

On Vertex Partitions of Hypercubes by Isometric Trees Michel Mollard

▶ To cite this version:

Michel Mollard. On Vertex Partitions of Hypercubes by Isometric Trees. 2010. hal-00535683v1

HAL Id: hal-00535683 https://hal.science/hal-00535683v1

Preprint submitted on 12 Nov 2010 (v1), last revised 14 Mar 2011 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

¹ On Vertex Partitions of Hypercubes by Isometric Trees

Michel Mollard*

Institut Fourier 100, rue des Maths 38402 St Martin d'Hères Cedex FRANCE michel.mollard@ujf-grenoble.fr

November 10, 2010

Abstract

When $n = 2^m - 1$ M.Ramras proved, by a counting argument, that for any 5 isometrically embedded tree T on n edges in Q_n there exists a group of translations 6 G such that $\{g(T); g \in G\}$ is a vertex partition of Q_n . Considering a more general 7 context we are able to give an explicit construction of G and can construct non 8 group vertex partitions by isometric trees. We extend also this problem to vertex 9 partition of $Q_{n'}$ by translates of an isometrically embedded tree on $n = 2^m - 1$ edges 10 for any $n' \geq n$. 11 Keywords: Graph, Perfect code, Hypercube, Vertex Partition, Tiling. 12

14

27

28

13

2

3

4

1 Introduction

Twenty years ago M.Ramras [5] published a paper where he answered the following question of D. Rogers: If $n = 2^m - 1$ does the hypercube Q_n have a vertex partition into antipodal paths? M.Ramras gave an explicit construction of such a partition. For this purpose he exhibited a set of generators of a subgroup Gof the group of translations $\Sigma(Q_n) \subset Aut(Q_n)$, such that the set of translates $\{g(P); g \in G\}$ of the vertex set of P of an antipodal path is the desired vertex partition.

He extended this result, proving, via a counting argument, that for any tree on $n = 2^m - 1$ edges isometrically embedded in Q_n with vertex set P there exists a subgroup G of $\Sigma(Q_n)$ such that the set of translates $\{g(P); g \in G\}$ is a vertex partition. Notice that, in the general case, the author's method does not give an explicit construction of G.

This nice result generalizes the existence of perfect single-error-correcting codes constructed first by R.W.Hamming [3]. In this case, we take as tree the star $K_{1,n}$.

^{*}CNRS and Université Joseph Fourier

Notice that J.L.Vasiliev [6] constructed, for $n = 2^m - 1, n \ge 15$, perfect codes which are not equivalent to linear codes, i.e. vertex partitions by stars such that the set of translations G is not a subgroup, or the translate of a subgroup, of the group of translations $\Sigma(Q_n)$.

In another series of papers M.Ramras considers edges partitions of Q_n into isomorphic trees. More recent work have been done of this subject but is seems that this is not the case for vertex partitions. Both problems arise in the context of parallel computing at thus it will be interesting to improve our knowledge of vertex partitions.

Our goal at the beginning of this work was to prove the existence of non group partitions into antipodal paths. It seems also interesting, for the general case of trees, to give an explicit construction of a group, or more generally a set, of translations G. It would be also nice, for the case of the path P_n to understand how the group proposed by M.Ramras can be derived from the Hamming code. In fact we found that all these problems can be easily solved, using elementary linear algebra. We arrive at the conclusion that looking for a vertex partition of Q_n by translates of an isometrically embedded tree on n edges is a problem independent, in some sense, of the choice of the tree, thus is equivalent to looking for a perfect code.

2 Definitions and main result

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

Let \mathbb{F}^n be the vector space of dimension n over the finite field \mathbb{Z}_2 . The hypercube of dimension n is the graph Q_n whose vertices are the vectors of \mathbb{F}^n , and where two vertices are adjacent if they differ in exactly one coordinate.

The Hamming distance between two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^n$, d(x, y) is the number of coordinates in which they differ. Notice that Hamming distance is the usual graph distance on Q_n .

The support of a vector x is the set $\{i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}; x_i \neq 0\}$. The parity function is the function from \mathbb{F}^n to \mathbb{Z}_2 defined by $\pi(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_n$.

A perfect code, or more precisely a perfect single-error-correcting code of length n is a set C of vertices of Q_n such that every vertex $x \in V(Q_n)$ is at distance at most 1 of exactly one element of C.

