

Spatial distribution of the upside-down jellyfish sp. within fringing coral reef environments of the Northern Red Sea: implications for its life cycle

Wolfgang Niggl, Christian Wild

▶ To cite this version:

Wolfgang Niggl, Christian Wild. Spatial distribution of the upside-down jellyfish sp. within fringing coral reef environments of the Northern Red Sea: implications for its life cycle. Helgoland Marine Research, 2009, 64 (4), pp.281-287. 10.1007/s10152-009-0181-8. hal-00542555

HAL Id: hal-00542555 https://hal.science/hal-00542555

Submitted on 3 Dec 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 Spatial distribution of the upside-down

2 jellyfish *Cassiopea* sp. within fringing coral

³ reef environments of the Northern Red Sea –

4 implications for its life cycle

- 6 Wolfgang Niggl^{1*} and Christian Wild¹
- 7

5

¹Coral Reef Ecology Group (CORE), GeoBio-Center & Department of Earth and
 ⁹Environmental Science, Ludwig-Maximilians Universität, Richard-Wagner-Str.

- 10 10, 80333 München, Germany
- 11

12 e-mail: w.niggl@lrz.uni-muenchen.de

13 Phone: +49-89-21806633

14 Fax: +49-89-2180-6601

15

16 Abstract. The zooxanthellate mangrove jellyfish Cassiopea sp. represents a prominent invasive 17 species and a potential bioindicator for nutrient monitoring in coral reefs. However, information 18 about its spatial distribution in combination with abundance, habitat specificity and life cycle 19 elements is barely available. This study therefore presents the results of field surveys conducted 20 within four different benthic habitat types (coral reef, seagrass meadow, reef-sand transition and 21 sand flat) in the Northern Red Sea. Cassiopea sp. exhibited a highly patchy distribution within the 22 entire study area with mean abundance of 1.6 ± 0.3 animals m⁻² and benthic coverage of 3.2 %. 23 Within coral reef habitats maximum abundance of up to 31 animals m⁻² and benthic coverage of up 24 to 20 % were detected. Additionally, this study revealed that 65 % of all observed Cassiopea 25 specimens were associated with the commensalistic crustacean mysid Idiomysis tsurnamali. 26 *Cassiopea* abundance and size as well as association patterns with mysids differed between most 27 of the surveyed habitats. In summary, the findings of the present study (i) characterize Cassiopea 28 as one the key organisms in investigated benthic habitats, (ii) indicate active habitat selection by 29 the jellyfish and (iii) may hint to an unexplored life cycle of Cassiopea with central role of 30 seagrass meadows providing cues for larval settlement and metamorphosis in the absence of 31 mangroves.

32

Keywords: Cassiopea, abundance, coral reef, benthic habitat, Idiomysis tsurnamali, life cycle

35 Introduction

36 Cassiopea sp., commonly referred to as mangrove or upside-down jellyfish, represents a study organism within several scientific disciplines. Interorganismic 37 38 interaction - typically an ecological topic- such as the jellyfish's symbiotic 39 relationship with dinoflagellates or the commensalistic relationship with the crustacean mysid Idiomysis tsunrnamali (Bacescu 1973), has been addressed by 40 marine scientists from various fields (e. g. Bacescu 1973; Hofmann and Kremer 41 42 1981; Thornhill et al. 2006). The lifecycle of the scyphozoan Cassiopea is 43 classically metagenetic and therefore alters between a medusoid and polypal 44 stage, with an intermediate larval stage (e. g. Bigelow 1900; Gohar & Eisawy

