



HAL
open science

Inter-laboratory comparison on two real-time PCR techniques for quantification of PCV2 nucleic acid extracted from field samples.

C.K. Hjulsager, L. Grau-Roma, M. Sibila, C. Enoe, L. Larsen, J. Segalés

► **To cite this version:**

C.K. Hjulsager, L. Grau-Roma, M. Sibila, C. Enoe, L. Larsen, et al.. Inter-laboratory comparison on two real-time PCR techniques for quantification of PCV2 nucleic acid extracted from field samples.. Veterinary Microbiology, 2008, 133 (1-2), pp.172. 10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.06.014 . hal-00532445

HAL Id: hal-00532445

<https://hal.science/hal-00532445>

Submitted on 4 Nov 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Inter-laboratory *and inter-assay* comparison on two real-time PCR techniques for quantification of PCV2 nucleic acid extracted from field samples.

Authors: C.K. Hjulsager, L. Grau-Roma, M. Sibila, C. Enoe, L. Larsen, J. Segalés



PII: S0378-1135(08)00246-0
DOI: doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.06.014
Reference: VETMIC 4077

To appear in: *VETMIC*

Received date: 25-1-2008
Revised date: 20-6-2008
Accepted date: 26-6-2008

Please cite this article as: Hjulsager, C.K., Grau-Roma, L., Sibila, M., Enoe, C., Larsen, L., Segalés, J., Inter-laboratory *and inter-assay* comparison on two real-time PCR techniques for quantification of PCV2 nucleic acid extracted from field samples., *Veterinary Microbiology* (2007), doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.06.014

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 **Abstract**

2 Several real-time PCR assays for quantification of PCV2 DNA (qPCR) have been
3 described in the literature, and different in-house assays are being used by laboratories
4 around the world. A general threshold of 10^7 copies of PCV2 per ml serum for PMWS
5 diagnosis has been suggested. However, neither inter-laboratory nor inter-assay
6 comparisons have been published so far. In the present study, two different qPCR probe
7 assays used routinely in two laboratories were compared on DNA extracted from serum,
8 nasal and rectal swabs. Results showed a significant linear association between the
9 assays ($p < 0.0001$), and a systematic difference of $1.4 \log_{10}$ copies of PCV2 per ml of
10 sample ($p < 0.0001$). This difference indicated that the assay from laboratory 1 yielded a
11 higher output than the one from laboratory 2. Results also showed that there was no
12 linear association between the amount of PCV2 DNA and the amount of total DNA,
13 neither in nasal ($p = 0.86$) nor in rectal ($p = 0.78$) swabs, suggesting that normalizing of
14 PCV2 DNA load in swab samples to total DNA concentration is not suitable. The
15 present exploratory study highlights the need for the performance of ring trials on
16 qPCV2 protocols between laboratories. Meanwhile, the proposed thresholds for PMWS
17 diagnosis should only be considered reliable for each particular laboratory and each
18 particular assay.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Introduction

2 Porcine circovirus type 2 (PCV2) belongs to the *Circoviridae* family, genus *circovirus*,
3 and is a small, non-enveloped, single stranded ambisense circular DNA virus (Meehan
4 et al., 1998). PCV2 is considered the essential infectious agent of postweaning
5 multisystemic wasting syndrome (PMWS), a global disease of great economic impact
6 (Segalés et al, 2005a). Most if not all pigs become infected during their life, but only a
7 proportion of them develop PMWS (Segalés et al., 2005a). Therefore, the exclusive
8 detection of PCV2 antibodies and/or viral DNA in serum or other samples, is non-
9 diagnostic for PMWS, indicating only current or past infection with PCV2 (Krakowka
10 et al., 2005). Hence, diagnosis of PMWS requires clinical signs compatible with PMWS
11 (mainly wasting and respiratory distress), presence of characteristic PMWS microscopic
12 lesions in lymphoid tissues (lymphoid depletion and granulomatous infiltration) and
13 detection of moderate to high amounts of PCV2 within those lesions (Segalés et al. ,
14 2005a).

