

Evaluation of a monoclonal antibody based approach for the selection of Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD) vaccine strains

M. Mahapatra, N. Aggarwal, S. Cox, R.J. Statham, N.J. Knowles, P.V.

Barnett, D.J. Paton

► To cite this version:

M. Mahapatra, N. Aggarwal, S. Cox, R.J. Statham, N.J. Knowles, et al.. Evaluation of a monoclonal antibody based approach for the selection of Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD) vaccine strains. Veterinary Microbiology, 2007, 126 (1-3), pp.40. 10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.06.022 . hal-00532286

HAL Id: hal-00532286 https://hal.science/hal-00532286

Submitted on 4 Nov 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Evaluation of a monoclonal antibody based approach for the selection of Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD) vaccine strains

Authors: M. Mahapatra, N. Aggarwal, S. Cox, R.J. Statham, N.J. Knowles, P.V. Barnett, D.J. Paton

PII:	S0378-1135(07)00322-7
DOI:	doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.06.022
Reference:	VETMIC 3745
To appear in:	VETMIC
Received date:	8-4-2007
Revised date:	18-6-2007
Accepted date:	22-6-2007

Please cite this article as: Mahapatra, M., Aggarwal, N., Cox, S., Statham, R.J., Knowles, N.J., Barnett, P.V., Paton, D.J., Evaluation of a monoclonal antibody based approach for the selection of Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD) vaccine strains, *Veterinary Microbiology* (2007), doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.06.022

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1 2	Evaluation of a monoclonal antibody based approach for the selection of Foot-and-Mouth Disease (FMD) vaccine strains
3	
4	
5	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	M Mahapatra ¹ *, N Aggarwal ² , S Cox, RJ Statham, NJ Knowles, PV Barnett and DJ Paton
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
10	
1/ 10	
18	Institute for Animal Health Dirbright Laboratory Ash Dood Walting Surray
20	GU24 ONE LIK
20	GO24 UNIT, OK
$\frac{21}{22}$	
22	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	*corresponding author
30	Tel – (+) 44 1483 232441
31	Fax – (+) 44 1483 232448
32	E-mail – <u>mana.mohapatra@bbsrc.ac.uk</u>
33	
34	Authors 1 and 2 have equal contribution for this work.
35	
36	Key words – FMD virus, vaccine selection, polyclonal antibodies, monoclonal
37	antibodies
38	
39	
40	Word count summary - 212
41	word count text - 4012
42	
45 44	
44 15	
43 16	
40 17	
+/ /8	
+0	

49 Abstract

Foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) virus exists as 7 serotypes within which are numerous variants necessitating careful selection of vaccine strains. Currently, a serological assay system based on the use of polyclonal vaccine antisera is widely used for this selection. However, inherent variability in the matching antisera used makes the tests poorly reproducible and difficult to interpret. In this study, we have explored the possibility of replacing or supplementing the polyclonal antibody (PAb) based method with one based on use of monoclonal antibodies (MAb). Panels of MAbs raised against two serotype O vaccine strains were examined for reactivity with 22 field viruses, isolated over a ten year period between 1991 and 2001. Antigenic site 2 was found to comprise more than one epitope. The sequence variation in capsid protein VP2 harbouring antigenic site 2 was analysed and the amino acid residues at positions 79 and 134 appeared to greatly influence the binding of site 2 MAbs. Prediction of antigenic match based on MAb reactivity did not correlate closely with the results of a PAb-based "gold-standard" method and it was concluded that a wider panel of MAbs are needed that recognise all protective epitopes present on the surface of FMD virus together with a better understanding of those epitopes which are important in conferring protection.

82 Introduction

Foot-and-mouth disease (FMD) is a highly contagious and economically devastating 83 84 disease of cloven-hoofed animals which can severely constrain international trade of 85 animals and animal products. Some countries are currently recognised as being free of 86 FMD; however, the disease is still endemic in many countries in Asia, Africa, the 87 Middle East, South America and at the periphery of the European Union. FMD is 88 caused by a virus in the genus Aphthovirus within the family Picornaviridae. 89 Antigenically, this virus exists as 7 distinct serotypes and there are also subtypes 90 within each serotype and following vaccination the response is only effective within 91 its serotype although not against all subtypes (Brooksby, 1982; Cartwright et al., 92 1982; Mattion et al., 2004). In addition, new variant viruses are emerging 93 periodically. Consequently, vaccine strain requirements differ according to the types 94 and subtypes of virus prevailing globally and vaccines have to be selected with care, 95 whether it be for prophylactic use in FMD-endemic countries or for incorporation into 96 reserves for emergency use in FMD-free countries.

97 Methods of vaccine strain selection mainly rely on serological approaches (Paton et 98 al., 2005). A commonly used method is to derive relationship values ("r" values) 99 between FMD viruses using pools of antisera prepared against each vaccine strain to 100 be matched. The antigenic similarities between vaccine strains and field isolates are 101 estimated from their comparative reactivity with the appropriate serum pool using a 102 neutralisation test (Rweyemamu et al., 1978) or an ELISA system (Kitching et al., 103 1988). Alternatively, the complement fixation test (CFT) can be used for this purpose 104 (Alonso et al., 1987), although use of this test is now largely restricted to South 105 American countries. There are various factors which may affect the results obtained 106 from the in vitro matching tests. However, a major difficulty is the standardization of 107 the polyclonal serum raised against the vaccine viruses, including both the sera

108 collected from vaccinated target species (usually cattle) and the antisera raised in 109 rabbits and guinea-pigs for use as capture or detector antibodies in ELISA or the CFT. 110 An approach to overcome this limitation to reproducibility encompasses the use of 111 consistent and replenishable reagents such as monoclonal antibodies (MAb). This 112 study explores the possibility of replacing the polyclonal antibody (PAb) based 113 method with one based on a panel of MAbs and further more examines some of the 114 key amino acid residues that assist the interactions between Mabs and epitopes.