1 1960b; Smith 1936). Many studies have focused on factors influencing the 2 development of Cassiopea, dealing with endogenous (Thieme and Hofmann 3 2003a; Thieme and Hofmann 2003b) or exogenous (e. g. Bischoff et al. 1991; 4 Curtis and Cowden 1971; Fleck and Fitt 1999; Fitt and Costley 1998; Hofmann et 5 al. 1978) cues for larval settlement and metamorphosis, as well as factors 6 influencing strobilation (e. g. Fitt 1984; Hofmann & Kremer 1981; Ludwig 1969; 7 Rahat and Adar 1980). Additionally the upside-down jellyfish has drawn attention 8 as a possible bioindicator species for low nutrient environments. In this context, 9 Todd et al. (2006) demonstrated the potential of Cassiopea sp. as a susceptible indicator species for environmental phosphates. 10 However, information about its spatial distribution in combination with 11 abundance is barely available. Holland et al. (2004) described Cassiopea as 12 globally distributed, occurring in shallow, tropical inshore marine waters on sandy 13 14 mudflats. Reports on remarkably high Cassiopea abundances, e.g. in the 15 Caribbean and the Red Sea, were first summarized by Mayer (1910). However, quantitative data is only provided by Collado Vides et al. (1988) for the Nichupte 16 17 lagoon system off the Mexican Caribbean coast and by Mergner & Schumacher 18 (1981) for a 25 m² seafloor patch in the Northern Red Sea. Recently, a Cassiopea 19 sp. was reported to increase its spatial distribution by invading into e.g. Hawaiian 20 and Mediterranean waters (Çevik et al. 2006; Holland et al. 2004; Özgür and 21 Öztürk 2008; Panucci-Papadopoulou et al. 2005). As invasive species are a 22 principle threat to biodiversity and responsible for enormous economic losses 23 (Bolton and Graham 2006), and especially jellyfish are known for their direct 24 negative effect on human enterprises (Purcell et al. 2007), alterations in 25 distribution and abundance of *Cassiopea* sp. require monitoring. 26 The present study therefore aims to provide data on abundance, habitat selection 27 and life cylce elements like size classes and the commensalism with Idiomysis 28 tsurnamali for Cassiopea in Northern Red sea fringing reef environments. It 29 thereby aims to contribute to understand the ecology of the jellyfish and to deliver 30 a dataset for further monitoring. For this purpose, a study area in the Northern 31 Gulf of Aqaba, Jordan, comprising several different benthic habitat types, was surveyed using two transect techniques and subsequent digital image as well as 32 33 statistical analyses.

34

35 Material and Methods

36 Description of study site

37 The study was conducted in May 2008 in the northern Gulf of Agaba at the 38 marine reserve of the Marine Science Station (MSS), Aqaba, Jordan (29° 27' N, 39 34° 58' E). All surveys took place at water depths of 5 to 20 m in a study area located directly North of the MSS jetty, ranging from 50 m North and 50 m South 40 relative to the coordinates 29° 27' x" N, 34° 58' E (Fig. 1). Because surveys for 41 42 the present study took only place during one season, and jellyfish blooms may 43 occur seasonally (Mills 2001), also supporting semi-quantitative data from other 44 investigations during other seasons and years, but at identical study site was 45 collected. These data are summarized in Table 1 and confirm that the observations displayed in the present study are not atypical. 46

1 Benthic composition

2 Benthic composition of the study area was determined using 50 m line point 3 intercept (LPI) transects (modified after English et al. 1994) with recording 4 intervals of 1 m. These transects were conducted at 5.0 m, 7.5 m, 10.0 m, 12.5 m, 5 15.0 m and 20.0 m water depth each to the north and the south of the MSS jetty. Sediment type and seafloor coverage by benthic fauna and flora was recorded to 6 7 get an overview of occurring benthic habitats in the study area. LPI transects 8 revealed four distinct, main habitats: a, the *reef* habitat which describes the patchy 9 reef northern of the MSS; b, the sand flat consisting predominantly of silicate sands (Wild et al. 2005); c, the transition zone which is located between the reef 10 11 habitat and the sand flat and d, the seagrass meadow. These four habitats were 12 examined for habitat-specific *Cassiopea* abundance and size dimensions as well 13 as association with mysids (see below). Results of Holland et al. (2004) indicate 14 that the species investigated by the present study was likely Cassiopea andromeda. However, as no molecular analysis was performed and determination 15 of species affiliation based on morphology is rather vague within the genus 16 17 Cassiopea (Holland et al. 2004), in the following the generic notation Cassiopea 18 sp. was used.

