



HAL
open science

Extreme values of the Dedekind Ψ function

Patrick Solé, Michel R. P. Planat

► **To cite this version:**

| Patrick Solé, Michel R. P. Planat. Extreme values of the Dedekind Ψ function. 2010. hal-00533801v1

HAL Id: hal-00533801

<https://hal.science/hal-00533801v1>

Preprint submitted on 8 Nov 2010 (v1), last revised 18 Jan 2011 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Extreme values of the Dedekind Ψ function

Patrick Solé Michel Planat

Abstract

Let $\Psi(n) := n \prod_{p|n} (1 + \frac{1}{p})$ denote the Dedekind Ψ function. Define, for $n \geq 3$, the ratio $R(n) := \frac{\Psi(n)}{n \log \log n}$. We prove unconditionally that $R(n) \leq e^\gamma$ for $n \geq 31$. Let $N_n = 2 \cdots p_n$ be the primorial of order n . We prove that the statement $R(N_n) > \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(2)}$ for $n \geq 3$ is equivalent to Riemann Hypothesis.

Keywords: Dedekind Ψ function, Euler totient function, Mertens formula, Nicolas bound, Primorial numbers

I. INTRODUCTION

The Dedekind Ψ function is an arithmetic multiplicative function defined for every integer $n > 0$ by

$$\Psi(n) := n \prod_{p|n} (1 + \frac{1}{p}).$$

It occurs naturally in questions pertaining to dimension of spaces of modular forms [2]. It is related to the sum of divisor function

$$\sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} d$$

by the inequalities

$$\Psi(n) \leq \sigma(n),$$

and the fact that they coincide for n squarefree. It is also related to Euler φ function by the inequalities

$$n^2 > \varphi(n)\Psi(n) \geq \frac{n^2}{\zeta(2)}$$

derived in Proposition 5 below.

In view of the studies of large values of σ [8] and of low values of φ [4], it is natural to study both the large and low values of Ψ . To that end, we define the ratio $R(n) := \frac{\Psi(n)}{n \log \log n}$. The motivation for this strange quantity is the asymptotics of Proposition 3. We prove unconditionally that $R(n) \leq e^\gamma$, for $n \geq 31$ in Corollary 2. Note that this bound would follow also from Robin inequality

$$\sigma(n) \leq e^\gamma n \log \log n$$

for $n \geq 5041$ under Riemann Hypothesis (RH) [8], since $\Psi(n) \leq \sigma(n)$.

In the direction of lower bounds, we prove that the statement $R(N_n) > \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(2)}$ for $n \geq 3$ is equivalent to RH, where $N_n = 2 \cdots p_n$ is the primorial of order n . The proof relies on Nicolas work on Euler totient function [4].

II. REDUCTION TO PRIMORIAL NUMBERS

Define the primorial number N_n of index n as the product of the first n primes

$$N_n = \prod_{k=1}^n p_k,$$

so that $N_1 = 2$, $N_2 = 6$, \dots and so on. The primorial numbers and their multiples up to the next primorial (precisely OEIS sequence A060735 [7]) play the role here of superabundant numbers in [8] or of primorials in [4]. They are champion numbers (ie left to right maxima) of the function $x \mapsto \Psi(x)/x$:

$$\frac{\Psi(m)}{m} < \frac{\Psi(n)}{n} \text{ for any } m < n, \quad (1)$$

We give a proof of this fact, which was observed in [5].

Proposition 1: The primorial numbers N_n and their multiples lN_n in the range $N_n \leq lN_n < N_{n+1}$ are exactly the champion numbers of the function $x \mapsto \Psi(x)/x$.

Proof: The proof is by induction on n . The induction hypothesis H_n is that the statement is true up to N_n . OEIS sequence A060735 begins 2, 4, 6... so that H_2 is true. Assume H_n true. Let $N_n \leq m < N_{n+1}$ denote a generic integer. The prime divisors of m are $\leq p_n$. Therefore $\Psi(m)/m \leq \Psi(N_n)/N_n$ with equality iff m is a multiple of N_n . Further $\Psi(N_n)/N_n < \Psi(N_{n+1})/N_{n+1}$. The proof of H_{n+1} follows. ■

In this section we reduce the maximization of $R(n)$ over all integers n to the maximization over primorials.

