



HAL
open science

Microbial Aetiology of Acute Clinical Mastitis and Agent-Specific Risk Factors

H. Ericsson Unnerstad, A. Lindberg, K. Persson Waller, T. Ekman, K. Artursson, M. Nilsson-Öst, B. Bengtsson

► **To cite this version:**

H. Ericsson Unnerstad, A. Lindberg, K. Persson Waller, T. Ekman, K. Artursson, et al.. Microbial Aetiology of Acute Clinical Mastitis and Agent-Specific Risk Factors. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 2009, 137 (1-2), pp.90. 10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.12.005 . hal-00532541

HAL Id: hal-00532541

<https://hal.science/hal-00532541v1>

Submitted on 4 Nov 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Microbial Aetiology of Acute Clinical Mastitis and Agent-Specific Risk Factors

Authors: H. Ericsson Unnerstad, A. Lindberg, K. Persson Waller, T. Ekman, K. Artursson, M. Nilsson-Öst, B. Bengtsson



PII: S0378-1135(08)00571-3
DOI: doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.12.005
Reference: VETMIC 4294

To appear in: *VETMIC*

Received date: 3-6-2008
Revised date: 2-12-2008
Accepted date: 4-12-2008

Please cite this article as: Unnerstad, H.E., Lindberg, A., Waller, K.P., Ekman, T., Artursson, K., Nilsson-Öst, M., Bengtsson, B., Microbial Aetiology of Acute Clinical Mastitis and Agent-Specific Risk Factors, *Veterinary Microbiology* (2008), doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.12.005

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 **Microbial Aetiology of Acute Clinical Mastitis and Agent-Specific Risk Factors**

2

3 H. Ericsson Unnerstad^a, A. Lindberg^{a,b}, K. Persson Waller^{a,c,*}, T. Ekman^b, K. Artursson^a, M.

4 Nilsson-Öst^a and B. Bengtsson^a

5

6 ^aNational Veterinary Institute, SE-751 89 Uppsala, Sweden

7 ^bSwedish Dairy Association, SE-750 07 Uppsala, Sweden

8 ^cSwedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SE-750 07 Uppsala, Sweden

9

10 * Corresponding author:

11 Karin Persson Waller

12 Department of animal health and antimicrobial strategies

13 Section of pigs, poultry and ruminants

14 National Veterinary Institute

15 SE-751 89 Uppsala, Sweden

16 Phone: +46 18 674000

17 Fax: +46 18 309162

18 E-mail: Karin.Persson-Waller@sva.se

19

20

21 **Abstract**

22 A nation wide study on the microbial aetiology of cases of acute clinical mastitis in Swedish
23 dairy cows was conducted with the aim to investigate changes in the microbial panorama
24 compared to a previous study performed 1994-1995. Another aim was to investigate some
25 agent-specific environmental and individual risk factors. Milk samples were collected from
26 987 udder quarter cases from 829 cows during six 2-month periods from May 2002 to April
27 2003, and data on risk factors and demography were collected at sampling by means of a
28 questionnaire. In total, 1056 bacteriological diagnoses were made. The most frequently
29 isolated bacterial species was *Staphylococcus aureus* constituting 21.3% of the diagnoses,
30 followed by *Escherichia coli* (15.9%), *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* (15.6%), *Streptococcus*
31 *uberis* (11.1%), coagulase-negative staphylococci (6.2%), *Arcanobacterium pyogenes* (6.1%)
32 and *Klebsiella* spp. (4.2%). Samples with no growth or contamination constituted 10.6% and
33 4.5% of the diagnoses, respectively. A major shift in the panorama of udder pathogens was
34 not observed compared to the survey in 1994-1995. Isolation of *Klebsiella* spp. was strongly
35 associated with the use of sawdust as bedding material. On the other hand, using sawdust as
36 bedding reduced the risk of isolating *S. uberis* relative to using straw or peat. The risk of
37 isolating *E. coli* increased with increasing milk yield and was higher in loose housing systems
38 than in tie stalls. Isolation of *S. aureus* was associated with tie stalls, and *A. pyogenes* with
39 low yielding cows and teat lesions. *S. dysgalactiae* infections were also associated with teat
40 lesions.