19 Cassiopea sp. abundance

In order to determine average abundance of *Cassiopea* sp. (specimen m⁻²) within 20 each benthic habitat type, multiple single quadrate surveys were conducted. 21 22 Thereby, a quadrate of 1 m side length was randomly placed on the seafloor at 13 23 m water depth. Subsequently, the quadrate was flipped over towards a more 24 shallow area and again the number of abundant Cassiopea sp. recorded. This was 25 repeated until a water depth of 7 m was reached. With this preset methodology, 26 subjective placement on obviously high abundance spots was avoided. This 27 procedure was carried out on all four distinguished main habitats except the 28 seagrass meadow, where due to its spatial extensions (upper limit at 10 m water 29 depth) the survey was conducted between 16 m and 10 m water depths. The 30 abundance of Cassiopea sp. in all 14 to 23 resulting replicate quadrates was 31 recorded, and a photograph taken from directly above using a Panasonic TZ5 (9.1 32 megapixel) digital camera with underwater housing. There was no correlation (p = p)33 0.44; Spearman rank-order correlation) between *Cassiopea* abundance and water 34 depth so that the slightly deeper benthic habitat seagrass meadow could be 35 compared to the other habitats. Cassiopea sp. abundance was related to the entire study area by multiplication of 36 37 habitat-specific mean abundance and proportional seafloor coverage of each

38 habitat.

39 Maximum abundance of *Cassiopea* sp. in each habitat was quantified by placing

40 quadrates specifically at spots with high *Cassiopea* sp. abundance. This procedure

41 was repeated within each of the four habitat types at least 10 times and maximum

42 abundances were recorded from these subjective assessments.

43 Cassiopea sp. benthic coverage

44 Benthic coverage of *Cassiopea* sp. as well as the proportion of unoccupied sand

45 flats in the four habitats were analysed using the image processing software

46 ImageJ on digital photographs of the single quadrate surveys (see above) using the

47 quadrate side length of 1 m as a scale. Maximum benthic coverage at each habitat

48 was analysed accordingly using the pictures from maximum abundance

- 1 determination (see above). Benthic coverage of *Cassiopea* sp. in the *entire study*
- 2 *area* was calculated from the data obtained by LPI transects.

3 Cassiopea sp. size and association with Idiomysis tsurnamali

- 4 *Cassiopea* sp. specimens at all four habitats were also parallel surveyed
- 5 concerning jellyfish diameter and association with *Idiomysis tsurnamali*.
- 6 Specimens (n = 22 to 36 per habitat) were randomly selected and their oral surface
- 7 diameters were measured using a ruler (accuracy: ± 0.1 cm). All specimens were
- 8 also examined for the occurrence of associated *Idiomysis tsurnamali* (Fig. 2a), and
- 9 the swarm size of the mysids was estimated using the categories described in
- 10 Table 2.

11 Statistical analysis

- 12 In order to investigate habitat-specific differences in jellyfish diameter, one-way
- 13 ANOVAs followed by a LSD post-hoc test were carried out. One-Way ANOVA
- 14 was chosen as the parameter "jellyfish diameter" was independent within and
- 15 between samples. In contrast, due to the "end to end" placement, quadrates of the
- 16 habitat surveys and resulting data for *Cassiopea* abundance and benthic coverage
- were not independent. Hence, these parameters were tested for habitat-specific
 differences using Mann-Whitney U tests. All categorical data (e.g. mysids size
- differences using Mann-Whitney U tests. All categorical data (e .g. mysids size
 categories) was converted into discrete data and tested using Mann-Whitney U
- 20 tests. Correlations were tested using the Spearman rank-order correlation.

21 **Results**

22 Benthic composition

- 23 Sand flats, consisting of predominantly silicates sands, dominated the study area,
- comprising 60.2 %. However, patchy reef structures (19.0 %), areas with high
- 25 seagrass cover (10.5 %), and the transition zone (5.3 %) between reef and sand
- 26 flats also contributed to the benthic composition in the study area. Artificial
- 27 constructions, terrigenous stones and carbonate sand flats each accounted for less
- then 3 % of the study area.

29 *Cassiopea* sp. abundance

- 30 *Cassiopea* sp. exhibited a patchy distribution (Fig. 2b) between and within
- 31 different benthic habitats, as indicated by the high standard deviations (Table 2).
- 32 Nevertheless, there were significant (Table 3) differences concerning *Cassiopea*
- 33 sp. abundances between the four habitats, ranging from less than one jellyfish m^{-2}
- 34 in the *seagrass meadow* to almost eight jellyfish m^{-2} in the *transition zone* (Table
- 35 2). Maximum abundance occurred in the *reef*, exhibiting more than six fold higher36 abundances than the *seagrass meadow*.
- Within the *entire study area, Cassiopea* sp. exhibited a mean abundance of $1.6 \pm$
- 38 0.3 with a range from 0 to 22 animals m^{-2} (n = 71).

