



Changing Forms of Transnationalism

Ruben Gowricharn

► To cite this version:

Ruben Gowricharn. Changing Forms of Transnationalism. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 2009, 32 (9), pp.1619-1638. 10.1080/01419870902853232 . hal-00526206

HAL Id: hal-00526206

<https://hal.science/hal-00526206>

Submitted on 14 Oct 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Changing Forms of Transnationalism

Journal:	<i>Ethnic and Racial Studies</i>
Manuscript ID:	RERS-2008-0220.R3
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Keywords:	Transnationalism, Ethnicity, Integration, assimilation, Indians, Bollywood



Abstract

This paper looks at second-generation immigrants and the effects of ‘inevitable’ assimilation on their transnational ties. The dominant concept of transnationalism is strongly influenced by the experience of transmigrants moving back and forth between the sending and receiving countries or by immigrants involved in transnational activities. Research on second-generation Hindustanis in the Netherlands shows that their transnational ties are strong due to the ethnification of their community. However, their transnational ties are changing. The second-generation Hindustanis have a source culture and are developing new ties, while loosening relations with their parents’ country. Thus, transnationalism is assuming new forms, calling for a typology of different forms of transnational relations.

Keywords: transnationalism; ethnicity; integration; assimilation; Indians; Bollywood

1 Introduction

Debates about the future of ethnic minorities in Western countries centre around two related positions: assimilation and multiculturalism. The most influential view on assimilation is Gans’s ‘straight-line’ (1979): each generation, immigrants come closer to the dominant culture through acculturation. Immigrants may retain aspects of their ethnicity, but these are symbolic and intended for ethnic identification. In a later article (1994: 579), Gans makes clear that ‘this ethnically-inspired, leisure-time activity does not preclude or bar genuinely felt emotional involvement in ethnicity’. However, progress toward the melting pot is inevitable, although there may be difficulties along the way.

The literature on assimilation and multiculturalism displays two interesting ‘turns’. The first is the increasing emphasis on the persistence of ethnic traits in the assimilation process (Gans 1994; Alba and Nee 1997; Kivisto 2003). According to that reasoning, assimilation does not exclude ethnic identities. However, this position is at odds with the common ideal of the melting pot consisting of, as Alba and Nee (1997: 832) put it, ‘an idealistic vision of American

society and identity arising from the biological and cultural fusion of different peoples’.

The other turn is the introduction of segmented assimilation (Portes and Zou 1993) to describe the diverse possible outcomes of the adaptation process. Empirical case studies illustrate the theory and highlight the consequences of the different contextual situations facing today's second generation (Portes et al. 2005).

Recently the tension between multiculturalism and assimilation has gained new impetus, this time from discussion about the nature of transnational immigrant communities. There is a ‘straight line’ from the assimilation discussion to this current controversy about transnationalism, the ties immigrants maintain with their countries of origin. In this way, the departure from the homeland is made less permanent, even in the most literal sense, as the migrants regularly return ‘home’. The term is also used in a wide variety of contexts – Vertovec (1999: 449-456) found six – some of which are hard to reconcile. Irrespective of the foggy surrounding the concept, there is one major implication when assimilation theory is applied to transnational communities: in due course, the ties between transnational communities will loosen and eventually disappear. The ‘fading away’ of transnational communities should be discernable with second-generation immigrants. This position suffers from a narrow definition of transnationalism (which will be discussed further) and the use of nation states as the unit of analysis. When ethnic communities are taken as the unit of analysis, the outcome assumes different forms.

This paper points to a new development: diasporic peoples (e.g. Hindustanis) reconnect through journeys and the entertainment industry to the homeland of their forefathers (India). The ‘reconnection’ resembles transmigrants spanning different borders (Basch et al. 1994: 7), but the difference is that these sojourners have never lived in the land of their forefathers. The impact of mass entertainment (e.g. Bollywood) comes close to the idea of a ‘mediascape’ (Appadurai 1998: 35): a large and complex repertoire of images, narratives, and ethnoscares available to

viewers throughout the world. Bollywood culture and its impact is much more than entertainment as is argued below.

The paper argues that the loosening of second-generation transnational ties is mediated by the ethnification of the community, and that, therefore, assimilation is not inevitable. It explores the example of second-generation Hindustanis now in the Netherlands, descendants of former indentured labourers from Surinam (South America). The younger generation of Hindustanis has become detached from Surinam but ‘realigned’ with India, the result of ethnification influenced by Indian culture. When global ethnic communities are substituted for the nation state as the unit of analysis, the bipolar model of sending and receiving states is dropped. As the analytical orientation shifts, new forms of transnationalism come into focus.

In the next section, conceptual and methodological issues are discussed and symbolic ethnicity is questioned. In Section 3, the paper explores the idea that immigrant communities, rather than individuals, can be subjected to globally driven ethnification and ethnically incorporated in the transnational community. In Section 4, this argument is specified for the second-generation Hindustanis. Data were collected through intensive participation in community life, studying glossy magazines, visiting public festivals, and attending religious and community meetings, supplemented with an internet survey. The numerical data are interpreted in the context of these observations. The sense of belonging, consumption of ‘Bollywood’ culture, and Diaspora orientation is explored. The conclusion discusses the implications of these findings for second-generation transnationalism.

2 Concepts and Issues

The concept of transnationalism lacks a precise definition, yet the social phenomenon is clear. Several authors have pointed out that there are a multitude of terms (Vertovec 1999; Kivisto

2001; Levitt and Waters 2002); the most current are: transnational communities (Vertovec 1999), transnational spaces (Faist 2000), transnational social fields (Basch et al. 1994), global 'oecumene' (Hannerz 1996), transnationalism (Portes et al. 1999), and transnational involvement (Snel et al. 2006). These terms are used interchangeably with 'transnational networks' and 'cross border activities' and are generally used loosely.