15
16 Several quantitative PCR (qPCR) methods for detection of PCV2 nucleic acid have
17 been developed in different laboratories, targeting either ORF1 (Ladekjaer-Mikkelsen et
18 al., 2002) or ORF2 genes (Brunborg et al., 2004; Olvera et al., 2004). A threshold of 10^7
19 PCV2 DNA copies per ml serum has been suggested to establish a PMWS diagnosis
20 (Olvera et al., 2004). However, it is well known that many factors may influence the
21 performance of PCR assays and that the results using different assays may vary, even
22 when identical template DNA is tested (Bustin, 2002).

23
24 Although published qPCR methods to detect and quantify PCV2 have been evaluated
25 separately, to date, no inter-laboratory or inter-assay comparisons have been published.

1 The present study compares the performance of two different PCV2 qPCR assays used
2 routinely in two different laboratories: one located in Denmark (laboratory 1), and
3 another located in Spain (laboratory 2). Comparison was performed on the same DNA
4 samples extracted from serum and nasal and rectal swabs collected from pigs from
5 PMWS affected farms. The usefulness of normalizing PCV2 DNA load in swab
6 samples to the total DNA present in each sample, in order to avoid possible PCV2 load
7 variation due to sampling procedure, was also evaluated.

8

9 **Material and methods**

10 Ninety-nine samples randomly collected from pigs of different ages (1 to 21 weeks of
11 age) from PMWS affected farms located in Spain and Denmark were studied.
12 Specifically, 33 serum samples, and 33 nasal and 33 rectal swabs were analyzed. For
13 each type of sample, 16 out of 33 were obtained from Danish and 17 out of 33 from
14 Spanish pigs. Danish (Kirudan A/S, Denmark) and Spanish (Collection swab, Eurotubo,
15 Rubí, Spain) obtained swabs were placed in tubes containing 2 and 1 ml of phosphate-
16 buffered saline (PBS) solution, respectively. Pigs were bled at the cranial cava vein and
17 5-10 ml of blood was collected in vacuum glass tubes (Vacutainer®, Becton-Dickinson,
18 Meylan Cedex, France). Blood samples were allowed clotting at 4°C or room
19 temperature and then centrifuged at 200 g for 10 minutes at 4°C. All samples were kept
20 at -80°C until their use. DNA from Danish samples was extracted in laboratory 1 and
21 DNA from Spanish samples was extracted in laboratory 2. In laboratory 1, DNA was
22 extracted from 200 µl of serum, nasal swab (QIAamp DNA Mini Kit, Qiagen® GmbH,
23 Germany) or rectal swab PBS solutions (QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit, Qiagen®
24 GmbH, Germany), and eluted in 200 µl elution buffer. In laboratory 2, DNA was
25 extracted from 200 µl of serum or 300 µl of nasal swab PBS solution (Nucleospin®

1 Blood, Macherey-Nagel, GmbH & Co KG, Düren, Germany), and eluted in 100 μ l
2 buffer, whereas DNA was extracted from 300 μ l of rectal swab PBS solution (QIAamp
3 DNA Stool Mini Kit, Qiagen® GmbH, Germany) and eluted in 200 μ l buffer. Extracted
4 DNA was divided into two tubes, one for analysis in each laboratory. Samples were sent
5 between laboratories on dry ice. Thus, both laboratories analysed all 99 samples using
6 their own qPCR assay described below.