Two codes C and C' are called *equivalent* if C' can be obtained from C by an automorphism of Q_n thus by applying a translation from a fixed vector and a fixed permutation of the coordinates. Using a translation of all the perfect code vectors by one of them we can always assume that the zero vector $\boldsymbol{0}$ belongs to the code.

Let e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n be the standard basis of \mathbb{F}^n , thus e_i denote the vector with just one single non zero coordinate position *i*. Let $e_0 = \mathbf{0}$ be the zero vector and let $\mathbf{1} = (11...1)$. Denote by B_n the set $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$. The *direction* of an edge xy of Q_n is the integer $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n,\}$ such that $y = x + e_i$.

For a subset A of vectors of \mathbb{F}^n and a vertex x, let x + A be the set $\{x + a; a \in A\}$. By definition of a perfect code $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k\}$ is a perfect code if and only if the sets $c_1 + B_n, c_2 + B_n, \ldots, c_k + B_n$ define a partition of \mathbb{F}^n i.e.

- $\mathbb{F}^n = c_1 + B_n \cup c_2 + B_n \cup \ldots \cup c_k + B_n$
- $\forall i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ $c_i + B_n \cap c_j + B_n = \emptyset$

This immediately leads to a necessary condition for the existence of C, the so-called 72 packing condition, $2^n = |C|(n+1)$ thus $n = 2^m - 1$ for some m. 73

By analogy G.Cohen, S.Litsyn, A.Vardy and G.Zémor [2] define a set S as a *tile* 74 of \mathbb{F}^n if there exists a set $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k\}$ such that the sets $c_1 + S, c_2 + S, \ldots, c_k + S$ 75 define a partition of \mathbb{F}^n . Notice that the definition is symmetric, C is also a tile of 76 \mathbb{F}^n , and they call the pair(C, S) a tiling of \mathbb{F}^n . 77

R.W.Hamming [3] constructed, for any integer m, a linear subspace of \mathbb{F}^n , where 78 $n = 2^m - 1$, which is a perfect code. It is easy to prove that all linear perfect codes 79 are Hamming codes. In 1961 J.L.Vasiliev [6], and later many authors ([1, 4]) for a 80 survey) constructed perfect codes which are not linear codes. 81

Let $W = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ be a basis of \mathbb{F}^n . We will denote by θ_W be the auto-82 morphism of \mathbb{F}^n defined by $\theta_W(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i) = (\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i).$ 83

Lemma 1 Let $V = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ where $W = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ is a basis of \mathbb{F}^n 84 and $v_0 = 0$. Then if $C = \{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_k\}$ is a perfect code $\theta_W(c_1) + V, \theta_W(c_2) + V$ 85 $V,\ldots,\theta_W(c_k)+V$ is a partition of \mathbb{F}^n . 86

Proof: Notice that for any $j \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ we have $\theta_W(e_j) = v_j$. By linearity 87 for any i, j we have $\theta_W(c_i) + v_j = \theta_W(c_i) + \theta_W(e_j) = \theta_W(c_i + e_j)$. Therefore 88 $\theta_W(c_i) + v_j = \theta_W(c_{i'}) + v_{j'}$ implies, because θ_W is an automorphism, $c_i + e_j = c_{i'} + e_{j'}$. 89 The $\theta_W(c_i) + V$ are thus disjoint. Furthermore for any x of \mathbb{F}^n we knows that there 90 exist $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\theta_W^{-1}(x) = c_i + e_j$ and thus 91 $x = \theta_W(c_i) + v_j.$ 92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

For two graphs G and H an isometric embedding of G in H is a map $\alpha: V(G) \mapsto$ V(H) which preserve distance. By extension we will denote by $\alpha(G)$ the subgraph of H induced by $\alpha(V(G))$. If G is injectively embedded in Q_n we will say that there exists a vertex partition of Q_n by G if there exists a tiling of $V(Q_n)$ by $\alpha(V(G))$. It is immediate to check, as noticed by M.Ramras [5], that a tree T is isometrically embedded in Q_n if and only if no edges of $\alpha(T)$ use the same direction. If α is an isometric embedding in Q_n then for any translation t the map $\alpha' = \alpha + t$ is also an isometric embedding. Therefore, if a graph G is isometrically embeddable in Q_n then, for any vertex x of G there exists an isometric embedding such that $\alpha(x) = 0$.

