

# Do Human Polyoma Viruses and Human Immunodeficiency Virus Share Common Co-receptors?

Kalin Borissov, Iliya Tsekov, Raina Gavazova, Zlatko Kalvatchev, Radka

Argirova

# ► To cite this version:

Kalin Borissov, Iliya Tsekov, Raina Gavazova, Zlatko Kalvatchev, Radka Argirova. Do Human Polyoma Viruses and Human Immunodeficiency Virus Share Common Co-receptors?. Journal of Medical Virology, 2009, 82 (1), pp.8. 10.1002/jmv.21674 . hal-00541153

# HAL Id: hal-00541153 https://hal.science/hal-00541153

Submitted on 30 Nov 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Journal of Medical Virology

# Do Human Polyoma Viruses and Human Immunodeficiency Virus Share Common Co-receptors?

Journal:	Journal of Medical Virology
Manuscript ID:	JMV-09-1404.R3
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Aug-2009
Complete List of Authors:	Borissov, Kalin; National Center of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Virology Tsekov, Iliya; National Center of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Virology Gavazova, Raina; Institute of Experimental Pathology and Parasitology, Bulgarian Academy of Sciencies, Biochemistry Kalvatchev, Zlatko; National Center of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Virology Argirova, Radka; National Center of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Virology
Keywords:	human polyoma viruses (HPoV - JCV, BKV), HIV co-receptors (CCR5, CCR2, CXCR4), Bulgarians living with HIV



			Genetic p	olymorphism pat	terns of chen	okine co-receptor	s in HIV infected	Bulgarians	(n = 49)	
нім		CCR5			CXCR4 (SDF1)		CCR2			
infected patients		Wild type CCR5/CCR5 n, (%)	Heterozygous CCR5/CCR del 32 n, (%)	Homozygous CCR del 32/CCR del 32 n, (%)	Wild type SDF1- G/G n, (%)	Heterozygous SDF1-G/A n, (%)	Homozygous SDF1-A/A n, (%)	Wild type V/V n, (%)	Heterozygous V/I n, (%)	Homozygous I/I n, (%)
Co infacted	BKV	11 (91.7)	1 (8.3)	0 (0)	7 (58.3)	3 (25.0)	2 (16.7)	9 (75.0)	3 (25.0)	0 (0)
with HPoV	JCV	11 (91.7)	1 (8.3)	0 (0)	9 (75.0)	2 (16.7)	1 (8.3)	9 (75.0)	3 (25.0)	0 (0)
(n = 27)	BKV +JCV	3 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (66.7)	0 (0)	1 (33.3)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3)	0 (0)
Not infected with HPoV (n = 22)		22 (100)	0 (0)	0 (0)	10 (45.4)	11 (50.0)	1 (4.6)	13 (59.0)	9 (40.9)	0 (0)

(180 - 1014)\*\*

(218-1473)\*\*

(272 - 1054)\*\*\*

(253 - 955)\*\*\*

eerperie

TIDEE II. Distribution of genetic polyholphillys in patients with TITV to infected of not infected with TITV to						
Patients groups	Genetic polymorphisms found	Number (%)	Average CD4+T cells count			
			around the moment of HPoV			
			detection			

Wild genotype (wt) by all three co-

Polymorphism/s in one or two genes

Wild genotype (wt) by all three co-

Polymorphism/s in one or two genes

10 (37.0)

17 (63.0)

5 (22.7)\*

17(77.3)\*

TABLE II. Distribution of genetic polymorphim/s in patients with HIV co-infected or not infected with HPoV

$* P - 0.03 \cdot * * P - 0.4$	$17 \cdot * * * P - 0.89$

receptors

receptors

Persons with HIV

co-infected with

HPoV (n=27)

Persons with HIV

not infected with

HPoV (n=22)

