a), q_j^R) = \text{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R),$$

and:

$$\text{ind}(-f, X \cap B_R^n(a), q_j^R) = 0.$$

Moreover, by our choice on R , $\chi(X \cap B_R^n(a) \cap \{f * \alpha\}) = \chi(X \cap \{f * \alpha\})$ for $*$ $\in \{\leq, =, \geq\}$. \square

Corollary 3.7. *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X \cap \{f = \alpha\}) = \chi(X) - \sum_{i:f(p_i) > \alpha} \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) - \sum_{i:f(p_i) < \alpha} \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) \\ - \lambda_{f, \alpha} - \lambda_{-f, -\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X \cap \{f \geq \alpha\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f \leq \alpha\}) = \sum_{i:f(p_i) > \alpha} \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) + \lambda_{f, \alpha} \\ - \sum_{i:f(p_i) < \alpha} \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) - \lambda_{-f, -\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

□

It is also possible to write indices formulas for $\chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f * \alpha\}))$, $* \in \{\leq, =, \geq\}$.

Proposition 3.8. *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:*

$$\chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq \alpha\})) = \chi(X) - \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) - \lambda_{f,\alpha} + \mu_{f,\alpha},$$

$$\chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \geq \alpha\})) = \chi(X) - \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) - \lambda_{-f,-\alpha} + \mu_{-f,-\alpha}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 applied to $f|_{X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a)}$, we have:

$$\chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq \alpha\})) = \mu_{f,\alpha} + \nu_{f,\alpha},$$

and, by Corollary 3.3 applied to $f|_{X \cap B_R^n(a)}$ and by Lemma 2.1:

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) + \lambda_{f,\alpha} + \nu_{f,\alpha},$$

because $f^{-1}(\alpha)$ intersect $X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a)$ transversally. Similarly, we can write:

$$\chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \geq \alpha\})) = \mu_{-f,-\alpha} + \nu_{-f,-\alpha},$$

and:

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) + \lambda_{-f,-\alpha} + \nu_{-f,-\alpha}.$$

□

Corollary 3.9. *For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f = \alpha\})) &= 2\chi(X) - \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X)) - \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) - \lambda_{f,\alpha} + \mu_{f,\alpha} - \lambda_{-f,-\alpha} + \mu_{-f,-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

□

In the sequel, we will use these results to establish relations between $\chi(X)$, the indices of the critical points of $f|_X$ and $-f|_X$ and the variations of the Euler characteristics $\chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(\{f * \alpha\}))$, where $* \in \{\leq, =, \geq\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We start with definitions.

Definition 3.10. *Let Λ_f be the following set:*

$$\Lambda_f = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ in } \Gamma_{f,\alpha}^X \text{ such that } \|x_n\| \rightarrow +\infty \text{ and } f(x_n) \rightarrow \alpha\}.$$

Since $\Gamma_{f,a}^X$ is a curve, Λ_f is clearly a finite set. The set Λ_f was introduced and studied by Tibar [Ti1] when $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a polynomial. Following his terminology, Λ_f is the set of points α such that the fibre $f^{-1}(\alpha)$ is not ρ_a -regular.

Definition 3.11. Let $*$ \in $\{\leq, =, \geq\}$. We define Λ_f^* by:

$$\Lambda_f^* = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \mid \beta \mapsto \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f * \beta\})) \text{ is not constant} \right. \\ \left. \text{in a neighborhood of } \alpha \right\}.$$

Lemma 3.12. The sets Λ_f^{\leq} , $\Lambda_f^{\bar{=}}$ and Λ_f^{\geq} are included in Λ_f .

Proof. If α does not belong to Λ_f then we can find a small interval $]-\delta + \alpha, \alpha + \delta[$ such that $\Gamma_{f,a}^X$ and $f^{-1}(]-\delta + \alpha, \alpha + \delta[) \cap X$ do not intersect outside a compact set of X . Then we can choose $R \gg 1$ such that for all $\beta \in]-\delta + \alpha, \alpha + \delta[$, $\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f * \beta\}) = X \cap \{f * \beta\} \cap S_R^{n-1}(a)$. But f has no critical point in $X \cap \{-\delta + \alpha < f < \alpha + \delta\} \cap S_R^{n-1}(a)$, so the Euler characteristics $\chi(X \cap \{f * \beta\})$ are constant in $]-\delta + \alpha, \alpha + \delta[$. \square

Corollary 3.13. The sets Λ_f^{\leq} , $\Lambda_f^{\bar{=}}$ and Λ_f^{\geq} are finite. \square

Lemma 3.14. We have: $\Lambda_f^{\bar{=}} \subset \Lambda_f^{\leq} \cup \Lambda_f^{\geq}$, $\Lambda_f^{\leq} \subset \Lambda_f^{\bar{=}} \cup \Lambda_f^{\geq}$, $\Lambda_f^{\geq} \subset \Lambda_f^{\leq} \cup \Lambda_f^{\bar{=}}$.

Proof. If $\alpha \notin \Lambda_f^{\leq} \cup \Lambda_f^{\geq}$, then $\beta \mapsto \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq \beta\}))$ and $\beta \mapsto \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \geq \beta\}))$ are constant in an interval $]-\delta + \alpha, \alpha + \delta[$. By the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, $\beta \mapsto \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f = \beta\}))$ is also constant in $]-\delta + \alpha, \alpha + \delta[$. \square

Corollary 3.15. We have: $\Lambda_f^{\leq} \cup \Lambda_f^{\geq} = \Lambda_f^{\leq} \cup \Lambda_f^{\bar{=}} = \Lambda_f^{\bar{=}} \cup \Lambda_f^{\geq}$. \square

Since Λ_f^{\leq} is finite, we can write $\Lambda_f^{\leq} = \{b_1, \dots, b_r\}$ where $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_r$ and:

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda_f^{\leq} =]-\infty, b_1[\cup]b_1, b_2[\cup \dots \cup]b_{r-1}, b_r[\cup]b_r, +\infty[.$$

On each connected component of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda_f^{\leq}$, the function $\beta \mapsto \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq \beta\}))$ is constant. For each $j \in \{0, \dots, r\}$, let b_j^+ be an element of $]b_j, b_{j+1}[$ where $b_0 = -\infty$ and $b_{r+1} = +\infty$.