115

116 Materials and Methods:

117 **Cells and viruses:** Twenty-four serotype O viruses from Asia (n=18), Europe (n=5)and North Africa (n=1) were used in this study (Tab 1). Two were the vaccine strains 118 119 O₁ Manisa and O₁ Lausanne that were originally isolated in Turkey and Switzerland, 120 in 1969 and 1965 respectively. The other twenty-two viruses were isolated over a ten 121 year period between 1991 and 2001. All were derived from bovine/porcine epithelial 122 samples, submitted to the Food and Agriculture Organisation's World Reference 123 Laboratory (WRL) for FMD at Pirbright, and were initially grown in primary bovine 124 thyroid cells (BTY) with subsequent passage in either BHK-21 or IB-RS2 cells. All 125 the viruses were grown to an equal passage level. Cell culture supernatant fluids 126 containing virus were clarified by centrifugation at 2500 rpm for 15 min and then 127 mixed with an equal volume of sterile glycerol and stored at -20°C until use.

128

Polyclonal serum: Rabbit and guinea-pig anti-FMDV polyclonal sera were prepared against purified serotype O FMD viruses (O1 Lausanne and O1 Manisa). These antisera are routinely used in WRL Pirbright for the detection of FMD viruses in an indirect sandwich ELISA. These antisera were raised in rabbits and guinea pigs by

133 immunising the animals with inactivated, purified 146S FMD virus particles in 134 Freund's complete and/or incomplete adjuvant as described by Ferris and colleagues 135 (Ferris et al., 2005). The antisera were mixed with an equal volume of normal bovine 136 serum before use to absorb antibodies produced against bovine serum proteins 137 associated with the purified virus inocula in order to prevent potential cross reactivity. 138 21 day post-vaccination bovine antisera (bvs) used in the micro neutralisation assay 139 were derived from cattle potency tests, carried out at Pirbright. For each antigen, a 140 pool of sera from at least five animals was used in the serological tests.

141

142 Monoclonal antibodies: The monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) used in this study had 143 been produced against the O_1 Lausanne or O_1 Manisa vaccine strains. The O_1 144 Lausanne panel comprised six well characterized neutralising MAbs against four 145 antigenic sites (Crowther et al., 1993; Kitson et al., 1990) and the anti O₁ Manisa 146 MAbs comprised seven well characterised neutralising MAbs directed against 147 antigenic site 2 (Aktas and Samuel, 2000). The positions of the critical residues of 148 these MAbs are presented in Table 2. In addition, there were also six non-neutralising 149 O₁ Manisa MAbs (3H3, 3A4, 3G3, 4B12, 4C8, 4H6) provided by a commercial 150 company (Bayer Healthcare, Germany). The epitopes recognised by these non-151 neutralising MAbs and position of the critical residues have not been determined.

152

Antigenic profiling: An antigen profiling ELISA, as described by Aktas and colleague (Aktas and Samuel, 2000) was used to determine the reactivity of individual field isolates against the MAbs. Briefly, cell culture grown viruses (pretitrated with type-specific guinea pig PAb to produce similar optical densities) were trapped by a type-specific rabbit PAb and reacted with each MAb and with a type-specific guinea

pig PAb. The reactivity was measured by incubation with rabbit anti-mouse or guinea pig HRP conjugate and final colour development with ortho-phenylenediamine (OPD) substrate. For reference, the vaccine virus, against which the MAbs were originally raised was included in each test, as well as a blank (B) with no virus.

162 The reactivity of each MAb with a given field isolate (X) compared to the guinea pig 163 PAb was calculated by subtracting the respective blanks using the formula: Rx=164 $(OD_{MAbX} - OD_{MAbB})/(OD_{PAbX} - OD_{PAbB})$. These values were then related to those 165 obtained against the homologous reference virus (the virus to which the MAbs were 166 originally raised) by using the formula: %reactivity= $R_X/R_{Ref} X$ 100. Values of 20% 167 or greater were considered positive.

In order to calculate the % antigenic homology of a particular field isolate to the parent vaccine virus, the mathematical formula used by Garciabarreno and colleagues (Garciabarreno et al., 1986) for African swine virus was used with slight modifications. Briefly, the formula used was as follows:

172
$$(0 x a + 1 x b + 2 x c / 2 x a + b + c) x 100$$

173 where a is the number of MAbs showing 0-20% reactivity in a particular panel

174 where b is the number of MAbs showing 21-50% reactivity in a particular panel

175 where c is the number of MAbs showing 51-100% reactivity in a particular panel

and a+b+c is the total number of MAbs in a given panel.

- 177 0, 1 and 2 are the arbitrary weights given to MAbs in each reactivity class.
- 178

Two-dimensional micro neutralisation assay: A two-dimensional microneutralisation test, similar to that described by Booth and colleagues (Booth et al.,
1978) was used. Briefly, doubling dilutions of antibody (bvs either against O1
Manisa or O1 Lausanne) were reacted with 0.5 log₁₀ dilutions of appropriate virus for

183 1hr at room temperature. BHK-21 or IB-RS2 cells were added as indicators of 184 residual infectivity and the test incubated at 37°C for 3 days prior to fixing and 185 staining. Antibody titres were calculated from regression data as the log_{10} reciprocal 186 antibody dilution required for 50% neutralisation of 100 tissue culture infective units 187 of virus $(\log_{10}SN_{50}/100 \text{ TCID}_{50})$. The antigenic relationship of viruses based on their 188 neutralisation by antibodies is given by the ratio: $r_1' =$ neutralising antibody titre 189 against the heterologous virus/neutralising antibody titre against the homologous 190 virus. The significance of differences in the values of r_1 obtained by the polyclonal 191 antiserum was evaluated according to the criteria of Rweyemamu and Hingley 192 (Rweyemamu and Hingley, 1984). According to these authors, serological relationships between two viruses in the range $r_1 = 0.3 - 1.0$ are indicative of 193 194 reasonable levels of cross protection whereas values less than 0.3 indicate very 195 dissimilar strains and the need to acquire, or develop, another vaccine strain.

