

# On the structure of $(-\beta)$ -integers Wolfgang Steiner

## ▶ To cite this version:

Wolfgang Steiner. On the structure of  $(-\beta)$ -integers. RAIRO - Theoretical Informatics and Applications (RAIRO: ITA), 2012, 46 (1), pp.181-200. 10.1051/ita/2011115 . hal-00533345v2

## HAL Id: hal-00533345 https://hal.science/hal-00533345v2

Submitted on 26 Jun 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### ON THE STRUCTURE OF $(-\beta)$ -INTEGERS

#### WOLFGANG STEINER

ABSTRACT. The  $(-\beta)$ -integers are natural generalisations of the  $\beta$ -integers, and thus of the integers, for negative real bases. When  $\beta$  is the analogue of a Parry number, we describe the structure of the set of  $(-\beta)$ -integers by a fixed point of an anti-morphism.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to study the structure of the set of real numbers having a digital expansion of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \left(-\beta\right)^k,$$

where  $(-\beta)$  is a negative real base with  $\beta > 1$ , the digits  $a_k \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfy certain conditions specified below, and  $n \ge 0$ . These numbers are called  $(-\beta)$ -integers, and have been recently studied by Ambrož, Dombek, Masáková and Pelantová [1].

Before dealing with these numbers, we recall some facts about  $\beta$ -integers, which are the real numbers of the form

$$\pm \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \beta^k \quad \text{such that} \quad 0 \le \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_k \beta^k < \beta^m \quad \text{for all } 1 \le m \le n \,,$$

i.e.,  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \beta^k$  is a greedy  $\beta$ -expansion. Equivalently, we can define the set of  $\beta$ -integers as

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\beta} = \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+} \cup (-\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+} = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} \beta^{n} T_{\beta}^{-n}(0) \,,$$

where  $T_{\beta}$  is the  $\beta$ -transformation, defined by

$$T_{\beta}: [0,1) \to [0,1), \quad x \mapsto \beta x - \lfloor \beta x \rfloor$$

This map and the corresponding  $\beta$ -expansions were first studied by Rényi [20].

The notion of  $\beta$ -integers was introduced in the domain of quasicrystallography, see for instance [6], and the structure of the  $\beta$ -integers is very well understood now. We have  $\beta \mathbb{Z}_{\beta} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ , the set of distances between consecutive elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$  is

$$\Delta_{\beta} = \{ T_{\beta}^{n}(1^{-}) \mid n \ge 0 \} \,,$$

where  $T_{\beta}^{n}(x^{-}) = \lim_{y \to x^{-}} T_{\beta}^{n}(y)$ , and the sequence of distances between consecutive elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+}$  is coded by the fixed point of a substition, see [9] for the case when  $\Delta_{\beta}$  is a finite set, that is when  $\beta$  is a *Parry number*. We give short proofs of these facts in Section 2. More detailed properties of this sequence can be found e.g. in [2, 3, 4, 11, 16]. Closely related to  $\mathbb{Z}^+_{\beta}$  are the sets

$$S_{\beta}(x) = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} \beta^n T_{\beta}^{-n}(x) \qquad (x \in [0, 1)),$$

which were used by Thurston [21] to define (fractal) tilings of  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$  when  $\beta$  is a Pisot number of degree d, i.e., a root > 1 of a polynomial  $x^d + p_1 x^{d-1} + \cdots + p_d \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that all other roots have modulus < 1, and an algebraic unit, i.e.,  $p_d = \pm 1$ . These tilings allow e.g. to determine the k-th digit  $a_k$  of a number without knowing the other digits, see [15].

It is widely agreed that the greedy  $\beta$ -expansions are the natural representations of real numbers in a real base  $\beta > 1$ . For the case of negative bases, the situation is not so clear. Ito and Sadahiro [14] proposed recently to use the  $(-\beta)$ -transformation defined by

$$T_{-\beta}: \left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right), x \mapsto -\beta x - \left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{\beta+1} - \beta x\right\rfloor,$$

see also [10]. This transformation has the important property that  $T_{-\beta}(-x/\beta) = x$  for all  $x \in \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ . Some instances are depicted in Figures 1, 3, 4 and 5.



FIGURE 1. The  $(-\beta)$ -transformation for  $\beta = 2$  (left),  $\beta = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618$  (middle), and  $\beta = \frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \approx 1.325$  (right).

The set of  $(-\beta)$ -integers is therefore defined by

$$\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} (-\beta)^n \, T_{-\beta}^{-n}(0) \, .$$

These are the numbers

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k (-\beta)^k \quad \text{such that} \quad \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1} \le \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} a_k (-\beta)^{k-m} < \frac{1}{\beta+1} \quad \text{for all } 1 \le m \le n.$$

Note that, in the case of  $\beta$ -integers, we have to add  $-\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^+$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^+$  in order to obtain a set resembling  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In the case of  $(-\beta)$ -integers, this is not necessary because the  $(-\beta)$ -transformation allows to represent positive and negative numbers.

It is not difficult to see that  $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} = \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$  when  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}, \beta \geq 2$ . Some other properties of  $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$  can be found in [1], mainly for the case when  $T_{-\beta}^n \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \leq 0$  and  $T_{-\beta}^{2n-1} \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \geq \frac{1-\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . (Note that  $T_{-\beta}^n \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \in \left(\frac{1}{\beta+1} - \frac{\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta}, \frac{1-\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta}\right) \cup \left(\frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1}, 0\right)$  implies  $T_{-\beta}^{n+1} \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) > 0$ .) The set

$$V_{\beta} = \left\{ T_{-\beta}^{n} \left( \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1} \right) \mid n \ge 0 \right\}$$

plays a similar role for  $(-\beta)$ -expansions as the set  $\{T_{\beta}^{n}(1^{-}) \mid n \geq 0\}$  for  $\beta$ -expansions. If  $V_{\beta}$  is a finite set, then we call  $\beta > 1$  an Yrrap number. Note that these numbers are called *Ito-Sadahiro numbers* in [18], in reference to [14]. However, as the generalised  $\beta$ -transformations in [13] with  $E = (1, \ldots, 1)$  are, up to conjugation by the map  $x \mapsto \frac{1}{\beta+1} - x$ , the same as our  $(-\beta)$ -transformations, these numbers were already considered by Góra and perhaps by other authors. Therefore, the neutral but intricate name  $(-\beta)$ -numbers was chosen in [17], referring to the original name  $\beta$ -numbers for Parry numbers [19]. The name Yrrap number, used in the present paper, refers to the connection with Parry numbers and to the fact that  $T_{-\beta}$  is (locally) orientation-reversing.

For any Yrrap number  $\beta \ge (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ , we describe the sequence of  $(-\beta)$ -integers in terms of a two-sided infinite word on a finite alphabet which is a fixed point of an antimorphism (Theorem 3). Note that the orientation-reversing property of the map  $x \mapsto -\beta x$  imposes the use of an anti-morphism instead of a morphism, and that anti-morphisms were considered in a similar context by Enomoto [8].

For  $1 < \beta < \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ , we have  $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} = \{0\}$ , as already proved in [1]. However, our study still makes sense for these bases  $(-\beta)$  because we can also describe the sets

$$S_{-\beta}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (-\beta)^n T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x) \qquad \left(x \in \left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)\right),$$

where the limit set consists of the numbers lying in all but finitely many sets  $(-\beta)^n T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x)$ ,  $n \ge 0$ . Taking the limit instead of the union over all  $n \ge 0$  implies that every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  lies in exactly one set  $S_{-\beta}(x)$ ,  $x \in \left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ , see Lemma 2. Note that  $T_{-\beta}^2\left(\frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1}\right) \neq \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$  when  $\beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Other properties of the  $(-\beta)$ -transformation for  $1 < \beta < \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  are exhibited in [17].

### 2. $\beta$ -integers

In this section, we consider the structure of  $\beta$ -integers. The results are not new, but it is useful to state and prove them in order to understand the differences with  $(-\beta)$ -integers.

Recall that  $\Delta_{\beta} = \{T_{\beta}^{n}(1^{-}) \mid n \geq 0\}$ , and let  $\Delta_{\beta}^{*}$  be the free monoid generated by  $\Delta_{\beta}$ . Elements of  $\Delta_{\beta}^{*}$  will be considered as words on the alphabet  $\Delta_{\beta}$ , and the operation is the concatenation of words. The  $\beta$ -substitution is the morphism  $\varphi_{\beta} : \Delta_{\beta}^{*} \to \Delta_{\beta}^{*}$ , defined by

$$\varphi_{\beta}(x) = \underbrace{11\cdots 1}_{\lceil \beta x \rceil - 1 \text{ times}} T_{\beta}(x^{-}) \qquad (x \in \Delta_{\beta}).$$

Here, 1 is an element of  $\Delta_{\beta}$  and not the identity element of  $\Delta_{\beta}^*$  (which is the empty word). Recall that, as  $\varphi_{\beta}$  is a morphism, we have  $\varphi_{\beta}(uv) = \varphi_{\beta}(u)\varphi_{\beta}(v)$  for all  $u, v \in \Delta_{\beta}^*$ . Since  $\varphi_{\beta}^{n+1}(1) = \varphi_{\beta}^n(\varphi_{\beta}(1))$  and  $\varphi_{\beta}(1)$  starts with 1,  $\varphi_{\beta}^n(1)$  is a prefix of  $\varphi_{\beta}^{n+1}(1)$  for every  $n \ge 0$ .