Theorem 3.16. We have:

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^k \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) + \sum_{j=0}^r \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq b_j^+\})) \\ - \sum_{j=1}^r \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq b_j\})).$$

Proof. Assume first that $\Lambda_f = \Lambda_f^{\leq}$. Let us choose $R \gg 1$ such that $X \cap B_R^n(a)$ is a deformation retract of X , $\{p_1, \dots, p_l\} \subset B_R^n(a)$ and:

$$\Gamma_{f,a}^X \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_R^n(a)) = \sqcup_{j=1}^m \mathcal{B}_j.$$

We have $\Gamma_{f,a}^X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a) = \{q_1^R, \dots, q_m^R\}$. Let us recall that:

$$\nabla(f|_S)(q_j^R) = \mu_j^R \nabla(\rho_{a|S})(q_j^R),$$

where S is the stratum that contains q_j . By Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 2.1, we can write:

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) + \sum_{j: \mu_j^R < 0} \text{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R).$$

We can decompose the second sum in the right hand side of this equality into:

$$\sum_{\substack{j: \mu_j^R < 0 \\ f(q_j^R) \rightarrow -\infty}} \text{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R),$$

and:

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{\substack{j: \mu_j^R < 0 \\ f(q_j^R) \rightarrow b_i}} \text{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R).$$

Let us fix i in $\{1, \dots, r\}$ and evaluate $\sum_{\substack{j: \mu_j^R < 0 \\ f(q_j^R) \rightarrow b_i}} \text{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R)$.

Since $\mu_j^R < 0$, the points q_j^R lie in $\{f > b_i\}$. Let us choose $R \gg 1$ and b_i^+ close to b_i in $]b_i, b_{i+1}[$ such that:

$$\left[\bigcup_{\substack{j: f \rightarrow b_i \\ \text{along } \mathcal{B}_j}} \mathcal{B}_j \right] \cap \{\|x - a\| \geq R\} \subset f^{-1}(]b_i, b_i^+[) \cap \{\|x - a\| \geq R\},$$

and $X \cap \{f \leq b_i\}$ (resp. $X \cap \{f \leq b_i^+\}$) retracts by deformation to $X \cap \{f \leq b_i\} \cap B_R^n(a)$ (resp. $X \cap \{f \leq b_i^+\} \cap B_R^n(a)$). Hence, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq b_i^+\})) - \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq b_i\})) &= \\ \chi(X \cap \{f \leq b_i^+\} \cap S_R^{n-1}(a)) - \chi(X \cap \{f \leq b_i\} \cap S_R^{n-1}(a)) &= \\ \sum_{j: f(q_j^R) \in]b_i, b_i^+[} \text{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R) &= \sum_{\substack{j: \mu_j^R < 0 \\ f(q_j^R) \rightarrow b_i}} \text{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to express $\sum_{\substack{j: \mu_j^R < 0 \\ f(q_j^R) \rightarrow -\infty}} \text{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R)$. Let us choose

$R \gg 1$ and b_0^+ in $] -\infty, b_1[$ such that:

$$\left[\bigcup_{\substack{j: f \rightarrow -\infty \\ \text{along } \mathcal{B}_j}} \mathcal{B}_j \right] \cap \{\|x - a\| \geq R\} \subset f^{-1}(] -\infty, b_0^+[) \cap \{\|x - a\| \geq R\},$$

$X \cap \{f \leq b_0^+\}$ retracts by deformation to $X \cap \{f \leq b_0^+\} \cap B_R^n(a)$ and:

$$\left[\bigcup_{\substack{j: f \rightarrow -\infty \\ \text{along } \mathcal{B}_j}} \mathcal{B}_j \right] \cap \{\|x - a\| \geq R\} \subset f^{-1}(]b_0^+, +\infty[) \cap \{\|x - a\| \geq R\}.$$

By Theorem 3.1, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\mathrm{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq b_0^+\})) &= \chi(X \cap \{f \leq b_0^+\} \cap S_R^{n-1}(a)) = \\ &= \sum_{j: f(q_j^R) \leq b_0^+} \mathrm{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R) = \sum_{\substack{j: \mu_j^R < 0 \\ f(q_j^R) \rightarrow -\infty}} \mathrm{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R). \end{aligned}$$

To get the final result, we just remark that if $b \notin \Lambda_f^{\leq}$ then:

$$\chi(\mathrm{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq b^+\})) - \chi(\mathrm{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq b\})) = 0.$$

□

Similarly, $\Lambda_f^{\geq} = \{c_1, \dots, c_s\}$ with $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_s$ and:

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda_f^{\geq} =]-\infty, c_1[\cup]c_1, c_2[\cup \dots \cup]c_{s-1}, c_s[\cup]c_s, +\infty[.$$

For each $i \in \{0, \dots, s\}$, let c_i^+ be an element in $]c_i, c_{i+1}[$ with $c_0 = -\infty$ and $c_{s+1} = +\infty$.

