



HAL
open science

Holomorphic actions, Kummer examples, and Zimmer Program

Serge Cantat, Abdelghani Zeghib

► **To cite this version:**

Serge Cantat, Abdelghani Zeghib. Holomorphic actions, Kummer examples, and Zimmer Program. Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, 2012, 45 (3), pp.447-489. hal-00538251

HAL Id: hal-00538251

<https://hal.science/hal-00538251>

Submitted on 22 Nov 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

HOLOMORPHIC ACTIONS, KUMMER EXAMPLES, AND ZIMMER PROGRAM

SERGE CANTAT AND ABDELGHANI ZEGHIB

ABSTRACT. We classify compact Kähler manifolds M of dimension $n \geq 3$ on which acts a lattice of an almost simple real Lie group of rank $\geq n - 1$. This provides a new line in the so-called Zimmer program, and characterizes certain type of complex tori by a property of their automorphisms groups.

RÉSUMÉ. Nous classons les variétés complexes compactes kählériennes M de dimension $n \geq 3$ munies d'une action d'un réseau Γ dans un groupe de Lie réel presque simple de rang $n - 1$. Ceci complète le programme de Zimmer dans ce cadre, et caractérise certains tores complexes compacts par des propriétés de leur groupe d'automorphismes.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Cohomology, Hodge theory, Margulis extension | 5 |
| 3. Lie group actions and invariant analytic subsets | 9 |
| 4. Invariant cones for lattices and Lie groups | 16 |
| 5. Linear representations, ample classes and tori | 19 |
| 6. Classification of Kummer examples | 27 |
| References | 33 |

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Zimmer Program.** Let G be an almost simple real Lie group. The **real rank** $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ of G is the dimension of a maximal abelian subgroup A of G that acts by **R**-diagonalizable endomorphisms in the adjoint representation of G on its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . When $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ is at least 2, we shall say that G is a **higher rank** almost simple Lie group. Let Γ be a **lattice** in G ; by definition, Γ is a discrete subgroup of G such that G/Γ has finite Haar volume. Margulis superrigidity theorem implies that all finite dimensional linear representations of Γ are built from representations in unitary groups and representations of the

Date: October 2010.

Lie group G itself. In particular, there is no faithful linear representation of Γ in dimension $\leq \text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ (see [27]).

Zimmer's program predicts that a similar picture should hold for actions of Γ by diffeomorphisms on compact manifolds, at least when the dimension $\dim(V)$ of the manifold V is close to the minimal dimension of non trivial linear representations of G (see [17]). For instance, a central conjecture predicts that lattices in simple Lie groups of rank n do not act faithfully on compact manifolds of dimension less than n (see [42, 41, 43, 21]).

In this article, we pursue the study of Zimmer's program in the holomorphic, kählerian, setting, as initiated in [11] and [13].

1.2. Automorphisms. Let M be a compact complex manifold of dimension n . By definition, diffeomorphisms of M which are holomorphic are called **automorphisms**. According to Bochner and Montgomery [6, 9], the group $\text{Aut}(M)$ of all automorphisms of M is a complex Lie group, the Lie algebra of which is the algebra of holomorphic vector fields on M . Let $\text{Aut}(M)^0$ be the connected component of the identity in $\text{Aut}(M)$, and

$$\text{Aut}(M)^{\sharp} = \text{Aut}(M)/\text{Aut}(M)^0$$

be the group of connected components. This group can be infinite, and is hard to describe: For example, it is not known whether there exists a compact complex manifold M for which $\text{Aut}(M)^{\sharp}$ is not finitely generated.

When M is a Kähler manifold, Lieberman and Fujiki proved that $\text{Aut}(M)^0$ has finite index in the kernel of the action of $\text{Aut}(M)$ on the cohomology of M (see [18, 26]). Thus, if a subgroup Γ of $\text{Aut}(M)$ embeds into $\text{Aut}(M)^{\sharp}$, the action of Γ on the cohomology of M has finite kernel; in particular, the group $\text{Aut}(M)^{\sharp}$ almost embeds in the group $\text{Mod}(M)$ of isotopy classes of smooth diffeomorphisms of M . When M is simply connected, $\text{Mod}(M)$ is naturally described as the group of integer matrices in a linear algebraic group ([34]). Thus, $\text{Aut}(M)^{\sharp}$ sits naturally in an arithmetic lattice. Our main result goes in the other direction: it describe the largest possible lattices contained in $\text{Aut}(M)^{\sharp}$.

1.3. Rigidity and Kummer examples. The main example which provides large groups $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(M)^{\sharp}$ is given by linear actions on tori, and on quotient of tori (see [13], §1.2). For instance, if Λ_0 is a lattice in \mathbf{C} , the group $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ acts on the torus $A = (\mathbf{C}/\Lambda_0)^n$; since this action commutes with multiplication by -1 , $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ also acts on the quotient $M_0 = A/\langle -1 \rangle$ and on the smooth n -fold M obtained by blowing up the 4^n singularities of M_0 . The following

definition, which is taken from [12, 13], provides a common denomination for all these examples.

Definition 1.1. *Let Γ be a group, and $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)$ a morphism into the group of automorphisms of a compact complex manifold M . This morphism is a **Kummer example** (or, equivalently, is of **Kummer type**) if there exists*

- a birational morphism $\pi : M \rightarrow M_0$ onto an orbifold M_0 ,
- a finite orbifold cover $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow M_0$ of M_0 by a torus A , and
- a morphism $\eta : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$

such that $\varepsilon \circ \eta(\gamma) = (\pi \circ \rho(\gamma) \circ \pi^{-1}) \circ \varepsilon$ for all γ in Γ .

The notion of **orbifold** used in this text refers to compact complex analytic spaces with a finite number of singularities of quotient type; in other words, M_0 is locally the quotient of $(\mathbf{C}^n, 0)$ by a finite group of linear transformations (see Section 2.4).

Since automorphisms of a torus \mathbf{C}^n/Λ are covered by affine transformations of \mathbf{C}^n , all Kummer examples are covered by the action of affine transformations on the affine space.

The following statement is our main theorem. It confirms Zimmer's program, in its strongest versions, for holomorphic actions on compact Kähler manifolds: We get a precise description of all possible actions of lattices $\Gamma \subset G$ for $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(M)$ but also for $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(M) - 1$.

Main Theorem. *Let G be an almost simple real Lie group and Γ be a lattice in G . Let M be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)$ be an injective morphism. Then, the real rank $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ is at most equal to the complex dimension of M .*

- (1) *If $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) = \dim(M)$, then G is locally isomorphic to $\text{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{R})$ or $\text{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{C})$ and M is biholomorphic to the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$.*
- (2) *If $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) = \dim(M) - 1$, there exists a finite index subgroup Γ_0 in Γ such that either*
 - (2-a) $\rho(\Gamma_0)$ *is contained in $\text{Aut}(M)^0$, or*
 - (2-b) G *is locally isomorphic to $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ or $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$, and the morphism $\rho : \Gamma_0 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)$ is a Kummer example.*

Moreover, all examples corresponding to assertion (2-a) are described in Section 3.4 and all Kummer examples of assertion (2-b) are described in Section 6. In particular, for these Kummer examples, the complex torus A associated to M and the lattice Γ fall in one of the following three possible examples:

- $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ is commensurable to $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ and A is isogeneous to the product of n copies of an elliptic curve \mathbf{C}/Λ ;
- $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ is commensurable to $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathcal{O}_d)$ where \mathcal{O}_d is the ring of integers in $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ for some negative integer d , and A is isogeneous to the product of n copies of the elliptic curve \mathbf{C}/\mathcal{O}_d ;
- In the third example, $n = 2k$ is even. There are integers a and b such that A is isogeneous to the product of k copies of the abelian surface $\mathbf{C}^2/\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Z})$, where $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}$ is the division algebra of quaternions over the rational numbers \mathbf{Q} defined by the basis $(1, i, j, k)$, with

$$i^2 = a, j^2 = b, ij = k = -ji.$$

Moreover, the group Γ is a lattice in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ commensurable to the group of automorphisms of the abelian group $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Z})^k$ that commute to the diagonal action of $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Z})$ by left multiplications (see §6).

As a consequence, Γ is not cocompact, A is an abelian variety and M is projective. This theorem extends the main result of [13] from dimension 3 to all dimensions $n \geq 3$ when G is almost simple; the strategy is different, more concise, but slightly less precise.

1.4. Strategy of the proof and complements. After a few preliminary facts (§2), the proof of the Main Theorem starts in §3: Assertion (1) is proved, and a complete list of all possible pairs (M, G) in assertion (2-a) is obtained. This makes use of a previous result on Zimmer conjectures in the holomorphic setting (see [11]), and classification of homogeneous or quasi-homogeneous spaces (see [2, 22, 23]). On our way, we describe Γ -invariant analytic subsets $Y \subset M$.

The core of the paper proves that assertion (2-b) is satisfied when the image $\rho(\Gamma_0)$ is not contained in $\mathrm{Aut}(M)^0$ and $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) = \dim(M) - 1$.

In that case, Γ acts almost faithfully on the cohomology of M , and this linear representation extends to a continuous representation of G on $H^*(M, \mathbf{R})$. Section 4 shows that G preserves a non-trivial cone contained in the closure of the Kähler cone $\mathcal{X}(M) \subset H^{1,1}(M, \mathbf{R})$; this general fact holds for all linear representations of semi-simple Lie groups G for which a lattice $\Gamma \subset G$ preserves a salient cone. Section 4 can be skipped in a first reading.

Then, in §5, we apply ideas of Dinh, Sibony and Zhang together with representation theory. We fix a Cartan subgroup A in G and study the eigenvectors of A in the G -invariant cone: Hodge index theorem constrains the set

of weights and eigenvectors. When there is no Γ -invariant analytic subset of positive dimension, Yau's Theorem can then be used to prove that M is a torus.

To conclude the proof, we then show that invariant analytic subsets can be blown down to quotient singularities, and we apply Hodge and Yau's theorems in the orbifold setting. This makes use of Section 3.

Section 6 lists all tori of dimension n with an action of a lattice in a simple Lie group of rank $n - 1$. Since Sections 3.4 and 6 provide complements to the Main Theorem, we recommend to skip them in a first reading.

1.5. Acknowledgment. Thanks to Michel Brion, Jean-Pierre Demailly, Igor Dolgachev, Stéphane Druel, Jean-François Quint for nice discussions, comments, and ideas. Demailly provided the proof of Theorem 2.2 while Brion and Dolgachev helped us clarify Section 3.1.

2. COHOMOLOGY, HODGE THEORY, MARGULIS EXTENSION

Let M be a connected, compact, Kähler manifold of complex dimension n .

2.1. Hodge Theory and cohomological automorphisms.

2.1.1. Hodge decomposition. Hodge theory implies that the cohomology groups $H^k(M, \mathbf{C})$ decompose into direct sums

$$H^k(M, \mathbf{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^{p,q}(M, \mathbf{C}),$$

where cohomology classes in $H^{p,q}(M, \mathbf{C})$ are represented by closed forms of type (p, q) . This bigraded structure is compatible with the cup product. Complex conjugation permutes $H^{p,q}(M, \mathbf{C})$ with $H^{q,p}(M, \mathbf{C})$. In particular, the cohomology groups $H^{p,p}(M, \mathbf{C})$ admit a real structure, the real part of which is

$$H^{p,p}(M, \mathbf{R}) = H^{p,p}(M, \mathbf{C}) \cap H^{2p}(M, \mathbf{R}).$$

If $[\kappa]$ is a Kähler class (i.e. the cohomology class of a Kähler form), then $[\kappa]^p \in H^{p,p}(M, \mathbf{R})$ for all p .