Waldinger and Fitzgerald (2004) argue that the current concept neglects the role of trans-state action in determining citizens' opportunities. While true for political migrants, this argument cannot be generalized. In the 'older' literature on transnationalism, the focus was on migrants literally living in two places: transnationalism was created by transmigrants whose opportunities depended on interstate relations. Levitt and Waters (2002: 11) point out two other forms: people who move infrequently but are integrally involved in a single sending or receiving setting, and people who never move but live within a migrant cultural context. This observation is of paramount importance to the role of the second generation.

The second generation, in Western countries, is growing up in totally different technological conditions than its predecessors. Many have pointed to the technological preconditions required for the further development of transnational communities: video, satellite TV, Internet, mobile phones, fax, improved transportation, etc. Not long ago such technologies were unavailable to consumers at large, let alone to socio-economically disadvantaged groups.

Another crucial difference is the increased prosperity in transnational communities. Without such prosperity, money transfers, travel, sending goods 'home', or 'keeping in touch' would be unaffordable. The minimal literacy demanded by modern technology points to a difference in educational attainment between the generations (cf. Al-Ali et al. 2001: 597). This difference coincides not only with a difference between countries of origin and countries of residence, but also between first and second generations within the country of residence. A further condition, which is rarely mentioned, is the degree of individualization. In addition to

prosperity, technology, and education, a certain amount of social detachment is required to move and get in touch with other communities.

Given these differences between the first and the second generation, it would be surprising to find only one kind of transnationalism. The question about the durability of transnationalism is sometimes raised, though usually in passing and hesitantly (see, for example, Faist 2000: 239; Vertovec 2001: 577). Echoing assimilation theory, some authors argue that the second generation will be lesser transnationalists. Gans (2007: 153) defines assimilation as ‘the decline of an ethnic distinction and its corollary cultural and social differences’, implying that assimilation and ethnicity are mutually exclusive. However, his earlier concept of symbolic ethnicity, acknowledging the evolution of new ethnicities and rejecting a straight-line assimilation, may be at odds with his later position (Gans 1994). Waldinger and Fitzgerald (2004: 1178) take the same position when they reject transnationalism as determined by the particular ties of immigrants, emphasizing that ‘states and the politics conducted within their borders fundamentally shape the options for migrant and ethnic trans-state social action’.

Lee (2007) studied second-generation Tongans, measuring the decline of transnationalism through the decreasing money transfers to Australia by Tongans living abroad. Although her focus on money transfers restricts her thesis, Lee makes clear that this second generation’s ethnicity is also waning.

In contrast to Gans, Kivisto (2003: 18) argues that assimilation implies transformation of immigrants and their communities, possibly without the loss of their ethnic distinctiveness. According to him, assimilation and transnationalism are competing theoretical models and should be seen as interrelated. This position inflates the concept of assimilation tremendously: every form of incorporation may be labelled as assimilation since its countervailing force, ethnicity, is incorporated into the definition. This redefinition of assimilation at best blurs the distinction between the concepts.

1
2
3 Basch et al. (1994) affirm the durability of transnationalism, arguing that because family
4
5 networks underlie dense transnational connections, transnationalism will continue as an arena of
6
7 social relations. Haller and Wandolt (2005) also found continuities in transnational involvement,
8
9 although their research yielded different transnational patterns.
10
11

12 The unit of analysis and its level of abstraction are important. Portes et al. (1999: 220)
13
14 defined transnationalism as the proportion of the community that is involved in cross-border
15
16 activities. This definition presents two problems: the fact that individuals are the unit of analysis
17
18 and the fact that the concept is limited to those involved in such activities. The first point is not
19
20 clear: networks, individuals, governments, NGOs, and multinational enterprises are also
21
22 mentioned as the unit of analysis. Portes et al. do not exclude the possibility of ethnic
23
24 communities serving as a unit of analysis, but if so, it is pointless to look at the percentage of
25
26 individuals involved in transnational activities. This would mix two levels of abstraction.
27
28
29
30

31 Portes et al. also rejected 'sampling on the dependent variable', that is, 'focusing on
32
33 instances where the phenomenon is present, but not on those where it is absent': a
34
35 methodological weakness, since a representative sample would show that only a small section of
36
37 a community is involved in transnational activities. Their survey of studies does indeed show
38
39 that the percentage of migrants involved in transnational activities does not exceed 20 per cent
40
41 (Portes 2001: 183). In a later study among the second generation of various ethnic groups,
42
43 Rumbaut (2002) found that the level of transnational attachments (visits to the country of origin
44
45 and annual money transfers) remained below ten per cent. Such an interpretation of
46
47 transnationalism leads to an 'operationalization' that is too restricted, a phenomenon also
48
49 germane to the other literature.
50
51
52
53
54

55 The interpretation of numerical size is a problem, since the activities of a limited number
56
57 of people may affect the entire community. For example, only a limited number of people will
58
59 be involved in staging visits by pop stars from Turkey to the Netherlands, but a larger share of
60

the community will attend the performances and so participate in the transnational activity, rendering the criterion of ‘number of people involved in transnational activities’ superfluous.

A second problem is inherent in the word ‘activities’ and the subsequent delineation of the empirical field of research, encompassing entrepreneurs, labour migrants, ‘circular migrants’, political refugees, and other enterprising people who perform activities for the benefit of the migrated community or the country of origin, activities that are strongly tied to civil society and long distance nationalism. These people also live in two societies. The fact that this is the case for a limited number of people is a reflection of the limited definition of transnationalism.

The same limitation characterizes the concept of cross-border activities. Many young migrants are connected with their country of origin through the Internet and other electronic media (Appadurai 1996). However, the visual media are not only another channel for the diffusion of media flows, but also for culture and, hence, cultural ‘consumption’ (Thompson 2002). Concepts like ‘orientation’, ‘identification’, and ‘imitation’ capture this reality better than cross border ‘activities’ or ‘relations’.

