



**HAL**  
open science

## **HOT CARRIER SOLAR CELLS : IN THE MAKING ?**

A. Le Bris, L. Lombez, Jean Francois Guillemoles, Ruben Esteban, Marine Laroche, Jean-Jacques Greffet, G. Boissier, Philippe Christol, S. Collin, JI. Pelouard, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

A. Le Bris, L. Lombez, Jean Francois Guillemoles, Ruben Esteban, Marine Laroche, et al.. HOT CARRIER SOLAR CELLS : IN THE MAKING ?. 25th European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference and Exhibition / 5th World Conference on Photovoltaic Energy Conversion, Sep 2010, Valencia, Spain. hal-00544780

**HAL Id: hal-00544780**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00544780>**

Submitted on 8 Dec 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.




where  $J_{el}$  and  $P_{el}$  are the electric and energy current density delivered by the cell,  $E_{min}$  and  $E_{max}$  are the lower and upper bound of the contact transmission range,  $\tau(E)$  is the transmission probability of a carrier having energy  $E$ , and  $f_c(E)$  and  $f_h(E)$  are the carrier distribution function of the cold and hot population respectively. In this paper,  $\tau(E)$  will be taken unity in the contact transmission range, zero outside.

By solving the charge and energy balance equations using equations (1) and (2) [8], the current is determined as a function of the voltage, and the efficiency is obtained for a given  $\delta E$ .

## 2.2 Results of simulations

Simulations are made considering a 1 eV band gap absorber under fully concentrated 6000K black body spectrum. Two cases are considered : an ideal case where thermalization is negligible, and a more practical case with thermalization losses in the absorber. In the latter, a thermalization factor of  $Q=1$  W/K/cm<sup>2</sup> is considered, which is ten times lower than that of a multi quantum wells GaAs sample [9,10] (see section 3.1).



**Figure 2:** Efficiency (circles) and losses (in the absorber: squares, and in the contacts: triangles) of a HCSC as a function of the contact transmission range. Two thermalization factors are considered: negligible thermalization (filled symbols), and  $Q=1$ W/K/cm<sup>2</sup>, corresponding to a thermalization rate ten times lower than MQW GaAs.

Figure 2 presents the efficiency and losses of a HCSC as a function of the contact transmission range and for the two thermalization factors that are considered here. For  $\delta E < 10^{-2}$  eV, corresponding to  $\delta E$  small compared to  $kT_H$ , the contact can be considered ideal, with an efficiency that is constant and close to the optimal value. Above  $10^{-2}$  eV, the losses in contacts increase, causing a drop of efficiency. However, for  $\delta E \gg kT_H \sim 0.1$  eV, the efficiency becomes independent of the transmission range. In that case, the contacts are only semi-selective, allowing carrier extraction above a threshold energy  $E_{ext}$ . One can see that in the limit of broad transmission range, the efficiency is still above the Shockley-Queisser limit. This shows that semi-selective contacts are compatible with efficiency enhancement which may allow a much simpler design.

## 3 REDUCTION OF CARRIER THERMALIZATION

### 3.1 Results of simulations

Carrier thermalization in the absorber is taken into account in the simulation by adding thermal losses in the energy balance.

$$P_{el} = P_{abs} - P_{em} - P_{Th} \quad (3)$$

where  $P_{el}$  is the electric power,  $P_{abs}$  and  $P_{em}$  are the absorbed and radiatively emitted power, and  $P_{Th}$  is the power lost by thermalization. The thermal losses term is expressed here as a function of the carrier temperature [8,9]:

$$P_{Th} = Q(T_H - T_C) \exp\left(\frac{-E_P}{k_B T_H}\right) \quad (4)$$

$T_H$  and  $T_C$  being the temperature of the carriers and of the lattice respectively,  $E_P$  is the energy of the zone center LO phonon, and  $Q$  is a thermalization factor and a material constant.

One can see on figure 2 that a HCSC having a thermalization factor of 1W/K/cm<sup>2</sup> (ten times lower than a GaAs multi quantum well sample) would give a potential efficiency around 60%. Such a value is our target for future devices.

### 3.2 Experimental study


We investigated antimony based compounds for their good absorption properties, and for their small band gap that is suitable for HCSC application. Also, they are expected to have a low thermalization rate.

