

Simultaneous zoonotic transmission of Chlamydophila psittaci genotypes D, F and E/B to a veterinary scientist

Caroline van Droogenbroeck, Delphine S.A. Beeckman, Kristel Verminnen, Maja Marien, Hans Nauwynck, Leopold de Thibault de Boesinghe, Daisy Vanrompay

▶ To cite this version:

Caroline van Droogenbroeck, Delphine S.A. Beeckman, Kristel Verminnen, Maja Marien, Hans Nauwynck, et al.. Simultaneous zoonotic transmission of Chlamydophila psittaci genotypes D, F and E/B to a veterinary scientist. Veterinary Microbiology, 2009, 135 (1-2), pp.78. 10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.09.047. hal-00532498

HAL Id: hal-00532498

https://hal.science/hal-00532498

Submitted on 4 Nov 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Simultaneous zoonotic transmission of Chlamydophila psittaci genotypes D, F and E/B to a veterinary scientist

Authors: Caroline Van Droogenbroeck, Delphine S.A. Beeckman, Kristel Verminnen, Maja Marien, Hans Nauwynck, Leopold de Thibault de Boesinghe, Daisy Vanrompay

PII: S0378-1135(08)00392-1

DOI: doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.09.047

Reference: VETMIC 4177

To appear in: VETMIC



Please cite this article as: Van Droogenbroeck, C., Beeckman, D.S.A., Verminnen, K., Marien, M., Nauwynck, H., de Thibault de Boesinghe, L., Vanrompay, D., Simultaneous zoonotic transmission of Chlamydophila psittaci genotypes D, F and E/B to a veterinary scientist, *Veterinary Microbiology* (2008), doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.09.047

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1	Short communication
2	Simultaneous zoonotic transmission of
3	Chlamydophila psittaci genotypes D, F and E/B to a
4	veterinary scientist
5	
6	
7	Caroline Van Droogenbroeck ^{a,§,*} , Delphine S.A. Beeckman ^{a,§} , Kristel Verminnen ^a ,
8	Maja Marien ^b , Hans Nauwynck ^b , Leopold de Thibault de Boesinghe ^c , Daisy
9	Vanrompay ^a
10	
11	^a Department of Molecular Biotechnology, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent
12	University, 653 Coupure Links, Ghent 9000, Belgium
13	^b Laboratory of Virology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University,
14	133 Salisburylaan, Merelbeke 9820, Belgium
15	^c University Hospital, Ghent University, 185 De Pintelaan, Ghent 9000, Belgium
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	* Corresponding author. Tel: 0032-(0)9-2649923; fax: 0032-(0)9-2646219.
23	E-mail address: Caroline.VanDroogenbroeck@UGent.be.
24	§ Equal contributors.

Two groups of five one-day old conventional turkeys were housed in negative
pressure stables to become experimentally infected with Avian Metapneumovirus
(aMPV) and Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale (ORT) at the age of three weeks.
However, during the first two weeks, turkeys started to show respiratory disease
characterized by rhinitis and dyspnoea. Routine bacterial and viral diagnoses
remained negative. Therefore, pharyngeal swabs from the turkeys and from the
veterinary scientist handling the animals were examined for the presence of
Chlamydophila (C.) psittaci by using a combination of cell culture, nested PCR and
ompA genotype-specific quantitative real-time PCR, as well as by serology. Results
revealed simultaneous transmission of C. psittaci outer membrane protein A (ompA)
genotypes D, F and E/B from infected turkeys to the veterinary scientist.

Keywords

39 Chlamydophila psittaci, respiratory disease, zoonosis, turkeys, genotyping, diagnostic

40 methods

1. Introduction

Chlamydophila (C.) psittaci causes respiratory illness in birds and psittacosis or parrot fever in humans (Andersen and Vanrompay, 2000). All currently known avian C. psittaci outer membrane protein (ompA) genotypes are transmissible to humans. Fever chills, headache, dyspnoea and cough usually characterize psittacosis. The chest X-ray often shows an infiltrate (reviewed in Harkinezhad et al., 2008). However, the disease seems to vary considerably in severity as the clinical features of the infection can range from none to sepsis with multi-organ failure requiring intensive-care-unit

- admission. People usually contract the infection by inhaling an aerosol of droppings
- of infected birds. In the present paper, the simultaneous transmission of *C. psittaci*
- 52 ompA genotypes D, F and E/B from infected turkeys to a veterinary scientist is
- 53 described.

