



HAL
open science

A DNA sequence capture extraction method for detection of subspecies in feces and tissue samples

Elke Vansnick, Pim de Rijk, Francis Vercammen, Leen Rigouts, Françoise Portaels, Dirk Geysen

► **To cite this version:**

Elke Vansnick, Pim de Rijk, Francis Vercammen, Leen Rigouts, Françoise Portaels, et al.. A DNA sequence capture extraction method for detection of subspecies in feces and tissue samples. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 2007, 122 (1-2), pp.166. 10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.01.011 . hal-00532195

HAL Id: hal-00532195

<https://hal.science/hal-00532195>

Submitted on 4 Nov 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: A DNA sequence capture extraction method for detection of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis* in feces and tissue samples

Authors: Elke Vansnick, Pim de Rijk, Francis Vercammen, Leen Rigouts, Françoise Portaels, Dirk Geysen



PII: S0378-1135(07)00036-3
DOI: doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.01.011
Reference: VETMIC 3567

To appear in: *VETMIC*

Received date: 10-2-2006
Revised date: 15-1-2007
Accepted date: 16-1-2007

Please cite this article as: Vansnick, E., de Rijk, P., Vercammen, F., Rigouts, L., Portaels, F., Geysen, D., A DNA sequence capture extraction method for detection of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis* in feces and tissue samples, *Veterinary Microbiology* (2007), doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2007.01.011

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

1 **A DNA sequence capture extraction method for detection of *Mycobacterium***
2 ***avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis* in feces and tissue samples**

3

4 Elke Vansnick ^{1,4}, Pim de Rijk ², Francis Vercammen ³, Leen Rigouts ², Françoise
5 Portaels ², Dirk Geysen ^{1,*}

6

7 ¹ Institute of Tropical Medicine, Department of Animal Health, Nationalestraat 155,
8 2000 Antwerp, Belgium

9 ² Institute of Tropical Medicine, Mycobacteriology unit, Nationalestraat 155, 2000
10 Antwerp, Belgium

11 ³ Royal Zoological Society of Antwerp, Centre for Research and Conservation, K.
12 Astridplein 26, 2018 Antwerp, Belgium

13 ⁴ Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Microbiology, Ghent University, Harelbekestraat 72,
14 9000 Gent, Belgium

15

16 **Keywords:** Mycobacterium, paratuberculosis, faeces, DNA extraction

17

18 ***Corresponding author:**

19 Dirk Geysen

20 Dep. Animal Health

21 Nationalestraat 155

22 2000 Antwerp

23 Belgium

24 Phone: +32-(0)3-2476264 Fax: +32-(0)3-2476268

25 e-mail: dgeysen@itg.be

1

2 **Abstract**

3 Culturing of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis* (Map)
4 remains difficult and is time consuming. An alternative for the rapid detection of Map
5 in samples is PCR. We have developed a sensitive DNA-extraction method based on
6 sequence capture for the rapid detection of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies
7 *paratuberculosis* (Map) by PCR in fecal and tissue samples. The method detected 10^2
8 Map/ g feces using spiked samples, and reached a diagnostic sensitivity of 33,7%
9 compared to 22% for culture. Analysis of tissue samples gave 65 PCR-positive
10 (42.2%) and 49 culture-positive samples (31.8%). Therefore, the detection limit of the
11 DNA extraction is the same as previously reported for culture, the PCR assay could
12 detect more positive samples than the culture method.

13

14

15 **Introduction**

16 Cultivation of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis* (Map) has a
17 low sensitivity (Fang *et al.*, 2002), and is laborious and time consuming due to a long
18 incubation time (12 to 16 weeks).

