



HAL
open science

Excretion of BSE and scrapie prions in stools from murine models

Carlos Maluquer De Motes, Jacques Grassi, Stephanie Simon, Maria Eugenia Herva, Juan Maria Torres, Marti Pumarola, Rosina Girones

► **To cite this version:**

Carlos Maluquer De Motes, Jacques Grassi, Stephanie Simon, Maria Eugenia Herva, Juan Maria Torres, et al.. Excretion of BSE and scrapie prions in stools from murine models. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 2008, 131 (1-2), pp.205. <10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.02.014>. <hal-00532400>

HAL Id: hal-00532400

<https://hal.science/hal-00532400v1>

Submitted on 4 Nov 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Excretion of BSE and scrapie prions in stools from murine models

Authors: Carlos Maluquer de Motes, Jacques Grassi, Stephanie Simon, Maria Eugenia Herva, Juan Maria Torres, Marti Pumarola, Rosina Girones



PII: S0378-1135(08)00087-4
DOI: doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.02.014
Reference: VETMIC 3969

To appear in: *VETMIC*

Received date: 11-10-2007
Revised date: 9-2-2008
Accepted date: 26-2-2008

Please cite this article as: Motes, C.M., Grassi, J., Simon, S., Herva, M.E., Torres, J.M., Pumarola, M., Girones, R., Excretion of BSE and scrapie prions in stools from murine models, *Veterinary Microbiology* (2007), doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2008.02.014

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 **Title:** Excretion of BSE and scrapie prions in stools from murine models

2 **Running title:** Prion detection in animal faeces

3 **Authors:** Carlos Maluquer de Motes¹, Jacques Grassi², Stephanie Simon², Maria
4 Eugenia Herva³, Juan Maria Torres³, Marti Pumarola⁴ and Rosina Girones^{1*}

5 **Keywords:** prion; scrapie; BSE; detection; stool

6 ¹ Departament de Microbiologia, Universitat de Barcelona, Av. Diagonal 645,
7 Barcelona 08028, Spain

8 ² CEA, iBiTecS, Service de Pharmacologie et d'Immunologie, bâtiment 136,
9 CEA/Saclay, 91191 Gif sur Yvette, France

10 ³ Centro de Investigación en Sanidad Animal, CISA-INIA, Crta. Algete a El Casar, sn,
11 Valdeolmos 28130, Madrid, Spain

12 ⁴ PRIOCAT Laboratory, CReSA, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra
13 08193, Barcelona, Spain

14 *Corresponding author. Telephone number: +34 934021483. Fax number: (+34)
15 934039347. E-mail: rgirones@ub.edu

16

17 **Abstract**

18 Faeces from infected animals have been suggested as a potential source of
19 contamination and transmission of prion diseases in the environment. This work
20 describes the development of a procedure for the detection of PrP^{res} in stools which is
21 based on a detergent-based extraction and immunoprecipitation (IP). The procedure was
22 evaluated by analyzing TSE-spiked sheep and mice faeces, and proved to be specific for
23 PrP^{res} with sensitivities of 5-10 µg of infected brain tissue. In order to analyze the
24 shedding of prions, we studied stools from orally-inoculated mice over 4-days post-
25 inoculation and also stools from terminally-sick scrapie-infected mice. PrP^{res} was only

1 detected in stools shortly after the oral ingestion of TSE agents. The procedure
2 described could be a useful tool for studying the excretion of prions and for evaluating
3 potential environmental contamination by prions.

