



HAL
open science

Prevalence of antibodies against canine distemper virus and canine parvovirus among foxes and wolves from Spain

R. Sobrino, M.C. Arnal, D.F. Luco, C. Gortázar

► **To cite this version:**

R. Sobrino, M.C. Arnal, D.F. Luco, C. Gortázar. Prevalence of antibodies against canine distemper virus and canine parvovirus among foxes and wolves from Spain. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 2007, 126 (1-3), pp.251. 10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.06.014 . hal-00532281

HAL Id: hal-00532281

<https://hal.science/hal-00532281>

Submitted on 4 Nov 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Prevalence of antibodies against canine distemper virus and canine parvovirus among foxes and wolves from Spain

Authors: R. Sobrino, M.C. Arnal, D.F. Luco, C. Gortázar

PII: S0378-1135(07)00312-4
DOI: doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.06.014
Reference: VETMIC 3735

To appear in: *VETMIC*

Received date: 29-3-2007
Revised date: 12-6-2007
Accepted date: 18-6-2007



Please cite this article as: Sobrino, R., Arnal, M.C., Luco, D.F., Gortázar, C., Prevalence of antibodies against canine distemper virus and canine parvovirus among foxes and wolves from Spain, *Veterinary Microbiology* (2007), doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.06.014

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 **Prevalence of antibodies against canine distemper virus and canine parvovirus**
2 **among foxes and wolves from Spain.**

3 Sobrino R¹, Arnal MC², Luco DF², Gortázar C^{1*}

4 ¹ IREC (CSIC – UCLM – JCCM), Ronda de Toledo s.n., 50013 Ciudad Real, Spain.

5 ² Fac Veterinaria, Universidad de Zaragoza. Miguel Servet 177, 50013 Zaragoza, Spain

6 * Corresponding author. Christian.Gortazar@uclm.es Tel. 0034 926 295 450 Fax 451

7

8 ABSTRACT

9 Viral diseases can influence the population dynamics of wild carnivores and can have
10 effects on carnivore conservation. Hence, a serologic survey was conducted in an
11 opportunistic sample of 137 foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) and 37 wolves (*Canis lupus*) in Spain
12 for 1997-2007 to detect antibodies against canine distemper virus (CDV) and against
13 canine parvovirus (CPV) by indirect ELISA. Antibodies against CDV were detected in
14 18.7% of the analyzed animals and antibodies against CPV in 17.2%. There was no
15 difference in antibody prevalence to CDV between both species, even in the same
16 region ($P>0.05$), but there was a significant difference in antibody prevalence to CPV
17 between foxes (5.1%) and wolves (62.2%) ($P<0.05$). In fox populations there was a
18 significant difference in antibody prevalence to CDV between geographic areas (Aragón
19 26.4%, La Mancha 7.8%, $P<0.05$). In wolf populations there was a significantly higher
20 antibody prevalence against CPV ($P<0.05$) in Castilla y León (100%) than in the
21 Cantabric region (53.3%). There was no significant sex or age related difference in the
22 antibody prevalence against CDV or CPV in foxes. These results indicate that contact
23 with CDV is widespread among wild canid populations in Spain and that CPV is
24 endemic in the Iberian wolf population. The implications of these results are briefly
25 discussed.

26 **Keywords:** *Canis lupus*, Serosurvey, Spain, *Vulpes vulpes*, Wild canids.