2 3	1	Do Human Polyoma Viruses and Human Immunodeficiency Virus Share Common Co-
4 5 6	2	receptors?
7 8	3	Kalin Borissov <sup>1</sup> , Iliya Tsekov <sup>2</sup> , Rayna Gavazova <sup>3</sup> , Zlatko Kalvatchev <sup>2</sup> , Radka Argirova <sup>1</sup>
9 10 11	4	
12 13	5	<sup>1</sup> Department of Virology, Laboratory of Retroviruses, National Center of Infectious and Parasitic
14 15	6	Diseases, Sofia, Bulgaria;
16 17 18	7	<sup>2</sup> Department of Virology, Laboratory of Molecular Virology, National Center of Infectious and
19 20	8	Parasitic Diseases, Sofia, Bulgaria;
21 22 23	9	<sup>3</sup> Institute of Experimental Pathology and Parasitology, Section of Biochemistry, Bulgarian
24 25	10	Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria;
26 27 28	11	
29 30 31 32 33	12	Correspondence to: Radka Argirova, Laboratory of Retroviruses, Department of Virology,
	13	National Center of Infectious an Parasitic Diseases, 44A Boul. Stoletov, 1233, Sofia, Bulgaria
33 34 35	14	E-mail: <u>radkaargirova@abv.bg</u> , fax: +3592 8329118
36 37	15	
38 39 40	16	Running head: Human Polyoma Viruses and HIV Share Common Co-receptors?
41 42	17	
43 44 45	18	
46 47	19	
48 49 50	20	
50 51 52	21	
53 54	22	
55 56 57	23	
58 59 60	24	1

1 ABSTRACT

Host and/or viral factors involved in human polyomavirus (HPoV) infection in persons living with HIV remain unknown. A hypothesis is outlined suggesting the importance of the coreceptors CCR5, CCR2 and CXCR4 not only for HIV, but also for HPoV. Functionally capable receptors coded by wild type (wt) genotypes could facilitate internalization of HPoV in the cell resulting in brain and/or kidney infection/s in HIV infected individuals.

Forty nine Bulgarians with HIV, all treated by HAART, without neurological and/or kidney disorders, were tested for JCV and BKV and genotyped for CCR5(CCR5del32), CCR2(CCR2-64I) and CXCR4(SDF1-3'A). In 27/49 (55.1%) individuals a co-infection with HPoV was identified - BKV in 12/49 (24.5%), JCV - in another 12/49 (24.5%) and both viruses - in 3/49 (6.1%). A high frequency of wt CCR5 was found in patients with HPoV (91.7% for BKV and JCV and in 100% with both viruses). V/V of CCR2 was presented in 75% for BKV and JCV and in 66.7% for BKV plus JCV. SDF1-3'G/G predominated in JCV infected patients (75%), while G/A and A/A genotypes were more frequent in patients with BKV (41.7%).

Also, 21/22 (95.4%) persons without HPoV infection were heterozygous for SDF1 and CCR2.
The number of individuals bearing wt of all co-receptors in the group of persons not infected with
HPoV was lower (p=0.03) than that with polymorphism/s in one or two genes (SDF1 and CCR2)
in the same group.

19 The results suggest a probable role of co-receptors used by HIV to facilitate infection with HPoV.

Key Words: human polyoma viruses (HPoV - JCV, BKV), HIV co-receptors (CCR5, CCR2,
CXCR4), Bulgarians living with HIV

**INTRODUCTION** 

When Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy (HAART) for infection with HIV was introduced in 1996, a number of viral and bacterial opportunistic infections associated earlier with severe immunodeficiency, such as those caused by cytomegalovirus or the mycobacterium avium complex, were reduced drastically [Hoffmann, 2005]. Nevertheless, some problems associated with the diagnosis and treatment of other opportunistic infections remained, among them the progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy [Hoffmann, 2005].

In contrast to infection with cytomegalovirus or with the mycobacterium avium complex progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy does not always indicate the final stages of HIV infection. Although CD4+T cells number is usually below 100/ul when clinical disease develops. the progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy may also occur when the CD4+ T-cell count is above 200/ul. Since HAART was introduced, the decrease in the incidence of the progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy was not as frequent as other opportunistic infections. However, progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy is now probably the second most common neurological opportunistic infection, after cerebral toxoplasmosis, in persons infected with HIV [Antinori et al., 2001].

The human polyomaviruses (HPoV), known as JCV, BKV, WUV, KIV and MCC, are small, naked, DNA containing viruses. Infection with HPoV is almost ubiquitous, asymptomatic and lifelong. However, reactivation during immunosuppression, associated with mutations in the transcriptional control region, that upregulates viral replication, can cause life-threatening disease [Cole and Conzen, 2001]. Thus, it has been considered that JCV, the causative agent of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy, is activated and the disease becomes symptomatic only when cellular immunity is impaired. JCV reaches the central nervous system via leukocytes, where it destroys cells containing myelin sheath [Hoffmann, 2005]. On the other side, HIV-1 can also infect oligodendrocytes, the cells producing myelin, resulting in production of infectious virus [Albright et al., 1996].

