

Increased mutation frequency among Dutch families with breast cancer and colorectal cancer

Marijke Wasielewski, Astrid A. Out, Joyce Vermeulen, Maartje Nielsen, Ans Ouweland, Carli M. J. Tops, Juul T. Wijnen, Hans F. A. Vasen, Marjan M. Weiss, Jan G. M. Klijn, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Marijke Wasielewski, Astrid A. Out, Joyce Vermeulen, Maartje Nielsen, Ans Ouweland, et al.. Increased mutation frequency among Dutch families with breast cancer and colorectal cancer. Breast Cancer Research and Treatment, 2010, 124 (3), pp.635-641. 10.1007/s10549-010-0801-7. hal-00534549

HAL Id: hal-00534549

https://hal.science/hal-00534549

Submitted on 10 Nov 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Running Title: MUTYH mutations and breast and colorectal cancer families

Preclinical study

Increased *MUTYH* mutation frequency among Dutch families with breast cancer and colorectal cancer

Marijke Wasielewski,¹ Astrid A. Out,³ Joyce Vermeulen,¹ Maartje Nielsen,³ Ans van den Ouweland,² Carli M.J. Tops,³ Juul T. Wijnen,^{3,4} Hans F.A. Vasen,^{5,7} Marjan M. Weiss,³ Jan G.M. Klijn,¹ Peter Devilee,^{4,6} Frederik J. Hes,³ and Mieke Schutte¹

Departments of ¹Medical Oncology and ²Clinical Genetics, Josephine Nefkens Institute,
Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; Departments of ³Clinical
Genetics, ⁴Human Genetics, ⁵Gastroentrology and ⁶Pathology, Leiden University Medical
Center, and ⁷The Netherlands Foundation for the Detection of Hereditary Tumours, Leiden,
The Netherlands

Address for offprints and correspondence: Mieke Schutte, Department of Medical Oncology, Josephine Nefkens Institute Be414, Erasmus MC, PO Box 2040, 3000 CA Rotterdam, The Netherlands. Phone: +31-10-7038039; Fax: +31-10-7044377. E-mail: a.schutte@erasmusmc.nl

Abstract

Homozygous and compound heterozygous MUTYH mutations predispose for MUTYHassociated polyposis (MAP). The clinical phenotype of MAP is characterized by the multiple colorectal adenomas and colorectal carcinoma. We previously found that female MAP patients may also have an increased risk for breast cancer. Yet, the involvement of MUTYH mutations in families with both breast cancer and colorectal cancer is unclear. Here, we have genotyped the MUTYH p. Tyr179Cys, p.Gly396Asp and p.Pro405Leu founder mutations in 153 Dutch families with breast cancer patients and colorectal cancer patients. Families were classified as polyposis, revised Amsterdam criteria positive (FCRC-AMS positive), revised Amsterdam criteria negative (FCRC-AMS negative), hereditary breast and colorectal cancer (HBCC) and non-HBCC breast cancer families. As anticipated, biallelic MUTYH mutations were identified among 13% of 15 polyposis families, which was significantly increased compared to the absence of biallelic MUTYH mutations in the population (P=0.0001). Importantly, six heterozygous MUTYH mutations were identified among non-polyposis families with breast and colorectal cancer. These mutations were identified specifically in FCRC-AMS negative and in HBCC breast cancer families (11% of 28 families and 4% of 74 families, respectively; P=0.02 for both groups combined vs. controls). Importantly, the 11% MUTYH frequency among FCRC-AMS negative families was almost 5-fold higher than the reported frequencies for FCRC-AMS negative families unselected for the presence of breast cancer patients (*P*=0.03). Together, our results indicate that heterozygous *MUTYH* mutations are associated with families that include both breast cancer patients and colorectal cancer patients, independent of which tumor type is more prevalent in the family.