These approaches also overlook the fact that the majority of the community may be incorporated in transnational spaces, without its members acknowledging or defining it accordingly due to powerful global factors. The ethnic development of the community may be of greater importance in defining transnational activities than the sum of individual activities. This is a broader concept than the idea of ‘capabilities of migrant or exile groups to participate in activities that transcend national borders’ as suggested by Al-Ali et al. (2001: 581). The position adopted here is that ethnic evolution is more specific and lies at the basis of continuing transnational ties among the second generation.

3 The Ethnification of an Indian community

Dutch Hindustanis are descendants of indentured labourers who were shipped to Surinam between 1873-1914. Their emigration from Surinam to the Netherlands started in the 1950s and 1960s and grew steadily. Based on data provided by the Central Bureau of Statistics and a couple of surveys, Choenni and Harmsen (2007) generously estimated the size of this community in the Netherlands as between 110,000 and 140,000 in 2006. The majority of Hindustanis settled in The Hague, with smaller numbers settling in Amsterdam, Utrecht, and Rotterdam. Modern communication technologies seem to have reduced the need and desire to live in close proximity to relatives. Moreover, increased education has led to greater social and geographic mobility. The strong emphasis on schooling and career often leads to smaller families, heralding a change in traditional Hindustani family life.

Hindustanis do have the reputation of being a well-integrated ethnic minority. The most important markers of integration in the Netherlands are educational and professional performance. The level of education among Hindustanis is slightly lower than that of the white population as a whole but higher than that of other ethnic minorities, the most remarkable progress being made by second-generation Hindustani women. Hindustanis are also a favourable presence in the labour market, although the gender difference in education is not reflected in the labour force. In 2002, about 70 per cent of the potential Hindustani male labour force (ages 15 to 65) was employed, roughly the same percentage as among the white male population. Employment rates for women were about 50 per cent. Notably, 11 per cent of men were in school or at a college or university, as compared to 16 per cent of women (Gowricharn and Choenni 2006).

Hindustanis are subjected to several diverging cultural processes; the two dominant are assimilation and ethnification. The description below is based on years of intensive participation in Hindustani community life and endless discussions with professionals and members of the community. The assimilating influence of Dutch society is observable in at least three respects.

Individualization. This is revealed in two ways. First, extended families become nuclear families, although they do tend to live in one neighbourhood and thus preserve an extended family network (Mungra 1990). The group or former group identities are interpreted less rigidly, as persons within families become socially and emotionally more independent, claiming more freedom. Individuals become more flexible and traditional patterns are followed less strictly.

Role changes. Individualization goes together with adjustment of social roles. This happens initially for the relationship between men and women, a development that is not restricted to the younger generation. First generation men participate in household activities, which is new in traditional Hindustani family patterns. They (generally) become more sensitive to the desires of wives and daughters. Youngsters make more demands on their parents, are more rational or more calculating in their behaviour, and therefore are better equipped to survive in the host society. The change in individual roles in families has been described as a form of ‘emotional democracy’, which is taken as moral progress in interpersonal relationships as emotions gain relevance (Van Stokkom 1997).

Language. The Hindustanis’ language is Sarnami, a mingling of Bhojpuri and Hindi that developed in Surinam and absorbed Dutch, English, and Surinamese words. Since Dutch is the official language in both Surinam and the Netherlands, it is no surprise that most educated Hindustanis speak Dutch at home. In cities with large concentrations of Hindustanis, such as The Hague, youngsters use Hindi as a way to strengthen ethnic identity. Loss of language happens in spite of the increasing consumption of Bollywood movies.

Concomitant with its assimilation, the Hindustani community ethnifies. Ethnification is a

1
2
3 poorly developed concept. 'Institutional completeness', the capacity to meet needs within the
4 community and thus avoid seeking satisfaction outside the community, fosters ethnification
5 (Kivisto 2003: 20), hence the significance of the community's institutional infrastructure. As
6 used here, ethnification refers to the modified reproduction of the ethnic community, taking the
7 form of institutionalization and the establishment of cultural identity. Hindustanis have
8 succeeded in building an institutional infrastructure (Bloemberg 1995). In 2008, there were an
9 estimated fifty temples, eight Hindu primary schools, and efforts being made to establish a
10 secondary school; there is also a training college for *pandits*.

11
12 Hindustanis have broadcasting time for their own radio and television programmes
13 (Organization Hindu Media or OHM), and the community is strengthened by commercial radio
14 stations from every major city in the Netherlands, as well as local, non-religious Hindustani
15 television, which broadcasts in both Sarnami and Dutch. Indian movies and songs are popular,
16 and the availability of satellite television and the Internet strengthens the impact of Indian film
17 culture. Besides the magazine published by OHM, there are religious periodicals and an
18 increasing number of glossy magazines.

19
20 Officially, Hindus are represented by the National Hindu Council (Nationale Hindoe Raad)
21 established in 2004. Independent of religious institutions, the welfare organization Lalla Rookh,
22 named after the first ship that carried British Indian indentured labourers to Surinam, looks after
23 the interest of Surinamese Hindustanis. The public visibility of Hindustanis is restricted - amidst
24 urban architecture, *mandirs* are almost absent - conversely, it is striking that on religious
25 festivals Hindus can be observed in the streets; the Holi festival is one example and Divali,
26 when it is common to organize marches and to walk with torches through the streets, is another.