BKV causes productive infection in the urinary tract. Approximately 80% of adults worldwide are seropositive for BKV, though there is no evidence that BKV causes any disease in the immune competent host. There is strong evidence for the association of BKV with hemorrhagic cystitis, nephritis and especially with graft failure in renal transplant patients. BKV remains latent in lymphocytes, the urogenital tract and brain but may be reactivated if the host becomes immunocompromised [Vallbracht et al., 1993]. Little is known about the role of the other HPoV (WU, KI and MC) identified recently in immunocompromised individuals [Sharp et al., 2009].

A number of host genetic factors, including genotypes of the chemokine co-receptors (CCR5, CCR2) and the SDF1, the only natural ligand of the CXCR4 co-receptor, have been identified. They have an impact on susceptibility to HIV-1 infection, as well as, on progression to AIDS and death [Singh et al., 2003; Su et al., 2000].

The brain and kidney are among the organs favoring the persistence of HPoV. This means that viruses harbored there are able to evade immunological surveillance [Nathanson and Gonzales-Scarano, 2007]. It appears this happens through restricted access of lymphocytes to the brain across the blood-brain barrier or to infected glomerular epithelial cells across the subendothelial basement membrane.

The onset and course of a HPoV related disease in persons infected with HIV is in most cases considered to be associated with a severe impairment of the immune system and especially of cell-mediated immunity. Although HAART was introduced more than a decade ago, some infections, especially the progressive multifocal leucoencephalopathy remain a problem. So,

#### Page 7 of 20

### Journal of Medical Virology

factors of human and/or of viral origin should be presented and analyzed to explain the observed
discordance. Today, a limited number of studies are dedicated to interactions between HPoV and
HIV and still less – to the impact of host genetic factors for acquiring and development of these
opportunistic infections in persons infected with HIV [Doerries, 2004; Guerini et al. 2008].
The aim of this study was to demonstrate the presence of HPoV (JCV and/or BKV) in

Bulgarians infected with HIV but without neurological and/or kidney disorders and to analyze the possible role of genetic polymorphisms of three chemokine co-receptors: CCR5 (CCR5del32), CXCR4 (SDF1-3'A) and CCR2 (CCR2-64I), in relation to the presence/absence of two HPoV: JCV and BKV. Several reports discuss the receptors that can be used by HPoV. Infections with JCV, BKV as well as SV40 could be blocked by pretreatment of cells with neuraminidase, suggesting the receptors are sialoglycoproteins [Greenlee and O'Neill, 2002]. A recent research determined that the serotonergic receptor 5HT2AR could be utilized by JCV in glial cells [Elphick et al., 2004]. Nevertheless, investigation of the effect of risperidone (a potent 5HT(2A)R blocker) on JCV infected cells, failed to determine a significant difference between treated and naive cells, questioning the effectiveness of this substance for patients with progressive multifocal leucoencephalopathy [Chapagain et al., 2008]. Therefore, JCV might employ additional entry mechanisms. Similarly to other naked viruses, internalization of HPoV in the cell differs substantially from the entry of enveloped viruses like HIV. There is no need for membrane fusion for JCV and BKV to penetrate the cell. It has been shown that HPoV use caveolar endocytosis, a receptor-dependent process [Cole and Conzen, 2001]. On the other hand, before infecting macrophages and microglia in the brain, polyomaviruses could be transferred by leukocytes. Viruses might exploit the co-receptors (CCR5, CXCR4 and/or CCR2), as well as CD4 + receptors and MHC class I molecules of CD8 cells, respectively.

#### Journal of Medical Virology

2	
3 4	
5	
6 7	
8	
9 10	
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19 20	
21	
22 23	
23 24	
25 26	
20 27	
28	
29 30	
31	
32 33	
34	
35 36	
37	
38 39	
40	
41 42	
43	
44 45	
46	
47 48	,
49	
50 51	,
52	
53 54	
54 55	,
56	4
57 58	,
59	
ъU	

Recently, HIV-1 binding sites were discovered in brain, i.e. chemokine co-receptors could facilitate the infection of macrophages and microglia not only with HIV [Cartier et al, 2005; Kaul et al., 2001], but according to the proposed hypothesis, also with HPoV. If this really occurs, it should be demonstrated that the CCR5, CXCR4 and/or CCR2 co-receptors presented on CD4+ T cells and on other cells involved in HIV-1 brain/kidney persistent infection, are functionally capable, i.e. are coded by wild type (wt) genotype resulting in active receptor proteins, not in truncated ones. On the contrary, internalization of HPoV in the cell should not be supported by polymorphism/s in co-receptor gene/s, probably conferring absence of HPoV infection even in iciei. patients with HIV induced immunodeficiency. 