41

42 **Key words:** bovine mastitis, microbial aetiology, risk factors

43

44

45 1. Introduction

46 Mastitis is one of the most important diseases in dairy cows and much effort is dedicated to its
47 prevention. Mastitis is mostly caused by a rather limited spectrum of bacteria, consisting
48 mainly of staphylococci, streptococci and coliforms, but any bacteria may infect the udder.
49 The panorama of udder pathogens varies between countries for example due to differences in
50 management. In Sweden, a nation wide survey of the microbial aetiology of clinical mastitis
51 performed in 1994-1995 revealed that *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*), streptococci and
52 *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) were the dominating findings (Nilsson et al., 1997). Since then, the
53 proportion of farms with loose housing system has increased markedly from 5-10% in 1995 to
54 27% in 2003, when the current study was performed, and the average herd size has increased
55 from 32 cows/herd in 1995 to 45 cows/herd in 2003 (Swedish Dairy Association, 2008). Such
56 changes in management systems might promote infections with certain agents leading to a
57 shift in the microbial panorama causing clinical mastitis. Loose housing systems might
58 increase the risk for mastitis caused by environmental bacteria, whereas contagious udder
59 pathogens may be harder to eradicate if they become established in loose housing stalls where
60 it is difficult to keep strict grouping of cows and milking order. Agent-specific risk factors for
61 clinical mastitis associated with environment factors like housing, but also to individual cow
62 factors, have been identified in other countries (e.g. Barkema et al., 1999; Olde Riekerink et
63 al., 2008). However, such studies have not been performed in Sweden.

64

65 The objective of the present study was to investigate whether the microbial panorama
66 associated with acute clinical mastitis had changed since the previous investigation performed
67 1994-1995. Moreover, the importance of some environmental and individual factors for the
68 risk of agent-specific acute clinical mastitis was also studied.

69

70 **2. Material and methods**

71 *2.1 Study design*

72 Milk samples from udder quarters with acute clinical mastitis were collected by field
73 veterinarians in 51 veterinary practices distributed all over Sweden. Only cases of acute
74 clinical mastitis in lactating cows were eligible for sampling. Inclusion criteria for acute
75 clinical mastitis were macroscopic changes in the milk of at least one udder quarter, no
76 previous record of clinical mastitis during the present lactation period, somatic cell count
77 (SCC) at the latest monthly milk recording below 200 000 cells/ml and no treatment with
78 antimicrobials during the previous 30 days. The number of cases of acute clinical mastitis
79 sampled at each veterinary practice was proportional to the number of dairy cows in the
80 county and the number of participating practices in the county. In each practice, cases were
81 enrolled in order of appearance until the sampling quota for the practice was filled during
82 each of six consecutive two-month periods, from May 2002 to April 2003. The target sample
83 size was 1000 cases of acute clinical mastitis, which would be sufficient to significantly
84 detect a 5% change, approximately, in the proportion of *S. aureus* since 1995, and changes
85 smaller than 5% for pathogens with a lower proportion. Since some practices did not have a
86 sufficient number of cases that fulfilled the inclusion criteria only 829 cows (987 udder
87 quarter cases) could be included. The field veterinarian collected aseptic milk samples from
88 all affected udder quarters. On the sampling occasion the veterinarian also registered data
89 about the cow and the herd by using a specified questionnaire. Housing system, floor of
90 cubicles, bedding material, and design of passages and cubicles were recorded as were breed
91 of the cow, lactation number, date of latest calving, milk yield at latest monthly milk
92 recording, and presence of teat lesions.

93

94 2.2. *Bacteriological analyses*

95 Milk samples (10 μ l) were cultured on blood (5% bovine blood) agar plates, incubated at 37°C
96 for 16-24 hours, and evaluated in accordance with the routines at the participating veterinary
97 practices. The plates were sent to the National Veterinary Institute, Uppsala, Sweden, where
98 the growth on the plates was confirmed by additional laboratory tests in accordance with the
99 routines at the laboratory. *S. aureus* was identified by means of typical colony morphology,
100 and α - and β -hemolysis, and, when typical hemolysis zones were not present, coagulase
101 reaction (coagulase-positive). Coagulase-negative staphylococci (CNS) were identified by
102 typical colony morphology and coagulase reaction, but were not further characterized.
103 Streptococci were determined by colony morphology and CAMP-reaction, and 12
104 biochemical reactions (hippurate, aesculine, salicine, sorbitol, mannitol, raffinose, lactose,
105 saccharose, inuline, trehalose, starch and glycerine) were used for typing to the species level
106 (Bergey's manual, 1986; Barrow and Feltham, 1993). For isolates not confirmed with these
107 methods, Lancefield grouping (Streptex, Murex Biotech Limited, Dartford, UK) was used.
108 Enterococci were confirmed by Gram-staining and growth of red colonies on SlaBa-plates
109 (Slanetz & Bartley Medium, Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, England). Gram-negative bacteria with
110 typical colony morphology, and positive for p-nitrophenyl- β -D-glucopyranosiduronic acid
111 (PGUA) and indole were considered as *E. coli*. For other Gram-negative bacteria, oxidase
112 reaction and API 20 E (bioMérieux, Craponne, France) or API 20 NE (bioMérieux, Craponne,
113 France) was used. For confirmation of *Arcanobacterium pyogenes* (*A. pyogenes*) colony
114 morphology, Gram-staining, and culture in 3% CO₂ was performed. *Bacillus* spp., yeasts and
115 *Corynebacterium* spp. were confirmed by means of colony morphology and Gram staining. A
116 milk sample was classified as positive if at least one colony-forming unit (CFU) of *S. aureus*
117 or *S. agalactiae* was isolated. For other agents, the presence of at least three CFU was needed
118 for positive classification. Samples were classified as contaminated if three or more bacterial

119 types were isolated from one milk sample and growth of a major udder pathogen was not
120 identified. If moderate to high growth of a major udder pathogen was found in combination
121 with a few CFU of several contaminating species the sample would be diagnosed as positive
122 for growth of the major udder pathogen.

