

# Spectral triples for finitely presented groups, index 1. Sébastien Palcoux

## ▶ To cite this version:

Sébastien Palcoux. Spectral triples for finitely presented groups, index 1.. 2010. hal-00537652v3

## HAL Id: hal-00537652 https://hal.science/hal-00537652v3

Preprint submitted on 23 Nov 2010 (v3), last revised 30 Nov 2010 (v4)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### SPECTRAL TRIPLES FOR FINITELY PRESENTED GROUPS INDEX 1

#### SÉBASTIEN PALCOUX

ABSTRACT. Using generalized Cayley graphs and Clifford algebras, we are able to give, for a large class of finitely presented groups, a uniform construction of spectral triples with  $D_+$  of index 1.

#### Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Basic definitions	2
3.	Geometric construction	2
4.	Clifford algebra	4
5.	Dirac operator	5
References		6

**Warning 0.1.** This paper is just a first draft, it contains very few proofs. It is possible that some propositions are false, or that some proofs are incomplete or trivially false.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we define even  $\theta$ -summable spectral triples for a large class of finitely presented groups such that  $D_+$  is index 1. We just generalize the unbounded version of the construction of the Fredholm module for the free group given by Connes [1] and M. Pimsner-Voiculescu [5]. For so, we use the Clifford algebra in the same spirit that Julg-Valette do in [4]. We also use topics in geometric group theory as a generalized Cayley graph.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46L87. Secondary 20F65.

Key words and phrases. non-commutative geometry; spectral triple; geometric group theory; Clifford algebra; Cayley graph; Dirac operator; finitely presented groups.

### S. PALCOUX

#### 2. Basic definitions

**Definition 2.1.** A spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, H, D)$  is given by a unital  $\star$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  representated on the Hilbert space H, and an unbounded operator D, called the Dirac operator, such that:

- (1) D is self-adjoint.
- (2)  $(D^2 + I)^{-1}$  is compact.
- (3)  $\{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid [D, a] \in B(H)\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

See the article [6] of G. Skandalis, dedicated to A. Connes and spectral triple.

**Definition 2.2.** A group  $\Gamma$  is finitely presented if it exists a finite generating set S and a finite set of relations R such that  $\Gamma = \langle S | R \rangle$ . We always take S equals to  $S^{-1}$  and the identity element  $e \notin S$  (see [3] for more details).

#### 3. Geometric construction

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\Gamma_n$  be the set of irreducible *n*-blocks, defined by induction:

•  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$ .

•  $\Gamma_1 := \{\{g, gs\} \mid g \in \Gamma, s \in S\}$ 

An (n+2)-block is a finite set a of (n+1)-blocks such that:

 $\forall b \in a, \forall c \in b, \exists ! b' \in a \text{ such that } b \cap b' = \{c\}.$ 

Let a, a' be n-blocks then the commutative and associative composition:

 $a.a' := a \triangle a' = (a \cup a') \backslash a \cap a'$ 

gives also an n-block if it's non empty (we take  $n \neq 0$ ). Let n > 1, an n-block a'' is called **irreducible** if  $\forall a, a'$  n-blocks:

(1)  $a'' = a.a' \Rightarrow card(a) \text{ or } card(a') \ge card(a'')$ 

(2)  $\forall b \in a'', b \text{ is an irreducible } (n-1)\text{-block.}$ 

•  $\Gamma_{n+2}$  is the set of irreducible (n+2)-blocks.

Note that if  $b \in \Gamma_n$ , we call n the **dimension** of b.

**Definition 3.2.** An *n*-block is called **admissible** if it decomposes into irreducibles.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $\mathbb{Z} = \langle s^{\pm 1} | \rangle$  then  $a = \{e, s^{10}\}$  is an admissible 1-block because  $a = \{e, s\}.\{s, s^2\}...\{s^9, s^{10}\}$ ; but,  $b = \{\{e, s\}, \{e, s^{-1}\}, \{s^{-1}, s\}\}$  is a non-admissible 2-block, because there is no irreducible 2-block in this case.

**Remark 3.4.** The graph with vertices  $\Gamma_0$  and edges  $\Gamma_1$  is the Cayley graph  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Remark 3.5.** Let *a* be an *n*-block then  $a.a = \emptyset$  and if  $a = \{b_1, ..., b_r\}$  then  $b_i = b_1.b_2...b_{i-1}.b_{i+1}...b_r$  and  $b_1.b_2...b_r = \emptyset$ .