2.1.2. Notation. In what follows, the vector space $H^{1,1}(M, \mathbf{R})$ is denoted W .

2.1.3. *Primitive classes and Hodge index theorem.* Let $[\kappa] \in W$ be a **Kähler class**, i.e. the class of a Kähler form (alternatively, Kähler classes are also called **ample** classes). The set of primitive classes with respect to $[\kappa]$ is the vector space of classes $[u]$ in W such that

$$\int_M [\kappa]^{n-1} \wedge [u] = 0.$$

Hodge index theorem implies that the quadratic form

$$([u], [v]) \mapsto \int_M [\kappa]^{n-2} \wedge [u] \wedge [v]$$

is negative definite on the space of primitive forms (see [37], §6.3.2). We refer the reader to [16], [15] and [40] for stronger results and consequences on groups of automorphisms of M .

2.1.4. *Cohomological automorphisms.*

Definition 2.1. A **cohomological automorphism** of M is a linear isomorphism of the real vector space $H^*(M, \mathbf{R})$ that preserves the Hodge decomposition, the cup product, and the Poincaré duality.

Note that cohomological automorphisms are not assumed to preserve the set of Kähler classes or the lattice $H^*(M, \mathbf{Z})$, as automorphisms f^* with $f \in \text{Aut}(M)$ do.

2.2. **Nef cone and big classes.** Recall that a convex cone in a real vector space is **salient** when it does not contain any line: In other words, a salient cone is strictly contained in a half space.

The **Kähler cone** of M is the subset $\mathcal{K}(M) \subset W$ of Kähler classes. This set is an open convex cone; its closure $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(M)$ is a strict and closed convex cone, the interior of which coincides with $\mathcal{K}(M)$. We shall say that $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(M)$ is the cone of **nef** cohomology classes of type $(1, 1)$. All these cones are invariant under the action of $\text{Aut}(M)$.

A class $[\omega]$ in $H^{1,1}(M, \mathbf{R})$ is **big and nef** if it is nef and $\int_M \omega^n > 0$. The cone of big and nef classes plays an important role in this paper.

Theorem 2.2 (Demailly and Paun). *Let M be a compact Kähler manifold, and $[\omega] \in H^{1,1}(M, \mathbf{R})$ be a big and nef class which is not a Kähler class. Then*

- (1) *there exists an irreducible analytic subset $Y \subset M$ of positive dimension such that*

$$\int_Y \omega^{\dim(Y)} = 0;$$

(2) *the union of all these analytic subsets Y is a proper Zariski closed subset $Z \subset M$.*

Proof. The existence of Y in property (1) follows from Theorem 0.1 in [14].

Let us now prove property (2). Theorem 0.5 in [14] shows that the class $[\omega]$ is represented by a closed positive current T which is smooth in the complement of a proper analytic subset $Z \subset M$, has logarithmic poles along Z , and is bounded from below by a Kähler form, i.e. $T \geq \kappa$ for some Kähler form κ on M . Our goal is to show that all irreducible analytic subsets $Y \subset M$ of positive dimension that satisfy property (1) are contained in Z . We assume that Y is not contained in Z and $\dim(Y) > 0$, and we want to show that the integral of $[\omega]^{\dim(Y)}$ on Y is positive. In order to compute this integral, we represent ω by T and regularize T in order to take its $\dim(Y)$ -exterior power.

Let α be a smooth and closed form of type $(1, 1)$ which represents the class $[\omega]$. Let $C > 0$ be a constant such that $\alpha \geq -C\kappa$. Write T as

$$T = \alpha + \frac{i}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \psi \geq \kappa,$$

and consider the sequence of truncated currents T_a , $a > 0$, defined by

$$T_a = \alpha + \frac{i}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \max(\psi, -a).$$

On the set $\psi > -a$, T_a coincides with T and thus $T_a \geq \kappa$; on the set $\psi < -a$ it coincides with α . In particular, $T_a \geq -C\kappa$ on M . Since ψ has logarithmic poles along Z , the sets $\psi < -a$ are contained in smaller and smaller neighborhoods of Z when a goes to ∞ .

Since ψ is locally the difference of a smooth function and a plurisubharmonic function, ψ is upper semi-continuous and, as such, is bounded from above. Thus, T_a has bounded local potentials, and its Monge-Ampère products can be computed on any analytic subset of M by Bedford-Taylor technique (see [3]).

Since the cohomology class of T_a is equal to the class of T we have

$$\int_Y [\omega]^{\dim(Y)} = \int_Y T_a^{\dim(Y)} \geq \int_{Y \cap \{\psi > -a+1\}} \kappa^{\dim(Y)} - \int_{Y \cap \{\psi < -a+1\}} (C\kappa)^{\dim(Y)}.$$

The first term of the right hand side of this inequality goes to zero when a goes to $-\infty$. The second term converges to the volume of Y with respect to κ . This concludes the proof. \square

2.3. Margulis rigidity and extension. Let H be a group. A property is said to hold **virtually** for H if a finite index subgroup of H satisfies this property.

Similarly, a morphism $h : \Gamma \rightarrow L$ from a subgroup Γ of H to a group L virtually extends to H if there is a finite index subgroup Γ_0 in Γ and a morphism $\hat{h} : H \rightarrow L$ such that \hat{h} coincides with h on the subgroup Γ_0 .

The following theorem is one version of the superrigidity phenomenon for linear representations of lattices (see [27] or [36]).

Theorem 2.3 (Margulis). *Let G be a semi-simple connected Lie group with finite center, with rank at least 2, and without non trivial compact factor. Let $\Gamma \subset G$ be an irreducible lattice. Let $h : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbf{R})$ be a linear representation of Γ . The Zariski closure of $h(\Gamma)$ is a semi-simple Lie group; if this Lie group does not have any infinite compact factor, then h virtually extends to a (continuous) linear representation $\hat{h} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbf{R})$.*

Another important statement due to Margulis asserts that irreducible lattices Γ in higher rank semi-simple Lie groups are "almost simple": If Γ' is a normal subgroup of Γ , either Γ' is finite or Γ' has finite index in Γ . Thus, if ρ is a morphism from Γ to a group L with infinite image, then ρ is virtually faithful (see [27] or [36]).

As explained in [13], Margulis theorems, Lieberman-Fujiki theorem, and the fact that the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ on $H^*(M, \mathbf{R})$ preserves the lattice $H^*(M, \mathbf{Z})$ imply the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Let G and Γ be as in theorem 2.3. Let $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(M)$ be a representation into the group of automorphisms of a compact Kähler manifold M . Let $\rho^* : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(H^*(M, \mathbf{Z}))$ be the induced action on the cohomology ring of M .*

- (a) *If the image of ρ^* is infinite, then ρ^* virtually extends to a representation $\hat{\rho}^* : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(H^*(M, \mathbf{R}))$ by cohomological automorphisms.*
- (b) *If the image of ρ^* is finite, the image of ρ is virtually contained in $\mathrm{Aut}(M)^0$.*

2.4. Orbifolds. In this paper, an orbifold M_0 of dimension n is a compact complex analytic space with a finite number of quotient singularities q_i ; in a neighborhood of each q_i , M_0 is locally isomorphic to the quotient of \mathbf{C}^n near the origin by a finite group of linear transformations. All examples of orbifolds considered in this paper are locally isomorphic to \mathbf{C}^n/η_i where η_i is a scalar multiplication of finite order k_i . Thus, the singularity q_i can be resolved by one blow-up: The point q_i is then replaced by a hypersurface Z_i which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ with normal bundle $\mathcal{O}(-k_i)$.

All classical objects from complex differential geometry are defined on M_0 as follows. Usual definitions are applied on the smooth part $M_0 \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_k\}$ and, around each singularity q_i , one requires that the objects come locally from η_i -invariant objects on \mathbf{C}^n . Classical facts, like Hodge decomposition, Hodge index theorem, Yau theorem, remain valid in the context of orbifolds. The reader will find more details in [10, 39].

3. LIE GROUP ACTIONS AND INVARIANT ANALYTIC SUBSETS

3.1. Homogeneous manifolds. The following theorem is a direct consequence of the classification of maximal subgroups in simple Lie groups (see [35], chapter 6, or Section 3.4 below).

Theorem 3.1. *Let H be a connected almost simple complex Lie group of rank $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(H) = n$. If H acts faithfully and holomorphically on a connected compact complex manifold M of dimension $\leq n$ then, up to holomorphic conjugacy, M is the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$, H is locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{C})$, and the action of H on M is the standard action by linear projective transformations.*

Following a suggestion by Brion and Dolgachev, we sketch a proof that does not use the classification of maximal subgroups of Lie groups. Let A be a Cartan subgroup in H . Since H has rank n this group is isomorphic to the multiplicative group $(\mathbf{C}^*)^n$. The action of A on M is faithful; this easily implies that $\dim(M) = n$ and that A has an open orbit. Thus M is a toric variety of dimension n with respect to the action of the multiplicative group A . In particular, there is no faithful action of H on compact complex manifolds of dimension less than n . Since H is almost simple and connected, all actions of H in dimension $< n$ are trivial.

As a corollary, H acts transitively on M , because otherwise H has a proper Zariski closed orbit: This orbit has dimension $< n$ and, as such, must be a point $m \in M$; the action of H at m can be linearized, and gives a non-trivial morphism from H to $\mathrm{GL}(T_m M) \simeq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$, in contradiction with $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(H) = n$. Thus $M = H/L$ for some closed subgroup L .

Since H/L is compact, L is contained in a parabolic subgroup P (see [2]). Since the dimension of M is the smallest positive dimension of a H -homogeneous space, $P = L$ and P is a maximal parabolic subgroup. Since P is maximal, the Picard number of M is equal to 1 (see [2], §4.2).

As a consequence, M is a smooth toric variety with Picard number 1 and, as such, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ (see [19]). Since the group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ is the rank n group $\mathrm{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{C})$, the conclusion follows.

3.2. First part of the Main Theorem. Let us apply Theorem 3.1. Let Γ be a lattice in an almost simple real Lie group G . Assume that Γ acts faithfully on a connected compact Kähler manifold M , with $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(M) \leq \text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$. By [11], the dimension of M is equal to the rank of G and the image of Γ in $\text{Aut}(M)$ is virtually contained in $\text{Aut}(M)^0$. Hence, we can assume that the action of Γ on M is given by an injective morphism $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)^0$. As explained in [11], the complex Lie group $\text{Aut}(M)^0$ contains a copy of an almost simple complex Lie group H with $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(H) \geq \text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$. More precisely, if $\rho(\Gamma)$ is not relatively compact in $\text{Aut}(M)^0$, one apply Theorem 2.3 to extend the morphism ρ virtually to a morphism $\hat{\rho}: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)^0$; if the image of ρ is relatively compact, then another representation $\rho': \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)^0$ extends virtually to G ; in both cases, the Lie algebra of H is the smallest complex Lie subalgebra containing $d\hat{\rho}_{Id}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Theorem 3.1 shows that M is the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$ and $\text{Aut}(M)$ coincides with $\text{PGL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{C})$ (and thus with H). As a consequence, the group G itself is locally isomorphic to $\text{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{R})$ or $\text{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{C})$.