54

55

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

2. Materials and methods

56 2.1. Case description and sampling

Conventional embryonated turkey eggs (T9 Webster) were transported from the hatchery to the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine (Ghent University), where they were incubated until hatching. Subsequently, two groups of five one-day-old turkeys were transferred to negative pressure stables to become experimentally infected with Avian Metapneumovirus (aMPV) and Ornithobacterium rhinotracheale (ORT) at the age of three weeks. However, during the first two weeks, turkeys started to show respiratory disease characterized by rhinitis and dyspnoea. One diseased turkey per group was euthanized in order to determine the aetiology of the infection. Necropsy revealed severe congestion of the conchae, sero-mucous rhinitis and congestion of the trachea. Routine bacterial and viral diagnoses including ORT, Escherichia coli and aMPV were negative. Therefore, the remaining 3-week-old turkeys ware sampled (time point 1; t1) for C. psittaci diagnosis. Turkeys were first sampled for cell culture using one pharyngeal rayon-tipped swab (Fiers, Kuurne, Belgium) for sampling all four turkeys in each of the two groups. Swabs (n = 2) from both groups were subsequently placed in chlamydophila transport medium (sucrose 74,6 g/l (Acros Organics, Geel, Belgium); KH₂PO₄ 5,1 g/l and K₂HPO₄ 1,2 g/l (Sigma, Bornem, Belgium); Lglutamic acid mono potassium salt 0,9 g/l and gentamycin 50 µg/ml (Invitrogen,

Merelbeke, Belgium); vancomycin 100 μg/ml and streptomycin 100 μg/ml (Soenen,

75	Merelbeke, Belgium); nystatin 25000 U/ml (Sigma) pH 7) and stored at - 80°C.
76	Turkeys were then sampled for chlamydial DNA detection, again using one swab per
77	group. The swabs ($n = 2$) were stored at - 80°C until tested. The veterinary scientist
78	taking care of the animals, and who performed necropsies on two-week-old diseased
79	turkeys, showed no clinical signs. Nevertheless, she was advised to seek medical
80	attention. As for the turkeys, two pharyngeal swabs were taken from the scientist, one
81	for cell culture and one for chlamydial DNA detection. The veterinarian additionally
82	provided serum samples at t1 and two months later, which were examined by an
83	antibody enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using the C. psittaci
84	recombinant major outer membrane protein (MOMP) as target antigen (Verminnen et
85	al., 2006).
86	All animals were euthanized, placed in sealed biohazard containers and
87	transported to an incinerator by a certified company. Two weeks later (time point 2;
88	t2), the veterinarian still felt healthy and again pharyngeal swabs were taken to be
89	tested for the presence of <i>C. psittaci</i> .
90	
91	2.2. C. psittaci detection
92	Human (t1, $n = 1$) and avian swabs for culture (t1, $n = 2$) were inoculated onto
93	Buffalo Green Monkey (BGM) cells (Vanrompay et al., 1992) and on post-inoculation
94	day 3, growing chlamydial organisms were identified by indirect immunofluorescence
95	staining using a family-specific monoclonal antibody (MAb) (7B6III) against the
96	chlamydial major outer membrane protein, as described previously (Geens et al.,
97	2005a). The number of infected cells was counted in five randomly selected
98	microscopic fields (400x, Nikon Eclipse TE2000-E, Japan) and a score from 0 to 4
99	was given. Score 0 indicated the absence of chlamydial organisms. A score of 1 was

given when a mean of 1–5 non-replicating elementary bodies was present. Scores 2, 3
and 4 were given when a mean of 1-5, 6-10 and >10 inclusion-positive cells were
observed, respectively. DNA extraction from pharyngeal swabs and subsequent nested
PCR were performed as described by Van Loock et al., 2005b, demonstrating the
presence of the C. psittaci outer membrane protein A (ompA) gene. Nested PCR-
positive samples were further examined by a C. psittaci ompA genotype-specific real-
time PCR (Geens et al., 2005b). Real-time PCR allowed molecular characterization of
the C. psittaci strains involved, as well as quantification of chlamydial DNA using
human or avian beta-actin housekeeping genes for normalization.