39 Cassiopea sp. benthic coverage

- 40 Results obtained from image processing revealed significant differences (Table 3)
- 41 in benthic coverage by *Cassiopea* sp. between the four main habitats, ranging
- 42 from less than 1 % in the *seagrass meadow* to more than 7 % at the *transition*

- 1 zone (Table 2). Maximum benthic coverage was reached in the reef habitat, with
- 2 *Cassiopea* sp. covering up to 20.1 % of the seafloor.
- 3 The *seagrass meadow* exhibited lowest proportion of unoccupied bare sand flats
- 4 (Table 2).
- 5 *Cassiopea* sp. benthic coverage in the *entire study area* was 3.2 % as revealed by
- 6 line point intercept transect work. There was a strong bias between transects to the
- 7 north (6%) and transects to the south (0.4%).

8 Cassiopea sp. size and association with Idiomysis tsurnamali

- 9 Additionally to habitat-specific differences in abundance and benthic coverage,
- 10 significant habitat-specific differences in jellyfish mean diameter were found.
- 11 Mean diameter was lowest at the *seagrass meadow* and highest at the *sand flat*
- 12 (Table 2). The percentage of Cassiopea sp. associated with Idiomysis tsurnamali
- 13 also differed significantly (Table 3) between the habitats, with the highest
- 14 percentage of associations at the *transition zone* and lowest jellyfish-mysids
- 15 association at the *seagrass meadow* (Table 2).
- 16 Furthermore, a correlation between jellyfish diameter and association with mysids
- 17 was found (p < 0.001), with smaller *Cassiopea* sp. specimens exhibiting
- 18 associations to Idiomysis tsurnamali less frequently.
- 19 Cassiopea sp. located at the reef exhibited significantly different swarm sizes of
- 20 Idiomysis tsurnamali compared to those at the transition zone and the sand flat
- 21 (Table 2 & 3). *Cassiopea* sp. swarm sizes were largest within the transition zone.
- 22 Idiomysis tsurnamali swarm sizes were found to positively correlate with
- 23 Cassiopea sp. diameter (p < 0.01). No habitat-specific differences could be found
- 24 concerning size classes of *Idiomysis tsurnamali* specimens themselves.

25 **Discussion**

- 26 The findings of the present study characterize *Cassiopea* to be one of the key
- 27 organisms within the benthic community in investigated coral reef-associated
- 28 environments of the Northern Red Sea, exhibiting abundance and benthic
- 29 coverage comparable to soft corals. On smaller scales *Cassiopea* can even act as
- 30 the dominant benthic organism due to its patchy distribution which further results
- 31 in habitat-specific differences concerning *Cassiopea* abundance, size and
- 32 association patterns to *Idiomysis tsurnamali*.
- 33 These findings complement previous studies (e.g. Collado Vides et al. 1988;
- Holland et al. 2004; Mergner and Schumacher 1981) by describing high
- 35 Cassiopea abundance in coral reef ecosystems for the first time and indicating
- 36 active habitat selection potentially controlled by the availability of suitable
- 37 substrates, inorganic nutrients and prey.

38 Cassiopea sp. abundance and benthic coverage

39 There are only two previous studies in which mean *Cassiopea* abundance and

- 40 benthic coverage was quantified. In a fringing reef adjacent to the area
- 41 investigated in the present study Mergner and Schumacher (1981) observed two
- 42 *Cassiopea* sp. specimens in a 25 m² survey area resulting in an abundance of 0.08
- 43 animals m^{-2} and a benthic coverage of 0.03 %. This is much lower than the values
- 44 observed in the present study. Although the study of Mergner and Schumacher
- 45 (1981) was conducted during the winter half-year and jellyfish abundances are
- 46 known to rapidly fluctuate (Pitt et al. 2005), differences to present study likely can

