



On quantification of weak sequential completeness

Ondrej Kalenda, Hermann Pfitzner, Jiri Spurny

► To cite this version:

Ondrej Kalenda, Hermann Pfitzner, Jiri Spurny. On quantification of weak sequential completeness. Journal of Functional Analysis, 2011, 260 (10), pp.2986-2996. hal-00544711

HAL Id: hal-00544711

<https://hal.science/hal-00544711>

Submitted on 8 Dec 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON QUANTIFICATION OF WEAK SEQUENTIAL COMPLETENESS

O.F.K. KALENDA, H. PFITZNER AND J. SPURNÝ

ABSTRACT. We consider several quantities related to weak sequential completeness of a Banach space and prove some of their properties in general and in L -embedded Banach spaces, improving in particular an inequality of G. Godefroy, N. Kalton and D. Li. We show some examples witnessing natural limits of our positive results, in particular, we construct a separable Banach space X with the Schur property that cannot be renormed to have a certain quantitative form of weak sequential completeness, thus providing a partial answer to a question of G. Godefroy.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULTS

If X is a Banach space, we recall that it is *weakly sequentially complete* if any weakly Cauchy sequence in X is weakly convergent. In the present paper we investigate quantitative versions of this property. To this end we use several quantities related to a given bounded sequence (x_k) in X .

Let $\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k)$ denote the set of all weak* cluster points of (x_k) in X^{**} . By $\delta(x_k)$ we will denote the diameter of $\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k)$ (see also (4) below). Further, if A, B are nonempty subsets of a Banach space X , then $d(A, B)$ denotes the usual distance between A and B and the Hausdorff non-symmetrized distance from A to B is defined by

$$\widehat{d}(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, B) : a \in A\}.$$

Note that a space X is weakly sequentially complete if for each bounded sequence (x_k) in X satisfying $\delta(x_k) = 0$ (this just means that the sequence is weakly Cauchy) we have $\widehat{d}(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k), X) = 0$ (i.e., all the weak* cluster points are contained in X , which for a weakly Cauchy sequence means that it is weakly convergent). It is thus natural to ask which Banach spaces satisfy a quantitative version of weak sequential completeness, i.e., the inequality

$$(1) \quad \widehat{d}(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k), X) \leq C \cdot \delta(x_k)$$

for all bounded sequences (x_k) in X and for some $C > 0$. The starting point of our investigation was the following remark made by G. Godefroy in [3, p. 829]:

*If X is complemented in X^{**} by a projection P satisfying*

$$(2) \quad \|x^{**}\| = \|Px^{**}\| + \|x^{**} - Px^{**}\|, \quad x^{**} \in X^{**},$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46B20.

Key words and phrases. weakly sequentially complete Banach space; L -embedded Banach space; quantitative versions of weak sequential completeness.

The first and third authors were supported in part by the grant GAAV IAA 100190901 and in part by the Research Project MSM 0021620839 from the Czech Ministry of Education.

then X is weakly sequentially complete and

$$(3) \quad \widehat{d}(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k), X) \leq \delta(x_k)$$

for any sequence (x_k) in X .

It can be easily seen that

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta(x_k) &= \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left(\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^*(x_k) - \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} x^*(x_k) \right) \\ &= \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{ |x^*(x_l) - x^*(x_j)| : l, j \geq n \} \end{aligned}$$

The first formula of (4) is used in [1, Section 2.1], the second one in [3, p. 829].

Banach spaces satisfying assumption (2) above are called *L-embedded*, see [5, Section III.1]. The proof of (3) can be found in [4, Lemma IV.7].

By what has been said above, inequality (3) is a quantitative form of weak sequential completeness. In [3, p. 829] G. Godefroy mentions that it is not clear which weakly sequentially complete spaces can be renormed to have such a quantitative form of weak sequential completeness.

