

A two-state model analysis of the melting of sodium clusters: Insights in the enthalpy-entropy compensation

Sébastien Zamith, Fabien Chirot, Jean-Marc L'Hermite

▶ To cite this version:

Sébastien Zamith, Fabien Chirot, Jean-Marc L'Hermite. A two-state model analysis of the melting of sodium clusters: Insights in the enthalpy-entropy compensation. EPL - Europhysics Letters, 2010, 92 (1), pp.13004. 10.1209/0295-5075/92/13004. hal-00530359

HAL Id: hal-00530359 https://hal.science/hal-00530359v1

Submitted on 28 Oct 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A two-state model analysis of the melting of sodium clusters: Insights in the enthalpy-entropy compensation

S. ZAMITH^{1,2}, F. CHIROT³ and J.-M. L'HERMITE^{1,2}

¹ Université de Toulouse ; UPS ; 118 route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse, France

² CNRS ; Laboratoire Collisions Agrégats Réactivité, IRSAMC ; F-31062 Toulouse, France

³ LSA, Université de Lyon - UCBL and CNRS, UMR 5180, 43 Bd. du 11 novembre 1918, Villeurbanne, F-69622, France

PACS 36.40.Ei – Phase transitions in clusters PACS 82.60.Fa – Heat capacities and heats of phase transitions

Abstract. - Experimental melting temperatures and associated latent heats of size-selected sodium clusters are analyzed in the frame of a two-state model of melting. The strong variations of these quantities as a function of size make clusters an excellent benchmark for testing speculative ideas about melting. The relation between cohesive energy of clusters and their latent heat of melting is demonstrated, and a correlation between cohesive energy and vibrational frequency in the solid state is found. It is shown that this relation may throw light on the enthalpy-entropy compensation, which is observed in a variety of systems undergoing a first-order phase transition. A relation is established between the Lindemann melting criterion, the variation of the vibrational frequency with the cohesive energy, and the enthalpy-entropy compensation.

Introduction. – New experimental methods for measuring melting temperatures and latent heats of fusion of size selected clusters have been reported during the last decade. Na [1–3], Sn [4], Ga [5], NaCl [6] and Al clusters [7] have been investigated. Three different methods have been developed for this purpose, all based on the measurement of the variation of the heat capacity with temperature. In the first one, clusters internal energy is characterized through their photofragmention patterns [1, 2]. In the second method, the internal energy is deduced from collision induced fragmentation patterns [8]. The third method, developed in our group, consists in measuring the mean number of atoms that can be stuck onto a size selected cluster as a function of its initial temperature [3]. It is now demonstrated that clusters of tens of atoms can undergo first order melting transitions. Melting temperatures are generally expected to decrease with size, due to increase of the surface/volume ratio [9]. Sn [10] and Ga 8 clusters do not obey this rule, owing probably to different structures for small clusters and bulk material. In all these studies, a striking feature is the strong variation of both melting temperature and latent heat with size. The melting temperature of sodium clusters can vary by tens of kelvin from one size to the next, and the latent heat can be multiplied by a factor of several units [3,11]. These strong variations are essentially ascribed to the variation of stability related to the geometrical structure of the clusters [11, 12], but theoretical calculations show that electronic effects may also play a non negligible role [13].

Beyond the intrinsic interest of measuring unknown properties of peculiar systems, size selected clusters are also a powerful benchmark system to investigate the foundations of phase transitions, since some properties (the binding energy per atom for instance) can be varied by merely changing the size under study, without any other change in the system.

An amazing and strong correlation has been observed between the entropy of melting and the latent heat of melting: Sodium [14], aluminum [7] and gallium [15] clusters clearly exhibit such a correlation, which has been suggested to be quite general [7] although no quantitative explanation has been proposed up to now.

The experimental melting properties of sodium clusters are analyzed in this paper in the frame of a simple twolevel melting model. This model, close to the one introduced by Bixon and Jortner [16], establishes relations between melting temperature, latent heat of fusion, cohesive energy and mean vibrational frequency of the cluster. A qualitative interpretation of the relation between these parameters is suggested by our analysis:



Fig. 1: Two-level description of melting.