7
8 The assay of laboratory 1 used Primer Probe Energy Transfer chemistry and the primers
9 and PCV2 probe targeting ORF 1 described previously (Ladekjaer-Mikkelsen et al.,
10 2002). PCR reactions containing 3 μ l DNA, 1 x PCR Gold Buffer (Applied
11 Biosystems), 2.6 mM $MgCl_2$ (Applied Biosystems), 0.2 mM (each) dNTP mix, 100 nM
12 forward primer, 300 nM reverse primer, 500 nM PCV2 probe, and 0.05 units/ μ l
13 AmpliTaq Gold DNA Polymerase (Applied Biosystems) in 25 μ l PCR reactions were
14 run on Rotorgene 3000 PCR machines (Corbett Research). PCR cycling was: 95 °C 10
15 min; 45 cycles of 95 °C 15 s, 60 °C 40 s, 75 °C 20 s; 75 °C 5 min; 95 °C 30 s; 45 °C 1
16 min; followed by melt curve analysis: ramping from 45 °C to 99 °C, rising by 1 °C per
17 step. Fluorescence data were collected at the annealing step of the PCR amplification
18 and at each step during the melt curve analysis. Samples were analysed in duplicate,
19 including in each separate PCR run: negative PCR controls (Nuclease-free water,
20 Amresco) and standards representing 10^7 , 10^6 , 10^4 , and 10^2 plasmid copies of PCV2 per
21 reaction, respectively. Standards were prepared as a dilution series in 100 ng/ μ l yeast
22 tRNA (Ambion) of a PCR-product cloned into pCR2.1-TOPO vector (Invitrogen), the
23 DNA concentration of which was determined spectrophotometrically (ND-1000 v.3.1,
24 NanoDrop Technologies, Inc., USA). Only replicates having a standard deviation (SD)
25 less than 0.30 were considered. The correlation coefficient (R^2) of standard curves was

1 always >0.99 and the PCR assays showed a PCR efficiency of 90-100% measured from
2 the standards. The quantification range of the assay was $3.3 \times 10^4 - 3.3 \times 10^9$ copies of
3 PCV2 per ml of sample material.

4

5 Laboratory 2 used a previously described TaqMan PCR method using primers and
6 probe targeting specifically the PCV2 ORF2 gene (Olvera et al., 2004) with the only
7 exception that an internal control was not included.

8

9 Intra-assay and inter-assay variability (Martell et al., 1999) was established by
10 calculating the coefficient of variation (CV) percentage of the cycle threshold (Ct)
11 values of each PCV2 standard dilution of the different independent PCR runs used in
12 each laboratory (5 runs in laboratory 1 and 6 runs in laboratory 2).

13

14 PCR results from both assays were expressed as the number of PCV2 copies per ml
15 serum, or nasal swab/rectal swab suspensions. Data were \log_{10} transformed prior to
16 statistical analysis, because of the highly skewed distribution of PCV2 copies per ml of
17 sample. Descriptive statistics, agreement test, paired t-test and linear regression were
18 conducted using SAS, version 9.1.3 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Agreement
19 (Bland and Altman, 1986) and linear regression plots were constructed by SPSS,
20 version 15.0.1 (SPSS, Inc. Headquarters, USA) to further illustrate the agreement of the
21 two assays and to assess bias. Moreover, to investigate the PCV2 DNA concentration
22 measurements of the plasmid standards as a source of inter-assay variability, the DNA
23 concentration of 7 randomly selected DNA samples was determined by
24 spectrophotometry in laboratory 1 (ND-1000 v.3.1, NanoDrop Technologies, Inc.,
25 USA) and laboratory 2 (BioPhotometer Eppendorf®. Hamburg, Germany).

1

2 Possible mismatches between the primers and probes used and PCV2 sequences from
3 NCBI nucleotide database (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>) were assessed by comparison
4 with the previously described alignment focusing on marker positions, the nucleotide
5 changes characteristic of each clade described in Olvera et al., 2007.

6

7 In order to evaluate the usefulness of normalizing PCV2 DNA load in swab samples by
8 the total DNA present in each sample, a second set of rectal and nasal swabs was
9 analysed in laboratory 2. Nasal (n=625) and rectal (n=625) swab samples collected from
10 120 pigs aged between 1 and 21 weeks and coming from Spanish PMWS affected
11 farms, were processed and analysed by PCV2 qPCR as described above for laboratory
12 2. In addition, total DNA was assessed from all the 1250 DNA extracts by fluorometry
13 (Fluoroscanner Ascent1 FL, Thermo LabSystem, Helsinki, Finland), using Picogreen
14 staining (PicoGreen1 dsDNA Quantitation Reagent Kit, Molecular Probes, Eugene,
15 USA). Thus, the viral concentrations in swabs were also expressed as the mean \log_{10}
16 viral DNA copy number per total amount of DNA present in the sample. Regression
17 analyses were performed to assess whether there was a linear association between the
18 results expressed as \log_{10} viral DNA copy numbers per ml PBS and \log_{10} viral DNA
19 copy numbers per μg total DNA, as well as to compare the association between the total
20 amount of DNA and copies of PCV2 per ml present in each sample.