2 the study site (Table 1) indicate a constantly high Cassiopea abundance 3 throughout all seasons over the last 7 years. This is further confirmed by the study 4 of Fitt and Costeley (1998), in which no seasonal changes in Cassiopea medusae 5 population were found. 6 The higher medusae abundance and benthic coverage described in the present 7 study may therefore indicate an increase in Cassiopea abundance over the last 8 decades. A second explanation for lower abundances reported by Mergner and 9 Schumacher (1981) may be the patchy distribution *Cassiopea* exhibited (Fig. 2). 10 Mergner and Schumacher only investigated a small section of 25 m², thereby potentially omitting patches with high jellyfish abundances. 11 12 The patchy distribution of *Cassiopea* is further reflected by the significant differences in jellyfish abundance and benthic coverage between the four 13 14 investigated main habitats (reef, transition zone, sand flat, seagrass meadow). 15 Limited availability of bare sediment likely caused the lowest *Cassiopea* 16 abundances in the seagrass meadow, which is supported by the findings of Collado Vides et al. (1988). Availability of prey, e. g. copepods or crustacean 17 18 larvae, which Cassiopea was shown to feed on (Gohar and Eisawy 1960a), may 19 also influence *Cassiopea* abundance and likely differs between the four habitats. 20 In addition, Cassiopea was shown to actively extract and take up inorganic nutrients from the sediment (Jantzen et al., unpublished). Sites with higher input 21 of organic matter, which is rapidly recycled to regenerated nutrients in permeable 22 23 reef sands (Wild et al. 2004a, Wild et al. 2004b, Wild et al. 2005) may therefore 24 be favoured by Cassiopea specimens. The direct vicinity to reef corals could lead 25 to a high supply of organic matter to the adjacent sediments (Wild et al. 2005) and potentially explain the highest Cassiopea abundances within coral reef associated 26 27 habitats (Table 2). Maximum observed *Cassiopea* abundance of up to 31 animals m^{-2} is similar to 28 that observed by Collado Vides et al. (1988), who reported a very high mean 29 abundance of 42 medusae m⁻² in Bojórquez lagoon (Mexican Caribbean). For 30 31 coral reef ecosystems the high abundances observed in present study are described for the first time. 32 33 The average benthic cover by *Cassiopea* sp. of 3.2 % in the study area was lower 34 than described for hermatypic corals (Bouchon-Navaro and Bouchon 1989; 35 Khalaf and Kochzius 2002; Mergner and Schumacher 1974) and benthic algae 36 (Haas et al., unpublished), but similar compared to soft corals (Khalaf and 37 Kochzius 2002), thereby characterising Cassiopea as one of the key 38 representatives of the benthic community. On smaller scales, *Cassiopea* can even 39 act as the dominant benthic organism in coral-reef associated habitats. Implications for Cassiopea life cycles 40 41 It is generally reported that Cassiopea is associated with mangrove dominated 42 habitats (Holland et al. 2004). In this context, Fleck and Fitt (1999) demonstrated in a laboratory study that degrading mangrove leaves provide a natural cue for 43 44 Cassiopea sp. larval settlement and metamorphosis, whereas settlement on the 45 seagrass Thalassia testudinum only marginally occurred. These findings were

not be ascribed to seasonal changes. Observations during other investigations at

1

46 supported by *in situ* observations, which showed that *Cassiopea* sp. polyps

47 frequently occur on mangrove leaves, but rarely on any other substratum (Fitt

48 1991, Fitt and Costley 1998). However, in the present study, high abundances of

- 49 *Cassiopea* sp. were found more than 150 km North from the closest mangrove
- 50 ecosystem (Nabeq, Egypt). As the main current in the Gulf of Aqaba runs from

- 1 North to South (Berman et al. 2000), it is unlikely that observed high abundances
- 2 of the almost stationary jellyfish Cassiopea are solely maintained by migration.
- 3 Therefore, the seagrass meadow (Thalassia testudinum) is suggested to have
- provided natural cues, e.g. degrading organic material, for larval settlement and 4
- 5 metamorphosis sufficient to sustain high abundances. This is further supported by
- 6 the occurrence of very small medusae of about 1.5 cm in bell diameter and an
- 7 estimated age of about six weeks (R. Kaiser, personal communication) and the
- 8 lowest mean diameters of all four habitats in the seagrass meadow.
- 9 When medusae grow, bare substrate becomes limited in the *seagrass meadow*,
- 10 which may force the jellyfish to leave. This may deliver an explanation for lowest
- 11 numbers of medusae in the seagrass meadow despite the provision of natural
- 12 cues. Highest mean Cassiopea diameter at the sand flat, the habitat with the
- highest percentage of unoccupied substrate, supports this assumption. 13