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Patients and Study Design

Forty nine blood samples collected on Gutrie cards from Bulgarians living with HIV (36 males and 13 females), with an average duration of HIV-1 infection 12.54 years (22 - 4), all of them on treatment by HAART, with no clinical evidence for neurological and/or kidney disorders, were enrolled in this study. Approval for the study was obtained from the Independent Ethics Committee of National Center of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Sofia, Bulgaria, DNA was isolated from the Gutrie cards by phenol:chlorophorm:isoamylalcochol (25:24:1) method. For every person the CD4+T cell count around the moment of detection of BKV and/or JCV was registered (TABLE II).

## 

## Identification of HPoV Sequences in Peripheral Blood Leucocytes

For detection of JCV and BKV, qualitative real-time PCR assays were employed. BKV viral genomic sequences were documented using a novel Light Upon Extension (LUX) primer system as demonstrated before [Slavov et al., 2008]. For detection of JCV the primer pair JCT1 and JCT2 [MacKenzie et al., 2003] was used, modified for a protocol with SYBR-Green I. As this is a non-specific detection system (intercalating dye) and in order to verify the specificity of the amplified fragments, a dissociation analysis was performed. Only samples showing both an increase in the fluorescent signal and a characteristic dissociation pattern with a specific melting temperature of 75.7<sup>o</sup>C, were considered positive. Measures were taken according to the molecular biology guidelines in order to prevent contamination, including the use of DNA free negative controls in each run.

2	
3 4	1
5 6	2
7 8 9	3
10 11	4
12 13	5
14 15 16	6
17 18	7
19 20	8
21 22 23	9
24 25	10
26 27 28	11
20 29 30	12
31 32	13
33 34 35	14
36 37	15
38 39	16
40 41 42	17
43 44	18
45 46 47	19
47 48 49	20
50 51	21
52 53 54	22
55 56	23
57 58	24
59 60	

# **Chemokine Co-receptor Genotyping**

2 Study of polymorphism and genotyping of CCR5 (CCR5del32), CXCR4 - SDF1 (SDF1-3 3'G/A) and CCR2 (V64I) were conducted as already described [Borissov et al., 2007]. Briefly, 4 PCR and Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism assays were carried out. Following the 5 amplification reaction, the products were subjected to restriction enzyme digestion with Msp1 for 6 SDF1 and BsaBI for CCR2 for 4 h. The digestion products and the PCR product for CCR5 were 7 analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis (for CCR5) or PAGE (for CCR2 and SDF1). Statistical 8 Chi-square (c2) analysis was used to compare the genotype frequency distribution within the 9 groups of persons infected with HIV and/or HPoV. Fisher's exact test was performed to compare 10 the average number of absolute CD4+T cell counts, as appropriate. A cut-off of  $p \le 0.05$  was considered significant. 12

RESULT	S
ILLOUL I	~

### Genetic Polymorphisms in HIV Infected Patients with HPoV Infection(s)

Genotyping of DNA of 49 individuals was performed for CCR5 (CCR5del32), SDF1 -3'G (SDF1-3'G/A) and CCR2 (CCR2-V64I) in order to evaluate their involvement in HIV and/or HPoV infection. Specific BKV and/or JCV sequences were identified in peripheral blood leucocytes of 27/49 (55.1%) Bulgarians infected with HIV. No neurological symptoms and/or kidney dysfunction were observed in the patients at the time point of genetic studies. BKV as well as JCV were found in 12/49 (24.5%) of the studied DNA samples. BKV plus JCV were detected in 3/49 (6.12%). A high frequency of wt form of CCR5 (TABLE I) was found in patients with HIV co-infected with HPoV (11 out of 12 BKV and JCV positive samples – 91.7% and 3 out of 3 - 100% for the double infected, respectively). The results obtained for wild V/V genotype of CCR2 were 9 out 12 (75%) for each BKV and JCV and 2 out of 3 (66.7%) for the BKV plus JCV positive samples (TABLE I). In the samples tested none was found with homozygous (CCR2-64I/CCR2-64I) genotype. It is of special interest that 3/12 (25%) from the BKV and JCV infected persons showed heterozygous, CCR2/CCR2-64I, genotype. The wild genotype of SDF1-3'G/G (TABLE I) predominated in patients with JCV (75%), while G/A and A/A genotypes were found more frequently in patients with BKV (41.7%).