4 **1. Introduction**

5 Prion diseases, or transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSEs), are characterized
6 by the conversion of the normal cellular prion protein (PrP^c) to a partially protease-
7 resistant prion isoform (PrP^{Sc}) which accumulates mainly in brain tissues forming
8 readily detectable protein aggregates. Treating PrP^{Sc} with proteinase K (PK) removes its
9 N-terminal part and the remaining C-terminal fragment is called PrP^{res}. PrP^{res} is
10 considered the only direct molecular marker for TSE (Caughey et al., 1997; Prusiner et
11 al., 1998). The tissue distribution of prions in affected individuals depends considerably
12 on the type, dose and origin of the prion strain, as well as the genetic background of the
13 host and the inoculation route. After oral administration, PrP^{res} is initially found in
14 Peyer's patches and in gut-associated lymphoid tissues (GALT), especially in the distal
15 ileum. Then, before reaching the brain tissue, the spleen and other lymph nodes are
16 invaded. This pattern of prion deposition is valid for sheep scrapie (Andréoletti et al.,
17 2000) and CWD (Sigurdsson et al., 1999), but not for BSE in cattle where PrP^{res}
18 accumulation is almost entirely limited to CNS (Wells et al., 1998).

19 Much evidence suggests the existence of an environmental reservoir of infectivity that
20 contributes to scrapie epizootics (Hourrigan, 1979; Pálsson, 1979) and to Chronic
21 Wasting disease (CWD) epizootics (Miller et al., 2004). Scrapie-infected placenta could
22 play as a source of contamination (Andréoletti et al., 2002). However, other sources
23 such as urine and faeces must not be discarded. In a study of scrapie and BSE
24 propagation by the oral route, Maignen et al. (1999) reported the presence of PrP^{res} from
25 the stomach to the colon in the scrapie model only (including the ileum after removal of

1 the Peyer's patches). Deposition of extraneural PrP^{res} also occurs during CWD
2 incubation in a manner that closely resembles the case of scrapie (Williams, 2005). This
3 prion distribution -particularly in GALT- suggests that shedding of infectivity to the
4 environment contributes to the horizontal transmission reported in natural conditions.
5 Excretion of prions in urine has been demonstrated in mice (Seeger et al., 2005; Kariv-
6 Inbal et al., 2006). Recently, application of the protein misfolding cyclic amplification
7 (PMCA) technique to urine from scrapie-infected hamsters showed a high excretion
8 (86%) of urinary PrP^{Sc} (Murayama et al., 2007). However, studies of prion excretion in
9 faeces have been hampered so far by problems of insolubility and the volume of
10 material to be tested (Maignen et al., 1999; Miller et al., 2004). Here we describe a
11 specific methodology for the detection of PrP^{res} in mouse and sheep stool samples, and
12 the subsequent analysis of different prion-infected animal faeces.

13 **2. Material and methods**

14 *2.1. TSE-infected tissues and animal stool samples for methodological assays*

15 For the development of a method for PrP^{res} detection in stool samples, scrapie-infected
16 and BSE-infected, as well as non-affected, brain tissues were homogenized (20%, w/v)
17 in 5% glucose using a TeSeE Precess (Bio-Rad). Also, a mouse brain infected by the
18 scrapie Dawson strain (Stack et al., 1991) ($10^{7.5}$ intracerebral lethal dose 50 [icLD₅₀] g⁻¹)
19 was used to study the sensitivity of the test. For the methodological assays, fecal
20 samples (0.125 g) from healthy ewes and mice were typically spiked with 30 µl of 20%
21 (w/v) brain homogenate and kept for 15 min at room temperature (RT) to allow the
22 prions to adsorb to the matrix.

23 *2.2. Extraction of PrP^{res} from stool samples*

24 Different detergents as well as PK concentrations were assayed to extract PrP^{res} spiked
25 into stool samples. Faecal material was 10% eluted with phosphate buffered saline

1 (PBS, pH 7.4) supplemented either with sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) or sarkosyl
2 (SK) or a combination of both, in concentrations ranging 0.25% to 0.5% for SDS and
3 1% to 2% for SK. Solutions were complemented with proteinase K (concentrations
4 ranging 0.1 to 0.4 mg ml⁻¹) and incubated for 10 min at 37°C. Samples were
5 homogenized, filtered through a 25-gauge needle and stored at -80°C.