3. Results

Cell culture revealed the presence of live chlamydial organisms in both turkey groups (Table 1) and was confirmed as *C. psittaci* by nested PCR. Interestingly, *ompA* genotyping revealed the presence of three different *C. psittaci* genotypes in both groups, namely genotypes D, F and E/B with genotype D being most prevalent as shown by quantitative real-time PCR (Table 2). Although feeling healthy, the veterinary scientist was also infected as demonstrated by culture and nested PCR at t1 (Table 1). As for the turkeys, genotypes D, F and E/B were present in the human pharyngeal swab (Table 2), with genotype D being most prevalent. At that time (t1), the *C. psittaci* serum antibody titre in the veterinary scientist was 1:800.

Two weeks later (time point 2; t2), pharyngeal swab cultures of the veterinarian still revealed positive results, meaning that live organisms were still present (Table 1). Again, *C. psittaci* genotypes D, F and E/B were detected (Table 2). However, the normalised *ompA* copies of genotypes D, F and E/B for t2 were lower than for t1.

Human serum taken two months later (t3) was C. psittaci negative. During these two

months, the veterinary scientist experienced no severe respiratory disease except for a period with mild respiratory disease being ascribed to common cold viruses, as the incident took place during the winter. The veterinarian received no treatment for psittacosis.

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

125

126

127

128

4. Discussion

Over the last few decades, the zoonotic nature of C. psittaci infections has gained a considerable amount of interest. Most psittacosis cases are diagnosed using the microimmunofluorescence (MIF) test, which is regarded as the "gold standard" in the serological diagnosis of human C. psittaci infections. Slides with antigens of Chlamydia (C.) trachomatis, C. pneumoniae and C. psittaci are used in this test and a titre of \geq 16 is considered diagnostically significant (Smith et al., 2005). As the MIF test uses whole chlamydial organisms, serological cross-reactivity occurs between C. psittaci and other Chlamydiaceae spp. infecting humans. Using whole organisms also has the disadvantage of detecting cross-reactive antibodies to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or proteins such as Hsp60 (Brade and Brunner, 1979; Yuan et al., 1992). A multiparametric approach with more accurate diagnostic methods to distinguish C. psittaci infections in humans from other chlamydial infections would be more appropriate. In this study, C. psittaci zoonotic transmission was confirmed by culture followed by bacterial identification using a MAb against the Chlamydiaceae-specific MOMP, and by a C. psittaci-specific nested PCR (Van Loock et al., 2005b). The infection source was traced using a C. psittaci ompA genotype-specific real-time PCR (Geens et al., 2005b) revealing the presence of genotypes D, F and E/B in both turkey groups. Of course, we cannot rule out that the veterinarian infected the turkeys. However, the

person involved was not a practicioner, but a PhD student studying aMPV host cell
interactions in vitro before starting an aMPV experiment in turkeys. So far, C. psittaci
transmission from humans to birds has not been reported and probably occurs less
frequently, as suggested by the results of Verminnen et al., (2008). These authors
described a case of genotype E/B-infected humans visiting a turkey farmhouse for
several days without infecting the turkeys.
As all turkeys came from the same farm we can conclude that all three genotypes
were present on the farm. We do not know if all turkeys carried three different strains,
as animals were not examined individually. However, we know that mixed C. psittaci
infections do occur (Van Loock et al., 2005a).
In this case, serology could not provide additional confirmation, as the period in
between the two blood samplings was too long. Antibodies found in the veterinarian
at t1 could have resulted from a former C. psittaci infection. Moreover, although
antibodies against MOMP do not cross-react with other Gram-negative bacteria,
family and genus-specific epitopes on C. psittaci MOMP could form a target for C.
trachomatis and/or Cp. pneumoniae antibodies. Thus, there is an urgent need for a C.
psittaci-specific serological test to demonstrate human IgM and IgG antibodies.
Based on the present findings, the veterinary scientist could have become infected
while transporting the turkeys to the negative pressure stables after hatching. Vertical
transmission of C. psittaci and excretion in one-day-old turkeys has been described
(Wittenbrink et al., 1993; Lublin et al., 1996; Vanrompay et al., 1997b). However, the
veterinarian more likely became infected while handling and feeding the animals in
the stables and during the performance of necropsies of two-week-old diseased
turkeys. Necropsy was performed at the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, in the
poultry autopsy room, wetting the bird before starting but without wearing a P3

