

# Extremely weak interpolation in $H^{\infty}$ Andreas Hartmann

## ▶ To cite this version:

Andreas Hartmann. Extremely weak interpolation in  $H^{\infty}$ . 2010. hal-00526930v1

# HAL Id: hal-00526930 https://hal.science/hal-00526930v1

Preprint submitted on 17 Oct 2010 (v1), last revised 18 Oct 2010 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### **EXTREMELY WEAK INTERPOLATION IN** $H^{\infty}$

#### ANDREAS HARTMANN

ABSTRACT. Given a sequence of points in the unit disk, a well known result due to Carleson states that if it is possible to interpolate the value one in any point and zero in all the other points of the sequence with uniform control of the norm in the Hardy space of bounded analytic functions on the disk, then the sequence is an interpolating sequence (i.e. every bounded sequence of values can be interpolated). It turns out that such a result holds in other spaces. In this short note we would like to show that for a given sequence it is sufficient to find just **one** function interpolating suitably zeros and ones to deduce interpolation in the Hardy space.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Hardy space  $H^{\infty}$  of bounded analytic functions on  $\mathbb{D}$  is equipped with the usual norm  $||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f(z)|$ . A sequence  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_n \subset \mathbb{D}$  of points in the unit disk is called interpolating for  $H^{\infty}$ , noted  $\Lambda \in \operatorname{Int} H^{\infty}$ , if every bounded sequence of values  $v = (v_n)_n \in l^{\infty}$  can be interpolated by a function in  $H^{\infty}$ . Clearly, for  $f \in H^{\infty}$ , the sequence  $(f(\lambda_n))_n$  is bounded. Hence

$$\Lambda \in \operatorname{Int} H^{\infty} \quad \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{\longleftrightarrow} \quad H^{\infty} | \Lambda = l^{\infty}$$

(we identify the trace space with a sequence space). The sequence  $\Lambda$  is said to satisfy the Blaschke condition if  $\sum_{n}(1 - |\lambda_n|) < \infty$ . In that case, the Blaschke product  $B = \prod_{n} b_{\lambda_n}$ , where  $b_{\lambda}(z) = \frac{|\lambda|}{\lambda} \frac{z-\lambda}{1-\overline{\lambda}z}$  is the normalized Möbius transform ( $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ ), converges uniformly on every compact set of  $\mathbb{D}$  to a function in  $H^{\infty}$  with boundardy values |B| = 1 a.e. on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Carleson proved (see [Ca58]) that

$$\Lambda \in \operatorname{Int} H^{\infty} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \inf_{n} |B_{n}(\lambda_{n})| = \delta > 0,$$

where  $B_n = \prod_{k \neq n} b_{\lambda_k}$ . The latter condition will be termed Carleson condition, and we shall write  $\Lambda \in (C)$  when  $\Lambda$  satisfies this condition. Carleson's result can be reformulated using the notion of weak interpolation.

**Definition 1.1.** A sequence  $\Lambda$  of points in  $\mathbb{D}$  is called a weak interpolating sequence in  $H^{\infty}$ , noted  $\Lambda \in \operatorname{Int}_w H^{\infty}$ , if for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a function  $\varphi_n \in H^{\infty}$  such that

• for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varphi_n(\lambda_k) = \delta_{nk}$ ,

• 
$$\sup_n \|\varphi_n\|_{\infty} < \infty.$$

Date: October 17, 2010.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 30E05, 32A35.

Key words and phrases. Hardy spaces, interpolating sequences, weak interpolation.

This project was elaborated while the author was Gaines Visiting Chair at the University of Richmond, also partially supported by the french ANR-project FRAB.

#### ANDREAS HARTMANN

Now, when  $\Lambda \in (C)$ , setting  $\varphi_n = B_n/B_n(\lambda_n)$  we obtain a family of functions satisfying the conditions of the definition. Hence  $\Lambda \in \text{Int}_w H^{\infty}$ . And from Carleson's theorem we get

$$\Lambda \in \operatorname{Int} H^{\infty} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \Lambda \in \operatorname{Int}_w H^{\infty}.$$

With suitable definitions of interpolating and weak interpolating sequences, such a result has been shown to be true in Hardy spaces  $H^p$  (see [ShHSh] for  $1 \le p < \infty$  and [Ka63] for 0 ) as well as in Bergman spaces (see [SchS98]) and in certain Paley-Wiener and Fock spaces (see [SchS00]).

One also encounters the notion of "dual boundedness" for such sequences (see [Am08]), and in a suitable context it is related to so-called uniform minimality of sequences of reproducing kernels (see e.g. [Nik02, Chapter C3] for some general facts).