Theorem 3.17. *We have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X) &= \sum_{i=1}^l \mathrm{ind}(-f, X, p_i) + \sum_{j=0}^s \chi(\mathrm{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \geq c_j^+\})) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^s \chi(\mathrm{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \geq c_j\})). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Same proof as Theorem 3.16. □

Let us write $\Lambda_f^- = \{d_1, \dots, d_t\}$ with $d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_t$ and:

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda_f^- =]-\infty, d_1[\cup]d_1, d_2[\cup \dots \cup]d_{t-1}, d_t[\cup]d_t, +\infty[.$$

For each $i \in \{0, \dots, t\}$, let d_i^+ be an element in $]d_i, d_{i+1}[$.

Corollary 3.18. *We have:*

$$\begin{aligned} 2\chi(X) - \chi(\mathrm{Lk}^\infty(X)) &= \sum_{i=1}^l \mathrm{ind}(f, X, p_i) + \sum_{i=1}^l \mathrm{ind}(-f, X, p_i) + \\ &\quad \sum_{j=0}^t \chi(\mathrm{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f = d_j^+\})) - \sum_{j=1}^t \chi(\mathrm{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f = d_j\})). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Assume that $\Lambda_f^{\leq} \cup \Lambda_f^{\geq} = \Lambda_f^-$. We have:

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^l \mathrm{ind}(f, X, p_i) + \sum_{j=0}^t \chi(\mathrm{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq d_j^+\}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{j=1}^t \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \leq d_j\})), \\ \chi(X) = & \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) + \sum_{j=0}^t \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \geq d_j^+\})) \\ & - \sum_{j=1}^t \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f \geq d_j\})). \end{aligned}$$

Adding these two equalities and using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, we obtain the result when $\Lambda_f^{\leq} \cup \Lambda_f^{\geq} = \Lambda_f^{\bar{}}$. But if $d_j \notin \Lambda_f^{\bar{}}$ then $\chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f = d_j^+\})) - \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{f = d_j\})) = 0$. \square

By Hardt's theorem, we know that there is a finite subset $B(f)$ of \mathbb{R} such that $f|_{X \cap f^{-1}(B(f))}$ is a semi-algebraic locally trivial fibration. Hence outside $B(f)$, the function $\beta \mapsto \chi(X \cap \{f = \beta\})$ is locally constant. In the sequel, we will give formulas relating the topology of X and the variations of topology in the fibres of f . Let us set $\tilde{B}(f) = f(\{p_1, \dots, p_l\}) \cup \Lambda_f^{\leq} \cup \Lambda_f^{\geq}$. This set is clearly finite.

Proposition 3.19. *If $\alpha \notin \tilde{B}(f)$ then the following functions:*

$$\beta \mapsto \chi(X \cap \{f * \beta\}), \quad * \in \{\leq, =, \geq\},$$

are constant in a neighborhood of α .

Proof. We study the local behaviors of the numbers $\lambda_{f,\alpha}$ and $\mu_{f,\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by α^+ (resp. α^-) an element of $] \alpha, +\infty[$ (resp. $] -\infty, \alpha[$) close to α . If $R \gg 1$ is big enough and α^+ is close enough to α then in $S_R^{n-1}(a) \cap \{\alpha \leq f \leq \alpha^+\}$, there is no points q_j^R such that $\nabla(f|_S)(q_j^R) = \mu_j^R \nabla(\rho_a|_S)(q_j^R)$ with $\mu_j^R > 0$ because $f(q_j^R)$ decreases to α as R tends to infinity. Hence if α^+ is close enough to α then $\mu_{f,\alpha^+} = \mu_{f,\alpha}$. In the same way, we can show that $\lambda_{f,\alpha^-} = \lambda_{f,\alpha}$. Applying this argument to $-f$ and $-\alpha$, we see that $\lambda_{-f,-\alpha^+} = \lambda_{-f,-\alpha}$ and $\mu_{-f,-\alpha^-} = \mu_{-f,-\alpha}$. If $\alpha \notin \tilde{B}(f)$ then, by Proposition 3.8, $\lambda_{f,\alpha^+} = \lambda_{f,\alpha}$, $\mu_{f,\alpha^-} = \mu_{f,\alpha}$, $\lambda_{-f,-\alpha^-} = \lambda_{-f,-\alpha}$ and $\mu_{-f,-\alpha^+} = \mu_{-f,-\alpha}$. The formulas established in Proposition 3.6 and Corollary 3.7 enable us to conclude. \square

Let us write $\tilde{B}(f) = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_u\}$ and:

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{B}(f) =] -\infty, \gamma_1[\cup] \gamma_1, \gamma_2[\cup \dots \cup] \gamma_{u-1}, \gamma_u[\cup] \gamma_u, +\infty[.$$

For $i \in \{0, \dots, u\}$, let γ_i^+ be an element of $] \gamma_i, \gamma_{i+1}[$ where $\gamma_0 = -\infty$ and $\gamma_{u+1} = +\infty$.