1. The latent heat of melting is related to the cohesive energy of the cluster (which had already been suggested in other studies [15, 17])

2. The melting temperature increases with the latent heat. It is due, together with remark 1), to the fact that more energy is required to destroy (liquefy) more stable structures.

3. However, the melting temperature does not increase with the cohesive energy as strongly as it would do without any change among the other parameters. The energy increase is actually compensated by a decrease of the melting entropy. This property is not restricted to the area of cluster physics. It is called "entropy-enthalpy compensation" and has been observed in a variety of systems that undergo a first order phase transition [18,19]. Within our model, this compensation is interpreted as follows: The larger cohesive energy of the most stable solid structures is compensated by a lower entropy, due to an increase of the mean vibrational frequency in the deeper potential energy well of these most stable solid clusters. The higher vibrational frequencies of these strongly bound systems lead to lower vibrational densities of state, resulting in lower entropy of the solid that favors the transition to the liquid state at a lower temperature.

The first two remarks, that concern the relation between the latent heat of melting of clusters and their cohesive energy, had already been made in previous studies [7,20]. The new point here is the establishment of a quantitative relation (the idea had been qualitatively suggested [7,21]) between the enthalpy-entropy correlation and the correlation between the depth of the potential well in which the atoms move inside the cluster (*i.e.* the cohesive energy per atom) and the mean vibrational frequency in the solid state.

The two-state description of melting. – A considerable computational effort has been devoted to the analysis of melting transitions in clusters, especially since Haberland and coworkers [1] have published experimental data for sodium clusters. These results, together with recent data obtained in our group [3], concern sizes from about 30 to several hundred atoms. Almost all the the-



Fig. 2: Internal energy per degree of freedom in the frame of the two-levels model. The bottom curve (thin blue line) corresponds to the solid state alone. The dotted red curve is the liquid state alone. The black thick curve is the internal energy obtained by using eq. 2 and 3.

oretical work that aims at reproducing the thermodynamic properties of clusters are Monte Carlo or Molecular dynamics simulations, which require computing a huge amount of trajectories and cannot deal with such a number of particles without simplifying the problem a lot. This results in discrepancies in the predicted values both for the melting point and the latent heat, which may strongly depend on the model used (see [22] for sodium clusters). On the other hand, a few authors tried to build simple analytical models to account for some general features of melting in clusters [16, 23]. In [16], the melting phenomenon in clusters is analyzed by considering the liquid as an excited manifold with an energy gap above the solid ground state. Both solid and liquid are described in the harmonic approximation and are characterized by two different effective frequencies. In this kind of model, configurational and vibrational entropies are considered separately, according to the superposition approximation [24].

In our model, both the solid and the liquid states are described by harmonic oscillators with their own frequencies ω_S and ω_l . A cluster is considered as a set of p = 3n - 6(p is the number of degrees of freedom of the system of atoms) independent oscillators. The liquid ground state is shifted with respect to the solid ground state by the energy gap E_0 . This model for the solid is nothing but the Einstein model for crystals. The use of a harmonic oscillator model for the liquid is more questionable. Some authors consider the melting of clusters as a transition from a single ground state to a multitude of isomers, in particular for rare gases [16]. In this case, the unique frequency for the liquid is considered as an average value of the mean frequency of all these isomers. Furthermore, a number of widely (and successfully) used models that describe liquid clusters evaporation, as the Weisskopf model [25, 26], are derived from a similar assumption. In [27], a model is developed in order to analyze the thermal broadening



Fig. 3: (Color online) Melting temperatures of sodium clusters. Our results (squares) are plotted with the ones of ref. [11] (open circles).

of plasmon resonances in metal clusters. Effective vibrational frequencies are derived for liquid sodium clusters from an approximation of the nuclei motion as calculated in the jellium approximation. Effective harmonic oscillator hamiltonians were also used for nuclei [28] and electron shells [29]. In agreement with these authors, the liquid state is described by oscillators with a single frequency ω_l , which represents an effective description of cluster deformation modes. Since the liquid is not structured, its characteristics are not likely to vary abruptly from one size to another. We consider the frequency ω_l as fixed, whereas the frequency of the solid ω_s is allowed to vary. Thus, only two free parameters are allowed to vary with the size of the cluster, namely E_0 and ω_s .