6 *2.3. Immunoprecipitation of PrP^{res} extracted from stool samples*

7 For fecal samples, the IP protocol for brain homogenates developed by Morel et al.
8 (2004) was modified. Briefly, PrP^{Sc} from brain tissue was immunoprecipitated using the
9 mAb β S36 covalently coupled to magnetic beads. This mAb immunoprecipitates PrP^{Sc}
10 but not PrP^c in human (CJD) and sheep (scrapie) brain homogenates (Féraudet et al.,
11 2005). Magnetic beads were prepared as previously described (Morel et al. 2004).

12 For stool samples, 150 μ l of sample concentrate was first diluted 10-fold in PBS pH 7.4
13 supplemented with 3mM Pefabloc (Roche). Then, 10 μ l of magnetic beads coupled to
14 the mAb β S36 (1 μ l of beads for each 15 μ l of sample) was added and the samples were
15 incubated for 2 h at RT with gentle rotation. After 3 washes with PBS supplemented
16 with Tween (1%), the beads were heated to 100°C for 5 min with 50 μ l of Laemmli
17 buffer (LB) without reducing agents -for western blot (WB) analysis- or with 25 μ l of
18 C-reagent (from the Bio-Rad TeSeE-Purification kit) -for immunometric assays.
19 However, in order to enhance the recovery efficiency, sample concentrates were also
20 treated with increasing concentrations of microbeads (from 10 μ l to 70 μ l).

21 *2.3. Sensitivity of the method developed*

22 Once the most efficient conditions were selected (detailed in Fig. 1), the sensitivity of
23 the test was determined for mouse and sheep stool samples spiked with 2-fold serial
24 dilutions of 20% Dawson scrapie brain homogenate, so that amounts of brain
25 homogenate corresponding to a range from 5 mg (25 μ l) to 10 μ g (0.05 μ l) were added.

1 For BSE, sheep stool samples were also spiked with 2-fold serial dilutions of 20% BSE-
2 infected non-titrated brain homogenate. All the samples were manipulated as described
3 (Fig. 1), except for using 50 μ l of beads (1 μ l of beads for each 3 μ l of sample) and
4 finally denatured with 50 μ l of Laemmli buffer for WB analysis.

5 *2.4. Analysis of TSE-infected transgenic mice*

6 BoPrP-Tg110 mice (Castilla et al., 2003) were intragastrically exposed to 200 μ l (10%)
7 of either BSE (n=3) or scrapie Langlade strain (n=3) infected brain homogenates.
8 Intragastric inoculations were performed using a syringe connected to a silicon tube of
9 1-mm in diameter. Langlade strain is a naturally occurring scrapie case transmitted in
10 natural controlled conditions through a sheep flock (Touzeau et al., 2006). Animals
11 inoculated with the same agent were grouped in the same cage and faeces were
12 collected from the cage at 24, 48, 72, and 96 h post-inoculation (hpi) and pooled. At 96
13 hpi, animals were culled and their intestinal tract tissues (duodenum, jejunum and
14 ileum) were collected and stored at -80°C. Stools from non-inoculated mice (n=3) and
15 PrP-knock out mice (n=3) were also collected. Similarly, stools and intestinal tissues
16 were collected from Tga20 transgenic mice (n=4) (Fischer et al., 1996) that had been
17 intracerebrally inoculated with 20 μ l of 10% brain homogenate of scrapie 22L strain
18 (Kim et al., 1990). Mice were observed daily and their neurological status was assessed
19 weekly as previously described (Castilla et al., 2003).