123

124 *2.3. Statistical analysis*

125 Culture results and questionnaire data were registered in a database (Microsoft® Access,
126 Microsoft Corp.). For risk factor analyses, contaminated samples (n=48) and samples with no
127 growth (n=112) were excluded, as were samples lacking herd identity (n=14). Duplicate
128 records on cows that were infected with the same agent on more than one teat were also
129 excluded (n=45). The resulting data set consisted of 837 unique isolates from 814 udder
130 quarters in 734 cows and 571 herds. Information on daily milk yield and days in milk (DIM)
131 was only available for 455 and 702 cows, respectively. Quarter-level data was summarised at
132 the cow-level, creating a 2-level dataset with 734 records. Four variables describing quarter
133 level features were generated in this manner; teat lesion (on any teat), number of quarters
134 infected in total, number of quarters with agent in question, and number of diagnoses per
135 quarter. Descriptive statistics of all herd variables, including year and season, and cow
136 variables is given in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. The variables housing and bedding were
137 coded in two different ways to emphasise different aspects of the risk factors (e.g. different
138 types of tie stalls versus loose housing) and at the same time avoiding to produce covariate
139 groups with too few observations.

140

141 The odds of a certain agent being isolated from a case of clinical mastitis given the
142 presence/absence of different risk factors was estimated using logistic regression. We
143 accounted for repeated observations within herd by applying the cluster option in Stata, in

144 order to obtain robust variance estimates. However, the potential effect of clustering was
145 limited (average number of cows sampled per herd = 1.28).

146

147 The dependent variables in each of seven models indicated whether the cow was a case
148 (1=yes, 0=no) with respect to the following agents: *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, CNS, *A. pyogenes*,
149 *Klebsiella* spp, *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* (*S. dysgalactiae*) and *Streptococcus uberis* (*S.*
150 *uberis*). In other words, we defined seven different mastitis outcomes (similar to defining
151 different disease outcomes in a set of individuals, such as coughing, lameness or diarrhea).
152 All cows were represented once in all models, either as cases or as non-cases. A cow from
153 which more than one bacterial species was isolated appeared as a case in the model for each
154 of the species, and as a control otherwise. The group of comparison (“non-cases”) in each
155 model consisted of cows with acute clinical mastitis involving other pathogens than the one of
156 interest.

157

158 Univariate associations (at $p < 0.15$) were used to identify candidate predictors for the
159 multivariable models. The sets of candidate variables for each agent model are indicated in
160 Tables 3 and 4. Whenever variables with substantial amount of missing data (DIM and daily
161 milk yield, $n=454$ with daily milk yield in the model and $n=444$ with both variables included)
162 were significant in the univariate analyses, the analysis was first run with these variables
163 included. If they were not significant, the model was reassessed without including them.
164 There were also 2 missing observations for the variables Housing 1 and 2; consequently only
165 732 records were included for agents where they were considered in the initial model. All
166 two-way interactions between candidate predictors were included in the initial multivariable
167 models and tested for significance. Non-significant ($p > 0.05$, likelihood ratio test) variables
168 were eliminated in the multivariable analysis using a stepwise backward procedure. Model fit

169 was assessed using the Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit-test. All analyses were performed
170 using the statistical software Stata, version 8 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

171

172 **3. Results**

173 *3.1. Distribution of udder pathogens*

174 In total, 987 udder quarter cases from 829 cows were sampled. At least one microbial species
175 supposedly associated with the case of mastitis was isolated from 827 (84%) of the quarter
176 samples. One species was isolated from 761 (92%) of those samples, two species were
177 isolated from 63 (8%) samples, and three species were isolated from 3 (<1%) samples. The
178 distribution of microbial diagnoses is shown in Table 5. In total, 1056 microbial diagnoses
179 were obtained from 987 udder quarter samples. No growth was yielded from 112 samples
180 (11.3% of the samples, 10.6% of the microbial diagnoses) while 48 samples (4.9% of the
181 samples, 4.5% of the microbial diagnoses) were contaminated. The most frequently isolated
182 bacterial species was *S. aureus*, which accounted for 21.3% of the diagnoses followed by *E.*
183 *coli* (15.9%), *S. dysgalactiae* (15.6%) and *S. uberis* (11.1%). Less frequent findings were
184 CNS, *A. pyogenes* and *Klebsiella* spp. *Streptococcus agalactiae* (*S. agalactiae*), other
185 streptococci, enterococci, *Bacillus* spp., *Corynebacterium* spp., yeasts, *Pseudomonas*
186 *aeruginosa*, *Mannheimia haemolytica*, *Enterobacter* spp., *Serratia* spp., *Citrobacter freundii*
187 and *Proteus mirabilis* each composed less than 1% of the diagnoses.

