



HAL
open science

Stress impairs GABAergic network function in the hippocampus by activating nongenomic glucocorticoid receptors and affecting the integrity of the parvalbumin-expressing neuronal network

Wen Hu, Mingyue Zhang, Boldizsar Czeh, Gabriele Flügge, Weiqi Zhang

► To cite this version:

Wen Hu, Mingyue Zhang, Boldizsar Czeh, Gabriele Flügge, Weiqi Zhang. Stress impairs GABAergic network function in the hippocampus by activating nongenomic glucocorticoid receptors and affecting the integrity of the parvalbumin-expressing neuronal network. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 2010, <10.1038/npp.2010.31>. <hal-00522559>

HAL Id: hal-00522559

<https://hal.science/hal-00522559v1>

Submitted on 1 Oct 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

Stress impairs GABAergic network function in the hippocampus by activating nongenomic glucocorticoid receptors and affecting the integrity of the parvalbumin-expressing neuronal network

Wen Hu¹, Mingyue Zhang^{2,3,4}, Boldizsár Czéh^{1,5}, Gabriele Flügge^{1,3,*}, Weiqi Zhang^{2,3,4,*}

¹ *Clinical Neurobiology Laboratory, German Primate Center, Leibniz Institute for Primate Research, Göttingen, Germany*

² *Department of Neurophysiology, Center of Physiology and Pathophysiology, University of Göttingen, Germany*

³ *DFG Research Center Molecular Physiology of the Brain (CMPB), University of Göttingen, Germany*

⁴ *Laboratory of Molecular Psychiatry, Department of Psychiatry, Westfälische Wilhelms University, Münster, Germany*

⁵ *Current address: Molecular Neurobiology, Max-Planck-Institute of Psychiatry Munich, Germany*

Number of words in the abstract: 249 words

Number of figures: 10

** Both authors should be regarded as joint last authors.*

To whom correspondence may be addressed:

Prof. Dr. med. Weiqi Zhang: Telephone +49-251-8356610

Fax +49-251-8357128

E-mail: wzhang@uni-muenster.de

Prof. Dr. Gabriele Flügge: Telephone +49-551-3851133

Fax +49-551-3851307

E-mail: gfluegg@gwdg.de

Abstract

Stress facilitates the development of psychiatric disorders in vulnerable individuals. It affects physiological functions of hippocampal excitatory neurons, but little is known about an impact of stress on the GABAergic network. Here we studied effects of stress and a synthetic glucocorticoid on hippocampal GABAergic neurotransmission and network function focusing on two perisomatic interneurons, the parvalbumin (PV) and the cholecystokinin (CCK)-positive neurons. In acute hippocampal slices of rat, application of the potent glucocorticoid receptor agonist dexamethasone (DEX) caused a rapid increase of spontaneous inhibitory postsynaptic currents (sIPSCs) in CA1 pyramidal neurons. This effect was mediated by a nongenomic glucocorticoid receptor that evoked nitric oxide (NO) release from pyramidal neurons. Retrograde NO signaling caused the augmentation of GABA release from the interneurons and increased CCK release which in turn further enhanced the activity of the PV-positive cells. Interestingly, chronic restraint stress also resulted in an increased sIPSCs in CA1 pyramidal neurons that was Ca^{2+} -dependent and an additional DEX application elicited no further effect. Concomitantly, chronic stress reduced the number of PV-immunoreactive cells and impaired rhythmic sIPSCs originating from the PV-positive neurons. In contrast, the CCK-positive neurons remained unaffected. We therefore propose that, in addition to the immediate effect, the sustained activation of nongenomic glucocorticoid receptors during chronic stress injures the PV-neuron network and results in an imbalance in perisomatic inhibition mediated by the PV and CCK interneurons. This stress-induced dysfunctional inhibitory network may in turn impair rhythmic oscillations and thus lead to cognitive deficits that are common in stress-related psychiatric disorders.