19 The development of a rapid, sensitive and specific diagnostic method for the
20 detection of Map is essential in the control of Johne's disease in economically
21 important animals. Detection of the Map specific insertion sequence IS900 by the
22 polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has improved the diagnosis of paratuberculosis
23 (Collins *et al.*, 1989). However, recovering DNA from paucibacillary samples or from
24 a complex matrix as feces is difficult (Widjojoatmodjo *et al.*, 1992, Christopher-
25 Hennings *et al.*, 2003). Excessive non-specific DNA derived from the host or other

1 microbes can also inhibit amplification (Marsh *et al.*, 2000). The sequence capture
2 technique eliminates essentially all non-specific DNA and inhibitory substances
3 present in crude samples (Marsh *et al.*, 2000) resulting in a higher sensitivity in
4 combination with a nested PCR (Englund *et al.*, 2001). Mangiapan *et al.* (1996) used
5 this method successfully for the detection of *M. tuberculosis* in paucibacillary clinical
6 sputum samples from patients. This sequence capture approach has also been used to
7 detect Map from tissue (Roring *et al.*, 2000) and faeces or tissue (Miller *et al.*, 1995,
8 Marsh *et al.*, 2000, Halldorsdottir *et al.*, 2002) but specificity and methodology could
9 be improved.

10 In this study, we have developed, tested and evaluated a new more specific and
11 easy to perform DNA extraction method based on sequence-capture to detect Map in
12 feces and tissue samples.

13

1 **Materials and methods**

2 **Spiked fecal samples:** Map-culture negative fecal samples from Belgian cattle were
3 spiked with a known amount of Map isolate ATCC19698 in a range of 10^{-1} – 10^6 Map /
4 g feces. In the serial dilution range, the numbers of Map were confirmed using solid
5 phase cytometry (Chemscan, Chemunex, Ivry-sur-Seine, France). Spiked samples
6 were tested 10 times by PCR and used as a positive extraction control in each DNA
7 extraction.

8 **Feces of experimentally infected cattle:** Five young calves were experimentally
9 infected by the oral route and sampled every 2 weeks by Dr. Godfroid and Dr.
10 Walravens at CERVA, Brussels. A total of 249 fecal samples of these animals were
11 tested.

12 **Fecal samples of naturally infected dairy herds:** Two Belgium dairy herds with a
13 known Map history were sampled (n=154) once (collection 4) or repeatedly
14 (collections 1-3).

15 **Fecal and tissue samples from wild red deer (*Cervus elaphus*):** During necropsy of
16 hunter-killed free-living cervids (from southern Belgium), fecal and tissue samples
17 were collected by Dr. Linden and colleagues (University of Liège, Belgium). A total
18 of 81 mesenteric lymph nodes, 69 spleens, 2 small intestines, 2 large intestines and 25
19 feces were sampled from Map-suspected animals based on macroscopic inspection
20 and serology (HerdChek, IDEXX).

21 **Decontamination and culture:** All fecal samples (except the spiked samples) were
22 cultured on Löwenstein-Jensen medium supplemented with mycobactin J (1 mg/l),
23 PANTA plus (40 ml/l) and sodium pyruvate (4g/l) for MAP, and on Stonebrink and
24 Löwenstein-Jensen for other isolation of other mycobacteria. Two different
25 decontamination methods were used. The double incubation method of Whitlock and

1 Rosenberg (1990) was used for decontamination of the fecal samples from the
2 Belgian dairy herds. The sediment of 2-5 g feces was used to seed the culture tubes.
3 Fecal samples from deer and the experimental infected cattle were decontaminated
4 with the oxalic acid method (Beerwerth and Schurmann, 1969). A suspension from
5 approximately 0.5 g feces was used to inoculate the culture tubes. The tissue samples
6 were decontaminated with the reverse Petroff method (adapted from Petroff, 1915). A
7 suspension of approximately 1 g of minced tissue was used to inoculate the LJ media
8 and incubated at 37°C for up to 8 months and examined regularly for signs of
9 bacterial growth.