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

biosafety full-face mask. All autopsies are routinely performed this way, whether by veterinary students or by graduates in their private veterinary practice. Indeed, full protection for personnel and environment is only guaranteed when using class II biosafety cabinets, as used in research institutes. However, should we forbid practitioners to perform autopsies and should veterinary clinics install biosafety cabinets in their autopsy room? Maybe this is going too far as it would be too difficult to implement and enforce. The use of P3 biosafety full-face masks during autopsies would be a more realistic approach that could be adopted and would surely be helpful in preventing C. psittaci zoonotic transmission. It should therefore be advised or even made obligatory, especially when examining birds with respiratory disease. Although several reports describe psittacosis outbreaks in humans, only few of them use molecular tools for strain characterization. Molecular characterization is currently performed by *ompA* genotyping (Geens et al., 2005b; Sachse et al., 2008), ompA sequencing (Geens et al., 2005a) and more recently by multilocus variable number of tandem repeats assay (MLVA) typing (Laroucau et al., 2008). Chlamydophila psittaci ompA genotype A, originating from infected parrots was defined as the outbreak strain in a veterinary teaching hospital (Heddema et al., 2006a). Additionally, ompA genotypes A, B and C could be found in hospitalized humans with psittacosis (Heddema et al., 2006b). However, in these cases the avian sources of infection could not be identified. Recently, the first report on transmission of C. psittaci genotype E/B from African grey parrots to humans was published (Harkinezhad et al., 2007) as well as the zoonotic transmission of genotypes A and E/B to psittacine pet bird owners (Vanrompay et al., 2007). However, none of these reports describe a simultaneous infection with three different C. psittaci genotypes in humans. It was no surprise to find C. psittaci genotype D most abundantly in all

200	samples, as genotype D is more frequently isolated from turkeys than genotypes F and
201	E/B (Andersen, 1991; Vanrompay et al., 1997a).
202	In conclusion, the present study again demonstrates: 1) the zoonotic nature of the
203	avian pathogen C. psittaci, 2) the need for protective recommendations, 3) the need
204	for implementation of more accurate, sensitive and specific C. psittaci diagnostic tests
205	allowing detection and strain characterization in hospital settings and health care
206	facilities and 4) the urge for cost-effective C. psittaci vaccines for poultry and pet
207	birds to reduce the zoonotic risk.
208	
209	Conflict or interest statement
210	None of the authors (Caroline Van Droogenbroeck, Delphine S. A. Beeckman,
211	Kristel Verminnen, Maja Marien, Hans Nauwynck, Leopold de Thibault de Boesinghe
212	and Daisy Vanrompay) has a financial or personal relationship with other people or
213	organisations that could inappropriately influence or bias the paper entitled
214	"Simultaneous zoonotic transmission of Chlamydophila psittaci genotypes D, F and
215	E/B to a veterinary scientist".
216	
217	Acknowledgements
218	The Research Foundation - Flanders (FWO - Vlaanderen) is acknowledged for
219	providing a Ph.D. grant to Delphine S.A. Beeckman.
220	
221	References
222	Andersen, A.A., 1991. Comparison of avian Chlamydia psittaci isolates by restriction
223	endonuclease analysis and serovar-specific monoclonal antibodies. J. Clin. Microbiol.
224	29, 244-249.