27
28 The influence of India is also indicative of growing ethnification. Trips to India through
29 specialized travel agents are popular within the Hindustani community. These journeys are a
30 combination of pilgrimage, tourism, and the quest for family roots. To a lesser degree, India has

1
2
3 become a country for education and for religious but also for secular professions. Marriages
4
5 between Indians and Surinamese Hindustanis also occur in this transnational community. Major
6
7 religious events held in India are visited by many Surinamese Hindus, and the Hindu media
8
9 usually show great interest in such events. Orientation to foreign centres is not restricted to
10
11 India; Britain and former plantation colonies such as Fiji and Mauritius, which had been
12
13 characterized by the 'indentured labour' of former British Indians, are also known for these
14
15 'diaspora journeys'.
16
17
18

19
20 The cultural links with India have always kept alive the awareness that Hindustanis are part
21
22 of a major civilization (Gowricharn 1990). The diaspora past has not only been continuously
23
24 invigorated by emphasis on immigration history (in Surinam as well as in Holland), but also by
25
26 commercial Indian culture. Bollywood films – which can be described as commercial
27
28 advertisements of Indian culture comprised of the major elements, such as Indian songs, music,
29
30 dance, and behaviour – together with the interest in Hindi and religion, constitute an effective
31
32 frame of reference, enabling Dutch Hindustanis (and also those in Surinam) to identify with and
33
34 appropriate Indian culture. The Internet enhances this development; the growing number of
35
36 Hindustani websites and the numerous possibilities to chat with Indians overseas signify that
37
38 group identities are co-determined by transnational forces.
39
40
41
42

43
44 Transnational relations, communication technologies, and increased purchasing power have
45
46 made it easier for Hindustanis to establish contacts with Indian communities elsewhere, boosting
47
48 tourism to India and other diaspora Indian communities. As a result, Indian movie stars,
49
50 scholars, and religious leaders increasingly visit Western countries – most often the United
51
52 Kingdom and occasionally the Netherlands.
53
54

55
56 The number of Hindustani glossy magazines and websites is on the rise, as is the wide
57
58 variety of venues where young Hindustanis meet: discos, beauty contests, singing and costume
59
60 contests, and conferences on political and religious issues. The most popular leisure activity is

dancing and listening to music at wedding parties. Hindustanis also celebrate the annual Milan festival, with its fair-like atmosphere, and the annual Hindustani film festival, both having their centres in The Hague but also organized in other major Dutch cities.

‘Cultural entrepreneurs’ have also emerged: the need to display ethnic identity creates demand for culturally specific goods. Ritual artefacts, clothing, jewellery, cosmetics, etc. can be purchased, as well as music from India and attributes for Indian dance. This ethnification includes the older as well as the younger generations.

4. Results: the second generation

Apart from official statistics, other numerical data for this research were obtained by placing a survey on several Surinamese-Hindustani websites in the Netherlands popular mainly with young people and strongly focused on ‘going out’, dating, and Hindustani identity and culture. It should be noted that using websites as sites of data collection might lead to the conclusion that the community is merely ethnifying. However, in the previous section the processes of assimilation (individualization, role changes, language) together with the ethnification of the Indian community are described using qualitative data, making the Internet survey data supplementary.

The survey started with a brief introduction explaining the aim of the research. It had pre-set answer categories, with options for filling in deviating answers (‘other’). The response was overwhelming: within a fortnight, over 958 people had responded, yielding 942 relevant cases. The respondents consisted of 340 males and 602 females (16 unknown). Thompson (2002: 410) reports that, in the US and the UK, it is predominantly South Asian women that maintain relations in a virtual community, which may explain the over-representation of women in the sample.

Two-thirds of the respondents were between age 17 and 25; the modal class (36 per cent) was between 17 and 20 years old; approximately 12 per cent were under 17 years of age; 11 per cent were between 25 and 30 years old; and 10 per cent were over 30. Thirty per cent of the respondents were employed; 39 per cent were enrolled in school; and 29 per cent were both employee and student. Well over two-thirds of the respondents were born in the Netherlands and the others in Surinam. Half of those born in Surinam came to the Netherlands in the mid-1980s; the other half arrived after this period and must have been – considering the respondents’ age distribution – very young indeed when they came.

This method of collecting data has much in common with postal surveys. In both cases, the interviews are not face-to-face and the interviewer cannot continue to ask questions or respond to facial expression, tone, hesitation, etc. On the other hand, the respondent has full anonymity; consequently, there is no hierarchy or constraint between researcher and respondent. The latter may answer questions with full freedom and without inhibition. Not all questions were completed: item non-response did occur. For most questions (by far), response figures ranged between 800 and 900, the lowest figure being 689. The response remained high, although the reported N is not constant.

Cultural identity

In almost every discipline the concept of identity is defined differently (cf. Verkuyten 1999). Rather than discussing several definitional options, and since this research explores useful items that fit the second generation, here the concept of identity remains loosely defined. In order to describe the cultural identity of Hindustani youth, the survey focused on their cultural self-image, using five questions (paraphrased here):

1. Do you feel Hindustani?
2. What does ‘Hindustani’ mean?

3. How much time do you spend with your family?
4. Which community do you belong to?
5. What language(s) do you speak?

For the first question, an overwhelming majority (79 per cent) said they did ‘feel Hindustani’, 14 per cent indicated ‘not really’, and a small percentage (4 per cent) of the total number of respondents said they did not feel Hindustani. What, then, were the defining elements of their identity? The respondents were asked to indicate five things they considered typically Hindustani and specify the three most important ones. Every respondent could indicate more than one item, so the total number exceeds the number of respondents. The answers have been summarized in the table below.

TABLE 1

The top three characteristics were religion, culture, and food. The concrete denotation of ‘culture/norms and values’ remains unclear, though it is obvious that these young people distinguish themselves culturally or wish to do so. Foods that were often mentioned included *roti*, *doksa* (duck curry), and *dahl* (lentil soup). If the first and second columns are compared, the shift in the top three is remarkable. The significance of religion and culture increases and that of food decreases. A similar shift is notable for family ties that count as an important characteristic of being Hindustani.

Like most migrants, Hindustanis have also tried to institutionalize their culture. The most important informal institution by far is the family. Despite individualization, 42 per cent of the respondents said they spent the most time with their relatives; 36 per cent spent the most time with Hindustani friends and acquaintances; and roughly a third do so with other friends. It is unclear to what extent they do this on a voluntary basis. Hindustani youth in a small village in

the east of the country will feel more compelled to step outside their own circle, whereas circumstances are less compelling in the bigger cities of the Netherlands.