20 Faecal samples (0.2 g) were homogenized (10%, w/v) and 0.5 ml of the sample
21 concentrate was immunoprecipitated as described after the addition of 1 volume of
22 magnetic beads (1 μ l of beads for each μ l of sample). Immunoprecipitated proteins were
23 finally eluted in 100 μ l of LB without reducing agents and 25 μ l was analyzed by WB.
24 Intestinal tissues (60 mg \pm 25.73) were eluted in 500 μ l of 5% glucose. Scrapie-

1 associated fibrils (SAFs) from the tissue were prepared using the TeSeE-Purification kit
2 (Bio-Rad) following the manufacturer's instructions.

3 *2.5. Two-site immunometric assay*

4 The immunometric assay was performed in 96-well maxisorb immunoplates coated
5 with anti-PrP mAb 11C6. The mAb 11C6 epitope is undetermined, but it recognizes
6 murine and ovine PrP (Féraudet et al., 2005). Samples were analyzed as previously
7 described (Féraudet et al., 2005).

8 *2.6. Western blot analysis*

9 Typically, 12.5 μ l of sample was loaded onto sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrilamide
10 gels (SDS-PAGE, 13.5%) and proteins were fractionated for 1 h at 200 V. The gel was
11 blotted onto PVDF-membranes (Millipore) at 100 V for 1 h. Membranes were probed
12 with the mAb SHa31 coupled to horseradish peroxidase, at a 1:2000 dilution. This mAb
13 recognizes a linear epitope sequence (GNDYEDRY) located between residues 145 and
14 152 (GenBank accession number NP898902) (Morel et al., 2004). Immunoreactive
15 bands were visualized using the ECL-Plus developer kit (GE Healthcare).

16 **3. Results**

17 *3.1. Extraction and immunoprecipitation of PrP^{res} in animal stools*

18 Buffers not supplemented with detergents failed to extract PrP^{res} previously spiked into
19 animal stool samples in this study. We readily extracted PrP^{res} using either SDS or SK.
20 However, no significant differences were observed using different concentrations.
21 Significantly, stool samples spiked with unaffected brain homogenates and not treated
22 with PK did not produce any signal, indicating that PrP^c is not detectable after 15 min in
23 the faecal matrix. The high proteolytic activity presumably present in the samples was
24 confirmed by the disappearance of the N-terminal domain of PrP^{Sc} molecules without
25 the addition of PK. To analyze larger volumes of sample, β S36-mediated IP was

1 adapted to detect PrP^{res} extracted from sheep and mouse stools. Considering that
2 variable concentrations of detergents showed no differences in extraction efficiency,
3 those favouring PrP^{res} detection by β S36 were selected (Fig. 1).

4 For brain homogenates, the β S36-mediated IP proved to be more efficient (over 25%)
5 than the SAF purification protocol (TeSeE Purification kit). For stool samples, we
6 estimated the efficiencies of the method by the immunometric assay as 70% ($6.6 \cdot 10^8$
7 beads ml⁻¹) for sheep and approximately 40% ($9.3 \cdot 10^8$ beads ml⁻¹) for mouse stools.

8 *3.2. Sensitivity of the method*

9 The limit of detection was established in 18.75 μ g of infected tissue for both scrapie-
10 and BSE-infected homogenates in the sheep faecal matrix. In the mouse faecal matrix,
11 the sensitivity was of 9.375 μ g of Dawson infected tissue. Thresholds can be reduced by
12 half by analyzing 25 μ l in the blots (see Methods), and detection limits would thus be
13 equivalent to 9.375 μ g of Dawson tissue and 4.69 μ g of BSE tissue for each 7.5 mg of
14 matter tested. According to the infectious titre reported for the Dawson strain, these
15 limits were estimated to be equivalent to $10^{2.47}$ mouse icLD₅₀ in sheep stool samples and
16 $10^{2.17}$ mouse icLD₅₀ in mouse stool samples.