The aim of our paper is twofold. On the one hand we show that the answer to G. Godefroy's question cannot be positive for all weakly sequentially complete Banach spaces, more precisely we construct a weakly sequentially complete space that cannot be renormed in such a way that (3) holds, see Example 4 below. On the other hand we put inequality (3) into context by studying some modifications and possible converses, see the following theorem. In particular, we slightly improve inequality (3) - see (6) in the theorem - but such that now the additional factor 2 is optimal.

We will use one more quantity (cf. [7]) which in some situations is more natural than the quantity δ_X , namely

$$\widetilde{\delta}(x_k) = \inf \{ \delta(x_{k_j}) : (x_{k_j}) \text{ is a subsequence of } (x_k) \}.$$

Theorem 1. *Let X be a Banach space and (x_k) be a bounded sequence in X . Then*

$$(5) \quad \widetilde{\delta}(x_k) \leq 2\widehat{d}(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k), X).$$

If the space X is L-embedded, then also the following inequalities hold:

$$(6) \quad 2\widehat{d}(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k), X) \leq \delta(x_k),$$

$$(7) \quad 2d(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k), X) \leq \widetilde{\delta}(x_k).$$

Since we have trivially that $\widetilde{\delta} \leq \delta_X$ and $d \leq \widehat{d}$ it is natural to ask whether one of these quantities can be replaced by a sharper one in the inequalities of the theorem. The following remark and Example 3 show that this cannot be done in any of the inequalities (5)-(7).

Remark 2. (a) In (6), δ_X cannot be replaced by $\widetilde{\delta}$ and in (7) d cannot be replaced by \widehat{d} . This is witnessed by the sequence (x_k) in $X = \ell_1$ such that $x_{2k-1} = 0$ and $x_{2k} = e_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $d(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k), X) = \widetilde{\delta}(x_k) = 0$, $\widehat{d}(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k), X) = 1$ and $\delta(x_k) = 2$.

(b) Inequality (5) is a kind of converse of (3) and holds in all Banach spaces. We note that $\widetilde{\delta}$ cannot be replaced by δ_X in (5), in other words, inequality (3) cannot be reversed as it is, neither in *L-embedded* spaces. Indeed, let $X = \ell_1$. We consider the elements $x_k = \frac{1}{k}e_k$ and $y_k = e_1 + \frac{1}{k}e_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let (z_k) be the sequence

$x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots$. Then $\widehat{d}(\text{clust}_{\ell_1^{**}}(z_k), \ell_1) = 0$ because all weak* cluster points of (z_k) are contained in ℓ_1 , but

$$\delta(z_k) \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} e_1(z_k) - \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} e_1(z_k) = 1.$$

(c) We further remark that in all inequalities in Theorem 1 the factor 2 is optimal, as witnessed by the sequence (e_k) in $X = \ell_1$. Indeed, then

$$\widehat{d}(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(e_k), X) = d(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(e_k), X) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\delta}(e_k) = \delta(e_k) = 2.$$

It is also natural to ask whether \widehat{d} can be replaced by d in the inequality (5), i.e., whether the inequality (7) can be reversed (at least for L -embedded spaces). This is not the case by the following example.

Example 3. *There is an L -embedded space X and a bounded sequence (x_k) in X such that $\widetilde{\delta}(x_k) = 2$ and $d(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k), X) = 0$.*

The negative partial answer to the mentioned question of G. Godefroy is given by the following example. In fact, we obtain a slightly stronger result. Not only there is a weakly sequentially complete Banach space not satisfying (1) for all bounded sequences and some $C > 0$, but we get even a weakly sequentially complete space not satisfying a weaker form of (1) – with d in place of \widehat{d} .

Example 4. *There exists a separable Banach space X with the Schur property - in particular, X is weakly sequentially complete - which is 1-complemented in its bidual, such that there is no constant $C > 0$ satisfying*

$$d(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k), X) \leq C \cdot \delta(x_k)$$

for every bounded sequence (x_k) in X .