The two-level model is sketched in fig. 1. Every degree of freedom is considered as a quantum harmonic oscillator, with a frequency ω_s for the solid, ω_l for the liquid. The quantum ground state of the liquid is shifted by E_0 with respect to the ground state of the solid. The partition function for an harmonic oscillator in the canonical ensemble is given by:

$$z_{l,s} = \exp(-\beta\hbar\omega_{l,s}/2)(1 - \exp(-\beta\hbar\omega_{l,s}))^{-1} \qquad (1)$$

where $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$, and the total partition function for a cluster is:

$$Z = z_s^p + z_l^p \exp(-\beta L_{melt}) \tag{2}$$

where L_{melt} is the latent heat of melting (see Fig. 1).

The average energy is obtained as:

$$E = -\frac{\partial lnZ}{\partial\beta} \tag{3}$$

and the heat capacity is:

$$C(T) = dE/dT.$$
 (4)

The internal energy E and the heat capacity C(T) are numerically evaluated. We have plotted in fig. 2 the internal energy per degree of freedom obtained from Eq. 3



Fig. 4: (Color online) Latent heat of fusion of sodium clusters. Our results (squares) are compared with the ones of ref. [11] (open circles).

together with the internal energies one would obtain with the liquid or solid states alone. Asymptotically the energy difference between the liquid and the solid is simply the latent heat of melting per degree of freedom L_{melt}/p . The relation between the latent heat L_{melt} and the energy gap between the ground liquid and solid states E_0 is given by:

$$E_0 = L_{melt}/p - 1/2(\hbar\omega_s - \hbar\omega_l).$$
(5)

Experimental melting temperatures and latent heat of melting of sodium clusters. - Data from two experiments are presented. In the first one [11], the photofragmentation pattern of thermalized clusters is analyzed: The number of photoevaporated atoms is used as a measurement of the internal energy E of the cluster. This quantity is recorded as a function of its initial temperature T, and the caloric curve C(T) is deduced. A peak is observed in the caloric curve when melting occurs. The melting point is the maximum of the peak, and the latent heat its area. In our experiment, atoms are stuck onto mass selected clusters. By counting, as a function of its initial temperature, the maximum number of atoms that can be attached on a cluster, the caloric curve is constructed [3]. The melting temperature and the latent heat of melting are deduced from the position and the area of a peak in the caloric curve. The data from both experiments (see fig. 3 and 4) are in good agreement.

Analysis of the experimental results using the two-state model. – Our analysis consists in deducing, from the experimental melting temperatures $T_{exp}(n)$ and latent heats $L_{exp}(n)$, the two parameters E_0 and ω_s for each cluster size as follows: first, the vibrational frequency in the liquid state is kept constant at $\omega_l = 2.3 \ 10^{12} s^{-1}$ [30]. The caloric curves are calculated from numerical evaluation of eq. 4 and the melting temperature T_{melt} is deduced from the maximum of C(T). We vary ω_s and set $E_0 = L_{exp}/p - 1/2(\hbar\omega_s - \hbar\omega_l)$ so that $T_{melt} = T_{exp}$. For



Fig. 5: Experimental (squares) and calculated (lines) caloric curves for Na_{110}^+ (bottom) and Na_{140}^+ clusters (top).

each size, a unique couple $\{E_0, \omega_s\}$ is determined from the two experimental data $L_{exp}(n)$ and $T_{exp}(n)$. Caloric curves obtained this way are shown in fig. 5 together with experimental ones. Surprisingly, this simple model reproduces nicely the size dependant width of the peaks in the caloric curve.