196 **Sequencing:** The nucleotide sequence of capsid protein VP2 of selected viruses was 197 either retrieved from the FMDV sequence database available at Pirbright or 198 determined by sequencing in order to compare their deduced amino-acid 199 compositions. RNA extraction from the cell culture grown viruses was performed 200 using standard techniques. RT-PCR was carried out using 'Ready to go RT-PCR 201 beads' (Amersham Biosciences) as described by Knowles and colleagues (Knowles et 202 al., 2005) using the primers FMD-L463F (ACCTCCRACGGTACGC) and O-1C361R 203 (GGIGGGGCRTAIGCAAYCATGTA) which amplified the amino terminal half of 204 the capsid-coding region. Prior to sequencing, PCR products were purified using the 205 QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. 206 Cycle sequencing was carried out using the Beckman CEQ8000 DNA analysis 207 system. Nucleotide sequences of the isolates were aligned using the CLUSTAL X

208 multiple sequence alignment program (Thompson et al., 1997) and the predicted
209 amino acid sequences were translated using BioEdit 7.0.1 (Hall, 1999).

Statistical analysis: Statistical analyses were performed on antigen homology values
obtained from a PAb based micro neutralisation test and MAb based ELISA using the
Minitab release 12.21 software.

213

214 **Results:**

215 **Antigenic profiling:** The reactivity of O_1 Lausanne and O1 Manisa MAbs with the 216 different type O viruses were carried out using the antigenic profiling ELISA. All the 217 tests were carried out at least twice and the mean values for O1 Lausanne and O1 218 Manisa panel are presented in Table 3 and 4, respectively. In case of O1 Lausanne 219 panel, the MAbs directed against site 1 (B2, D9) and site 5 (OC3) recognised all of 220 the 24 viruses employed in this study. In contrast, the site 3 MAb (C8) reacted with 221 only half of the isolates. The site 2 MAbs demonstrated two distinct reactivity 222 patterns; C9 reacted with 21 viruses, whilst C6 reacted with only 11. The neutralising 223 O1 Manisa MAbs demonstrated various reactivity patterns against the 24 serotype O 224 viruses (Table 3). The site 2 MAb, SA107 detected all of the viruses, whereas the 225 other MAbs (also directed at antigenic site 2), exhibited two distinct reactivity 226 patterns (Mabs SA120, SA113, SA127 and SA85 showed one pattern whereas Mabs 227 SA88 and SA98 exhibited another pattern) and failed to recognise or recognised 228 weakly nine viruses including O VIT 4/97 and O VIT 7/97 that were not recognised at 229 all. Each of the six non-neutralising O1 Manisa MAbs demonstrated a unique pattern 230 of reactivity ranging from 3H3 that detected most of the viruses to 3G3 that only 231 detected its parental strain.

232

233 Based on the reactivity of the MAbs against different field isolates, percentage 234 antigenic homologies were calculated for each field isolate with respect to the 235 appropriate vaccine strain, as described in the materials and methods, and the results 236 are presented for vaccine strains O_1 Lausanne (Fig 1a) and O_1 Manisa (Fig 1b). It 237 should be noted that procedurally all MAbs were given equal weighting within each 238 panel although some may react with similar/identical epitopes to one another and in 239 the case of other MAbs, the epitopes have not been defined. The antigenic relatedness 240 of the type O field viruses to O_1 vaccine strains were found to be much higher in O1 241 Lausanne panel (ranging between values 0.6 to 1.0) than those in O₁ Manisa panel 242 (ranging between values 0.07 to 0.73).

243

244 Two-dimensional micro neutralisation assay: The two-dimensional micro 245 neutralisation assay was carried out to find out the antigenic relationship between the 246 vaccine strains and the field isolates and all the tests were carried out at least twice. 247 The reactivity of the O_1 Lausanne by with the other 23 viruses is shown in Fig 1a. 248 Eight viruses, including O_1 Manisa had r_1 values of less than 0.3 and four of these (O 249 ALG 2/99, O ITL 1/93, O TUR 19/91 and O UKG 12/2001) were less than 0.2. The 250 highest r_1 value for the remaining viruses was 0.88. The r_1 values obtained with the O_1 251 Manisa by are significantly higher than those for O_1 Lausanne and only two viruses 252 scored less than 0.3, whilst 14 viruses had r₁ values of 1.0 (Fig 1b).

253

Sequence comparisions: The virus neutralising O₁ Manisa MAbs employed in this
study are known to be directed against antigenic site 2 with critical residues at
position 72 and 73 in the VP2 capsid protein (Aktas and Samuel, 2000). The viruses
O₁ Lausanne, O ALG 2/99, O BUL 1/93, O GRE 4/94, O SKR 1/2000, O TAI 1/92, O

258 UKG 12/2001, O VIT 4/97 and O VIT 7/97 exhibited poor reactivity with the site 2 259 Mabs where as the rest of the viruses showed high reactivity. The reason(s) for the 260 differences in reactivity patterns for various FMD viruses were further investigated by 261 aligning the sequence of the VP2 region of selected field isolates with that O_1 Manisa 262 (Fig. 2). The selection of the viruses were based on their reactivity with the site 2 263 Mabs in O1 Manisa panel so that viruses exhibiting high reactivity (O PHI 5/95, O 264 TAW 112/97, O ITL 1/93, O CAM 1/98 and O CAM 6/98) and low reactivity patterns 265 (O ALG 2/99, O BUL 1/93, O GRE 4/94, O SKR 1/2000, O TAI 1/92, O UKG 266 12/2001, O VIT 4/97 and O VIT 7/97) were included for this analysis. The known 267 critical residues of antigenic site 2 at positions 72 and 73 were found to be well 268 conserved apart from an S-G mutation at position 72 in O TAI 1/92. At position 74, 269 five isolates had a mutation from P to R/S (see Fig. 2). In addition, certain residues 270 present adjacent to the critical residues were found to be mutated in certain field 271 isolates which may have contributed to the reduced reactivity of these MAbs. Similar 272 sequence analyses in relation to Mab binding could not be carried out for the O_1 273 Lausanne vaccine strain as the sequence of the vaccine virus is not available.