**Theorem 1.** For any  $\beta > 1$ , the set of non-negative  $\beta$ -integers takes the form

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}^{+} = \{ z_k \mid k \ge 0 \} \quad with \quad z_k = \sum_{j=1}^k u_j \,,$$

where  $u_1u_2\cdots$  is the infinite word with letters in  $\Delta_\beta$  which has  $\varphi_\beta^n(1)$  as prefix for all  $n \ge 0$ . The set of differences between consecutive  $\beta$ -integers is  $\Delta_\beta$ .

The main observation for the proof of the theorem is the following. We use the notation |v| = k and  $L(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} v_j$  for any  $v = v_1 \cdots v_k \in \Delta_{\beta}^k$ ,  $k \ge 0$ .

**Lemma 1.** For any  $n \ge 0$ ,  $1 \le k \le |\varphi_{\beta}^n(1)|$ , we have

$$T^n_{\beta}\left(\left[\frac{z_{k-1}}{\beta^n},\frac{z_k}{\beta^n}\right)\right) = [0,u_k),$$

and  $z_{|\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)|} = L(\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)) = \beta^{n}$ .

*Proof.* For n = 0, we have  $|\varphi_{\beta}^{0}(1)| = 1$ ,  $z_{0} = 0$ ,  $z_{1} = 1$ ,  $u_{1} = 1$ , thus the statements are true. Suppose that they hold for n, and consider

$$u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{|\varphi_{\beta}^{n+1}(1)|} = \varphi_{\beta}^{n+1}(1) = \varphi_{\beta}(\varphi_{\beta}^n(1)) = \varphi_{\beta}(u_1) \varphi_{\beta}(u_2) \cdots \varphi_{\beta}(u_{|\varphi_{\beta}^n(1)|}).$$

Let  $1 \leq k \leq |\varphi_{\beta}^{n+1}(1)|$ , and write  $u_1 \cdots u_k = \varphi_{\beta}(u_1 \cdots u_{j-1}) v_1 \cdots v_i$  with  $1 \leq j \leq |\varphi_{\beta}^n(1)|$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq |\varphi_{\beta}(u_j)|$ , i.e.,  $v_1 \cdots v_i$  is a non-empty prefix of  $\varphi_{\beta}(u_j)$ .

For any  $x \in (0, 1]$ , we have  $T_{\beta}(x^{-}) = \beta x - \lceil \beta x \rceil + 1$ , hence  $L(\varphi_{\beta}(x)) = \beta x$  for  $x \in \Delta_{\beta}$ . This yields that

$$z_k = L(u_1 \cdots u_k) = \beta L(u_1 \cdots u_{j-1}) + L(v_1 \cdots v_i) = \beta z_{j-1} + i - 1 + v_i$$

and  $z_{k-1} = \beta z_{j-1} + i - 1$ , hence

$$\left[\frac{z_{k-1}}{\beta}, \frac{z_k}{\beta}\right] = \left[z_{j-1} + \frac{i-1}{\beta}, z_{j-1} + \frac{i-1+v_i}{\beta}\right] \subseteq [z_{j-1}, z_{j-1} + u_j) = [z_{j-1}, z_j),$$

$$T_{\beta}^{n+1}\left(\left[\frac{z_{k-1}}{\beta^{n+1}}, \frac{z_k}{\beta^{n+1}}\right]\right) = T_{\beta}\left(\left[\frac{i-1}{\beta}, \frac{i-1+v_i}{\beta}\right]\right) = [0, v_i) = [0, u_k).$$

Moreover, we have  $L(\varphi_{\beta}^{n+1}(1)) = \beta L(\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)) = \beta^{n+1}$ , thus the statements hold for n+1.  $\Box$  *Proof of Theorem 1.* By Lemma 1, we have  $z_{|\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)|} = \beta^{n}$  for all  $n \ge 0$ , thus [0,1) is split into the intervals  $[z_{k-1}/\beta^{n}, z_{k}/\beta^{n}), 1 \le k \le |\varphi_{\beta}^{n}(1)|$ . Therefore, Lemma 1 yields that

$$T_{\beta}^{-n}(0) = \{ z_{k-1}/\beta^n \mid 1 \le k \le |\varphi_{\beta}^n(1)| \},\$$

hence

$$\bigcup_{n \ge 0} \beta^n T_{\beta}^{-n}(0) = \{ z_k \mid k \ge 0 \}.$$

Since  $u_k \in \Delta_\beta$  for all  $k \ge 1$  and  $u_{|\varphi^n(1)|} = T^n_\beta(1^-)$  for all  $n \ge 0$ , we have

$$\{z_k - z_{k-1} \mid k \ge 1\} = \{u_k \mid k \ge 1\} = \Delta_\beta.$$

For the sets  $S_{\beta}(x)$ , Lemma 1 gives the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** For any  $x \in [0, 1)$ , we have

$$S_{\beta}(x) = \{ z_k + x \mid k \ge 0, \, u_{k+1} > x \} \subseteq x + S_{\beta}(0) \, .$$

In particular, we have  $S_{\beta}(x) - x = S_{\beta}(y) - y$  for all  $x, y \in [0, 1)$  with  $(x, y] \cap \Delta_{\beta} = \emptyset$ . From the definition of  $S_{\beta}(x)$  and since  $x \in \beta T_{\beta}^{-1}(x)$ , we also get that

$$S_{\beta}(x) = \bigcup_{y \in T_{\beta}^{-1}(x)} \beta S_{\beta}(y) \qquad (x \in [0,1)).$$

This shows that  $S_{\beta}(x)$  is the solution of a graph-directed iterated function system (GIFS) when  $\beta$  is a Parry number, cf. [15, Section 3.2].

3.  $(-\beta)$ -integers

We now turn to the discussion of  $(-\beta)$ -integers and sets  $S_{-\beta}(x), x \in \left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ .

**Lemma 2.** For any  $\beta > 1$ ,  $x \in \left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ , we have

$$S_{-\beta}(x) = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} (-\beta)^n \left( T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x) \setminus \left\{ \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1} \right\} \right) = \bigcup_{y \in T_{-\beta}^{-1}(x)} (-\beta) S_{-\beta}(y) \,.$$

For any  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a unique  $x \in \left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$  such that  $y \in S_{-\beta}(x)$ . If  $T_{-\beta}(x) = x$ , then  $S_{-\beta}(x) = \bigcup_{n \ge 0} (-\beta)^n T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x)$ , in particular  $S_{-\beta}(0) = \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$ .

Proof. If  $y \in S_{-\beta}(x)$ , then we have  $\frac{y}{(-\beta)^n} \in T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x)$  for all sufficiently large n, thus  $y \in (-\beta)^n \left(T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x) \setminus \left\{\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right\}\right)$  for some  $n \ge 0$ . On the other hand,  $y \in (-\beta)^n \left(T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x) \setminus \left\{\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right\}\right)$  for some  $n \ge 0$  implies that  $T_{-\beta}^m(\frac{y}{(-\beta)^m}) = T_{-\beta}^n(\frac{y}{(-\beta)^n}) = x$  for all  $m \ge n$ , thus  $y \in S_{-\beta}(x)$ . This shows the first equation. Since  $x \in \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$  implies that  $x \in (-\beta) \left(T_{-\beta}^{-1}(x) \setminus \left\{\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right\}\right)$ , we obtain that  $S_{-\beta}(x) = \bigcup_{y \in T_{-\beta}^{-1}(x)} (-\beta) S_{-\beta}(y)$  for all  $x \in \left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ .

For any  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $y \in S_{-\beta}\left(T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{y}{(-\beta)^{n}}\right)\right)$  for all  $n \geq 0$  such that  $\frac{y}{(-\beta)^{n}} \in \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ , thus  $y \in S_{-\beta}(x)$  for some  $x \in \left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ . To show that this x is unique, let  $y \in S_{-\beta}(x)$  and  $y \in S_{-\beta}(x')$  for some  $x, x' \in \left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ . Then we have  $y \in (-\beta)^{n}\left(T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x) \setminus \left\{\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right\}\right)$  and  $y \in (-\beta)^{m}\left(T_{-\beta}^{-m}(x') \setminus \left\{\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right\}\right)$  for some  $m, n \geq 0$ , thus  $x = T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{y}{(-\beta)^{n}}\right) = T_{-\beta}^{m}\left(\frac{y}{(-\beta)^{m}}\right) = x'$ . If  $T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) = x = T_{-\beta}(x)$ , then  $T_{-\beta}^{n+2}\left(\frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1}\right) = T_{-\beta}^{n+1}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) = T_{-\beta}(x) = x$  yields that  $(-\beta)^{n}\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1} \in S_{-\beta}(x)$ , which shows that  $S_{-\beta}(x) = \bigcup_{n\geq 0}(-\beta)^{n}T_{-\beta}^{-n}(x)$  when  $T_{-\beta}(x) = x$ .

The first two statements of the following proposition can also be found in [1].

Proposition 1. For any 
$$\beta > 1$$
, we have  $(-\beta) \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$ .  
If  $\beta < (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ , then  $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} = \{0\}$ .  
If  $\beta \ge (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ , then  
 $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap (-\beta)^n [-\beta, 1] = \{(-\beta)^n, (-\beta)^{n+1}\} \cup (-\beta)^{n+2} (T_{-\beta}^{-n-2}(0) \cap (\frac{-1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta^2}))$ 

for all  $n \geq 0$ , in particular

$$\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap [-\beta, 1] = \begin{cases} \{-\beta, -\beta + 1, \dots, -\beta + \lfloor\beta\rfloor, 0, 1\} & \text{if } \beta^2 \ge \lfloor\beta\rfloor(\beta + 1), \\ \{-\beta, -\beta + 1, \dots, -\beta + \lfloor\beta\rfloor - 1, 0, 1\} & \text{if } \beta^2 < \lfloor\beta\rfloor(\beta + 1). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The inclusion  $(-\beta)\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$  is a consequence of Lemma 2 and  $0 \in T_{-\beta}^{-1}(0)$ .

If 
$$\beta < \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$$
, then  $\frac{-1}{\beta} < \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$ , hence  $T_{-\beta}^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} = \{0\}$ , see Figure 1 (right).  
If  $\beta \ge \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ , then  $\frac{-1}{\beta} \in T_{-\beta}^{-1}(0)$  implies  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$ , thus  $(-\beta)^n \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$  for all  $n \ge 0$ . Clearly,  
 $(-\beta)^{n+2} \left(T_{-\beta}^{-n-2}(0) \cap \left(\frac{-1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta^2}\right)\right) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap (-\beta)^n (-\beta, 1)$ .

To show the other inclusion, let  $z \in (-\beta)^m T^{-m}_{-\beta}(0) \cap (-\beta)^n (-\beta, 1)$  for some  $m \ge 0$ . If  $z \ne (-\beta)^m \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$ , then  $\frac{z}{(-\beta)^m} \in \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$  and  $\frac{z}{(-\beta)^{n+2}} \in \left(\frac{-1}{\beta}, \frac{1}{\beta^2}\right) \subseteq \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$  imply that  $T^{n+2}_{-\beta}\left(\frac{z}{(-\beta)^{n+2}}\right) = T^m_{-\beta}\left(\frac{z}{(-\beta)^m}\right) = 0$ . If  $z = (-\beta)^m \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$ , then

$$T_{-\beta}^{n+2}\left(\frac{z}{(-\beta)^{n+2}}\right) = T_{-\beta}^{n+2}\left(\frac{(-\beta)^{m-n-1}}{\beta+1}\right) = T_{-\beta}^{m+2}\left(\frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1}\right) = T_{-\beta}^{m+1}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) = T_{-\beta}(0) = 0,$$

where we have used that  $\frac{z}{(-\beta)^{n+2}} \in \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$  implies  $m \leq n$ . Therefore, we have  $z \in (-\beta)^{n+2} T_{-\beta}^{-n-2}(0)$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap (-\beta)^n (-\beta, 1)$ .

Consider now n = 0, then

$$\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap [-\beta, 1] = \{-\beta, 1\} \cup \{z \in (-\beta, 1) \mid T^2_{-\beta}(z/\beta^2) = 0\}$$

Since  $\frac{-\lfloor\beta\rfloor}{\beta} \ge \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$  if and only if  $\beta^2 \ge \lfloor\beta\rfloor(\beta+1)$ , we obtain that

$$(-\beta) T_{-\beta}^{-1}(0) = \begin{cases} \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor\beta\rfloor\} & \text{if } \beta^2 \ge \lfloor\beta\rfloor(\beta+1), \\ \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor\beta\rfloor - 1\} & \text{if } \beta^2 < \lfloor\beta\rfloor(\beta+1). \end{cases}$$

If  $T^2_{-\beta}(z/\beta^2) = 0$ , then  $z = -a_1\beta + a_0$  with  $a_0 \in (-\beta) T^{-1}_{-\beta}(0)$ ,  $a_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor\beta\rfloor\}$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap [-\beta, 1]$  consists of those numbers  $-a_1\beta + a_0$  lying in  $[-\beta, 1]$ .

Proposition 1 shows that the maximal difference between consecutive  $(-\beta)$ -integers exceeds 1 whenever  $\beta^2 < \lfloor \beta \rfloor (\beta+1)$ , i.e.,  $T_{-\beta} \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) < 0$ . For an example, this was also proved in [1]. In Examples 3 and 4, we see that the distance between two consecutive  $(-\beta)$ -integers can be even greater than 2, and the structure of  $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$  can be quite complicated. Therefore, we adapt a slightly different strategy as for  $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ .

In the following, we always assume that the set

$$V_{\beta}' = V_{\beta} \cup \{0\} = \left\{T_{-\beta}^n\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \mid n \ge 0\right\} \cup \{0\}$$

is finite, i.e.,  $\beta$  is an Yrrap number, and let  $\beta$  be fixed. For  $x \in V'_{\beta}$ , let

$$r_x = \min\left\{y \in V'_{\beta} \cup \left\{\frac{1}{\beta+1}\right\} \mid y > x\right\}, \quad \hat{x} = \frac{x+r_x}{2}, \quad J_x = \{x\} \text{ and } J_{\hat{x}} = (x, r_x).$$

Then  $\{J_a \mid a \in A_\beta\}$  forms a partition of  $\left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ , where

$$A_{\beta} = V_{\beta}' \cup \widehat{V}_{\beta}', \quad \text{with} \quad \widehat{V}_{\beta}' = \{\widehat{x} \mid x \in V_{\beta}'\}.$$

We have  $T_{-\beta}(J_x) = J_{T_{-\beta}(x)}$  for every  $x \in V'_{\beta}$ , and the following lemma shows that the image of every  $J_{\hat{x}}, x \in V'_{\beta}$ , is a union of intervals  $J_a, a \in A_{\beta}$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $x \in V'_{\beta}$  and write

 $J_{\widehat{x}} \cap T_{-\beta}^{-1}(V_{\beta}') = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}, \quad with \quad x = y_0 < y_1 < \dots < y_m < y_{m+1} = r_x.$ For any  $0 \le i \le m$ , we have

$$T_{-\beta}((y_i, y_{i+1})) = J_{\widehat{x}_i} \quad with \quad x_i = \lim_{y \to y_{i+1}} T_{-\beta}(y) \,, \ i.e., \ \widehat{x}_i = T_{-\beta}(\frac{y_i + y_{i+1}}{2}) \,,$$

and  $\beta(y_{i+1} - y_i) = \lambda(J_{\widehat{x}_i})$ , where  $\lambda$  denotes the Lebesgue measure.

Proof. Since  $T_{-\beta}$  maps no point in  $(y_i, y_{i+1})$  to  $\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1} \in V'_{\beta}$ , the map is continuous on this interval and  $\lambda(T_{-\beta}((y_i, y_{i+1}))) = \beta(y_{i+1} - y_i)$ . We have  $x_i \in V'_{\beta}$  since  $x_i = T_{-\beta}(y_{i+1})$  in case  $y_{i+1} < \frac{1}{\beta+1}$ , and  $x_i = \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$  in case  $y_{i+1} = \frac{1}{\beta+1}$ . Since  $y_i = \max\{y \in T_{-\beta}^{-1}(V'_{\beta}) \mid y < y_{i+1}\}$ , we obtain that  $r_{x_i} = \lim_{y \to y_i+} T_{-\beta}(y)$ , thus  $T_{-\beta}((y_i, y_{i+1})) = (x_i, r_{x_i})$ .