225	
226	Andersen, A.A., Vanrompay, D., 2000. Avian chlamydiosis. Rev. Sci. Tech. 19, 396-
227	404.
228	
229	Brade, H., Brunner, H., 1979. Serological cross-reactions between Acinetobacter
230	calcoaceticus and chlamydiae. J. Clin. Microbiol. 10, 819-822.
231	
232	Geens, T., Desplanques, A., Van Loock, M., Bonner, B.M., Kaleta, E.F., Magnino, S.,
233	Andersen, A.A., Everett, K.D., Vanrompay, D., 2005a. Sequencing of the
234	Chlamydophila psittaci ompA gene reveals a new genotype, E/B, and the need for a
235	rapid discriminatory genotyping method. J. Clin. Microbiol. 43, 2456-61.
236	
237	Geens, T., Dewitte, A., Boon, N., Vanrompay, D., 2005b. Development of a
238	Chlamydophila psittaci species-specific and genotype-specific real-time PCR. Vet.
239	Res. 36, 787-97.
240	
241	Harkinezhad, T., Verminnen, K., Van Droogenbroeck, C., Vanrompay, D., 2007.
242	Chlamydophila psittaci genotype E/B transmission from African grey parrots to
243	humans. J. Med. Microbiol. 56, 1097-1100.
244	
245	Harkinezhad, T., Geens, T., Vanrompay, D., 2008. Chlamydophila psittaci infections
246	in birds: a review with emphasis on zoonotic consequences. Vet. Microbiol. (this
247	issue).
248	

249 Heddema, E.R., Van Hannen, E.J., Duim, B., De Jongh, B.M., Kaan, J.A., Van 250 Kessel, R., Lumeij, J.T., Visser, C.E., Vandenbroucke-Grauls, C.M.J.E., 2006a. An 251 outbreak of psittacosis due to *Chlamydophila psittaci* genotype A in a veterinary 252 teaching hospital. J. Med. Microbiol. 55, 1571-1575. 253 Heddema, E.R., Van Hannen, E.J., Duim, B., Vandenbroucke-Grauls, C.M.J.E., 254 255 Pannekoek, Y., 2006b. Genotyping of *Chlamydophila psittaci* in human samples. 256 Emerg. Infect. Dis. 12, 1989-1990. 257 Laroucau, K., Thierry, S., Vorimore, F., Aaziz, R., Blanco, K., Kaleta, E.F., Hoop, R., 258 Magnino, S., Sachse, K., Myers, G.S.A., Bavoil, P.M., Vergnaud, G., Pourcel, C. 259 260 2008. High resolution typing of *Chlamydophila psittaci* by Multilocus VNTR Analysis (MLVA). Infect. Genet. Evol. 8, 171-181. 261 262 Lublin, A., Shudari, G., Mechani, S., Weisman, Y., 1996. Egg transmission of 263 264 Chlamydia psittaci in turkeys. Vet. Rec. 139, 300. 265 Sachse, K., Laroucau, K., Hotzel, H., Schubert, E., Ehricht, R., and Slickers, P. 2008. 266 267 Genotyping of Chlamydophila psittaci using a new DNA microarray assay based on 268 sequence analysis of *omp*A genes. BMC Microbiology 8, 63 (17Apr2008) 269 270 Smith, K.A., Bradley, K.K., Stobierski, M.G., Tengelsen, L.A., 2005. Compendium of 271 measures to control Chlamydophila psittaci (formerly Chlamydia psittaci) infection 272 among humans (psittacosis) and pet birds. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 226, 532-539.