188

189 *3.2. Associations between prevalence of udder pathogens, and herd and individual risk* 190 *factors*

191 The study population consisted of cows with acute clinical mastitis and risk factors must
192 therefore be interpreted accordingly. A significant risk factor does not suggest that there is a
193 higher risk of contracting mastitis. Rather, the risk factor is associated with a higher

194 likelihood of isolating a certain pathogen, given that a cow is infected. Thus, we do not look
195 primarily at factors to be targeted for prevention, rather factors that are involved in the
196 etiology. The results of the multivariable analyses are presented in Tables 3 and 4. The
197 following variables were not significant in any of the final models; Floor of cubicle, Bedding
198 2, Year, Parity, Number of quarters infected with the agent in question, and DIM.

199

200 The risk of isolating *S. aureus* (rather than any other udder pathogen) was associated with
201 being housed in tie stalls, and *S. aureus* infection was relatively more common during the
202 housing season (Nov-Apr) than in May-Oct. The probability of isolating CNS was relatively
203 lower in cases with teat lesions, and CNS infection was associated with infections in several
204 udder quarters. The risk of isolating *E. coli* was lower in short tie stalls than in loose housing,
205 and higher in cows with high milk yield. The probability of isolating *E. coli* was also
206 relatively lower in cases with teat lesions. *Klebsiella* spp. was strongly correlated with the use
207 of sawdust as bedding material. The probability of finding *Klebsiella* spp was four times
208 higher when sawdust was used as bedding compared to straw or peat. *A. pyogenes* was
209 associated with low milk yield, and both *A. pyogenes* and *S. dysgalactiae* were associated
210 with teat lesions. *S. uberis* was more common during early compared to late housing season.
211 Using sawdust as bedding reduced the risk of isolating *S. uberis* relative to using straw or
212 peat. The likelihood of isolating *S. uberis* (rather than any other pathogen) was higher in
213 Holstein cows. In all infections, except *Klebsiella* spp., there was a strong likelihood of
214 diagnosing more than one infection at the same time.

215

216 **4. Discussion**

217 To get reliable data on microbial aetiology in mastitis, representative surveys must be
218 performed. Since the cases of mastitis in the present study were sampled under strict inclusion

219 criteria and originated from all parts of the country the isolates should represent a random
220 sample of pathogens causing acute clinical mastitis, defined by no previous record of clinical
221 mastitis during the lactation period and SCC below 200 000 cells/ml at the latest monthly
222 milk recording, in Sweden in 2002-2003. A national survey on clinical mastitis was also
223 performed in 1994-1995 (Nilsson et al., 1997). Relative to that survey, a major shift in the
224 panorama of udder pathogens causing acute clinical mastitis was not found. The proportions
225 of the two most common udder pathogens, *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, were almost identical in the
226 two investigations despite differences in housing and herd size.

227
228 The panorama of udder pathogens causing clinical mastitis in Sweden is quite different
229 compared to some other countries. In UK, for example, environmental pathogens such as *E.*
230 *coli* and *S. uberis* are most common (e.g. Milne et al., 2002; Bradley et al., 2007).
231 Environmental pathogens also pre-dominate in US according to Neuder et al. (2003), but
232 Bezek (1998) reported more staphylococci and streptococci than coliforms. In a recent
233 Canadian study, however, *S. aureus* was the most frequently isolated pathogen, followed by
234 *E. coli* and *S. uberis* (Olde Riekerink et al., 2008). Moreover, in a Norwegian study on clinical
235 mastitis in heifers, *S. aureus* was the dominating finding followed by *S. dysgalactiae* (Waage
236 et al., 1999). When comparing studies differences in the definition of clinical mastitis must be
237 considered. In the present study, cases of exacerbative and chronic clinical mastitis were
238 excluded, which may have resulted in a different distribution of pathogens than if all clinical
239 cases were included.