274

275 Comparison between the monoclonal and polyclonal antibody based methods: 276 The antigen homology calculated using the MAb reactivity data was compared with 277 the r-value data generated from the micro-neutralisation test. In the case of O_1 278 Lausanne, the two homology results correlated well with each other except for O_1 279 Manisa (virus b in Fig 1a), O TAW 112/97 (virus d in Fig 1a), O ALG 2/99 (virus w 280 in Fig 1a), O PHI 2/95 (virus i in Fig 1a), O ITL 1/93 (virus j in Fig 1a), O HKN 1/92 281 (virus k in Fig 1a), O TUR 19/91 (virus c in Fig 1a) and O UKG 12/2001 (virus u in 282 Fig 1a). For these isolates the antigen homology based on MAb reactivity was found

to be high whereas the r_1 -values from the microneutralisation tests had values less than 0.3, indicating a low match between these isolates and the O₁ Lausanne vaccine strain.

286

In the case of O₁ Manisa, some isolates like O ITL 1/93 (virus j in Fig 1b) and O PHI 287 288 9/2000 (virus f in Fig 1b) also showed high antigenic relationship scores based on 289 MAb reactivity but poor matches (below 0.3) were predicted from the 290 microneutralisation tests. Conversely, viruses such as O_1 Lausanne (virus a in Fig 1b), 291 O ALG 2/99 (virus w in Fig 1b), O GRE 4/94 (virus s in Fig 1b), O SKR 1/2000 292 (virus x in Fig 1b), O TAI 1/92 (virus t in Fig 1b), O UKG 12/2001 (virus u in Fig 293 1b), O VIT 4/97 (virus q in Fig 1b) and O VIT 7/97 (virus r in Fig 1b) showed the 294 converse with low antigen homology based on the MAb reactivity but a good match 295 predicted by microneutralisation (r_1 values ranging between 0.5 and 1.0). Statistical 296 analysis of the data obtained in this study confirmed the lack of correlation between 297 the two methods (O_1 Lausanne vaccine: Spearman's rank correlation, r=0.14; one-298 sided test with alternative hypothesis r>0, P=0.26); O₁ Manisa vaccine: Spearman's 299 rank correlation, r=-0.002; one-sided test with alternative hypothesis r>0, P=0.50).

300

301 **Discussion:**

The reactivity profiles of two panels of MAbs, raised to either O_1 Lausanne or O_1 Manisa vaccine, against a set of selected type O field isolates were investigated. The pattern of virus recognition by different MAbs was sometimes similar and sometimes unique and the observation that MAbs directed towards the same antigenic site (site 2) could react quite differently indicates the existence of more than one epitope within the same antigenic site.

308 Antigenic relatedness between the vaccine strains and the field viruses was calculated 309 using a PAb-based micro-neutralisation test, which is accepted as the gold standard 310 method for FMD vaccine matching. The MAb-based test was compared with this and 311 from the data generated the two systems do not correlate well. The PAb data suggest 312 a broader number of field isolates would be covered well by the O_1 Manisa compared 313 to O_1 Lausanne strain. This fits with the relative evolutionary relationships of the 314 vaccines to the field isolates, most isolates being O₁ Manisa like, and of Middle-East / 315 South Asian origin, whereas O_1 Lausanne is representative of the European / South 316 American topotype (Samuel and Knowles, 2001). In contrast, the MAb data gives the 317 opposite result. This may be because of the inclusion of multiple poorly reactive non-318 neutralising MAbs whose relevance to the overall response is unclear, or possibly due 319 to an incomplete panel of O_1 Manisa MAbs which are not fully representative of the 320 different neutralising antigenic sites. If, for example, one restricts the comparison to 321 site 2 MAbs (i.e. like-for-like), then the antigenic homology determined by these 322 MAbs are more similar for the two vaccine strains.

323 The binding sites of the neutralising MAbs used in the O_1 Manisa panel have been 324 characterised by sequencing MAb neutralisation escape mutants and all of them were 325 found to be directed towards antigenic site 2 (the BC loop of VP2). This is a 326 conformational epitope that involves critical amino acid residues at position 72 and 327 73 (Aktas and Samuel, 2000). Studies using the anti- O_1 Lausanne site 2 MAbs (C6) 328 and C9) have previously identified critical residues on VP2 at positions 70, 71, 75, 77 329 and 131 in addition to 72 and 73 (Kitson et al, 1990). Comparison of the VP2 330 sequence of some of the isolates exhibiting low O_1 Manisa Mab reactivity patterns 331 revealed that these residues are highly conserved and can not therefore account for the 332 failure of the O1 Manisa MAbs to strongly react with certain field isolates. Samuel

333 (Samuel, 2000) also reported the sequence at antigenic sites of serotype O FMD virus to be much conserved, but noted variations at residue VP2⁷⁴. In the current study, 334 335 three residues, proline, serine and in one instance arginine were evident at this 336 position, whilst Samuel (Samuel, 2000) also reported the presence of glutamine. 337 Apparently mutations at this position do not seem to affect very much the binding of Though amino acid residue at position VP2¹³¹ was found to be highly 338 MAbs. 339 conserved, sequence variation was evident in adjacent residues.

340

341 MAb SA107 reacted very well with all isolates whereas the rest of the O_1 Manisa 342 MAbs showed varying degrees of reactivity. This implies that MAbs SA107 and 343 SA98 that have been reported to recognise the same epitope (critical amino acid 344 residue at position 73 (D-A) in the VP2 protein (Aktas and Samuel, 2000) likely have 345 overlapping but non-identical binding footprints. The reduced reactivity of site 2 O_1 346 Manisa MAbs except SA107 with isolate O TAI 1/92 may be attributed to the S-G 347 mutation at position 72 of VP2 which has been reported to be critical in case of O1 348 Manisa virus (Aktas and Samuel, 2000). Similarly the reduced reactivity of these site 349 2 O1 Manisa MAbs with isolates SKR 1/2000 and O UKG 12/2001 were further 350 investigated. Although these two isolates have a relatively neutral mutation, an 351 aspartic acid substituted for glutamine at position 133, a more likely explanation for 352 their decreased reactivity with the MAbs may be the substitution of a positively 353 charged residue, histidine, at position 79 with the aromatic amino acid residue 354 tyrosine. None of the isolates that react with SA98 have a change at this residue and 355 the amino acid residue at position 79 has also been reported to be critical in the case 356 of FMDV serotype C (Lea et al., 1994) and Asia 1 (Grazioli et al., 2004). This residue 357 is surface-orientated and presents itself close to the mobile G-H loop of VP1 which

