

Immunisation strategies against prion diseases: prime-boost immunisation with a PrP DNA vaccine containing foreign helper T-cell epitopes does not prevent mouse scrapie

Cindy Nitschke, Eckhard Flechsig, Jens van den Brandt, Nele Lindner, Thorsten Lührs, Ulf Dittmer, Michael A. Klein

▶ To cite this version:

Cindy Nitschke, Eckhard Flechsig, Jens van den Brandt, Nele Lindner, Thorsten Lührs, et al.. Immunisation strategies against prion diseases: prime-boost immunisation with a PrP DNA vaccine containing foreign helper T-cell epitopes does not prevent mouse scrapie. Veterinary Microbiology, 2007, 123 (4), pp.367. 10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.03.032 . hal-00532233

HAL Id: hal-00532233 https://hal.science/hal-00532233

Submitted on 4 Nov 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Immunisation strategies against prion diseases: prime-boost immunisation with a PrP DNA vaccine containing foreign helper T-cell epitopes does not prevent mouse scrapie

Authors: Cindy Nitschke, Eckhard Flechsig, Jens van den Brandt, Nele Lindner, Thorsten Lührs, Ulf Dittmer, Michael A. Klein



PII:	\$0378-1135(07)00164-2
DOI:	doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.03.032
Reference:	VETMIC 3641

To appear in: VETMIC

Please cite this article as: Nitschke, C., Flechsig, E., van den Brandt, J., Lindner, N., Lührs, T., Dittmer, U., Klein, M.A., Immunisation strategies against prion diseases: prime-boost immunisation with a PrP DNA vaccine containing foreign helper T-cell epitopes does not prevent mouse scrapie, *Veterinary Microbiology* (2007), doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.03.032

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1	Immunisation strategies against prion diseases: prime-boost immunisation with a PrP
2	DNA vaccine containing foreign helper T-cell epitopes does not prevent mouse scrapie
3	
4	Cindy Nitschke ¹ , Eckhard Flechsig ¹ , Jens van den Brandt ¹ , Nele Lindner ¹ , Thorsten Lührs ² ,
5	Ulf Dittmer ^{3,*} and Michael A. Klein ^{1,*}
6	
7	¹ Institute of Virology and Immunobiology, University of Wuerzburg, D-97078 Wuerzburg, Germany
8	² Salk Institute, 10010 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, CA, 92037, USA
9	³ Institute of Virology, University of Duisburg-Essen, D-45122 Essen, Germany
10	
11	Running Title: prime-boost immunisation against the prion protein
12	*Both senior authors contributed equally.
13	
14	*corresponding authors: Michael A. Klein
15	Institute of Virology and Immunobiology
16	University of Wuerzburg
17	Versbacherstr. 7
18	D-97078 Wuerzburg
19	Germany
20	Tel.: +49-931-201-49164
21	Fax: +49-931-201-49553
22	E-mail adress: klm@vim.uni-wuerzburg.de
23	
24	and:
25	
26	Ulf Dittmer

- 27 Institute of Virology
- 28 University of Duisburg-Essen
- 29 Hufelandstrasse 55
- 30 D-45122 Essen
- *E-mail address*: ulf.dittmer@uni-due.de

42 Abstract

43	Vaccination against prion diseases constitutes a promising approach for the treatment
44	and prevention of the disease. Passive immunisation with antibodies binding to the cellular
45	prion protein (PrP ^C) can protect against prion disease. However, immunotherapeutic strategies
46	with active immunisation are limited due to the immune tolerance against the self-antigen. In
47	order to develop an anti-prion vaccine, we designed a novel DNA fusion vaccine composed of
48	mouse PrP and immune stimulatory helper T-cell epitopes of the tetanus toxin that have
49	previously been reported to break tolerance to other self-antigens. This approach provoked a
50	strong PrP ^C -specific humerol and cellular immune response in PrP null mice, but only low
51	antibody titres were found in vaccinated wild-type mice. Furthermore, prime-boost
52	immunisation with the DNA vaccine and recombinant PrP protein increased antibody titres in
53	PrP null mice, but failed to protect wild-type mice from mouse scrapie.
54	
55	Key words: prion protein, DNA fusion vaccine, tetanus toxin, P30
56	
57	1. Introduction
58	Prion diseases or transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSE) belong to a group
59	of fatal neurodegenerative diseases affecting humans and animals (Prusiner et al., 1998). The
60	causative infectious agent, designated prion, is mainly composed of PrPSc, a detergent-
61	insoluble and partially protease-resistant pathological conformer of the cellular prion protein,
62	PrP ^C (Caughey and Lansbury, 2003; Prusiner, 2004). To date, no prophylactic or effective
63	treatment is available for prion diseases (Cashman and Caughey, 2004; Mallucci and
64	Collinge, 2005; Weissmann and Aguzzi, 2005). Successful vaccination studies for the
65	treatment of neurodegenerative diseases like Alzheimer's disease (Citron, 2004; Monsonego
66	and Weiner, 2003) have stimulated various immunotherapeutic approaches against prion

67 diseases (Aguzzi and Sigurdson, 2004; Buchholz et al., 2006; Cashman and Caughey, 2004).