Summing up, the inequality $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(M) \geq \text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ as well as property (1) in the Main Theorem have been proved.

3.3. Invariant analytic subsets. Let us now study Γ -invariant analytic subsets $Z \subset M$ under the assumption of assertion (2) in the Main Theorem; in particular $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(M) = \text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) + 1$. Let Z be a Γ -invariant complex analytic subset. Assume, first, that (i) Z is irreducible and (ii) Z has positive dimension.

3.3.1. Singularities. If $\dim(Z) < n - 1$, part (1) of the Main Theorem implies that a finite index subgroup of Γ fixes Z pointwise. If the set Z is not smooth, its singular locus is Γ -invariant and has dimension $\leq n - 2$. Hence, changing Γ into a finite index subgroup, we assume that Γ fixes the singular locus of Z pointwise as well as Z itself if its codimension is larger than 1.

If Γ fixes a point $q \in Z$, the image of the morphism $\delta_q: \Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(T_qM)$ defined by the differential at q , i.e. by

$$\delta_q(\gamma) = d\gamma_q,$$

preserves the tangent cone of Z at q ; in particular, the Zariski closure of $\delta_q(\Gamma)$ in $\text{PGL}(T_qM)$ is a proper algebraic subgroup of $\text{PGL}(T_qM)$. Since proper algebraic subgroups of $\text{PGL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ have rank less than $n - 1 = \text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$, Margulis rigidity theorem implies that the image of δ_q is finite. These facts provide the following alternative:

- Either Z is a smooth hypersurface,
- or Z contains a fixed point q for which the morphism δ_q has finite image.

From [8], the action of Γ in a neighborhood of a fixed point q can be linearized. Thus, in the second alternative, a finite index subgroup of Γ acts trivially in a neighborhood of q . Since the action of Γ is holomorphic and M is connected, this contradicts the faithfulness of the morphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M)$. We deduce that *all irreducible Γ -invariant analytic subsets of positive dimension are smooth hypersurfaces.*

3.3.2. Geometry of Z . By the first part of the Main Theorem (see §3.2), a smooth invariant hypersurface is a copy of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ on which Γ acts as a Zariski dense subgroup of $\text{PGL}_n(\mathbf{C})$. Such a subgroup does not preserve any non empty algebraic subset. Thus, Z does not intersect any other irreducible Γ -invariant subset. Replacing Γ by finite index subgroups, one can now apply this discussion to all Γ -invariant analytic subsets:

Proposition 3.2. *Let Γ be a lattice in an almost simple Lie group of rank $n - 1 \geq 2$. If Γ acts faithfully by holomorphic transformations on a compact complex manifold M of dimension n , any Γ -invariant analytic subset $Z \subset M$ is a disjoint union of isolated points and smooth hypersurfaces isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$.*

3.3.3. Contraction of Z . Section 3.2 in [13] can now be applied almost word by word to show the following result.

Theorem 3.3. *Let Γ be a lattice in an almost simple Lie group G . Assume that Γ acts faithfully on a connected compact Kähler manifold M ,*

$$\text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(M) - 1,$$

and the image of Γ in $\text{Aut}(M)$ is not virtually contained in $\text{Aut}(M)^0$.

Let Z be the union of all Γ -invariant analytic subsets $Y \subset M$ with positive dimension. Then Z is the union of a finite number of disjoint copies of the projective space $Z_i = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$. Moreover there exists a birational morphism $\pi: M \rightarrow M_0$ onto a compact Kähler orbifold M_0 such that

- (1) π contracts all Z_i to points $q_i \in M_0$;
- (2) around each point q_i , the orbifold M_0 is either smooth, or locally isomorphic to a quotient of $(\mathbf{C}^n, 0)$ by a finite order scalar multiplication;
- (3) π is an isomorphism from the complement of Z to the complement of the points q_i ;

- (4) π is equivariant: The group Γ acts on M_0 in such a way that $\pi \circ \gamma = \gamma \circ \pi$ for all γ in Γ .

3.4. Lie group actions in case $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) = \dim(M) - 1$. In case (2-b) of the Main Theorem, the group Γ is a lattice in a rank $n - 1$ almost simple Lie group, and Γ virtually embeds into $\text{Aut}(M)^0$. This implies that $\text{Aut}(M)^0$ contains an almost simple complex Lie group H , the rank of which is equal to $n - 1$. The goal in this section is to list all possible examples. Thus, our assumptions are

- (i) H is an almost simple complex Lie group, and its rank is equal to $n - 1$;
- (ii) M is a connected, compact, complex manifold and $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(M) = n \geq 3$;
- (iii) H is contained in $\text{Aut}(M)^0$.

We now list all such possible pairs (M, H) .

Example 3.4. The group $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ acts on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ by linear projective transformations. In particular, $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ acts on products of type $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C}) \times B$ where B is any Riemann surface.

The action of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ lifts to an action on the total space of the line bundles $\mathcal{O}(k)$ for every $k \geq 0$; sections of $\mathcal{O}(k)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with homogeneous polynomials of degree k , and the action of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ on $H^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C}), \mathcal{O}(k))$ is the usual action on homogeneous polynomials in n variables. Let p be a positive integer and E the vector bundle of rank 2 over $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ defined by $E = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(p)$. Then $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ acts on E , by isomorphisms of vector bundles. From this we get an action on the projectivized bundle $\mathbb{P}(E)$, i.e. on a compact Kähler manifold M which fibers over $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ with rational curves as fibers.

When $k = 1$, one can blow down the section of $\mathbb{P}(E)$ given by the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$. This provides a new smooth manifold with an action of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ (for other values of k , a singularity appears). In that case, $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ has an open orbit Ω , the complement of which is the union of a point and a smooth hypersurface $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$.

A similar example is obtained from the \mathbf{C}^* -bundle associated to $\mathcal{O}(k)$. Let λ be a complex number with modulus different from 0 and 1. The quotient of this \mathbf{C}^* -bundle by multiplication by λ along the fibers is a compact Kähler manifold, with the structure of a torus principal bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$. Since multiplication by λ commutes with the $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ -action on $\mathcal{O}(k)$, we obtain a (transitive) action of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ on this manifold. In this case, M is not Kähler; if $k = 1$, M is the Hopf manifold, i.e. the quotient of $\mathbf{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ by the multiplication by λ .

Example 3.5. Let H be the group $\mathrm{SO}_5(\mathbf{C})$ (resp. $\mathrm{SO}_6(\mathbf{C})$). The rank of H is equal to 2 (resp. 3). The projective quadric $Q_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbf{C})$ (resp. $Q_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^5(\mathbf{C})$) given by the equation $\sum x_i^2 = 0$ is H -invariant, and has dimension 3 (resp. 4). The space of isotropic lines contained in Q_3 is parametrized by $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbf{C})$, so that $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbf{C})$ is a $\mathrm{SO}_5(\mathbf{C})$ -homogeneous space: This comes from the isogeny between $\mathrm{SO}_5(\mathbf{C})$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbf{C})$ (see [20], page 278), and provides another homogeneous space of dimension $\mathrm{rk}(\mathrm{SO}_5(\mathbf{C})) + 1$.

Similarly, $\mathrm{SO}_6(\mathbf{C})$ is isogenous to $\mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbf{C})$, and $\mathrm{PSO}_6(\mathbf{C})$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbf{C})$. However, in this case, the rank of the group is equal to the dimension of the space (as in Theorem 3.1).

Theorem 3.6. *Let M be a connected compact complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Let H be an almost simple complex Lie group with $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbf{C}}(H) = n - 1$. If there exists an injective morphism $H \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(M)^0$, then M is one of the following:*

- (1) a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(E)$ for some rank 2 vector bundle E over $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$, and then H is isogenous to $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbf{C})$;
- (2) a principal torus bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$, and H is isogenous to $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbf{C})$;
- (3) a product of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ with a curve B of genus $g(B) \geq 2$, and then H is isogenous to $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbf{C})$;
- (4) the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$, and H is isogenous to $\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ or to $\mathrm{PSO}_5(\mathbf{C})$ when $n = 3$;
- (5) a smooth quadric of dimension 3 or 4 and H is isogenous to $\mathrm{SO}_5(\mathbf{C})$ or to $\mathrm{SO}_6(\mathbf{C})$ respectively.

The proof splits into three cases, according to the size of the orbits of H .

3.4.1. *Transitive actions.* Let us come back to the rank/dimension inequality obtained in Theorem 3.1. Let M be a connected compact complex manifold on which a complex semi-simple Lie group S acts holomorphically and faithfully. Let $K \subset S$ be a maximal compact subgroup and let m be a point of M . Then

- (1) $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(S) = \dim_{\mathbf{R}}(K)$;
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbf{R}}(K) = \dim_{\mathbf{R}}(K(m)) + \dim_{\mathbf{R}}(K_m)$ where $K(m)$ is the orbit of m and K_m is its stabilizer;
- (3) K_m embeds into a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(T_m M)$; in other words, K_m is a closed subgroup of the unitary group U_n , $n = \dim(M)$.

The inequality

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(S) \leq \dim_{\mathbf{R}}(M) + \dim_{\mathbf{R}} U_n = 2n + n^2 \quad (3.1)$$

follows. Moreover, if the rank of S is less than n , then K_m has positive codimension in U_n ; this implies that $\text{codim}_{\mathbf{R}}(K_m) \geq 2n - 2$ by classification of maximal subgroups of U_n or an argument similar to §3.1. The inequality (3.1) can therefore be strengthened, and gives

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(S) \leq n^2 + 2.$$

We now apply this inequality to the proof of Theorem 3.6 in case H acts transitively. Thus, the semi-simple group S is now replaced by the almost simple complex Lie group H , with rank $r = n - 1$.

If the Lie algebra of H is of type B_r or C_r , i.e. H is locally isomorphic to $\text{SO}_{2r+1}(\mathbf{C})$ or $\text{Sp}_{2r}(\mathbf{C})$, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(H) = 2r^2 + r \leq (r+1)^2 + 2$$

and thus $r^2 \leq r + 3$. This implies $r \leq 3$. When $r = 2$, the group H is locally isomorphic to $\text{SO}_5(\mathbf{C})$ and $\text{Sp}_4(\mathbf{C})$; there are two examples of compact quotients of dimension 3: The quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^4(\mathbf{C})$, and the projective space $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbf{C})$ parametrizing the set of lines contained in this quadric (see example 3.5). When $r = 3$, the group H is isogenous to $\text{SO}_7(\mathbf{C})$ (resp. to $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbf{C})$) and there is no example of H -homogeneous compact complex manifold of dimension 4 (see example 3.5 and [35], page 169, [2], page 65).

Let us now assume that H is of type D_r , i.e. H is isogenous to $\text{SO}_{2r}(\mathbf{C})$, with $r \geq 3$. We get $r^2 \leq 3r + 3$, so that $r = 3$ and H is isogenous to $\text{SO}_6(\mathbf{C})$. There is a unique homogeneous space M of dimension 4 for this group, namely the quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^5(\mathbf{C})$.

Similarly, the inequality excludes the five exceptional groups $E_6(\mathbf{C})$, $E_7(\mathbf{C})$, $E_8(\mathbf{C})$, $F_4(\mathbf{C})$, and $G_2(\mathbf{C})$: None of them acts transitively on a compact complex manifold of dimension $\text{rk}(H) + 1$.