10

11 **Sample preparation before sequence capture:** In case of tissue samples, 250 µl of
12 decontaminated suspension was transferred to a 1,5 ml tube and mixed with 250 µl of
13 buffer A (200mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 3000 mM NaCl, 100 mM EDTA). One g of non-
14 decontaminated feces was suspended in 10 ml of a 2% Tween-solution and glass
15 beads were added. The samples were mixed vigorously on a vortex mixer for 1 min,
16 left standing for 30 min. One ml supernatant was transferred to a new microcentrifuge
17 tube and centrifuged at 6000 x g for 1 min. Supernatant was transferred to a new
18 microcentrifuge tube, centrifuged at 14 000 x g for 10 min and the pellet suspended in
19 500 µl of a 100 mM Tris-HCl solution containing 150 mM NaCl and 50 mM EDTA.

20

21 **Sequence capture:** Five hundred µl of 0.1 mm-diameter glass microspheres and 50 µl
22 of a 20 mg/ml proteinase K solution (Boehringer Mannheim, Germany) were added
23 to the samples. The samples were agitated for 50 s, allowed to digest overnight and
24 agitated again for 50 s. The supernatant was recovered by centrifugation and
25 transferred into a new microcentrifuge tube, heated at 100°C for 10 min and cooled on

1 ice to 0°C. Two hundred µl of a 3.75 M NaCl solution containing 2.5 pmol of
2 biotinylated capture oligonucleotides IS900SB and IS900RB (Table 1) was added.
3 Tubes were incubated at 60°C for 3 h under agitation to allow hybridization.
4 Afterwards ten µl of streptavidin dynabeads (washed according to the manufacturer's
5 instructions, Dynal, Oslo, Norway) was added and the incubation was continued for 2
6 h at room temperature. The magnetic beads were captured, washed twice with a 10
7 mM Tris-HCl solution containing 0,1 mM EDTA (pH = 8) and resuspended in 20 µl
8 water. Ten µl was used for amplification.

9
10 **Nested PCR:** The primary PCR of 40 cycles was performed in 50 µl containing 10
11 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.3, 50 mM KCl, 1.6 mM MgCl₂, 200 µm of each dNTP, 20 pM of
12 each primer, 0.5 U Taq polymerase and 10 µl of sample. Primers were IS900S1 and
13 IS900R3 (Table 1) with annealing temperature at 68°C. The secondary PCR of 25
14 cycles was performed in 24 µl standard PCR mix and 1 µl of the first PCR solution.
15 Primers were IS900S2 and IS900R1 with annealing temperature at 68°C.

16 17 **Results**

18 The fecal sequence capture method had a detection limit of 100 Map/g feces for
19 spiked samples, and a diagnostic sensitivity of 33.7% (84/249) for fecal samples from
20 experimental infected animals compared to 22% (55/249) by culture. Eleven culture
21 positive samples remained negative by PCR. Systematic evaluation of feces
22 specimens from Belgian dairy herds with a known Map history, was not possible in a
23 reliable way due to fungal overgrowth for part of the cultures. In sample collection 1
24 (n=20), where no overgrowth was observed, PCR detected 4 samples of which 3 were
25 culture positive. In sample collections 2 and 3 (n=34), with fungal overgrowth, 11

1 PCR positive fecal samples were obtained. In sample collection 4 (n=100), 4 samples
2 were both PCR and culture positive. All extractions were repeated and PCR results
3 were reproducible. The results of PCR and culture on tissue and fecal samples of the
4 wild red deer are summarized in Table 2 and 3. All smear-positive specimens were
5 paucibacillary, i.e. per 300 fields we detected 1 to 3 separate acid fast bacilli or a
6 single small cluster of about 10 bacilli.”

7

8

9 **Discussion**

10 In this study, we report a DNA-extraction method based on the nucleic acid
11 sequence capture technique giving highly purified templates for the detection of Map
12 in fecal and tissue samples (Marsh *et al.*, 2000). Although magnetic-particle
13 technology has been reported as a mechanism for isolating specific DNA targets from
14 complex mixtures, it results rarely in a complete absence of PCR inhibition. This
15 phenomenon could explain that 18 culture positives (11 fecal and 7 tissues) were
16 negative on PCR.