21

22

23 **Results**

24 Mean and SD of the quantification of PCV2 DNA in the three different types of samples
25 by both assays are summarized in table 1. Obtained results comprised a wide spectrum

1 of PCV2 loads, from negative samples to 10^{11} copies PCV2 per ml sample. Considering
2 the 62 samples that were positive by both tests, a significant association between the
3 assays was observed by linear regression ($p < 0.0001$), with a slope close to one (0.979)
4 and an intercept of 1.505 (figure 1). The mean (\pm SD) difference between the assays was
5 1.4 ± 0.6 Log₁₀ copies of PCV2 per ml, which was significant in the paired t-test
6 ($p < 0.0001$). This difference indicated that the assay from laboratory 1 generally yielded
7 a higher output than the one from laboratory 2. Similar results were obtained when
8 linear regression and paired t-tests were performed for serum, nasal and rectal swabs
9 separately (data not shown).

10

11 In order to further characterise the significant difference and compare results from the
12 two assays, an agreement plot of the differences in PCV2 copy number between the
13 assays against their mean was constructed (figure 2). Normality plot of the differences
14 between the assays showed an apparently normal distribution (figure not shown).
15 However, a Shapiro-wilk test for normality showed that the differences were not
16 normally distributed ($p = 0.0107$, skewness = -0.15, kurtosis = 1.96), probably due to a high
17 peakness of the distribution. When a linear regression of the differences in PCV2 copy
18 number between the assays and their mean was assessed (figure 2), a non-significant
19 slope was found ($p = 0.405$). Moreover, when a linear regression of the absolute values
20 of the centred difference between the assays and their mean was assessed, a non-
21 significant slope was found ($p = 0.3195$). Both regression analyses indicated that the
22 difference between the values of the two assays is independent of the virus count. The
23 mean difference of 1.4 Log₁₀ copies of PCV2 per ml, with limits of agreement being 0.2
24 to 2.6 Log₁₀ copies of PCV2 per ml (figure 2), showed that the results from laboratory 1
25 were systematically higher than those from laboratory 2.

1

2 Twenty-five out of the 99 samples analysed were positive for PCV2 in laboratory 1 but
3 negative in laboratory 2 (table 1). All these samples contained levels of PCV2 DNA
4 which, taking into account the systematic difference between the assays, would be
5 below or close to the limit of detection of the assay used in laboratory 2 (10^4 copies of
6 PCV2 per ml). Twelve samples gave negative results in both laboratories. Since
7 negative results by qPCR mean that the amount of PCV2 is either zero or below the
8 detection limit of the respective technique, negative samples were excluded from the
9 statistical analysis described above.

10

11 The average intra-assay CV was 0.79% for laboratory 1 and 0.67 % for laboratory 2.
12 The average inter-assay CV was 1.73% in laboratory 1 (between 5 PCR runs used to
13 obtain present results) and 1.36% in laboratory 2 (between the 6 PCR runs used).

14

15 Significant linear association ($p < 0.0001$) with a high coefficient of correlation
16 ($R^2 = 0.998$) was found between total DNA concentration measurements of the seven
17 DNA samples analysed by both laboratories. Moreover, no bias was observed between
18 measurements from both laboratories when agreement plot was constructed (data not
19 shown).

20

21 One of the targeting nucleotides of the forward primer used in laboratory 1 contained a
22 described marker position at genome position 737. The primers and probes used in
23 laboratory 2 all annealed outside described marker positions.