273			
274	Van Loock, M., Geens, T., De Smit, L., Nauwynck, H., Van Empel, P., Naylor, C.,		
275	Hafez, H.M., Goddeeris, B.M., Vanrompay, D. 2005a. Key role of Chlamydophila		
276	psittaci on Belgian turkey farms in association with other respiratory pathogens. Vet.		
277	Microbiol. 107, 91-101.		
278			
279	Van Loock, M., Verminnen, K., Messmer, T.O., Volckaert, G., Goddeeris, B.M., and		
280	Vanrompay, D., 2005b. Use of a nested PCR-enzyme immunoassay with an internal		
281	control to detect Chlamydophila psittaci in turkeys. BMC Infect. Dis. 5, 76.		
282			
283	Vanrompay, D., Butaye, P., Sayada, C., Ducatelle, R., Haesebrouck, F., 1997a.		
284	Characterization of avian Chlamydia psittaci strains using omp1 restriction mapping		
285	and serovar-specific monoclonal antibodies. Res. Microbiol. 148, 327-333.		
286			
287	Vanrompay, D., Butaye, P., Van Nerom, A., Ducatelle, R., Haesebrouck, F., 1997b.		
288	The prevalence of <i>Chlamydia psittaci</i> infections in Belgian commercial turkey poults.		
289	Vet. Microbiol. 54, 85-93.		
290			
291	Vanrompay, D., Ducatelle, R., Haesebrouck, F., 1992. Diagnosis of avian		
292	chlamydiosis: specificity of the modified Giménez staining on smears and comparison		
293	of the sensitivity of isolation in eggs and three different cell cultures. Zentralbl.		
294	Veterinarmed. B 39, 105-112.		
295			
296	Vanrompay, D., Harkinezhad, T., Van de Walle, M., Beeckman, D., Van		
297	Droogenbroeck, C., Verminnen, K., Leten, R., Martel, A., Cauwerts, K., 2007.		

298	Chlamydophila psittaci infections from pet birds to humans. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 13,
299	1108-1110.
300	
301	Verminnen, K., Van Loock, M., Hafez, H.M., Ducatelle, R., Haesebrouck, F.,
302	Vanrompay, D., 2006. Evaluation of a recombinant enzyme-linked immunosorbent
303	assay for detecting Chlamydophila psittaci antibodies in turkey sera. Vet. Res. 37,
304	623-32.
305	
306	Verminnen, K., Duquenne, B., De Keukeleire, D., Duim, B., Pannekoek, Y.,
307	Braeckman, L., Vanrompay, D., 2008. Evaluation of a Chlamydophila psittaci
308	diagnostic platform for zoonotic risk assessment. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46, 281-285.
309	
310	Wittenbrink, M.M., Mrozek, M., Bisping, W., 1993. Isolation of Chlamydia psittaci
311	from a chicken egg: evidence of egg transmission. Zentralbl. Veterinarmed. B 40,
312	451-452.
313	
314	Yuan, Y., Lyng, K., Zhang, Y.X., Rockey, D.D., Morrison, R.P., 1992. Monoclonal
315	antibodies define genus-specific, species-specific, and cross-reactive epitopes of the
316	chlamydial 60-kilodalton heat shock protein (hsp60): specific immunodetection and
317	purification of chlamydial hsp60. Infect. Immun. 60, 2288-2296.
318	
319	

1 Table 1

2 Culture and nested PCR results for turkey groups 1 and 2 and for the veterinary scientist

Sample	Culture scores ^a	Nested PCR ^b
Turkey group 1 (t1)	2	+
Turkey group 2 (t1)	2	+
Veterinary scientist (t1)	1	+
Veterinary scientist (t2)	2	+

- 3 a Scores: 0: absence of *C. psittaci*; 1: mean of 1–5 non-replicating elementary bodies; scores 2, 3 to 4:
- 4 mean of 1–5, 6–10 and >10 inclusion positive cells, respectively, in five fields of view.
- 5 b + is indicative for a positive nested PCR result.

6

7

7 Table 2

8 Normalised number of *ompA* copies per 5 µl DNA extract determined by genotype-specific real-time

9 PCR

Campla	Normalised number ^a of <i>C. psittaci ompA</i> copies		
Sample	Genotype D	Genotype F	Genotype E/B
Turkey group 1 (t1)	2,73 . 10 ⁷	1,30 . 10 ⁶	4,43 . 10 ⁵
Turkey group 2 (t1)	1,29 . 10 ⁷	$3,11.10^5$	1,93 . 105
Veterinarian (t1)	1,23 . 10 ⁴	$1,54 \cdot 10^2$	$1,62 \cdot 10^3$
Veterinarian (t2)	2,69 . 10 ³	4,60 . 10 ¹	$2,54 \cdot 10^2$

^a The number of turkey or human beta-actin (ACTB) copies in the reaction was determined in order to correct for inter-sample variability due to differences in sample taking and efficiency of DNA extraction. Absolute *C. psittaci* copy numbers were then normalised to the amount of turkey or human ACTB copies in each sample.

14

10

11

12

13