Lemma 2 Let T be any tree on $p \leq n$ edges, and let α be an isometric embedding 103 of T in Q_n . Assume $\alpha(T) = \{0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$ then the vectors v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p are 104 linearly independent. 105

Proof: The proof is by induction on p. The result is clearly true when p = 1106 and assume it holds for any tree on p-1 edges. Let x be a terminal vertex of 107 T and let xy be the edge of T incident to x. Consider the tree T' obtained by 108 deletion of x from T. We can always assume that $\alpha(x) \neq 0$ thus $\alpha(x) = v_i$ for some 109 $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$. Let $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $\alpha(x) = \alpha(y) + e_j$. The restriction 110 to T' of α is an isometric embedding thus the vectors $\{v_k; k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}, k \neq i\}$ 111 are independent by induction hypothesis. Notice that e_i does not not appear in the 112 basis decomposition of the $\{v_k; k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}, k \neq i\}$. But $\alpha(y)$ belongs to this 113 set and because $v_i = \alpha(y) + e_j$ the vector v_i is also linearly independent of them. 114 115

Theorem 3 Let T be any tree on $n = 2^m - 1$ edges, and let α be an isometric embedding of T in Q_n . Assume $\alpha(T) = \{0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Then the vectors v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n form a basis W of \mathbb{F}^n . Furthermore if $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k\}$ is a perfect code of Q_n then $\{\theta_W(c_1), \theta_W(c_2), \dots, \theta_W(c_k)\}$ define a vertex partition of Q_n by the embedded tree T. All vertex partitions of Q_n by $\alpha(T)$ can be obtained by this way.

Proof: By lemma 2 W is a basis of \mathbb{F}^n and the result follows by lemma 1. Conversely if $S = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k\}$ define a vertex partition of Q_n by T then $\{\theta_W^{-1}(s_1), \theta_W^{-1}(s_2), \ldots, \theta_W^{-1}(s_k)\}$ is a perfect code and thus all vertex partition of Q_n by T are obtained by theorem 3.

Notice that the set $D = \{\theta_W(c_1), \theta_W(c_2), \dots, \theta_W(c_k)\}$ is a linear subspace if and only if C is linear. Furthermore if b_1, b_2, \dots, b_p is a basis of C then $\theta_W(b_1), \theta_W(b_2), \dots, \theta_W(b_p)$ will be a basis of D.

Consider now a vertex partition of $Q_{n'}$ by translates of an isometrically embedded tree on n edges for some $n' \ge n$. By the packing condition, $2^{n'} = (n+1)|D|$, thus $n = 2^m - 1$ for some m.

Corollary 4 Let T be any tree on $n = 2^m - 1$ edges, and let α be an isometric embedding of T in $Q_{n'}$, $n' \geq n$. Then there exits a vertex partition of $Q_{n'}$ by translates of the embedded tree $\alpha(T)$.

Proof: The vertices of $\alpha(T) = \{0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ define a subspace of dimension *n*. By a permutation of coordinates we can assume that this subspace is *Vect* (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) thus there exist a vertex partition of Q_n with set of translation say *D*. Then $D \cup \{e_{n+1}, e_{n+2} \dots e_{n'}\}$ define a vertex partition of $Q_{n'}$ by translates of $\alpha(T)$.

3 An example: antipodal paths

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

The antipodal vertex of a vertex x in Q_n is the unique vertex \overline{x} at distance n of x. Notice that $\overline{x}=x+1$. An antipodal path is a path in Q_n of n edges connecting some pair of antipodal vertices. We will say that an isometric embedding $\alpha(P)$ of an antipodal path in Q_n is canonical if $v_0 = \mathbf{0}$ and along the path the directions used are $1, 2, \ldots, n$ in this order. We have thus, for any $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$, $v_i = \sum_{j=0}^i e_j$ and $\theta_W(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i) = (\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^i e_j)$. By a translation and a permutation of the coordinates we can always assume that an isometric embedding of P is canonical.

148 A vector $u \in \mathbb{F}^n$ is of type 01, respectively of type 10, if there exists $i_0 \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $u = \sum_{i=i_0+1}^n e_i$, respectively $u = \sum_{i=1}^{i_0} e_i$. Notice that 1 and 150 **0** are the only vectors of both types.

Lemma 5 If u and v are two distinct vectors both of type 10, or both of type 01, then u and v differ by a set of consecutive coordinates.