68	Evidence for a possible therapeutic effect of PrP ^C -specific antibodies in diminishing prion
69	infectivity was first reported from <i>in vitro</i> studies (Gabizon et al., 1988). Further studies have
70	shown that anti-PrP antibodies or Fab fragments and single-chain molecules derived thereof
71	have a pronounced effect in suppressing prion replication in cultured cells (Donofrio et al.,
72	2005; Enari et al., 2001; Peretz et al., 2001). Passive immunisation of mice with PrP ^C -specific
73	antibodies or transgenic expression of a monoclonal anti-PrP antibody delays the
74	accumulation of prions in lymphoid organs and the onset of disease in mice (Heppner et al.,
75	2001; Sigurdsson et al., 2003; White et al., 2003). However, the efficacy of active
76	immunisations is limited due to immunological tolerance to PrP ^C , which is a ubiquitously
77	expressed GPI-anchored protein on the cell surface mainly of neurons, but also on glial and
78	peripheral cells, albeit to a lesser extent (Flechsig and Weissmann, 2004; Ford et al., 2002).
79	The lack of immunity to PrP has been attributed to T-helper tolerance, and studies have been
80	initiated to overcome tolerance by presenting PrP to the immune system with a variety of
81	adjuvants and in diverse forms, such as peptides (Magri et al., 2005; Schwarz et al., 2003),
82	protein (Koller et al., 2002; Sigurdsson et al., 2002), protein dimer (Gilch et al., 2003;
83	Polymenidou et al., 2004), retroviral particle (Nikles et al., 2005) or Salmonella vaccine (Goni
84	et al., 2005). In most of the initial studies, moderate levels of PrP-specific antibodies were
85	induced in wild-type mice, which were able to delay the onset of prion disease by a few days.
86	However, some studies were challenged by the observation that adjuvant by itself also had an
87	effect on the survival time of prion-infected animals (Sethi et al., 2002; Tal et al., 2003). In an
88	alternative approach, DNA PrP vaccines have been generated, in which mouse PrP has been
89	fused either to ubiquitin or the lysosomal membrane protein LIMPII to enhance antigen
90	presentation via the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I or class II, respectively
91	(Fernandez-Borges et al., 2006). Finally, immunisation studies in mice have been undertaken
92	with recombinant PrP molecules from other species, which are immunogenic in mice because
93	of their different amino acid compositions eliciting antibodies not only specific to the injected

94 PrP molecules but also to the corresponding mouse PrP sequences, albeit at lower levels
95 (Ishibashi et al., 2006; Kascsak et al., 1987).

96 The current study explores the efficacy of an active immunisation approach against 97 PrP by generating a novel DNA vaccine composed of mouse PrP and universally 98 immunogenic T-cell epitopes to break T-cell tolerance in wild-type mice. We hypothesised 99 that prime-boost immunisation with the designed DNA vaccine and recombinant mouse PrP 100 could induce immune responses to PrP with a therapeutic effect on mouse scrapie. Using 101 DNA fusion vaccines incorporating the antigen linked to T-cell epitopes of the tetanus toxin is 102 a well-established strategy used to break tolerance to self antigens like intracellular or surface-103 expressed tumour antigens (Stevenson et al., 2004). Effective bypassing of immunological 104 self-tolerance in mice has been achieved against cellular proteins such as ubiquitin (Dalum et 105 al., 1997; Dalum et al., 1996), the proinflammatory cytokine TNF- α (Dalum et al., 1999), 106 cytokine IL-5, associated with allergies and asthma (Hertz et al., 2001), and the membrane 107 protein Nogo-A that is involved in neurite outgrowth and nerve regeneration (Merkler et al., 108 2003). Immune responses to these targeted antigens were increased by multiple helper T-cell 109 epitopes of the non-toxic C-terminal fragment of the tetanus toxin (FrC) or shorter sequences 110 thereof, including P2 and P30 (Panina-Bordignon et al., 1989). In this study, we generated a 111 DNA vaccine composed of mouse PrP and the P30 sequence of the tetanus toxin. Vaccination 112 provoked a strong immune response in PrP null mice, but only low antibody titres were found 113 in a few wild-type mice. Prime-boost immunisation with the DNA vaccine and recombinant 114 PrP protein increased antibody titres in PrP null mice, but failed to protect wild-type mice 115 from mouse scrapie.

116

117 **2. Material and Methods**

118 2.1 Animals and scrapie infection

119	All mice were kept under specific pathogen-free conditions at the Institute of Virology
120	and Immunobiology and all experiments were carried out according to legal animal welfare
121	requirements for animal experiments. For immunisation, wild-type (C57BL/6) mice were
122	purchased from Janvier (Le Genest-St-Isle, France) and PrP null (Prnp ^{o/o}) mice (Büeler et al.,
123	1992) were bred at the Institute. Immunised wild-type mice were challenged with a mouse-
124	adapted Rocky Mountain Laboratories (RML) prion inoculum prepared as described (Kaeser
125	et al., 2001). The newly generated stock (RML.5) was a 10% (w/v) homogenate of RML-
126	infected, terminally sick CD1 mouse brains in 0.32M sucrose with a titre of 8.6 log LD_{50}
127	units/ml as determined by endpoint titration (Reed and Muench, 1938). Mice were challenged
128	intraperitoneally (i.p.) with 0.1 ml of the diluted stock containing 3.6 log LD_{50} units in
129	phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA). Mice were
130	monitored every second day, and scrapie was diagnosed using standard clinical signs of
131	mouse scrapie (Büeler et al., 1993).
122	

132

133 2.2 Vectors and immunisation

134 For DNA immunisation, cDNA of mouse PrP was cloned under control of the CMV 135 promoter into a pCG expression plasmid (Schlereth et al., 2000) resulting in the vector pCG-136 PrP. A synthetic linker encoding the codon-optimised sequence of the tetanus toxin (residues 137 947-967), designated P30 (Panina-Bordignon et al., 1989), was inserted between amino acid 138 223 and 224 of mouse PrP. The open reading frame of the modified mouse PrP was cloned in 139 the multiple cloning site of the pCG vector, yielding pCG-PrP-P30. For protein vaccination, 140 recombinant full-length mouse PrP (rPrP) was expressed in E. coli and purified as described 141 previously (Zahn et al., 1997). Mice were vaccinated (0.1mg; four times intradermally 142 followed by two subcutaneous injections) every four weeks either with pCG-PrP, pCG-PrP-143 P30, or the empty pCG vector. For prime-boost immunisation with DNA and rPrP, mice were 144 immunised every four weeks five times with 0.1mg of either pCG, pCG-PrP or pCG-PrP-P30