27

28 **Introduction**

29 Canine distemper virus (CDV) and canine parvovirus (CPV) are common pathogens of
30 domestic and wild carnivores and have a worldwide distribution. CDV is a
31 Morbillivirus (family *Paramyxoviridae*) that is very resistant to cool temperatures but
32 quickly inactivated by ultraviolet light and by heat and drying. It is transmitted by
33 aerosols or contact with oral, respiratory, and ocular fluids and exudates containing the
34 virus. Therefore, dense populations of susceptible animals are needed to sustain
35 epidemics (Williams and Barker, 2001). CDV affects species belonging to all families
36 of the order carnivora and seems to have the major impact in wild carnivores and in
37 captivity (Montali et al., 1987). It is known that CDV caused the disappearance of the
38 last wild population of black-footed ferret (*Mustela nigripes*) (Thorne and Williams,
39 1988), and has also been considered responsible of declines of endangered species such
40 as African wild dog (*Lycaon pictus*) during the epizootic in domestic dogs (*Canis*
41 *familiaris*) and wild carnivores in the Serengeti (Alexander and Appel, 1994). In Spain,
42 CDV has been identified as cause of death or disease in domestic dogs (Nieto et al.,
43 1987), polecats (*Mustela putorius*), American mink (*Mustela vison*), genet (*Genetta*
44 *genetta*), fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) and stone marten (*Martes foina*) (López-Peña et al., 2001).
45 In contrast, CPV is a Parvovirus (family *Parvoviridae*) that is very hardy, able to
46 survive up to 6 months at room temperature. It is transmitted by the fecal-oral route,
47 probably mainly through ingestion of virus from the environment, rather than by direct
48 contact with infected animals (Williams and Barker, 2001). CPV has a more limited
49 host range affecting different canids (Parrish, 1990). Although CPV has been linked
50 with mortality in young wolves (Johnson et al., 1994) and coyotes (Gese et al., 1997)

51 and could threaten the viability of small isolated populations (Mech and Goyal, 1993,
52 1995), its impact in wild canid populations is largely unknown. In Europe, the presence
53 of the virus has been reported in wild canid populations in Italy (Martinello et al., 1997)
54 and data on strains isolated from wolves demonstrated that the same strain of CPV can
55 circulate among domestic and wild canids (Battilani et al., 2001). No mortality due to
56 CPV has been reported in wild canids from Spain but CPV is common in domestic dogs
57 (Decaro et al., 2006).

58 The Iberian wolf (*Canis lupus signatus*) is considered a vulnerable species and its
59 population is estimated at a minimum of 2000 individuals (Blanco, 1998). Although the
60 main factors that can affect its survival are human causes or prey availability, infectious
61 diseases can also act as a mortality source. In fact, wolf mortality due to CDV and CPV
62 has been reported (Carbyn, 1982; Mech et al., 1997). The fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) in
63 contrast, is an abundant species with a wide distribution in the Spanish mainland
64 (Blanco, 1998). This species is susceptible to a number of diseases including CDV and
65 CPV (Artois et al., 1996). Thus, it could be a source of infection to other less abundant
66 species that live sympatrically. Additionally, feral or free roaming domestic dogs may
67 also become a source of infections for wild canids (Alexander and Appel, 1994).
68 However, feral dog abundances in Spain are low as compared to fox abundances (The
69 authors, unpublished data).

70 The objective in this study was to determine the prevalence of serum antibodies to CDV
71 and CPV in Spanish foxes and wolves, and their differences across age and sex classes
72 and geographical regions.

73

74 **Materials and methods**

75 **Sampling**

76 In the period of 1997-2007, serum samples were collected from 37 wolf and 137 fox
77 carcasses from 4 Spanish regions including the Cantabric coast, Castilla y León, Aragón
78 and La Mancha (Figure 1). All animals were legally obtained (road kills and some foxes
79 hunted for population control).

80 The serum samples were obtained by cardiac puncture, centrifuged, and stored at -20°C
81 until their analysis. Age class (yearling <1 year vs. adult >1 year) was determined by
82 tooth eruption and the degree of tooth wear (Sáenz de Buruaga et al., 2001). As a
83 consequence of opportunistic sampling, the age or sex was not known for 26 foxes and
84 25 wolves.

85 Serum antibody testing

86 Antibodies to CDV and CPV were determined by indirect enzyme-linked immunoassay
87 (ELISA), using commercial kits and following the manufacturer's instructions
88 (Ingenasa, Madrid, Spain) (Corrain et al., 2007). To test for CDV, the serum samples
89 were diluted 1/100, and anti-dog IgG was used as conjugate. Samples were considered
90 positive if OD (optic density) value was higher than absorbance of positive control *
91 0.2. To test for CPV with the same dilution and conjugate, samples were considered
92 positive if the ratio S/P (sample optic density / positive control optic density) was \geq
93 0.15.