Key words: Familial breast cancer; Familial colorectal cancer; Genetic predisposition; HBCC; MUTYH

Introduction

Germline mutations in the base excision repair gene *MUTYH* are associated with the recessively inherited colorectal cancer syndrome MUTY H-associated polyposis (MAP) that is characterised by multiple colorectal adenomas and colorectal carcinoma [1-4]. Homozygous and compound heterozygous *MUTYH* mutations have been identified in about 25% of patients with polyposis [5, 6]. Two prevalent *MUTYH* mutations, c.536A>G, p.Tyr179Cys and c.1187G>A, p.Gly396Asp (previously annotated as c.494A>G, p.Tyr165Cys and c.1145G>A, p.Gly382Asp, see patients and methods), account for approximately 75% of the reported mutations in MAP patients thus far [5]. In addition, several other *MUTYH* founder mutations have been identified, including the Dutch *MUTYH* c.1214C>T, p.Pro405Leu founder mutation (previously c.1172C>T, p.Pro391Leu) [6]. Together, the *MUTYH* p.Tyr179Cys, p.Gly396Asp and p.Pro405Leu mutations represent approximately 90% of *MUTYH* mutations reported in Dutch MAP patients [6, 7].

The clinical phenotype associated with MAP overlaps with the familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) and attenuated familial adenomatous polyposis (AFAP) phenotypes [5, 8]. The number of colorectal adenomas in MAP patients ranges from five to a few hundreds, generally presenting during the 5th decade of life. About half of MAP patients presents with colorectal cancer at the time of diagnosis [5, 8, 9]. In addition, germline *MUTYH* mutations have been identified in colorectal cancer patients with a cancer phenotype more reminiscent to Lynch syndrome (also known as hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer) [10-16]. Recently, we have identified a relatively high prevalence of breast cancer (18%) among Dutch female biallelic *MUTYH* mutation carriers, suggesting that breast cancer may be part of the clinical phenotype associated with MAP [6]. However, a recent Canadian study did not detect an association between *MUTYH* mutations and an increased breast cancer risk [17]. Here we have evaluated whether *MUTYH* mutations predispose to breast cancer in the context of familial colorectal cancer, by screening the *MUTYH* p.Tyr179Cys, p.Gly396Asp and p.Pro405Leu founder mutations in 153 Dutch families with both breast cancer patients and colorectal cancer patients.

Patients and Methods

Cancer family and population control cohorts

The 153 families with both breast cancer and colorectal cancer included in this study were ascertained by two academic cancer centres in the South-Western Netherlands: Leiden University Medical Centre (LUMC) in Leiden and Erasmus University Medical Centre (Erasmus MC) in Rotterdam. The LUMC cohort included 37 cancer families selected from 565 non-BRCA1/BRCA2 breast cancer families and 46 cancer families selected from 311 colorectal cancer families without a mismatch repair gene defect and/or a germline APC mutation, after molecular genetic screening according to Hendriks et al.[18]. The selected 83 LUMC cancer families each had at least one breast cancer patient and at least one colorectal cancer patient within second degree relatives (DGRs) and were registered by either the Clinical Genetic Centres of Leiden and Rotterdam, or the Dutch Foundation for Detection of Hereditary Tumors (STOET). The Erasmus MC cohort included 70 breast cancer families with hereditary breast and colorectal cancer (HBCC) as defined by Meijers-Heijboer et al. ([19], and below). Germline BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations were identified in fourteen and three of these 70 HBCC breast cancer families, respectively. The Erasmus MC cohort was selected from 578 breast cancer families registered by the Rotterdam Family Cancer Clinic at Erasmus MC [19, 20].