145	DNA followed by two injections of $10\mu g rPrP$ and CpG-1668 as adjuvant. The
146	oligodeoxynucleotide CpG-1668 (5'-tcc-atg-acg-ttc-ctg-atg-ct-3') was purchased from MWG
147	(Germany, Ebersberg).
148	
149	2.3 Determination of antibody titres by ELISAs
150	Ten days after each immunisation, antibody titres were determined in the blood of
151	immunised <i>Prnp^{o/o}</i> and wild-type mice against rPrP coated on ELISA plates (50ng/well). Sera
152	of <i>Prnp^{o/o}</i> mice were diluted 1:250 and 1:1000 for the experiments shown in Figures 1 and 3,
153	respectively. Sera of wild-type mice were analysed at a dilution of 1:40. After washing, the
154	plates were probed with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse Ig, IgG1 or
155	IgG2a antibody (DAKOCytomation, Carpintera, CA) and developed with BD OptiEIA (BD-
156	Pharmingen, Germany, Heidelberg); the optical density was measured at 450 nm.
157	
158	2.4 Flow cytometric analysis
159	For determination of PrP ^C -specific antibody binding, mouse neuroblastoma N2a cells
160	were incubated for 2h at 4°C with sera of immunised mice, or anti-PrP antibody 6H4
161	(Prionics, Switzerland) as a positive control. After washing, cells were incubated for 1h at 4°C
162	with FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Dianova, Hamburg, Germany). Samples were
163	analysed on a FACS Calibur (Becton Dickinson, Heidelberg, Germany) and data analysis was
164	undertaken with Cell Quest software (Becton Dickinson).
165	
166	2.5 Histology
167	Paraffin-embedded sections of 2-4 μ m in thickness, prepared from brains and spleens
168	of terminally sick mice, were stained with haematoxylin/eosin (HE). Immunostaining for glial

fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) was performed by using rabbit antiserum against GFAP

170	(1:5000 dilution; DAKOCytomation, Carpintera, CA) followed by incubation with avidin-
171	peroxidase and staining with diaminobenzidine (Sigma, Taufkirchen, Germany).
172	
173	2.6 Proliferation assay
174	For T-cell proliferation, spleens of mice immunised with DNA or control mice were
175	used and isolated cells of each mouse were tested in quadruplicate. Cells were plated in 96-
176	well plates, at a density of 3 x 10^5 cells per well. Spleen cells were re-stimulated with 2.5
177	μ g/ml of rPrP. Cells were maintained for 72h and pulsed with [³ H] thymidine (0.5 μ Ci/well)
178	18h prior to analysis with a beta counter (Perkin Elmer, Rodgau-Jügesheim, Germany).
179	
180	2.7 Inhibition assay of PrP ^{Sc} accumulation in cultured cells and western blot analysis
181	Serum from immunised mice was added to the culture media of RML prion-infected
182	N2a (ScN2a) cells at a dilution of 1:40 and renewed three times in 5 days. Cell lysates (1
183	mg/ml) were treated with proteinase K (20 μ g/ml) for 30min at 37°C. Treated lysates (150
184	μ g) were resolved by SDS-PAGE (12%) and transferred to PVDF membrane. Polyclonal
185	rabbit antiserum SA2124 raised against rPrP (1:5000) and goat-anti-rabbit antibody
186	conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (DAKOCytomation, Carpintera, CA; 1:10.000) were
187	used. PrP signals were visualized by incubation with ECL substrate (Pierce, Germany, Bonn)
188	and exposed to Hyperfilm (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK).
189	
190	3. Results
191	3.1 Humoral responses against PrP after DNA immunisation
192	Prior to immunisation, newly generated DNA vaccines were tested in transient
193	transfections of HEK 293 cells to ensure that the encoded proteins were appropriately
194	expressed. Both vaccines, the non-modified wild-type PrP (pCG-PrP) and the modified PrP

195 with the P30 sequence (pCG-PrP-P30), were expressed on the cell surface, as detected by

196 flow cytometric analysis (data not shown). After immunisation with each DNA vaccine, 197 antibody titres were determined against recombinant full-length mouse PrP (rPrP) by ELISA. *Prnp^{o/o}* mice developed a strong antibody response to rPrP after immunisation with pCG-PrP 198 or pCG-PrP-P30, but not with the empty vector pCG (Fig. 1A). No difference in antibody 199 200 titres was found between the two groups. In contrast, only weak antibody levels were 201 detectable in a few wild-type mice after more than six immunisations, but most animals had 202 no detectable anti-PrP antibodies (Fig. 1C). The signals in these wild-type mice were similar to those found in mice given the empty DNA vector. In Prnp^{o/o} mice, both PrP vaccines 203 204 induced IgG1 and IgG2a isotypes at comparable levels suggesting the induction of a mixed Thelper type 1 (Th1) and type 2 (Th2) response to PrP^C (Fig. 1B). Since binding to PrP^C is 205 206 crucial for the anti-prion effect of antibodies (Enari et al., 2001; Polymenidou et al., 2004), we 207 performed flow cytometric analysis of the serum from immunised mice on neuroblastoma (N2a) cells expressing mouse PrP^{C} . Strong binding of sera from $Prnp^{0/0}$ mice receiving pCG-208 209 PrP or pCG-PrP-P30 was found (Fig. 1D). The binding intensities correlated with the anti-PrP antibody titres of individual $Prnp^{o/o}$ mice. In contrast, only one serum from each group of 210 wild-type mice immunised with pCG-PrP or pCG-PrP-P30 showed a weak binding to PrP^C on 211 212 N2a cells (Fig. 1E). To determine whether the elicited anti-PrP antibodies inhibited PrP^{Sc} accumulation in 213