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

The proof relies on two simple properties of ℓ_1 -sequences which are formulated in the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let X be a Banach space and (x_n) be a bounded sequence in X . Suppose that $c > 0$ is such that*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j x_j \right\| \geq c \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j|$$

whenever $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are real numbers. Then

- (i) $\delta(x_n) \geq 2c$,
- (ii) $d(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k), X) \geq c$.

Proof. (i) It is clear that the sequence (x_n) is linearly independent. Hence there is a unique linear functional defined on its linear span whose value is c at x_{2k-1} and $-c$ at x_{2k} for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By the assumption, the norm of this functional is at most 1. Let $x^* \in B_{X^*}$ be its Hahn-Banach extension. Then x^* witnesses that $\delta(x_n) \geq 2c$.

(ii) Let x^{**} be any weak* cluster point of the sequence (x_n) in X^{**} and $x \in X$ be arbitrary. It follows from [6, Proposition 4.2] that there is an index $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such

that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \alpha_j (x_j - x) \right\| \geq c \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} |\alpha_j|$$

for every sequence $(\alpha_j)_{j=m}^{\infty}$ with finitely many nonzero elements. In particular, it follows that the vectors $x_j - x$, $j \geq m$, are linearly independent. So, there is a unique linear functional on their linear span whose value at each $x_j - x$ is equal to c . By the above inequality, the norm of this functional is at most one. Let $x^* \in X^*$ be its Hahn-Banach extension. Then we have

$$\|x^{**} - x\| \geq (x^{**} - x)(x^*) \geq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} x^*(x_j - x) = c.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1:

We start by proving (5): Let (x_k) be a bounded sequence in X . We assume that $\tilde{\delta}(x_k) > 0$ because otherwise (5) holds trivially. Let $c \in (0, \tilde{\delta}(x_k))$ be arbitrary. By [1, Theorem 3.2] there is a subsequence (x_{n_k}) such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_{n_i} \right\| \geq \frac{c}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k |\alpha_i|$$

whenever $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 5(ii) we get $d(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_{n_k}), X) \geq \frac{c}{2}$, hence $\hat{d}(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k), X) \geq \frac{c}{2}$. As $c \in (0, \tilde{\delta}(x_k))$ is arbitrary, (5) follows.

We continue by proving (6): We set $c = \hat{d}(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k), X)$ and assume that $c > 0$ because otherwise (6) holds trivially. Let $\varepsilon \in (0, c)$ be arbitrary and let x^{**} be a weak* cluster point of the sequence (x_k) in X^{**} such that $d(x^{**}, X) > c - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Set $x = Px^{**}$ and $x_s = x^{**} - x$ where P denotes the projection on X as in (2). Then $d(x^{**}, X) = \|x_s\|$. We claim that there is a subsequence (x_{k_n}) such that

$$(8) \quad \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (x_{k_i} - x) \right\| \geq (c - (1 - 2^{-n})\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^n$ in \mathbb{R}^n . This will be proved by G. Godefroy's 'ace of \diamond argument' [5, p. 170], cf. the proof of [5, Proposition IV.2.5]. Since x_s is a weak* cluster point of the sequence $(x_k - x)$, there is k_1 such that $\|x_{k_1} - x\| > c - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ which settles the first induction step.

Suppose we have constructed x_{k_1}, \dots, x_{k_n} . Let $(\alpha^l)_{l=1}^L$ be a finite sequence of elements of the unit sphere of ℓ_1^{n+1} such that $\alpha_{n+1}^l \neq 0$ for all $l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ and such that for each α in the unit sphere of ℓ_1^{n+1} there is an element α^l such that

$$\|\alpha - \alpha^l\|_{\ell_1^{n+1}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+2} \sup_k \|x_k\|}.$$