The remaining case concerns the group $H = \text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$, acting transitively on a compact complex manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$. Write $M = H/L$ where L is a closed subgroup of H . Two cases may occur: Either L is parabolic, or not.

If L is parabolic, then M is a flag manifold of dimension n for $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$. Flag manifolds for $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ are well known, and only two examples satisfy our constraints. The first one is given by the incidence variety $F \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbf{C}) \times \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbf{C})^\vee$ of pairs (x, l) where x is a point contained in the line l , or equivalently the set of complete flags of \mathbf{C}^3 : This is a homogeneous space under the natural action of $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbf{C})$ and, at the same time, this is a $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$ -bundle over $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbf{C})$. The second example is given by the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(1, 3)$ of lines in \mathbb{P}^3 : This space has dimension 4 and is homogeneous under the natural action of $\text{PGL}_4(\mathbf{C})$. This example appears for the second time: By Plücker embedding, $\mathbb{G}(1, 3)$ is

a smooth quadric in $\mathbb{P}^5(\mathbf{C})$ and, as such, is a homogeneous space for $SO_5(\mathbf{C})$ (the groups $SO_5(\mathbf{C})$ and $SL_4(\mathbf{C})$ are isogenous, see page 286 of [20]).

If the group L is not parabolic, then L is contained in a parabolic group P with $\dim(P) > \dim(L)$. This gives rise to a H -equivariant fibration

$$M \rightarrow H/P$$

with $\dim(H/P) < n$. By Theorem 3.1, H/P is the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ and $\dim(P) = \dim(L) + 1$. The fibers of the projection $M \rightarrow H/P$ are quotient of a one parameter group by a discrete subgroup and, as such, are elliptic curves. This implies that M is an elliptic fibre bundle over $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$, as in example 3.4.

3.4.2. Almost homogeneous examples. Let us now assume that M is not homogeneous under the action of H , but that H has an open orbit $\Omega = H/L$; let $Z = M \setminus \Omega$ be its complement; this set is analytic and H -invariant. A theorem due to Borel ([7]) asserts that the number of connected components of Z is at most 2. By Proposition 3.2, each component of Z is either a point or a copy of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$; if one component is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ then H is isogenous to $SL_n(\mathbf{C})$ and acts transitively on this component. Assume now that Z contains an isolated point m . This point is fixed by the action of H , and this action can be linearized locally around m . Since H has rank $n - 1$ and M has dimension n , the group H is isogenous to $SL_n(\mathbf{C})$. Blowing up the point m , we replace m by a copy of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$. Thus, H is isogenous to $SL_n(\mathbf{C})$, and blowing up the isolated points of Z , we can assume that Z is the union of one or two disjoint copies of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ on which H acts transitively. This situation has been studied in details in [23] and [22]; we now describe the conclusions of [23] and [22] without proof.

Let P be a maximal parabolic subgroup with $H/P = \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ (P is unique up to conjugacy).

Suppose, first, that Z is connected. Then $L \subset P$ (up to conjugacy), M is a projective rational manifold and it fibers equivariantly on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C}) = H/P$; the fibers are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$, each of them intersecting Z in one point (see [23]). The intersection of each fiber with Ω is isomorphic to \mathbf{C} and, at the same time, is isomorphic to P/L ; this is not possible for $n > 2$ because all morphisms from the maximal parabolic group P to the group $\text{Aff}(\mathbf{C})$ of holomorphic diffeomorphisms of \mathbf{C} factor through the natural projection $P \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$, and there is no transitive action of \mathbf{C}^* on \mathbf{C} .

Thus, Z has indeed two connected components, as in [22] (see also [23]). This case corresponds to \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles over $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$, as in example 3.4: M

fibers equivariantly on $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$ with one dimensional fibers $F \simeq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{C})$, each of them intersecting Z in two points; the two connected components of Z are two sections of the projection onto $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$, which correspond to the two line bundles \mathcal{O} and $\mathcal{O}(k)$ from example 3.4.

If $k = 1$, one of the sections can be blown down to a fixed point (this process inverses the blow up construction described at the beginning of Section 3.4.2).

3.4.3. *No open orbit.* Let us now assume that H does not have any open orbit. Then, blowing up all fixed points of H , all orbits have dimension $n - 1$. By Theorem 3.1, H is isogenous to $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ and its orbits are copies of $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C})$. In that case, the orbits define a locally trivial fibration of M over a curve B . Let A be the diagonal subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$. The set of fixed points of A defines n sections of the fibration $M \rightarrow B$. This shows that this fibration is trivial and M is a product $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbf{C}) \times B$. A posteriori, H had no fixed point on M .

4. INVARIANT CONES FOR LATTICES AND LIE GROUPS

This paragraph contains preliminary results towards the proof of the Main Theorem in case (2-b). Under the assumption of assertion (2-b), Proposition 2.4 applies, and one can extend the action of Γ on $W = H^{1,1}(M, \mathbf{R})$ to an action of G ; unfortunately, the nef cone $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(M)$ is not G -invariant a priori. In this section, we find a G -invariant subcone which is contained in $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(M)$. This is done in the general context of a linear representation of a semi-simple Lie group G , for which a lattice $\Gamma \subset G$ preserves a salient cone.

4.1. Proximal elements, proximal groups, and representations.

4.1.1. *Proximal elements and proximal groups.* Let V be a real vector space of finite dimension k . Let g be an element of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. Let $\lambda_1(g) \geq \lambda_2(g) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k(g)$ be the moduli of the eigenvalues of g , repeated according to their multiplicities. One says that g is **proximal** if $\lambda_1(g) > \lambda_2(g)$; in this case, g has a unique attracting fixed point x_g^+ in $\mathbb{P}(V)$. A subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is proximal if it contains a proximal element, and a representation $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is proximal if its image is a proximal subgroup.

If Γ is a proximal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, the **limit set** $\Lambda_\Gamma^{\mathbb{P}}$ of the group Γ in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is defined as the closure of the set $\{x_g^+ | g \in \Gamma, g \text{ is proximal}\}$.

4.1.2. *Proximal representations and highest weight vectors.* Let G be a semi-simple Lie group and A be a Cartan subgroup in G ; let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{a} be their respective Lie algebras, and Σ the system of restricted roots: By definition Σ is the set of non-zero weights for the adjoint action of \mathfrak{a} on \mathfrak{g} . One chooses a system

of positive roots Σ^+ . A scalar product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{a} is also chosen, in such a way that it is invariant by the Weyl group. One denotes by Wt the set of **weights** of Σ ; by definition

$$\text{Wt} = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{a} \mid \forall \alpha \in \Sigma, 2 \frac{\langle \lambda | \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle} \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}.$$

The set of **dominant weights** is $\text{Wt}^+ = \{\lambda \in \text{Wt} \mid \forall \alpha \in \Sigma^+, \langle \lambda | \alpha \rangle \geq 0\}$. This set corresponds to positive elements for the order defined on Wt by $\lambda \geq \lambda'$ if and only if $\langle \lambda | \alpha \rangle \geq \langle \lambda' | \alpha \rangle$ for all α in Σ^+ .

Let $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ be an irreducible representation of G . This provides a representation of the Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{a} . By definition, the weights of \mathfrak{a} in V are the (restricted) weights of ρ . This finite set has a maximal element λ for the order defined on Wt : This **highest weight** λ is contained in Wt^+ , is unique, and determines the representation ρ up to isomorphism.

The image of G in $\text{GL}(V)$ is proximal if and only if the eigenspace of A corresponding to the highest weight λ has dimension 1 (see [1]).

If one starts with a representation ρ which is not irreducible, one first splits it as a direct sum of irreducible factors, and then apply the previous description to each of them; this gives a list of highest weights, one for each irreducible factor. The maximal element in this list is the highest weight of ρ (see § 4.2.2).

4.2. Invariant cones. In this paragraph we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let Γ be a lattice in a connected semi-simple Lie group G . Let $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ be a real, finite dimensional, linear representation of G . If Γ preserves a salient cone $\Omega \subset V$ which is not reduced to $\{0\}$, the cone Ω contains a G -invariant salient subcone which is not reduced to $\{0\}$.*

Let G be a connected semi-simple Lie group and Γ be a Zariski dense subgroup of G . Let $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ be a real, finite dimensional, linear representation of G . Assume that $\rho(\Gamma)$ preserves a salient cone Ω with $\Omega \neq \{0\}$. If the interior of Ω is empty, then Ω spans a proper Γ -invariant subspace of V ; since Γ is Zariski dense this proper invariant subspace is G -invariant. We can therefore restrict the study to this invariant subspace and assume that the interior of Ω is non empty.

Remark 4.2. As the proof will show, if the action of Γ on the linear span of Ω is not trivial, the action of G on the linear span of its invariant subcone is also not trivial. In particular, if G is simple, this action is faithful.

4.2.1. *Irreducible representations.* We first assume that ρ is irreducible. Proposition 3.1, page 164, of [4], implies that $\rho(\Gamma)$ is a proximal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, and the limit set $\Lambda_{\rho(\Gamma)}^{\mathbb{P}}$ of Γ is contained in $\mathbb{P}(\overline{\Omega})$. As a consequence, ρ is a proximal representation of the group G and the limit set $\Lambda_{\rho(G)}^{\mathbb{P}}$ of $\rho(G)$ coincides with the orbit of the highest weight line of its Cartan subgroup: This orbit is the unique closed orbit of $\rho(G)$ in $\mathbb{P}(V)$. As such, $\Lambda_{\rho(G)}^{\mathbb{P}}$ is a homogeneous space G/P , where P is a parabolic subgroup of G .

Assume now that Γ is a lattice in G . By [30], lemma 8.5, all orbits $\Gamma \cdot x$ of Γ in G/P are dense, so that $\Lambda_{\rho(G)}^{\mathbb{P}} = G/P$ coincides with $\Lambda_{\rho(\Gamma)}^{\mathbb{P}}$. In particular, $\Lambda_{\rho(G)}^{\mathbb{P}}$ is a $\rho(G)$ -invariant subset of $\mathbb{P}(\overline{\Omega})$. The convex cone generated by $\Lambda_{\rho(G)}^{\mathbb{P}}$ is a closed and G -invariant subcone of $\overline{\Omega}$. This proves Proposition 4.1 for irreducible representations.

4.2.2. *General case.* Let us now consider a linear representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ which is not assumed to be irreducible. Prasad and Raghunathan proved in [31] that Γ intersects a conjugate of the Cartan subgroup $A' \subset G$ on a cocompact lattice $A'_\Gamma \subset A'$. Changing A into A' , we assume that Γ intersects A on such a lattice A_Γ .

Since G is semi-simple, V splits into a direct sum of irreducible factors; let λ be the highest weight of (ρ, V) , let V_1, \dots, V_m be the irreducible factors corresponding to this weight, and let V' be the direct sum of the V_i :

$$V' := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq m} V_i.$$

By construction, all representations V_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, are isomorphic.