The 153 selected breast and colorectal cancer families were classified in six categories (Table 1, Supplementary Table 1), including families with: 1, polyposis; 2, colorectal cancer and positive for the revised Amsterdam criteria (FCRC-AMS positive); 3, colorectal cancer and negative for the revised Amsterdam criteria (FCRC-AMS negative); 4, HBCC; 5, non-HBCC breast cancer; and 6, mixed families with breast and colorectal cancer that could not be assigned to any of these five categories. To assign each family to only a single category of families, the six categories were ranked with the highest rank assigned to category 1. Families were classified as polyposis families (n=15) when at least one patient in the family was diagnosed with many colorectal adenomas (more than 15, n=2) or when at least two patients in the family were diagnosed with an unknown number of colorectal

adenomas (n=13). Families were classified as FCRC-AMS positive (n=8) when they included at least three relatives with a Lynch syndrome-associated tumor (colorectal, small bowel, endometrial, ureter or renal pelvis) and one patient was a first DGR of the other two and two successive generations were affected and one patient was diagnosed before age 50 years (revised Amsterdam criteria, [21]). Families were classified as FCRC-AMS negative (n=28) when they did not fulfil the revised Amsterdam criteria but included at least two colorectal cancer patients in first DGRs of whom at least one was diagnosed before age 50 years (n=13) or at least three colorectal cancer patients of whom at least one is a first DGR of the other colorectal cancer patients (n=15). Families were classified as HBCC families (n=74) when they fulfilled the breast cancer family criterion and in addition included at least one patient with breast and colorectal cancer or at least one patient with colorectal cancer diagnosed before age 50 years who is within second DGR of a breast cancer patient or at least two colorectal cancer patients of whom at least one is within second DGR of a breast cancer patient [19]. Non-HBCC breast cancer families (n=13) included at least two first or second DGRs with breast cancer of whom at least one was diagnosed before age 60 years. Fifteen families could not be classified according to any of these criteria. On average these fifteen "mixed" families included two colorectal cancer patients and one breast cancer patient.

The 1192 population controls originated mainly from the South-Western Netherlands and included a hospital-based cohort of 165 spouses of members from breast cancer families, a hospital-based cohort of 254 individuals screened for non-cancer related genetic diseases, and a population-based cohort of 773 randomly-selected blood donors.

The Medical Ethical Review Boards of Leiden University Medical Centre and Erasmus University Medical Centre have approved this study and informed consent had been obtained from all patients.

MUTYH mutation analysis

MUTYH (ENSG00000132781) mutations were annotated according to the most up-to-date MUTYH annotation (www.lovd.nl/MUTYH and NM_001128425.1,

 $http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/190358496). \ The two most common \textit{MUTYH} founder$

mutations p.Tyr179Cys and p.Gly396Asp as well as the Dutch MUTYH p.Pro405Leu founder mutation (previously known as p.Tyr165Cys, p.Gly382Asp and p.Pro391Leu, respectively) were screened in blood-derived DNA of the index patient from each cancer family. In the majority of families, the index patient was the breast cancer or colorectal cancer patient with the youngest age at diagnosis for whom DNA was available. MUTYH p. Tyr179Cys, p.Gly396Asp and p.Pro405Leu mutation screening included amplification of exons 7 (p.Tyr179Cys) and 13 (p.Gly396Asp and p.Pro405Leu) of the MUTYH gene by standard PCR as described.[6,7,22]. Amplified fragments were subsequently sequenced with BigDyeTM Terminator v3.0 or v3.1 Ready Reaction Cycle mix (Applied Biosystems) and analyzed on an ABI-3100 or 3730 capillary sequencer. Analysis of the sequence data was performed using SeqScape software (Applied Biosystems) or Mutation Surveyor™ software (SoftGenetics, LLC). The MUTYH p.Tyr179Cys, p.Gly396Asp and p.Pro405Leu mutation frequency in the control cohorts was determined by three mutation-specific Tagman® assays designed by Assays-by-designSM Service (Applied Biosystems). Samples were run on an ABI-7500 Analyzer and allelic discrimination was performed using SDS software (Applied Biosystems). No differences were identified for 238 genotypes that had been screened by Taqman analysis and sequencing, indicating that the sensitivity for detection of MUTYH mutations is similar for both techniques. All mutant MUTYH p. Tyr179Cys, p.Gly396Asp and p.Pro405Leu samples were confirmed by analysis of an independently generated template. For the six heterozygous MUTYH mutation carriers, the entire MUTYH coding sequence including exon-intron boundaries has been analysed for additional genetic alterations. Primer sequences, PCR, Tagman and sequence conditions are available on request.