240
241 The reasons for the pre-dominance of *S. aureus* in clinical mastitis in Sweden are not clear,
242 but housing system and herd size are factors that might be of importance. Although the
243 Swedish dairy industry has moved towards larger herds and more loose housing systems in

244 recent years relatively small herds with tie stalls still dominate. Consistent with this an
245 association between tie stalls and *S. aureus* was seen in the present study. This finding is in
246 line with those of a recent Canadian study (Olde Riekerink et al., 2008). If strict milking order
247 is not accomplished, spread of contagious bacteria, like *S. aureus*, cannot be stopped in any
248 housing system. Many countries use blanket dry cow therapy, which may reduce the
249 occurrence of *S. aureus*, as long as the bacteria are susceptible to the antimicrobial used. In
250 Sweden, dry cow therapy is used selectively on cows having increased milk SCC. It is
251 estimated that approximately 20-25% of the cows are dry cow treated with antimicrobials
252 each year. It was more likely to find *S. aureus* in the housing season than in the pasture
253 season, which is in line with a recent Canadian study with similar housing and pasture
254 conditions (Olde Riekerink et al., 2007). *S. aureus* is also associated with high SCC, which
255 may be reflected in the bulk milk SCC. The geometric mean of the bulk milk SCC of Swedish
256 herds decreased, however, from 190 000 cells/ml in 1995 to 169 000 cells/ml in 2003
257 (Swedish Dairy Association, 2008).

258
259 Another important housing factor found in the present study was the association between
260 isolation of *E. coli* in cases of clinical mastitis and loose housing, which is in line with
261 findings by Olde Riekerink et al. (2008). Thus, the increasing proportion of loose housing
262 herds has so far not lead to a shift towards more environmental infections in Sweden, but as
263 the proportion of loose housing herds is increasing steadily this may be different in the future.
264 Isolation of *E. coli* was also associated with high daily milk yield. High milk production may
265 contribute to increased risk of milk leakage, which may lead to open teat canals and larger
266 risk for infections by environmental bacteria like *E. coli*. The percentage of cows leaking milk
267 in a herd was found to be a risk factor for clinical *E. coli* mastitis in a study by Schukken et al.
268 (1991).

269
270 The proportion of *S. uberis* tended to be lower compared to the investigation in 1994-1995,
271 and this type of infection was not associated with housing system. A higher incidence of *S.*
272 *uberis* in cows in tie stalls than in cows in free stalls was, however, found by Olde Riekerink
273 et al. (2008). In the present study, isolation of *S. uberis* was less common in cases where cows
274 were bedded on sawdust compared to if straw was used. Sawdust was, however, strongly
275 associated with isolation of *Klebsiella* spp., which is in line with other studies (Bramley and
276 Neave, 1975; Oz et al., 1985). Zdanowicz et al. (2004) also found more *Klebsiella* spp. on teat
277 ends of cows housed on sawdust compared with on teat ends of cows housed on sand. They
278 also found that *Streptococcus* spp. was isolated more often from teat ends of cows housed on
279 sand compared with cows housed on sawdust. Sand was not used in any of the farms in the
280 present study. We also found that isolation of *S. uberis* was more common in early housing
281 season than in late housing season, but the reason for this is not known. In a Dutch study, the
282 highest incidence of clinical *S. uberis* mastitis was found in summer (Olde Riekerink et al.,
283 2007). In addition we found a higher likelihood to isolate *S. uberis* in Swedish Holstein cows
284 than in Swedish Red cows. When considering all veterinary-treated cases of clinical mastitis a
285 higher incidence of clinical mastitis is found in Swedish Holstein cows than in Swedish Red
286 cows (Persson Waller et al., 2008).

287
288 Both *A. pyogenes* and *S. dysgalactiae*, unlike *E. coli* and CNS, are prone to colonise wounds,
289 which may explain their association with teat lesions (Pyörälä and Honkanen-Buzalski, 1995).
290 *A. pyogenes* is also often isolated in mixed cultures with *S. dysgalactiae* in the summer
291 mastitis complex (Schwan and Holmberg, 1979). An explanation for the association between
292 isolation of *A. pyogenes* and low milk yield may be that this type of infection is likely to be
293 chronic, which may lead to a decrease in milk production.

294

295 As management and milking systems are continuously changing in the Swedish dairy
296 industry, changes in microbial patterns might occur in the future. Therefore, studies like the
297 present should be repeated regularly to update the knowledge of trends in the panorama of
298 microorganisms causing clinical mastitis. National surveys on microbial aetiology of
299 subclinical bovine mastitis have not been performed in Sweden. The distribution of udder
300 pathogens causing subclinical mastitis is most likely different from the microbial distribution
301 in clinical mastitis. Thus, such investigations are warranted.

302

303 **5. Conclusions**

304 In Sweden, a major shift in the distribution of udder pathogens in acute clinical mastitis was
305 not found in 2002-2003 compared to a similar survey performed in 1994-1995. *S. aureus* is
306 still the most frequently isolated pathogen followed by *E. coli*. Thus, a shift towards
307 environmental pathogens could not be confirmed under Swedish conditions despite an
308 increasing number of cows per herd and more herds having loose housing systems. Using
309 sawdust as bedding was identified as a risk factor for isolating *Klebsiella* spp., but sawdust
310 reduced the risk of isolating *S. uberis*. *E. coli* infections were associated with loose housing
311 systems and *S. aureus* infections with tie stalls. Both *A. pyogenes* and *S. dysgalactiae* were
312 associated with teat lesions.

