



HAL
open science

Hotel NHS and the Acute Abdomen – admit first, investigate later.

Kamal Aryal, Arnab Bhowmick, Alan Beveridge, Nigel A. Scott

► **To cite this version:**

Kamal Aryal, Arnab Bhowmick, Alan Beveridge, Nigel A. Scott. Hotel NHS and the Acute Abdomen – admit first, investigate later.. International Journal of Clinical Practice, 2009, 63 (12), pp.1805. 10.1111/j.1742-1241.2009.02217.x . hal-00532547

HAL Id: hal-00532547

<https://hal.science/hal-00532547>

Submitted on 4 Nov 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Hotel NHS and the Acute Abdomen – admit first, investigate later.

Journal:	<i>International Journal of Clinical Practice</i>
Manuscript ID:	IJCP-06-09-0319.FT10.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	05-Jul-2009
Complete List of Authors:	Aryal, Kamal; Royal Preston Hospital, Colorectal Surgery Bhowmick, Arnab; Royal Preston Hospital, Colorectal Surgery Beveridge, Alan; Royal Preston Hospital, Colorectal Surgery Scott, Nigel; Royal Preston Hospital, Colorectal Surgery



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11 **Hotel NHS and the Acute Abdomen**

12
13 **– admit first, investigate later.**
14
15

16
17
18 Kamal Aryal, SpR General Surgery
19 Royal Preston Hospital, Preston
20

21
22 A Bhowmick, Consultant Colorectal Surgeon,
23 Royal Preston Hospital, Preston
24

25
26 AJ Beveridge, Consultant Colorectal Surgeon,
27 Royal Preston Hospital, Preston
28

29
30 NA Scott. Consultant Colorectal Surgeon,
31 Royal Preston Hospital, Preston
32

33 (* correspondence to
34 **Mr Nigel Scott**
35 **Royal Preston Hospital**
36 **Preston**
37 **PR2 9HT**
38 **nigel.scott@lthtr.nhs.uk – 01772 522261**
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Aim

To determine for patients with an admission diagnosis of suspected acute abdomen, the financial consequences of a policy of admission first –followed by definitive investigation.

Results

Over a one month period 122 patients were admitted with a suspected surgical diagnosis of acute abdomen - (55 male, 67 female); age range 16 to 95 years (median 56.5). Based on either surgical operation required (n=36), death after admission (n= 6 - 3 postoperative deaths) and/or severe surgical illness (n=17) – 56 required surgical in-patient admission, while 66 did not. Those patients that did not require admission, spent significantly shorter time in hospital than those that required admission (median 5 days v 8.5 days; p=0.0000).

Total hospital hotel and investigation cost (not including ITU or theatre costs) for all 122 patients was £330,468. Overall £205,468 was consumed by those 56 patients that required admission whilst £125,000 was spent on 66 patients whose clinical course did not justify admission – 92% of which was spent on hospital hotel costs and 8% on the cost of imaging and/or endoscopy.

Discussion and Conclusion

On a national basis, emergency General Surgery admissions account for 1,000 Finished Consultant Episodes per 100,000 population. The findings of

1
2
3 this study suggest that this equates to a national NHS spend of £650 million
4
5 each year, for the hotel costs of patients that could arguably avoid surgical
6
7 admission altogether. Continuing to admit patients with a suspected acute
8
9 abdomen first - and then requesting definitive investigation makes neither
10
11 clinical nor economic sense.
12
13

14
15 **KEY WORDS: Acute Abdomen, Surgical admission, NHS cost**
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 **What's already known about this topic?**

- 26 • Current UK surgical practice, admits patients with abdominal pain but
27 no surgical disease, who wait as inpatients for definitive investigation.
28
- 29 • CT scanning before surgical admission rapidly and accurately identifies
30 those patients that do not require admission
31
32
33
34

35 **What does this article add?**

- 36 • Quantifies the "hotel costs" of current UK emergency surgical practice
37
38
- 39 • Estimates the national cost of this practice as £650 million – this could
40 be better used in expanding access to definitive imaging before
41 admission
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Introduction

Emergency general surgical admissions comprise the largest group, by a considerable majority, of all surgical admissions in the UK (1). Clinical assessment of the acute abdomen aims to select for admission, those patients that require operation and/or support of critical surgical illness. However, based on clinical assessment alone, different groups of doctors vary widely in their views about the need for emergency surgical admission (2).