It has been demonstrated [10] that nanostructured materials exhibit a reduced thermalization rate (see figure 3) compared to bulk. This comes from a reduction of the phonon density of states that limits the thermalization pathways. Also, phonon confinement may prevent heat flow outside of the absorber, and could help keeping the carrier population excited. For that reason, we studied quantum wells samples.

Two types of samples have been studied: strained samples (V812) made of 5x10 nm thick InGaSb wells and 20 nm thick GaSb barriers, and lattice matched samples (V725) made of 5x10 nm thick InGaAsSb wells and 20 nm thick AlGaAsSb barriers. The samples were made at IES.

We determined the cooling rate of these samples using continuous wave photoluminescence. By looking at the carrier temperature (from the high energy side of the PL peak) as a function of the laser power, it is possible to determine the material thermalization factor [11].

Results obtained at low temperature (50 K) are encouraging, with estimated values of the thermalization factor around 2 W/K/cm<sup>2</sup>, close to the targeted value. However, a confirmation of this result at ambient temperature is necessary.




**Figure 3:** thermalization vs carrier temperature of bulk (green and blue dots) and quantum wells (red and black dots) GaAs. Two carrier densities are considered:  $5e18\text{cm}^{-3}$  and  $1e19\text{cm}^{-3}$

### 3.3 Light absorption enhancement

We can see on figure 3 that the thermalization time can be lengthened with increased carrier densities. With higher carrier density per volume unit, it becomes easier to saturate the thermalization pathways which is related to the number of available phonon modes.

This means that achieving a high concentration of the solar energy allows to reduce the thermalization properties requirement. It is therefore useful to be able to efficiently absorb the incident light under concentration in a reduced thickness of material.

For that purpose, resonant dielectric structure are designed to enhance the absorption of the solar spectrum over a wide spectral and angular range in a 50nm thick GaAs absorber. The proposed structure in figure 4 is made of a GaSb layer sandwiched between a dielectric 1D saw tooth grating and a silver mirror [12].



**Figure 4:** Resonant dielectric structure for enhanced light absorption over a wide spectral and angular range. The absorbing 50 nm thick GaSb layer is sandwiched between a SiC grating and a silver back reflector.

Simulations predict 66% absorption of the total incident photon flux below 1800 nm under  $\sim 10000$  suns ( $\theta < 45^\circ$ ) in the GaSb layer, which represents a 76% increase compared to the same layer without the saw tooth structures.

## 4 CONCLUSION

Different technological locks in the HCSC device have been addressed. Resonant structures at the front are proposed and are expected to allow efficient absorption in a thin absorbing layer. The material and structure of the studied samples give promising thermalization properties that make it a good candidate as an absorber. Finally, simulations show that semi-selective contacts enable efficiency up to 60%, higher than the Shockley-Queisser limit.

We thank all our partners of the THRI-PV project (IES, ILV, LCFIO, LCMCP, LGEP, LPN) and GCEP and ANR programs for financial support.

## 5 REFERENCES

- [1] R.T. Ross and A.J. Nozik, *J. Appl. Phys.* **53**, 3813 (1982)
- [2] B. Ridley, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **15**, 5899 (1982)
- [3] P. Würfel et al, *Prog. Photovolt: Res. Appl.* **13**, 277 (2005)
- [4] C. Jiang et al, *Journ. Appl. Phys.* **96**, 5006 (2004).
- [5] W. Shockley and H. Queisser, *Journ. Appl. Phys.* **32**, 510 (1961)
- [6] P. Würfel, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **15**, 3967 (1982)
- [7] M. O'Dwyer et al, *Microelectronics Journal* **39**, 656, (2008)
- [8] A. Le Bris and JF. Guillemoles, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, in press
- [9] J-F Guillemoles, G. Conibeer, and M. Green, in *15th International Photovoltaic and Engineering Conference, Shanghai China* (2005)
- [10] Y. Rosenwaks et al, *Phys. Rev. B*, **48**, 14675 (1993)
- [11] A. Le Bris and JF. Guillemoles, in *24th EUPVSEC, Hamburg Germany* (2009)
- [12] R. Esteban, M. Laroche, JJ. Greffet, submitted to APL