358 may somehow alter the conformation of the epitope resulting in poor reactivity. The O 359 Vit 3/97 isolate reacted very well with all the O₁ Manisa neutralising MAbs whereas 360 the other two isolates from Vietnam (O VIT 4/97 and O VIT 7/97) reacted with none 361 of the neutralising MAbs except SA107. This may be attributed to the presence of a 362 negatively charged amino acid, glutamic acid (E) at position 134 in place of a 363 positively charged amino acid, lysine (K). Furthermore, isolates O ALG 2/99 and O 364 GRE 4/94 also have the same change at this position and both of them exhibited 365 reduced reactivity with the MAbs indicating amino acid residue at position 134 may 366 also contribute to the binding of MAbs to serotype O isolates. The residue at position 367 134 is surface exposed and mutation of this residue to one with a negative charge 368 might be expected to alter binding efficiency of the MAbs. It is possible that there 369 may be similar amino acid residue substitution/(s) at other antigenic sites which may 370 influence the binding of MAbs and have yet not been identified in type O viruses. 371 This could not be explored further due to the unavailability of multiple MAbs to these 372 antigenic sites.

373

374 From this study it is clear that though the MAb based system for FMD vaccine 375 matching is very promising; currently it is neither comparable with the neutralisation 376 based assay system nor robust enough to be considered as a valuable and 377 contributable tool for FMD vaccine matching. In order to improve the present system 378 a wider number of well defined MAbs need to be included in each panel, with at least 379 one MAb representative of each antigenic site so as to obtain a wider spectrum of 380 reactivity. In addition considering the evidence of existence of more than one epitope 381 in some antigenic sites, especially for antigenic site 2, there would therefore be a need 382 to include more than one MAb for each antigenic site. This may also facilitate

383 identification of amino acid residues influencing the binding of MAbs to other 384 antigenic sites. Whether the panel of MAbs should consist of only neutralising MAbs 385 or only non-neutralising MAbs or both, needs to be considered carefully and probably 386 can only be resolved through validation studies. Considering the ultimate goal of this 387 system is to measure the reactivity in terms of protection, inclusion of MAbs 388 recognising protective epitopes seems a sensible approach. However, there is not 389 enough information available to date to be confident on defining which epitopes these 390 Though a strong correlation has been reported between virus neutralising are. 391 antibody and protection in cattle, one of the main target species (Pay and Hingley, 392 1993) it has also been suggested that non-neutralising epitope/(s) contribute to FMD 393 vaccine protection (Dunn et al., 1998). However, little or no work has been carried 394 out so far to identify the epitopes recognised by non-neutralising MAbs and their 395 contribution to FMD vaccine protection, clearly indicating the urgency to explore 396 these issues.

397

398 Another possibility to improve the currently used MAb based ELISA system would 399 be equalisation of the amount of virus captured to the plate. The MAb based system is 400 an ELISA system which uses a serotype specific polyclonal rabbit antisera as the 401 capture antibody. Based on the antigenic closeness of the field isolate/(s) with the 402 vaccine virus (used for raising capture anti-sera) the amount of virus trapped may vary 403 greatly. Though every effort was made in this study to equalise the amount of virus 404 trapped by the capture antibody, the possibility of variation could not be totally 405 excluded. Therefore there is a need to use a universal ligand which may ensure equal 406 amount of virus being trapped to the system. Work is ongoing in our laboratory to

407 improve the antigen profiling ELISA system using a universal ligand and a wider

408 panel of MAbs.

409

410 Acknowledgment

- 411 We thank Dr E. Brocchi, Brescia and Bayer AG, Cologne for making available some
- 412 of the anti O1 Lausanne and Manisa MAbs respectively. We would also like to thank
- 413 Dr Nigel Ferris for providing the rabbit and guinea-pig anti-FMDV antibodies and Dr
 414 Simon Gubbins for help in statistical analysis of the data.
- 415
- 416 This work was supported financially by DEFRA, UK (Project SE2917 and SE2922).
- 417

418 **References**

- Aktas, S., Samuel, A.R., 2000, Identification of antigenic epitopes on the foot and mouth disease virus isolate O-1/Manisa/Turkey/69 using monoclonal antibodies. Rev. Sci. Tech. Off. Int. Epizoot. 19, 744-753.
- Alonso, F.A., Casas Olascoaga, R.C., Astudillo, V.M., Sondahl, M.S., Gomes, I.,
 Vianna Filho, Y.L., 1987, Updating of foot and mouth disease virus strains of
 epidemiological importance in South America. Bol. Cent. Panam. Fiebre
 aftosa 53, 11-18.
- Booth, J.C., Rweyemamu, M.M., Pay, T.W.F., 1978, Dose-Response Relationships in
 a Micro-Neutralization Test for Foot-and-Mouth-Disease Viruses. Journal of
 Hygiene 80, 31-42.
- 429 Brooksby, J.B., 1982, Portraits of Viruses Foot-and-Mouth-Disease Virus .8.
 430 Intervirology 18, 1-23.
- 431 Cartwright, B., Chapman, W.G., Sharpe, R.T., 1982, Stimulation by Heterotypic
 432 Antigens of Foot-and-Mouth-Disease Virus-Antibodies in Vaccinated Cattle.
 433 Research in Veterinary Science 32, 338-342.
- 434 Crowther, J.R., Farias, S., Carpenter, W.C., Samuel, A.R., 1993, Identification of a
 435 5th Neutralizable Site on Type-O Foot-and-Mouth-Disease Virus Following
 436 Characterization of Single and Quintuple Monoclonal-Antibody Escape
 437 Mutants. J. Gen. Virol. 74, 1547-1553.
- 438 Dunn, C.S., Samuel, A.R., Pullen, L.A., Anderson, J., 1998, The biological relevance
 439 of virus neutralisation sites for virulence and vaccine protection in the guinea
 440 pig model of foot-and-mouth disease. Virology 247, 51-61.
- Ferris, N.P., Abrescia, N.G.A., Stuart, D.I., Jackson, T., Burman, A., King, D.P.,
 Paton, D.J., 2005, Utility of recombinant integrin alpha v beta 6 as a capture
 reagent in immunoassays for the diagnosis of foot-and-mouth disease. J. Virol.
 Methods 127, 69-79.
- Garciabarreno, B., Sanz, A., Nogal, M.L., Vinuela, E., Enjuanes, L., 1986,
 Monoclonal-Antibodies of African Swine Fever Virus Antigenic Differences
 among Field Virus Isolates and Viruses Passaged in Cell-Culture. J. Virol. 58,
 385-392.
- Grazioli, S., Fallacara, F., Brocchi, E., 2004. Mapping of neutralisisng sites on FMD
 virus type Asia 1 and relationship with sites described in other serotypes. In:
 Open session of the research group of the standing technical committee of the