Lemma 4.3. *Since Γ is a lattice, $\overline{\Omega}$ intersects the sum V' of the highest weight factors on a closed, salient cone Ω' which is not reduced to zero.*

Proof. If u is any element of Ω , one can decompose u as a sum $\sum_{\chi} u_{\chi}$ where each u_{χ} is an eigenvector of the Cartan subgroup A corresponding to the weight χ . Since Ω has non empty interior, we can choose such an element u with a non zero component u_{λ} for the highest weight λ . Since A_Γ is a lattice in A , there is a sequence of elements γ_n in A_Γ such that

$$\frac{\gamma_n(u)}{\|\gamma_n(u)\|} = \sum_{\chi} \frac{\chi(\gamma_n)}{\|\gamma_n(u)\|} u_{\chi}$$

converges to a non zero multiple of u_{λ} . Since Ω is Γ -invariant and all γ_n are in Γ , we deduce that $\overline{\Omega}$ intersects V' . \square

The subspace of V' which is spanned by Ω' is a direct sum of highest weight factors; for simplicity, we can therefore assume that V' is spanned by Ω' . In particular, the interior $\text{Int}(\Omega')$ is a non-empty subset of V' .

Let π_i be the projection of $V' = \bigoplus V_i$ onto the factor V_i . The image of $\text{Int}(\Omega')$ by π_1 is an open subcone $\pi_1(\Omega')$ in V_1 .

If this cone is salient, the previous paragraph shows that the representation (ρ_1, V_1) is proximal. Thus, all V_i can be identified to a unique proximal representation R , with a given highest weight line $L = \mathbf{R}u^+$. We obtain m copies L_i of L , one in each copy V_i of R . Apply Lemma 4.3 and its proof: Since Ω' is Γ -invariant, Γ is a lattice, and Ω' has non empty interior, there is a point $v \in L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_m$ which is contained in Ω' . Let (a_1, \dots, a_m) be the real numbers such that $v = (a_1u^+, \dots, a_mu^+)$. The diagonal embedding $R \rightarrow V'$, $w \mapsto (a_1w, \dots, a_mw)$ determines an irreducible sub-representation of G into V that intersects Ω' , and the previous paragraph shows that G preserves a salient subcone of Ω' .

If the cone $\pi_1(\Omega')$ is not salient, the fiber $\pi_1^{-1}(0)$ intersects Ω' on a Γ -invariant salient subcone; this reduce the number of irreducible factors from m to $m - 1$, and enables us to prove Proposition 4.1 by induction on the number m of factors V_i .

5. LINEAR REPRESENTATIONS, AMPLE CLASSES AND TORI

We now prove the Main Theorem. Recall that G is a connected, almost simple, real Lie group with real rank $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) \geq 2$, that A is a Cartan subgroup of G , and that Γ is a lattice in G acting on a connected compact Kähler manifold M of dimension n .

From Section 3.2, we know that the rank of G is at most n and, in case $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) = n$, the group G is isogenous to $\text{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{R})$ or $\text{SL}_{n+1}(\mathbf{C})$ and M is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbf{C})$. We now assume that the rank of G satisfies the next critical equality $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) = n - 1$. According to Proposition 2.4, two possibilities can occur.

- The image of Γ is virtually contained in $\text{Aut}(M)^0$; Theorem 3.6 in Section 3.4 gives the list of possible pairs (M, G) . This corresponds to assertion (2-a) in the Main Theorem.
- The action of Γ on the cohomology of M is almost faithful and virtually extends to a linear representation of G on $H^*(M, \mathbf{R})$.

Thus, in order to prove the Main Theorem, we replace Γ by a finite index subgroup and assume that the action of Γ on the cohomology of M is faithful

and extends to a linear representation of G . Our aim is to prove that all such examples are Kummer examples (when $\text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(M) - 1$).

We denote by W the space $H^{1,1}(M, \mathbf{R})$, by λ_W the highest weight of the representation $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(W)$ and by E the direct sum of the irreducible factors V_i of W corresponding to the weight λ_W (all V_i are isomorphic representations).

5.1. Invariant cones in $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(M)$. Since the Kähler cone $\mathcal{K}(M)$ is a Γ -invariant, convex, and salient cone in W with non empty interior, Proposition 4.1 asserts that $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(M)$ contains a non-trivial G -invariant subcone. More precisely, $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(M) \cap E$ contains a G -invariant salient subcone $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_E$ which is not reduced to $\{0\}$, and the action of G on the linear span of $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_E$ is faithful (see §4.2).

From now on, we replace E by the linear span of the cone $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_E$. Doing this, the cone $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_E$ has non empty interior in E , and is a G -invariant subcone of $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(M)$. Since G is almost simple, the representation $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(E)$ is unimodular. Thus, the action of the Cartan subgroup A on E is unimodular, faithful and diagonalizable.

5.2. Actions of abelian groups. We now focus on a slightly more general situation, and use ideas from [16]. Let A be the additive abelian group \mathbf{R}^m , with $m \geq 1$; in the following paragraph, A will be a Cartan subgroup of G , and thus $m = \text{rk}_{\mathbf{R}}(G)$ will be equal to $\dim(M) - 1$. Let E be a subspace of W and $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_E$ be a subcone of $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(M) \cap E$ with non empty interior. Let ρ be a continuous representation of A into $\text{GL}(H^*(M, \mathbf{R}))$ by cohomological automorphisms. Assume that

- (i) $\rho(A)$ preserves E and $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_E$;
- (ii) the restriction $\rho_E : A \rightarrow \text{GL}(E)$ is diagonalizable, unimodular, and faithful.

From (ii), there is a basis of E and morphisms $\lambda_i : A \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $1 \leq i \leq \dim(E)$, such that the matrix of $\rho_E(a)$ in this basis is diagonal, with diagonal coefficients $\exp(\lambda_i(a))$. The morphisms λ_i are the weights of ρ_E ; the set of weights

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq \dim(E)\}$$

is a finite subset of A^\vee where A^\vee , the dual of A , is identified with the space of linear forms on the real vector space $A = \mathbf{R}^m$. The convex hull of Λ is a polytope $\mathcal{C}(\Lambda) \subset A^\vee$ and the set of its extremal vertices is a subset Λ^+ of Λ ; equivalently, a weight λ is **extremal** if and only if there is an element $a \in A$ such that

$$\lambda(a) > \alpha(a), \quad \forall \alpha \in \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda\}.$$

Since any convex set is the convex hull of its extremal points, Λ^+ is not empty and $C(\Lambda^+)$ coincides with $C(\Lambda)$.

For all weights $\alpha \in \Lambda$, we denote by E_α the eigenspace of A of weight α :

$$E_\alpha = \left\{ u \in E \mid \forall a \in A, \rho_E(a)(u) = e^{\alpha(a)} u \right\}.$$

We denote by E^+ the vector subspace of E which is spanned by the E_λ where λ describes Λ^+ .

Lemma 5.1. *The following three properties are satisfied.*

- (1) *The representation $\rho_{E^+}: A \rightarrow \text{GL}(E^+)$ is injective.*
- (2) *The convex hull $C(\Lambda)$ of Λ^+ contains the origin in its interior; in particular the cardinal of Λ^+ satisfies $|\Lambda^+| \geq \dim(A) + 1$.*
- (3) *For all $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ we have $E_\lambda \cap \overline{\mathcal{K}_E} \neq \{0\}$.*

Proof. The three properties are well known.

Property (1).— The kernel of ρ_{E^+} is defined by the set of linear equations $\lambda(a) = 0$ where λ describes Λ^+ . Since all weights $\alpha \in \Lambda$ are barycentric combinations of the extremal weights, the kernel of ρ_{E^+} is contained in the kernel of ρ_E . Property (1) follows from the injectivity of ρ_E .

Property (2).— If $C(\Lambda)$ has empty interior, it is contained in a strict subspace of A^\vee , contradicting Property (1). In particular, the cardinal of Λ^+ satisfies $|\Lambda^+| \geq \dim(A) + 1$. Since the sum of all weights $\lambda_i(a)$, repeated with multiplicities, is the logarithm of the determinant of $\rho_E(a)$ and the representation is unimodular, this sum is 0; in other words, the origin of A^\vee is a barycentric combination of all extremal weights with strictly positive masses. This shows that the origin is in the interior of $C(\Lambda)$.

Property (3).— The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.3. Let λ be an extremal weight and let $a \in A$ satisfy $\lambda(a) > \alpha(a)$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda \setminus \{\lambda\}$. Let u be any element of $\overline{\mathcal{K}_E}$; write u as a linear combination $u = \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} u_\alpha$ where $u_\alpha \in E_\alpha$ for all α in Λ . Since $\overline{\mathcal{K}_E}$ has non empty interior, we can choose u in such a way that $u_\lambda \neq 0$. Then the sequence

$$\frac{\rho_E(na)(u)}{\exp(n\lambda(a))}$$

is a sequence of elements of $\overline{\mathcal{K}_E}$ that converges towards u_λ when n goes to $+\infty$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{K}_E}$ is closed, property (3) is proved. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Let k be an integer satisfying*

$$1 \leq k \leq \min(\dim(M), \dim(A) + 1).$$

Let $\lambda_i \in \Lambda$, $1 \leq i \leq \dim(A) + 1$, be distinct weights, and w_i be non zero elements in $E_{\lambda_i} \cap \overline{\mathcal{K}}_E$. For all multi-indices $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ of distinct integers $i_j \in \{1, \dots, \dim(A) + 1\}$ the wedge product

$$w_I := w_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge w_{i_k}$$

is different from 0.

The proof makes use of the following proposition which is due to Dinh and Sibony (see [16], Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 4.4). Lemma 4.4 of [16] is stated for cohomological automorphisms that are induced by automorphisms of M , but the proof given in [16] extends to all cohomological automorphisms.

Proposition 5.3. *Let M be a connected compact Kähler manifold. Let u and v be elements of $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(M)$.*

- (1) *If u and v are not colinear, then $u \wedge v \neq 0$.*
- (2) *Let v_1, \dots, v_l , $l \leq n - 2$, be elements of $\overline{\mathcal{K}}(M)$. If $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_l \wedge u$ and $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_l \wedge v$ are non zero eigenvectors with distinct eigenvalues for a cohomological automorphism, then $(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_l) \wedge (u \wedge v) \neq 0$.*

Proof of Lemma 5.2. The proof is an induction on k . Since all w_i are assumed to be different from 0, the property is established for $k = 1$. Assume that the property holds for all multi-indices I of length $|I| = k$ with

$$k \leq \min(\dim(M), \dim(A) + 1) - 1.$$

Let $J = (i_1, \dots, i_{k+1})$ be a multi-index of length $k + 1$. Let v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} denote the vectors $w_{i_1}, \dots, w_{i_{k-1}}$, let u be equal to w_{i_k} and v be equal to $w_{i_{k+1}}$. Since the property is proved for length k , we know that

$$v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{k-1} \wedge u \quad \text{and} \quad v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_{k-1} \wedge v$$

are two non zero eigenvectors of A with respective weights

$$\lambda_{i_k} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \lambda_{i_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{i_{k+1}} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \lambda_{i_j}.$$

These two weights are different because $\lambda_{i_k} \neq \lambda_{i_{k+1}}$. Thus, property (2) of proposition 5.3 can be applied, and it implies that w_J is different from zero. The Lemma follows by induction. \square

Let us now assume that $\dim_{\mathbf{R}}(A) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(M) - 1$, i.e. $m = n - 1$. According to property (2) in Lemma 5.1, we can find $n = \dim(A) + 1$ extremal weights λ_i such that all linear maps

$$a \mapsto (\lambda_1(a), \dots, \lambda_{i-1}(a), \lambda_{i+1}(a), \dots, \lambda_n(a)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

are bijections from A to \mathbf{R}^{n-1} . By property (3) in Lemma 5.1, there exist elements w_i in $E_{\lambda_i} \cap \overline{\mathcal{K}_E} \setminus \{0\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq \dim(A) + 1$. Once such a choice of vectors w_i is made, we define w_A as the sum

$$w_A = w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_n.$$

This class is nef and, by Lemma 5.2, its n -th power is different from zero ; it is a sum of products $w_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge w_{i_n}$ which are positive because all classes w_i are nef. Thus,

$$w_A^{\wedge n} = w_A \wedge w_A \wedge \dots \wedge w_A > 0.$$

According to Section 2.2, this proves the following corollary.