Proposition 2: Let n be an integer ≥ 2 . For any m in the range $N_n \leq m < N_{n+1}$ one has $R(m) \leq R(N_n)$.

Proof: Like in the preceding proof we have

$$\Psi(m)/m \leq \Psi(N_n)/N_n$$

Since $0 < \log \log N_n \leq \log \log m$, the result follows. ■

III. Ψ AT PRIMORIAL NUMBERS

We begin with an easy application of Mertens formula [3, Th. 429].

Proposition 3: For n going to ∞ we have

$$\lim R(N_n) = \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(2)} \approx 1.08.$$

Proof: Writing $1 + 1/p = (1 - 1/p^2)/(1 - 1/p)$ in the definition of $\Psi(n)$ we can combine the Eulerian product for $\zeta(2)$ with Mertens formula

$$\prod_{p \leq x} (1 - 1/p)^{-1} \sim e^\gamma \log(x)$$

to obtain

$$\Psi(N_n) \sim \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(2)} \log(p_n),$$

Now the Prime Number Theorem [3, Th. 6, Th. 420] shows that $x \sim \theta(x)$ for x large. where $\theta(x)$ stands for Chebyshev's first summatory function:

$$\theta(x) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log p.$$

This shows that, taking $x = p_n$ we have

$$p_n \sim \theta(p_n) = \log(N_n).$$

The result follows. ■

This motivates the search for explicit upper bounds on $R(N_n)$ of the form $\frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(2)}(1+o(1))$. In that direction we have the following bound.

Proposition 4: For n large enough to have $p_n \geq 20000$, that is $n \geq 2263$, we have

$$\frac{\Psi(N_n)}{N_n} \leq \frac{\exp(\gamma + 2/p_n)}{\zeta(2)} \left(\log \log N_n + \frac{1.125}{\log p_n} \right)$$

So, armed with this bound, we derive a bound of the form $R(N_n) \leq e^\gamma$ for $n \geq A$ with A an absolute constant.

Corollary 1: For $n \geq 4$, we have $R(N_n) < e^\gamma = 1.78 \dots$

Proof:

For $p_n \geq 20000$, we use the preceding proposition. We need to check that

$$\exp(2/p_n) \left(1 + \frac{1.125}{\log(p_n) \log \log(N_n)} \right) \leq \zeta(2).$$

Since the LHS is a decreasing function of n it is enough to check this inequality for the first n such that $p_n \geq 20000$.

For $5 \leq p_n \leq 20000$, that is $3 \leq n \leq 2262$ we simply compute $R(N_n)$, and check that it is $\leq e^\gamma$. ■

We can extend this Corollary to all integers ≥ 30 by using the reduction of preceding section, combined with some numerical calculations for $30 \leq n \leq N_4$.

Corollary 2: For $n \geq 30$, we have $R(n) < e^\gamma$.

We prepare for the proof of the preceding Proposition by a pair of Lemmas. First an upper bound on a partial Eulerian product from [9, (3.30) p.70].

Lemma 1: For $x \geq 2$, we have

$$\prod_{p \leq x} (1 - 1/p)^{-1} \leq e^\gamma \left(\log x + \frac{1}{\log x} \right)$$

Next an upper bound on the tail of the Eulerian product for $\zeta(2)$.

Lemma 2: For $n \geq 2$ we have

$$\prod_{p > p_n} (1 - 1/p^2)^{-1} \leq \exp(2/p_n)$$

Proof: Use Lemma 6.4 in [1] with $x = p_n$ and $t = 2$. ■

We are now ready for the proof of Proposition 4.

Proof:

Write

$$\frac{\Psi(N_n)}{N_n} = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1 - 1/p_k^2}{1 - 1/p_k}$$

and use both Lemmas to derive

$$\frac{\Psi(N_n)}{N_n} \leq \frac{\exp(\gamma + 2/p_n)}{\zeta(2)} \left(\log p_n + \frac{1}{\log p_n} \right).$$

Now we get rid of the first log in the RHS by the bound of [8, p.206]

$$\log(p_n) < \log \log N_n + \frac{0.125}{\log p_n}.$$

The result follows. ■

IV. LOWER BOUNDS

We reduce first to Euler's φ function.