Statistical analysis

The difference between the *MUTYH* p. Tyr179Cys, p.Gly396Asp and p.Pro405Leu mutation frequency in the various cohorts of cancer patients versus controls was analysed using Fisher's Exact Test. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated from two-by-two tables. *P*-values of 0.05 or smaller were considered significant. All statistical

analyses were performed with STATA statistical package, release 10 (STATA Corp, College Station, TX).

Results and discussion

Biallelic MUTYH inactivation in polyposis families with breast and colorectal cancer

Genotyping of the *MUTYH* p.Tyr179Cys, p.Gly396Asp and p.Pro405Leu founder mutations in 153 families with breast and colorectal cancer identified eight *MUTYH* mutant families, including two families with compound heterozygous index patients and six families with heterozygous index patients (Table 1). In addition, we detected the pathogenic *MUTYH* mutation (c.1227_1228dup, p.Glu410GlyfsX43, previously c.1185_1186dup, p.Glu396GlyfsX43; one family) and two intronic polymorphisms (c.504+35G>A, previously c.462+35G>A; 29 families and c.1187-27C>T, previously c.1145-27C>T; three families) [23].

The two MUTYH families with compound heterozygous index patients were both polyposis families, with family 20090 carrying the MUTYH p. Tyr179Cys and p. Pro405Leu mutations and family 53119 carrying the MUTYH p. Tyr179Cys and p.Gly396Asp mutations (Table 1 and Table 2). The index patient from family 20090 was diagnosed with colorectal cancer at age 40 years. DNA of two first-degree affected family members was available for additional MUTYH genotyping, revealing that the mother of the index patient (diagnosed with colorectal cancer at age 81 years) was a heterozygous MUTYH p.Tyr179Cys carrier and a brother of the index patient (diagnosed with colorectal cancer and adenomas at age 50 years) was a compound heterozygous MUTYH p. Tyr179Cys and p. Pro405Leu carrier. The index patient from family 53119 was diagnosed with colorectal cancer and adenomas at age 46 years. DNA from one first-degree affected family member was available for additional MUTYH genotyping, revealing that a sister of the index patient (diagnosed with breast cancer at age 63 years) carried a heterozygous MUTYH p. Tyr179Cys mutation (Table 2). Together, 2 of 15 (13.3%) polyposis families carried compound heterozygous pathogenic MUTYH mutations as compared to none of 1192 population controls (P=0.0001; Table 1). Previous reports had identified homozygous and compound heterozygous MUTYH mutations in approximately 10%

of FAP patients and in 20-25% of AFAP patients [3, 6, 24]. Our results are in line with these reports and hence confirm the reported association of biallelic *MUTYH* mutations with a polyposis phenotype.

Heterozygous MUTYH mutations in non-polyposis families with breast and colorectal cancer