Keywords: GABA; Parvalbumin; Cholecystokinin; Nitric oxide; Chronic stress, Neuroplasticity

Introduction

Severe or chronic stress can facilitate the development of psychiatric illnesses in genetically predisposed individuals. Preclinical studies document that chronic stress has a significant impact on neuroplasticity in limbic brain areas and these changes have been suggested to contribute to the pathophysiology of mood disorders (Drevets, *et al* 2008; McEwen, 2007; Pittenger and Duman, 2008). Stress increases levels of circulating corticosteroids whose actions are mediated by mineralocorticoid and glucocorticoid receptors (MRs and GRs) which are abundantly expressed in the brain and influence emotional and cognitive functions (Joëls, *et al* 2007; McEwen, *et al* 1986). Since MRs and GRs are expressed predominantly in principal cells, previous studies concerning corticosteroid effects on neuronal activity in the hippocampus focused mainly on these neurons (Joëls, *et al* 2007; Joëls, 2008; Karst, *et al* 2005), whereas the GABAergic network remained relatively unexplored. However microdialysis studies report on increased extracellular GABA levels in the hippocampus of rats exposed to a psychological stressor (de Groote and Linthorst, 2007). Stress or artificially elevated glucocorticoid levels have been shown to regulate the expression of glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) (Bowers, *et al* 1998; Stone, *et al* 2001) and caused an increase in the magnitude of IPSCs in hippocampus (Maggio and Segal, 2009). Parallel to these findings, accumulating evidences from clinical studies suggest a dysregulation of the GABAergic system in depressed patients (Brambilla, *et al* 2003; Hasler, *et al* 2007; Krystal, *et al* 2002; Sanacora, *et al* 1999).

Diverse subtypes of GABAergic interneurons provide networks of inhibition which sculpt the firing pattern of pyramidal cells and orchestrate network oscillations that represent distinct brain states (Buzsáki and Draguhn, 2004; Somogyi and Klausberger, 2005). A specific subtype of GABAergic neurons that innervate the perisomatic domain of principal neurons plays a pivotal role in generating synchronized network oscillations (Somogyi and Klausberger, 2005; Klausberger, *et al* 2005). Driven by external and internal stimuli these neurons evoke rhythmic perisomatic hyperpolarizations in principal neurons to accurately control the timing of firing and to synchronize the activity of a large number of pyramidal cells (Somogyi and Klausberger, 2005; Klausberger, *et al* 2005; Sohal, *et al* 2009). Precise synchronous activity of oscillating networks is essential for mediating complex cognitive processes such as perception and

memory (Buzsáki and Draguhn, 2004; Somogyi and Klausberger, 2005).

In the present study we focus on the two major perisomatic interneurons: parvalbumin (PV) and cholecystokinin (CCK)-positive neurons. These two interneuron subtypes provide functional dichotomy in the inhibitory network because of their distinct membrane properties, expression patterns of receptors and their presynaptic modulations (Klausberger, *et al* 2005; Freund and Katona, 2003). The PV-positive (PV+) neuron syncytium is regarded as a non-plastic precision clockwork for network oscillations, while the CCK-positive (CCK+) neurons are considered to function as a plastic fine-tuning device that modulates synchronous activities as a function of subcortical inputs (Freund and Katona, 2003). Malfunctioning of the CCK+ inhibitory network has been suggested to contribute to emotional disorders including anxiety (Freund and Katona, 2003), although to the best of our knowledge this concept has not been tested experimentally.

We examined how hippocampal GABAergic transmission was altered by the potent synthetic GR agonist dexamethasone (DEX) as well as by acute and chronic restraint stress using whole cell patch-clamp recordings. In addition, we studied the functional dichotomy of PV+ and CCK+ neurons in the context of chronic stress, specifically the differential effects of chronic stress on PV and CCK interneurons with regard to their ability to generate rhythmic spontaneous IPSCs.

Materials and Methods

Animals and chronic restraint stress. Adult male Sprague Dawley rats ($n = 48$, Harlan Winkelmann, Borchon, Germany) weighing 170–200g at the beginning of the experiment, were group housed (3-4 animals / cage). The experiments were performed in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive of November 24, 1986 (86/EEC) and the US National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, and were approved by the Lower Saxony Federal State Office for Consumer Protection and Food Safety, Germany. Animals were kept under an inverse light cycle (light off from 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM), and all experimentation including daily weighing and handling of controls was performed under dimmed red light. Animals of the acute-stress group were restraint for 30 min in well-ventilated polypropylene tubes and were decapitated immediately thereafter. During restraint, animals were not physically compressed and did not experience pain. Animals of the chronic stress group were restrained daily for six hours without access to food and water (from 8:00 to 14:00 which is during their active period) for a total of 21 days (McLaughlin et al., 2007). Food was also withheld from the controls during the restraint period to ensure that effects on body weight gain were not simply a result of limited food availability. Throughout the entire experiment, body weight was recorded daily prior to the onset of restraint. Increased adrenal weight is an indicator of sustained stress. Therefore, in the experiments where brains were perfused for immunocytochemistry, adrenal were removed immediately after perfusion and weighed. Adrenal weight was expressed as milligrams per gram of body weight on the last experimental day.