Corollary 5.4. *If $\dim_{\mathbf{R}}(A) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(M) - 1$, the class w_A is nef and big.*

5.3. A characterization of torus examples. Let us apply the previous paragraph to the Cartan subgroup A of G ; by assumption, G has rank $n - 1$ and thus $\dim_{\mathbf{R}}(A) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(M) - 1 = n - 1$. The groups G and A act on W and preserve E , and we denote by $\rho_E(g)$ the endomorphism of E obtained by the action of $g \in G$ on E (thus, $\rho_E(g)$ is the restriction of g^* if g is in Γ). We keep the notation of Section 5.2, as well as the choice of classes w_i and w_A . According to Corollary 5.4, the class w_A is nef and big.

Proposition 5.5. *If the class w_A is a Kähler class then, up to a finite cover, M is a torus.*

Remark 5.6. In Section 5.4, this result is applied in the slightly more general context where M is an orbifold with isolated singularities.

Proof. Let $c_1(M) \in H^{1,1}(M, \mathbf{R})$ and $c_2(M) \in H^{2,2}(M, \mathbf{R})$ be the first and second Chern classes of M . Both of them are invariant under the action of Γ , and therefore also under the action of G .

Let $u \in W$ be a G -invariant cohomology class. Let $I = (i_1, \dots, i_{n-1})$ be a multi-index of length $n - 1$, and w_I be the product $w_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge w_{i_{n-1}}$. Let v be the class of type (n, n) defined by $v = w_I \wedge u$. Since u is A -invariant we have

$$\rho_E(a)(v) = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{i_j}(a)\right) v.$$

Since v is an element of $H^{n,n}(M, \mathbf{R})$ and the action of G is trivial on $H^{n,n}(M, \mathbf{R})$, we get the alternative: Either $v = 0$ or $\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{i_j}(a) = 0$ for all $a \in A$. Thus, property (i) of the extremal weights λ_i implies that v is equal to 0 for all choices

of multi-indices I of length $n - 1$. As a consequence, u is a primitive element with respect to the Kähler class w_A :

$$\int_M w_A^{n-1} \wedge u = 0.$$

In the same way, one proves that $w_A^{n-2} \wedge u = 0$ for all G -invariant cohomology classes u in $H^{2,2}(M, \mathbf{R})$.

Let us apply this remark to the first Chern class $c_1(M)$. Since this class is invariant, it is primitive with respect to w_A . Since $c_1(M)^2$ is also G -invariant,

$$w_A^{n-2} \wedge c_1(M)^2 = 0;$$

From Hodge index theorem we deduce that $c_1(M) = 0$. Yau's theorem provides a Ricci flat Kähler metric on M with Kähler form w_A , and Yau's formula reads

$$\int_M w_A^{n-2} \wedge c_2(M) = \kappa \int_M \|\text{Rm}\|^2 w_A^n$$

where Rm is the Riemannian tensor and κ is a positive constant (see [5], page 80, and [25], §IV.4 page 112–118). From the invariance of $c_2(M)$ we get $w_A^{n-2} \wedge c_2(M) = 0$ and then $\text{Rm} = 0$. This means that M is flat and thus M is finitely covered by a torus A . \square

Using this proposition, we now need to change the big and nef class w_A into an ample class by a modification of M . This is the main goal of the following paragraph.

5.4. Obstruction to ampleness, invariant subsets, and Kummer examples.

5.4.1. Let us start with the following simple fact.

Proposition 5.7. *Let B be an irreducible real analytic subset of the vector space $H^{1,1}(M, \mathbf{R})$. Assume that*

- (i) *all classes w in B are big and nef classes but*
- (ii) *none of them is ample.*

Then there exists an integer d with $0 < d < n$ and a complex analytic subset $Y_0 \subset M$ of dimension d such that $\int_{Y_0} w^d = 0$ for all classes w in B .

Proof. The set of classes $[Y]$ of irreducible analytic subsets $Y \subset X$ is countable. For all such classes $[Y]$, let $Z_{[Y]}$ be the closed, analytic subset of B which is defined by

$$Z_{[Y]} = \left\{ w \in B \mid \int_Y w^{\dim(Y)} = 0 \right\}.$$

Apply Section 2.2. Since all elements of B are nef and big but none of them is ample, the family of closed subsets $Z_{[Y]}$ with $\dim(Y) \geq 1$ covers B . By Baire's theorem, one of the subsets $Z_{[Y]}$ has non empty interior. Let $Z_{[Y_0]}$ be such a subset, with $\dim(Y_0) \geq 1$. The map

$$w \mapsto \int_{Y_0} w^{\dim(Y_0)}$$

is algebraic and vanishes identically on an open subset of B . Since B is an irreducible analytic subset of $H^{1,1}(M, \mathbf{R})$, this map vanishes identically. \square

5.4.2. Coming back to the proof of Theorem A, and assuming that w_A is not ample (for all Cartan subgroups A of G), we consider the orbit of the class w_A under the action of G . This orbit $B = G \cdot w_A$ satisfies the following properties:

- (1) B is made of big and nef classes, but none of them is ample;
- (2) B is a connected Zariski open subset in an irreducible algebraic subset of E .

We can thus apply Proposition 5.7 to the set B . Let Z be the union of analytic subsets $Y \subset M$ such that $0 < \dim(Y) < \dim(M)$ and

$$\int_Y w^{\dim(Y)} = 0, \quad \forall w \in B.$$

Proposition 5.7 and Section 2.2 show that Z is a non empty proper analytic subset of M . Since B is the orbit of w_A under the action of G , this set is Γ -invariant.

5.4.3. Let us now apply Theorem 3.3 to the subset $Z \subset M$ that is constructed in the previous paragraph. We get a birational morphism $\pi : M \rightarrow M_0$ and conclude that the image of w_A in M_0 is ample. From Section 5.3 and Proposition 5.5 applied in the orbifold context, we deduce that M_0 is covered by a torus A .

Let us be more precise. In our case, M_0 is a connected orbifold with trivial Chern classes $c_1(M_0)$ and $c_2(M_0)$. This implies that there is a flat Kähler metric on M_0 (see [25]). The universal cover of M_0 (in the orbifold sense) is then isomorphic to \mathbf{C}^n and the (orbifold) fundamental group $\pi_1^{orb}(M_0)$ acts by affine isometries on \mathbf{C}^n for the standard euclidean metric. In other words, $\pi_1^{orb}(M_0)$ is identified to a cristallographic group Δ of affine motions of \mathbf{C}^n . Let Δ^* be the group of translations contained in Δ . Bieberbach's theorem shows that (see [38], chapter 3, theorem 3.2.9).

- a.- Δ^* is a lattice in \mathbf{C}^n ;
- b.- Δ^* is the unique maximal and normal free abelian subgroup of Δ of rank $2n$.

The torus A is the quotient of \mathbf{C}^n by this group of translations. By construction, A covers M_0 . Let F be the quotient group Δ/Δ^* ; we identify it to the group of deck transformations of the covering $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow M_0$. To conclude the proof of the Main Theorem, all we need to do is to lift virtually the action of Γ on M_0 to an action on A . This is done in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.8.

- (1) *A finite index subgroup of Γ lifts to $\text{Aut}(A)$.*
- (2) *Either M_0 is singular, or M_0 is a torus.*
- (3) *If M_0 is singular, then M_0 is a quotient of the torus A by a homothety $(x, y, z) \mapsto (\eta x, \eta y, \eta z)$, where η is a root of 1.*

Proof. By property (b.) all automorphisms of M_0 lift to A . Let $\bar{\Gamma} \subset \text{Aut}(A)$ be the group of automorphisms of A made of all possible lifts of elements of Γ . So, $\bar{\Gamma}$ is an extension of Γ by the group F :

$$1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1.$$

Let $L : \text{Aut}(A) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ be the morphism which applies each automorphism f of A to its linear part $L(f)$. Since A is obtained as the quotient of \mathbf{C}^n by all translations contained in Δ , the restriction of L to F is injective. Let $N \subset \text{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ be the normalizer of $L(F)$. The group $L(\bar{\Gamma})$ normalizes $L(F)$. Hence we have a well defined morphism $\bar{\Gamma} \rightarrow N$, and an induced morphism $\delta : \Gamma \rightarrow N/L(F)$. Changing Γ into a finite index subgroup, δ is injective. Since Γ is a lattice in an almost simple Lie group of rank $n - 1$, the Lie algebra of $N/L(F)$ contains a subalgebra of rank $n - 1$. Since $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbf{C})$ is the unique complex subalgebra of rank $n - 1$ in $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbf{C})$, we conclude that N contains $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$. It follows that $L(F)$ is contained in the center $\mathbf{C}^*\text{Id}$ of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$.

Either F is trivial, and then M_0 coincides with the torus A , or F is a cyclic subgroup of $\mathbf{C}^*\text{Id}$. In the first case, there is no need to lift Γ to $\text{Aut}(A)$. In the second case, we fix a generator g of F , and denote by η the root of unity such that $L(g)$ is the multiplication by η . The automorphism g has at least one (isolated) fixed point x_0 in A . Changing Γ into a finite index subgroup Γ_1 , we can assume that $\bar{\Gamma}_1$ fixes x_0 . The linear part L embeds $\bar{\Gamma}_1$ into $\text{GL}_n(\mathbf{C})$. Selberg's lemma assures that a finite index subgroup of $\bar{\Gamma}_1$ has no torsion. This subgroup does not intersect F , hence projects bijectively onto a finite index subgroup of Γ_1 . This proves that a finite index subgroup Γ_1 of Γ lifts to $\text{Aut}(A)$. \square

6. CLASSIFICATION OF KUMMER EXAMPLES

In this section, we list all Kummer examples of dimension $n \geq 3$ with an action of a lattice Γ in a rank $n - 1$ simple Lie group G , up to commensurability and isogenies. The main step is to classify tori \mathbf{C}^n/Λ such that $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{C}^n/\Lambda)$ contains a copy of Γ . As seen in the proof of Lemma 5.8, a finite index subgroup of Γ lifts to a linear representation into $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ that preserves the lattice Λ . Margulis theorem implies that this linear representation virtually extends to a representation of G itself. Thus, we have to list triples (G, Γ, Λ) where G is a real almost simple Lie group represented in $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$, Γ is a lattice in G , Λ is a lattice in \mathbf{C}^n , and Γ preserves Λ . This is done in paragraphs 6.1 to 6.3.1: The list is up to commensurability for Γ , and up to isogeny for \mathbf{C}^n/Λ . Then we discuss Kummer examples in paragraph 6.4.

6.1. Preliminaries. If a rank $n - 1$ connected simple real Lie group G acts on \mathbf{C}^n linearly, then G is locally isomorphic to $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ or $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$. We can therefore assume that G is either $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ or $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ and Γ is a lattice in G .