94

95 Statistics

96 Seroprevalence was statistically analyzed considering the variables geographical area,
97 sex, age and host species using the SPSS 14.0 software. We used Chi-square tests and
98 Fisher test, with a 95% confidence level and a P value <0.05 was considered significant.

99

100 **Results**

101 Total antibody prevalence against CDV was 18.7%, being positive 9 (24.3%) of 37
102 wolves and 23 (17.1 %) of 134 foxes. Total antibody prevalence against CPV was
103 17.2% being positive 23 (62.2%) of 37 wolves and 7 (5.1%) of 137 foxes. There was no
104 significant difference in the prevalence of antibodies against CDV between both species
105 ($P>0.05$), but there was a significant difference in antibodies against CPV ($P<0.05$).

106 The antibody prevalence distribution against CDV and CPV by sex and age is shown in
107 Table 1. Although there was no significant difference between sex and age classes in
108 anti-CDV antibody prevalence in foxes, there was a slightly higher prevalence in adults
109 than in juveniles ($P=0.1$). There was no significant difference by age or sex in
110 antibodies against CPV. Differences in antibody prevalence by age or sex were not
111 analyzed in wolves since these variables were unknown for most animals.

112 The antibody prevalence against CDV and CPV by regions is presented in Figure 1. In
113 foxes, there was a significant difference between Aragón (26.4%) and La Mancha
114 (7.8%) ($P<0.05$), but not with other regions ($P>0.05$). In wolves, there was a significant
115 difference in CPV prevalence between the Cantabrig region (53.3%) and Castilla y León
116 (100%) ($P<0.05$). In the Cantabrig region, where both fox and wolf sera were available,
117 the prevalence of antibodies against CDV and CPV was of 22.2% and 23.3% and 10.6%
118 and 53.3% for foxes and wolves respectively (Figure 1). There was no difference in
119 CDV prevalence between both species in this area ($P>0.05$), but there was a significant
120 difference between both species in antibody prevalence against CPV.

121

122 **Discussion**

123 This is the first report of contact with CPV and CDV in the wolf in Spain. Various tests
124 are available to detect antibodies to CDV and CPV. The standard technique for CDV is
125 the virus neutralization (Williams and Barker et al., 2001), but it is expensive, time-

126 consuming, requires specialised laboratory facilities and good quality sera, with little or
127 no haemolysis. Hence, we decided not to use this tool to analyze our serum samples
128 (most of them from dead-found animals and with haemolysis). Recently, the ELISA
129 tests have been shown to be sensitive and specific against CDV and CPV (Ohashi et al.,
130 2001; Phukan et al., 2005).

131 The prevalence to CDV in wolves in our study (24.3%) was similar to the prevalence
132 described for this species in North America (7-67 %, Stephenson et al., 1982; Johnson
133 et al. 1994, Philippa et al., 2004). In contrast, the prevalence in foxes was 17.1%, which
134 is a higher value as compared to previous results for this species in central Europe (5-
135 13%, Frölich et al. 2000, Damien et al., 2002) and in North America (11%, Amundson
136 and Yuill 1981). However, the different serological tools used in some cases make the
137 interpretation and comparison between studies difficult.

138 The prevalence of antibodies against CDV was not age-specific or sex-specific in foxes.
139 The regional differences in CDV antibody prevalence could be due to different fox
140 densities, different spatial aggregation, or different degree of contact with domestic
141 dogs (e.g. Gortázar et al. 2003). In regions such as La Mancha, where foxes apparently
142 had less contact with CDV, the introduction of this pathogen could cause an epidemic
143 outbreak, because most individuals would be immunologically naïve (Appel, 1987).
144 This would have conservation implications since eventually CDV could spread from the
145 abundant fox population to other sympatric carnivores and affect endangered species
146 such as the lynx. Epidemic distemper outbreaks have happened in La Mancha in 1993
147 causing a 70% decrease in fox relative abundance (dropping counts, Ramos, 1995) and
148 in North-west Spain in 1997 (Marta Muñoz, pers. comm.).