214 cultured cells, RML prion-infected N2a (ScN2a) cells were treated for five days with the serum from each mouse. Sera from *Prnp^{o/o}* mice immunised with pCG-PrP or pCG-PrP-P30 215 reduced PrP^{Sc} levels on ScN2a cells to almost undetectable levels (Fig. 1F), whereas sera 216 from wild-type mice had no effect (Fig. 1G). Anti-PrP immune sera of Prnp^{o/o} mice also 217 reduced PrP^C levels of ScN2a cells (Fig. 1F), as previously reported (Gilch et al., 2003). 218 These data indicate that both DNA vaccines induce anti-PrP antibodies in *Prnp^{o/o}* mice, which 219 were able to inhibit PrP^{Sc} accumulation *in vitro*, vet they failed to break immunological 220 221 tolerance in wild-type mice.

222

223 3.2 Cellular responses against PrP after DNA immunisation

224	To evaluate the cellular immune response to the PrP vaccines, splenocytes were
225	harvested after six immunisations and re-stimulated with rPrP in vitro. In Prnp ^{o/o} mice, both
226	PrP vaccines induced T-cell proliferation in contrast to the pCG control vector (Fig. 2A). The
227	stimulation indices (SI) were significantly higher after vaccination with pCG-PrP-P30
228	compared to the pCG-PrP vaccine (P<0.05; Mann-Whitney test). Splenocytes from wild-type
229	mice did not show any PrP-specific proliferation, regardless of the PrP vaccine used (data not
230	shown). Although T-cell proliferation could be induced in $Prnp^{o/o}$ mice, no differences in
231	cytokine production by CD4 ⁺ or CD8 ⁺ T cells were detectable upon re-stimulation with anti-
232	CD3/CD28 antibodies in vitro (data not shown). All groups of vaccinated Prnp ^{o/o} mice,
233	including the pCG control, showed comparable percentages of T cells producing TNF- α or
234	IFN-γ.
225	

235

236 3.3 Humoral responses against PrP after prime-boost immunisation

237	The ability of prime-boost immunisations with DNA and protein to enhance immune
238	responses has been demonstrated in various animal models (Ramshaw and Ramsay, 2000).
239	Therefore, we immunised <i>Prnp^{o/o}</i> mice and wild-type mice with either pCG, pCG-PrP or
240	pCG-PrP-P30 DNA followed by two injections of rPrP mixed with the synthetic
241	oligodeoxynucleotide, CpG-1668, as adjuvant. After the final immunisation, antibody titres in
242	Prnp ^{o/o} mice receiving pCG-PrP or pCG-PrP-P30 and rPrP/CpG-1668 were more than four
243	times higher than in mice immunised with DNA alone (Fig. 3A). The antibody responses
244	were dominated by IgG1, suggesting a shift towards Th2-type responses due to the protein
245	boosts (data not shown). The PrP specificity of the IgG antibodies was confirmed by
246	demonstrating binding to PrP on N2a cells (Fig. 3B). In contrast, vaccinated wild-type mice
247	showed antibody titres against rPrP when looked at using ELISA regardless of the PrP

248	vaccine or the empty vector (Fig. 3A), but these antibodies were largely unspecific because
249	they were not able to bind PrP ^C on N2a cells (data not shown). These results show that a
250	prime-boost vaccination with DNA and rPrP-enhanced antibody titres in <i>Prnp^{o/o}</i> mice, but
251	failed to induce PrP ^C -specific antibodies in wild-type mice.

252

253 3.4 Development of scrapie after prime-boost immunisation and peripheral prion challenge

254 To determine whether prime-boost immunisation protects against infection with mouse

scrapie, wild-type mice were immunised five times with 0.1mg of pCG, pCG-PrP or pCG-

256 PrP-P30 followed by two injections of 10µg rPrP/CpG-1668, and subsequent intraperitoneal

257 (i.p.) challenge with 3.6 logLD₅₀ units of RML prions. All the mice developed mouse scrapie

with similar incubation times as found in non-immunised controls (Fig. 3C) and they all had

259 comparable levels of PrP^{Sc} in spleen and brain at the terminal stage, as determined by Western

260 blotting (data not shown). In addition, the brains of vaccinated and non-vaccinated mice

261 showed similar histopathology including microvacuolation and reactive gliosis. No overt

262 differences in the splenic microarchitecture were found between immunised and non-

263 immunised animals (Fig. 3D).

264

265 **4. Discussion**

266 In this study we have assessed the ability of a DNA fusion vaccine composed of 267 mouse PrP and three universally immunogenic T-cell epitopes (P30) to break tolerance to PrP 268 in wild-type mice. The DNA vaccine was able to elicit immune responses against 269 recombinant mouse PrP only in PrP null mice, but not in wild-type mice. Only antibodies that 270 bound to recombinant PrP but failed to recognise native PrP expressed on N2a cells were 271 found in vaccinated wild-type mice. This is consistent with studies in which modest levels of 272 anti-PrP antibodies were detectable in wild-type mice vaccinated with tandem PrP or PrP 273 displayed on viral particles by using a sensitive binding assay (Nikles et al., 2005;