Cohesive energy and latent heat of melting. -

The correlation between the latent heats of melting of clusters and their cohesive energies has already been pointed out in the case of aluminum clusters [17]. The cohesive energy, defined as the energy required to transform a solid cluster into gas, can be decomposed in two components: the energy required for the solid to liquid transition, in other word the latent heat of melting $L_{melt}(n)$, plus the energy required to evaporate the atoms from the liquid cluster, in other words the latent heat of evaporation $L_{evap}(n)$. Since the liquid is not structured, the latent heat of evaporation per atom $L_{evap}(n)/n$ is not expected to vary abruptly from one size to another. The variations with the size of the cohesive energy is thus expected to come from the variations of the latent heat of melting $L_{melt}(n)/n$. This assumption has been confirmed for aluminium clusters and is expected to apply also for sodium clusters [17]. However, in order to compare directly the latent heats of melting to the cohesive energies, it is necessary to remove from the cohesive energies the latent heat of evaporation, which varies smoothly. The latent heat of evaporation $L_{evap}(n)$ is expected to decrease with the size; this is qualitatively easily understood since



Fig. 6: Comparison of the cohesive energies from Ref. [31] (black line) and the energy gap E_0 deduced from the two-level model (circles). We have subtracted a smooth size dependant contribution: $1.113n - 1.137n^{2/3}$ from the calculated cohesive energies.

the relative number of atoms at the surface of the cluster, which are less bound, increases as the size decreases, but the exact scaling law cannot be determined accurately since it depends on the geometry of the cluster. In fig. 6, the values of $E_0(n)$, the solid to liquid energy gap, deduced from the experiments using the two-level model are compared to the cohesive energy calculated by Noya and co-workers [31] using a Murrell-Mottram potential, where a smooth component has been removed from the cohesive energies. This component, corresponding to the latent heat of evaporation, is arbitrarily chosen in order to optimize the matching between theory and experiment. The variations of $E_0(n)$ nicely follow the variations of the theoretical cohesive energy. This result confirms, as expected, that the structural properties of solid sodium clusters are largely responsible for the variations of their latent heat of melting.

Vibrational frequency and entropy of melting. –

The vibrational frequencies of solid Na_n^+ clusters $\omega_s(n)$ are represented in fig. 7 as a function of the energy gap $E_0(n)$. There is a strong correlation between these two parameters. This correlation is also a correlation between the energy of melting $L_{melt}(n)$ and the entropy of melting $\Delta S(n)$. As a matter of fact, the linear relation between $\Delta S(n)$ and $\omega_s(n)$ is easily demonstrated using the usual relation:

$$L_{melt}(n) = T_{melt}(n)\Delta S(n).$$
(6)

Combining eq. 5 and 6 one gets:

$$\Delta S(n) = p(E_0 + 1/2(\hbar\omega_s - \hbar\omega_l))/T_{melt}.$$
(7)

Consequently, within the frame of the two-level model of melting, the correlation between $E_0(n)$ and ω_s is equivalent to a correlation between energy and entropy of melting. This energy-entropy correlation had already been ob-



Fig. 7: Correlation between the energy gap E_0 and the ratio of the vibrational frequencies ω_s/ω_l .

served, including for sodium clusters [14], but did not receive interpretation. Here this issue is reduced to a link between the depth of a potential well (i.e. E_0) and a vibrational frequency. Energy-entropy correlation has been observed not only for melting transition in sodium, aluminium and gallium clusters [7, 14, 15], but is also a general feature of many chemical reactions and processes in biological systems [18, 19, 21]. It has been pointed out that in some cases the entropy-enthalpy correlation is likely to be an experimental artifact [32, 33], however this criticism does not concern experiments in which the melting entropy and the melting enthalpy are determined independently [33], which is the case in calorimetry experiments.

This effect is often called energy-entropy compensation. The main effect of this relation between entropy and energy is indeed to reduce the variations of the melting temperatures as the energy change in the transition varies. In our case for instance, if the energy parameter $E_0(n)$ varies without any change in the frequency $\omega_s(n)$, the variations of melting temperatures from one size to another would be enhanced by a factor of almost 5 (see fig. 8): there is a damping effect on the melting temperature variations, due to an increase of vibrational frequency together with an increase of cohesive energy. The physical reason for this behavior may be understood as follows: as the binding forces become stronger, the potential well responsible for the cohesion of the systems in the solid state becomes deeper, thus its curvature and the gap between adjacent vibrational levels increase. As a consequence, the energy required to liquefy the system increases, and so does the melting temperature. However, the density of vibrational levels in the solid state decreases whereas the density of states in the liquid state does not vary. The entropic competition between solid and liquid becomes more favorable to the liquid, then reducing the melting temperature.