149 The relatively high prevalence of antibodies against CPV in the Iberian wolf (62.2%), is
150 within the range reported for this species in North America (13-95%, Zarnke and

151 Ballard 1987; Mech and Goyal, 1993). This high prevalence suggests a high exposure to
152 infection but does not inform about disease, because the prevalence is measured in
153 surviving individuals (Arjo et al., 2003). The prevalence of antibodies against CPV in
154 wolves was significantly lower in the Cantabric region (53.3%) than in Castilla y León
155 (100%). Since no other practical way of sampling wolves was available, our sampling
156 strategy reduced all the possible inferences to the whole population.

157 The anti-CPV antibody prevalence in foxes (5.1%) was within the range reported by
158 other authors in Europe (0-9%, Mulley et al., 1982, Frölich et al., 2005) although
159 different tools were used for serological testing. The higher prevalence against CPV in
160 wolves than in sympatric foxes is surprising and suggests that foxes are not an
161 important source of infection to wolves. An alternative explanation could be that CPV
162 does not affect foxes. In an experimental infection of CPV in foxes Barker et al. (1983)
163 demonstrated the resistance of the species to the disease. Also, Truyen et al. (1998)
164 amplified DNA sequences from tissues of free-ranging foxes and compared them with
165 the prototype viruses from dogs and cats. The parvovirus sequence was indicative of a
166 true intermediate between CPV and feline panleucopenia virus, representing a link
167 between those viral groups.

168 We conclude that foxes and wolves from Spain have contact with CDV and that CPV is
169 endemic in Iberian wolf populations. This information is of use in the frame of
170 carnivore conservation. Further investigations are needed to study the epidemiology of
171 these viral agents in the wild canid populations.

172

173 **Acknowledgements**

174 This is a contribution to the agreement between IREC, SDGSA-MAPA and OAPN-
175 MMA, and to the agreement between CSIC and Principado de Asturias. RS

176 Acknowledges a grant from Castilla – La Mancha. We thank our colleagues at SERIDA
177 (Gijón, Asturias), Consultora de Recursos Naturales (Vitoria), Consultores en Biología
178 de la Conservación (Madrid) and Kati Gerique (Valencia) for providing samples.

179

180 **References:**

181 Alexander, K.A., Appel, M.J.G., 1994. African wild dogs (*Lycaon pictus*) endangered
182 by Canine distemper epizootic among domestic dogs near Masai-Mara National reserve,
183 Kenya. J. Wild. Dis. 30, 481-485.

184 Amundson, T.E., Yuill, T.M., 1981. Prevalence of selected pathogenic microbial
185 agents in the red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) and grey fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus*) of
186 southwestern Wisconsin. J. Wild. Dis. 17, 17-22.

187 Appel, M.J., 1987. Canine distemper virus. In: Appel M.J., editor. Virus infections of
188 carnivores. Amsterdam; Elsevier Science Publishers BV. Pp.133-59.

189 Arjo, W.M., Gese, E.M., Bromley, C., Kozlowski, A., Williams, E.S., 2003. Serologic
190 survey for diseases in free-ranging coyotes (*Canis latrans*) from two ecologically
191 distinct areas of Utah. J. Wild. Dis. 32, 449-455.

192 Artois, M., Claro, F., Rémond, M., Blancou, J., 1996. Pathologie infectieuse des
193 Canidés et Felidés des parcs zoologiques. Rev.Sci.tech.Off.int.Epiz. 15, 115-140.

194 Barrer, I.K., Povey, R.C., Voigt, D.R., 1983. Response of mink, skunk, red fox and
195 raccon to inoculation with mink virus enteritis, feline panleukopenia and canine
196 parvovirus and prevalence of aontibody to parvovirus in wild carnivores in Ontario.
197 Can. J. Comp. Med. 47, 188-197.