Let $l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ be arbitrary. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^l (x_{k_i} - x) + \alpha_{n+1}^l x_s$ is a weak* cluster point of the sequence $(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^l (x_{k_i} - x) + \alpha_{n+1}^l (x_k - x))_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and for its norm

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^l(x_{k_i} - x) + \alpha_{n+1}^l x_s \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^l(x_{k_i} - x) \right\| + \|\alpha_{n+1}^l x_s\| \\
&\geq (c - (1 - 2^{-n})\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i^l| + |\alpha_{n+1}^l|(c - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \\
&> (c - (1 - 2^{-n})\varepsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} |\alpha_i^l| = c - (1 - 2^{-n})\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that there is $k_{n+1} > k_n$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \alpha_i^l(x_{k_i} - x) \right\| > c - (1 - 2^{-n})\varepsilon$$

for all $l \in \{1, \dots, L\}$. By a straightforward calculation using the choice of the α^l and the triangle inequality we get that inequality (8), with $n+1$ instead of n , holds for all α in the unit sphere of ℓ_1^{n+1} and hence for all elements of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

This finishes the construction. By Lemma 5(i) we get

$$\delta(x_{k_n} - x) \geq 2(c - \varepsilon),$$

hence clearly

$$\delta(x_k) \geq \delta(x_{k_n}) = \delta(x_{k_n} - x) \geq 2(c - \varepsilon).$$

As $\varepsilon \in (0, c)$ is arbitrary, we get (6).

Finally, let us prove (7): We take any subsequence (x_{k_n}) and observe that

$$2d(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k), X) \leq \widehat{2d}(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_{k_n}), X) \leq \delta(x_{k_n})$$

by (6). Then we can pass to the infimum over all (x_{k_n}) . This finishes the proof of the theorem.

3. PROOF OF EXAMPLE 3

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set $X_n = \ell_\infty^n$ and let X be the ℓ_1 -sum of all the spaces X_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then X is L -embedded by [5, Proposition IV.1.5].

Further, let e_1^n, \dots, e_n^n be the canonical basic vectors of X_n and let (x_k) be the sequence in X containing subsequently these basic vectors, i.e., the sequence

$$e_1^1, e_1^2, e_2^2, e_1^3, e_2^3, e_3^3, e_1^4, \dots, e_4^4, \dots$$

Then we have $\widetilde{\delta}(x_k) = 2$ as each subsequence of (x_k) contains a further subsequence isometrically equivalent to the canonical basis of ℓ_1 .

It remains to show that $d(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(x_k), X) = 0$. To do so, it is enough to prove that 0 is a weak cluster point of the sequence (x_k) . To verify this, we fix $g^1, \dots, g^m \in X^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $K = \max\{\|g^1\|, \dots, \|g^m\|\}$.

The dual X^* can be canonically identified with the ℓ_∞ -sum of the spaces X_n^* , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, X_n^* is canonically isometric to ℓ_1^n . Thus each $g \in X^*$ can be viewed as a bounded sequence $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $g_n = (g_{n,j})_{j=1}^n \in \ell_1^n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{K}{N} < \varepsilon$ and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n > mN$. Let $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ be arbitrary. We have $\|g_n^k\| \leq \|g^k\| \leq K$. As $\|g_n^k\| = \sum_{j=1}^n |g_{n,j}^k|$, the set

$$\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : |g_{n,j}^k| \geq \frac{K}{N}\}$$

has at most N elements. It follows that the set

$$\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : (\exists k \in \{1, \dots, m\}, |g_{n,j}^k| \geq \frac{K}{N})\}$$

has at most mN elements. As $n > mN$, there is some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|g_{n,j}^k| < \frac{K}{N} < \varepsilon$ for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. It means that $|g^k(e_j^n)| < \varepsilon$ for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Since e_j^n is an element of the sequence (x_k) , this completes the proof that 0 is in the weak closure of the sequence, hence 0 is a weak cluster point (as the sequence (x_k) does not contain 0).