**Remark 3.6.**  $\Gamma_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$  iff  $\exists r > 1; a_1, ..., a_r \in \Gamma_n$  all distincts with  $a_1...a_r = \emptyset$ .

**Remark 3.7.** Let  $\Gamma = \langle S | R \rangle$  be a finitely presented group, then  $\exists N$  such that  $\Gamma_N \neq \emptyset$  and  $\forall n > N$ ,  $\Gamma_n = \emptyset$ . In fact  $N \leq card(S)$ 

**Examples 3.8.** For  $\mathbb{F}_r = \langle s_1^{\pm 1}, ..., s_r^{\pm 1} | \rangle$ , we have N = 1. For  $\mathbb{Z}^r = \langle s_1^{\pm 1}, ..., s_r^{\pm 1} | s_i s_j s_i^{-1} s_j^{-1}, i, j = 1, ..., r \rangle$ , we have N = r. Here an n-block  $(n \leq r)$  is just an n-dimensional hypercube.

**Definition 3.9.** We define the action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Gamma_n$  recursively:

- $\Gamma$  acts on  $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$  as:  $u_q : h \to g.h$  with  $g, h \in \Gamma$ .
- Action on  $\Gamma_{n+1}$ :  $u_g : a \to g.a = \{g.b \mid b \in a\}$  with  $g \in \Gamma$ ,  $a \in \Gamma_{n+1}$ .

Note that the action is well-defined:  $g.\Gamma_n = \Gamma_n, \forall g \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 3.10.** Let a and b be blocks, then we say that  $b \in a$  if b = a or if  $\exists c \in a$  such that  $b \notin c$  (recursive definition).

**Definition 3.11.** Let n > 1 then an n-block c is **connected** if  $\forall b \subset c$ : 'b is an n-block'  $\Rightarrow b = c$ .

**Definition 3.12.** An *n*-block *b* is called **maximal** if there is no (n + 1)-block *c* with  $b \in c$ . We note  $\Gamma_{\text{max}}$  the set of maximal irreducible blocks.

**Example 3.13.** Let  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2 \star \mathbb{Z} = \langle s_1^{\pm 1}, s_2^{\pm 1}, s_3^{\pm 1} | s_1 s_2 s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1} \rangle$ , then  $\{e, s_3\}$  is a maximal 1-block,  $\{\{e, s_1\}, \{s_1, s_1 s_2\}, \{s_1 s_2, s_2\}, \{s_2, e\}\}$  is a maximal 2-block.

**Definition 3.14.** We define the **block lenght**  $\ell(.)$  as follows: let b be a block, then  $\ell(b)$  is the minimal number of irreducible blocks decomposing a connected admissible block c with  $e \in c$  and,  $b \in c$  or  $b \cap c \neq \emptyset$ .

**Definition 3.15.** Let b be a block, then a sequence  $(c_1, ..., c_{\ell(b)})$  with  $b \in c_1$ ,  $e \in c_{\ell(b)}$ ,  $c_i$  irreducible and  $c_i \cap c_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$  is called a **geodesic block-path**, from b to e beginning with  $c_1$ .

**Lemma 3.16.** There is a unique irreducible block  $\beta(b)$  of minimal dimension, beginning a geodesic block-path from b to e.

**Remark 3.17.** Consider the group  $\Gamma$  and its finite presentation  $\langle S | R \rangle$ , then we can complete the presentation as follows: let T be a finite subset of  $\Gamma$  with  $T \cap S = \emptyset$ ,  $T = T^{-1}$  and  $e \notin T$ , let  $S' = T \cup S$  an amplified generating set and  $R' = R \cup \{t = \overline{t} | t \in T\}$  where  $\overline{t}$  is t considered as a generator.

Then  $\Gamma = \langle S' \mid R' \rangle$ .

**Lemma 3.18.** We can choose T such that if we build the blocks with the completed presentation  $\langle S' | R' \rangle$ , then every irreducible blocks are triangular, i.e.  $\forall b \in \Gamma_n$ , card(b) = n + 1. We call  $\langle S' | R' \rangle$  a **triangularized presentation**.

#### S. PALCOUX

**Example 3.19.** The complete triangularization: let  $\Gamma = \langle S | R \rangle$  be a finitely presented group, then  $\Gamma$  acts on  $\Gamma_{max}$  (def. 3.9, 3.12); there are only finitely many orbits  $O_1, ..., O_r$ ; choose  $b_i \in O_i$ ; let  $E_i = \{g \in \Gamma | g \notin b_i\}$ ; let  $T_i = \{gh^{-1} | g, h \in E_i, gh^{-1} \notin S \cup \{e\}\}$ . Then amplifying the generating set with  $T = \bigcup T_i$ , we obtain obviously a triangularization called the complete triangularization. Note that this process increases the maximal dimension of the blocks. Note that card(T) is finite because the group is finitely presented.