198 Battilani, M., Scagliarini, A., Tisato, E., Turilli, C., Jacoboni, I., Casadio, R., Prosperi,
199 S., 2001. Analysis of canine parvovirus sequences from wolves and dogs isolated in
200 Italy. J. Gen. Virol. 82, 1555-1560.

- 201 Blanco, J.C., 1998. Mamíferos de España. Consultores en Biología de la conservación
202 S.L. Editorial planeta S.A, pp 242-249, 251-259.
- 203 Carbyn, L.N., 1982. Incidence of disease and its potential role in the population
204 dynamics of wolves in Riding Mountain National Park, Manitoba. In wolves on the
205 world, F.H. Harrington and P.C. Paquet (eds.). Noyes Publ., Park Ridge, New Jersey,
206 pp.106-116.
- 207 Corrain, R., Di Francesco, A., Bolognini, M., Ciucci, P., Baldelli, R., Guberti, V., 2007.
208 Serosurvey for CPV-2, distemper virus, ehrlichiosis and leishmaniosis in free-ranging
209 dogs in Italy. Vet. Rec. 160, 91-92.
- 210 Damien, B.C., Martina, B.E.E., Losch, S., Mossong, J., Osterhaus, A.D.M.E., Muller,
211 C.P., 2002. Prevalence of antibodies against canine distemper among red foxes in
212 Luxembourg. J. Wild. Dis. 38, 856-859.
- 213 Decaro, N., Martella, V., Desario, C., Bellacicco, A.L., Camero, M., Manna, L.,
214 D'Aloja, D., Buonavoglia, C., 2006. First detection of Canine Parvovirus Type 2c in
215 pups with Haemorrhagic Enteritis in Spain. J. Vet. Med. 53, 468-472.
- 216 Frölich, K., Czupalla O., Haas, L., Hentschke, J., Dedek, J., Fickel, J., 2000.
217 Epizootiological investigations of canine distemper virus in free-ranging carnivores
218 from Germany. Vet. Microbiol. 74, 283-292.
- 219 Frölich, K., Streich, W.J., Fickel, J., Jung, S., Truyen, U., Dedek, J., Prager, D., Latz,
220 N., 2005. Epizootiologic investigations of parvovirus infection in free-ranging
221 carnivores from Germany. J. Wild. Dis. 41, 231-235.
- 222 Gese, E.M., Schultz, R.D., Johnson, M.R., Williams, E.S, Cabtree, R.L., Ruff, R.L.,
223 1997. Serological survey for diseases in free-ranging coyotes (*Canis latrans*) in
224 Yellowstone National Park, Wyoming. J. Wild. Dis. 33, 47-56.

- 225 Gortázar, C., Ferreras, P., Villafuerte, R., Martín, M., Blanco, J.C., 2003. Habitat related
226 differences in age structure and reproductive parameters of red foxes. *Acta Theriol.* 48,
227 93-100.
- 228 Johnson, M.R., Boyd, D.K., Pletscher, D.H., 1994. Serologic investigation of canine
229 parvovirus and canine distemper in relation to wolf (*Canis lupus*) pup mortalities. *J.*
230 *Wild. Dis.* 30, 270-273.
- 231 López-Peña, M., Vázquez S., Alemañ, N., López-Beceiro, A., Muñoz, F., Pereira, J.L.,
232 Nieto, J.M., 2001. Canine distemper in a genet (*Gennetta gennetta*), associated with
233 endogenous Lipid Pneumonia. *J.Comp. Path.* 124, 207-211.
- 234 Martinello, F., Galuppo, F., Ostanello, F., Guberti, V., Prospero S., 1997. Detection of
235 canine parvovirus in wolves from Italy. *J. Wild. Dis.* 33, 628-31.
- 236 Mech, L.D., Goyal, S.M., 1993. Canine parvovirus effect on wolf population change
237 and pup survival. *J. Wild. Dis.* 29, 330-3.
- 238 Mech, L.D., Kurtz, H.J., Goyal, S., 1997. Death of a wild wolf from canine parvoviral
239 enteritis. *J. Wild. Dis.* 33, 321-322.
- 240 Montali, R.J., Bartz, C.R., Bush, M., 1987. Canine distemper virus. Pp. 437-443 in M.J.
241 Appel (Ed.). *Virus infection of carnivores.* Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 242 Mulley, R.C., Claxton, P.D., Feilen, C.P., 1982. A serological survey of some infectious
243 diseases in the red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) in New South Wales. Pp.44-46 in M.E. Fowler
244 (Ed.). *Wildlife Diseases in the Pacific Basin.*
- 245 Nieto, J.M., Rueda, A., Tortuero, E., Pizarro M., 1987. Aportaciones al diagnóstico del
246 moquillo canino mediante demostración citológica del antígeno viral por medios
247 histológicos e inmunohistoquímicos. *Medicina Veterinaria* 4, 29-33.
- 248 Ohashi, K., Miyazaki, N., Tanabe, S., Nakata, H., Miura, R., Frujita, K., Wakasa, C.,
249 Uema, M., Shiotani, M., Takahashi, E., Kai, C., 2001. Seroepidemiological survey of