Heterozygous MUTYH mutations were identified in 3 of 28 (10.7%) FCRC-AMS negative families, including two families with index patients carrying the MUTYH p.Gly396Asp mutation and one family carrying MUTYH p.Pro405Leu (Table 1 and Table 2). Of these three FCRC-AMS negative families, family 50483 was classified as FCRC-AMS negative because it included two colorectal cancer patients in first DGRs of whom one was diagnosed before age 50 years, whereas families 10075 and 54058 included at least three colorectal cancer patients of whom at least one was a first DGR of the other colorectal cancer patients. DNA of nonindex cases from two families (10075 and 50483) was available for additional genotyping. Yet, no MUTYH mutations were identified among the three breast cancer patients and the single patient with breast cancer and vulva melanoma (Table 2). The 10.7% heterozygous MUTYH mutation frequency among FCRC-AMS negative families was significantly increased compared to the 1.9% heterozygous MUTYH mutation frequency among controls (Table 1, P=0.02) and was also higher than the absence of mutations among the nine FCRC-AMS positive families. Thus far, seven studies have evaluated MUTYH in familial colorectal cancer patients who did not fulfil the revised Amsterdam and/or Bethesda criteria, together including 866 cases [10-16]. The heterozygous MUTYH mutation frequencies ranged from 0% to 5.7%, with an average MUTYH mutation frequency of 2.3% (20/866). Thus, the reported MUTYH mutation frequency among such colorectal cancer families is about 5-fold lower than we have observed among our FCRC-AMS negative families (2.3% versus 10.7%; P=0.03). In contrast to the earlier reported FCRC-AMS negative families, we have selected FCRC-AMS negative families that included at least one breast cancer patient. It seems plausible that the dissimilarity in MUTYH mutation frequency reflects this difference in selection criteria, suggesting that women with heterozygous MUTYH mutations from families that classify as FCRC-AMS negative are at increased risk for breast cancer.

The MUTYH p. Tyr179Cys, p.Gly396Asp and p.Pro405Leu mutations were each identified once among the 74 HBCC families (Table 1 and Table 2). Two of the MUTYH HBCC families (57466 and 59168) classified as HBCC families because they included a colorectal cancer patient diagnosed before age 50 years. HBCC family 15138 included two colorectal cancer patients of whom one was a first DGR of a breast cancer patient. DNA of non-index cases was available only for family 57466, revealing that a sister of the index patient (diagnosed with breast cancer at age 46 years) did not carry the MUTYH p. Tyr 179Cys mutation (Table 2). Together, 3 of 74 (4.1%) HBCC families carried heterozygous MUTYH mutations, which was higher but not significantly different from the 1.9% population frequency (Table 1). However, it is important to realize that our classification method by ranking allowed assignment of families to only a single category. As a result, families that fulfilled the criteria for both FCRC-AMS negative and HBCC were classified as FCRC-AMS negative families. Indeed, 17 of 28 FCRC-AMS negative families (61%) also met the HBCC criteria, as also four families from other categories. Moreover, two of the 17 FCRC-AMS negative families carried MUTYH mutations. In total, 5 of 95 (5.3%) HBCC families were heterozygous MUTYH mutant which was increased compared to controls (P=0.05; Supplementary Table 1), suggesting that MUTYH mutations also associate with HBCC. To further evaluate the involvement of heterozygous MUTYH mutations with HBCC, we re-classified all non-polyposis families according to the number of breast cancer and colorectal cancer patients in the family, resulting in three categories with more, equal, or less colorectal cancer than breast cancer patients (Table 3). This analysis showed that the MUTYH mutation frequency is increased among families with more colorectal cancer than breast cancer patients (7.1%) as also among families with more breast cancer than colorectal cancer patients (5.8%), and that these frequencies were rather similar.

In conclusion, our analyses suggest that heterozygous *MUTYH* mutations are associated with a breast and colorectal cancer phenotype, even though there was incomplete cosegregation of *MUTYH* mutations with breast cancer (Table 2). Heterozygous *MUTYH* mutations were identified specifically in families that classified as FCRC-AMS negative and HBCC. Importantly, the families may present with more colorectal cancer patients than breast cancer patients or vice versa. Also, the incomplete segregation between heterozygous

MUTYH mutations with the cancer phenotype and the rather low cancer risks associated with these heterozygous MUTYH mutations (RR=~2.0) may suggest an as yet unrecognized low-risk polygenic disease mechanism [25]. More research is required to confirm our results in larger series but particularly to more precisely define the clinical criteria for the MUTYH-associated breast and colorectal cancer phenotype.

Acknowledgements

We are obliged to all members of the cancer families for their participation in this research.

We acknowledge all other contributing members of the Stichting Opsporing Erfelijke Tumoren (STOET), the department of clinical genetics from the LUMC and the Rotterdam Family

Cancer Clinic. We also thank Ivonne J.H.M. van Minderhout and Saskia Smith for providing excellent technical support.