17 *3.3 PrP^{res} excretion in stool samples*

18 Excretion after oral inoculation of scrapie or Langlade scrapie was analyzed. In the case
19 of scrapie, stool samples collected 24 hpi were shown to be positive, but those collected
20 at 48 h, 72 h, or 96 hpi were not (Fig. 2). For BSE, PrP^{res} was detected in stool samples
21 collected 24 and, to a lesser extent, 48 hpi. The prions identified consistently presented
22 all three glycoforms without any sign of additional proteolytic modifications caused by
23 the passage through the gastrointestinal tract. Additionally, intestines from each
24 inoculated mouse did not show PrP^{res} accumulation.

1 In addition, the PrP^{res} excretion in terminally-sick scrapie-incubating mice was studied.
2 As expected, PrP^{res} was detected in enteric tissues from all the inoculated animals with
3 considerable variability. The presence of PrP^{res} in the intestinal tract raised the
4 possibility of correlative PrP^{res} shedding in the stool samples of these mice. However,
5 no prions were detected using the developed method (Fig. 2).

6 **4. Discussion**

7 In this study, a method for detection of PrP^{res} in mice and sheep stool samples has been
8 developed. The specificity of the test was specifically considered taking into account the
9 presumed abundance of proteins in this kind of sample. Three criteria were therefore
10 set: resistance to PK, IP by mAb β S36 and, particularly, immunoreactivity with mAb
11 SHa31 in WB, which was directly labelled to avoid the need for a secondary antibody
12 giving cross-reactivity with mouse immunoglobulins. It also needs to be mentioned that
13 the volumes used in the protocol may be a limiting factor for the investigations of faeces
14 of bigger ruminants. Though the IP provides an effective concentration step and higher
15 amounts of starting material could be used, it is still unclear if the method can be
16 applied for the evaluation of PrP^{res} excretion in big ruminant animals.

17 We studied stools collected at moments where prion excretion was most plausible: after
18 the ingestion of contaminated foodstuff and during the terminal stage of the disease
19 when PrP^{Sc} is more disseminated. Shedding of PrP^{res} was observed 24-48 hours after the
20 ingestion of both BSE and scrapie inocula. It is almost impossible to determine the
21 doses of prion agents ingested by an animal in natural conditions. In cases such as BSE,
22 very large doses of BSE-infected brain (100 g) have been used in pathogenesis studies,
23 leading to a range of incubation periods shorter than those estimated to have occurred
24 during the epidemic in Britain (Wells et al., 1998). The shedding of prions in these
25 conditions does not seem unreasonable. However, a recent study indicates that the

1 single dose corresponding to the range of incubation periods actually observed in the
2 field should be between 0.1 and 1 g (Wells et al., 2007) and it is not clear that such
3 small doses can lead to any shedding of prions to the environment through faeces.

4 It has widely been suggested that environmental transmission explains apparently
5 individual-to-individual contagion in some TSE such as scrapie and CWD.
6 Contamination by carcasses or stools is considered the most probable explanation. The
7 high dissemination in the peripheral tissues observed during the pathogenesis of scrapie
8 and CWD strengthen this hypothesis. A recent time course experiment has
9 demonstrated a high frequency of excretion (6 out of 7 animals) of urinary PrP^{Sc} during
10 the terminal stage of the disease (Murayama et al., 2007). Complications (kidney
11 dysfunction, bacterial urinary tract infection) at terminal stage would lead to
12 lymphocyturia (leukocytes, renal epithelial cells). With respect to stools, potential
13 excretion in faeces does not appear to involve the immune system and blood cells,
14 rather gut epithelial cells. Thus, the relation between PrP^{res} in faeces and PrP^{res} in the
15 gut needs to be specifically addressed. Data present in this study do not support a
16 correlative shedding of PrP^{res} in stools from individuals showing PrP^{res} deposition along
17 the intestinal tract. However, it is worth noting that some circumstances (abrasions,
18 gastrointestinal disorders) could also play a determinant role for the excretion of prions
19 to the environment and this reservoir may influence the natural transmission of TSE.