Using a theorem by Hoffmann we want to show here that given a separated sequence  $\Lambda$ , then there is a splitting of  $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 \cup \Lambda_1$  such that if there exists **just one** function  $f \in H^{\infty}$  vanishing on  $\Lambda_0$  and being 1 on  $\Lambda_1$ , then the sequence is interpolating in  $H^{\infty}$ .

The author does not claim that such a result is anyhow useful to test whether a sequence is interpolating or not, but that it might be of some theoretical interest.

### 2. The result

Let us begin by recalling Hoffman's result (which can e.g. be found in Garnett's book, [Gar81]):

**Theorem 2.1** (Hoffman). For  $0 < \delta < 1$ , there are constants  $a = a(\delta)$  and  $b = b(\delta)$  such that the Blaschke product B(z) with zero set  $\Lambda$  has a nontrivial factorization  $B = B_0B_1$  and

$$a|B_0(z)|^{1/b} \le |B_1(z)| \le \frac{1}{a}|B_0(z)|^b$$

for every  $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D(\lambda, \delta)$ , where  $D(\lambda, \delta) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |b_{\lambda}(z)| < \delta\}$  is the pseudohyperbolic disk.

In view of this theorem, given any Blaschke sequence of points  $\Lambda$  in the disk and a constant  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , we will set  $\Lambda_0$  to be the zero set of  $B_0$  and  $\Lambda_1$  to be the zero set of  $B_1$  where  $B = B_0 B_1$  is the Hoffman factorization of B. We will refer to  $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 \cup \Lambda_1$  as the  $\delta$ -Hoffman decomposition of  $\Lambda$ .

Recall that a sequence  $\Lambda$  is separated if there exists a constant  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for every  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \mu, |b_{\lambda}(\mu)| \geq \delta_0$ . For such a sequence, we will call the *corresponding* Hoffman decomposition the Hoffman decomposition associated with  $\delta = \delta_0/2$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** A separated sequence  $\Lambda$  in the unit disk with corresponding Hoffman decomposition  $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 \cup \Lambda_1$  is interpolating for  $H^{\infty}$  if and only if there exists a function  $f \in H^{\infty}$  such that  $f|\Lambda_0 = 0$  and  $f|\Lambda_1 = 1$ .

The condition is clearly necessary.

Proof of Theorem. Preliminary observation: by factorization in  $H^{\infty}$  (see e.g. [Gar81]), we have  $f = B_0 F$  where F is a bounded analytic functions (that could contain inner factors). Then for every  $\mu \in \Lambda_1$ 

$$1 = f(\mu) = |B_0(\mu)| |F(\mu)| \le c |B_0(\mu)|$$

which shows that

$$|B_0(\mu)| \ge \eta := 1/c$$

Replacing f by g = 1 - f we obtain a function vanishing now on  $\Lambda_1$  and being 1 on  $\Lambda_0$ . And the same argument as before shows that for  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$ 

$$|B_1(\mu)| \ge \eta$$

(let us agree to use the same  $\eta$  here).

Pick now  $\mu \in \Lambda_1$ . Then

 $|B_0(\mu)| \ge \eta.$ 

We have to check whether such an estimate holds also for the second piece. Now, let  $z \in \partial D(\mu, \delta)$  (note that  $\delta = \delta_0/2$ , where  $\delta_0$  is the separation constant of  $\Lambda$ , so that this disk is far from the other points of  $\Lambda$ ). Then by Hoffman's theorem

$$|B_{\Lambda_1}(z)| \ge a|B_0(z)|^{1/\ell}$$

Hence

$$|B_{\Lambda_1 \setminus \{\mu\}}(z)| |b_{\mu}(z)| \ge a |B_0(z)|^{1/b}$$

and

$$|B_{\Lambda_1 \setminus \{\mu\}}(z)| \ge \frac{a}{\delta} |B_0(z)|^{1/b}$$

Now  $B_{\Lambda_1 \setminus \{\mu\}}$  and  $B_0$  do not vanish in  $D(\mu, \delta)$ . We thus can take powers of  $B_0$  and divide through getting a function  $B_{\Lambda_1 \setminus \{\mu\}}/B_0^{1/b}$  not vanishing in  $D(\mu, \delta)$ . By the minimum modulus principle we obtain

$$\left|\frac{B_{\Lambda_1 \setminus \{\mu\}}(z)}{B_0^{1/b}(z)}\right| \ge \frac{a}{\delta}$$

for every  $z \in D(\mu, \delta)$  and especially in  $z = \mu$  so that

$$|B_{\Lambda_1 \setminus \{\mu\}}(\mu)| \ge \frac{a}{\delta} \eta^{1/b}.$$

Hence

$$|B_{\Lambda \setminus \{\mu\}}(\mu)| = |B_0(\mu)||B_{\Lambda_1 \setminus \{\mu\}}(\mu)| \ge \frac{a}{\delta} \eta^{1+1/b}$$

By the preliminary observation above, the same argument can be carried through when  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$ , so that for every  $\mu \in \Lambda$  we get

$$|B_{\Lambda\setminus\{\mu\}}(\mu)| \ge c$$

for some suitable c > 0. Hence  $\Lambda \in (C)$  and we are done.