References

- Al-Tassan N, Chmiel NH, Maynard J et al (2002) Inherited variants of MYH
 associated with somatic G:C-->T:A mutations in colorectal tumors. Nat Genet 30:22732. doi: 10.1038/ng828
- Jones S, Emmerson P, Maynard J, et al (2002) Biallelic germline mutations in MYH
 predispose to multiple colorectal adenoma and somatic G:C-->T:A mutations. Hum
 Mol Genet 11:2961-7
- Sampson JR, Dolwani S, Jones S, et al (2003) Autosomal recessive colorectal adenomatous polyposis due to inherited mutations of MYH. Lancet 362:39-41. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)13805-6
- Sieber OM, Lipton L, Crabtree M, et al (2003) Multiple colorectal adenomas, classic adenomatous polyposis, and germ-line mutations in MYH. N Engl J Med 348:791-9.
 doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa025283348/9/791
- Cheadle JP, Sampson JR (2007) MUTYH-associated polyposis--from defect in base excision repair to clinical genetic testing. DNA Repair (Amst) 6:274-9. doi: 10.1016/j.dnarep.2006.11.001
- Nielsen M, Franken PF, Reinards TH, et al (2005) Multiplicity in polyp count and extracolonic manifestations in 40 Dutch patients with MYH associated polyposis coli (MAP). J Med Genet 42:e54. doi: 10.1136/jmg.2005.033217
- van Puijenbroek M, Nielsen M, Tops CM, et al (2008) Identification of patients with (atypical) MUTYH-associated polyposis by KRAS2 c.34G > T prescreening followed by MUTYH hotspot analysis in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue. Clin Cancer Res 14:139-42. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-1705
- Lipton L, Tomlinson I (2006) The genetics of FAP and FAP-like syndromes. Fam
 Cancer 5:221-6. doi: 10.1007/s10689-005-5673-3
- Nielsen M, Joerink-van de Beld MC, Jones N, et al (2008) Analysis of MUTYH
 Genotypes and Colorectal Phenotypes in Patients With MUTYH-Associated
 Polyposis. Gastroenterology 136:471-6. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2008.10.056

- Eliason K, Hendrickson BC, Judkins T, et al (2005) The potential for increased clinical sensitivity in genetic testing for polyposis colorectal cancer through the analysis of MYH mutations in North American patients. J Med Genet 42:95-6. doi: 10.1136/jmg.2004.025973
- Grunhage F, Jungck M, Lamberti C, et al (2008) Contribution of common monoallelic
 MUTYH gene variants in German patients with familial colorectal cancer. Cancer
 Biomark 4:55-61.
- Kambara T, Whitehall VL, Spring KJ, et al (2004) Role of inherited defects of MYH in the development of sporadic colorectal cancer. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 40:1-9. doi: 10.1002/gcc.20011
- Peterlongo P, Mitra N, Sanchez de Abajo A, et al (2006) Increas ed frequency of disease-causing MYH mutations in colon cancer families. Carcinogenesis 27:2243-9.
 doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgl093
- 14. Riegert-Johnson DL, Johnson RA, Rabe KG, et al (2007) The value of MUTYH testing in patients with early onset microsatellite stable colorectal cancer referred for hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer syndrome testing. Genet Test 11:361-5. doi:10.1089/qte.2007.0014
- Stormork en AT, Hoff G, Norstein J, et al (2006) MUTYH mutations do not cause
 HNPCC or lateonset colorectal cancer. Hereditary Cancer in Clinical Practice 2:90-3.
- 16. Zhou XL, Djureinovic T, Werelius B, Lindmark G, Sun XF, Lindblom A (2005)
 Germline mutations in the MYH gene in Swedish familial and sporadic colorectal
 cancer. Genet Test 9:147-51. doi: 10.1089/gte.2005.9.147
- 17. Beiner ME, Zhang WW, Zhang S, Gallinger S, Sun P, Narod SA (2008) Mutations of the MYH gene do not substantially contribute to the risk of breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 114:575-8. doi: 10.1007/s10549-008-0042-1
- 18. Hendriks YM, de Jong AE, Morreau H, et al (2006) Diagnostic approach and management of Lynch syndrome (hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal carcinoma): a guide for clinicians. CA Cancer J Clin 56:213-25. doi: 10.3322/canjclin.56.4.213