14 Cassiopea association with Idiomysis tsurnamali

15 More than 60 % of all jellyfish exhibited an association to mysids, whereby a

- positive correlation between jellyfish diameter, the presence of mysids and the 16
- 17 mysids swarm size was found. An explanation may be that larger sized Cassiopea
- 18 offer more refuge to the mysids, which withdraw between the tentacles in case of
- 19 danger (W. Niggl, personal observation). In addition, Cassiopea sp. was found to
- 20 release organic matter (Ducklow and Mitchell 1979) which is consumed and
- 21 mineralized by the mysids (Niggl et al. unpublished). As organic matter release by
- Cassiopea sp. positively correlates with surface area, larger Cassiopea specimens 22
- 23 may be able to provide larger mysid swarms with food.
- 24

25 Acknowledgements

26 We thank the staff of the Marine Science Station in Aqaba, especially Dr. Riyad Manasrah, for 27 logistical support on site. Special thanks to Carin Jantzen for the fruitful discussions concerning 28 *Cassiopea* sp. and for providing valuable input during the manuscript preparation process. Thanks 29 to Andreas Haas and Malik Naumann for their great help in the field. Thanks to Dr. Christian 30 Laforsch for improving the manuscript. Symbols courtesy of the Integration and Application 31 Network, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science. The study was funded by

- 32 grant Wi 2677/2-1 of the German Research Foundation (DFG) and a PhD stipend of University of
- 33
- Bavaria/Bavarian Elite Advancement to W. Niggl.
- 34

- 36 References
- 37 Bacescu M (1973) A new case of commensalism in the Red Sea: The mysid Idiomysis tsurnamali
- 38 n.sp. with the Coelenterata Megalactis and Cassiopea. Rev Roum Biol - Ser Biol Anim 18:3-7
- 39 Berman T, Paldor N, Brenner S (2000) Simulation of wind-driven circulation in the Gulf of Elat 40 (Aqaba). J Mar Syst 26:349-365
- 41 Bigelow RP (1900) The anatomy and development of Cassiopea xamachana. Mem Boston Soc
- 42 Nat Hist 5:191-236
- 43 Bischoff A, Fleck J, Hofmann OK (1991) Phorbol esters induce metamorphosis in Cassiopea
- 44 andromeda and Cassiopea xamachana (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa). Verh Dtsch Zool Ges 84: 484
- 45 Bolton TF, Graham WM (2006) Jellyfish on the rocks: bioinvasion threat of the international trade 46 in aquarium live rock. Biol Invasions 8:651-653
- 47 Bouchon-Navaro Y, Bouchon C (1989) Correlations between chaetodontid fishes and coral
- 48 communities of the Gulf of Aqaba (Red Sea). Environ Biol Fishes 25:47-60