313

314 **Acknowledgments**

315 The project was funded by the Swedish Farmer's Foundation for Agricultural Research.

316

317 **References**

- 318 Barkema, H.W., Schukken, Y.H., Lam, T.J., Beiboer, M.L., Benedictus, G., Brand, A., 1999.
319 Management practices associated with the incidence rate of clinical mastitis. *J. Dairy Sci.*
320 82, 1643-1654.
- 321 Barrow, G.I., Feltham, R.K.A., 1993. The streptococci. In: Cowan and Steel's manual for the
322 identification of medical bacteria, Third edition, Cambridge University Press, pp. 59-68.
- 323 Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Vol. 2., Sneath, P.H.A., Mair, N.S., Sharpe,
324 M.E., Holt, J.G. (Eds). Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, USA, pp. 1043-1071.
- 325 Bezek, D.M., 1998. Genus identification and antibiotic susceptibility patterns of bacterial
326 isolates from cows with acute mastitis in a practice population. *JAVMA* 212, 404-406.
- 327 Bramley, A.J., Neave, F.K., 1975. Studies on the control of coliform mastitis in dairy cows.
328 *Br. Vet. J.* 131, 160-169.
- 329 Bradley, A.J., Leach, K.A., Breen, J.E., Green, L.E, Green, M.J., 2007. Survey of the
330 incidence and aetiology of mastitis on dairy farms in England and Wales. *Vet. Rec.* 160,
331 253-258.
- 332 Milne, M.H., Barrett, D.C., Fitzpatrick, J.L., Biggs, A.M., 2002. Prevalence and aetiology of
333 clinical mastitis on dairy farms in Devon. *Vet. Rec.* 151, 241-243.
- 334 Neuder, L.M., Hess, J.L., Sears, P.M., 2003. Rethinking clinical mastitis: Culture and
335 treatment. In: *Natl. Mastitis Council. Reg. Mtg. Proc.*, Lansing, MI, National Mastitis
336 Council, Inc., Verona, WI, pp. 36-39.
- 337 Nilsson, L., Franklin, A., Funke, H., 1997. Antimicrobial drug susceptibility of bovine udder
338 pathogens in Sweden. In: *Proc. Soc. Vet. Epidemiol. Prev. Med.* Chester, England.
- 339 Olde Riekerink, R.G.M., Barkema, H.W., Stryhn, H., 2007. The effect of season on somatic
340 cell count and the incidence of clinical mastitis. *J. Dairy Sci.* 90, 1704-1715.

- 341 Olde Riekerink, R.G.M., Barkema, H.W., Kelton, D.F., Scholl, D.T., 2008. Incidence rate of
342 clinical mastitis on Canadian dairy farms. *J. Dairy Sci.* 91, 1366-1377.
- 343 Oz, H.H., Farnsworth, R.J., Larson, V.L., 1985. Environmental Mastitis. *Vet. Bulletin.* 55,
344 829-840.
- 345 Persson Waller, K., Bengtsson, B., Lindberg, A., Nyman, A., Ericsson Unnerstad, H., 2008.
346 Incidence of mastitis and bacterial findings at acute clinical mastitis in Swedish
347 primiparous cows – influence of breed and stage of lactation. *Vet. Microbiol.* Sep 11 [Epub
348 ahead of print].
- 349 Pyörälä, S., Honkanen-Buzalski, T., 1995. Teat diseases and injuries. In: Sandholm, M.,
350 Honkanen-Buzalski, T., Kaartinen, L., Pyörälä, S (Eds), *The Bovine Udder and Mastitis.*
351 University of Helsinki, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, pp. 275-281.
- 352 Schukken, Y.H., Grommers, F.J., van de Geer, D., Erb, H.N., Brand, A., 1999. Risk factors
353 for clinical mastitis in herds with a low bulk milk somatic cell count. 2. Risk factors for
354 *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J. Dairy Sci.* 74, 826-832.
- 355 Schwan, O., Holmberg, O., 1978/1979. Heifer mastitis and dry-cow mastitis: A
356 bacteriological survey in Sweden. *Vet. Microbiol.* 3, 213-226.
- 357 Swedish Dairy Association. 2008. http://www.svenskmjolk.se/Default_61.aspx.
- 358 Zdanowicz, M., Shelford, J.A., Tucker, C.B., Weary, D.M., von Keyserlingk, M.A.G., 2004.
359 Bacterial populations on teat ends of dairy cows housed in free stalls and bedded with
360 either sand or sawdust. *J. Dairy Sci.* 87, 1694-1701.
- 361 Waage, S., Mørk, T., Røros, A., Aaslan, D., Hunshamar, A., Odegaard, S.A., 1999. Bacteria
362 associated with clinical mastitis in dairy heifers. *J. Dairy Sci.* 82, 712-719.
- 363

364 Table 1.
 365 Descriptive statistics of variables reflecting environmental conditions for 734 cows with acute
 366 clinical mastitis in 571 Swedish dairy herds, from May 2002 to April 2003. The variables
 367 were tested for association with seven different udder pathogens (*Staphylococcus aureus*,
 368 *Arcanobacterium pyogenes*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella* spp, coagulase-negative
 369 staphylococci, *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* and *Streptococcus uberis*).