This damping effect on the melting temperatures can be further illustrated in the classical limit where an analytical



Fig. 8: Melting temperatures obtained when ω_s is set constant (squares) or is allowed to vary (circles). The amplitude of variation of the melting temperatures is strongly reduced when ω_s varies from one size to another due to energy-entropy compensation.

expression can be derived for the melting temperature [34]:

$$T_{melt} = E_0 / (pk_B \ln(\omega_s / \omega_l)) = L_{melt} / \Delta S.$$
(8)

The above mentioned entropic competition between solid and liquid is here directly revealed by the expression $\Delta S = pk_B \ln(\omega_s/\omega_l)$.

Links with the Lindemann criterion. – In 1910 Lindemann introduced a criterion for predicting the melting temperatures of crystals [35]. This criterion states that melting occurs when the root mean square (rms) displacements $\delta x = \sqrt{x^2}$ of the atoms around their equilibrium position exceeds a given value. This value is of the order of 10% of the internuclear distance.

Within the frame of our model, it is easy to deduce from the experimental melting temperatures the width δ of the harmonic well at the melting point (see fig. 1). δ can be considered either as the width of the solid well δ_s or the liquid well δ_l :

$$\delta_s = 2\sqrt{2E(T_{melt})/(pm\omega_s^2)},\tag{9}$$

$$\delta_l = 2\sqrt{(2E(T_{melt}) - L)/(pm\omega_l^2)}.$$
 (10)

The rms displacement is $\delta_{s,l}/\sqrt{2}$. The quantity $\delta x/R_0 = \delta_{s,l}/\sqrt{2}/R_0$, representing the Lindemann criterion, is displayed for all cluster sizes in fig. 9, where $R_0 \approx 3.5$ Å is the mean equilibrium bond length in sodium clusters [36]. It is almost constant, very close to the mean value 10%. The standard deviation of $\delta x/R_0$ is about 0.5%. The corresponding energy fluctuations (0.2%) are only of the order of 0.05 meV per degree of freedom, much smaller than the variations of E_0 and $\hbar \omega_s$, which indicates that $\delta x/R_0$ being almost constant may be significant.



Fig. 9: Lindemann criterion at the melting energy for the liquid well (thick line) and the solid well (thin line).

Conclusion. – The size dependence of melting temperatures and latent heats of sodium clusters have been analyzed in the frame of a simple two-level model. As in the case of aluminium and gallium clusters, the latent heat is shown to be closely related to the cohesive energy of the clusters. In order to reproduce experimental results, the mean vibrational frequency in the solid state, which is a free parameter, must vary. There is a strong correlation between this frequency and the cohesive energy. In the frame of the model, the entropy change upon melting is linearly related to the vibrational frequency in the solid state. The frequency-cohesive energy correlation is thus similar to the more general energy-entropy correlation observed for various chemical reactions. This allows suggesting that energy-entropy compensation may be due to the relation between the strength of the interaction and the increase of the vibrational frequency, which lowers the entropy in the solid state, then favors the transition to the liquid state at a lower temperature.

* * *

We thank P. Labastie and F. Calvo for stimulating discussions.

REFERENCES

- SCHMIDT M., KUSCHE R., KRONMÜLLER W., VON IS-SENDORF B. and HABERLAND H., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **79** (1997) 99.
- [2] SCHMIDT M., KUSCHE R., VON ISSENDORF B. and HABERLAND H., Nature (London), 393 (1998) 238.
- [3] CHIROT F., FEIDEN P., ZAMITH S., LABASTIE P. and L'HERMITE J.-M., J. Chem. Phys., **129** (2008) 164514.
- [4] BREAUX G., NEAL C., CAO B. and JARROLD M., Phys. Rev. B , 71 (2005) 073410.
- [5] BREAUX G., CAO B. and JARROLD M., J. Phys. Chem. B, 109 (2005) 16575.