- Meijers-Heijboer H, Wijnen J, Vasen H, et al (2003) The CHEK2 1100delC mutation identifies families with a hereditary breast and colorectal cancer phenotype. Am J Hum Genet 72:1308-14. doi: AJHG024804
- 20. Meijers-Heijboer H, van den Ouweland A, Klijn J, et al (2002) Low-penetrance susceptibility to breast cancer due to CHEK2(*)1100delC in noncarriers of BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations. Nat Genet 31:55-9. doi:10.1038/ng879
- 21. Vasen HF, Watson P, Mecklin JP, Lynch HT (1999). New clinical criteria for hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC, Lynch syndrome) proposed by the International Collaborative group on HNPCC. Gastroenterology 116:1453-6. doi: S0016508599005715
- 22. Wasielewski M, Elstrodt F, Klijn JG, Berns EM, Schutte M (2006) Thirteen new p53 gene mutants identified among 41 human breast cancer cell lines. Breast Cancer Res Treat 99:97-101. doi: 10.1007/s10549-006-9186-z
- 23. Isidro G, Laranjeira F, Pires A, et al (2004) Germline MUTYH (MYH) mutations in Portuguese individuals with multiple colorectal adenomas. Hum Mutat 24:353-4. doi: 10.1002/humu.9282
- 24. Aretz S, Uhlhaas S, Goergens H, et al (2006) MUTYH-associated polyposis: 70 of 71 patients with biallelic mutations present with an attenuated or atypical phenotype. Int J Cancer 119:807-14. doi: 10.1002/ijc.21905
- 25. Jones N, Vogt S, Nielsen M, et al (2009). Increased colorectal cancer incidence in obligate carriers of heterozygous mutations in MUTYH. Gastroenterology 137:489-94, 94 e1; quiz 725-6. doi:10.1053/j.gastro.2009.04.047

Classification of families with breast and colorectal cancer	MUTYH +/Total tested (%)	<i>P</i> -value (OR; 95% CI)	
Controls	23/1192 (1.9)		
1. Polyposis families	2/15 ^a (13.3)	0.0001	
		(92.7; 54.0-159.2)	
2. FCRC-AMS positive families	0/8	n.s. [†]	
3. FCRC-AMS negative families) 2x CRC 1 st DGR + 1 CRC≤50 y) 3x CRC 1 st DGR + 1 st DGR others	3/28 (10.7) 1/13 2/15	0.02 [†] (5.5; 1.8-17.1)	
4. HBCC families	3/74 (4.1)	n.s. [†]	
5. Non-HBCC breast cancer families	0/13	n.s. [†]	
6. Other, mixed families	0/15	n.s. [†]	

Legends to the tables

Table 1 Prevalence of *MUTYH* p. Tyr179Cys, p.Gly396Asp and p.Pro405Leu mutations among 153 Dutch families with breast cancer and colorectal cancer.

- *, *P*-value for cancer patients with compound heterozygous *MUTYH* mutations versus controls with compound heterozygous *MUTYH* mutations, using Fisher's Exact Test.
- [†], *P*-values for cancer patients with heterozygous *MUTYH* mutations versus controls with heterozygous *MUTYH* mutations, using Fisher's Exact Test.
- ^a, both families were compound heterozygous *MUTYH* mutant.

AMS; revised Amsterdam criteria [21]; BRC; breast cancer; CRC, colorectal cancer; DGR, degree relative; FCRC, familial colorectal cancer; HBCC, hereditary breast and colorectal cancer; *MUTYH*+, number of *MUTYH* p. Tyr179Cys, p.Gly396Asp and p.Pro405Leu mutations; n.s., not significant; OR, odds ratio from two-by-two tables with 95% confidence intervals; y, years of age at diagnosis.