In view of Lemma 3, we define an anti-morphism  $\psi_{\beta}: A_{\beta}^* \to A_{\beta}^*$  by

$$\psi_{\beta}(x) = T_{-\beta}(x) \text{ and } \psi_{\beta}(\widehat{x}) = \widehat{x_m} T_{-\beta}(y_m) \cdots \widehat{x_1} T_{-\beta}(y_1) \widehat{x_0} \quad (x \in V_{\beta}'),$$

with  $m, x_i$  and  $y_i$  as in Lemma 3. Here, anti-morphism means that  $\psi_{\beta}(uv) = \psi_{\beta}(v)\psi_{\beta}(u)$ for all  $u, v \in A_{\beta}^*$ . Now, the last letter of  $\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{0})$  is  $\widehat{t}$ , with  $t = \max\{x \in V_{\beta} \mid x < 0\}$ , and the first letter of  $\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{t})$  is  $\widehat{0}$ . Therefore,  $\psi_{\beta}^{2n}(\widehat{0})$  is a prefix of  $\psi_{\beta}^{2n+2}(\widehat{0}) = \psi_{\beta}^{2n}(\psi_{\beta}^2(\widehat{0}))$  and  $\psi_{\beta}^{2n+1}(\widehat{0})$  is a suffix of  $\psi_{\beta}^{2n+3}(\widehat{0})$  for every  $n \ge 0$ .

**Theorem 2.** For any Yrrap number  $\beta \ge (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ , we have

$$\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} = \{ z_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, \, u_{2k} = 0 \} \quad with \quad z_k = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda(J_{u_{2j-1}}) & \text{if } k \ge 0 , \\ -\sum_{j=1}^{|k|} \lambda(J_{u_{-2j+1}}) & \text{if } k \le 0 , \end{cases}$$

where  $\cdots u_{-1}u_0u_1\cdots$  is the two-sided infinite word on the finite alphabet  $A_\beta$  such that  $u_0 = 0$ ,  $\psi_\beta^{2n}(\widehat{0})$  is a prefix of  $u_1u_2\cdots$  and  $\psi_\beta^{2n+1}(\widehat{0})$  is a suffix of  $\cdots u_{-2}u_{-1}$  for all  $n \ge 0$ .

Note that  $\cdots u_{-1}u_0u_1\cdots$  is a fixed point of  $\psi_{\beta}$ , with  $u_0$  being mapped to  $u_0$ .

The following lemma is the analogue of Lemma 1. We use the notation

$$L(v) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda(J_{v_j}) \quad \text{if } v = v_1 \cdots v_k \in A_{\beta}^k.$$

Note that  $u_{2k} \in V'_{\beta}$  and  $u_{2k+1} \in \widehat{V'_{\beta}}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , thus  $\lambda(J_{u_{2k}}) = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 4.** For any  $n \ge 0$ ,  $0 \le k < |\psi_{\beta}^n(\widehat{0})|/2$ , we have

$$T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\frac{z_{(-1)^{n}k}}{(-\beta)^{n}}\right) = u_{(-1)^{n}2k}, \quad T_{-\beta}^{n}\left(\left(\frac{z_{(-1)^{n}k}}{(-\beta)^{n}}, \frac{z_{(-1)^{n}(k+1)}}{(-\beta)^{n}}\right)\right) = J_{u_{(-1)^{n}(2k+1)}},$$

and  $z_{(-1)^n(|\psi_{\beta}^n(\widehat{0})|+1)/2} = (-1)^n L(\psi_{\beta}^n(\widehat{0})) = \lambda(J_{\widehat{0}}) (-\beta)^n = r_0 (-\beta)^n.$ 

*Proof.* The statements are true for n = 0 since  $|\psi_{\beta}^{0}(\widehat{0})| = 1$ ,  $z_{0} = 0$ ,  $z_{1} = \lambda(J_{\widehat{0}}) = r_{0}$ . Suppose that they hold for even n, and consider

$$u_{-|\psi_{\beta}^{n+1}(\widehat{0})|}\cdots u_{-2}u_{-1} = \psi_{\beta}^{n+1}(\widehat{0}) = \psi_{\beta}(\psi_{\beta}^{n}(\widehat{0})) = \psi_{\beta}(u_{|\psi_{\beta}^{n}(\widehat{0})|})\cdots \psi_{\beta}(u_{2})\psi_{\beta}(u_{1})$$

Let  $0 \le k < |\psi_{\beta}^{n+1}(\widehat{0})|/2$ , and write

$$u_{-2k-1}\cdots u_{-1} = v_{-2i-1}\cdots v_{-1}\psi_{\beta}(u_1\cdots u_{2j})$$

with  $0 \leq j < |\psi_{\beta}^{n}(\widehat{0})|/2$ ,  $0 \leq i < |\psi_{\beta}(u_{2j+1})|/2$ , i.e.,  $u_{-2i-1} \cdots u_{-1}$  is a suffix of  $\psi_{\beta}(u_{2j+1})$ . By Lemma 3, we have  $L(\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{x})) = \beta \lambda(J_{\widehat{x}})$  for any  $x \in V'_{\beta}$ . This yields that

$$-z_{-k-1} = \beta L(u_1 \cdots u_{2j}) + L(v_{-2i-1} \cdots v_{-1}) = \beta z_j + L(v_{-2i-1} \cdots v_{-1})$$

and  $-z_{-k} = \beta z_j + L(v_{-2i} \cdots v_{-1})$ . By the induction hypothesis, we obtain that

$$\begin{split} T_{-\beta}^{n+1} \Big( \frac{z_{-k}}{(-\beta)^{n+1}} \Big) &= T_{-\beta}^{n+1} \Big( \frac{z_j}{(-\beta)^n} - \frac{L(v_{-2i} \cdots v_{-1})}{(-\beta)^{n+1}} \Big) \\ &= \begin{cases} T_{-\beta}(u_{2j}) = \psi_{\beta}(u_{2j}) = u_{-2k} & \text{if } i = 0, \\ T_{-\beta} \big( x + L(v_{-2i} \cdots v_{-1})/\beta \big) = T_{-\beta}(y_i) = v_{-2i} = u_{-2k} & \text{if } i \ge 1, \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where the  $y_i$ 's are the numbers from Lemma 3 for  $\hat{x} = u_{2j+1}$ , and

$$T_{-\beta}^{n+1}\left(\left(\frac{z_{-k}}{(-\beta)^{n+1}}, \frac{z_{-k-1}}{(-\beta)^{n+1}}\right)\right) = T_{-\beta}\left((y_i, y_{i+1})\right) = J_{v_{-2i-1}} = J_{u_{-2k-1}}.$$

Moreover, we have  $L(\psi_{\beta}^{n+1}(\widehat{0})) = \beta L(\psi_{\beta}^{n}(\widehat{0})) = r_{0}\beta^{n+1}$ , thus the statements hold for n+1. The proof for odd n runs along the same lines and is therefore omitted.

Proof of Theorem 2. By Lemma 4, we have  $z_{(-1)^n(|\psi_{\beta}^n(\widehat{0})|+1)/2} = r_0 (-\beta)^n$  for all  $n \ge 0$ , thus  $[0, r_0)$  splits into the intervals  $(z_{(-1)^nk}(-\beta)^{-n}, z_{(-1)^n(k+1)}(-\beta)^{-n})$  and points  $z_{(-1)^nk}(-\beta)^{-n}$ ,  $0 \le k < |\psi_{\beta}^n(\widehat{0})|/2$ , hence

$$T_{-\beta}^{-n}(0) \cap [0, r_0) = \left\{ z_{(-1)^n k} (-\beta)^{-n} \mid 0 \le k < |\psi_{\beta}^n(\widehat{0})|/2, \ u_{(-1)^n 2k} = 0 \right\}.$$

Let  $m \ge 1$  be such that  $\beta^{2m} r_0 \ge \frac{1}{\beta+1}$ . Then we have  $\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right) \subseteq \left(-\beta^{2m+1} r_0, \beta^{2m} r_0\right)$ , and  $T_{-\beta}^{-n}(0) \setminus \left\{\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right\} \subseteq \left(-\beta\right)^{2m} \left(T_{-\beta}^{-n-2m}(0) \cap [0, r_0)\right) \cup \left(-\beta\right)^{2m+1} \left(T_{-\beta}^{-n-2m-1}(0) \cap [0, r_0)\right)$ ,

thus

$$\bigcup_{n\geq 0} (-\beta)^n \left( T^{-n}_{-\beta}(0) \setminus \left\{ \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1} \right\} \right) = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} (-\beta)^n \left( T^{-n}_{-\beta}(0) \cap [0, r_0) \right) = \left\{ z_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ u_{2k} = 0 \right\}.$$

Together with Lemma 2, this proves the theorem.