24

25 In the second set of studied samples, 237 and 147 out of 625 nasal and 625 rectal swabs,
26 respectively, gave positive PCV2 qPCR results. Total DNA mean \pm SD in nasal and

1 rectal swab DNA extracts was 7.9 ± 17.2 and 0.7 ± 0.13 μg DNA per ml of sample
2 material (PBS), respectively. A significant association between the expression of copies
3 of PCV2 per ml PBS and copies of PCV2 per μg total DNA, was observed by linear
4 regression for both nasal ($p<0.0001$) and rectal swabs ($p<0.0001$). However, linear
5 regression of copies of PCV2 per ml PBS versus total amount of DNA per ml PBS,
6 from the studied swabs with positive PCV2 qPCR, showed that there was no association
7 between parameters, neither when all swabs were considered together ($p=0.32$) nor
8 separately (nasal swabs: $p=0.86$, rectal swabs: $p=0.78$). Therefore, although a significant
9 correlation between viral DNA copy numbers per ml PBS and per μg total DNA was
10 observed, no association between the viral load and the total DNA concentration of
11 samples was detected.

12

13 **Discussion**

14 PMWS diagnosis requires the necropsy of suspicious animals and the access to
15 histopathological expertise, which is not available in all diagnostic laboratories
16 worldwide. On the other hand, qPCR is a very attractive methodology for laboratory
17 diagnosis of infectious diseases, because it could be carried out on live animals on
18 easily accessible material such as serum samples and/or faecal and rectal swabs, and
19 could provide rapid results and precise quantification in an easy-to-use platform (Bustin
20 et al., 2002). However, the lack of harmonisation among PCV2 qPCR methods raises
21 the question whether results obtained by different laboratories are comparable and
22 thereby whether a theoretical general threshold is valid for all methods.

23

24 The inter-laboratory assay comparison performed in this study showed a close relative
25 association between viral loads measured by both laboratories in all three types of

1 samples studied. However, a bias of 1.4 Log₁₀ copies PCV2 per ml was observed, with
2 laboratory 1 constantly yielding a higher output than laboratory 2. The laboratory 1
3 assay was shown to have a lower detection level than the one used in laboratory 2, being
4 able to detect PCV2 loads of 3-4 log₁₀ copies of PCV2 per ml in samples that gave
5 negative results in the laboratory 2 assay. Taking the 1,4 Log₁₀ copies PCV2 per ml bias
6 into account, results of 3-4 log₁₀ copies of PCV2 per ml in laboratory 1 corresponds to
7 results of 2-3 log₁₀ copies of PCV2 per ml in laboratory 2. This is below the detection
8 limit of the assay used in laboratory 2, explaining why more samples are considered
9 negative by laboratory 2 than by laboratory 1. There are many possible factors that
10 could produce variation in the results between assays and laboratories (Bustin, 2002). In
11 the present exploratory study, comparison was performed on exchanged aliquots of
12 DNA extracts, ruling out any cause related to pre-PCR procedures. Also, differences in
13 the actual concentration of plasmid DNA in the standards, which potentially could
14 introduce a systematic bias in the results as the one observed, were excluded. Two
15 different qPCR platforms (ABI PRISM® 7000 and Rotorgene® 3000) were used, but
16 previous studies reported similar accuracy and performance for different platforms
17 using the same assay (Nitsche et al., 1999). Variation of assays may also rely on the
18 nature of the design of the assays, such as length of amplicon or primer and probe
19 design to conserved regions. However, both assays evaluated in this study seem to meet
20 the criteria for well designed qPCR assays: amplicon lengths are below 200 bp as
21 generally recommended (Qvarnstrom et al., 2005). It is known that even few or only
22 one mismatch in the primers can reduce the PCR efficiency, and if in fluorescent
23 probes, may reduce or eliminate the strength of the fluorescence signal (Ratcliff et al.,
24 2007). Although PCV2 ORF1 is known to be more conserved than ORF2 PCV2 (de
25 Boisseson et al., 2004), primers and probes used in the assay targeting ORF2 performed

1 by laboratory 2, did not contain any of the marker positions previously identified
2 (Olvera et al., 2007), whereas one of the primers used in laboratory 1 did contain one of
3 the described marker positions. This suggests that the higher heterogeneity of ORF2
4 could not explain the differences observed between the laboratories. Finally, other
5 potential factors might influence the difference in qPCR performance observed in the
6 present study. On one hand, the studied assays used different primers and probes
7 chemistry. On the other hand, different PCV2 DNA regions (ORF1 and ORF2) may
8 have different topology, offering different accessibility to the DNA polymerase.