Theorem 3.20. *We have:*

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) + \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) +$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^u \chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k^+\}) - \sum_{k=1}^u \chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k\}).$$

Proof. Let us assume first that $\tilde{B}(f) = f(\{p_1, \dots, p_l\}) \cup \Lambda_f$, i.e that $\Lambda_f^{\leq} \cup \Lambda_f^{\geq} = \Lambda_f$. For $k \in \{1, \dots, u\}$, we have by Corollary 3.7:

$$\chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k\}) = \chi(X) - \sum_{i: f(p_i) > \gamma_k} \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) - \sum_{i: f(p_i) < \gamma_k} \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) - \lambda_{f, \gamma_k} - \lambda_{-f, -\gamma_k},$$

$$\chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k^+\}) = \chi(X) - \sum_{i: f(p_i) > \gamma_k^+} \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) - \sum_{i: f(p_i) < \gamma_k^+} \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) - \lambda_{f, \gamma_k^+} - \lambda_{-f, -\gamma_k^+},$$

hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k^+\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k\}) &= \\ &= - \sum_{i: f(p_i) = \gamma_k} \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) - (\lambda_{f, \gamma_k^+} - \lambda_{f, \gamma_k}), \end{aligned}$$

because as already noticed, $\lambda_{-f, -\gamma_k^+} = \lambda_{-f, -\gamma_k}$. If γ_k does not belong to Λ_f then $\lambda_{f, \gamma_k^+} = \lambda_{f, \gamma_k}$. If γ_k belongs to Λ_f then:

$$\lambda_{f, \gamma_k} - \lambda_{f, \gamma_k^+} = \sum_{\substack{j: \mu_j^R < 0 \\ f(q_j^R) \rightarrow \gamma_k}} \text{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^u \chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k^+\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k\}) &= - \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) + \\ &+ \sum_{k: \gamma_k \in \Lambda_f} \sum_{\substack{j: \mu_j^R < 0 \\ f(q_j^R) \rightarrow \gamma_k}} \text{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R). \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.7, we have:

$$\chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_0^+\}) = \chi(X) - \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) - \lambda_{f, \gamma_0^+} - \lambda_{-f, -\gamma_0^+}.$$

But we remark that:

$$\lambda_{f, \gamma_0^+} = \sum_{k: \gamma_k \in \Lambda_f} \sum_{\substack{j: \mu_j^R < 0 \\ f(q_j^R) \rightarrow \gamma_k}} \text{ind}(f, X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a), q_j^R),$$

because if $\nabla(f|_S)(q_j^R) = \mu_j^R \nabla(\rho_a|_S)(q_j^R)$ with $\mu_j^R < 0$ then $f(q_j^R) > \gamma_0^+$ for $f(q_j^R)$ decreases to one of the γ_i 's. Similarly we see that $\lambda_{-f, -\gamma_0^+} = 0$.

Combining these equalities, we get the result when $\tilde{B}_f = \Lambda_f \cup f(\{p_1, \dots, p_l\})$. But if $\gamma \notin \Lambda_f^{\leq} \cup \Lambda_f^{\geq} \cup f(\Sigma_{f|_X})$ then $\chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma^+\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma\}) = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.21. *We have:*

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(X) &= \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) + \sum_{k=0}^u \chi(X \cap \{f \leq \gamma_k^+\}) - \sum_{k=1}^u \chi(X \cap \{f \leq \gamma_k\}), \\ \chi(X) &= \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) + \sum_{k=0}^u \chi(X \cap \{f \geq \gamma_k^+\}) - \sum_{k=1}^u \chi(X \cap \{f \geq \gamma_k\}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove the first equality in the case $\tilde{B}(f) = f(\{p_1, \dots, p_l\}) \cup \Lambda_f$. For $k \in \{1, \dots, u\}$, we have by Proposition 3.6:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(X \cap \{f \leq \gamma_k\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k\}) &= \sum_{i: f(p_i) < \gamma_k} \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) + \lambda_{-f, -\gamma_k}, \\ \chi(X \cap \{f \leq \gamma_k^+\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k^+\}) &= \sum_{i: f(p_i) < \gamma_k^+} \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) + \lambda_{-f, -\gamma_k^+}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}[\chi(X \cap \{f \leq \gamma_k^+\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f \leq \gamma_k\})] - \\ [\chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k^+\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k\})] &= \sum_{i: f(p_i) = \gamma_k} \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i),\end{aligned}$$

so:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^u [\chi(X \cap \{f \leq \gamma_k^+\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f \leq \gamma_k\})] - \\ \sum_{k=1}^u [\chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k^+\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k\})] &= \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i).\end{aligned}$$

But, we remark that $\chi(X \cap \{f \leq \gamma_0^+\}) = \chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_0^+\})$ because $\lambda_{-f, -\gamma_0^+} = 0$, which implies that:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^u \chi(X \cap \{f \leq \gamma_k^+\}) - \sum_{k=1}^u \chi(X \cap \{f \leq \gamma_k\}) &= \\ \sum_{k=0}^u \chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k^+\}) - \sum_{k=1}^u \chi(X \cap \{f = \gamma_k\}) + \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) &= \\ \chi(X) - \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(f, X, p_i).\end{aligned}$$

\square

Corollary 3.22. *We have:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^u \chi(X \cap \{f \geq \gamma_k^+\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f \leq \gamma_k^+\}) \\ & - \sum_{k=1}^u \chi(X \cap \{f \geq \gamma_k\}) - \chi(X \cap \{f \leq \gamma_k\}) = \\ & \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) - \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i). \end{aligned}$$

□

4. CASE $X = \mathbb{R}^n$

In this section, we apply our previous results to the case $X = \mathbb{R}^n$. In this case $\text{ind}(f, X, p_i) = (-1)^n \text{ind}(-f, X, p_i) = \text{deg}_{p_i} \nabla f$, the local degree of ∇f at p_i and $\sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(f, X, p_i) = \text{deg}_{\infty} \nabla f$, the degree of ∇f at infinity, i.e. the topological degree of $\frac{\nabla f}{|\nabla f|} : S_R^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ where S_R^{n-1} is a sphere of big radius R . Furthermore, $\mu_{f,\alpha} = (-1)^{n-1} \lambda_{-f,-\alpha}$ and $\mu_{-f,-\alpha} = (-1)^{n-1} \lambda_{f,\alpha}$. We can restate our result in this setting.