274 Polymenidou et al., 2004). Limited by the lower sensitivity of our assay, we cannot exclude 275 the presence of low levels of IgM antibodies in our animals. Although the DNA vaccine could induce IgM to IgG class switch in PrP null mice, PrP^C-specific IgG antibodies were only 276 277 induced in a few wild-type mice. Other vaccines, like retroviral particles, have been shown to induce class switch in wild-type mice, but the switch to PrP^C-specific IgG antibodies was less 278 279 pronounced in wild-type than in PrP null mice (Nikles et al., 2005). The general potential of 280 P30 to break tolerance was demonstrated in various models (Stevenson et al., 2004). For 281 example, P30 fused to the inflammatory cytokine IL-5 bypassed B-cell tolerance and induced 282 neutralising antibodies (Hertz et al., 2001). However, in some cases the P30 sequence 283 encoding only three T-cell epitopes was not as efficient as the multiple T-cell epitopes of the 284 entire FrC fragment of the tetanus toxin (Stevenson et al., 2004), suggesting a higher potential 285 for a PrP-FrC DNA vaccine. 286 The PrP-P30 DNA vaccine also failed to mediate cellular immune responses in wild-287 type mice. However, the vaccine induced T-cell proliferation in PrP null mice, but only of low 288 magnitude. PrP might be involved in T-cell activation (Cashman et al., 1990; Mabbott et al., 1997) or may even be a compound of the signalling complex (Mattei et al., 2004). Indeed, 289 PrP^C is up-regulated on T-cells upon stimulation with concavalin A, whereas the T-cell 290 291 response to concavalin A is significantly reduced in PrP null mice (Mabbott et al., 1997). Thus, the lack of PrP^C on T-cells could lead to generally low T-cell activation levels, which 292 293 might explain the weak T-cell response to the PrP DNA vaccine in PrP null mice. 294 To improve the efficiency of the DNA vaccine, we performed prime-boost 295 immunisation with DNA and recombinant mouse PrP mixed with CpGs as adjuvant. Making 296 use of CpGs has recently been suggested as an adjuvant for vaccination studies in wild-type 297 mice (Rosset et al., 2004). Although our strategy increased antibody titres in PrP null mice, no 298 antibodies were detectable in wild-type mice as judged by binding to native PrP on N2a cells. 299 It might be conceivable that anti-PrP antibodies were present but that they formed complexes

with PrP^C, which prevented their detection. Although we have not determined whether such 300 301 complexes exist, it could be possible that even low levels of the complexes can protect against 302 prion infection in a similar mechanism as shown for soluble dimeric PrP fused to an Fc-303 γ immunoglobulin (Meier et al., 2003). To address this hypothesis, wild-type mice vaccinated 304 with DNA and recombinant mouse PrP were peripherally challenged with a low dose of 305 mouse prions. However, the incubation times of vaccinated mice were similar to those found in non-vaccinated mice. Moreover, PrP^{Sc} levels and histopathological changes were similar in 306 307 the brains of vaccinated and non-vaccinated mice at the terminal state of the disease. Since no 308 evidence for any therapeutic effect was found, it is unlikely that the PrP DNA vaccine 309 induced any biologically relevant antibody responses in our model. The efficiency of the 310 antigen presentation of our DNA vaccines in wild-type mice was not analysed, but targeting 311 them specifically to MHC class I or class II pathways might improve their potential to break 312 tolerance (Leifert et al., 2004). As recently described, DNA vaccination with a PrP fused to a 313 lysosomal protein-enhancing MHC class II antigen increased the cellular and humoral 314 response to PrP in PrP null mice. Surprisingly, vaccination with this vaccine delayed the onset 315 of the disease but not the overall survival time after contracting the disease (Fernandez-316 Borges et al., 2006). 317 Recently, it has been reported that an attenuated Salmonella vaccine containing a 318 tandem PrP fused to the FrC fragment induced mucosal immunity that prolonged the survival 319 time of mice after oral infection (Goni et al., 2005). Therefore, making use of multiple T-cell

epitopes of the entire FrC fragment fused to PrP, in combination with administration of the
chimeric protein, might improve approaches to inducing systemic immunity against prion
diseases.

323

324 Acknowledgements

- 325 This work was supported by the Bavarian research cooperation FORPRION, the
- 326 German Research Foundation (DFG) (SFB479-C9) and the Federal Ministry of Education and
- 327 Research (BMBF, FKZ: 01KO0516). EF is supported by the Emmy Noether programme of
- 328 the DFG. We thank J. Tatzelt and C. Winkelhofer for providing ScN2a cells.
- 329

330 References

- Aguzzi, A., Sigurdson, C.J., 2004. Antiprion immunotherapy: to suppress or to stimulate? Nat
 Rev. Immunol. 4, 725-736.
- Buchholz, C.J., Bach, P., Nikles, D., Kalinke, U., 2006. Prion protein-specific antibodies for
 therapeutic intervention of transmissible spongiform encephalopathies. Expert Opin.
 Biol. Ther. 6, 293-300.
- 336 Büeler, H., Aguzzi, A., Sailer, A., Greiner, R.A., Autenried, P., Aguet, M., Weissmann, C.,

337 1993. Mice devoid of PrP are resistant to scrapie. Cell 73, 1339-1347.

- 338 Büeler, H., Fischer, M., Lang, Y., Bluethmann, H., Lipp, H.P., DeArmond, S.J., Prusiner,
- 339 S.B., Aguet, M., Weissmann, C., 1992. Normal development and behaviour of mice

340 lacking the neuronal cell-surface PrP protein. Nature 356, 577-582.

- Cashman, N.R., Caughey, B., 2004. Prion diseases--close to effective therapy? Nat. Rev.
 Drug Discov. 3, 874-884.
- 343 Cashman, N.R., Loertscher, R., Nalbantoglu, J., Shaw, I., Kascsak, R.J., Bolton, D.C.,
- Bendheim, P.E., 1990. Cellular isoform of the scrapie agent protein participates in
 lymphocyte activation. Cell 61, 185-192.
- Caughey, B., Lansbury, P.T., 2003. Protofibrils, pores, fibrils, and neurodegeneration:
- 347 separating the responsible protein aggregates from the innocent bystanders. Annu.
- 348 Rev. Neurosci. 26, 267-298.