Traditional UK surgical assessment before admission is based on clinical examination, with plain abdominal films and laboratory investigations. Definitive imaging and/or endoscopy is usually instituted after patients have been admitted. Thus, patients that neither require surgical intervention nor critical illness support, incur “hotel costs” for board and accommodation while awaiting their definitive investigation. The aim of this study was to determine, for a cohort of patients with an admission diagnosis of acute abdomen, the financial consequences of a policy of admission first –followed by definitive investigation.

Patients and methods

Adult patients admitted to the Surgical Assessment Unit (SAU) of Royal Preston Hospital (RPH) with the diagnosis of acute abdominal pain were studied prospectively over a one month period. Patients with non-acute abdomen surgical problems (e.g. superficial abscesses) and vascular diagnoses were excluded. Details of clinical presentation, provisional diagnosis, results of blood tests including white cell count, haemoglobin, liver

1
2
3 function tests, serum amylase and CRP were prospectively collected.
4
5 Definitive investigation details, (ultrasound scan (US), Computerised
6
7 Tomography (CT) scan and endoscopy) during the admission, **final**
8
9 **diagnosis and where relevant operation description and cause of death**
10
11 **were obtained.**
12
13
14
15

16
17 Based on the clinical presentation, investigations and final outcome, patients
18
19 were divided in to two groups. Those that underwent surgery, died after
20
21 admission and/or had severe surgical illness – clearly required surgical
22
23 admission. The remainder of the admitted patients – neither critical illness
24
25 support nor surgical intervention - were defined as not requiring surgical
26
27 admission. Hotel costs for individual patients were based on hospital bed cost
28
29 per admission day (£324) and investigation cost (Ultrasound scan - £122; CT
30
31 scan - £276; Gastroscopy - £197; Flexible Sigmoidoscopy - £200;
32
33 Colonoscopy - £250; ERCP - £552). Comparison of the two defined patient
34
35 groups was performed using the Mann Whitney test, using SPSS software
36
37 (v14).
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 **Results**

47
48 122 patients were admitted with a suspected surgical diagnosis of acute
49
50 abdomen - (55 male and 67 female) with age range 16 to 95 years (median
51
52 56.5) over the one month period. Based on one or more of the criteria of
53
54 either surgical operation required (n=36), death after admission (n= 6 - 3
55
56 postoperative deaths) and/or severe surgical illness (n=17) – 56 required
57
58 surgical in-patient admission, while 66 did not (TABLE 1).
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 Median age and patient gender was virtually identical for the two groups.
7
8 Those patients not requiring admission, spent a significantly shorter time in
9
10 hospital than those that required admission (median 5 days v 8.5 days;
11
12 $p=0.0000$). Overall 79 (65%) patients underwent 91 imaging and/or
13
14 endoscopy investigations. The inpatient time waiting (2 days) for definitive
15
16 imaging/endoscopy investigation was similar for both patient groups (TABLE
17
18
19
20 1).

21
22
23
24 Total hospital hotel and investigation costs (not including ITU or theatre costs)
25
26 for all 122 patients were £330,468. Overall £205,468 was consumed by
27
28 those patients requiring admission whilst the remainder was spent on 66
29
30 patients whose clinical course – no operation, no critical illness and no
31
32 mortality - did not justify admission. The total cost of these latter 66
33
34 patients, discharged without surgical intervention was £125,000 – 92% of
35
36 which was spent on hospital hotel costs while 8% represented the cost of
37
38 imaging and/or endoscopy.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 Discussion