- 1 Cevik C, Erkol IL, Toklu B (2006) A new record of an alien jellyfish from the Levantine coast of
- 2 3 Turkey - Cassiopea andromeda (Forsskål, 1775) [Cnidaria: Scyphozoa: Rhizostomea]. Aquat Invasions 1:196-197
- Collado Vides L, Segura Puertas L, Merino Ibarra M (1988) Observaciones sobre dos
- 4 5 escifomedusas del género Cassiopea en la Laguna de Bojórquez, Quintana Roo, México. Rev 6 Investig Mar 9:21-27
- 7 Curtis SK, Cowden RR (1971) Normal and experimentally modified development of buds in
- 8 Cassiopea (phylum Coelenterata, class Scyphozoa). Acta Embryol Exp 3:239-259
- 9 English S, Wilkinson C, Baker V (1994) Survey manual for tropical marine resources. Australien 10 Institute Of Marine Science, Townsville
- 11 Ducklow HW, Mitchell R (1979) Composition of mucus released by coral reef coelenterates.
- 12 Limnol Oceanogr 24:706-714
- 13 Fitt WK (1984) The role of chemosensory behavior of Symbiodinium microadriaticum,
- 14 intermediate hosts, and host behavior in the infection of coelenterates and molluscs with 15 zooxanthellae. Mar Biol 81:9-17
- 16 Fitt WK (1991) Natural metamorphic cues of larvae of a tropical jellyfish. Am Zool 31:106
- 17 Fitt WK, Costley K (1998) The role of temperature in survival of the polyp stage of the tropical 18 rhizostome jellyfish Cassiopea xamachana. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 222:79-91
- 19 Fleck J, Fitt WK (1999) Degrading mangrove leaves of *Rhizophora mangle* Linne provide a
- 20 natural cue for settlement and metamorphosis of the upside down jellyfish Cassiopea xamachana
- 21 Bigelow. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 234 (1): 83-94
- 22 Gohar HAF, Eisawy AM (1960a) The biology of Cassiopea andromeda (from the Red Sea). Publ 23 Mar Biol Stn Ghardaga 11:4-42
- 24 Gohar HAF, Eisawy AM (1960b) The development of Cassiopea andromeda (Scyphomedusae).
- 25 Publ Mar Biol Stn Ghardaga 11:148-190
- 26 Hofmann DK, Neumann R, Henne K (1978) Strobilation, budding and initiation of scyphistoma
- 27 morphogenesis in the rhizostome Cassiopea andromeda (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa). Mar Biol 47:161-28 176
- 29 Hofmann OK, Kremer BP (1981) Carbon metabolism and strobilation in Cassiopea andromeda
- 30 (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa): significance of endosymbiotic dinoflagellates. Mar Biol 65:25-33
- 31 Holland BS, Dawson MN, Crow GL, Hofmann DK (2004) Global phylogeography of Cassiopea
- 32 (Scyphozoa: Rhizostomeae): molecular evidence for cryptic species and multiple invasions of the 33 Hawaiian Islands. Mar Biol 145:1119-1128
- 34 Khalaf MA, Kochzius M (2002) Community structure and biogeography of shore fishes in the
- 35 Gulf of Aqaba, Red Sea. Helgol Mar Res 55:252-284
- 36 Ludwig F (1969) Die Zooxanthellen bei Cassiopea andromeda, Eschscholtz 1829 (Polyp Stadium) 37 und ihre Bedeutung fur die Strobilation. Zool Jb. (Abt Anat Ontog Tiere) 86:238-277
- 38 Mayer AG (1910) Medusae of the World Volume III. The Scyphomedusae. Carnegie Institution of
- 39 Washington, Washington
- 40 Mergner H, Schuhmacher H (1981) Quantitative Analyse der Korallenbesiedlung eines
- 41 Vorriffareals bei Aqaba (Rotes Meer). Helgol Meeresunters 34:337-354
- 42 Mergner H, Schumacher H (1974) Morphologie, Ökologie and Zonierung von Korallenriffen bei
- 43 Aqaba, (Golf von Aqaba, Rotes Meer). Helgol Meeresunters 26:238-358
- 44 Mills CE (2001) Jellyfish blooms: are populations increasing globally in response to changing 45 ocean conditions? Hydrobiol 451:55-68
- 46 Özgür E, Öztürk B (2008) A population of the alien jellyfish, Cassiopea andromeda (Forsskål,
- 47 1775) [Cnidaria: Scyphozoa: Rhizostomea] in the Ölüdeniz Lagoon, Turkey. Aquat Invasions 48 3:423-428
- 49 Panucci-Papadopoulou M, Zenetos A, Corsini-Foka M, Politou C (2005) Update of marine alien
- 50 species in Hellenic waters. Mediterr Mar Sci 6:147-158
- 51 Pitt KA, Koop K, Rissik D (2005) Contrasting contributions to inorganic nutrient recycling by the
- 52 co-occurring jellyfishes, Catostylus mosaicus and Phyllorhiza punctata (Scyphozoa,
- 53 Rhizostomeae). J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 315:71-86
- 54 Purcell JE, Uye S, Lo W (2007) Anthropogenic causes of jellyfish blooms and their direct
- 55 consequences for humans: a review. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 350: 153-174
- 56 Rahat M, Adar O (1980) Effect of symbiotic zooxanthellae and temperature on budding and
- 57 strobilation in Cassiopeia andromeda (Eschscholz). Biol Bull 159:394-401
- 58 Smith HG (1936) Contribution to the anatomy and physiology of Cassiopea frondosa. Pap
- 59 Tortugas Lab 31:18-52
- 60 Thieme C, Hofmann DK (2003a) An endogenous peptide is involved in internal control of
- 61 metamorphosis in the marine invertebrate Cassiopea xamachana (Cnidaria: Scyphozoa). Dev
- 62 Genes Evol 213:97-101