**Remark 2.3.** 1) It is clear from the proof that it is sufficient that there is an  $\eta > 0$  with

(2.1) 
$$\inf_{\mu \in \Lambda_1} |B_0(\mu)| \ge \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{\mu \in \Lambda_0} |B_1(\mu)| \ge \eta$$

This means that in terms of Blaschke products, we need **two** functions instead of the sole function f (which is of course not unique) as stated in the theorem. One could raise the question whether it would be sufficient to have only one of the conditions in (2.1) (the condition is clearly necessary). Suppose we had the first condition

$$\inf_{\mu \in \Lambda_1} |B_0(\mu)| \ge \eta$$

#### ANDREAS HARTMANN

Then in order to obtain the condition of the theorem, we would need to multiply  $B_0$  by a function  $F \in H^{\infty}$  such that  $(B_0F)(\mu) = 1$  for every  $\mu \in \Lambda_1$ . In other words we need that  $B_0 + B_1H^{\infty}$  is invertible in the quotient algebra  $H^{\infty}/B_1H^{\infty}$  under the condition that  $0 < \eta \le |B_0(\mu)| \le 1$ . This is possible when  $\Lambda_1$  is a finite union of interpolating sequences in  $H^{\infty}$  (which in our case boils down to interpolating sequences since we have somewhere assumed that  $\Lambda$ , and hence  $\Lambda_1$ , is separated). See for example [Har96] for this, but it can also be deduced from Vasyunin's earlier characterization of the trace of  $H^{\infty}$  on finite union of interpolating sequences (see [Vas84]).

We do not know the general answer to this invertibility problem when  $\Lambda_1$  is not assumed to be a finite union of interpolating sequences.

2) Another question that could be raised is whether in Theorem 2.2 the assumption of being separated can be abandoned. At least Hoffman's theorem does not allow us to deduce that the sequence is separated. As an example, one could have a union of two interpolating sequence the elements of which come arbitrarily close to each other. Write  $\Lambda = \bigcup_n \sigma_n$  where  $\sigma_n$  contains two close points of  $\Lambda$  one of which is of the first interpolating sequence and the other one from the second interpolating sequence. Let  $\Lambda_0$  be the union of the even indexed  $\sigma_n$ 's and  $\Lambda_1$  the odd indexed  $\sigma_n$ 's we obtain a Hoffman decomposition for which we can find f as in the theorem, but  $\Lambda$  is not interpolating.

#### REFERENCES

- [Am08] E. Amar, On linear extension for interpolating sequences, Studia Math 186 (2008), no. 3, 251–265.
- [Ca58] L. Carleson, *An interpolation problem for bounded analytic functions*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 921–930.
- [Gar81] J. B. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [Har99] A. Hartmann, Free interpolation in Hardy-Orlicz spaces, Studia Math. 135 (1999), no. 2, 179–190.
- [Har96] \_\_\_\_\_ Interpolation libre et caractérisation des traces de fonctions holomorphes sur les réunions finies de suites de Carleson, PhD, July 1996, Bordeaux.
- [Ka63] V. Kabaila, Interpolation sequences for the  $H_p$  classes in the case p < 1, Litovsk. Mat. Sb. **3** (1963), no. 1, 141–147.
- [Nik02] N. K. Nikolski [Nikol'skiĭ], Operators, functions, and systems: an easy reading. Vol. 1, Hardy, Hankel, and Toeplitz; Vol.2, Model Operators and Systems, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 92 and 93. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [ShHSh] H. S. Shapiro & A. L. Shields, On some interpolation problems for analytic functions, Amer. J. Math., 83 (1961), 513–532.
- [SchS98] A.P. Schuster & K. Seip, A Carleson-type condition for interpolation in Bergman spaces, J. Reine Angew. Math. 497 (1998), 223–233.
- [SchS00] A.P. Schuster & K. Seip, Weak conditions for interpolation in holomorphic spaces, Publ. Mat. 44 (2000), no. 1, 277–293
- [Vas84] V.I. Vasyunin, *Traces of bounded analytic functions on finite unions of Carleson sets*, J. Sov. Math., 27, 1984, issue 1, pp. 2448-2450.

Equipe d'Analyse, Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux Université Bordeaux I, 351 cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence, France

*E-mail address*: hartmann@math.u-bordeaux.fr