- 250 distemper virus infection in the Caspian Sea and in Lake Baikal. *Vet. Microbiol.* 82,
251 203-210.
- 252 Philippa, J.D.W., Leighton, F.A., Daoust, P.Y., Nielsen, O., Pagliarulo, M., Schwantje,
253 H., Shury, T., Van Herwijnen, R., Martina, B.E.E., Kuiken, T., Van De Bildt, M.W.G.,
254 Phukan, A., Sarma, D.K., Deka, D., Boro, P., 2005. Standarization of ELISA for
255 detection of canine parvovirus infection. *Indian Vet J.* 85, 355-356.
- 256 Ramos, J., 1995. El moquillo ataca al zorro. *Trofeo* (agosto 1995), 66-70.
- 257 Sáenz de Buruaga, M., Lucio, A., Purroy, F.J., 2001. Reconocimiento de sexo y edad en
258 especies cinegéticas. EDILESA eds., León. (In Spanish).
- 259 Stephenson, R.O., Ritter, D.G., Nielsen, C.A., 1982. Serologic survey for canine
260 distemper and infectious canine hepatitis in wolves in Alaska. *J. Wild. Dis.* 18, 419-424.
- 261 Thorne, E.T., Williams, E.S., (1988). Disease and endangered species: The black-footed
262 ferret as a recent example. *Conserv. Biol.* 2, 66-74.
- 263 Truyen, U., Muller, T., Heidrich, R., Tackmann, K., Carmichael, L.E., 1998. Survey on
264 viral pathogens in wild red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) in Germany with emphasis on
265 parvoviruses and analysis of a DNA sequence from a red fox parvovirus. *Epidemiol.*
266 *Infect.* 121, 433-440.
- 267 Williams, E.S., Barker, I.K., 2001. Infectious diseases of wild Mammals (3rded). Iowa
268 State University Press, pp. 50-59, 131-146.
- 269 Zarnke R.L., and Ballard, W.B., 1987. Serologic survey for selected microbial
270 pathogens of wolves in Alaska, 1975-1982. *J. Wild. Dis.* 23, 77-85.

271

272 Table 1. Distribution of antibodies against CDV and CPV by sex and age in foxes and
 273 wolves.

274

275

276

277 Table 1.

	CDV antibody prevalence				CPV antibody prevalence			
	Age		Sex		Age		Sex	
	Adults	<1 year	Males	Females	Adults	<1 year	Males	Females
Fox	15/66 (22.7) ^a	4/45 (8.9)	14/63 (22.2)	5/52 (9.6)	1/60 (1.7)	2/52 (3.8)	2/61 (3.3)	3/55 (5.5)
Wolf	4/8 (50)	0/4 (0)	1/3 (33.3)	3/10 (30)	7/8 (87.5)	4/4 (100)	3/3 (100)	8/10 (80)

278 a. Number of positive animals/total analyzed (%).

279

280

281

282 Figure 1. Geographical distribution of antibody seroprevalence against CDV and CPV in
 283 foxes (black circles) and wolves (white circles).

284