Once it was clear what made these young people feel Hindustani, the next step was to examine which community they felt they belonged to. For a quarter of the respondents, this was ‘Surinamese Hindustanis’, the most important reason being that they were born in Surinam; for approximately 60 per cent, it was ‘Dutch Hindustanis’; 2 per cent said ‘Indian’ and ‘British Hindustanis’; 7 per cent replied ‘other’. The response to the differentiation between Surinamese and Dutch Hindustanis was remarkable. Youngsters in both categories called themselves ‘Hindustani’, yet the majority felt they were members of Dutch society. This differentiation shows a clear break in identification with the parent country Surinam.

This identification with Dutch society is also reflected in the remarkably low percentages for language. The minor command of the Hindustani language can be attributed to the fact that the second generation of Hindustanis speaks their parents’ language less fluently than the first generation. This is shown by the high percentage (44 per cent) that indicated they only spoke Dutch at home; 51 per cent spoke Hindustani and Dutch, and only 4 per cent indicated they exclusively spoke Hindustani at home, a trend also reported in other research (cf. Van der Avoird 2001).

Bollywood

The migration of young people to the Netherlands in the 1980s coincided with the rise of new technologies: video, DVD, Walkman, and the Internet. These quickly became ‘home technologies’, enabling people to watch films or listen to music on their own, with relatives, or with friends, at any convenient moment of the day. While the impact of Bollywood on Indian diaspora communities is highly under-researched, recent Dutch studies reveal that it goes much further than just entertainment. Bollywood contributes to the retention of language, identity,

1
2
3 fashion, gender role patterns, and social intercourse (Gowricharn 2004; Verstappen and Rutten
4
5 2007; Mukherjee 2009). Outside the Netherlands, Bollywood similarly impacts other diaspora
6
7 communities (Kaur and Sinha 2005).
8
9

10 Identity is expressed in cultural orientation and can be assessed by looking at the
11
12 respondents' preferences in music and film. Almost half of the youngsters (47 per cent) said
13
14 they listened more to Indian than to Western music, 17 per cent indicated the reverse, and 37 per
15
16 cent said they listened to both. A quarter of the respondents said they watched less than one film
17
18 per month, 60 per cent watched 2-8 films, and 16 per cent watched more than 8 films per month.
19
20 Out of all viewers, 56 per cent said they watched Indian films more often than Western films.
21
22 Sixty-three per cent said they regularly read something on Hindustani subject matter (religion,
23
24 film, or other topics); the others said they did not.
25
26
27
28

29 For some time, it has been popular to enrol children in Indian dance classes – both
30
31 classical and modern – at an early age. By downloading music and films, Hindustani youngsters
32
33 can rehearse dance routines, and their increased prosperity enables them to purchase the
34
35 expensive clothes that go with them. Young girls display their dancing skills and dresses at
36
37 Hindustani parties. The influence of the Indian film culture is wide-ranging: male and female
38
39 roles, taste and aesthetics, ways of expressing emotions (sadness, pleasure, anger), all of these
40
41 and similar aspects of cultural transfer predominantly take place through Bollywood. From their
42
43 living rooms and with the aid of the Internet, the younger generation of Hindustanis actively
44
45 appropriates the Indian film culture. Respondents were asked whether they felt more Hindustani
46
47 because of the Bollywood songs and films: 41% answered “yes”, 31% said “a little” and for
48
49 28% the answer was “no”.
50
51
52
53
54

55 Bollywood's marked socialization affected virtually three-quarters (72 per cent) of the
56
57 respondents, although 86 per cent do not feel more Hindustani than their parents. Considering
58
59 the forces for assimilation, the 'countervailing' impact of Bollywood is tremendous. To the
60

question of what interests them most in Indian film, the five most frequently mentioned answers were the songs (67 per cent), the plots (64 per cent), the actors and actresses (55 per cent), the dance routines (51 per cent), and the costumes (50 per cent).

The fusion of the Bollywood and the Dutch Hindustani culture cannot be reflected more conspicuously than in the regular beauty, singing, and dancing contests, such as the Miss Hindustani contest, Miss Sari Holland contest, Miss Sarnami contest, Miss India Netherlands contest, etc. There are also the countless spiritual meetings (often held in adjacent European countries), the successful annual cultural events such as the film festivals in The Hague and Amsterdam, the numerous Hindustani public festivals (called Milan), and the shows given by travelling Bollywood stars and other cultural celebrities in various cities. It has become common for Hindustanis to travel to England for a weekend to watch a Bollywood star's show. To the question of attending special Hindustani occasions, the respondents answered: weddings (74 per cent), discos/dancing parties (48 per cent), public parties (39 per cent), cultural events (39 per cent), shows (32 per cent), religious meetings (27 per cent), and other (12 per cent).

Diaspora orientation

Even more important, perhaps, than the visits by film stars, musicians, religious scholars, and other celebrities is the flourishing travel business to India or, increasingly, to other places where Hindustanis live, such as Mauritius and South Africa. The question 'Which groups of Hindustanis are you most interested in?' was answered as follows: Surinam (55 per cent), India (31 per cent), the UK (4 per cent), and Other (10 per cent). Over the past few years, 19 per cent of the respondents went to India, 44 per cent to the UK, 9 per cent to Mauritius, 2 per cent to Fiji, and 3 per cent to South Africa. Bear in mind that these are youths, and not all can travel on their own; 71 per cent intend to visit or revisit these places in the future.

In addition to distinguishing between Surinamese and Dutch Hindustanis, the respondents

were also asked which communities they most felt affinity with.