### Genetic Polymorphisms in HIV Infected Patients without HPoV Infection(s)

Genetic polymorphisms profile of all three studied co-receptors in 22 out of 49 (44.9%)
patients living with HIV, but not infected with HPoV is represented in TABLE I, too. The results
clearly demonstrate that 21 out of all 22 (95.4%) HIV infected individuals without HPoV show
heterozygous patterns of two co-receptors – CXCR4 (SDF1-3'A/SDF1-3' G/A) and CCR2
(CCR2/CCR2-64I). Only one person (1 out 22 – 4.6%) has a homozygous pattern for the ligand

of CXCR4: SDF1-3' A/A. It is worth noting that double genetic polymorphisms - those of SDF1
(CXCR4) and CCR2 - predominate. The explanation and importance of this finding is still
unclear and needs further investigation.

The distribution of genetic polymorphim/s in persons infected with HIV, co-infected or not with HPoV is demonstrated in TABLE II. A significant difference (p=0.03) was found between the wt carrying persons (for all three co-receptors) and those with polymorphism/s in one or two genes coding for chemokine co-receptors within the group of individuals infected with HIV but not infected with HPoV. No statistical difference was found when comparing the Its gro. CD4+T positive counts in all patients groups (all patients were treated by HAART of different duration and protocols).

DISCUSSION Data are presented on the possible role of the most important co-receptors for HIV – CCR5, CXCR4 (SDF1) and CCR2, for polyomaviruses' cell entry in persons infected with HIV, without clinical disease or symptoms characteristic for JCV and/or BKV. Recently, synergism between HIV and JCV has been demonstrated in vitro [Hoffmann, 2005]. For example, the HIV-1-encoded Tat protein, essential for the establishment of a productive HIV-1 infection, has been found to be a potent activator of the JCVL promoter. BKV T antigen is able to transactivate the HIV-1 Long Terminal Repeat. Although HIV-1 expression in oligodendrocytes is regularly low, the Tat protein appears to be secreted by HIV-1-infected cells. When localized in JCV-infected oligodendrocytes, it could induce transcription on Tat-responsive genes [Doerries, 2004].

Popik et al.(2002) demonstrated the involvement of T-cell lipid rafts in the process of HIV attachment [Popik et al., 2002]. According to these studies, independently of the presence of CD4 [Millan et al., 1999] and CCR5 [Manes et al., 1999] in detergent-resistant membrane microdomains, the distribution of CD4, CCR5, and CXCR4 receptors in T-cell lipid rafts remains largely unknown. A study using immunogold electron microscopy, has shown clearly the existence of homogenous microclusters of CD4 and chemokine co-receptors separated by distances of less than 100 nm, thus suggesting that these HIV-1 receptors may colocalize in rafts [Singer et al. 2001]. Also, it has been shown that the assembly and budding of different viruses, including HIV-1 [Nguyen and Hildreth, 2000; Ono and Freed, 2001], take place in lipid rafts. Moreover, the same authors found that HIV-1 particles produced by infected T cells incorporate raft-resident proteins and glycolipids into the viral envelope Further, it has been demonstrated that raft-colocalized receptors may be crucial for entry of different pathogens, including SV40 [Parton and Lindsay, 1999; Pelkmans et al., 2001] and the murine leukemia virus [Lu, Silver,

#### Journal of Medical Virology

1 2000]. Bearing all these facts in mind, a hypothesis was outlined suggesting the participation of 2 co-receptors used by HIV infected cells for internalization of HPoV in the cells of the brain and 3 kidney of persons with HIV infection. In order to verify this hypothesis two groups of individuals 4 infected with HIV were compared – co-infected and not infected with HPoV. No 5 immunodeficiency at the time of HPoV detection was found (TABLE II).