Proposition 4.1. *For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\{f \geq \alpha\}) - \chi(\{f = \alpha\}) &= \sum_{i:f(p_i) > \alpha} \text{deg}_{p_i} \nabla f + \lambda_{f,\alpha}, \\ \chi(\{f \leq \alpha\}) - \chi(\{f = \alpha\}) &= (-1)^n \sum_{i:f(p_i) < \alpha} \text{deg}_{p_i} \nabla f + (-1)^{n-1} \mu_{f,\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.2. *If n is even then for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\{f = \alpha\}) &= 1 - \sum_{i:f(p_i) \neq \alpha} \text{deg}_{p_i} \nabla f - \lambda_{f,\alpha} + \mu_{f,\alpha}, \\ \chi(\{f \geq \alpha\}) - \chi(\{f \leq \alpha\}) &= \sum_{i:f(p_i) > \alpha} \text{deg}_{p_i} \nabla f - \sum_{i:f(p_i) < \alpha} \text{deg}_{p_i} \nabla f + \lambda_{f,\alpha} + \mu_{f,\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

If n is odd then for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\{f = \alpha\}) &= 1 - \sum_{i:f(p_i) > \alpha} \text{deg}_{p_i} \nabla f + \sum_{i:f(p_i) < \alpha} \text{deg}_{p_i} \nabla f - \lambda_{f,\alpha} + \mu_{f,\alpha}, \\ \chi(\{f \geq \alpha\}) - \chi(\{f \leq \alpha\}) &= \sum_{i:f(p_i) \neq \alpha} \text{deg}_{p_i} \nabla f + \lambda_{f,\alpha} + \mu_{f,\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The above formulas can be viewed as real versions of results on the topology of the fibres of a complex polynomial (see for instance [Pa] or [ST]).

Proposition 4.3. *If n is even then, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(\{f \leq \alpha\})) &= \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(\{f \geq \alpha\})) = 1 - \deg_\infty \nabla f - \lambda_{f,\alpha} + \mu_{f,\alpha}, \\ \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(\{f = \alpha\})) &= 2 - 2\deg_\infty \nabla f - 2\lambda_{f,\alpha} + 2\mu_{f,\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

If n is odd then, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(\{f \leq \alpha\})) &= 1 - \deg_\infty \nabla f - \lambda_{f,\alpha} + \mu_{f,\alpha}, \\ \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(\{f \geq \alpha\})) &= 1 + \deg_\infty \nabla f + \lambda_{f,\alpha} - \mu_{f,\alpha}.\end{aligned}$$

□

We also obtain generalizations of Sekalski's formula [Se]. We keep the notations introduced in the general case.

Theorem 4.4. *We have:*

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= \deg_\infty \nabla f + \sum_{i=0}^r \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(\{f \leq b_i^+\})) - \sum_{i=1}^r \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(\{f \leq b_i\})) = \\ &(-1)^n \deg_\infty \nabla f + \sum_{i=0}^s \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(\{f \geq c_i^+\})) - \sum_{i=1}^s \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(\{f \geq c_i\})).\end{aligned}$$

If n is even then we have:

$$2 = 2\deg_\infty \nabla f + \sum_{i=0}^t \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(\{f = d_i^+\})) - \sum_{i=1}^t \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(\{f = d_i\})).$$

□

Theorem 4.5. *If n is even, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= 2\deg_\infty \nabla f + \sum_{k=0}^u \chi(\{f = \gamma_k^+\}) - \sum_{k=1}^u \chi(\{f = \gamma_k\}), \\ 1 &= \deg_\infty \nabla f + \sum_{k=0}^u \chi(\{f \leq \gamma_k^+\}) - \sum_{k=1}^u \chi(\{f \leq \gamma_k\}), \\ 1 &= \deg_\infty \nabla f + \sum_{k=0}^u \chi(\{f \geq \gamma_k^+\}) - \sum_{k=1}^u \chi(\{f \geq \gamma_k\}), \\ \sum_{k=0}^u \chi(\{f \geq \gamma_k^+\}) - \chi(\{f \leq \gamma_k^+\}) &= \sum_{k=1}^u \chi(\{f \geq \gamma_k\}) - \chi(\{f \leq \gamma_k\}).\end{aligned}$$

If n is odd, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= \sum_{k=0}^u \chi(\{f = \gamma_k^+\}) - \sum_{k=1}^u \chi(\{f = \gamma_k\}), \\ 1 &= \deg_\infty \nabla f + \sum_{k=0}^u \chi(\{f \leq \gamma_k^+\}) - \sum_{k=1}^u \chi(\{f \leq \gamma_k\}),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= -\deg_\infty \nabla f + \sum_{k=0}^u \chi(\{f \geq \gamma_k^+\}) - \sum_{k=1}^u \chi(\{f \geq \gamma_k\}), \\
\sum_{k=0}^u \chi(\{f \geq \gamma_k^+\}) - \chi(\{f \leq \gamma_k^+\}) &= \sum_{k=1}^u \chi(\{f \geq \gamma_k\}) - \chi(\{f \leq \gamma_k\}) \\
&\quad + 2\deg_\infty \nabla f.
\end{aligned}$$

□

5. APPLICATION TO GENERIC LINEAR FUNCTIONS

We apply the results of Section 3 to the case of a generic linear function. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed semi-algebraic set. For $v \in S^{n-1}$, let us denote by v^* the function $v^*(x) = \langle v, x \rangle$. We are going to study the critical points of $v^*|_{X \cap S_R^{n-1}(a)}$ for v generic and R sufficiently big.