- 452 European commission for the control of foot and mouth disease, Chania, Crete, 453 Greece, 11-15 October 2004, pp. 277-287.
- 454 Hall, T.A., 1999, BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and 455 analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucl. Acids. Symp. Ser. 41, 95-98.
- Kitching, R.P., Rendle, R., Ferris, N.P., 1988, Rapid Correlation between Field
 Isolates and Vaccine Strains of Foot-and-Mouth-Disease Virus. Vaccin 6, 403 408.
- Kitson, J.D.A., McCahon, D., Belsham, G.J., 1990, Sequence-Analysis of
 Monoclonal-Antibody Resistant Mutants of Type-O Foot-and-Mouth-Disease
 Virus Evidence for the Involvement of the 3 Surface Exposed Capsid
 Proteins in 4 Antigenic Sites. Virology 179, 26-34.
- Knowles, N.J., Samuel, A.R., Davies, P.R., Midgley, R.J., Valarcher, J.F., 2005,
 Pandemic strain of foot-and-mouth disease virus serotype O. Emerging
 Infectious Diseases 11, 1887-1893.
- Lea, S., Hernandez, J., Blakemore, W., Brocchi, E., Curry, S., Domingo, E., Fry, E.,
 Abughazaleh, R., King, A., Newman, J., Stuart, D., Mateu, M.G., 1994, The
 Structure and Antigenicity of a Type-C Foot-and-Mouth-Disease Virus.
 Structure 2, 123-139.
- Mattion, N., Konig, G., Seki, C., Smitsaart, E., Maradei, E., Robiolo, B., Duffy, S.,
 Leon, E., Piccone, M., Sadir, A., Bottini, R., Cosentino, B., Falczuk, A.,
 Maresca, R., Periolo, O., Bellinzoni, R., Espinoza, A., La Torre, J., Palma,
 E.L., 2004, Reintroduction of foot-and-mouth disease in Argentina:
 characterisation of the isolates and development of tools for the control and
 eradication of the disease. Vaccin 22, 4149-4162.
- 476 Paton, D.J., Valarcher, J.F., Bergmann, I., Matlho, O.G., Zakharov, V.M., Palma,
 477 E.L., Thomson, G.R., 2005, Selection of foot and mouth disease vaccine
 478 strains a review. Revue Scientifique Et Technique-Office International Des
 479 Epizooties 24, 981-993.
- Pay, T.W.F., Hingley, P.J., 1993, Foot-and-Mouth-Disease Vaccine Potency Tests in Cattle - the Interrelationship of Antigen Dose, Serum Neutralizing Antibody-Response and Protection from Challenge (Vaccine, Vol 10, Pg 699, 1992).
 Vaccin 11, 392-392.
- 484 Rweyemamu, M.M., Booth, J.C., Head, M., Pay, T.W.F., 1978, Microneutralization
 485 Tests for Serological Typing and Subtyping of Foot-and-Mouth-Disease
 486 Virus-Strains. Journal of Hygiene 81, 107-123.
- 487 Rweyemamu, M.M., Hingley, P.J., 1984, Foot and Mouth-Disease Virus-Strain
 488 Differentiation Analysis of the Serological Data. Journal of Biological
 489 Standardization 12, 225-229.
- 490 Samuel, A.R., 2000, Genetically diverse isolates of type O foot and mouth disease
 491 virus exhibit remarkable amino acid conservation at their neutralising
 492 antigenic sites. Open session of the research group of the standing technical
 493 committee of the European commission for the control of foot and mouth
 494 disease, Borovets, Bulgaria, 5-8 September 2000: 67-79..
- 495 Samuel, A.R., Knowles, N.J., 2001, Foot-and-mouth disease type O viruses exhibit
 496 genetically and geographically distinct evolutionary lineages (topotypes). J.
 497 Gen. Virol. 82, 609-621.
- Thompson, J.D., Gibson, T.J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F., Higgins, D.G., 1997, The
 CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence
 alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 25, 4876-4882.
- 501

502 Figure legend

- 505 Fig.1. Antigenic relatedness between the vaccine strains, (a-O1 Lausanne, b- O1
- 506 Manisa) and type O field isolates. The values for antigen homology calculated from
- 507 Mab based ELISA are presented as fractions. Closed boxes indicate antigen
- 508 homology obtained by using MAb based ELISA system and open boxes indicate
- 509 antigen homology obtained by using PAb based micro neutralisation assay system.
- 510 For virus names (a-x) on X-axis please see table 2.
- 511
- 512 Fig.2. The deduced amino acid sequence of VP2 protein of O1 Manisa vaccine (Aktas
- 513 et al., 2000) and various serotype O field isolates are aligned as described in materials
- and methods. Amino acid residues at position 72, 79 and 134 are shown in white with
- 515 a black background. X ambiguous.
- 516