9

10 Results showed a significant linear association between \log_{10} viral DNA copy numbers
11 per ml PBS and \log_{10} and viral DNA copy numbers per μg total DNA, indicating that
12 such normalization would not substantially change the relative results obtained between
13 different swabs collected in the same way. This is due to the fact that the mean and the
14 SD of total DNA present in nasal and rectal swabs was relatively low compared to the
15 amount of PCV2. However, results also showed that there was no association between
16 the amounts of total and PCV2 DNA present in nasal and rectal swabs. This suggests
17 that normalizing PCV2 DNA load in swab samples to the total DNA concentration is
18 not suitable for the expression of the amount of PCV2 present in swab samples. Thus, if
19 swabs are processed similarly within a study, expression as copies of PCV2 per ml or
20 copies of PCV2 per swab would be more adequate for relative comparison of results. It
21 may always be associated with a certain degree of error if results from swab samples
22 collected in different ways are being compared.

23

24 The present exploratory study provides the basis for the need of performing ring trials
25 on PCV2 qPCR protocols between laboratories, towards a harmonization of the

1 methodology and towards the full understanding of possible different outputs between
2 laboratories. Faced with the lack of ring trials and standardization procedures, proposed
3 thresholds for PMWS diagnosis (Brunborg et al., 2004; Olvera et al., 2004; Segalés et
4 al., 2005b) should be interpreted with caution, being only considered reliable for each
5 particular laboratory and each particular assay.

6

7 **Acknowledgements**

8 This work was funded by the Projects No. 513928 from the Sixth Framework
9 Programme of the European Commission, GEN2003-20658-C05-02 (Spanish
10 Government) and Consolider Ingenio 2010 – PORCIVIR (Spanish Government). We
11 are grateful to all the production team from Vallcompany S.A., and to C.S. Kristensen
12 and P. Baekbo from Danish Pig Production, for their great collaboration during the
13 study. We thank M. Pérez, M. Mora, E. Huerta, H. Tran, H. Nielsen and I. Larsen for
14 their excellent technical assistance. PhD studies of Mr. Grau-Roma are funded by a pre-
15 doctoral FPU grant of Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain.

16

17 **References**

- 18 Bland, J., Altman, D., 1986, Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two
19 methods of clinical measurement. *The lancet* 1(8476), 307-310.
- 20 Brunborg, I.M., Moldal, T., Jonassen, C.M., 2004, Quantitation of porcine circovirus
21 type 2 isolated from serum/plasma and tissue samples of healthy pigs and pigs
22 with postweaning multisystemic wasting syndrome using a TaqMan-based
23 quantitative PCR. *J Virol Methods* 122, 171-178.
- 24 Bustin, S.A., 2002, Quantification of mRNA using quantitative reverse transcription
25 PCR (RT-PCR): trends and problems. *J Mol Endocrinol* 29, 23-39.