Let $\Gamma_1(X)$ be the subset of S^{n-1} defined as follows: a vector v belongs to $\Gamma_1(X)$ if there exists a sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\|x_k\| \rightarrow +\infty$ and a sequence $(v_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of vectors in S^{n-1} such that $v_k \perp T_{x_k} S(x_k)$ and $v_k \rightarrow v$, where $S(x_k)$ is the stratum containing x_k .

Lemma 5.1. *The set $\Gamma_1(X)$ is a semi-algebraic set of S^{n-1} of dimension strictly less than $n - 1$.*

Proof. If we write $X = \sqcup_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha$, where $(S_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ is a finite semi-algebraic Whitney stratification of X , then we see that $\Gamma_1(X) = \sqcup_{\alpha \in A} \Gamma_1(S_\alpha)$. Hence it is enough to prove the lemma when X is a smooth semi-algebraic manifold of dimension $n - k$, $0 < k < n$.

Let us take $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ as a coordinate system for \mathbb{R}^n and (x_0, x) for \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Let φ be the semi-algebraic diffeomorphism between \mathbb{R}^n and $S^n \cap \{x_0 > 0\}$ given by:

$$\varphi(x) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|^2}}, \frac{x_1}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|^2}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|^2}} \right).$$

Observe that $(x_0, x) = \varphi(z)$ if and only if $z = \frac{x}{x_0}$. The set $\varphi(X)$ is a smooth semi-algebraic set of dimension $n - k$. Let M be the following semi-algebraic set:

$$M = \left\{ (x_0, x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid (x_0, x) \in \varphi(X) \text{ and } y \perp T_{\frac{x}{x_0}} X \right\}.$$

We will show that M is a smooth manifold of dimension n . Let $p = (x_0, x, y)$ be a point in M and let $z = \varphi^{-1}(x_0, x) = \frac{x}{x_0}$. In a neighborhood of z , X is defined by the vanishing of smooth functions g_1, \dots, g_k . For $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, let G_i be the smooth function defined by:

$$G_i(x_0, x) = g_i \left(\frac{x}{x_0} \right) = g_i(\varphi^{-1}(x_0, x)).$$

Then in a neighborhood of (x_0, x) , $\varphi(X)$ is defined by the vanishing of G_1, \dots, G_k and $x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 - 1$. Note that for $i, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2$, $\frac{\partial G_i}{\partial x_k}(x_0, x) = \frac{1}{x_0} \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial x_k}(x)$. Hence in a neighborhood of p , M is defined by the vanishing of G_1, \dots, G_k , $x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 - 1$ and the following minors $m_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k+1}}$, $(i_1, \dots, i_{k+1}) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^{k+1}$, given by:

$$m_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_{k+1}}(x_0, x, y) = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial x_{i_1}}(x_0, x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial x_{i_{k+1}}}(x_0, x) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial G_k}{\partial x_{i_1}}(x_0, x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial G_k}{\partial x_{i_{k+1}}}(x_0, x) \\ y_{i_1} & \cdots & y_{i_{k+1}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Since $\text{rank}(\nabla g_1, \dots, \nabla g_k) = k$ at $z = \varphi^{-1}(x_0, x)$, one can assume that:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial x_1}(x_0, x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial G_1}{\partial x_k}(x_0, x) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial G_k}{\partial x_1}(x_0, x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial G_k}{\partial x_k}(x_0, x) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

This implies that around p , M is defined by the vanishing of G_1, \dots, G_k , $m_{1 \dots k k+1}, \dots, m_{1 \dots k n}$ and $x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 - 1$ (a similar argument is given and proved in [Dut1, §5]). It is straightforward to see that the gradient vectors of these functions are linearly independent. Then $\bar{M} \setminus M$ is a semi-algebraic set of dimension less than n . If $\pi_y : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ denotes the projection on the last n coordinates, then we have $\Gamma_1(X) = S^{n-1} \cap \pi_y(\bar{M} \setminus M)$. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Let v be vector in S^{n-1} and let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If there exists a sequence $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points in X such that:*

- $\|x_k\| \rightarrow +\infty$,
- $v \in N_{x_k} S(x_k) \oplus \mathbb{R}(x_k - a)$,
- $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} |v^*(x_k)| < +\infty$,

then v belongs to $\Gamma_1(X)$ (Here $N_{x_k} S(x_k)$ is the normal space to the stratum $S(x_k)$).

Proof. We can assume that $v = e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. In this case, $v^* = x_1$. Since the stratification is finite, we can assume that $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of points lying in a stratum S . By the Curve Selection Lemma at infinity, there exists an analytic curve $p(t) :]0, \varepsilon[\rightarrow S$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|p(t)\| = +\infty$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p_1(t) < +\infty$ and for $t \in]0, \varepsilon[$, e_1 belongs to the space $N_{p(t)} S \oplus \mathbb{R}(p(t) - a)$. Let us consider the expansions as Laurent series of the p_i 's:

$$p_i(t) = h_i t^{\alpha_i} + \dots, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Let α be the minimum of the α_i 's. Necessarily, $\alpha < 0$ and $\alpha_1 \geq 0$. It is straightforward to see that $\|p(t) - a\|$ has an expansion of the form:

$$\|p(t) - a\| = bt^\alpha + \dots, \quad b > 0.$$

Let us denote by π_t the orthogonal projection onto $T_{p(t)}S$. For every $t \in]0, \varepsilon[$, there exists a real number $\lambda(t)$ such that:

$$\pi_t(e_1) = \lambda(t)\pi_t(p(t) - a) = \lambda(t)\|\pi_t(p(t) - a)\| \frac{\pi_t(p(t) - a)}{\|\pi_t(p(t) - a)\|}.$$