Virus	Geographic origin	Species	Date collected	Topotype	Strain*
O ₁ /Lausanne/SWI/65	Lausanne, Switzerland.	not known	1965	EURO-SA	
O1/Manisa/TUR/69	Manisa, Turkey.	bovine	Apr, 1969	ME-SA	-
O/ALG/2/99	Bir touta, Blida, Algeria.	bovine	1999	WA	-
O/BUL/1/93	Simeonovgrad, Bulgaria.	bovine	1993	ME-SA	-
O/CAM/3/92	Banon, Battambang, Cambodia.	bovine	31/03/1992	SEA	-
O/CAM/1/98	Kâmpóng Speu, Cambodia.	porcine	05/01/1998	SEA	Cam-94
O/CAM/6/98	Kâmpóng Speu, Cambodia.	porcine	08/01/1998 🔍	SEA	Cam-94
O/CAM/8/98	Kâmpóng Speu, Cambodia.	porcine	01/08/1998	SEA	Cam-94
O/GRE/4/94	Xanthi, Greece.	bovine	01/07/1994	ME-SA	-
O/HKN/1/92	Maon Shan, Shatin, Hong Kong.	porcine	22/01/1992	CATHAY	-
O/ITL/1/93	Italy.	bovine	22/02/1993	ME-SA	-
O/PHI/2/95	Baunag(Bauang?), La Union, Region I, Philippines.	porcine	12/01/1995	CATHAY	-
O/PHI/9/95	Kapasigan, Pasig City, NCR, Philippines.	porcine	23/03/1995	CATHAY	-
O/PHI/1/99	Mecauyan, Bulacan, Region III, Philippines.	Porcine	1999	CATHAY	-
O/PHI/9/2000	Pritil, Guiguinto, Bulacan, Region III, Philippines.	porcine	03/01/2000	CATHAY	-
O/PHI/23/2000	San Andres, Cainta, Rizal, Region IV, Philippines.	porcine	24/05/2000	CATHAY	-
O/SKR/1/2000	Papyung, P'aju City, Kyunggi, Republic of Korea.	bovine	26/03/2000	ME-SA	PanAsia
O/TAW/112/97	Sinchu Prefecture, Taiwan.	porcine	07/12/1997	CATHAY	-
O/TAI/1/92	Narathiwat, Thailand.	not known	1992	SEA	-
O/TUR/19/91	Savas, Aksehir, Konya, Turkey.	bovine	12/09/1991	ME-SA	-
O/UKG/12/2001	Brentwood, Essex, UK.	porcine	20/02/2001	ME-SA	PanAsia
O/VIT/3/97	Vietnam.	porcine	1997	CATHAY	-
O/VIT/4/97	Vietnam.	bovine	1997	SEA	Cam-94
O/VIT/7/97	Uar, Krong Pa, Gialai, Region no. 37, Vietnam.	not known	31/08/1997	SEA	Cam-94

Table 1: The origin and molecular characterisation of foot-and-mouth disease type O viruses used in the study.

*, strain name given where designated.

Topotype designations are as follows: EURO-SA, Europe-South America; ME-SA, Middle East-South Asia; SEA, Southeast Asia; WA, West Africa

Virus names are given as WRLFMD codes and the codes are as follows: ALG, Algeria; BUL, Bulgaria; CAM, Cambodia; GRE, Greece; HKN, Hong Kong; ITL, Italy; PHI, Philippines; SKR, South Korea (Republic of Korea); SWI, Switzerland; TAI, Thailand; TAW, Taiwan Province of China; TUR, Turkey; UKG, United Kingdom; VIT, Vietnam.

Mab	Site	Critical residue
O ₁ Lausanne	MAbs	
B2	1	VP1-144, 148, 154
D9	1	VP1 -144
C6	2	VP2 – 70, 71, 73
C9	2	VP2 -71, 72, 75, 77
C8	3	VP1-43,44
OC3	5	VP1- 149
<u>O1 Manisa M</u>	Abs	
SA85	2&5	VP2-72, VP1-149
SA88	2	ND
SA98	2	VP2-73
SA107	2	VP2-73
SA113	2	VP2-72
SA120	2	ND
SA127	2	VP2-73

Table 2: Critical residues of type O MAbs

ND- Not determined

Table 3 Reactivity of foot-and-mouth disease virus serotype O field isolates with O1 Lausanne MAbs.

	Virus Name	B2	D9	C6	C9	C8	OC3	
а	O1 Lausanne							
b	O1 Manisa							
С	O TUR 19/91							
d	O TAW 112/97							
е	O PHI 23/2000							
f	O PHI 9/2000							
g	O PHI 1/99							
h	O PHI 9/95							
i	O PHI 2/95							
j	O ITL 1/93							
k	O HKN 1/92							
I	O CAM 8/98							
m	O CAM 6/98							
n	O CAM 1/98							
0	O CAM 3/92							
р	O VIT 3/97							
q	O VIT 4/97							
r	O VIT 7/97			Ţ				
s	O GRE 4/94							
t	O TAI 1/92			Ť				
u	O UKG 12/2001							
v	O BUL 1/93							
w	O ALG 2/99							
х	O SKR 1/2000							

Reactivity Scale



51-100%

Table 4: Reactivity of foot-and-mouth disease virus serotype O field isolates with anti-O1 Manisa MAbs. For reactivity scale see Table 1.

	Virus name	SA107	SA120	SA113	SA127	SA85	SA88	SA98	3H3	4B12	3A4	4C8	4H6	3G3
а	O1 Lausanne													
b	O1 Manisa													
С	O TUR 19/91													
d	O TAW 112/97													
е	O PHI 23/2000										9			
f	O PHI 9/2000													
g	O PHI 1/99									ĺ				
h	O PHI 9/95													
i	O PHI 2/95													
j	O ITL 1/93													
k	O HKN 1/92													
Ι	O CAM 8/98													
m	O CAM 6/98													
n	O CAM 1/98													
0	O CAM 3/92													
р	O VIT 3/97													
q	O VIT 4/97													
r	O VIT 7/97													
s	O GRE 4/94						8							
t	O TAI 1/92													
u	O UKG 12/2001													
v	O BUL 1/93					T I								
w	O ALG 2/99					4								
x	O SKR 1/2000						·							