TABLE 2

As was to be expected, Surinam scored high: three quarters of these youngsters feel related to their parents' home country. That is a very high percentage compared to the 10 per cent found in Rumbaut (2002: 90). Even when the category 'a little' is dropped, 'feels related' remained remarkably high. The percentage that felt 'barely' any affinity may indicate that part of the second generation is disconnecting from its parents' homeland. However, the affinity with India is surprising: over half do feel related to India. Considering that almost 50 per cent of these youngsters were younger than 20, this identification with India is remarkable. This orientation towards Hindustani communities outside Surinam and the Netherlands, therefore, signifies a new development.

Eighty per cent of the respondents said they had contacts in Surinam. Over the past five years, 48 per cent had actually visited Surinam. One third visited more than once, 9 per cent visit every year, and 72 per cent regularly send parcels to relatives and friends in Surinam. Thirteen per cent of the respondents said they had contacts in the UK, 21 per cent in India, and 21 per cent elsewhere. To find out if these visits to other countries have a functional goal, such as a show, the survey asked 'do you ever go to shows and festivals in the UK and India?' In asking this question, it was understood that many respondents were still under parental authority, so the next question was 'would you like to do so?'

TABLE 3

Most respondents (84 per cent) said they did not go to such events, but well over half said they would like to do so, and one-fifth would sometimes like to do so. The respondents were asked whether they preferred to go to Surinam, India, or the UK, and to rank their preferences first, second, and third. The results show that the weaker their orientation towards Surinam, the stronger their orientation towards India and the UK. This pattern supports the previous indications that the second generation is ‘departing’ from Surinam and re-establishing links with India and other Indian diaspora communities.

5. Conclusions

This paper explores whether second generation immigrants will be carriers of transnationalism. The answer is conditional on the degree of ethnification of the immigrant community in question. Taking the Hindustanis in the Netherlands as an example, this process of ethnification is described and specified for the second generation. The persistence of the transnationalism of this second generation is of theoretical interest. The broad ethnification of the community, albeit on specific domains, and the high percentages reflecting its participation in transnational Indian communities, enforces the argument that communal ethnification is of paramount importance to the continuation of transnational ties.

Therefore, the thesis that the second generation’s transnationalism will decrease with its integration into the host society is strongly conditional upon the definition of transnationalism. If the main criterion is the actual involvement of individuals, then ties with the country of origin or the parents’ country of origin may indeed loosen. This decrease is likely to be noticeable in the first generation too, though its integration into the host society does not exclude transnational orientations (cf. Snell et al. 2006). If, however, the definition is participation in an ethnified community like the Hindustanis in the Netherlands, and thus indirect participation in

the transnational community, then the proposition of the decline of second-generation transnationalism is untenable.

It follows that another determinant of the second generation's participation in the transnational community is the extent to which the local community has ethnified. This is not only conditional on the size of the community; ethnic strength derives from 'institutional completeness' (Kivisto 2003: 20; cf. Levitt 2002; Alba and Nee 1997). While this cultural infrastructure enables the preservation of recognizable life styles, it does not exclude the emergence of new ethnicities. On the contrary: in many respects - language, attitudes towards violence, gender, education, and work - there is a discernable Westernization. To put it differently, assimilation is partial and so is ethnification (cf. Brubaker 2001).

This development hardly fits the concept of symbolic ethnicity, since there is no talk of assimilation and of a symbolic 'residue' of the original culture. Since the community life is changing as a result of the ethnification process, the impact of the Internet, Bollywood, and diaspora orientations are much more than electronic flows: they have a profound socializing impact on youngsters and on community institutions (Kaur and Sinha 2005). There is no strategic interest behind fostering 'symbolic ethnicity' as ethnification is a genuine part of community life (cf. Macias 2004). Alternatively, the ethnification of the community may be caused by some kind of segmented assimilation, although this idea is hardly tenable since it presupposes some kind of social exclusion. As outlined before, the second generation Hindustanis belong to the most successful ethnic minority in the Netherlands, by Dutch standards. The concept of segmented assimilation does not fit this community.

The second finding is that transnationalism is changing. In most accounts of the alleged decline of second generation transnationalism only two countries are taken into account: the sending and the receiving country; it is systematically taken for granted that nationally bounded societies are the natural unit of analysis (Wimmer and Schiller 2003: 579). This two-nation

perspective easily feeds the conclusion that second-generation transnationalism is declining since the attachments are either on the side of the sending or the receiving country. The case of the Hindustanis deviates in another respect from this ‘model’, as has been argued before (Voight-Graf 2004): the interrelations of ethnic communities have many strands. As a result, there is no zero sum game where a detachment from the sending country means an attachment to the receiving country. The transnational ‘behaviour’ of the second generation turns out to be more complex.

These findings need to be qualified since there are two specific conditions that prevent the generalization of these results for other ethnic groups. First, diaspora Indians are neither looking for a ‘home’ nor do they wish to return (Singh 2003: 4). This is especially true of Indians in the Caribbean for whom India is not a real option as a receiving country, in contrast to Indians in Africa and Asia (cf. Rutten and Patel 2003). Hence, this is a global, multi-polar situation where ethnic communities feel part of an imagined community (Anderson 1991). This situation is different from most transnational communities that comprise just two nations or ethnic groups.

The second condition relates to the effective ties migrants have with dominant cultural centres that function as ‘source cultures’ rather than as ‘home’. For Hindustanis, this is India, and more specifically Bollywood. The presence of a prestigious source culture functions as a stable point of reference, while a successful cultural industry (Bollywood) provides a continuous supply of cultural products. These ties are different from those with Surinam. A powerful ‘source culture’ supplies a tremendous amount of ethnically specific commodities and services, strengthening identity. Since not all ethnic groups can rely on such a source culture or cultural centre, the development of transnational ties will vary for each ethnic group.