#### 4. CLIFFORD ALGEBRA

We first quickly recall here the notion of Clifford algebra, for a more detailed exposition, see the course of A. Wassermann [7].

**Definition 4.1.** For V a n-dimensional Hilbert space, define the exterior algebra  $\Lambda(V)$  equals to  $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} \Lambda^{k}(V)$  with  $\Lambda^{0}(V) = \mathbb{C}\Omega$ . We called  $\Omega$  the vacuum vector. Recall that  $v_{1} \wedge v_{2} = -v_{2} \wedge v_{1}$  so that  $v \wedge v = 0$ . Note that  $\dim(\Lambda^{k}(V)) = C_{n}^{k}$  and  $\dim(\Lambda(V)) = 2^{n}$ .

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\alpha_v$  be the creation operator on  $\Lambda(V)$  defined by:  $\alpha_v(v_1 \wedge ... \wedge v_r) = v \wedge v_1 \wedge ... \wedge v_r$  and  $\alpha_v(\Omega) = v$ 

**Reminder 4.3.** The dual  $\alpha_v^{\star}$  is called the annihilation operator, then:  $\alpha_v^{\star}(v_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge v_r) = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{i+1}(v, v_i)v_1 \wedge \ldots v_{i-1} \wedge v_{i+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_r$  and  $\alpha_v^{\star}(\Omega) = 0$ 

**Reminder 4.4.** Let  $\gamma_v = \alpha_v + \alpha_v^*$ , then  $\gamma_v = \gamma_v^*$  and  $\gamma_v \gamma_w + \gamma_w \gamma_v = 2(v, w)I$ .

**Definition 4.5.** The operators  $\gamma_v$  generate the Clifford algebra Cliff(V). Note that the operators  $\gamma_v$  are bounded and that  $Cliff(V).\Omega = \Lambda(V)$ .

**Remark 4.6.** V admits the orthonormal basis  $(v_a)_{a \in I}$ .

We will write  $\gamma_a$  instead of  $\gamma_{v_a}$ , so that  $[\gamma_a, \gamma_{a'}]_+ = 2\delta_{a,a'}I$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely presented group, with a triangularized presentation  $\langle S \mid R \rangle$ .

**Definition 4.7.** For any irreducible block c, let  $\Delta_c = \{b \in \bigcup \Gamma_n \mid \beta(b) = c\}$ , with  $\beta(b)$  defined on lemma 3.16.

**Definition 4.8.** Let b, c be blocks such that  $b \in c \in \beta(b)$  then we write  $b \propto c$ . In this case, we see that  $\beta(b) = \beta(c)$ , so that  $\alpha$  is an order relation.

**Lemma 4.9.** For any irreducible block c with  $\Delta_c \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\Delta_c$  admits a unique minimal element  $c_{min}$  with respect to  $\infty$ . Let m be the dimension of  $c_{min}$ ; denote by  $I_c$  the set of blocks of dimension m+1 in  $\Delta_c$ ; then  $\Delta_c$  is in one-toone correspondence with the power set  $\mathcal{P}(I_c)$ ; in particular, the cardinality of  $\Delta_c$  is  $2^{\dim(c)-m}$  (see section 3 of Julg-Valette paper [4]).

**Definition 4.10.** We naturally identify  $\ell^2(\Delta_c)$  with the exterior algebra  $\Lambda(\ell^2(I_c))$  on which operates the Clifford algebra  $Cliff(\ell^2(I_c))$  generated by  $\gamma_a, a \in I_c$ .

4

#### 5. DIRAC OPERATOR

**Definition 5.1.** We define the **n-block lenght**  $\ell_n(.)$  as follows: let b be a block, then  $\ell_n(b)$  is the minimal number of irreducible blocks decomposing a connected admissible n-dimensional block c with  $e \in c$  and,  $b \in c$  or  $b \cap c \neq \emptyset$ .

**Definition 5.2.** Let b be a block, then a sequence  $(c_1, ..., c_{\ell_n(b)})$  with  $b \in c_1$ ,  $e \in c_{\ell(b)}, c_i \in \Gamma_n$  and  $c_i \cap c_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$  is called a **geodesic n-block-path**, from b to e beginning with  $c_1$ .