20 **Acknowledgements**

21 The authors are grateful to Jacques Grassi (CEA/Saclay, France) and Olivier Andreoletti
22 (INRA/ENVIT, Toulouse, France) for supplying us with brain homogenates. Carlos
23 Maluquer de Motes was financially supported by the Spanish Government. This
24 research was financed in part by the research project EET2001-4814-C02 from the
25 former Spanish Ministry of Education and Science.

1 **References**

- 2 Andréoletti, O., Berthon, P., Marc, D., Sarradin, P., Grosclaude, J., van Keulen, L.,
3 Schelcher, F., Elsen, J. M., Lantier, F., 2000. Early accumulation of PrPsc in
4 gut-associated lymphoid and nervous tissues of susceptible sheep from a
5 Romanov flock with natural scrapie. *J. Gen. Virol.* 81, 3115-3126.
- 6 Andréoletti, O., Lacroux, C., Chabert, A., Monnereau, L., Tabouret, G., Lantier, F.,
7 Berthon, P., Eychenne, F., Lafond-Benestad, S., Elsen, J. M., Schelcher, F.,
8 2002. PrP(sc) accumulation in placentas of ewes exposed to natural scrapie:
9 influence of foetal PrP genotype and effect on ewe-to-lamb transmission. *J. Gen.*
10 *Virol.* 83, 2607-2616.
- 11 Castilla, J., Gutierrez Adan, A., Brun, A., Pintado, B., Ramirez, M.A., Parra, B., Doyle,
12 D., Rogers, M., Salguero, F.J., Sanchez, C., Sanchez-Vizcaino, J.M., Torres,
13 J.M., 2003. Early detection of PrPres in BSE-infected bovine PrP transgenic
14 mice. *Arch. Virol.* 148, 677-691.
- 15 Caughey, B., Raymond, G.J., Kocisko, D.A., Lansbury Jr, P.T., 1997. Scrapie
16 infectivity correlates with converting activity, protease resistance, and
17 aggregation of scrapie-associated prion protein in guanidine denaturation
18 studies. *J. Virol.* 71, 4107-4110.
- 19 Feraudet, C., Morel, N., Simon, S., Volland, H., Frobert, Y., Creminon, C., Vilette, D.,
20 Lehman, S., Grassi, J., 2005. Screening of 145 anti-PrP monoclonal antibodies
21 for their capacity to inhibit PrPSc replication in infected cells. *J. Biol. Chem.*
22 280, 11247-11258.
- 23 Kariv-Inbal, Z., Ben-Hur, T., Grigoriadis, N.C., Engelstein, R., Gabizon, R., 2006.
24 Urine from scrapie-infected hamsters comprises low levels of prion infectivity.
25 *Neurodegener. Dis.* 3, 123-128.

- 1 Hourrigan, J., Klingsporn, A., Clark, W. W., de Camp, M., 1979. Epidemiology of
2 scrapie in the United States. In: Prusiner, S.B., Hadlow, W.J., (Eds.), Slow
3 transmissible diseases of the nervous system: volume I, Academic Press, New
4 York, pp. 331-356.
- 5 Maignen, T., Lasmézas, C.I., Beringue, V., Dormont, D., Deslys, J.P., 1999.
6 Pathogenesis of the oral route of infection of mice with scrapie and bovine
7 spongiform encephalopathy agents. *J. Gen. Virol.* 80, 3035-3042.
- 8 Miller, M.W., Williams, E.S., Hobbs, N.T., Wolfe, L.L., 2004. Environmental sources
9 of prion transmission in mule deer. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 10, 1003-1006.
- 10 Morel, N., Simon, S., Frobort, Y., Volland, H., Mourton-Gilles, C., Negro, A., Sorgato,
11 M.C., Creminon, C., Grassi, J., 2004. Selective and efficient
12 immunoprecipitation of the disease-associated form of the prion protein can be
13 mediated by non-specific interactions between monoclonal antibodies and
14 scrapie-associated fibrils. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 30143-30149.
- 15 Murayama, Y., Yoshioka, M., Okada, H., Takata, M., Yokohama, T., Morí, S., 2007.
16 Urinary excretion and blood level of prions in scrapie-infected hamsters. *J. Gen.*
17 *Virol.* 88, 2890-2898.
- 18 Pálsson, P.A., 1979. Rida (scrapie) in Iceland and its epidemiology. In: Prusiner, S.B.,
19 Hadlow, W.J. (Eds.), Slow transmissible diseases of the nervous system: volume
20 I, Academic Press, New York, pp. 357-366.
- 21 Prusiner, S.B., Scott, M.R., DeArmond, S.J., Cohen, F.E., 1998. Prion protein biology.
22 *Cell* 93, 337-348.
- 23 Seeger, H., Heikenwalder, M., Zeller, N., Kranich, J., Schwarz, P., Gaspert, A., Seifert,
24 B., Miele, G., Aguzzi, A., 2005. Coincident scrapie infection and nephritis lead
25 to urinary prion excretion. *Science* 310, 324-326.