4. PROOF OF EXAMPLE 4

We recall that $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is the Čech–Stone compactification of \mathbb{N} and $M(\beta\mathbb{N})$ is the space of all signed Radon measures on $\beta\mathbb{N}$ considered as the dual of ℓ_∞ .

Let us fix $\alpha > 0$ and consider the space

$$Y_\alpha = (\ell_1, \alpha \|\cdot\|_1) \oplus_1 (C[1, \omega], \|\cdot\|_\infty).$$

Here $\|\cdot\|_1$ denotes the usual norm on ℓ_1 , ω is the first infinite ordinal, $C[1, \omega]$ stands for the space of all continuous functions on the ordinal interval $[1, \omega]$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is the standard supremum norm. Note that we have the following canonical identifications:

$$\begin{aligned} Y_\alpha^* &= (\ell_\infty, \tfrac{1}{\alpha} \|\cdot\|_\infty) \oplus_\infty (\ell_1[1, \omega], \|\cdot\|_1), \text{ and} \\ Y_\alpha^{**} &= (M(\beta\mathbb{N}), \alpha \|\cdot\|_{M(\beta\mathbb{N})}) \oplus_1 (\ell_\infty[1, \omega], \|\cdot\|_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $x_k = (e_k, \chi_{[k, \omega]}) \in Y_\alpha$, where e_k denotes the k -th canonical basic vector in ℓ_1 and $\chi_{[k, \omega]}$ is the characteristic function of the interval $[k, \omega]$. Let X_α be the closed linear span of the set $\{x_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We observe that

$$(9) \quad X_\alpha = \left\{ ((\eta_k), f) \in Y_\alpha : f(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Indeed, the set on the right-hand side is a closed linear subspace of Y_α containing x_k for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This proves the inclusion ‘ \subset ’. To prove the converse one, let us take any point $((\eta_k), f)$ in the set on the right-hand side. Since $(\eta_k) \in \ell_1$, we get

$$((\eta_k), f) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k x_k \in X_\alpha$$

as the series is absolutely convergent.

It follows that for each $((\eta_k), f) \in X_\alpha$ we have

$$\alpha \|(\eta_k)\|_1 \leq \|((\eta_k), f)\| \leq (\alpha + 1) \|(\eta_k)\|_1,$$

hence X_α is isomorphic to ℓ_1 . More precisely, the projection on the first coordinate is an isomorphism onto ℓ_1 . In particular, X_α has the Schur property (and thus it is weakly sequentially complete).

We further observe that X_α^{**} is canonically identified with the weak* closure of X_α in Y_α^{**} , thus

$$(10) \quad X_\alpha^{**} = \{(\mu, f) \in M(\beta\mathbb{N}) \times \ell_\infty[1, \omega] : (\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(n) = \mu\{1, \dots, n\}) \text{ and } f(\omega) = \mu(\beta\mathbb{N})\}.$$

Indeed, the set on the right-hand side is a weak* closed linear subspace of Y_α^{**} containing X_α , which proves the inclusion ' \subset '. To prove the converse one let us fix (μ, f) in the set on the right-hand side. Take a bounded net (u_τ) in ℓ_1 which weak* converges to μ . For each τ there is a unique $f_\tau \in C[1, \omega]$ such that $(u_\tau, f_\tau) \in X_\alpha$. Then (f_τ) is clearly a bounded net in $\ell_\infty[1, \omega]$. Moreover, we will show that (f_τ) weak* converges to f . Since the weak* topology on bounded sets coincides with the topology of pointwise convergence, it suffices to show that f_τ pointwise converge to f . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} f_\tau(n) &= \sum_{k=1}^n u_\tau(k) \rightarrow \mu\{1, \dots, n\} = f(n), \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ f_\tau(\omega) &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty u_\tau(k) \rightarrow \mu(\beta\mathbb{N}) = f(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that X_α is 1-complemented in its bidual. To show that we set

$$P(\mu, f) = ((\mu\{k\}), f - \mu(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}) \cdot \chi_{\{\omega\}}), \quad (\mu, f) \in X_\alpha^{**}.$$