Observe that if t is small enough, we can assume that $\pi_t(p(t) - a)$ does not vanish because $S_{\|p(t)-a\|}(a)$ intersects S transversally. Using the fact that $p'(t)$ is tangent to S at $p(t)$, we find that:

$$p'_1(t) = \langle p'(t), e_1 \rangle = \langle p'(t), \pi_t(e_1) \rangle = \lambda(t)\langle p'(t), p(t) - a \rangle.$$

This implies that $\text{ord}(\lambda) \geq \alpha_1 - 2\alpha$. Let β be the order of $\|\pi_t(p - a)\|$. Since $\|p(t) - a\| \geq \|\pi_t(p(t) - a)\|$, β is greater or equal to α . Finally we obtain that $\text{ord}(\lambda\|\pi_t(p(t) - a)\|)$ is greater or equal to $\alpha_1 - 2\alpha + \beta$, which is strictly positive. This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 5.3. *There exists a semi-algebraic set $\Gamma_2(X) \subset S^{n-1}$ of dimension strictly less than $n - 1$ such that if $v \notin \Gamma_2(X)$, then $v|_X^*$ has a finite number of critical points.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the lemma for a semi-algebraic stratum S of dimension $s < n$. Let N_S be the following semi-algebraic set:

$$N_S = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \in S \text{ and } y \perp T_x S\}.$$

Using the same kind of arguments as in Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, and 5.1, we see that N_S is a smooth semi-algebraic manifold of dimension n . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_y &: N_S &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ &(x, y) &\mapsto y \end{aligned}$$

be the projection onto the last n coordinates. The Bertini-Sard theorem implies that the set D_{π_y} of critical values of π_y is semi-algebraic of dimension strictly less than n . We take $\Gamma_2(X) = S^{n-1} \cap D_{\pi_y}$. \square

Let us set $\Gamma(X) = \Gamma_1(X) \cup \Gamma_2(X)$, it is a semi-algebraic set of S^{n-1} of dimension strictly less than $n - 1$. If $v \notin \Gamma(X)$ then $v|_X^*$ admits a finite number of critical points p_1, \dots, p_l . Moreover, if there is a family of points q_j^R in $S \cap S_R^{n-1}(a)$ such that $\nabla v|_S^*(q_j^R) = \mu_j^R \nabla \rho_{a|S}(q_j^R)$, where S is a stratum of X , and $\mu_j^R < 0$ then $v^*(q_j^R) \rightarrow -\infty$ because $v \notin \Gamma_1$. Similarly if $\mu_j^R > 0$ then $v^*(q_j^R) \rightarrow +\infty$. We conclude that the set Λ_{v^*} is empty and that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\lambda_{v^*, \alpha} = \mu_{v^*, \alpha} = \lambda_{-v^*, -\alpha} = \mu_{-v^*, -\alpha} = 0.$$

Hence, we can restate the results of Section 3 in this setting and get relations between the topology of X and the topology of generic hyperplane sections of X (see [Ti2] for similar relations in the complex setting).

Proposition 5.4. *If $v \notin \Gamma(X)$ then for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:*

$$\chi(X \cap \{v^* \geq \alpha\}) - \chi(X \cap \{v^* = \alpha\}) = \sum_{i: v^*(p_i) > \alpha} \text{ind}(v^*, X, p_i),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(X \cap \{v^* \leq \alpha\}) - \chi(X \cap \{v^* = \alpha\}) &= \sum_{i:v^*(p_i) < \alpha} \text{ind}(-v^*, X, p_i), \\
\chi(X \cap \{v^* = \alpha\}) &= \chi(X) - \sum_{i:v^*(p_i) > \alpha} \text{ind}(v^*, X, p_i) - \sum_{i:v^*(p_i) < \alpha} \text{ind}(-v^*, X, p_i), \\
\chi(X \cap \{v^* \geq \alpha\}) - \chi(X \cap \{v^* \leq \alpha\}) &= \\
&= \sum_{i:v^*(p_i) > \alpha} \text{ind}(v^*, X, p_i) - \sum_{i:v^*(p_i) < \alpha} \text{ind}(-v^*, X, p_i).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5.5. *If $v \notin \Gamma(X)$ then for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{v^* \leq \alpha\})) &= \chi(X) - \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(v^*, X, p_i), \\
\chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{v^* \geq \alpha\})) &= \chi(X) - \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(-v^*, X, p_i), \\
\chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{v^* = \alpha\})) &= 2\chi(X) - \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X)) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(v^*, X, p_i) - \sum_{i=1}^l \text{ind}(-v^*, X, p_i).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that the functions $\beta \mapsto \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{v^* \geq \beta\}))$, $\beta \in \{\leq, =, \geq\}$, are constant on \mathbb{R} . Theorem 3.20, Theorem 3.21 and Corollary 3.22 are also valid in this context. They have the same formulation as in the general case with the difference that $\tilde{B}(v^*) = v^*(\{p_1, \dots, p_l\})$.

As an application, we will give a short proof of the Gauss-Bonnet formula for closed semi-algebraic sets. Let $\Lambda_0(X, -)$ be the Gauss-Bonnet measure on X defined by:

$$\Lambda_0(X, U) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(S^{n-1})} \int_{S^{n-1}} \sum_{x \in U} \text{ind}(v^*, X, x) dv,$$

where U is a Borel set of X . Note that if x is not a critical point of $v|_X$ then $\text{ind}(v^*, X, x) = 0$ and therefore that for $v \notin \Gamma(X)$, the sum $\sum_{x \in U} \text{ind}(v^*, X, x)$ is finite. The Gauss-Bonnet theorem for compact semi-algebraic sets is due to Fu [Fu] and Broecker and Kuppe [BK].