- 1 Sigurdson, C.J., Williams, E.S., Miller, M.W., Spraker, T.R., O'Rourke, K.I., Hoover,
2 E.A., 1999. Oral transmission and early lymphoid tropism of chronic wasting
3 disease PrP^{res} in mule deer fawns (*Odocoileus hemionus*). *J. Gen. Virol.* 80,
4 2757-2764.
- 5 Stack, M., Scott, A.C., Done, S.H., Dawson, M., 1991. Natural scrapie: detection of
6 fibrils in extracts from the central nervous system of sheep. *Vet. Rec.* 128, 539-
7 540.
- 8 Touzeau, S., Chase-Topping, M.E., Matthews, L., Lajous, D., Eychenne, F., Hunter, N.,
9 Foster, J.D., Simm, G., Elsen, J.M., Woolhouse, M.E., 2006. Modelling the
10 spread of scrapie in a sheep flock: evidence for increased transmission during
11 lambing sessions. *Arch. Virol.* 151, 735-751.
- 12 Wells, G.A.H., Hawkins, S.A.C., Green, R.B., Austin, A.R., Dexter, I., Spencer, Y.I.,
13 Chaplin, M.J., Stack, M.J., Dawson, M., 1998. Preliminary observations on the
14 pathogenesis of the experimental bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE): an
15 update. *Vet. Rec.* 142, 103-106.
- 16 Wells, G. A. H., Konold, T., Arnold, M. E., Austin, A. R., Hawkins, S. A. C., Stack, M.,
17 Simmons, M. M., Lee, Y. H., Gavier-Widén, D., Dawson, M., Wilesmith, J.W.,
18 2007. Bovine spongiform encephalopathy: the effect of oral exposure dose on
19 attack rate and incubation period in cattle. *J. Gen. Virol.* 88, 1363-1373
- 20 Williams, E.S., 2005. Chronic Wasting Disease. *Vet. Pathol.* 42: 530-549.

21 **Figure Legends**

22 Fig. 1. Protocol of the developed method for PrP^{res} detection in stools. Volumes and
23 steps are detailed as used for the analysis of faeces from mice orally inoculated with
24 TSE. Reagent concentrations refer to final concentration in the sample. For
25 abbreviations, see section Material and Methods.

1 Fig. 2. Analysis of stool samples from experimental mice: A, mice orally infected with
 2 BSE; B, mice orally infected with scrapie Langlade strain; C, faeces from mice at
 3 terminal stage of disease. Faeces from healthy BoTg mice (lanes BoTg) and Tga20 mice
 4 (lane Tga20), as well as PrP-knockout mice (lane Prnp^{-/-}), were also processed.
 5 Untreated non-affected brain homogenate (N) and gut-passaged Langlade inoculum
 6 (lane Lan) are also shown.

7 **Fig. 1.**



8

9 **Fig. 2.**