Data presented on TABLE I demonstrate that more than 50% of HPoV and HIV infected persons bear normozygous (wt) co-receptors. Heterozygocity in all co-receptors genes should be considered as restricted expression but not as a lack of receptor proteins. These data support the hypothesis by demonstrating the presence of functionally capable co-receptor proteins in individuals infected with HIV and positive for HPoV. But this hypothesis should sound more strongly if the restricted expression or even lack of more than one co-receptor protein was found in persons not infected with HPoV. This conferred the special interest to see the distribution of genetic polymorphism/s of the studied co-receptors in the group of persons infected with HIV but not infected with HPoV (TABLE I and TABLE II).

The number of persons bearing wild type of all three studied co-receptors in the group of persons not infected with HPoV but with HIV infection, is statistically lower (p=0.03) than that of persons with polymorphism/s in one or two genes (G/A and/or A/A in SDF1 and V/I in CCR2) in the same group (TABLE II). No similar difference was observed in the group of HPoV-infected persons (TABLE II). Additionally, only 4 out of 27 (14.9%) of all HPoV (JCV and BKV) positive individuals were found to have a homozygous profile (SDF1-A/A) meaning no expression of the SDF1 gene (TABLE I). This result suggests the probable role of both co-receptors with a restricted or even lacking expression could facilitate the internalization of HPoV in the cell. Guerini FR et al. (2008) described an association of G/A and A/A polymorphisms of SDF1 in patients with HIV-related progressive multifocal leucoencephalopathy (JCV positive),

#### Journal of Medical Virology

but not in HIV infected persons without JCV. The results concerning SDF1 polymorphisms documented in the present study differ from those observed by Guerini FR et al. (2008): all patients with JCV had both no lesions characteristic for progressive multifocal leucoencephalopathy, and no immunosuppression (all of them received HAART) and possibly no JCV and/or BKV activation. At the same time, HpoVs are ubiquitous and wide spread in the general population [zur Hausen, 2008] and the failure to identify any viral sequences in a number of samples (TABLE II) arises several questions. It might be considered that the immunocompromised state of individuals living with HIV should lead to a rather higher rate of JCV/BKV presence than the observed one. The possible explanation of these findings probably lies in the studied material. Detection of specific HPoV sequences in peripheral leucocytes was demonstrated in the current study. According to current knowledge, before the development of viremia and further dissemination, HPoV viruses are found mainly in urothelial cells (Doerries, 2004). Actually, the results for the presence of HPoV in the group of HIV-infected persons resemble positivity rates documented in healthy blood donors [Kalvatchev et al., 2009].

As for the CCR5 co-receptor, it is well established that two types of genetic polymorphisms are observed: in the open reading frame - CCR5del32; and in the gene regulatory region. Here only the genetic polymorphism in the open reading frame but not in the regulatory region – especially the 59029 - G/A, for which its role together with CCR5del32 has been recently confirmed to be associated with disease progression and neurological impairment [Singh et al., 2003], was studied. On the other hand, CCR5 is a co-receptor that facilitates the entry of HIV in CD4+ T cells and the macrophage lineage. Similar to Guerini et al. (2008), no differences in CCR5del32 polymorphism (heterozygocity) between HPoV infected and uninfected persons with HIV infection were found in the present study. The same is valid for the wild type of the CCR5 suggesting the presence of a functioning co-receptor. As individuals with

2
3
4
5
6
7
0
0
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
10
10
17
18
19
20
21
22
~~ ??
23
24
25
26
27
28
20
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
27
31
38
39
40
41
42
13
40
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
50
50
59
60

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

absent or incomplete expression of one or more chemokine co-receptors have a lower chance to become infected with certain types of HIV and to progress to AIDS [Su et al., 2000], it is possible that the restricted expression of co-receptors might also have a protective role in relation to the HPoV infection and its associated disease. It could be also theorized that if the HPoV exploit the same co-receptors as those used by HIV, new opportunities for protection and treatment of these opportunistic infections could be provided. Additional research and prospective monitoring of HIV-infected persons with or without progressive multifocal leucoencephalopathy are required. ACKNOWLEGMENTS The financial support of Research Medical Council, Medical University, Sofia, Bulgaria and Ministry of Science and Education, Republic of Bulgaria – Research Grant L-1506/05 are highly appreciated. REFERENCES Albright AV, Stritzki J, Harouse JM, Lavi E, O'Connor M, Gonzalez-Scarano F. 1996. HIV-1 infection of Cultured Human Adult Oligodendrocytes. Virology 217: 211-219. Antinori A, Massari A, Giancola M, Cingolani A, Grisetti S, Murri R, Alba L, Ciancio B, Soldani F, Larussa D, Ippolito G, De Luca A. 2001. Epidemiology and prognosis of AIDS-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in the HAART era. J. Neurovirol. 7: 323-328. Borissov K, Markova R, Elenkov I, Kostov K, Savov A, Kremensky I, Argirova R. 2007. Genetic

- 21 polymorphism of chemokine co-receptors CCR5, CXCR4 and CCR2 in Bulgarians living
- 22 with HIV. Biotechnol. Biotechnol. Eq. 21: 328-334.