- 1 Thieme C, Hofmann DK (2003b) Control of head morphogenesis in an invertebrate asexually
- 2 3 produced larva-like bud (Cassiopea andromeda; Cnidaria: Scyphozoa). Dev Genes Evol 213:127-133
- Thornhill DJ, Daniel MW, LaJeunesse TC, Schmidt GW, Fitt WK (2006) Natural infections of
- 4 5 aposymbiotic Cassiopea xamachana scyphistomae from environmental pools of Symbiodinium. J 6 7 Exp Mar Biol Ecol 338:50-56
- Todd BD, Thornhill DJ, Fitt WK (2006) Patterns of inorganic phosphate uptake in Cassiopea 8 xamachana: A bioindicator species. Mar Poll Bull 52:515-521
- 9 Wild C, Huettel M, Klueter A, Kremb S, Rasheed M, Joergensen B (2004a) Coral mucus functions 10 as an energy carrier and particle trap in the reef ecosystem. Nat 428:66-70
- 11 Wild C, Rasheed M, Jantzen C, Cook P, Struck U, Boetius A (2005) Benthic metabolism and
- 12 degradation of natural particulate organic matter in carbonate and silicate reef sands of the
- 13 Northern Red Sea. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 298:69-87
- 14 Wild C, Rasheed M, Werner U, Franke U, Johnstone R, Huettel M (2004b) Degradation and

15 mineralization of coral mucus in reef environments. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 267:159-171

- 16
- 17
- 18 Figure Legend
- 19 Figure 1: Map of the Gulf of Aqaba (top left). Aerial image (property of Aqaba Special Economic
- 20 Zone Authority) of the Marine Science Station (MSS) in Aqaba with the study area indicated by
- 21 transparent ellipses (top right). Benthic composition of the study area revealed by line point
- 22 intercept transects (bottom). No transect derived data was recorded in areas indicated by
- 23 transparent icons. Red ellipses indicate distinguished main habitats (RF = reef, TZ = transition
- 24 zone, SF = sand flat, SM = seagrass meadow).
- 25 Figure 2: a, Cassiopea sp. exhibiting a swarm of Idiomysis tsurnamali. b, High abundance of 26 Cassiopea sp. in the reef habitat.
- 27

28 Tables

- 29 Table 1: Summary about previous and successive observations of high *Cassiopea* abundances (> 5 30 animals m^{-2}) in benthic habitats identical to those investigated in present study during spring 2008.
- 31 Table 2: Cassiopea sp. abundance, benthic coverage, diameter and association with Idiomysis 32 tsurnamali in the respective benthic habitat. Swarm size categories describe abundance of mysids
- 33 per jellyfish (0 = no mysids, 1 = 0 - 4 mysids, 2 = 5 - 9 mysids, 3 = more than 10 mysids).
- 34 Table 3: Statistical analyses of habitat-specific Cassiopea abundance, benthic coverage and
- 35 association with mysids. Given are p values for hypothesis for no differences between high
- 36 abundance habitats (TZ = transition zone, SM = seagrass meadow, SF = sand flat, RF = reef)
- 37 concerning listed parameters. *: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01; ***: p < 0.001 38
- 39
- 40 Table 1:
- 41

Year	Season	Observer
2002	Spring	E.M. Zetsche
2004	Spring	C. Jantzen
	Summer	C. Jantzen/ C. Wild
	Autumn	M. Naumann
2005	Winter	M. Naumann
2006	Autumn	C. Jantzen/ C. Wild
2007	Summer	C. Wild
	Autumn	C. Jantzen
2008	Winter	C. Wild/ W. Niggl/ A. Haas
	Summer	L. Kamphausen

Table 2: 6

Site	Mean abundance (animals m ⁻²)	Maximum abundance (animals m ⁻²)	Mean diameter (cm)	Mean benthic coverage (%)	Unoccupied, bare sand flats (%)	as
Reef	1.6 ± 2.2	31	10.3 ± 2.3	1.0 ± 1.1	24 ± 19	
Transition zone	7.9 ± 6.7	27	13.1 ± 2.7	7.5 ± 5.8	84 ± 11	
Seagrass meadow	0.4 ± 0.8	5	8.3 ± 3.2	0.1 ± 0.3	8 ± 9	
Sand flat	1.3 ± 1.4	14	13.5 ± 2.4	1.6 ± 1.8	95 ± 4	

8

Table 3:

		Mean abundance		Benthic coverage		Mean diameter		Cassiopea - mysids association			Mysids swarm size					
		ΤZ	SM	SF	ΤZ	SM	SF	ΤZ	SM	SF	ΤZ	SM	SF	ΤZ	SM	SF
F	RF	***	0.08	0.96	***	*	0.39	***	**	***	0.21	***	0.08	**	0.23	*
]	Ζ		***	***		***	***		***	0.56		***	**		0.93	0.71
S	Μ			0.07			*			***			**			0.81