17 We achieved a fecal detection limit of 100 Map / g feces for spiked samples,
18 which is comparable to fecal culture (Merkal, 1973) and other fecal extraction
19 methods like the xylene (Challans *et al.*, 1994) and freeze-boiling method (van der
20 Giessen *et al.*, 1992). Millar *et al.*, (1995) also described a similar sequence capture
21 technique for detecting Map in feces samples, based on the use of a 513 bp probe
22 giving a detection limit of 2500 Map / g of feces. However, our probe and primer set
23 proved more specific, not amplifying IS902 or IS900-like sequences causing false
24 positive results in current IS900 amplification assays (Vansnick *et al.*, 2004;
25 Vansnick, 2004).

1 Halldorsdottir *et al.* (2002) described a complex detection method using
2 buoyant density centrifugation followed by a single sequence capture PCR with 60 bp
3 primers and a dot blot hybridization to increase the sensitivity to 1000 CFU / g of
4 feces. We used a nested PCR approach with shorter primers (20bp) to increase the
5 sensitivity and overcome the influence of inhibitory substances to some extent. In
6 nested-PCR systems false positive results caused by carry-over or cross contamination
7 could occur more frequently and precautions have to be taken to circumvent this
8 problem (Belak and Ballagi-Pordany, 1993). Real-time PCR could be an alternative to
9 reduce the chance of DNA contamination, but a major disadvantage of real time PCR
10 is the higher cost of the instrumentation.

11 We detected more positive fecal samples by sequence-capture (33.7%) than by
12 culture (22%). The possibility of PCR contamination in these cases is unlikely given
13 the results of negative controls included in each PCR reaction and strict application of
14 a “three room system”. A possible explanation is the non-uniform distribution of fecal
15 samples due to clumping, a phenomenon that has been previously described (Socket
16 *et al.*, 1992) and seems to be more pronounced in light shedders, as was the case in
17 this set of samples. Another possibility may relate to the decontamination step that
18 reduces the number of viable cells that cannot be cultured but can still be detected by
19 PCR. For 34/428 fecal samples we did not obtain culture results due to contamination,
20 a major problem in culturing Map that can easily mask the presence of Map colonies
21 or even prevent Map growth. The use of a PCR overcomes this contamination
22 problem associated with culture.

23 The sequence capture technique can also be used on a variety of other clinical
24 samples by using an appropriate sample preparation protocol. We tested the
25 performance of sequence capture PCR on tissue samples by comparing culture and

1 PCR results of 154 tissue samples from cervids. Again, more tissue samples were
2 found positive with PCR (42.2%) than with culture (31.8%).

3

4 **Conclusion**

5 We have reported here a sensitive two-day procedure to detect Map in fecal
6 and tissue samples without the use of hazardous reagents (phenol-chloroform). A
7 detection limit of 100 Map / g of feces was achieved, which is comparable with the
8 sensitivity obtained by fecal culture. The diagnostic sensitivity was higher for PCR
9 compared to culture. It can be concluded that the sequence-capture PCR as described
10 in this article is a valid alternative for the time-consuming Map culture.

11

12 **Acknowledgements**

13 The authors would like to thank the Flemish Government and the Ministry of
14 Agriculture (Belgium), for financial support, also Drs. Godfroid and Walraevens
15 (CERVA, Belgium), Dr. Linden (University Liège, Belgium), Dr. Wullepit (DGZ,
16 Belgium) and the farmers for providing the fecal and biopsy samples.