As in the case of positive bases, the word  $\cdots u_{-1}u_0u_1\cdots$  also describes the sets  $S_{-\beta}(x)$ . Theorem 2 and Lemma 4 give the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** For any  $x \in V'_{\beta}$ ,  $y \in J_{\widehat{x}}$ , we have

$$S_{-\beta}(x) = \{ z_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, \, u_{2k} = x \} \quad and \quad S_{-\beta}(y) = \{ z_k + y - x \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, \, u_{2k+1} = \widehat{x} \}$$

Lemma 2 and Corollary 2 imply that  $S_{-\beta}(x)$  is the solution of a GIFS for any Yrrap number  $\beta \ge (1+\sqrt{5})/2$ ,  $x \in \left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ , cf. the end of Section 2.

Recall that our main goal is to understand the structure of  $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$  (in case  $\beta \geq (1+\sqrt{5})/2$ ), i.e., to describe the occurrences of 0 in the word  $\cdots u_{-1}u_0u_1\cdots$  defined in Theorem 2 and the words between two successive occurrences. Let

$$R_{\beta} = \{ u_{2k}u_{2k+1}\cdots u_{2s(k)-1} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, u_{2k} = 0 \} \text{ with } s(k) = \min\{ j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid u_{2j} = 0, j > k \}$$

be the set of return words of 0 in  $\cdots u_{-1}u_0u_1\cdots$ . Note that s(k) is defined for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ since  $(-\beta)^n \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$  for all  $n \ge 0$  by Proposition 1.

For any  $w \in R_{\beta}$ , the word  $\psi_{\beta}(w0)$  is a factor of  $\cdots u_{-1}u_0u_1\cdots$  starting and ending with 0, thus we can write  $\psi_{\beta}(w_0) = w_1 \cdots w_m_0$  with  $w_j \in R_{\beta}, 1 \leq j \leq m$ , and set

$$\varphi_{-\beta}(w) = w_1 \cdots w_m$$

This defines an anti-morphism  $\varphi_{-\beta}: R^*_{\beta} \to R^*_{\beta}$ , which plays the role of the  $\beta$ -substitution.

Since  $\cdots u_{-1}u_0u_1\cdots$  is generated by  $u_1=\hat{0}$ , as described in Theorem 2, we consider  $w_{\beta} = u_0 u_1 \cdots u_{2s(0)-1}$ . We have

$$[0,1] = \left[0,\frac{1}{\beta+1}\right) \cup \left[\frac{1}{\beta+1},1\right], \quad T_{-\beta}\left((-\beta)^{-1}\left[\frac{1}{\beta+1},1\right]\right) = \left[\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1},0\right],$$

thus  $L(w_{\beta}) = 1$  and

$$w_{\beta} = 0 \,\widehat{0} \, x_1 \,\widehat{x_1} \,\cdots \, x_m \,\widehat{x_m} \, x_{-\ell} \,\widehat{x_{-\ell}} \,\cdots \, x_{-1} \,\widehat{x_{-1}} \,,$$

where the  $x_j$  are defined by  $V'_{\beta} = \{x_{-\ell}, \dots, x_{-1}, 0, x_1, \dots, x_m\}, x_{-\ell} < \dots < x_{-1} < 0 < \dots$  $x_1 < \cdots < x_m$ .

**Theorem 3.** For any Yrrap number  $\beta \ge (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ , we have

$$\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} = \{ z'_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \} \quad with \quad z'_k = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^k L(u'_j) & \text{if } k \ge 0 ,\\ -\sum_{j=1}^{|k|} L(u'_{-j}) & \text{if } k \le 0 , \end{cases}$$

where  $\cdots u'_{-2}u'_{-1}u'_{1}u'_{2}\cdots$  is the two-sided infinite word on the finite alphabet  $R_{\beta}$  such that  $\varphi_{-\beta}^{2n}(w_{\beta})$  is a prefix of  $u'_1u'_2\cdots$  and  $\varphi_{-\beta}^{2n+1}(w_{\beta})$  is a suffix of  $\cdots u'_{-2}u'_{-1}$  for all  $n \ge 0$ .

The set of distances between consecutive  $(-\beta)$ -integers is

$$\Delta_{-\beta} = \{ z'_{k+1} - z'_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \} = \{ L(w) \mid w \in R_\beta \}.$$

Note that the index 0 is omitted in  $\cdots u'_{-2}u'_{-1}u'_{1}u'_{2}\cdots$  for reasons of symmetry.

*Proof.* The definitions of  $\cdots u_{-1}u_0u_1\cdots$  in Theorem 2 and of  $\varphi_{-\beta}$  imply that  $\cdots u'_{-2}u'_{-1}$  $u'_1 u'_2 \cdots$  is the derived word of  $\cdots u_{-1} u_0 u_1 \cdots$  with respect to  $R_\beta$ , that is

$$u'_{k} = u_{|u'_{1}\cdots u'_{k-1}|}\cdots u_{|u'_{1}\cdots u'_{k}|-1}, \quad u'_{-k} = u_{-|u'_{-k}\cdots u'_{-1}|}\cdots u_{-|u'_{1-k}\cdots u'_{-1}|-1} \quad (k \ge 1)$$

with

$$\{|u'_1 \cdots u'_{k-1}| \mid k \ge 1\} \cup \{-|u'_{-k} \cdots u'_{-1}| \mid k \ge 1\} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid u_k = 0\}$$

Here, for any  $v \in R^*_{\beta}$ , |v| denotes the length of v as a word in  $A^*_{\beta}$ , not in  $R^*_{\beta}$ . Since

$$z'_{k} = \sum_{j=1}^{k} L(u'_{j}) = \sum_{j=0}^{|u'_{1}\cdots u'_{k}|-1} \lambda(J_{u_{j}}) = \sum_{j=1}^{|u'_{1}\cdots u'_{k}|} \lambda(J_{u_{j}}), \quad z'_{-k} = -\sum_{j=1}^{k} L(u'_{-j}) = -\sum_{j=1}^{|u'_{-k}\cdots u'_{-1}|} \lambda(J_{u_{-j}})$$

for all  $k \ge 0$ , Theorem 2 yields that  $\{z'_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$ .

It follows from the definition of  $R_{\beta}$  that  $\Delta_{-\beta} = \{L(w) \mid w \in R_{\beta}\}.$ 

It remains to show that  $R_{\beta}$  is a finite set. We first show that the restriction of  $\psi_{\beta}$  to  $V_{\beta}$ is primitive, which means that there exists some  $m \geq 1$  such that, for every  $x \in V'_{\beta}$ ,  $\psi^m_{\beta}(\hat{x})$ contains all elements of  $\widehat{V}'_{\beta}$ . The proof is taken from [13, Proposition 8]. If  $\beta > 2$ , then the largest connected pieces of images of  $J_{\hat{x}}$  under  $T_{-\beta}$  grow until they cover two consecutive discontinuity points  $\frac{1}{\beta+1} - \frac{a+1}{\beta}$ ,  $\frac{1}{\beta+1} - \frac{a}{\beta}$  of  $T_{-\beta}$ , and the next image covers all intervals  $J_{\hat{y}}$ ,  $y \in V'_{\beta}$ . If  $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} < \beta \leq 2$ , then  $\beta^2 > 2$  implies that the largest connected pieces of images of  $J_{\hat{x}}$  under  $T^2_{-\beta}$  grow until they cover two consecutive discontinuity points of  $T^2_{-\beta}$ . Since

$$T^{2}_{-\beta}\left(\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1},\frac{\beta^{-2}}{\beta+1}-\frac{1}{\beta}\right)\right) = \left(\frac{-\beta^{3}+\beta^{2}+\beta}{\beta+1},\frac{1}{\beta+1}\right), \qquad T^{2}_{-\beta}\left(\left(\frac{\beta^{-2}}{\beta+1}-\frac{1}{\beta},\frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1}\right)\right) = \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1},\frac{\beta^{2}-\beta-1}{\beta+1}\right),$$
$$T^{2}_{-\beta}\left(\left(\frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1},\frac{\beta^{-2}}{\beta+1}\right)\right) = \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1},\frac{1}{\beta+1}\right), \qquad T^{2}_{-\beta}\left(\left(\frac{\beta^{-2}}{\beta+1},\frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)\right) = \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1},\frac{\beta^{2}-\beta-1}{\beta+1}\right),$$

the next image covers the fixed point 0, and further images grow until after a finite number of steps they cover all intervals  $J_{\hat{y}}, y \in V'_{\beta}$ . The case  $\beta = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  is treated in Example 1.