Fig. 1 Comparision of antigen homology







b. O₁ Manisa vaccine



	10	20	30	40	50	60
MANISA	DKKTEETTLLEDR	ILTTRNGHTTS	TTQSSVGVTY	GYATAEDFV	SGPNTSGLE	FRVAQAER
TAW97-112						V
PHI95-05						V
ITL93-01						V
CAM98-01						V
CAM98-06						V
TATA2_01						77
IAI92-01			T			V
VI197-03						\
VIT97-04						
VII9/-0/		X	X	x		V-E
ALG99-02	-I					V
GRE94-04	A				s	V
SKR00-01						V
UKG01-12						V
	70	80	90	100	110	120
MANISA	FFKTHLFDWVT <mark>S</mark> D	PFGRC <mark>H</mark> LLELP	TDHKGVYGSI	TDSYAYMRN	GWDVEVTAV	GNQFNGGC
TAW97-112				GI		
PHT95-05						
TTT.93-01						
CAM98-01		q		_N		
CAM90-01		S		_N		
CAM90-00		5		-N		
TA192-01	AG-					
VIT97-03						
VIT97-04	A	S				
VIT97-07		S				
ALG99-02						
GRE94-04		R	G-			
SKR00-01		<u>Y</u>				
UKG01-12		<mark>Y</mark>				
	130	140	150	160	170	180
MANISA	130 LLVAMVPELCSIO	140 Krelyoltlfp	150 HOFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV	170 GVNRYDOYKY	180 VHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ	140 KRELYQLTLFP	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV	170 GVNRYDQYKY	180 VHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ	140 KRELYQLTLFP	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV	170 GVNRYDQYKY	180 VHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ S RN	140 RELYQLTLFP	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 TAHITVPFV YL	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 VHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01	130 LLVAMVPELCSIO 	140 RELYQLTLFP	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 TTAHITVPFV YL A-YL	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 VHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ S RN LE	140 KRELYQLTLFP	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 HTAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 VHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ S RN LE LE	140 KRELYQLTLFP R R	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 VHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ S RN LE LE	140 RELYQLTLFP R R	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYKY	180 /HKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ S RN LE LE LE	140 RELYQLTLFP R R	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYKY	180 VHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ S LE LE LE LE	140 RELYQLTLFP R R R R R R R R R	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYKY	180 VHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ S LE LE LE S LK LK	140 K RELYQLTLFP 	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYKY	180 VHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PH195-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TA192-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ 	140 K RELYQLTLFP 	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 VHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ 	140 KRELYQLTLFP R R R BK BK BK BK BK BK	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 UHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ	140 KRELYQLTLFP R R R R R R R R	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 UHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-04 VIT97-04 VIT97-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ 	140 K RELYQLTLFP R	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 UHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ S LE LE LE LK LK LK LK D	140 K RELYQLTLFP R	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 VHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ 	140 KRELYQLTLFP R	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 <u>VHKPWTLV</u>
MANISA TAW97-112 PH195-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TA192-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ S LE LE LE LK LK LK LK D D 190	140 K RELYQLTLFP R	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 <u>VHKPWTLV</u>
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12 MANISA	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ 	140 K RELYQLTLFP R 	150 HQFINPRTNM	160 ITAHITVPFV	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 <u>VHKPWTLV</u>
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12 MANISA TAW97-112	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ 	140 K RELYQLTLFP R R BK EK E 200 APQIKVYANIA	150 HQFINPRTNM 	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 <u>UHKPWTLV</u>
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12 MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ 	140 K RELYQLTLFP R R E	150 HQFINPRTNM 	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 UHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12 MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ S LE LE LE LK LK LK LK LK LK LK LK D D 190 VMVVAPLTVNSEG. N N N 	140 K RELYQLTLFP R R E K E K E E	150 HQFINPRTNM 	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 VHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12 MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ 	140 KRELYQLTLFP R	150 HQFINPRTNM 	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYKY	180 <u>VHKPWTLV</u>
MANISA TAW97-112 PH195-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TA192-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12 MANISA TAW97-112 PH195-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ S LE LE LE LE LK 	140 KRELYQLTLFP R	150 HQFINPRTNM 210 PTNVHVAGEF I I	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 <u>VHKPWTLV</u>
MANISA TAW97-112 PH195-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TA192-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12 MANISA TAW97-112 PH195-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-06 TA192-01	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ 	140 K RELYQLTLFP R	150 HQFINPRTNM 210 PTNVHVAGEF I I	160 ITAHITVPFV	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 <u>UHKPWTLV</u>
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12 MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ 	140 K RELYQLTLFP 	150 HQFINPRTNM 210 PTNVHVAGEF I I	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 UHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12 MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ 	140 K RELYQLTLFP R R R E E	150 HQFINPRTNM 	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 <u>UHKPWTLV</u>
MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12 MANISA TAW97-112 PHI95-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-06 TAI92-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ S LE LE LE LK LK LK LK LK LK LK LK LK LK LK 	140 K RELYQLTLFP R R E	150 HQFINPRTNM 210 PTNVHVAGEF 	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 VHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PH195-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TA192-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12 MANISA TAW97-112 PH195-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 VIT97-07	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ 	140 K RELYQLTLFP R	150 HQFINPRTNM 210 PTNVHVAGEF	160 ITAHITVPFV 	170 GVNRYDQYKY	180 VHKPWTLV
MANISA TAW97-112 PH195-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TA192-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12 MANISA TAW97-112 PH195-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ 	140 K RELYQLTLFP R	150 HQFINPRTNM 210 PTNVHVAGEF I	160 ITAHITVPFV	170 GVNRYDQYKY	180 <u>VHKPWTLV</u>
MANISA TAW97-112 PH195-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12 MANISA TAW97-112 PH195-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ S LE LE LE LK LK LK 	140 RELYQLTLFP R	150 HQFINPRTNM 210 PTNVHVAGEF I	160 ITAHITVPFV	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 <u>UHKPWTLV</u>
MANISA TAW97-112 PH195-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-01 CAM98-06 TA192-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01 UKG01-12 MANISA TAW97-112 PH195-05 ITL93-01 CAM98-06 TA192-01 VIT97-03 VIT97-04 VIT97-07 ALG99-02 GRE94-04 SKR00-01	130 LLVAMVPELCSIQ 	140 RELYQLTLFP R	150 HQFINPRTNM 210 PTNVHVAGEF I I	160 ITAHITVPFV	170 GVNRYDQYK 	180 <u>UHKPWTLV</u>

Fig. 2 Sequence comparison of VP2 region of various FMD viruses