- [6] BREAUX G., BERNISCHKE R. and JARROLD M., J. Chem. Phys., **121** (2004) 6502.
- [7] NEAL C., STARACE A. and JARROLD M., Phys. Rev. B, 76 (2007) 054113.
- [8] BREAUX G. A., BENIRSCHKE R. C., SUGAI T., KINNEAR B. S. and JARROLD M. F., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **91** (2003) 215508.
- [9] LABASTIE P. and CALVO F., in Thermodynamics and Solid-Liquid Transition, Nanomaterials and Nanochemistry, edited by C. BRÉCHIGNAC C. H. and LAHMANI M., (Springer, New York) 2007.
- [10] SHVARTSBURG A. A. and JARROLD M. F., Phys. Rev. Lett., 85 (2000) 2530.
- [11] HABERLAND H., HIPPLER T., DONGES J., KOSTKO O., SCHMIDT M. and VON ISSENDORF B., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 94 (2005) 035701.
- [12] AGUADO A. and LOPEZ J. M., Phys. Rev. Lett., 94 (2005) 233401.
- [13] GHAZI S. M., LEE M.-S. and KANHERE D. G., J. Chem. Phys., **128** (2008) 104701.
- [14] SCHMIDT M., DONGES J., HIPPLER T. and HABERLAND H., Phys. Rev. Lett., 90 (2003) 103401.
- [15] BREAUX G., HILLMAN D., NEAL C., BENIRSCHKE R. and JARROLD M., J. Am. Chem. Soc., **126** (2004) 8628.
- [16] BIXON M. and JORTNER J., J. Chem. Phys. , 91 (1989) 1631.
- [17] STARACE A. K., NEAL C. M., CAO B., JARROLD M. F., AGUADO A. and LOPEZ J. M., J. Chem. Phys. , 129 (2008) 144702.
- [18] WETSWELL M., SEARLE M., WALES D. and WILLIAMS D., J. Am. Chem. Soc. , 117 (1995) 5013.
- [19] WILLIAMS D., O'BRIEN D. and BARDSLEY B., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 123 (2001) 737.
- [20] NEAL C. M., STARACE A. K. and JARROLD M. F., J. Am. Soc. Mass. Spectrom., 18 (2007) 74.
- [21] MARK S. SEARLE M. S. W. and WILLIAMS D. H., J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, (1995) 141.
- [22] CALVO F. and SPIEGELMANN F., J. Chem. Phys., 112 (2000) 2888.
- [23] POLAND D., J. Chem. Phys., **126** (2007) 054507.
- [24] WALES D. J., Energy Landscapes (Cambridge University Press) 2003.
- [25] WEISSKOPF V., Phys. Rev. , **52** (1937) 1937.
- [26] ENGELKING P. C., J. Chem. Phys., 87 (1987) 936.
- [27] BERTSCH G. F. and TOMÁNEK D., Phys. Rev. B , 40 (1989) 2749.
- [28] NILSSON S., K. Dansk. Vidensk. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd. N, o. 16 (1955) 29.
- [29] CLEMENGER K., Phys. Rev. B , 32 (1985) 1359.
- [30] DE HEER W. A., KNIGHT W. D., CHOU M. Y. and CO-HEN M. L., *Electronic shell structure and metal clusters* in *Solid State Physics*, edited by SEITZ F. and TURNBULL D., Vol. 40 (Academic, New York) 1987 p. 93.
- [31] NOYA E. G., DOYE J. P., WALES D. J. and AGUADO A., Eur. Phys. J. D , 43 (2007) 57.
- [32] SHARP K., Protein Science, **10** (2001) 661.
- [33] CORNISH-BOWDEN A., J. Biosci. , 27 (2002) 121.
- [34] CALVO F., DOYE J. P. K. and WALES D. J., J. Chem. Phys., 114 (2001) 7312.
- [35] LINDEMANN F., Z. Phys., 10 (1910) 609.
- [36] POTEAU R. and SPIEGELMANN F., J. Chem. Phys., 98 (1993) 6540.