If  $T_{-\beta}^n\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , then  $T_{-\beta}^n$  is continuous at all points  $x \in \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$  with  $T_{-\beta}^n(x) = 0$ , thus  $u_{2k} = 0$  is equivalent to  $u_{2k+1} = \hat{0}$  (see also Proposition 2 below). Hence we can consider the return words of  $\hat{0}$  in  $\cdots u_{-1}u_0u_1\cdots$  instead of the return words of 0. Since  $\psi_{\beta}^m(\hat{x}_0 x_1 \hat{x}_2)$  has at least two occurrences of  $\hat{0}$  for all  $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in V_{\beta}$ , there are only finitely many such return words. If  $T_{-\beta}^n\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) = 0$ , then  $\psi_{\beta}^n(x_0 \hat{x}_1 x_2)$  starts and ends with 0 for all  $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in V_{\beta}$ , hence  $R_{\beta}$  is finite as well.

For details on derived words of primitive substitutive words, we refer to [7].

We remark that, for practical reasons, the set  $R_{\beta}$  can be obtained from the set  $R = \{w_{\beta}\}$  by adding to R iteratively all return words of 0 which appear in  $\psi_{\beta}(w0)$  for some  $w \in R$  until R stabilises. The final set R is equal to  $R_{\beta}$ .

Now, we apply the theorems in the case of two quadratic examples.

*Example* 1. Let 
$$\beta = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$$
, i.e.,  $\beta^2 = \beta + 1$ , and  $t = \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1} = \frac{-1}{\beta}$ , then  $V_\beta = V'_\beta = \{t, 0\}$ . Since  $J_{\widehat{t}} = (t, 0) = (t, \frac{-1}{\beta^3}) \cup \{\frac{-1}{\beta^3}\} \cup (\frac{-1}{\beta^3}, 0)$ ,  $J_{\widehat{0}} = (0, \frac{1}{\beta^2})$ ,

see Figure 1 (middle), the anti-morphism  $\psi_{\beta}$  on  $A_{\beta}^*$  is defined by

 $\psi_{\beta}: \quad t \mapsto 0 \,, \quad \widehat{t} \mapsto \widehat{0} \, t \, \widehat{t} \,, \quad 0 \mapsto 0 \,, \quad \widehat{0} \mapsto \widehat{t} \,.$ 

Its two-sided fixed point  $\cdots u_{-1}u_0u_1\cdots$  is

$$\cdots \underbrace{0}_{\psi_{\beta}(0)} \underbrace{\widehat{0}t\widehat{t}}_{\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{t})} \underbrace{0}_{\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{t})} \underbrace{\widehat{t}}_{\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{0})} \underbrace{0}_{\psi_{\beta}(0)} \underbrace{\widehat{t}t}_{\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{t})} \underbrace{0}_{\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{t})} \underbrace{\widehat{t}}_{\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{0})} \underbrace{0}_{\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{t})} \underbrace{0}_{\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{t}$$

where  $\dot{0}$  marks the central letter  $u_0$ . The return word of 0 starting at  $u_0$  is  $w_\beta = 0 \ \hat{0} t \hat{t}$ . The image  $\psi_\beta(w_\beta 0) = 0 \ \hat{0} t \hat{t} 0 \hat{t} \hat{t}$  ocontains the return words  $w_\beta$  and  $0 \hat{t}$ . Since  $\psi_\beta(0 \hat{t} 0) = 0 \ \hat{0} t \hat{t} 0$ , there are no other return words of 0, i.e.,  $R_\beta = \{A, B\}$  with  $A = 0 \ \hat{0} t \hat{t}$ ,  $B = 0 \ \hat{t}$ . Therefore,  $\cdots u'_{-2} u'_{-1} u'_1 u'_2 \cdots$  is a two-sided fixed point of the anti-morphism

$$\varphi_{-\beta}: A \mapsto AB, B \mapsto A,$$

with

FIGURE 2. The 
$$(-\beta)$$
-integers in  $[-\beta^3, \beta^4], \beta = (1+\sqrt{5})/2$ 

*Example* 2. Let  $\beta = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ , i.e.,  $\beta^2 = 3\beta - 1$ , then the  $(-\beta)$ -transformation is depicted in Figure 3, where  $t_0 = \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$ ,  $t_1 = T_{-\beta}(t_0) = \frac{\beta^2}{\beta+1} - 2 = \frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1}$ ,  $T_{-\beta}(t_1) = \frac{1}{\beta+1} - 1 = t_0$ . Therefore,  $V'_{\beta} = \{t_0, t_1, 0\}$  and the anti-morphism  $\psi_{\beta} : A^*_{\beta} \to A^*_{\beta}$  is defined by

 $\psi_{\beta}: \quad t_0 \mapsto t_1, \quad \widehat{t_0} \mapsto \widehat{t_0} t_1 \widehat{t_1} 0 \,\widehat{0} t_0 \,\widehat{t_0}, \quad t_1 \mapsto t_0, \quad \widehat{t_1} \mapsto \widehat{0}, \quad 0 \mapsto 0, \quad \widehat{0} \mapsto \widehat{t_0} t_1 \,\widehat{t_1},$ which has the two-sided fixed point

$$\cdots \underbrace{0}_{\psi_{\beta}(0)} \underbrace{0}_{\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{t_{1}})} \underbrace{t_{0}}_{\psi_{\beta}(t_{1})} \underbrace{\widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}}}_{\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{t_{0}})} \underbrace{t_{1}}_{\psi_{\beta}(t_{0})} \underbrace{\widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}}}_{\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{0})} \underbrace{\widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}}}_{\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{0})} \underbrace{0}_{\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{t_{1}})} \underbrace{t_{0}}_{\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{t_{1}})} \underbrace{\widehat{t_{0}} t_{1} \widehat{t_{1}} 0 \widehat{0} t_{0} \widehat{t_{0}}}_{\psi_{\beta}(\widehat{t_{0}})} \cdots,$$

where  $\dot{0}$  marks the central letter  $u_0$ . We have  $w_\beta = 0 \,\widehat{0} \,t_0 \,t_1 \,\hat{t_1}$  and

$$\begin{split} \psi_{\beta} : & 0 \,\widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, t_1 \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \mapsto 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, t_1 \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, t_1 \, \widehat{t_0} \, t_1 \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, , \\ & 0 \,\widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, t_1 \, \widehat{t_0} \, t_1 \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \mapsto 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, t_1 \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, t_1 \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, t_1 \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, t_1 \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, t_1 \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, t_1 \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, t_1 \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, \overline{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, \overline{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, \overline{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, \overline{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, \overline{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, \overline{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, \overline{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, \overline{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, \overline{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, \overline{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, 0 \, \widehat{0} \, t_0 \, \widehat{t_0} \, \overline{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \overline{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \overline{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_0} \,$$

Note that  $0 \ \hat{0} t_0 \ \hat{t}_0 \ t_1 \ \hat{t}_0 \ t_1 \ \hat{t}_1$  and  $0 \ \hat{0} \ t_0 \ \hat{t}_0 \ \hat{t}_0 \ \hat{t}_1 \ \hat{t}_1$  differ only by a letter in  $V'_{\beta}$ , and correspond therefore to intervals of the same length. Since the letters  $t_0$  and  $t_1$  are never mapped to 0, we identify these two return words. This means that  $R_{\beta} = \{A, B\}$  with  $A = 0 \ \hat{0} \ t_0 \ \hat{t}_0 \ t_1 \ \hat{t}_1$ ,  $B = 0 \ \hat{0} \ t_0 \ \hat{t}_0 \ t_1 \ \hat{t}_1$ , and

$$\cdots u'_{-2}u'_{-1} u'_{1}u'_{2}\cdots = \cdots ABBABABBABBAB ABBABBABBABBABBAB \cdots$$

is a two-sided fixed point of the anti-morphism

$$\varphi_{-\beta}: A \mapsto AB, B \mapsto ABB.$$

We have L(A) = 1,  $L(B) = \beta - 1 > 1$ , and some  $(-\beta)$ -integers are shown in Figure 3.





We remark that it is sufficient to consider the elements of  $\widehat{V}'_{\beta}$  when one is only interested in  $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$ . This is made precise in the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.** Let  $\beta$  and  $\cdots u_{-1}u_0u_1\cdots$  be as in Theorem 2,  $t = \max\{x \in V_\beta \mid x < 0\}$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $u_{2k} = 0$  is equivalent to  $u_{2k-1} = \hat{t}$  or  $u_{2k+1} = \hat{0}$ .

If  $0 \notin V_{\beta}$  or the size of  $V_{\beta}$  is even, then  $u_{2k} = 0$  is equivalent to  $u_{2k-1} = \hat{t}$ .