49
50
51 Emergency presentation is the most common reason for admission to a
52
53 surgical bed in this country (3). During the course of a single month, a busy
54
55 surgical unit spent £330,468 investigating and accommodating 122 patients
56
57 admitted as an emergency with a suspected diagnosis of an acute abdomen.
58
59
60 Approximately 40% of this cost (£125,000) was expended on the “hotel costs”

1
2
3 of 54% of the admissions, who neither required surgical intervention nor
4
5 support of critical surgical illness.
6
7

8
9
10 Nationally, emergency General Surgery accounts for approximately 1,000
11
12 Finished Consultant Episodes per 100,000 population (4). Based on this
13
14 study, this activity equates to a UK emergency surgery hotel and investigation
15
16 cost of £1620million per annum. Further this study would suggest that £650
17
18 million of this expenditure is used each year for the hotel costs of patients that
19
20 could arguably avoid surgical admission altogether.
21
22
23
24

25
26
27 The problem of costs associated with admission of patients with non-surgical
28
29 abdominal pain, has been described previously – in 1992 being estimated at
30
31 £807 per patient compared with £1900 per patient in this study (4). However,
32
33 in the past expenditure on hotel costs while excluding a significant surgical
34
35 diagnosis was unavoidable – as conventional radiology followed by repeated
36
37 clinical inpatient observation was the only definitive diagnostic approach
38
39 available.
40
41
42
43
44

45
46 A significant difference now, is access to immediate and accurate early cross
47
48 sectional imaging for acute abdominal pain (5). **Conventional** radiology of
49
50 the acute abdomen is associated with a diagnostic sensitivity and accuracy of
51
52 30.0% and 56.0% - unenhanced helical CT assessment in the same patients
53
54 produces a diagnostic sensitivity and accuracy of 96.0% and 95.6% (7) Thus
55
56 acute abdomen diagnosis can now be rapidly and reliably established by
57
58 definitive investigation before admission (6-8).
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 As importantly, employing definitive investigation before the decision to admit
7
8 - reduces the number of unnecessary surgical admissions (9-11). In a study
9
10 of CT based decision making in elderly patients with acute abdominal pain,
11
12 23/104 (32%) could be discharged after the results of the CT were known
13
14 (10). Similarly, of 273 patients clinically suspected to have underlying
15
16 abdominal diagnoses requiring admission to the hospital - 47% (127 of 273)
17
18 had negative CT results and 38% (104 of 273) were discharged without
19
20 surgical admission (11). In the context of this study, an annual national
21
22 saving in NHS "hotel" costs of £247million.
23
24
25
26
27
28