Then P is a projection of X_α^{**} onto X_α of norm one. Indeed, if $(\mu, f) \in X_\alpha$, then $\mu(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}) = 0$ and hence $P(\mu, f) = (\mu, f)$. Further, by (9) and (10) we get that $P(\mu, f) \in X_\alpha$ for each $(\mu, f) \in X_\alpha^{**}$. Thus P is a projection onto X_α . To show it has norm one, it is enough to observe that, given $(\mu, f) \in X_\alpha^{**}$, we have $\|(\mu\{k\})\|_{\ell_1} \leq \|\mu\|$, and that $f - \mu(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}) \cdot \chi_{\{\omega\}}$ is a continuous function on $[1, \omega]$ coinciding on $[1, \omega)$ with f and so $\|f - \mu(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}) \cdot \chi_{\{\omega\}}\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$.

Further, for the sequence (x_k) , its weak* cluster points in X_α^{**} are equal to

$$\{(\varepsilon_t, \chi_{\{\omega\}}) : t \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}\},$$

where ε_t denotes the Dirac measure at a point $t \in \beta\mathbb{N}$.

We claim that, for our sequence (x_k) , we have

$$(11) \quad d(\text{clust}_{X_\alpha^{**}}(x_k), X_\alpha) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(x_k) = 2\alpha.$$

To see the first inequality, we use the fact that the distance of any weak* cluster point of (x_k) from X_α is at least $d(\chi_{\{\omega\}}, C[1, \omega]) = \frac{1}{2}$. On the other hand, if $t, t' \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ are distinct, then

$$\|(\varepsilon_t, \chi_{\{\omega\}}) - (\varepsilon_{t'}, \chi_{\{\omega\}})\|_{X_\alpha^{**}} = \|(\varepsilon_t - \varepsilon_{t'}, 0)\|_{X_\alpha^{**}} = \alpha \|\varepsilon_t - \varepsilon_{t'}\|_{M(\beta\mathbb{N})} = 2\alpha.$$

This verifies (11).

Now we use the described procedure to construct the desired space X . For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\alpha_n = \frac{1}{n}$ and let $X_{\frac{1}{n}}$ be the space constructed for α_n . Let

$$X = \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty X_{\frac{1}{n}} \right)_{\ell_1}$$

be the ℓ_1 -sum of the spaces $X_{\frac{1}{n}}$. We claim that X is the required space.

First, since each $X_{\frac{1}{n}}$ has the Schur property, X , as their ℓ_1 -sum, possesses this property as well (this follows by a straightforward modification of the proof that ℓ_1 has the Schur property, see [2, Theorem 5.19]). Hence X is weakly sequentially complete.

Further, observe that

$$X^* = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{\frac{1}{n}}^* \right)_{\ell_{\infty}} \quad \text{and} \quad X^{**} \supset \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_{\frac{1}{n}}^{**} \right)_{\ell_1}.$$

Note that the latter space is not equal to X^{**} but it is 1-complemented in X^{**} (cf. the proof of [5, Proposition IV.1.5]). Now it follows that X is 1-complemented in X^{**} .

Finally, fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider a sequence $\widehat{x}_k = (0, \dots, 0, x_k^{n\text{-th}}, 0, \dots)$, where the elements $x_k \in X_{\frac{1}{n}}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are defined above. Let $y = (0, \dots, 0, (\varepsilon_t, \chi_{\{\omega\}})^{n\text{-th}}, 0, \dots)$, where $t \in \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, be a weak* cluster point of (\widehat{x}_k) in X^{**} . Then, for any $z = (z(1), z(2), \dots) \in X$,

$$\|y - z\|_{X^{**}} \geq \|(\varepsilon_t, \chi_{\{\omega\}}) - z(n)\|_{X_{\frac{1}{n}}^{**}} \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

by (11). Hence

$$d(\text{clust}_{X^{**}}(\widehat{x}_k), X) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\delta(\widehat{x}_k) = \delta(x_k) = \frac{2}{n},$$

again by (11). From this observation the conclusion follows.