Variable	Category	Frequency (%)
Housing 1 ^{1,2}	Loose (w. cubicles)	242 (33)
	Tie stalls, long	141 (19)
	Tie stalls, short/Other	349 (48)
Housing 2 ^{1,2}	Loose (w. cubicles), cold	57 (8)
	Loose (w. cubicles), warm	185 (25)
	Tie stalls	490 (67)
Floor of cubicle	Rubber mat/Cubicle mattress	517 (70)
	Concrete/Concrete+rubber mat	196 (27)
	Other	21 (3)
Bedding 1 ²	Straw/Peat	268 (36)
	Mixed	217 (30)
	Saw dust	249 (34)
Bedding 2 ²	Straw	255 (35)
	Mixed	27 (4)
	Saw dust/Shavings	452 (62)
Year	2002	481 (66)
	2003	253 (34)
Season	Early housing season (Sep-Dec)	256 (35)
	Late housing season (Jan-Apr)	249 (34)
	Pasture (May-Aug)	229 (31)
Season – <i>S. aureus</i> ³	May-Nov	349 (48)
	Dec-April	385 (52)

370 ¹ Data was missing from 2 cows.

371 ² The variables Housing and Bedding were coded in two different ways (1 and 2) to
 372 emphasize different aspects of the risk factors (e.g. higher resolution on tie stalls/loose
 373 housing, or focus on saw dust/straw as bedding material).

374 ³ Only used in the *S. aureus* model.

375

376 Table 2.

377 Descriptive statistics of categorical and continuous variables reflecting individual features of
 378 734 cows with acute clinical mastitis in 571 Swedish dairy herds, from May 2002 to April
 379 2003. The variables were tested for association with seven different mastitis pathogens
 380 (*Staphylococcus aureus*, *Arcanobacterium pyogenes*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella* spp,
 381 coagulase-negative staphylococci, *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* and *Streptococcus uberis*).

Variable	Category	Frequency (%)
<i>Categorical variables</i>		
Breed	Swedish Red	310 (42)
	Swedish Holstein	401 (55)
	Other	23 (3)
Parity	1	257 (35)
	2	165 (22)
	3	139 (19)
	>3	173 (24)
Recent environment (last 3 weeks) ¹	Pasture	178 (25)
	Pasture and indoors	37 (5)
	Indoors	505 (70)
Number of diagnoses per quarter	1	637 (87)
	2-3	97 (13)
Number of quarters infected in total	1	666 (91)
	>1	68 (9)
Diagnosed with teat lesions	No	626 (85)
	Yes	108 (15)
Number of quarters infected with agent in question	1	702 (96)
	2-3	32 (4)
<i>Continuous variables</i>		<i>Median (5th; 95th percentile)</i>
Daily milk yield (kg) (n=455)		31 (15; 46)
Days in milk (n=702)		48 (1; 284)

382 ¹Data was missing from 14 cases.

383

384 Table 3.

385 Odds ratio (OR) estimates with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the risk of isolating *Staphylococcus (S.) aureus*, coagulase-negative
 386 staphylococci (CNS) and *Escherichia (E.) coli* from cases of acute clinical mastitis in 734 Swedish dairy cows, during 2002-2003. Shaded areas
 387 denote candidate variables (univariate association with $p < 0.15$) that were excluded from the final models due to lack of significance ($p > 0.05$).

Variable	Levels	<i>S. aureus</i> ¹			CNS ²			<i>E. coli</i>		
		OR	CI	p	OR	CI	p	OR	CI	p
	# of cases	211			59			161		
Housing 1	Loose (w. cubicles)							1.00	-	-
	Tie stalls, long							0.90	0.49-1.67	0.75
	Tie stalls, short /Other							0.51	0.31-0.86	0.011
Housing 2	Loose (w. cubicles), cold	1.00	-	-						
	Loose (w. cubicles), warm	1.93	0.82-4.53	0.13						
	Tie stalls	2.47	1.10-5.57	0.029						
Season <i>S. aureus</i>	May-Oct	1.00	-	-						
	Nov-Apr	1.72	1.23-2.41	0.002						
Teat lesion	No				1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	Yes				0.26	0.08-0.90	0.034	0.25	0.12-0.53	<0.001
# diagnoses per quarter	1	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	2-3	3.34	2.12-5.24	<0.001	2.44	1.17-5.09	0.017	2.78	1.36-5.74	0.005
# quarters infected in total	1				1.00	-	-			
	>1				2.43	1.15-5.13	0.020			
Daily milk yield (kg) ³								1.037	1.014-1.06	0.003

388 ¹ Additional candidate variables not indicated in this table were floor of cubicle, bedding, breed and days in milk³.389 ² Additional candidate variables not indicated in this table were bedding, year, parity, and days in milk³.