For actions of lattices $\Gamma \subset \text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ on tori, proposition 8.2 of [13] can be applied: There is a negative integer d , such that Γ is commensurable to $\text{SL}_n(\mathcal{O}_d)$ where \mathcal{O}_d is the ring of integers of the quadratic number field $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$, and the torus M is isogeneous to $(\mathbf{C}/\mathcal{O}_d)^n$.

We can therefore restrict our study to the case of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$.

6.1.1. Setting. In what follows, Γ is a lattice in $G = \text{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$, G acts linearly on $V = \mathbf{C}^n$, by a morphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$, and Γ preserves a lattice $\Lambda \subset V$.

If we forget the complex structure, we can identify the vector space $V = \mathbf{C}^n$ with \mathbf{R}^{2n} and the lattice Λ with $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}^{2n}$; the complex structure on V is then given by a linear operator $J \in \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$ with $J^2 = -\text{Id}_{2n}$. The linear representation ρ of G preserves the complex structure. As a consequence, ρ is equivalent to the diagonal representation on $P \times P$ where $P = \mathbf{R}^n$ is the standard representation of G . More precisely, the vector space V splits as $P_1 \oplus J(P_1)$ where P_1 is a G -invariant totally real n dimensional subspace; restricted to P_1 and to $J(P_1)$, the representation of G is conjugate to its standard representation P . The complex structure J acts as follows: If (u, v) is a point in $P \times P \simeq V$, then $J(u, v) = (-v, u)$.

Up to finite index, the lattice Γ coincides with the lattice

$$\{g \in G \mid \rho(g)(\Lambda) = \Lambda\},$$

that is with the (preimage of the) intersection $\rho(G) \cap \text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbf{Z})$. In particular, $\rho(G) \cap \text{SL}_{2n}(\mathbf{Z})$ is Zariski dense in $\rho(G)$.

6.1.2. *Centralizer.* Let $C_G \subset \mathrm{SL}(V)$ be the centralizer of $\rho(G)$:

$$C_G = \{h \in \mathrm{SL}(V) \mid h\rho(g) = \rho(g)h \quad \forall g \in G\}.$$

As a Lie group, C_G is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ acting on $V = P \times P$ by

$$(u, v) \in P \times P \mapsto (au + bv, cu + dv).$$

In particular, it does not preserve the complex structure J . Since $\rho(G) \cap \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbf{Z})$ is Zariski dense in $\rho(G)$, the centralizer C_G is defined over \mathbf{Z} in $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$; hence $C_G(\mathbf{Z}) = C_G \cap \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbf{Z})$ is an arithmetic lattice in C_G . As such, either $C_G(\mathbf{Z})$ is not cocompact and is then commensurable to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$, or $C_G(\mathbf{Z})$ is cocompact and is then commensurable to a lattice derived from a quaternion algebra (see §6.3 below, [24], and [29], chapter 6).

Lemma 6.1. *The following properties are equivalent.*

- (1) $\rho(G)$ preserves an n -dimensional plane P' in V which is defined over \mathbf{Q} .
- (2) $\rho(G)$ is conjugate by an element of $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbf{Q})$ to the standard diagonal group $\{(A, A) \mid A \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})\}$ in $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$.
- (3) up to finite indices, $\rho(\Gamma)$ is conjugate to the diagonal copy of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ in $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$.
- (4) up to finite indices, Γ is conjugate to $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ in $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$.
- (5) $C_G(\mathbf{Z})$ is not cocompact, and is thus commensurable to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$.

Proof. If $\rho(G)$ preserves an n -dimensional plane P'_1 defined over \mathbf{Q} , we apply an element of $C_G(\mathbf{Z})$ to find another $\rho(G)$ -invariant n -plane P'_2 defined over \mathbf{Q} which is in direct sum with P'_1 . Thus, there is an element B of $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbf{Q})$ which maps the standard decomposition $\mathbf{R}^{2n} = \mathbf{R}^n \oplus \mathbf{R}^n$ to $\mathbf{R}^{2n} = P'_1 \oplus P'_2$, and conjugates $\rho(G)$ to the diagonal copy of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ in $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$. The group $\rho(\Gamma)$ is virtually conjugate, by the same matrix B , to the intersection of the diagonal copy of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ with $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbf{Z})$, so that Γ is commensurable to $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$. This shows the following implications

$$(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4).$$

Assume (4). Let T be an element of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ such that $T\Gamma T^{-1}$ intersects $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ on a finite index subgroup. Let N^+ be the group of upper triangular matrices in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$, let $N^+(\mathbf{Z})$ be its intersection with $T\Gamma T^{-1}$, and $N_\Gamma^+ = T^{-1}N^+(\mathbf{Z})D$. The action of $\rho(N_\Gamma^+)$ on V fixes a 2-plane U pointwise, and U is defined over \mathbf{Z} because N_Γ^+ preserves the lattice $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}^{2n}$. Since N_Γ^+ fixes a unique direction D in $P = \mathbf{R}^n$, the plane U is equal to $D \times D$ in

$V = P \times P$. Let $u = (ax_0, bx_0)$ be an element of $U \cap \Lambda \setminus \{0\}$. Then the n -dimensional plane P' defined by

$$P' = \{(x, y) \in P \times P \mid ay = bx\}$$

is $\rho(G)$ -invariant and contains a lattice point $u \in \Lambda$. The orbit of u under $\rho(\Gamma)$ is a lattice in P' , and thus P' is defined over \mathbf{Z} . This shows that

$$(4) \Rightarrow (1).$$

Assume (2) and denote by $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ the coordinates in $V = \mathbf{R}^n \oplus \mathbf{R}^n$ in which $\rho(G)$ is a diagonal copy of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$. Then C_G acts as $(x_i, y_i) \mapsto (ax_i + by_i, cx_i + by_i)$. Since these coordinates are defined over \mathbf{Q} , $C_G(\mathbf{Z})$ is commensurable to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$, so that (2) implies (5). Assume (5), and take a unipotent element U in $C_G(\mathbf{Z}) \setminus \{\mathrm{Id}\}$. The set of fixed points of U in V is an n -dimensional plane defined over \mathbf{Z} which is invariant by $\rho(G)$. Thus (5) implies (1), and all five properties are equivalent. \square

6.2. Stabilizers, cocompactness, and odd dimensions.

6.2.1. Let us now fix a non-zero element $(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$ in the intersection $\Lambda \cap (P \times P)$.

Remark 6.2. If x_0 is proportional to y_0 , with $bx_0 = ay_0$, the n -plane P' given by the equation $bx = ay$ is G -invariant and contains a lattice point. As seen in the proof of Lemma 6.1, this implies that P' is defined over \mathbf{Q} and that Γ is commensurable to $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$.

We now assume that x_0 and y_0 are not collinear. Let H be the stabilizer of (x_0, y_0) in G . Taking x_0 and y_0 as the first elements of a basis for P , the group H can be identified with the semi-direct product $\mathrm{SL}_{n-2}(\mathbf{R}) \ltimes \mathbf{R}^{2(n-2)}$ of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & u \\ 0 & 1 & v \\ 0 & 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$$

where A is in $\mathrm{SL}_{n-2}(\mathbf{R})$ and u and v are row vectors in \mathbf{R}^{n-2} . The G -orbit of (x_0, y_0) in V is homeomorphic to G/H and its Γ -orbit is a discrete subset of G/H . From [28], Theorem 3.11 and Remark 3.12 (see also [33], Lemma 2.8), we deduce that

$$\Gamma_H = \Gamma \cap H$$

is a lattice in H . Since H is the semi-direct product of its radical $N_H = \mathbf{R}^{2(n-2)}$ with the semi-simple factor $S_H = \mathrm{SL}_{n-2}(\mathbf{R})$, Γ intersects N_H onto a lattice Λ_N

(see [32], Corollary 8.28 page 150). In particular, Λ_N and Γ contain unipotent elements; this implies that Γ is not cocompact, and proves the following proposition.

Proposition 6.3. *Let Γ be a lattice in $G = \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$, with $n \geq 3$. If Γ preserves a lattice Λ in the diagonal representation of G in $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ then Γ is not cocompact.*

6.2.2. Let us now denote by E_0 the 2-plane contained in P which is generated by x_0 and y_0 . By construction, the 4 dimensional space

$$B_0 = E_0 \times E_0 \subset P \times P$$

coincides with the set of fixed points of H . Since Γ intersects H on a lattice, $E_0 \times E_0$ is defined over \mathbf{Q} . Note that B_0 is J -invariant, i.e. is a complex subspace of complex dimension 2 in V .

If we reproduce the same construction for another point (x_1, y_1) in $P \times P \cap \Lambda$ with x_1 and y_1 not collinear, we get another 2-plane E_1 in P and another 4-plane B_1 in $P \times P$. Let k be the maximum number of such 4-planes $B_i = E_i \times E_i$, $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, such that the sum of the B_i has dimension $4k$. Let \mathbf{B}_c be the direct sum of the B_i ; this plane of dimension $4k$ is defined over \mathbf{Q} . Similarly, the direct sum \mathbf{E}_c of the E_i has dimension $2k$.

Let $(x_k, y_k) \neq (0, 0)$ be an element of Λ such that x_k and y_k are not proportional. The intersection of the corresponding 4-plane B_k with \mathbf{B}_c has positive dimension, and E_k intersects \mathbf{E}_c . If this intersection is a line D , we see that $B_k \cap \mathbf{B}_c$ is equal to $D \times D$; since $B_k \cap \mathbf{B}_c$ is rational, there exists a point $(u, v) \neq 0$ in $\Lambda \cap (D \times D)$. The vectors u and v are proportional, and Remark 6.2 implies that Γ is commensurable to $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$. Thus, we can assume that all planes B_k , for all starting points (x_k, y_k) in Λ are indeed contained in \mathbf{B}_c . This shows the following.

Lemma 6.4. *Let Γ be a lattice in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ if Γ preserves a lattice in the diagonal representation of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ in \mathbf{R}^{2n} , then*

- either Γ is commensurable to $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$
- or $n = 2k$ and there exists k distinct points (x_j, y_j) in Λ such that the 4-planes B_j constructed above are in direct sum.

Remark 6.5. In particular, if the dimension n is odd, the lattice Γ is commensurable to $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ and the group G is conjugate by an element of $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbf{Q})$ to the diagonal copy of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ in $\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbf{R})$.

6.3. Quaternion algebras and even dimensions. We now explain, conserving the same notation, how all examples can be constructed in even dimension.

6.3.1. *Quaternion algebras and lattices in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$* (see [24], [29]). Let a and b be two integers. Let $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}$ (or $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Q})$) be the quaternion algebra over the rational numbers \mathbf{Q} defined by its basis $(1, i, j, k)$, with

$$i^2 = a, j^2 = b, ij = k = -ji.$$

This algebra embeds into the space of 2×2 matrices over $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{a})$ by mapping i and j to the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{a} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{a} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In what follows, we denote by $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Z})$ the set of quaternions with coefficients in \mathbf{Z} , and by $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{R})$ the tensor product $\mathbf{H}_{a,b} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{R}$. The determinant of the matrix which is associated to a quaternion $q = x + yi + zj + tk$ is equal to its reduced norm

$$\mathrm{Nrd}(q) = x^2 - ay^2 - bz^2 + abt^2.$$

Assume that $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}$ is a division algebra, i.e. that $\mathrm{Nrd}(q) \neq 0$ if $q \neq 0$ is an element of $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Q})$. Then the image of $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Q})^*$ is contained in $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{a}))$; moreover

- (1) The group of quaternions q with reduced norm 1 and integer coefficients determines a cocompact lattice $C_{a,b}$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$;
- (2) This lattice acts by left multiplication on $\mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbf{R}) \simeq \mathbf{R}^4$, preserving the (image of the) lattice $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Z})$.