On the other hand, the ‘multi-polar’ model characterizes more ethnic communities as social conditions in the European Union favour the dispersion of immigrant communities. Turks and Moroccans, to mention two other major Dutch ethnic groups, have diaspora communities in

neighbouring countries: Belgium, Germany, France, and Spain. The contacts between these European ethnic communities give rise to new forms of transnationalism, similar to that of the Hindustanis. As a result, transnationalism will change and take new forms. This expectation does not include all minorities of 'European origin'. It will also depend on the transnational capacities of the groups (Al-Ali et al. 2001), or, more precisely, the degree of ethnification and the disposition of a source culture: a concept that comes close to that of a 'transcultural capital' that shapes the different relations between the communities (Dahinden 2005: 202).

These changes require new or redefined concepts. Assimilation is a central concept that is puzzling, despite earlier attempts to redefine it and incorporate ethnic elements. New concepts are needed that fit new realities and new ethnicities, including changing patterns of selective acculturation (Falicov 2005). Another issue that requires further research is the impact of ethnification on life choices such as school, employment, marriage, and business. As an extension of this development, the forces that foster shifts in the nature of transnational communities should be explored. These different developments of ethnicity and transnationalism will no doubt affect the patterns of cultural plurality in Western societies.

References

AHMED-GHOSH, HUMA 2003 'Writing the nation on the beauty queen's body; implications for a "Hindu" nation', *Meridians: feminism, race, transnationalism*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 205-227

AL-ALI, NADJE., BLACK, RICHARD and KOSER, KHALID 2001 The Limits to 'transnationalism': Bosnian and Eritrean refugees in Europe as emerging transnational communities; *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, vol. 24, nr. 4, pp. 578-600.

ALBA, RICHARD and VICTOR NEE 1997 Rethinking assimilation theory for a new era of immigration. *International Migration Review*, vol. 31 (4) pp. 826-874.

ANDERSON, BENEDICT 1991 *Imagined communities. Reflections on the origins and spread of Nationalism*. London-New York: Verso.

APPADURAI, ARJUN 1996 *Modernity at Large. Cultural Dimensions of Globalization*.

Minnesota : University of Minesota Press.

AVOIRD, TIM VAN DER 2001, *Determining language vitality. The language use of Hindu communities in the Netherlands and the United Kingdom*. Tilburg University, Tilburg.

BASCH, LINDA, GLICK SCHILLER, NINA, and SZANTON BLANC 1994 *Nations unbound. Transnational projects, postcolonial predicaments and deterritorialized mation-states*. London/New York: Routledge.

BLOEMBERG, LUCIE 1995 *Tussen traditie en verandering. Hindostaanse zelforganisaties in Nederland* [Between tradition and change. Hindustani community organizations in Holland]. Ph.D. University of Amsterdam.

BRUBAKER, ROGERS 2001 The return of assimilation? *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 531–548.

CHOENNI, CHAN and HARMSSEN, CAREL 2007 Geboorteplaats en etnische samenstelling van Surinamers in Nederland; *Bevolkingstrends* [Population Trends]. Eerste kwartaal, Central Bureau of Statistics, Voorburg, pp. 74–78.

DAHINDEN, JANINE 2005 Contesting transnationalism? Lessons from the study of Albanian migration networks from former Yugoslavia. *Global Networks*, 5, 2, pp. 191-208.

FAIST, THOMAS 2000 *The volume and dynamics of international migration and transnational spaces*; Oxford University Press, Oxford.

FALICOV, CELIA J. 2005 Emotional transnationalism and family identities. *Family Process*, Vol. 44, nr. 4, pp. 399-406.

GANS, HERBERT 1979 “Symbolic ethnicity“. The future of ethnic groups and cultures in America. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 2, pp. 1-20.

GANS, HERBERT. 1994 Symbolic ethnicity and symbolic religiosity: towards a comparison of ethnic and religious acculturation. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 17: pp. 577-592.

GANS, HERBERT 2007 Acculturation, assimilation and mobility. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, Vol. 30, (1) pp. 152-164.

GOWRICHARN, RUBEN S. 2004 De duurzaamheid van het transnationalisme. De tweede generatie Hindostanen in Nederland (The sustainability of the transnationalism.). *Migrantenstudies*, nr. 4, pp. 252-268.

GOWRICHARN RUBEN S. 1990 Diaspora; in: Burg, C. van der, T. Damsteeg and K. Autar (eds.) *Hindostanen in Nederland* [Hindustanis in Holland]. Garant, Apeldoorn, pp. 73–82.

GOWRICHARN, RUBEN S. and Choenni, CHAN 2006 Indians in the Netherlands. The Encyclopedia of the Indian Diaspora. Singapore: Editions Didier Millet, pp. 346-349.

HANNERZ, ULF 1996 *Transnational connections. Culture, people, places*. London/New York:

Routledge.

HALLER, WILLIAM and LANDOLT, PATRICIA 2005 Transnational dimensions of identity formation: Adult children of immigrants in Miami. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, vol. 28, (6), pp. 1182-1214.

KAUR, RAMINDER and SINHA, AJAY 2005 *Bollyworld. Popular Indian cinema through a transnational lens*. New Dehli, Oaks, London: Sage publications.

KIVISTO, PETER 2003 Social Spaces, transnational immigrant communities, and the politics of incorporation. *Ethnicities*. Vol. 3 (1), pp. 5-28.

KIVISTO, PETER 2001 Theorizing transnational immigration: A critical review of current efforts; *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, vol. 24, nr. 4, pp. 549-577.

LEE, HELEN 2007 Transforming transnationalism. Second generation Tongans overseas. *Asian and Pacific Migration Journal*. Vol 16, 2007, nr. 2, pp. 157-178.

LEVITT, PEGGY and WATERS, MARY 2002a Introduction; in LEVITT, PEGGY and WATERS, MARY (eds) *The Changing face of home: The transnational lives of the second generation*; Russell Sage, New York, pp. 1-30.

LEVITT, PEGGY and WATERS, MARY (eds) 2002b *The changing face of home: The transnational lives of the second generation*; Russell Sage, New York.

MACIAS, THOMAS 2004 *Imaginandose Mexicano*: The symbolic context of Mexican American ethnicity beyond the second generation. *Qualitative Sociology*, vol. 27 (3), pp. 299-315.