5. FINAL REMARKS

Up to now we have tacitly assumed that we are dealing with real Banach spaces. In fact, our proofs work for real spaces but all the results can be easily transferred to complex spaces as well. Let us indicate how to see this.

Let X be a complex Banach space. Denote by X_R the same space considered over the field of real numbers (i.e., we just forget multiplication by imaginary numbers). Let $\phi : X^* \rightarrow (X_R)^*$ be defined by

$$\phi(x^*)(x) = \text{Re } x^*(x), \quad x^* \in X^*, x \in X.$$

It is well known that ϕ is a real-linear isometry of X^* onto $(X_R)^*$. Let us define a mapping $\psi : X^{**} \rightarrow (X_R)^{**}$ by the formula

$$\psi(x^{**})(y^*) = \text{Re } x^{**}(\phi^{-1}(y^*)), \quad x^{**} \in X^{**}, y^* \in (X_R)^*.$$

It is easy to check that the mapping ψ satisfies the following properties:

- (i) ψ is a real-linear isometry of X^{**} onto $(X_R)^{**}$.
- (ii) ψ is a weak*-to-weak* homeomorphism.
- (iii) $\psi(X) = X_R$.

It follows that for any sequence in X all the quantities in question (i.e., δ , $\widetilde{\delta}$, d and \widehat{d}) are the same with respect to X and with respect to X_R . (Recall that δ is defined as the diameter of weak* cluster points, which has good sense in a complex space as well, even though in the complex case only the second formula of (4) works.) If, moreover, we observe that X_R is L -embedded whenever X is L -embedded, we conclude that Theorem 1 is valid for complex spaces as well.

As for Examples 3 and 4, it is clear that they work also in the complex setting – we can just consider complex versions of the respective spaces.

We finish by recalling that G. Godefroy's question, for which Banach spaces (3) holds, remains open. In particular, the following question seems to be open.

Question. *Let X be a Banach space which is a u -summand in its bidual, i.e., there is a projection $P : X^{**} \rightarrow X$ with $\|I - 2P\| = 1$. Does (3) hold for X (at least with some multiplicative constant)?*

We conjecture that the space from Example 4, although it is 1-complemented in its bidual, is not a u -summand. At least the projection we have constructed does not work.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Behrends. New proofs of Rosenthal's l^1 -theorem and the Josefson-Nissenzweig theorem. *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.*, 43(4):283–295 (1996), 1995.
- [2] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos Santalucía, J. Pelant, and V. Zizler. *Functional analysis and infinite-dimensional geometry*. CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, 8. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [3] G. Godefroy. Renormings of Banach spaces. In *Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. I*, pages 781–835. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001.
- [4] G. Godefroy, N. J. Kalton, and D. Li. Operators between subspaces and quotients of L^1 . *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 49(1):245–286, 2000.
- [5] P. Harmand, D. Werner, and W. Werner. *M-ideals in Banach spaces and Banach algebras*, volume 1547 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [6] H. Knaust and E. Odell. On c_0 sequences in Banach spaces. *Israel J. Math.*, 67(2):153–169, 1989.
- [7] H. Pfitzner. Boundaries of Banach spaces determine weak compactness. *Invent. Math.*, 182(3):585–604 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSIC, CHARLES UNIVERSITY, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75, PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail address: kalenda@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

E-mail address: spurny@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

UNIVERSITÉ D'ORLÉANS, BP 6759, F-45067 ORLÉANS CEDEX 2, FRANCE

E-mail address: hermann.pfitzner@univ-orleans.fr