#### Journal of Medical Virology

1		
2 3 4	1	Ca
5 6	2	
7 8 9	3	
10 11	4	Ch
12 13	5	
14 15 16	6	
17 18	7	Co
19 20 21	8	
21 22 23	9	
24 25	10	Do
26 27 28	11	Gri
28 29 30	12	Ho
31 32	13	Elp
33 34 35	14	
36 37	15	
38 39	16	Gre
40 41 42	17	
43 44	18	Gu
45 46	19	
47 48 49	20	
50 51	21	
52 53	22	Но
54 55 56	23	edi
57 58 59		
00		

Cartier L, Hartley O, Dubois-Dauphin M, Krause KH. 2005. Chemokine receptors in the central nervous system: role in brain inflammation and neurodegenerative diseases. Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev. 48: 16-42.

# Chapagain ML, Sumibcay L, Gurjav U, Kaufusi PH, Kast RE, Nerurkar VR. 2008. Serotonin receptor 2A blocker (risperidone) has no effect on human polyomavirus JC infection of primary human fetal glial cells. J. Neurovirol. 14: 448-454.

- Cole CN, Conzen SD. 2001. Polyomaviridae: The viruses and their replication. In: Knipe D and
  Howley P, editors. Fields Virology, IVth ed., Philadelphia, Lippincott Williams & Wilkins,
  vol.2, 2141 p.
- Doerries K. 2004. Human Polyomaviruses. In: Zuckerman AJ, Banatvala JE, Pattison JR,
   Griffiths PD, Schoub BD, editors. Principles and Practice of Clinical Virology. Fifth Ed.
   Hoboken: John Wiley and Sons Ltd., p. 675-703.
- Elphick GF, Querbes W, Jordan JA, Gee GV, Eash S, Manley K, Dugan A, Stanifer M,
  Bhatnagar A, Kroeze WK, Roth BL, Atwood WJ. 2004. The human polyomavirus, JCV, uses
  serotonin receptors to infect cells. Science 306:1380-1383.
- Greenlee JE, O'Neill FP. 2002. Polyomaviruses. In: Richman D, R. Whitley, F. Hayden, editors.
  Clinical Virology. Washington DC: ASM Press, p. 537-555.
- 18 Guerini FR, Delbue S, Zanzottera M, Agliardi C, Saresella M, Mancuso R, Maserati R,
   19 Marchioni E, Gori A, Ferrante P. 2008. Analysis of CCR5, CCR2, SDF1 and RANTES gene
   20 polymorphisms in subjects with HIVrelated PML and not determined leukoencephalopathy.
   21 Biomedicine and Pharmacotherapy 62: 26-30.
  - Hoffmann C. 2005. Opportunistic Infections (OIs). In: Hoffmann C, Rockstroh J, Kamps B,
    editors. HIVMedicine. Duesseldorf: Flying publisher, p. 411-415.