349	Citron, M., 2004. Strategies for disease modification in Alzheimer's disease. Nat Rev
350	Neurosci 5, 677-685.

351 Dalum, I., Butler, D.M., Jensen, M.R., Hindersson, P., Steinaa, L., Waterston, A.M., Grell, 352 S.N., Feldmann, M., Elsner, H.I., Mouritsen, S., 1999. Therapeutic antibodies elicited 353 by immunization against TNF-alpha. Nat. Biotechnol. 17, 666-669. 354 Dalum, I., Jensen, M.R., Gregorius, K., Thomasen, C.M., Elsner, H.I., Mouritsen, S., 1997. 355 Induction of cross-reactive antibodies against a self protein by immunization with a 356 modified self protein containing a foreign T helper epitope. Mol. Immunol. 34, 1113-357 1120. Dalum, I., Jensen, M.R., Hindersson, P., Elsner, H.I., Mouritsen, S., 1996. Breaking of B cell 358 359 tolerance toward a highly conserved self protein. J. Immunol. 157, 4796-4804. 360 Donofrio, G., Heppner, F.L., Polymenidou, M., Musahl, C., Aguzzi, A., 2005 Paracrine 361 inhibition of prion propagation by anti-PrP single-chain Fv miniantibodies. J. Virol.

362 79, 8330-8338.

- Enari, M., Flechsig, E., Weissmann, C., 2001, Scrapie prion protein accumulation by scrapieinfected neuroblastoma cells abrogated by exposure to a prion protein antibody. Proc.
 Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 98, 9295-9299.
- 366 Fernandez-Borges, N., Brun, A., Whitton, J.L., Parra, B., Diaz-San Segundo, F., Salguero,
- F.J., Torres, J.M., Rodriguez, F., 2006. DNA vaccination can break immunological
 tolerance to PrP in wild-type mice and attenuates prion disease after intracerebral
 challenge, J. Virol. 80, 9970-9976.
- Flechsig, E., Weissmann, C., 2004. The role of PrP in health and disease. Curr. Mol. Med. 4,
 337-353.
- Ford, M.J., Burton, L.J., Morris, R.J., Hall, S.M., 2002. Selective expression of prion protein
 in peripheral tissues of the adult mouse. Neuroscience 113, 177-192.

374	Gabizon, R., McKinley, M.P., Groth, D., Prusiner, S.B., 1988. Immunoaffinity purification
375	and neutralization of scrapie prion infectivity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 85, 6617-
376	6621.
377	Gilch, S., Wopfner, F., Renner-Muller, I., Kremmer, E., Bauer, C., Wolf, E., Brem, G.,
378	Groschup, M.H., Schätzl, H.M., 2003, Polyclonal anti-PrP auto-antibodies induced
379	with dimeric PrP interfere efficiently with PrPSc propagation in prion-infected cells. J.
380	Biol. Chem. 278, 18524-18531.
381	Goni, F., Knudsen, E., Schreiber, F., Scholtzova, H., Pankiewicz, J., Carp, R., Meeker, H.C.,
382	Rubenstein, R., Brown, D.R., Sy, M.S., Chabalgoity, J.A., Sigurdsson, E.M.,
383	Wisniewski, T., 2005. Mucosal vaccination delays or prevents prion infection via an
384	oral route. Neuroscience 133, 413-421.
385	Heppner, F.L., Musahl, C., Arrighi, I., Klein, M.A., Rülicke, T., Oesch, B., Zinkernagel,
386	R.M., Kalinke, U., Aguzzi, A., 2001. Prevention of scrapie pathogenesis by transgenic
387	expression of anti-prion protein antibodies. Science 294, 178-182.
388	Hertz, M., Mahalingam, S., Dalum, I., Klysner, S., Mattes, J., Neisig, A., Mouritsen, S.,
389	Foster, P.S., Gautam, A., 2001. Active vaccination against IL-5 bypasses
390	immunological tolerance and ameliorates experimental asthma. J. Immunol. 167,
391	3792-3799.
392	Ishibashi, D., Yamanaka, H., Yamaguchi, N., Yoshikawa, D., Nakamura, R., Okimura, N.,
393	Yamaguchi, Y., Shigematsu, K., Katamine, S., Sakaguchi, S., 2007. Immunization
394	with recombinant bovine but not mouse prion protein delays the onset of disease in
395	mice inoculated with a mouse-adapted prion. Vaccine 25, 985-992.
396	Kaeser, P.S., Klein, M.A., Schwarz, P., Aguzzi, A., 2001. Efficient lymphoreticular prion
397	propagation requires PrP(c) in stromal and hematopoietic cells. J. Virol. 75, 7097-
398	7106.