MANI, BAKIRATHI 2006 Beauty queens: gender, ethnicity, and transnational modernities at the miss India USA pageant. *Positions: East Asia Cultures Critique*, vol. 14, nr. 3, pp. 717-748.

MUKHERJEE, DIPIKA 2009 Loving Bollywood and Being Dutch: Multilingual code-switching and Identity issues among Surinamese-Indian women in Amsterdam. In DUBOIS, I, BAUMGARTNER N & FULLER J, (eds). *Multilingual Identities: New Global Perspectives on Immigrant Discourse*. New Jersey: Multilingual Matters. (Forthcoming, May 2009).

MUNGRA, BIM 1990 *Hindoestaanse gezinnen in Nederland* [Hindustani families in the Netherlands]. Ph.D. Leiden University.

PORTES, ALEJANDRO 2001 Introduction: the debates and significance of immigrant transnationalism; *Global Networks*, 1 (3) pp. 181-193.

PORTES, ALEJANDRO, GUARNIZO, LUIS and LANDOLT, PATRICIA 1999 Introduction: Pitfalls and promise of an emerging research field; *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, vol. 22, nr. 2, pp. 217-237.

PORTES, ALEJANDRO and Zhou, MIN 1993 "The new second generation: segmented assimilation and its Variants." *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Sciences*

530: 74-96.

RUMBAUT, RUBEN 2002 Severed or sustained attachments? Language, identity and imagined communities in the post-immigrant generation; in Levitt, P. en M.C. Waters (eds) 2002 *The changing face of home: the transnational lives of the second generation*; Russell Sage, New York, pp. 43-95.

RUTTEN, MARIO and Patel, PRAVIN 2003 Twice migrants and linkages with central Gujerat: Partidars in East Africa and Britain; in: Shah, G., M. Rutten en H. Streefkerk (eds) *Development and deprivation in Gujerat. In honour of Jan Breman*; Sage Publications, CA, USA, pp. 314-328.

SINGH, GURPAL 2003 Introduction; in: PAREKH, BIKHU, SINGH, GURPAL and VERTOVEC, STEVEN (2003) *Culture and economy in the Indian Diaspora*; Routledge, London/New York, pp. 1-12.

SNEL, ERIK, ENGBERSEN, GODFRIED and LEERKES, ARJEN (2006) Transnational involvement and social integration. *Global Networks*, vol 6 (3) pp. 285-308.

THOMPSON, KENNETH 2002 Border crossing and diaspora identities: media use and leisure practices of an ethnic minority; *Qualitative Sociology*, Vol. 25, nr. 3, pp. 409-418.

VAN HEELSUM, ANJA and VOORTHUYSEN, ESKE 2002 *Surinaamse organisaties in Nederland. Een netwerk analyse* [Surinamese organizations in Holland. A network analysis]. Aksant, Amsterdam.

VAN STOKKOM, BAS 1997 *Emotionele democratie. Over morele vooruitgang* [Emotional democracy. About Moral Progress]. Van Gennep, Amsterdam.

VERKUYTEN, MICHEL 1999 *Etnische identiteit. Theoretische en empirische benaderingen* [Ethnic identity. Theoretical and empirical approaches]; Amsterdam: Spinhuis.

VERSTAPPEN, SANDERIEN and RUTTEN, MARIO 2007. Bollywood and the Indian Diaspora: Reception of Indian Cinema among Hindustani Youth in the Netherlands. In: OONK, G. (ed): *Global Indian Diasporas: Exploring Trajectories of Migration and Theory*. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press, pp. 211-233.

VERTOVEC, STEVEN 2001 Transnationalism and identity; *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, vol. 27, nr. 4, p. 573-582.

VERTOVEC, STEVEN 1999 Conceiving and researching transnationalism; *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, vol. 22, nr. 2, pp. 447-462.

VOIGHT-GRAF, CARMEN 2004 Towards a geography of transnational spaces: Indian transnational national communities in Australia. *Global Networks*, (4), 1, pp. 25-49.

WALDINGER, ROGER and FITZGERALD, DAVID 2004 Transnationalism in question. *The American Journal of Sociology*. Vol. 109 (5) pp. 1177-1195.

1
2
3 WARIKOO, NATASHA 2005 Gender and ethnic identity among second-generation Indo-
4 Caribbeans. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, vol. 28, nr. 5, pp. 803-831.

5
6
7 WIMMER, ANDREAS and GLICJK Schiller, NINA 2003 Methodological nationalism, the
8 social sciences, and the study of migration. *International Migration Review*, vol. 37 (3), pp. 576-
9 610.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17 RUBEN GOWRICHARN is professor of social cohesion and transnational studies in the
18 Faculty of Humanities at Tilburg University, the Netherlands.

19 ADDRESS: Faculty of Humanities, Tilburg University, POB 90153, 5000 LE Tilburg, The
20 Netherlands. Email: r.gowricharn@uvt.nl
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Tables

Table 1: Typical Hindustani characteristics (in %)

	Most mentioned	Three most important
Religion/Hinduism	11.7	16.3
Culture/Norms and values	13.3	16.0
Food	15.5	13.2
Family ties	5.4	9.4
Music and dance	5.6	5.0
Community parties	5.8	5.7
Bollywood culture	6.2	5.4
Language	3.0	4.0
N =	3,544	1,965

Table 2: Most affinity with Hindustanis in Surinam, India, and the UK (in %)

	Surinam	India	UK
Yes, very	16	8	2
Yes	33	17	7
A little	28	29	17
Barely	23	46	74
N =	883	878	879

Table 3: ‘Do you go to shows and festivals in the UK or India? Would you like to?’ (in %)

	Do you visit?	Would you like to?
Yes	5	57
Sometimes	10	20
Usually	1	—*
No	84	23
N =	873	726

* this category was not included in the second question