If  $0 \notin V_{\beta}$  or the size of  $V_{\beta}$  is odd, then  $u_{2k} = 0$  is equivalent to  $u_{2k+1} = \widehat{0}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m \ge 0$  such that  $z_k/\beta^{2m} \in \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ . Then we have

- $u_{2k} = 0$  if and only if  $T^{2m}_{-\beta}(z_k/\beta^{2m}) = 0$ ,
- $u_{2k-1} = \hat{t}$  if and only if  $\lim_{y \to z_k -} T^{2m}_{-\beta}(y/\beta^{2m}) = 0$ ,
- $u_{2k+1} = \widehat{0}$  if and only if  $\lim_{y \to z_k+} T^{2m}_{-\beta}(y/\beta^{2m}) = 0.$

Thus  $u_{2k} = 0$ ,  $u_{2k-1} = \hat{t}$  and  $u_{2k+1} = \hat{0}$  are equivalent when  $T_{-\beta}^{2m}$  is continuous at  $z_k/\beta^{2m}$ . Assume from now on that  $z_k/\beta^{2m}$  is a discontinuity point of  $T_{-\beta}^{2m}$ . Then  $T_{-\beta}^{\ell}(z_k/\beta^{2m}) = \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$  for some  $1 \leq \ell \leq 2m$  and, if  $\ell$  is minimal with this property,

$$\lim_{y \to z_k -} T_{-\beta}^{2\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + 1}(y/\beta^{2m}) = \frac{-\beta}{\beta + 1} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{y \to z_k +} T_{-\beta}^{2\lceil \ell/2 \rceil}(y/\beta^{2m}) = \frac{-\beta}{\beta + 1}$$

Hence, if  $0 \notin V_{\beta}$ , we cannot have  $u_{2k} = 0$ ,  $u_{2k-1} = \hat{t}$  or  $u_{2k+1} = \hat{0}$  at a discontinuity point, which proves the proposition in this case. If  $0 \in V_{\beta}$ , then  $T_{-\beta}^{\#V_{\beta}-1}\left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) = 0$ , thus

- $T^{j}_{-\beta}(z_k/\beta^{2m}) = 0$  if and only if  $j \ge \ell + \#V_\beta 1$ ,
- $\lim_{y\to z_k} T^j_{-\beta}(y/\beta^{2m}) = 0$  if and only if  $j \ge 2\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + \#V_{\beta}$ ,
- $\lim_{y\to z_k+} T^j_{-\beta}(y/\beta^{2m}) = 0$  if and only if  $j \ge 2\lceil \ell/2 \rceil + \#V_\beta 1$ .

Since  $2\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor \ge \ell - 1$  and  $2\lceil \ell/2 \rceil \ge \ell$ , we obtain  $u_{2k} = 0$  whenever  $u_{2k-1} = \hat{t}$  or  $u_{2k+1} = \hat{0}$ . If  $\#V_{\beta}$  is even, then  $u_{2k} = 0$  implies that  $u_{2k-1} = \hat{t}$  since  $2m \ge \ell + \#V_{\beta} - 1$  implies that  $2m \ge 2\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + \#V_{\beta}$ . If  $\#V_{\beta}$  is odd, then  $u_{2k} = 0$  implies that  $u_{2k+1} = \hat{0}$  since  $2m \ge \ell + \#V_{\beta} - 1$  implies that  $2m \ge 2\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + \#V_{\beta} - 1$  implies that  $2m \ge 2\lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor + \#V_{\beta} - 1$ . This proves the proposition.  $\Box$ 

By Proposition 2, it suffices to consider the anti-morphism  $\widehat{\psi}_{\beta}: \widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime*} \to \widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime*}$  defined by

$$\widehat{\psi}_{\beta}(\widehat{x}) = \widehat{x_m} \cdots \widehat{x_1} \, \widehat{x_0} \quad \text{when} \quad \psi_{\beta}(\widehat{x}) = \widehat{x_m} \, T_{-\beta}(y_m) \cdots \widehat{x_1} \, T_{-\beta}(y_1) \, \widehat{x_0} \quad (x \in V_{\beta}').$$

Then  $\Delta_{-\beta}$  is given by the set  $\widehat{R}_{\beta}$  which consists of the return words of  $\widehat{0}$  when  $0 \notin V_{\beta}$  or the size of  $V_{\beta}$  is odd. When  $0 \in V_{\beta}$  and the size of  $V_{\beta}$  is even, as in Example 1, then  $\widehat{R}_{\beta}$ consists of the words  $w \widehat{t}$  such that  $\widehat{t} w$  is a return word of  $\widehat{t}$ .

Example 3. Let  $\beta > 1$  with  $\beta^3 = 2\beta^2 + 1$ , i.e.,  $\beta \approx 2.206$ , and let  $t_n = T^n_{-\beta} \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right)$  for  $n \ge 0$ . Then we have

$$t_0 = \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}, \quad t_1 = \frac{\beta^2}{\beta+1} - 2 = \frac{\beta^{-1}-2}{\beta+1}, \quad t_2 = \frac{2\beta-1}{\beta+1} - 1 = \frac{\beta^{-2}}{\beta+1}, \quad t_3 = \frac{-\beta^{-1}}{\beta+1}, \quad t_4 = \frac{1}{\beta+1} - 1 = t_0,$$

see Figure 4. The anti-morphism  $\widehat{\psi}_{\beta}: \widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime*} \to \widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime*}$  is therefore defined by

 $\widehat{\psi}_{\beta}:\quad \widehat{t_0}\mapsto \widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,,\quad \widehat{t_1}\mapsto \widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\widehat{0}\,,\quad \widehat{t_3}\mapsto \widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,,\quad \widehat{0}\mapsto \widehat{t_3}\,,\quad \widehat{t_2}\mapsto \widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,.$ 

Since  $0 \notin V_{\beta}$ , we consider return words of  $\widehat{0}$  in the  $\widehat{\psi}_{\beta}$ -images of  $\widehat{w}_{\beta} = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}$ :

$$\begin{split} &\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\mapsto\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,,\\ &\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\mapsto\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,,\\ &\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\mapsto\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,,\\ &\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\mapsto\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,,\\ &\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\mapsto\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,,\\ &\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\mapsto\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{0}\,\widehat{t_2}\,\widehat{t_0}\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_3}\,\,\widehat{t_1}\,\widehat{t_$$

Hence  $\widehat{R}_{\beta} = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$  with  $A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, B = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, C = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, D = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, E = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_3}, A = \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_1} \,$ 

 $\widehat{\varphi}_{-\beta}: A \mapsto AB, \quad B \mapsto AC, \quad C \mapsto AD, \quad D \mapsto AED, \quad E \mapsto ABD.$ The  $(-\beta)$ -integers in  $[-\beta^3, \beta^4]$  are represented in Figure 4, and we have  $L(A) = 1, \quad L(B) = \beta - 1, \quad L(C) = \beta^2 - \beta - 1, \quad L(D) = \beta^2 - \beta \approx 2.659, \quad L(E) = \beta.$ Note that  $L(D) > \beta > 2$ . Moreover, the cardinality of  $\Delta_{-\beta}$  is larger than that of  $V_{\beta}$ , which in turn is larger than the algebraic degree d of  $\beta$   $(\#\Delta_{-\beta} = 5, \#V_{\beta} = 4, d = 3).$ 



FIGURE 4. The  $(-\beta)$ -transformation and  $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap [-\beta^3, \beta^4]$  from Example 3.

Example 4. Let  $\beta > 1$  with  $\beta^6 = 3\beta^5 + 2\beta^4 + 2\beta^3 + \beta^2 - 2\beta - 1$ , i.e.,  $\beta \approx 3.695$ , then the  $(-\beta)$ -transformation is depicted in Figure 5, where  $t_n = T_{-\beta}^n \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right)$ . We have  $t_5 = \frac{-1}{\beta+1} = t_6$ . The anti-morphism  $\widehat{\psi}_{\beta} : \widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime *} \to \widehat{V}_{\beta}^{\prime *}$  is therefore given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi}_{\beta} : \quad & \widehat{t_0} \mapsto \widehat{t_3} \, \widehat{t_5} \,, \qquad & \widehat{t_2} \mapsto \widehat{t_4} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_2} \,, \qquad & \widehat{t_3} \mapsto \widehat{t_5} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_4} \, \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_3} \, \widehat{t_5} \, \widehat{t_1} \, \widehat{0} \,, \\ & \widehat{t_5} \mapsto \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_3} \,, \qquad & \widehat{t_1} \mapsto \widehat{0} \, \widehat{t_4} \, \widehat{t_0} \,, \qquad & \widehat{0} \mapsto \widehat{t_5} \, \widehat{t_1} \,, \qquad & \widehat{t_4} \mapsto \widehat{t_0} \, \widehat{t_2} \, \widehat{t_3} \,. \end{aligned}$$