Quaternions also act by right multiplication. The group of invertible linear transformations of the vector space $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbf{R})$ that commute with the left action of $C_{a,b}$ coincides with the group $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{R})^*$ of quaternions with real coefficients and non-zero reduced norms, that is with the group $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})$, acting on $\mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbf{R})$ by right multiplications. The third property we need is the following.

- (3) If $L \subset \mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{R})$ is a lattice which is invariant by a finite index subgroup of $C_{a,b}$, then L is commensurable to a right translate $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Z})B$ by an element B of the centralizer $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ of $C_{a,b}$.

The last important fact characterizes the lattices $C_{a,b}$.

- (4) If C is an arithmetic lattice in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ with rational traces $\mathrm{tr}(c) \in \mathbf{Q}$ for all $c \in C$, then C is commensurable to $C_{a,b}$ for some division algebra $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}$ with a and b in \mathbf{Z} .

6.3.2. Let us come back to the study of $C_G(\mathbf{Z})$ and its action on V and the B_j . Since $C_G(\mathbf{Z})$ preserves Λ and the representation $C_G(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ is equivalent to n diagonal copies of the standard action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$ on \mathbf{R}^2 , one concludes that $C_G(\mathbf{Z})$ is an arithmetic lattice with rational traces. Thus, property (4) in Section 6.3.1 implies that $C_G(\mathbf{Z})$ is commensurable to $C_{a,b}$ for some division algebra $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}$. Moreover, property (3) shows that Λ_j is commensurable to a left translate of $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Z})$ by an element of the centralizer of $C_G(\mathbf{Z})$. This shows that the lattice Λ itself is commensurable to $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Z})^k$ up to the action of an element in the group of complex linear transformations of V .

The group $\rho(\Gamma)$ acts on V , preserves Λ , and commutes to C_G . Thus, up to a linear isomorphism, $\rho(\Gamma)$ is commensurable to the group of linear transformation $L_k(a, b; \mathbf{Z})$ of $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{R})^k$ which preserves the lattice $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Z})^k$ and commutes to the diagonal action of $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{R})^*$ by right multiplications on $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{R})^k$.

Theorem 6.6. *Let M be a complex torus of complex dimension n , together with a faithful holomorphic action of a lattice Γ of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$. Then*

- *either M is isogeneous to the product of n copies of an elliptic curve \mathbf{C}/Λ_0 and Γ is commensurable to $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$;*
- *or $n = 2k$ is even and there exists a division algebra $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}$ over \mathbf{Q} such that M is isogeneous to the product of k copies of the abelian surface $\mathbf{C}^2/\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Z})$ and Γ is commensurable to the group of automorphisms of the abelian group $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Z})^k$ that commute to the diagonal action of $\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Z})$ by left multiplications.*

In particular, Γ is not cocompact and M is an abelian variety.

6.4. Kummer examples and singularities. Once we have the list of possible tori and lattices, Kummer examples are obtained by a quotient with respect to a finite group of automorphisms of the torus.

Let $A = \mathbf{C}^n/\Lambda$ be a torus and Γ be a lattice in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{R})$ or $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbf{C})$ acting faithfully on M . Let F be a finite group of automorphisms of A which is normalized by the action of Γ . From Lemma 5.8, we can assume that F is a finite cyclic group of homotheties.

If A is isogeneous to $(\mathbf{C}/\Lambda_0)^n$, with Λ_0 a lattice in \mathbf{C} and $\Lambda = \Lambda_0^n$, the order of F is 1, 2, 3, 4 or 6 (see [13]). If $n = 2k$ is even and M is isogeneous to $(\mathbf{C}^2/\mathbf{H}_{a,b}(\mathbf{Z}))^k$, the same conclusion holds: The finite group F is contained in the centralizer of Γ , that is in the group C_G , preserves Λ , and is finite cyclic. Thus, F can be identified to a finite cyclic subgroup of $C_{a,b}$. Viewed as a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{R})$, the traces are even integers, and thus finite order elements

have trace in $\{-2, 0, 2\}$. Thus the order of the cyclic group F is bounded by 2 in this case.

This proves the following fact.

Proposition 6.7. *Let M_0 be Kummer orbifold A/F where $A = \mathbf{C}^n/\Lambda$ is a torus of dimension n and F is a finite group of automorphisms of A . Assume that there is a faithful action of a lattice in an almost simple Lie group G of a rank $n - 1$ on M_0 . Then M_0 is the quotient A'/F' of a torus A' isogenous to A by a finite cyclic group F' which is generated by a scalar multiplication*

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (\eta x_1, \dots, \eta x_n)$$

where η is a root of unity of order 2, 3, 4 or 6.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Abels, G. A. Margulis, and G. A. Soifer. Semigroups containing proximal linear maps. *Israel J. Math.*, 91(1-3):1–30, 1995.
- [2] Dmitri N. Akhiezer. *Lie group actions in complex analysis*. Aspects of Mathematics, E27. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1995.
- [3] Eric Bedford and B. A. Taylor. The Dirichlet problem for a complex Monge-Ampère equation. *Invent. Math.*, 37(1):1–44, 1976.
- [4] Yves Benoist. Automorphismes des cônes convexes. *Invent. Math.*, 141(1):149–193, 2000.
- [5] Arthur L. Besse. *Einstein manifolds*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. Reprint of the 1987 edition.
- [6] S. Bochner and D. Montgomery. Locally compact groups of differentiable transformations. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 47:639–653, 1946.
- [7] Armand Borel. Les bouts des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 58:443–457, 1953.
- [8] G. Cairns and É. Ghys. The local linearization problem for smooth $SL(n)$ -actions. *Enseign. Math. (2)*, 43(1-2):133–171, 1997.
- [9] F. Campana and Th. Peternell. Cycle spaces. In *Several complex variables, VII*, volume 74 of *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.*, pages 319–349. Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [10] Frédéric Campana. Orbifolides à première classe de Chern nulle. In *The Fano Conference*, pages 339–351. Univ. Torino, Turin, 2004.
- [11] Serge Cantat. Version kählérienne d’une conjecture de Robert J. Zimmer. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 37(5):759–768, 2004.
- [12] Serge Cantat. Caractérisation des exemples de Lattès et de Kummer. *Compos. Math.*, 144(5):1235–1270, 2008.
- [13] Serge Cantat and Abdelghani Zeghib. Holomorphic actions of higher rank lattices in dimension three. *preprint*, pages 1–58, 2009.
- [14] Jean-Pierre Demailly and Mihai Paun. Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 159(3):1247–1274, 2004.
- [15] Tien-Cuong Dinh and Viêt-Anh Nguyen. Comparison of dynamical degrees for semi-conjugate meromorphic maps. pages 1–23. 2009.
- [16] Tien-Cuong Dinh and Nessim Sibony. Groupes commutatifs d’automorphismes d’une variété kählérienne compacte. *Duke Math. J.*, 123(2):311–328, 2004.

- [17] David Fisher. Groups acting on manifolds: Around the zimmer program. In *preprint*, *arXiv:0809.4849*, pages 1–84.
- [18] Akira Fujiki. On automorphism groups of compact Kähler manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 44(3):225–258, 1978.
- [19] William Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*, volume 131 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry.
- [20] William Fulton and Joe Harris. *Representation theory*, volume 129 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. A first course, Readings in Mathematics.
- [21] É. Ghys. Actions de réseaux sur le cercle. *Invent. Math.*, 137(1):199–231, 1999.
- [22] Bruce Gilligan and Alan T. Huckleberry. Complex homogeneous manifolds with two ends. *Michigan Math. J.*, 28(2):183–198, 1981.
- [23] Alan T. Huckleberry and Dennis M. Snow. Almost-homogeneous Kähler manifolds with hypersurface orbits. *Osaka J. Math.*, 19(4):763–786, 1982.
- [24] Svetlana Katok. *Fuchsian groups*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1992.
- [25] Shoshichi Kobayashi. *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*, volume 15 of *Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1987. Kanô Memorial Lectures, 5.
- [26] D. I. Lieberman. Compactness of the Chow scheme: applications to automorphisms and deformations of Kähler manifolds. In *Fonctions de plusieurs variables complexes, III (Sém. François Norguet, 1975–1977)*, pages 140–186. Springer, Berlin, 1978.
- [27] G. A. Margulis. *Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, volume 17 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [28] G. A. Margulis. Lie groups and ergodic theory. In *Algebra and analysis (Kemerovo, 1988)*, volume 148 of *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, pages 73–86. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [29] Dave Witte Morris. *Introduction to arithmetic groups*. Preprint. 2003.
- [30] G. D. Mostow. *Strong rigidity of locally symmetric spaces*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1973. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 78.
- [31] G. Prasad and M. S. Raghunathan. Cartan subgroups and lattices in semi-simple groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 96:296–317, 1972.
- [32] M. S. Raghunathan. *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 68.
- [33] Nimish A. Shah. Uniformly distributed orbits of certain flows on homogeneous spaces *Math. Ann.*, (298):315–334, 1991.
- [34] Dennis Sullivan. Infinitesimal computations in topology. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (47):269–331 (1978), 1977.
- [35] È. B. Vinberg, editor. *Lie groups and Lie algebras, III*, volume 41 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994. Structure of Lie groups and Lie algebras, A translation of it Current problems in mathematics. Fundamental directions. Vol. 41 (Russian), Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1990 [MR1056485 (91b:22001)], Translation by V. Minachin [V. V. Minakhin], Translation edited by A. L. Onishchik and È. B. Vinberg.

- [36] E. B. Vinberg, V. V. Gorbatsevich, and O. V. Shvartsman. Discrete subgroups of Lie groups [MR 90c:22036]. In *Lie groups and Lie algebras, II*, volume 21 of *Encyclopaedia Math. Sci.*, pages 1–123, 217–223. Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [37] Claire Voisin. *Théorie de Hodge et géométrie algébrique complexe*, volume 10 of *Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses]*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2002.
- [38] Joseph A. Wolf. *Spaces of constant curvature*. Publish or Perish Inc., Houston, TX, fifth edition, 1984.
- [39] D. Zaffran and Z. Z. Wang. A remark for the hard lefschetz theorem for kähler orbifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137:2497–2501, 2009.
- [40] De-Qi Zhang. A theorem of Tits type for compact Kähler manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 176(3):449–459, 2009.
- [41] R. J. Zimmer. Kazhdan groups acting on compact manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 75(3):425–436, 1984.
- [42] R. J. Zimmer. Actions of semisimple groups and discrete subgroups. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986)*, pages 1247–1258, Providence, RI, 1987. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [43] R. J. Zimmer. Lattices in semisimple groups and invariant geometric structures on compact manifolds. In *Discrete groups in geometry and analysis (New Haven, Conn., 1984)*, volume 67 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 152–210. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1987.

IRMAR (UMR 6625 DU CNRS), UNIVERSITÉ DE RENNES 1, FRANCE

E-mail address: serge.cantat@univ-rennes1.fr

CNRS, UMPA, ÉCOLE NORMALE SUPÉRIEURE DE LYON, FRANCE

E-mail address: zeghib@umpa.ens-lyon.fr