Proposition 5: For $n \geq 2$ we have

$$n^2 > \varphi(n)\Psi(n) \geq \frac{n^2}{\zeta(2)}$$

Proof: The first inequality follows at once upon writing

$$\frac{\varphi(n)\Psi(n)}{n^2} = \prod_{p|n} (1 - 1/p^2),$$

a product of finitely many terms < 1 . Notice for the second inequality that

$$\frac{\varphi(n)\Psi(n)}{n^2} = \prod_{p|n} (1 - 1/p^2) \geq \prod_p (1 - 1/p^2),$$

an infinite product that is the inverse of the Eulerian product for $\zeta(2)$. ■

Theorem 1: Under RH the ratio $R(N_n)$ is $> \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(2)}$ for $n \geq 3$. If RH is false, this is still true for infinitely many n .

Proof:

Follows by Proposition 5, combined with [4, Theorem 2]. ■

In view of this result and of numerical experiments the natural conjecture is

Conjecture 1: For all $n \geq 3$ we have $R(N_n) > \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(2)}$.

The main result of this note is the following.

Theorem 2: Conjecture 1 is equivalent to RH.

Proof: If RH is true we refer to the first statement of Theorem 1. If RH is false we consider the function

$$g(x) := \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(2)} \log \theta(x) \prod_{p \leq x} (1 + 1/p)^{-1},$$

Observing that $\log \theta(p_n) = \log N_n$, we see that $g(p_n) < 1$ is equivalent to $R(N_n) > \frac{e^\gamma}{\zeta(2)}$. We need to check that for x large enough $g(x)$ can be > 1 or equivalently $\log g(x) > 0$. Using once again the identity $1 - 1/p^2 = (1 - 1/p)(1 + 1/p)$, and [1, Lemma 6.4], we obtain, upon writing

$$-\log(\zeta(2)) = \sum_{p \leq x} \log(1 - 1/p^2) + \sum_{p > x} \log(1 - 1/p^2),$$

the bound

$$\log g(x) \geq \log f(x) - 2/x,$$

where f is the function introduced in [4, Theorem 3], that is

$$f(x) := e^\gamma \log \theta(x) \prod_{p \leq x} (1 - 1/p).$$

We know by [4, Theorem 3 (c)] that, if RH is false, there is a $0 < b < 1$ such that $\limsup x^{-b} f(x) > 0$. Since for x large $2/x \ll x^{-b}$, the result follows. ■

V. CONCLUSION

In this note we have derived upper and lower bounds on the Dedekind Ψ function, an arithmetic function that occurs in quantum physics [6] and also in the theory of modular forms [2]. We show unconditionally that the function $\Psi(n)$ satisfies Robin inequality. Since $\psi(n) \leq \sigma(n)$ this could be proved under *RH* [8] or by referring to [1]. Of special interest is Conjecture 1 which is shown here to be equivalent to *RH*. We hope this new *RH* criterion will stimulate research on the Dedekind Ψ function.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Fabio Anselmi, Pieter Moree, and Jean-Louis Nicolas for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Choie, YoungJu, Lichiardopol, Nicolas, Moree, Pieter, Solé, Patrick On Robin's criterion for the Riemann hypothesis, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* 19 (2007), no. 2, 357–372.
- [2] J. A. Csirik, M. Zieve and J. Wetherell, On the genera of $X_0(N)$, unpublished manuscript (2001); available online at <http://www.csirik.net/papers.html>
- [3] G.H. Hardy, E.M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Oxford (1979).
- [4] Nicolas, Jean-Louis Petites valeurs de la fonction d'Euler. *J. Number Theory* 17 (1983), no. 3, 375–388.
- [5] Michel Planat, Riemann hypothesis from the Dedekind psi function, hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/docs/00/52/64/54/PDF/RiemannHyp.pdf
- [6] Michel Planat, Pauli graphs when the Hilbert space dimension contains a square: why the Dedekind psi function ? arxiv.org/pdf/1009.3858
- [7] www.research.att.com/njas/sequences/
- [8] G. Robin, Grandes valeurs de la fonction somme des diviseurs et hypothèse de Riemann. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) 63 (1984), 187–213.
- [9] J. B. Rosser and L. Schoenfeld, Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers. *Illinois J. Math.* 6 (1962), 64–94.