Proof: Assume first $u = \sum_{i=1}^{i_0} e_i$ and $v = \sum_{i=1}^{j_0} e_i$ for some $i_0, j_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ Assume w.l.o.g. that $i_0 < j_0$ we have thus $v = u + \sum_{i=i_0+1}^{j_0} e_i$. For the second case notice that if u is a vector of type 01 then $u + \mathbf{1}$ is of type 10.

156 157 158	Lemma 6 Let $\alpha(P)$ be an isometrically embedded antipodal path in Q_n such that $\forall i \in \{0, 1,, n\}$ $v_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i} e_j$. Then a subset C of Q_n define a vertex partition by translates of $\alpha(P)$ if and only if
159	(i) $2^n = C (n+1)$ and
160	(ii) No pair of elements of C differ by a set of consecutive coordinates.
161 162 163 164	Proof : Consider two translates of the embedded path say, $x + \alpha(P)$ and $y + \alpha(P)$. If z is a common vertex of the two paths then for some $i, j \in \{0, 1,, n\}$ we have $z = x + \sum_{k=1}^{i} e_k$ and $z = y + \sum_{k=1}^{j} e_k$. Thus by lemma 5 condition <i>(ii)</i> implies that the paths $x + \alpha(P)$ and $y + \alpha(P)$ are disjoint. By condition <i>(i)</i> every vertex belongs to some path.
166 167 168	If we assume that C is a linear subspace, the last condition is equivalent to the condition used by Ramras:
169	(ii') No element of C have as support a not empty set of consecutive integers.
170	Let us recall Vasiliev construction of perfect codes.
171 172 173	Theorem 7 (Vasiliev [6]) Let C_n be a perfect code of length n . Assume $\boldsymbol{0} \in C_n$ and let λ be a function from C_n to \mathbb{Z}_2 such that $\lambda(\boldsymbol{0}) = 0$. Then the set $C_{2n+1} = \{(x, \pi(x) + \lambda(c), x + c); x \in \mathbb{F}^n, c \in C_n\}$ is a perfect code of length $2n + 1$.
174 175 176 177	Notice that if there exists $u, v \in C_n$ such that $\lambda(u+v) \neq \lambda(u) + \lambda(v)$ then C_{2n+1} is not equivalent to any linear code. Such a function λ exists when $ C_n > 2$ thus there exists non linear codes when $n = 2^m - 1, n \ge 15$. If $\lambda(u) = 0$ for any $u \in C$ we obtain the classical inductive construction of Hamming codes.
178 179 180 181 182 183	Theorem 8 Let $\alpha(P)$ be a canonical isometric embedding of an antipodal path in Q_n and assume that D_n defines a vertex partition by translates of $\alpha(P)$. Let γ be a function from D_n to \mathbb{Z}_2 such that $\gamma(0) = 0$. Let Γ be the function from D_n to \mathbb{F}^n defined by $\Gamma(d) = 0$ if $\gamma(d) = 0$ and $\Gamma(d) = 1$ otherwise. Then the set $D_{2n+1} = \{(y, \gamma(d), y + d + \Gamma(d)); y \in \mathbb{F}^n, d \in D_n\}$ defines a vertex partition of \mathbb{F}^{2n+1} by isometrically embedded antipodal paths.
184 185 186 187	Proof : Let us start by a direct proof using lemma 6. Notice first that $ D_{2n+1} (2n+2) = D_n 2^n(2n+2) = D_n (n+1)2^{n+1} = 2^{2n+1}$. Consider two vectors of D_{2n+1} say $u = (y, \gamma(d), y + d + \Gamma(d))$ and $u' = (y', \gamma(d'), y' + d' + \Gamma(d'))$. Assume that u an u' differ by a set of consecutive coordinates.
188	• $\gamma(d) = \gamma(d')$. We have $y = y'$ or $y + d = y' + d'$.
189 190 191	 If y = y' then u + u' = (0, 0, d + d'). But d, d' ∈ D_n thus by lemma 6 d = d' and u = u'. If y + d = y' + d' then u + u' = (y + y', 0, 0). But y + y' = d + d' and again by lemma 6 d = d' u = u'and u = u'
193 194 195 196	• $\gamma(d) \neq \gamma(d')$ thus $u + u' = (y + y', 1, d + y + d' + y' + 1)$. Therefore $y + y'$ is of type 01 and $d + y + d' + y' + 1$ must be of type 10, thus $d + y + d' + y'$ of type 01. But $d + d' = (y + y') + (d + y + d' + y')$ then by lemma 5 d and d' must differ by a set of consecutive coordinates; a contradiction with lemma 6.