1 **References**

- 2 1. Beerwerth, W., Schurmann J., 1969. Zur ökologie der mycobakterien. Zentralbl
3 Bakteriol mikrobiol 211, 58-69.
- 4 2. Belak, S., Ballagi-Pordany, A., 1993. Experiences on the application of the
5 polymerase chain reaction in a diagnostic laboratory. Mol Cell Probes. 7, 241-248.
- 6 3. Challans, J.A., Stevenson, K., Reid, H. W., Sharp, J.M., 1994. A rapid method for
7 the extraction and detection of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies *paratuberculosis*
8 from clinical specimens. Vet Rec. 134, 95-96.
- 9 4. Christopher-Hennings, J., Dammen, M.A., Weeks, S.R., Epperson, W.B., Singh,
10 S.N., Steinlicht, G.L., Fang, Y., Skaare, J.L., Larsen, J.L., Payeur, J.B., Nelson,
11 E.A., 2003. Comparison of two DNA extractions and nested PCR, real-time PCR,
12 a new commercial PCR assay, and bacterial culture for detection of
13 *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* in bovine feces. J Vet Diagn
14 Invest. 15, 87-93.
- 15 5. Collins, D.M., Gabric, D.M., De Lisle, G.W., 1989. Identification of a repetitive
16 DNA sequence specific to *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis*. FEMS Microbiol
17 Lett. 51, 175-178.
- 18 6. Englund, S., Bolske, G., Ballagi-Pordany, A., Johansson, K.E., 2001. Detection of
19 *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* in tissue samples by single,
20 fluorescent and nested PCR based on the IS900 gene. Vet Microbiol. 81, 257-271.
- 21 7. Fang, Y., Wu, W.H., Pepper, J.L., Larsen, J.L., Marras, S.A., Nelson, E.A.,
22 Epperson, W.B., Christopher-Hennings, J., 2002. Comparison of real-time,
23 quantitative PCR with molecular beacons to nested PCR and culture methods for
24 detection of *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* in bovine fecal
25 samples. J Clin Microbiol. 40, 287-291.

- 1 8. Halldorsdottir, S., Englund, S., Nilsen, S. F., Olsaker, I., 2002. Detection of
2 *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* by buoyant density centrifugation,
3 sequence capture PCR and dot blot hybridisation. *Vet Microbiol.* 87, 327-340.
- 4 9. Mangiapan, G., Vokurka, M., Schouls, L., Cadranet, J., Lecossier, D., van
5 Embden, J., Hance, A.J., 1996. Sequence capture-PCR improves detection of
6 mycobacterial DNA in clinical specimens. *J Clin Microbiol.* 34, 1209-1215.
- 7 10. Marsh, I., Whittington, R., Millar, D., 2000. Quality control and optimised
8 procedure of hybridization capture-PCR for the identification of *Mycobacterium*
9 *avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* in feces. *Mol Cell Probes.* 14, 219-232.
- 10 11. Merkal, R.S., 1973. Laboratory diagnosis of bovine paratuberculosis. *J Am Vet*
11 *Med Assoc.* 163,1100-1102.
- 12 12. Millar, D.S., Withey, S.J., Tizard, M.L., Ford, J. G., Hermon-Taylor, J., 1995.
13 Solid-phase hybridization capture of low-abundance target DNA sequences:
14 application to the polymerase chain reaction detection of *Mycobacterium*
15 *paratuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *silvaticum*. *Anal Biochem.*
16 226, 325-330.
- 17 13. Petroff, S.A., 1915. A new and rapid method for the isolation and cultivation of
18 tubercle bacilli directly from sputum and feces. *J Exp Med* 21, 38-42.
- 19 14. Roring S, Hughes MS, Skuce RA, Neill SD., 2000. Simultaneous detection and
20 strain differentiation of *Mycobacterium bovis* directly from bovine tissue
21 specimens by spoligotyping. *Vet Microbiol.* 74(3): 227-236.
- 22 15. Sockett, D.C., Carr, D.J., Collins, M.T., 1992. Evaluation of conventional and
23 radiometric fecal culture and a commercial DNA probe for diagnosis of
24 *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* infections in cattle. *Can J Vet Res.* 56, 148-153.