29
30 Assessment of patients with acute abdominal pain is complex. Clinical
31
32 evaluation of the acute abdomen will continue to have a central role, albeit
33
34 within a climate of uncertain surgical experience and expertise (12). A
35
36 significant reduction in hospital surgical admissions may also require
37
38 expenditure on the development of community services to support patients
39
40 sent home with significant symptoms but no cause for surgical intervention
41
42 (13-15). Moreover, placing definitive radiological imaging as the gatekeeper
43
44 of surgical admission will require algorithm development (16) and measures to
45
46 minimise inter-observer error in imaging interpretation (17). However, to
47
48 continue to admit patients with a suspected acute abdomen first - and then
49
50 ask for definitive imaging, at an annual NHS hotel cost of £600million per
51
52 year, makes little sense.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- 1) ASGBI – Emergency General Surgery – The future. A consensus statement. www.asgbi.org.uk/download.cfm?docid=3CBDAE30-8B61-492B-AABAE209BB5780AD
- 2) McCulloch P, Bowyer J, Fitzsimmons T, Johnson M, Lowe D, and Ward R. Emergency admission of patients to general surgical beds: attitudes of general practitioners, surgical trainees, and consultants in Liverpool, UK. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 1997 June; 51(3): 315–319.
- 3) *General surgery: emergency in England 2002/3 to 2004/5. A geographical profile of admissions* South East Public Health Observatory (SEPHO), 2006
- 4) Sheridan WG, Havard T, White AT, Crosby DL. Non-specific abdominal pain: the resource implications. *Ann R Coll Surg Eng* 1992;74:181-185.
- 5) Ng C. S, C. Watson J E, Palmer C. R, et al Evaluation of early abdominopelvic computed tomography in patients with acute abdominal pain of unknown cause: prospective randomised study *BMJ*, December 14, 2002; 325(7377): 1387 - 1387
- 6) Federele MP. CT of the acute (emergency abdomen). *Eur Radiol Suppl* (2005) 15 (suppl 4):D100-D104
- 7) MacKersie AB, Lane MJ, Gerhardt RT, Claypool HA, Keenan S, Katz DS, Tucker JE. Nontraumatic acute abdominal pain: unenhanced helical CT compared with three-view acute abdominal series *Radiology*. 2005 Oct;237(1):114-22
- 8) Ganguli S, Raptopoulos V, Komlos F, Siewert B, and Kruskal J. B. Right Lower Quadrant Pain: Value of the Nonvisualized Appendix in Patients at Multidetector CT *Radiology*, October 1, 2006; 241(1): 175 - 180.
- 9) Rosen MP, Siewert B, Sands DZ, Bromberg R, Edlow J, Raptopoulos V. Value of abdominal CT in the emergency department for patients with abdominal pain. *Eur Radiol* 2003;13:418-424.
- 10) Esses D, Birnbaum A, Bijur P, Shah S, Gleyzer A, Gallagher E. Ability of CT to alter decision making in elderly patients with acute abdominal pain. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine* 2004;22(4):270-272
- 11) Modahl L, Digumarthy SR, Rhea JT, Conn AK, Saini S, Lee SI. Emergency Department Abdominal Computed Tomography for Nontraumatic Abdominal Pain: Optimizing Utilization *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2006 Nov;3(11):860-866

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

12) Howell R, Scott NA. Surgical training derailed: a view from the tracks. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2004; 86 (Suppl.): 264–5

13) Hardy C, Whitewell D, Sarsfield B, Maimaris C. Admission avoidance and early discharge of acute hospital admissions: an accident and emergency based scheme. *Emerg Med J* 2001;18:435-40.

14) Wilson A, Wynne A, Parke H. Patient and carer satisfaction with 'Hospital at Home': quantitative and qualitative results from randomised controlled trial. *Br J Gen Pract* 2002;52:9-13

15) Shepperd S, Doll H, Angus RM, Clarke MJ, Iliffe S, Kalra L, Ricauda NA, Wilson AD. Admission avoidance hospital at home. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2008, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD007491. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD007491.

16) Laméris W, van Randen A, Dijkgraaf MGW et al. Optimization of diagnostic imaging use in patients with acute abdominal pain (OPTIMA): Design and rationale *BMC Emerg Med.* 2007; 7: 9. Published online 2007 August 6. doi: 10.1186/1471-227X-7-9.

17) Hof KH, Krestin G P , Steijerberg E W , Bonjer H J, Lange J F, Becking W B and Kazemier G. Interobserver variability in CT scan interpretation for suspected acute appendicitis *Emergency Medicine Journal* 2009;26:92-94; doi:10.1136/emj.2008.058990

TABLE 1

	Required admission n=56**	Did not require admission n=66	p
Gender	0.6M:1F	0.78M:1F	ns
Age (median) range	59 (16-94)	53.5 (16-95)	ns
Hospital stay (median) Range	8.5 (1-57)	5 (1-21)	0.0000
Time to definite investigation (median) Range	2 1-9	2 1-12	ns
Investigations			
CT scan	22	27	
USS	13	17	
Endoscopy	6	4	
Hospital Costs	£205,468	£125,000	

**[surgical operation required (n=36), death after admission (n= 6 - 3 postoperative deaths) and/or severe surgical illness (n=17) - Pancreatitis (8), Acute Cholecystitis(2), Biliary stricture (1),GI bleeders(2), Adenocarcinoma of small bowel(1), Colitis (1), Carcinoma oesophagus(1), Pelvic inflammatory disease (1)]