3 4	1	Kalvatchev Z, Popov R, Mekushinov K. 2009. Latent BK-polyomavirus infection among blood
5 6	2	donors. Military Medicine 2: in press.
7 8 0	3	Kaul M, Garden GA, Lipton SA. 2001. Pathways to neuronal injury and apoptosis in HIV-
9 10 11	4	associated dementia. Nature 410: 988-994.
12 13	5	Lu X, Silver J. 2000. Ecotropic murine leukemia virus receptor is physically associated with
14 15 16	6	caveolin and membrane rafts. Virology 276: 251–258.
10 17 18	7	MacKenzie J, Wilson KS, Perry J, Gallagher A, Jarrett RF. 2003. Association between simian
19 20	8	virus 40 DNA and lymphoma in the United Kingdom. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 95: 1001-1003.
21 22 23	9	Manes S, Mira E, Gomez-Mouton C, Lacalle RA, Keller P, Labrador JP, Martinez AC. 1999.
23 24 25	10	Membrane raft microdomains mediate front-rear polarity in migrating cells. EMBO J. 18:
26 27	11	6211–6220.
28 29 20	12	Millan J, Cerny J, Horejsi V, Alonso MA. 1999. CD4 segregates into specific detergent-resistant
30 31 32	13	T-cell membrane microdomains. Tissue Antigens 53: 33–40.
33 34	14	Nathanson N, Gonzales-Scarano F. 2007. Viral Persistence. In: Nathanson N editor. Viral
35 36 37	15	Pathogenesis and Immunity. Basel: Elsevier, p. 130-145.
38 39	16	Nguyen DH, Hildreth JEK. 2000. Evidence for budding of human immunodeficiency virus type 1
40 41	17	selectively from glycolipid-enriched membrane lipid rafts. J. Virol. 74: 3264–3272.
42 43 44	18	Ono A, Freed EO. 2001. Plasma membrane rafts play a critical role in HIV-1 assembly and
45 46	19	release. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 98: 13925-13930.
47 48	20	Parton RG, Lindsay M. 1999. Exploitation of major histocompatibility complex class I molecules
49 50 51	21	and caveolae by simian virus 40. Immunol. Rev. 168: 23–31.
52 53	22	Pelkmans L, Kartenbeck J, Helenius A. 2001. Caveolar endocytosis of simian virus 40 reveals a
54 55	23	new two-step vesicular-transport pathway to the ER. Nat. Cell. Biol. 3: 473–483.
วง 57 58		
59 60		16

#### Journal of Medical Virology

2 3 4	1
5 6	2
7 8	3
9 10 11	Z
12 13	4
14 15	e
16 17	-
18 19	, (
20 21 22	(
22 23 24	ý
25 26	1(
27 28	11
29 30	12
31 32 32	13
33 34 35	14
36 37	15
38 39	16
40 41 42	17
42 43 44	18
45 46	19
47 48	20
49 50	21
51 52 53	21
53 54 55	22
56 57	2:
58 59	24
60	

Popik W, Alce TM, Au WC. 2002. Human Immunodeficiency Virus Type 1 Uses Lipid Raft Colocalized CD4 and Chemokine Receptors for Productive Entry into CD4positive T Cells. J.
 Virol. 76: 4709 – 4722.

# Sharp CP, Norja P, Anthony I, Bell JE, Simmonds P. 2009. Reactivation and Mutation of Newly Discovered WU, KI, and Merkel Cell Carcinoma Polyomaviruses in Immunosuppressed Individuals. J. Infect. Dis. 199: 398-404.

- Singer II, Scott S, Kawka DW, Chin J, Daugherty BL, DeMartino JA, DiSalvo J, Gould SL,
  Lineberger JE, Malkowitz L, Miller MD, Mitnaul L. 2001. CCR5, CXCR4, and CD4 are
  clustered and closely apposed on microvilli of human macrophages and T cells. J. Virol. 75:
  3779–3790.
- Singh KK, Barroga CF, Hughes MD, Chen J, Raskino C, McKinney RE Jr, Spector SA. 2003.
  Genetic influence of CCR5, CCR2, and SDF1 variants on human immunodeficiency virus 1
  (HIV-1)-related disease progression and neurological impairment, in children with symptomatic HIV-1 infection. J. Infect. Dis. 188: 1461-1472.
- Slavov S, Kalvatchev Z, Tsekov I, Simeonov E, Hristova L, Kotsev J, Mladenov D, Tsvetkov M.
  2008. Novel Light-Upon-Extension (LUX) realtime PCR primer system for rapid detection of
  Polyomavirus Hominis 1 (BKV) in clinical samples. Biothechnol. Biotechnol. Eq. 22: 759761.

# Su B, Guangyan S, Daru L, Junhua S, Fang H, Ranajit C, Ranjan D, Li J. 2000. Distribution of three HIV-1 resistance-conferring polymorphisms (SDF1-3'A, CCR2-64I, and CCR5del32) in global populations. Europ. J. Hum. Genetics 8: 975-979.

Vallbracht A, Löhler J, Gossmann J, Glück T, Petersen D, Gerth HJ, Gencic M, Dörries K. 1993.
 Disseminated BK type polyomavirus infection in an AIDS patient associated with central
 nervous system disease. Am. J. Pathol. 143: 29-39.

- 1 Zur Hausen H. 2008. Novel human polyomaviruses Re-emergence of a well known virus
  - family as possible human carcinogens. Int. J. Cancer 123: 247-250.