



HAL
open science

PDEs and Symmetry : an Open Problem

Elemer Elad Rosinger

► **To cite this version:**

| Elemer Elad Rosinger. PDEs and Symmetry : an Open Problem. 2010. hal-00540779

HAL Id: hal-00540779

<https://hal.science/hal-00540779>

Preprint submitted on 29 Nov 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

PDEs and Symmetry : an Open Problem

Elemér E Rosinger

*Department of Mathematics
and Applied Mathematics
University of Pretoria
Pretoria
0002 South Africa
eerosinger@hotmail.com*

Dedicated to Marie-Louise Nykamp

Abstract

A simple and basic problem is formulated about symmetric partial differential operators. The symmetries considered here are other than Lie symmetries.

1. A Starting Remark

Let

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

be the set of all \mathcal{C}^∞ -smooth functions $f : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are symmetric.

We consider \mathcal{C}^∞ -smooth partial differential operators of the form

$$(1.2) \quad P(x, D)U(x) = F(x, U(x), \dots, D^p U(x), \dots), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

acting on \mathcal{C}^∞ -smooth functions $U : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where F is a real valued \mathcal{C}^∞ -smooth function defined for all real values of all its arguments, while $p \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|p| \leq m$, for a certain given $m \geq 1$.

We call $P(x, D)$ *symmetric*, if and only if

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni U \longmapsto P(x, D)U \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Obviously, the partial differentials $D_{x_1}, \dots, D_{x_n} : \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are *not* symmetric. On the other hand, simple examples of symmetric partial differential operators are given by

$$(1.4) \quad D_{x_1}U(x) + \dots + D_{x_n}U(x) - F(U(x)), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

or by the Poisson operators

$$(1.5) \quad D_{x_1}^2U(x) + \dots + D_{x_n}^2U(x) - F(U(x)), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where $F : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is any \mathcal{C}^∞ -smooth function.

One can note that the above symmetries are not of Lie type.

2. A Simple Example

For convenience, let us start by noting a few facts when $n = 2$.

First, as mentioned, the implication does *not* hold

$$(2.1) \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) \implies D_{x_1}f, D_{x_2}f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

on the other hand, also as noted, we have

$$(2.2) \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) \implies D_{x_1}f + D_{x_2}f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

Let us consider the *converse* of (2.2). Namely, given $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then let us see whether

$$(2.3) \quad f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad D_{x_1}f + D_{x_2}f = g \implies f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

As a particular case of (2.3), we recall that, for a given $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the solution of the PDE

$$(2.4) \quad D_{x_1}f + D_{x_2}f = g$$

with the initial condition

$$(2.5) \quad f(x_1, 0) = h(x_1), \quad x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$$

for a specified $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, is given by

$$(2.6) \quad f(x_1, x_2) = h(x_1 - x_2) + \int_0^{x_2} g(x_1 + (\xi - x_2), \xi) d\xi, \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

Thus

$$(2.7) \quad f(x_2, x_1) = h(x_2 - x_1) + \int_0^{x_1} g(x_2 + (\xi - x_1), \xi) d\xi, \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

and therefore, f is symmetric, if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} h(x_1 - x_2) + \int_0^{x_2} g(x_1 + (\xi - x_2), \xi) d\xi &= \\ &= h(x_2 - x_1) + \int_0^{x_1} g(x_2 + (\xi - x_1), \xi) d\xi, \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently, if and only if, for $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \int_0^{x_1} g(x_2 + (\xi - x_1), \xi) d\xi - \int_0^{x_2} g(x_1 + (\xi - x_2), \xi) d\xi = \\ = h(x_1 - x_2) - h(x_2 - x_1)$$

However, $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ in (2.5) can be arbitrary, and (2.6) will give a corresponding solution $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ of (2.4), (2.5).

Clearly, no matter how $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is given, there are $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ for which (2.8) need not hold.

Indeed, for given $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the relation (2.8) implies on $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

the following condition

$$(2.9) \quad h(x) - h(-x) = \int_0^{x_1} g(x_2 + (\xi - x_1), \xi) d\xi - \int_0^{x_2} g(x_1 + (\xi - x_2), \xi) d\xi$$

where

$$(2.10) \quad x = x_1 - x_2, \quad (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$$

therefore

$$(2.11) \quad h(x) - h(-x) = \int_0^{(x+x_2)} g(\xi - x, \xi) d\xi - \int_0^{x_2} g(x + \xi, \xi) d\xi$$

and then the issue is whether the right hand term in (2.11) does indeed not depend on x_2 .

In this regard we note that the derivative with respect to x_2 of the right hand term in (2.11) is

$$g(x + x_2 - x, x + x_2) - g(x + x_2, x_2)$$

thus it vanishes whenever g is a symmetric function.

Consequently, for every symmetric function $g \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the relation (2.11) takes the form

$$(2.12) \quad h(x) - h(-x) = G(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $G \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is defined by g through the right hand term in (2.11).

Clearly, in (2.12), we can choose h arbitrary on $(-\infty, 0)$, provided that it is \mathcal{C}^∞ -smooth, and then we obtain on $(0, \infty, 0)$ the \mathcal{C}^∞ -smooth function

$$(2.13) \quad h(x) = h(-x) + G(x), \quad x \in (0, \infty)$$

As for $x = 0$, the relation (2.12) gives

$$(2.14) \quad G(0) = 0$$

and leaves $h(0)$ undetermined. However, (2.12) allows as well the arbitrary \mathcal{C}^∞ -smooth choice of h on $(-\infty, 0]$. And then, with (2.13), we obtain h being \mathcal{C}^∞ -smooth on \mathbb{R} , and satisfying (2.12).

In this way we obtain

Proposition 1

Given $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then

$$(2.15) \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) \implies D_{x_1}f + D_{x_2}f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

while conversely, the relation

$$(2.16) \quad D_{x_1}f + D_{x_2}f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

does *not* imply

$$(2.17) \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

Remark 1

In view of the above, the mappings

$$(2.18) \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2) \ni f \longmapsto D_{x_1}f + D_{x_2}f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \ni f \longmapsto D_{x_1}f + D_{x_2}f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

are surjective.

3. A Problem

The above motivates the formulation of a general problem.

Problem 1

Given a \mathcal{C}^∞ -smooth symmetric partial differential operator $P(x, D)$, with $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, such that the mapping

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni f \longmapsto P(x, D)f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

is surjective.

Is then the mapping

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \ni f \longmapsto P(x, D)f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

also *surjective* ?

Bibliography

- [1] Arnold V I : Lectures on PDEs. Springer Universitext, 2004
- [2] Evans L C : Partial Differential Equations. AMS, Providence, 1998
- [3] Rosinger E E : Can there be a general nonlinear PDE theory for the existence of solutions ? arxiv:math/0407026