399	Kascsak, R.J., Rubenstein, R., Merz, P.A., Tonna-DeMasi, M., Fersko, R., Carp, R.I.,
400	Wisniewski, H.M., Diringer, H., 1987. Mouse polyclonal and monoclonal antibody to
401	scrapie-associated fibril proteins. J. Virol. 61, 3688-3693.
402	Koller, M.F., Grau, T., Christen, P., 2002. Induction of antibodies against murine full-length
403	prion protein in wild-type mice. J. Neuroimmunol. 132, 113-116.
404	Leifert, J.A., Rodriguez-Carreno, M.P., Rodriguez, F., Whitton, J.L., 2004. Targeting
405	plasmid-encoded proteins to the antigen presentation pathways. Immunol. Rev. 199,
406	40-53.
407	Mabbott, N.A., Brown, K.L., Manson, J., Bruce, M.E., 1997. T-lymphocyte activation and the
408	cellular form of the prion protein. Immunology 92, 161-165.
409	Magri, G., Clerici, M., Dall'Ara, P., Biasin, M., Caramelli, M., Casalone, C., Giannino, M.L.,
410	Longhi, R., Piacentini, L., Della Bella, S., Gazzuola, P., Martino, P.A., Della Bella, S.,
411	Pollera, C., Puricelli, M., Servida, F., Crescio, I., Boasso, A., Ponti, W., Poli, G., 2005.
412	Decrease in pathology and progression of scrapie after immunisation with synthetic
413	prion protein peptides in hamsters. Vaccine 23, 2862-2868.
414	Mallucci, G., Collinge, J., 2005. Rational targeting for prion therapeutics. Nat. Rev. Neurosci.
415	6, 23-34.
416	Mattei, V., Garofalo, T., Misasi, R., Circella, A., Manganelli, V., Lucania, G., Pavan, A.,
417	Sorice, M., 2004. Prion protein is a component of the multimolecular signaling
418	complex involved in T cell activation. FEBS Lett. 560, 14-18.
419	Meier, P., Genoud, N., Prinz, M., Maissen, M., Rulicke, T., Zurbriggen, A., Raeber, A.J.,
420	Aguzzi, A., 2003. Soluble dimeric prion protein binds PrP(Sc) in vivo and antagonizes
421	prion disease. Cell 113, 49-60.
422	Merkler, D., Oertle, T., Buss, A., Pinschewer, D.D., Schnell, L., Bareyre, F.M.,
423	Kerschensteiner, M., Buddeberg, B.S., Schwab, M.E., 2003. Rapid induction of
424	autoantibodies against Nogo-A and MOG in the absence of an encephalitogenic T cell

425	response: implication for immunotherapeutic approaches in neurological diseases.
426	Faseb J. 17, 2275-2277.
427	Monsonego, A., Weiner, H.L., 2003. Immunotherapeutic approaches to Alzheimer's disease.
428	Science 302, 834-838.
429	Nikles, D., Bach, P., Boller, K., Merten, C.A., Montrasio, F., Heppner, F.L., Aguzzi, A.,
430	Cichutek, K., Kalinke, U., Buchholz, C.J., 2005. Circumventing tolerance to the prion
431	protein (PrP): vaccination with PrP-displaying retrovirus particles induces humoral
432	immune responses against the native form of cellular PrP. J. Virol. 79, 4033-4042.
433	Panina-Bordignon, P., Tan, A., Termijtelen, A., Demotz, S., Corradin, G., Lanzavecchia, A.,
434	1989. Universally immunogenic T cell epitopes: promiscuous binding to human MHC
435	class II and promiscuous recognition by T cells. Eur. J. Immunol. 19, 2237-2242.
436	Peretz, D., Williamson, R.A., Kaneko, K., Vergara, J., Leclerc, E., Schmitt-Ulms, G.,
437	Mehlhorn, I.R., Legname, G., Wormald, M.R., Rudd, P.M., Dwek, R.A., Burton, D.R.,
438	Prusiner, S.B., 2001. Antibodies inhibit prion propagation and clear cell cultures of
439	prion infectivity. Nature 412, 739-743.
440	Polymenidou, M., Heppner, F.L., Pellicioli, E.C., Urich, E., Miele, G., Braun, N., Wopfner,
441	F., Schatzl, H.M., Becher, B., Aguzzi, A., 2004. Humoral immune response to native
442	eukaryotic prion protein correlates with anti-prion protection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
443	U.S.A.101 Suppl. 2, 14670-14676.
444	Prusiner, S.B., 2004. Early evidence that a protease-resistant protein is an active component
445	of the infectious prion. Cell 116, S109, 101 p following S113.
446	Prusiner, S.B., Scott, M.R., DeArmond, S.J., Cohen, F.E., 1998. Prion protein biology. Cell
447	93, 337-348.
448	Ramshaw, I.A., Ramsay, A.J., 2000. The prime-boost strategy: exciting prospects for
449	improved vaccination. Immunol. Today 21, 163-165.

450	Reed, J., Muench, H., 1938. A simple method of estimating fifty percent endpoints. Am. J.
451	Hygiene 27, 493-497.
452	Rosset, M.B., Ballerini, C., Gregoire, S., Metharom, P., Carnaud, C., Aucouturier, P., 2004.
453	Breaking immune tolerance to the prion protein using prion protein peptides plus
454	oligodeoxynucleotide-CpG in mice. J. Immunol. 172, 5168-5174.
455	Schlereth, B., Germann, P.G., ter Meulen, V., Niewiesk, S., 2000. DNA vaccination with both
456	the haemagglutinin and fusion proteins but not the nucleocapsid protein protects
457	against experimental measles virus infection. J. Gen. Virol. 81, 1321-1325.
458	Schwarz, A., Kratke, O., Burwinkel, M., Riemer, C., Schultz, J., Henklein, P., Bamme, T.,
459	Baier, M., 2003. Immunisation with a synthetic prion protein-derived peptide prolongs
460	survival times of mice orally exposed to the scrapie agent. Neurosci. Lett. 350, 187-
461	189.
462	Sethi, S., Lipford, G., Wagner, H., Kretzschmar, H., 2002. Postexposure prophylaxis against
463	prion disease with a stimulator of innate immunity. Lancet 360, 229-230.
464	Sigurdsson, E.M., Brown, D.R., Daniels, M., Kascsak, R.J., Kascsak, R., Carp, R., Meeker,
465	H.C., Frangione, B., Wisniewski, T., 2002. Immunization delays the onset of prion
466	disease in mice. Am. J. Pathol. 161, 13-17.
467	Sigurdsson, E.M., Sy, M.S., Li, R., Scholtzova, H., Kascsak, R.J., Kascsak, R., Carp, R.,
468	Meeker, H.C., Frangione, B., Wisniewski, T., 2003. Anti-prion antibodies for
469	prophylaxis following prion exposure in mice. Neurosci. Lett. 336, 185-187.
470	Stevenson, F.K., Rice, J., Ottensmeier, C.H., Thirdborough, S.M., Zhu, D., 2004 DNA fusion
471	gene vaccines against cancer: from the laboratory to the clinic. Immunol. Rev. 199,
472	156-180.
473	Tal, Y., Souan, L., Cohen, I.R., Meiner, Z., Taraboulos, A., Mor, F., 2003. Complete Freund's
474	adjuvant immunization prolongs survival in experimental prion disease in mice. J.
475	Neurosci. Res. 71, 286-290.