- 1 16. van der Giessen, J.W., Haring, R.M., Vauclare, E., Eger, A., Haagsma, J., van der
2 Zeijst, B.A., 1992. Evaluation of the abilities of three diagnostic tests based on the
3 polymerase chain reaction to detect *Mycobacterium paratuberculosis* in cattle:
4 application in a control program. J Clin Microbiol. 30, 1216-1219.
- 5 17. Vansnick E, De Rijk P, Vercammen F, Geysen D, Rigouts L, Portaels F., 2004.
6 Newly developed primers for the detection of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies
7 paratuberculosis. Vet Microbiol. 100(3-4): 197-204.
- 8 18. Vansnick E., 2004 . Johne's disease in zoo animals: development of molecular
9 tools for the detection and characterisation of *Mycobacterium avium* subspecies
10 paratuberculosis. PhD study, RUGhent,
- 11 19. Whitlock, R., Rosenberger, A., 1990. Fecal culture protocol for *Mycobacterium*
12 *paratuberculosis*. A recommended protocol. p280-285 In Proceedings of the 94th
13 Annual Meeting of the U.S. Animal Health Association 1990.
- 14 20. Widjoatmodjo, M.N., Fluit, A.C., Torensma, R., Verdonk, G.P., Verhoef, J.,
15 1992. The magnetic immuno polymerase chain reaction assay for direct detection
16 of salmonellae in fecal samples. J Clin Microbiol. 30, 3195-3199.
- 17

1 Table 1: Primers and probes used in the study

Primer	DNA sequence	Product size
IS900S1	5' ggg ttg atc tgg aca atg acggtt a 3'	572 bp
IS900R3 (2)	5' agc gcg gca cgg ctc ttg tt 3'	
IS900S2	5' gga ggt ggt tgt ggc aca acc tgt 3'	452 bp
IS900R1	5' cga tca gcc acc aga tcg gaa 3'	
Probe	DNA sequence	
IS900SB	5' biotine-gtt cgg ggc cgt cgc tta ggc t 3'	
IS900RB	5' biotine-gag gat cga tcg ccc acg tga 3'	

2

Accepted Manuscript

1 Table 2: PCR and culture results

2

	Total nr of samples tested	PCR+ / Cult +				PCR+ / Cult -				PCR - / Cult +				PCR - / Cult -			
		Total	ZN+	ZN-	ZN NT	Total	ZN+	ZN-	ZN NT	Total	ZN+	ZN-	ZN NT	Total	ZN+	ZN-	ZN NT
<i>Experimental inf animals</i>	249	44	<i>10</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>0</i>	40	<i>5</i>	<i>34</i>	<i>1</i>	11	<i>2</i>	<i>9</i>	<i>0</i>	156	<i>17</i>	<i>137</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>Belgian dairy herds</i>	154																
Sample collection 1	20																
Sample collection 2 + 3	34																
Sample collection 4	100																
<i>Cervids</i>	179																
Lymph node	81	35	<i>14</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>10</i>	6	<i>2</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>0</i>	7	<i>1</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>2</i>	34	<i>2</i>	<i>13</i>	<i>19</i>
Spleen	69	14	<i>5</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>5</i>	6	<i>5</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	7	<i>0</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>4</i>	42	<i>2</i>	<i>22</i>	<i>18</i>
Feces	25	16	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>16</i>	2	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>2</i>	0				7	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>7</i>
Small intestine	2	1	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	1	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	0				0			
Large intestine	2	2	<i>2</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>0</i>	0				0				0			

3 ZN+: positive on microscopy, using Zielh-Neelsen. ZN-: negative on microscopy, using Zielh-Neelsen. ZN NT: not tested on microscopy

4

1 Table 3: Results on tissue samples and fecal samples

Samples	Number	PCR positives	Culture positives
Tissue	154	65 (42,2%)	49 (31,8%)
Feces	409	135 (33,0%)	70 (17,1%)
Total	563	200 (35,5%)	119 (21,1%)

2

3

4

Accepted Manuscript