390 ³ Data on daily milk yield and days in milk were not available for all cases. Thus, for models where daily milk yield and days in milk were
 391 significant in the univariate screening, a reduced dataset was used (n=454).

392 Table 4.

393 Odds ratio (OR) estimates with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the risk of isolating *Klebsiella* spp, *Arcanobacter pyogenes*, *Streptococcus*
 394 *dysgalactiae* and *Streptococcus uberis* from cases of acute clinical mastitis in 734 Swedish dairy cows, during 2002-2003. Shaded areas denote
 395 candidate variables (univariate association with $p < 0.15$) that were excluded from the final models due to lack of significance ($p > 0.05$).

Variable	Levels	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp. ¹			<i>A. pyogenes</i> ¹			<i>S. dysgalactiae</i> ²			<i>S. uberis</i> ²		
		OR	CI	p	OR	CI	p	OR	CI	P	OR	CI	p
	# of cases	42			57			150			111		
Bedding 1	Straw/Peat	1.00	-	-							1.00	-	-
	Mixed	1.99	0.77-5.16	0.16							0.89	0.55-1.42	0.62
	Sawdust	3.98	1.74-9.09	0.001							0.47	0.27-0.82	0.008
Season	Early housing season (Sep-Dec)										1.00	-	-
	Late housing season (Jan-Apr)										0.52	0.31-0.88	0.014
	Pasture (May-Aug)										1.09	0.67-1.77	0.73
Breed	Swedish Red										1.00	-	-
	Swedish Holstein										1.72	1.12-2.66	0.014
	Other										1.88	0.59-6.00	0.28
Teat lesion	No				1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-			
	Yes				2.75	1.07-7.02	0.035	2.66	1.67-4.20	<0.001			
# diagnoses per quarter	1				1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
	2-3				4.61	1.73-12.27	0.002	3.06	1.88-4.98	<0.001	2.44	1.43-4.16	0.001
Daily milk yield (kg) ⁴					0.95	0.91-0.99	0.015						

396 ¹ Additional candidate variables not indicated in this table were parity and days in milk³.397 ² Additional candidate variable not indicated in this table was # of teats infected with the agent in question.398 ³ Additional candidate variable not indicated in this table was year.

399 ⁴ Data on daily milk yield and days in milk were not available for all cases. Thus, for models where daily milk yield and days in milk were
400 significant in the univariate screening, a reduced dataset was used (n=454).

Accepted Manuscript

401 Table 5.
 402 Distribution of 1056 bacteriological diagnoses (number (n), percentage, 95% confidence
 403 interval (CI)) from 987 udder quarters from 829 cows with acute clinical mastitis in 2002-
 404 2003. For comparison, the results (n and %) of a Swedish investigation (n=837 diagnoses)
 405 performed 1994-1995 (Nilsson et al. 1997) are also presented.

Diagnosis	2002-2003			1994-1995	
	n	%	CI	n	%
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	225	21.3	18.9-23.9	181	21.6
Coagulase-negative staphylococci	65	6.2	4.8-7.8	35	4.2
<i>Streptococcus dysgalactiae</i>	165	15.6	13.5-18.0	113	13.5
<i>Streptococcus uberis</i>	117	11.1	9.3-13.2	128	15.3
<i>Streptococcus agalactiae</i>	6	0.6	0.2-1.3	4 ³	0.5 ³
Other streptococci	9	0.9	0.4-1.7	7	0.8
Enterococci	8	0.8	0.4-1.5	7	0.8
<i>Arcanobacterium pyogenes</i>	64	6.1	4.7-7.7	77	9.2
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	168	15.9	13.8-18.3	134	16.0
<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	44	4.2	3.1-5.6	19	2.2
Other coliform bacteria ¹	9	0.9	0.4-1.7	8	0.8
Other bacteria ²	16	1.5	0.9-2.5	9	0.9
Contaminated	48	4.5	3.4-6.0	49	5.9
No growth	112	10.6	8.8-12.7	66	7.9

406 ¹*Enterobacter* spp. (4), *Citrobacter freundii* (2), *Serratia* spp. (2), *Proteus mirabilis* (1).

407 ²*Bacillus* spp. (2), *Corynebacterium* spp.(7), Gram-positive cocci (1), yeast (4), *Pseudomonas*
 408 *aeruginosa* (1), *Mannheimia haemolytica* (1).

409 ³Given as β -hemolysing streptococci.

410