We will know deduce theorem 8 from theorem 7 showing that this construction is in fact the analogous of Vasiliev construction.

Alternative proof: Let $W = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_n)$ and $W' = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_{2n+1})$, 201 where $v_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} e_j$. By theorem 3 D_n is obtained from a perfect code of length n202 $C_n = \left\{ \theta_W^{-1}(d); d \in D_n \right\}. \text{ Let } \lambda : C_n \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_2 \text{ defined by } \lambda(c) = \gamma(\theta_W(c)).$ Consider $C_{2n+1} = \left\{ (\theta_W^{-1}(y), \pi(\theta_W^{-1}(y)) + \lambda(\theta_W^{-1}(d)), \theta_W^{-1}(y) + \theta_W^{-1}(d)); y \in \mathbb{F}^n, d \in D_n \right\}.$ 203 204 By theorem 7 C_{2n+1} is a perfect code of length 2n+1 and thus by theorem 3 D_{2n+1} 205 defines a vertex partition of \mathbb{F}^{2n+1} by isometrically embedded antipodal paths where 206 $D_{2n+1} = \{\theta_{W'}(c); c \in C_{2n+1}\}.$ 207 Notice that, for any $x \in \mathbb{F}^n$ and any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ we have: 208 $\theta_{W'}(x,0,\mathbf{0}) = (\theta_W(x),0,\mathbf{0}) + \pi(x).(\mathbf{0},1,\mathbf{1})$ 209 $\theta_{W'}(\mathbf{0}, 0, x) = (\mathbf{0}, 0, \theta_W(x))$ 210 $\theta_{W'}(\mathbf{0}, a, \mathbf{0}) = a.(\mathbf{0}, 1, \mathbf{1}).$ 211 Therefore: 212
$$\begin{split} & \theta_{W'}(\theta_W^{-1}(y), 0, \mathbf{0}) = (y, 0, \mathbf{0}) + \pi(\theta_W^{-1}(y)).(\mathbf{0}, 1, \mathbf{1}), \\ & \theta_{W'}(\theta_W^{-1}(\mathbf{0}, \pi(\theta_W^{-1}(y)) + \lambda(\theta_W^{-1}(d)), \mathbf{0}) = \left[\pi(\theta_W^{-1}(y)) + \gamma(d)\right].(\mathbf{0}, 1, \mathbf{1}) \\ & \text{and } \theta_{W'}(\mathbf{0}, 0, \theta_W^{-1}(y) + \theta_W^{-1}(d)) = (\mathbf{0}, 0, y + d). \end{split}$$
213 214 215 By linearity of $\theta_{W'}$ we obtain the expression of D_{2n+1} . 216 217 Here also, if there exists $u, v \in D_n$ such that $\gamma(u+v) \neq \gamma(u) + \gamma(v)$ then D_{2n+1} 218

is not a linear subspace. Notice that, if we set $\gamma(u) = 0$ for any $u \in D_n$, we obtain a recursive construction of the linear subspace proposed by M.Ramras [5].

221

219

220

197

198

199 200

Acknowledgment

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

The author is grateful to M. Kovše for pointing him towards the work of M.Ramras.

References

- G. Cohen, I. Honkala, S. Litsyn and A. Lobstein, [1997]: Covering Codes, Chap. 11, Elsevier, Amsterdam.
 - [2] G. Cohen, S. Litsyn, A. Vardy and G. Zémor, [1996]: "Tilings of Binary spaces", SIAM J.Discrete Mathematics 9, pp 393-412.
 - [3] R. W. Hamming, [1950]: "Error detecting and error correcting codes", Bell Syst. Tech. J. 29, pp 147-160.
 - [4] O. Heden, [2008]: "A survey on perfect codes", Advances in Mathematics of Communication 2(2), pp 223-247.
- [5] M. Ramras, [1992]: "Symmetric Vertex Partitions of Hypercubes by Isometric Trees", Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B 54, pp 239-248.
 - [6] J. L. Vasilev, [1962]: "On ungrouped, close-packed codes (in Russian)", Problemy Kibernet 8, pp 337-339.