In order to deal with shorter words, we group the letters forming the words

 $a = \widehat{0} \,\widehat{t_4}, \, b = \widehat{t_0} \,\widehat{t_2} \,\widehat{t_3} \,\widehat{t_5} \,\widehat{t_1}, \, c = \widehat{t_0} \,\widehat{t_2} \,\widehat{t_3} \,\widehat{t_5}, \, d = \widehat{t_2} \,\widehat{t_3} \,\widehat{t_5} \,\widehat{t_1}, \, e = \widehat{t_0} \,\widehat{t_2}, \, f = \widehat{t_4}, \, g = \widehat{t_0} \,\widehat{t_2} \,\widehat{t_3}, \, h = \widehat{t_5} \,\widehat{t_1},$ which correspond to the intervals  $J_a = \left(0, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right), \, J_b = (t_0, 0), \, J_c = (t_0, t_1), \, J_d = (t_2, 0),$  $J_e = (t_0, t_3), \, J_f = \left(t_4, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right), \, J_g = (t_0, t_5), \, J_h = (t_5, 0), \text{ occurring in iterated images of } J_a.$ The anti-morphism  $\widehat{\psi}_\beta$  acts on these words by

$$\begin{split} \psi_{\beta} : & a \mapsto b \,, & b \mapsto ababac \,, & c \mapsto dabac \,, & d \mapsto ababae \,, \\ & e \mapsto fc \,, & f \mapsto g \,, & g \mapsto habac \,, & h \mapsto ag \,. \end{split}$$

Since  $\hat{0}$  only occurs at the beginning of a, the return words of  $\hat{0}$  with their  $\hat{\psi}_{\beta}$ -images are

Therefore,  $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$  is described by the anti-morphism  $\widehat{\varphi}_{-\beta}$ :  $\widehat{R}^*_{\beta} \to \widehat{R}^*_{\beta}$  which is defined by

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\varphi}_{-\beta}: & A \mapsto AAB \,, & L(A) = 1 \,, \\ & B \mapsto AACAB \,, & L(B) = \beta - 2 \approx 1.695 \,, \\ & C \mapsto AADAB \,, & L(C) = \beta^2 - 3\beta - 1 \approx 1.569 \,, \\ & D \mapsto AAE \,, & L(D) = \beta^3 - 3\beta^2 - 2\beta - 1 \approx 1.104 \,, \\ & E \mapsto AACAF \,, & L(E) = \beta^4 - 3\beta^3 - 2\beta^2 - \beta - 2 \approx 2.081 \,, \\ & F \mapsto AACABACAB \,, & L(F) = \beta^5 - 3\beta^4 - 2\beta^3 - 2\beta^2 + \beta - 2 \approx 3.12 \,. \end{split}$$



FIGURE 5. The  $(-\beta)$ -transformation and  $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} \cap [-\beta, \beta^2]$  from Example 4.

#### 4. Conclusions

With every Yrrap number  $\beta \geq (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ , we have associated an anti-morphism  $\varphi_{-\beta}$ on a finite alphabet. The distances between consecutive  $(-\beta)$ -integers are described by a fixed point of  $\varphi_{-\beta}$ . In [1], the anti-morphism is described explicitly for each  $\beta > 1$  such that  $T_{-\beta}^n \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \leq 0$  and  $T_{-\beta}^{2n-1} \left(\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}\right) \geq \frac{1-|\beta|}{\beta}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Examples 3 and 4 show that the situation can be quite complicated when this condition is not fulfilled. Although  $\varphi_{-\beta}$  can be obtained by a simple algorithm, it seems to be difficult to find a priori bounds for the number of different distances between consecutive  $(-\beta)$ -integers or for their maximal value. Only the case of quadratic Pisot numbers  $\beta$  is completely solved; here, we know from [14, 1] that  $\#V_{\beta} = \#\Delta_{-\beta} = 2$ .

Recall that the maximal distance between consecutive  $\beta$ -integers is 1, and the number of different distances is equal to the cardinality of the set  $\{T^n_{\beta}(1^-) \mid n \ge 0\}$ . Example 3 shows that the  $(-\beta)$ -integers do not satisfy similar properties. By generalising Example 4 to  $\beta > 1$  with  $\beta^6 = (m+1)\beta^5 + m\beta^4 + m\beta^3 + \beta^2 - m\beta - 1$ ,  $m \ge 2$ , one sees that the maximal distance can be arbitrarily close to 4 for algebraic integers of degree 6 and  $\#V_{\beta} = 6$ .

In a forthcoming paper, we associate anti-morphisms  $\varphi_{-\beta}$  on infinite alphabets with non-Yrrap numbers  $\beta$ , by considering the intervals occurring in the iterated  $T_{-\beta}$ -images of  $\left(0, \frac{1}{\beta+1}\right)$ , cf. Example 4, and we show that the distances between consecutive  $(-\beta)$ -integers can be unbounded, e.g. for  $\beta > 1$  satisfying  $\frac{-\beta}{\beta+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k (-\beta)^{-k}$  where  $a_1 a_2 \cdots =$  $3123212312322 \cdots$  is a fixed point of the morphism  $3 \mapsto 31232, 2 \mapsto 2, 1 \mapsto 1$ . For Yrrap numbers  $\beta$ , this implies that there is no bound for the distance between consecutive  $(-\beta)$ -integers which is independent of  $\beta$ . However, large distances occur probably only far away from 0 and when  $\#V_\beta$  is large, and it would be interesting to quantify these relations.

Another topic that is worth investigating is the structure of the sets  $S_{-\beta}(x)$  for  $x \neq 0$ , and of the corresponding tilings when  $\beta$  is a Pisot unit. A related question is whether  $\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}$ can be given by a cut and project scheme, cf. [5, 12].

#### References

- P. Ambrož, D. Dombek, Z. Masáková, and E. Pelantová, Numbers with integer expansion in the numeration system with negative base, arXiv:0912.4597v3.
- L. Balková, J.-P. Gazeau, and E. Pelantová, Asymptotic behavior of beta-integers, Lett. Math. Phys. 84 (2008), no. 2-3, 179–198.
- L. Balková, E. Pelantová, and W. Steiner, Sequences with constant number of return words, Monatsh. Math. 155 (2008), no. 3-4, 251–263.
- J. Bernat, Z. Masáková, and E. Pelantová, On a class of infinite words with affine factor complexity, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 389 (2007), no. 1-2, 12–25.
- V. Berthé and A. Siegel, *Tilings associated with beta-numeration and substitutions*, Integers 5 (2005), no. 3, A2, 46 pp. (electronic).
- Č. Burdík, C. Frougny, J. P. Gazeau, and R. Krejcar, Beta-integers as natural counting systems for quasicrystals, J. Phys. A 31 (1998), no. 30, 6449–6472.
- F. Durand, A characterization of substitutive sequences using return words, Discrete Math. 179 (1998), no. 1-3, 89–101.

- F. Enomoto, AH-substitution and Markov partition of a group automorphism on T<sup>d</sup>, Tokyo J. Math. 31 (2008), no. 2, 375–398.
- 9. S. Fabre, Substitutions et  $\beta$ -systèmes de numération, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 137 (1995), no. 2, 219–236.
- C. Frougny and A. C. Lai, On negative bases, Proceedings of DLT 09, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 5583, Springer, Berlin, 2009, pp. 252–263.
- C. Frougny, Z. Masáková, and E. Pelantová, Complexity of infinite words associated with betaexpansions, Theor. Inform. Appl. 38 (2004), no. 2, 163–185, Corrigendum: Theor. Inform. Appl. 38 (2004), no. 3, 269–271.
- J.-P. Gazeau and J.-L. Verger-Gaugry, Geometric study of the beta-integers for a Perron number and mathematical quasicrystals, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 16 (2004), no. 1, 125–149.
- 13. P. Góra, *Invariant densities for generalized*  $\beta$ -maps, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **27** (2007), no. 5, 1583–1598.
- 14. S. Ito and T. Sadahiro, Beta-expansions with negative bases, Integers 9 (2009), A22, 239–259.
- 15. C. Kalle and W. Steiner, *Beta-expansions, natural extensions and multiple tilings associated with Pisot units*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- K. Klouda and E. Pelantová, Factor complexity of infinite words associated with non-simple Parry numbers, Integers 9 (2009), A24, 281–310.
- 17. L. Liao and W. Steiner, Dynamical properties of the negative beta-transformation, arXiv:1101.2366v2.
- 18. Z. Masáková and E. Pelantová, Ito-Sadahiro numbers vs. Parry numbers, arXiv:1010.6181v1.
- 19. W. Parry, On the  $\beta$ -expansions of real numbers, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 11 (1960), 401–416.
- A. Rényi, Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 8 (1957), 477–493.
- 21. W. Thurston, Groups, tilings and finite state automata, AMS Colloquium lectures (1989).

LIAFA, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DIDEROT – PARIS 7, CASE 7014, 75205 PARIS CEDEX 13, FRANCE

*E-mail address*: steiner@liafa.jussieu.fr