**Definition 5.3.** For any irreducible block c with  $\Delta_c \neq \emptyset$ , let  $n = dim(c_{min}) + 1$ ; for any  $a \in I_c$  define  $p_a(c)$  the number of geodesic n-block path from  $c_{min}$  to e beginning with a; let  $p(c) = \sum_{a \in I_c} p_a(c)$ ; let  $\lambda_a = \frac{p_a(c)}{p(c)} \ell_n(c_{min})$ .

**Definition 5.4.** On  $\ell^2(\Delta_c) = \Lambda(\ell^2(I_c))$ , define the Dirac operator  $D_c$  by:

$$D_c = \sum_{a \in I_c} \lambda_a . \gamma_a$$

**Remark 5.5.**  $\Delta_e = \{e\}, \ \ell^2(\Delta_c) = \mathbb{C}e_1, \ I_e = \emptyset \ and \ D_e = 0.$ 

**Definition 5.6.** Consider then the Hilbert space:

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{n} \ell^{2}(\Gamma_{n}) = \bigoplus_{c} \ell^{2}(\Delta_{c}) = \bigoplus_{c} \Lambda(\ell^{2}(I_{c}))$$

 $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded by the decomposition into even and odd dimensional blocks:

$$\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}^+\oplus\mathcal{H}^+$$

Define the unbounded selfadjoint operators  $\mathcal{D} = \bigoplus_c D_c$ .

**Lemma 5.7.**  $\mathcal{D}^2 = \bigoplus_c D_c^2 = \sum_c (\sum_{a \in I_c} \lambda_a^2) p_c$  with  $p_c$ , projection on  $\ell^2(\Delta_c)$ .

**Proposition 5.8.**  $\mathcal{D}_+ : \mathcal{H}^+ \to \mathcal{H}^-$  is a Fredholm operator of index 1.

**Proposition 5.9.**  $(\mathcal{D}^2 + I)^{-1}$  is compact. For t > 0, the operator  $e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2}$  is trass-class.

**Definition 5.10.** For any  $g \in \Gamma$  and for any  $s \in S$  define  $p_s(g)$  the number of geodesic 1-block path from g to e beginning with  $\{g, gs\}$ ; let  $p(g) = \sum_{s \in S} p_s(g)$ .

**Definition 5.11.** Let C be the class of finitely presented groups  $\Gamma$  admitting a triangularized finite presentation  $\langle S | R \rangle$  such that  $\forall g \in \Gamma$ ,  $\exists K_g \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that  $\forall s \in S$  and  $\forall h \in \Gamma$  (with  $h, gh \neq e$ ):

$$\left|\frac{p_s(gh)}{p(gh)} - \frac{p_s(h)}{p(h)}\right| \le \frac{K_g}{\ell_1(h)}$$

**Examples 5.12.** The class C is stable by direct or free product, it contains  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_n$ , the finite groups, and probably every amenable or automatic groups (containing the hyperbolic groups, see [2]).

#### S. PALCOUX

**Proposition 5.13.** Let  $\Gamma$  of class C,  $\mathcal{A} = C_r^{\star}(\Gamma)$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  as previously then:  $\{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid [\mathcal{D}, a] \in B(\mathcal{H})\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Theorem 5.14.**  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  is an even  $\theta$ -summable spectral triple and  $\mathcal{D}_+$  is index 1. It then gives a non-trivial element for the K-homology of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

#### References

- A. Connes, Noncommutative differential geometry. Inst. Hautes tudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 62 (1985), 257360.
- [2] D. Epstein, J. Cannon, D. Holt, S. Levy, M. Paterson, W. Thurston, Word processing in groups. Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA, 1992.
- [3] P. de la Harpe, *Topics in geometric group theory*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2000.
- [4] P. Julg, A. Valette, Fredholm modules associated to Bruhat-Tits buildings. Miniconferences on harmonic analysis and operator algebras (Canberra, 1987), 143155, Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ., 16, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1988.
- [5] M. Pimsner, D. Voiculescu, KK-groups of reduced crossed products by free groups. J. Operator Theory 8 (1982), no. 1, 131156.
- [6] G. Skandalis Géométrie non commutative d'après Alain Connes: la notion de triplet spectral. Gaz. Math. No. 94 (2002), 4451.
- [7] A. Wassermann, Lecture notes on Atiyah-Singer index theorem, Lent 2010 course, http://www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/~ajw/AS10.pdf

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE LUMINY, MARSEILLE, FRANCE. *E-mail address*: palcoux@iml.univ-mrs.fr, http://iml.univ-mrs.fr/~palcoux