Table 2. Cancer histories of *MUTYH* mutant families.

The number of relatives with a particular tumor type is indicated before the tumor type, with

Family Id (Category)	MUTYH mutation(s)		Index	Family history of cancer First DGRs Second DGRs Third DGR		
(Category)				LII 21 DOV2	Second Dars	I IIII U DGR
20090 (Poly posis)	p.Tyr179Cys	p. Pro405Leu	CRC:40	Mother CRC:81 (+/-) 1 sib CRC+pol:50+55 (+/+) 2 sibs pol	4 CRC:62/77/79/83 1 other:<55	1 BRC 1 BRC+pol: 1 BRC+ 2 o 3 other:<50
53119 (Poly posis)	p.Tyr179Cys	p.Gly396Asp	CRC+pol:46	Mother other 3 sibs BRC:40/<50/63 (1 +/-) 1 sib BRC+3x CRC+pol:57		
50483 (FCRC-AMS-)	p.Gly396Asp	-	CRC+pol:62	Father other:<50 1 sib CRC:40 1 sib other+BRC:63+76 3 sib other:<50/<50/79	2 BRC:49/<60 (1 -/-) 2 other:35/46 (1 -/-)	
10075 (FCRC-AMS-)	p.Pro405Leu	-	BRC:42	Father CRC:<80 1 sib CRC:69	2 BRC:33/56 1 BRC+other:56+58 (-/-) 2 CRC:<77/86 1 other:60	3 BRC:43/4
54058 (FCRC-AMS-)	p.Gly396Asp	-	CRC:54	Mother CRC:90 1 sib BRC:<57	1 CRC:<71 1 other	
15138 (HBCC)	p.Pro405Leu	-	BRC:41	Father CRC:52	1 BRC:70 1 CRC:<72 1 other:85	3 BRC:45/5
57466 (HBCC)	p.Tyr179Cys	-	BRC:43	2 sibs BRC:44/46 (1 -/-) 1 sib CRC:26	1 other:<70	1 other:66
59168 (HBCC)	p.Gly396Asp	-	BRC:35	Mother BRC:48	1 CRC:49 1 other:69	1 BRC

the age at diagnosis after the semicolon, when known. Genetic screening results for *MUTYH* p.Tyr179Cys, p.Gly396Asp and p.Pro405Leu in non-index cases are indicated between brackets. Genotypes are indicated as +/+, +/- and -/- for compound heterozygous, heterozygous and non-mutation carriers, respectively.

BRC, breast cancer; CRC, colorectal cancer; Pol, adenomatous polyp(s) in the colorectum;

other, cancer other than CRC or BRC; AMS-, negative for the revised Amsterdam criteria [21];

FCRC, familial colorectal cancer; HBCC, hereditary breast and colorectal cancer; DGR, degree relative; y, years of age at diagnosis.

Table 3. Prevalence of *MUTYH* p. Tyr179Cys, p.Gly396Asp and p.Pro405Leu mutations among 138 Dutch non-polyposis families with breast cancer and colorectal cancer.

Cancer families were classified into three categories, including families with at least two more colorectal cancer patients than breast cancer patients (CRC patients > BRC patients), families with equal numbers (plus or minus one) of colorectal cancer patients and breast cancer

patients (CRC patients = BRC patients), and families with at least two more breast cancer patients than colorectal cancer patients (CRC patients < BRC patients). *MUTYH*+, number of *MUTYH* p.Tyr179Cys, p.Gly396Asp and p.Pro405Leu mutations.

Classification of families	MUTYH +/Total tested (%)
Families w ith CRC patients > BRC patients	1/14 (7.1)
Families w ith CRC patients = BRC patients	1/55 (1.8)
Families with CRC patients < BRC patients	4/69 (5.8)