Theorem 5.6. *If X is a compact semi-algebraic set then:*

$$\Lambda_0(X, X) = \chi(X).$$

Now assume that X is just closed. Let $(K_R)_{R>0}$ be an exhaustive family of compact Borel sets of X , that is a family $(K_R)_{R>0}$ of compact Borel sets

of X such that $\cup_{R>0} K_R = X$ and $K_R \subseteq K_{R'}$ if $R \leq R'$. For every $R > 0$, we have:

$$\Lambda_0(X, X \cap K_R) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(S^{n-1})} \int_{S^{n-1}} \sum_{x \in X \cap K_R} \text{ind}(v^*, X, x) dv.$$

Moreover the following limit:

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{x \in X \cap K_R} \text{ind}(v^*, X, x),$$

is equal to $\sum_{x \in X} \text{ind}(v^*, X, x)$ which is uniformly bounded by Hardt's theorem. Applying Lebesgue's theorem, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \Lambda_0(X, X \cap K_R) &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(S^{n-1})} \int_{S^{n-1}} \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{x \in X \cap K_R} \text{ind}(v^*, X, x) dv = \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(S^{n-1})} \int_{S^{n-1}} \sum_{x \in X} \text{ind}(v^*, X, x) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 5.7. We set:

$$\Lambda_0(X, X) = \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \Lambda_0(X, X \cap K_R),$$

where $(K_R)_{R>0}$ is an exhaustive family of compact Borel sets of X .

Theorem 5.8. If X is a closed semi-algebraic set then:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_0(X, X) &= \chi(X) - \frac{1}{2} \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2 \text{Vol}(S^{n-1})} \int_{S^{n-1}} \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{v^* = 0\})) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_0(X, X) &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(S^{n-1})} \int_{S^{n-1}} \sum_{x \in X} \text{ind}(v^*, X, x) dv = \\ &= \frac{1}{2 \text{Vol}(S^{n-1})} \int_{S^{n-1}} \sum_{x \in X} \text{ind}(v^*, X, x) + \text{ind}(-v^*, X, x) dv = \\ &= \frac{1}{2 \text{Vol}(S^{n-1})} \int_{S^{n-1}} 2\chi(X) - \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X)) - \chi(\text{Lk}^\infty(X \cap \{v^* = 0\})) dv, \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 5.5. □

REFERENCES

- [Ar] ARNOL'D, V.I.: Index of a singular point of a vector field, the Petrovski-Oleinik inequality, and mixed Hodge structures, *Funct. Anal. and its Appl.* **12** (1978), 1-14.
- [BCR] BOCHNAK, J., COSTE, M., ROY, M.F. : Géométrie algébrique réelle, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik* **12** Springer-Verlag 1987.
- [BK] BROECKER, L., KUPPE, M.: Integral geometry of tame sets, *Geometriae Dedicata* **82** (2000), 285-323.

- [Dur] DURFEE, A.H.: Neighborhoods of algebraic sets, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **276** (1983), no. 2, 517-530.
- [Dut1] DUTERTRE, N.: On the Milnor fibre of a real map-germ, *Hokkaido Mathematical Journal* **31** (2002), 301-319.
- [Dut2] DUTERTRE, N.: On the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of semi-analytic sets and semi-algebraic sets, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **135**, no. 3 (2003), 527-538.
- [Dut3] DUTERTRE, N.: A Gauss-Bonnet formula for closed semi-algebraic sets, *Advances in Geometry* **8**, no.1 (2008), 33-51.
- [Fu] FU, J.H.G.: Curvature measures of subanalytic sets, *Amer. J. Math.* **116** (1994), no. 4, 819-880.
- [FM] FU, J.H.G., MCCRORY, C.: Stiefel-Whitney classes and the conormal cycle of a singular variety, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** (1997), no. 2, 809-835.
- [Ha] HARDT, R.M.: Topological properties of subanalytic sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **211** (1975), 57-70.
- [Kh] KHIMSHIASHVILI, G.M. : On the local degree of a smooth map, *Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR* **85** (1977), 309-311.
- [KT] KING, H., TROTMAN, D.: Poincaré-Hopf theorems on singular spaces, *Preprint* (1999).
- [Pa] PARUSINSKI, A.: On the bifurcation set of complex polynomial with isolated singularities at infinity, *Compositio Math.* **97** (1995), no. 3, 369-384.
- [Sc] SCHUERMAN, J.: Topology of singular spaces and constructible sheaves, *Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademii Nauk. Monografie Matematyczne (New Series)*, **63** Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, 2003.
- [Se] SEKALSKI, M.: The degree at infinity of the gradient of a polynomial in two real variables, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **87** (2005), 229-235.
- [ST] SIERSMA, D., TIBAR, M.: Singularities at infinity and their vanishing cycles, *Duke Math. J.* **80** (1995), no. 3, 771-783.
- [Ti1] TIBAR, M.: Regularity at infinity of real and complex polynomial functions. *Singularity theory (Liverpool, 1996)*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **263**, 249-264, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [Ti2] TIBAR, M.: Asymptotic Equisingularity and Topology of Complex Hypersurfaces, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **18** (1998), 979-990.
- [Vi] VIRO, O.: Some integral calculus based on Euler characteristic, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1346** (1988) 127-138, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg and New-York.
- [Wa] WALL, C.T.C.: Topological invariance of the Milnor number mod 2, *Topology* **22** (1983), 345-350.

UNIVERSITÉ DE PROVENCE, CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE, 39 RUE JOLIOT-CURIE, 13453 MARSEILLE CEDEX 13, FRANCE.

E-mail address: dutertre@cmi.univ-mrs.fr