- Weissmann, C., Aguzzi, A., 2005. Approaches to therapy of prion diseases. Annu. Rev. Med.
 56, 321-344.
- 478 White, A.R., Enever, P., Tayebi, M., Mushens, R., Linehan, J., Brandner, S., Anstee, D.,

479 Collinge, J., Hawke, S., 2003. Monoclonal antibodies inhibit prion replication and
480 delay the development of prion disease. Nature 422, 80-83.

- 481 Zahn, R., von Schroetter, C., Wüthrich, K., 1997. Human prion proteins expressed in
- 482 Escherichia coli and purified by high-affinity column refolding. FEBS Lett. 417, 400483 404.
- 484

486 Figure Legends:

487

488	Fig. 1: Antibody responses of <i>Prnp^{0/0}</i> and wild-type mice after DNA vaccinations and
489	their ability to bind to native PrP and inhibit PrP ^{Sc} in cultured cells.
490	Antibody titres in <i>Prnp^{o/o}</i> (A) and wild-type (C) mice vaccinated with pCG-PrP or pCG-PrP-
491	P30 or the empty vector (pCG) were determined ten days after the 6 th immunisation by
492	ELISA. The titre of each mouse is indicated by a symbol and the mean values of each group
493	are given in bars. <i>Prnp^{o/o}</i> mice show a strong and comparable antibody response (dilution
494	1:250) with both PrP vaccines (A) whereas wild-type mice (C) show low PrP antibody titres
495	(dilution 1:40) and similar levels to the empty vector (pCG). (B) Both DNA vaccines induce
496	comparable levels of PrP ^C -specific IgG1 (filled column) and IgG2a (open column) in Prnp ^{o/o}
497	mice. Flow cytometric analysis shows binding of induced IgG antibodies to PrP ^C on N2A
498	cells in sera from representative <i>Prnp^{o/o}</i> mice (D) but only low induction of PrP ^C -specific
499	antibodies in individual wild-type mice (E). Prion-infected ScN2a cells were treated with
500	serum (dilution 1:40) from vaccinated <i>Prnp^{o/o}</i> (F) or wild-type (G) mice and analysed by
501	western blotting. PrP ^{Sc} , and to some extent also PrP ^C , levels on ScN2a cells are largely
502	diminished after treatment with representative sera from Prnp ^{o/o} (F) mice vaccinated with
503	pCG-PrP or pCG-PrP-P30, whereas no inhibitory effect is detectable with sera from
504	vaccinated wild-type mice (G) and from a <i>Prnp^{o/o}</i> mouse immunised only with the pCG
505	vector. PrP ^{Sc} levels of ScN2a were determined in the absence (-) or presence (+) of proteinase
506	K treatment of the cell lysates (PK) prior to electrophoresis. Blots were probed with a
507	polyclonal rabbit anti-PrP serum and a goat-anti-rabbit antibody conjugated with horseradish
508	peroxidase as described in Material and Methods.

509

510 Fig. 2: Cellular immune responses of *Prnp^{o/o}* mice after DNA vaccination.

Analyses were performed seven days after the 6th immunisation with the indicated vaccine.
Proliferation of splenocytes was determined 48h after re-stimulation of cells with rPrP *in vitro*. Stimulation index (SI) represents the ratio of thymidine incorporation of PrP-stimulated
versus non-stimulated cells. Each symbol represents the mean SI of four parallel cultures for
each mouse. Differences in SI between the pCG-PrP-P30 vaccine group compared to the

516 pCG-PrP group were statistically significant (P<0.05; Mann-Whitney test).

517

Fig. 3: Prime-boost vaccination with DNA and PrP protein induces antibody responses
in *Prnp^{o/o}* mice, but failed to protect wild-type mice from prion disease.

(A) *Prnp^{o/o}* and wild-type mice receiving indicated DNA and rPrP/CpG-1668 were analysed 520 ten days after the last immunisation. Sera of $Prnp^{0/0}$ and wild-type mice were diluted 1:1000 521 522 and 1:40 for ELISA, respectively. The titre of each mouse is indicated by a symbol and the 523 mean values of each group are given in bars. The increase in antibody titres in vaccinated 524 wild-type mice most likely reflects unspecific binding to rPrP on the ELISA plate, because these sera do not bind to native PrP^C on N2A cells (data not shown). (B) Flow cytometric 525 analysis of sera from representative Prnp^{o/o} mice show binding of induced IgG antibodies to 526 PrP^C on N2a cells. (C) Incubation times of wild-type mice after intraperitoneal infection with 527 528 RML prions. No difference in survival time was found between vaccinated and unvaccinated 529 mice. Mean survival times with standard deviation and numbers of affected/inoculated mice 530 are given in parenthesis. (D) Brains of terminally ill mice, of immunised mice and of non-531 treated controls display similar pathological changes (HE: hematoxylin-eosin staining; GFAP: 532 glial fibrillary acidic protein; scale bar: 50µm). Spleen sections of mice show no gross 533 abnormalities in the formation of the microarchitecture when compared to non-treated mice 534 (HE; scale bar: 100µm).

- 535
- 536



Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3