- 1 de Boissesson, C., Beven, V., Bigarre, L., Thiery, R., Rose, N., Eveno, E., Madec, F.,
2 Jestin, A., 2004, Molecular characterization of Porcine circovirus type 2 isolates
3 from post-weaning multisystemic wasting syndrome-affected and non-affected
4 pigs. *J Gen Virol* 85, 293-304.
- 5 Dupont, K., Nielsen, E.O., Baekbo, P., Larsen, L.E., 2008, Genomic analysis of PCV2
6 isolates from Danish archives and a current PMWS case-control study supports a
7 shift in genotypes with time. *Vet Microbiol* 128, 56-64.
- 8 Grau-Roma, L., Crisci, E., Sibila, M., Lopez-Soria, S., Nofrarias, M., Cortey, M., Fraile,
9 L., Olvera, A., Segales, J., 2008, A proposal on porcine circovirus type 2
10 (PCV2) genotype definition and their relation with postweaning multisystemic
11 wasting syndrome (PMWS) occurrence. *Vet Microbiol* 128, 23-35.
- 12 Krakowka, S., Ellis, J., McNeilly, F., Waldner, C., Allan, G., 2005, Features of porcine
13 circovirus-2 disease: correlations between lesions, amount and distribution of
14 virus, and clinical outcome. *J Vet Diagn Invest* 17, 213-222.
- 15 Ladekjaer-Mikkelsen, A.S., Nielsen, J., Stadejek, T., Storgaard, T., Krakowka, S., Ellis,
16 J., McNeilly, F., Allan, G., Botner, A., 2002, Reproduction of postweaning
17 multisystemic wasting syndrome (PMWS) in immunostimulated and non-
18 immunostimulated 3-week-old piglets experimentally infected with porcine
19 circovirus type 2 (PCV2). *Vet Microbiol* 89, 97-114.
- 20 Martell, M., Gomez, J., Esteban, J.I., Sauleda, S., Quer, J., Cabot, B., Esteban, R.,
21 Guardia, J., 1999, High-throughput quantitative reverse transcription-PCR
22 quantitation of hepatitis C virus RNA. *J Clin Microbiol* 37, 327-332.
- 23 Meehan, B.M., McNeilly, F., Todd, D., Kennedy, S., Jewhurst, V.A., Ellis, J.A.,
24 Hassard, L.E., Clark, E.G., Haines, D.M., Allan, G.M., 1998, Characterization of

- 1 novel circovirus DNAs associated with wasting syndromes in pigs. *J Gen Virol*
2 79 (Pt 9), 2171-2179.
- 3 Nitsche, A., Steuer, N., Schmidt, C.A., Landt, O., Siegert, W., 1999, Different
4 quantitative PCR formats compared for the quantitative detection of human
5 cytomegalovirus DNA. *Clin Chem* 45, 1932-1937.
- 6 Olvera, A., Cortey, M., Segalés, J., 2007, Molecular evolution of porcine circovirus
7 type 2 genomes: phylogeny and clonality. *Virology* 357, 175-185.
- 8 Olvera, A., Sibila, M., Calsamiglia, M., Segalés, J., Domingo, M., 2004, Comparison of
9 porcine circovirus type 2 load in serum quantified by a real time PCR in
10 postweaning multisystemic wasting syndrome and porcine dermatitis and
11 nephropathy syndrome naturally affected pigs. *J Virol Methods* 117, 75-80.
- 12 Qvarnstrom, Y., James, C., Xayavong, M., Holloway, B.P., Visvesvara, G.S., Sriram,
13 R., da Silva, A.J., 2005, Comparison of quantitative PCR protocols for
14 differential laboratory diagnosis of amebiasis. *J Clin Microbiol* 43, 5491-5497.
- 15 Ratcliff, R.M., Chang, G., Kok, T., Sloots, T.P., 2007, Molecular diagnosis of medical
16 viruses. *Curr Issues Mol Biol* 9, 87-102.
- 17 Segalés, J., Allan, G.M. & Domingo, M., 2005, Porcine circovirus diseases. *Animal*
18 *Health Research Reviews* 6, 119-142.

Figure 1. Linear regression of 62 positive samples by both laboratories. All the results are expressed as \log_{10} copies of PCV2 per ml sample.





Figure 2. Agreement plot including the 62 positive samples by both assays. All the results are expressed as \log_{10} copies of PCV2 per ml sample.



Accepted

Table 1. Log₁₀ copies of PCV2 per ml sample by laboratory 1 and 2 qPCR assays.

	Number of samples (sera; nasal swabs; rectal swabs)	Mean ± SD in laboratory 2 assay (sera; nasal swabs; rectal swabs)	Mean ± SD in laboratory 1 assay (sera; nasal swabs; rectal swabs)
Positive results in both assays	62 (23; 20; 19)	6.3±1.8 (6.2±1.8; 6.9±1.9; 5.9±1.7)	7.7±1.9 (7.7±1.8; 8.4±1.9; 7.0±1.8)
Positive results by laboratory 1 and negative by laboratory 2	25 (6; 9; 10)	Negative	4.6±0.7 (4.6±0.9; 4.7±0.8; 4.7±0.6)
Negative results by both laboratories.	12 (4; 4; 4)	Negative	Negative