Whole-cell recordings. Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were made in acute coronal hippocampal slices (350 μ m thickness) from CA1 pyramidal neurons of the dorsal hippocampus. The bath solution in all experiments consisted of 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 Na₂HPO₄, 2 MgSO₄, 26 NaHCO₃, 1.5 CaCl₂, 1 ascorbic acid, 14 glucose (in mM) (pH 7.4, aerated with 95% O₂ - 5% CO₂) and kept at 30°C. The pipette solution for all experiments contained 140 KCl, 1 CaCl₂, 10 EGTA, 2 MgCl₂, 0.5 Na₂-GTP, 4 Na₂-ATP, 10 HEPES (in mM); pH was adjusted to 7.2 with KOH. Spontaneous GABAergic inhibitory postsynaptic currents (sIPSCs) were recorded at a holding potential of -70mV in the presence of 10 μ M AMPA antagonist 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX), 40 μ M NMDA antagonist

2-amino-5-phosphonovaleric acid (APV) and 1 μ M glycine receptor antagonist strychnine. Miniature IPSCs (mIPSCs) were recorded in the presence of 0.5 μ M tetrodotoxin (TTX). Signals with amplitudes at least twofold above the background noise were analyzed. There were no significant differences in noise levels between control and stress animals. Patches with a serial resistance of >20 M Ω , a membrane resistance of <0.8 G Ω , or leak currents of >150 pA were excluded. The membrane currents were filtered by a four-pole Bessel filter at a corner frequency of 2 kHz, and digitized at a sampling rate of 5 kHz using the DigiData 1322A interface (Axon Instruments/Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Data acquisition was performed using commercially available software (pClamp 10.1; Axon Instruments/Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). MiniAnalysis 6.0.9 (Synaptosoft Inc., Decatur, GA, USA) was used to perform amplitude and frequency analysis of sIPSCs and mIPSCs. To observe the influence of intracellular [Ca²⁺] on the effect of chronic stress, the slices were incubated in the cell permeable Ca²⁺ chelator EGTA-AM (100 μ M in the recording solution) at room temperature. EGTA-AM was washed off after 30 minutes in incubation. For analysis of theta rhythms, power spectrum and autocorrelations were performed in Clampfit 10.1. We calculated a value of “relative theta power” for each cell by summing the spectral power between 4 and 14 Hz, and dividing this by the total spectral power between 1 and 50 Hz during 10 s of sIPSC activity (Karson, *et al* 2008).

Drugs. CCK-8, LY225910 were obtained from Tocris. The dexamethasone-BSA conjugate was obtained from Steraloids Inc. (Newport, RI, USA). EGTA-AM was obtained from Molecular Probe (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). All other chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA).

Perfusion and immunocytochemistry. Control and chronically stressed rats (n = 6 / group) were terminally anesthetized with an overdose of ketamine (50 mg/ml), xylazine (10 mg/ml) and atropine (0.1 mg/ml), and perfused transcardially with ice-cold 0.9% saline, followed by 200 ml of ice-cold fixative containing 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M sodium-phosphate buffer (PBS, pH 7.2) for 15 min. The descending aorta was clamped so that adrenal glands were not perfused. To prevent post-perfusion artifacts in the brains, the heads were post-fixed overnight in fresh fixative at 4°C. On the following day, the brains were gently removed, washed thoroughly in PBS and immersed in 30% sucrose in PBS at 4°C for 24 hr for cryoprotection.

After calibration, brains were frozen, and coronal sections (50 μm) were cut in a cryostat. Samples from the two groups were processed in parallel to avoid any nonspecific effect of the staining procedure. First, sections were washed in PBS and then treated with 1% H_2O_2 in PBS for 30 min, rinsed three times in PBS and preincubated in PBS containing 3% normal goat-serum and 0.5% Triton X-100. Following preincubation, sections were incubated either with a polyclonal rabbit anti-cholecystokinin-8 antibody (AbCam, Cambridge, MA, USA, AB43842, dilution 1:10000), or with monoclonal mouse anti-parvalbumin antibody (Chemicon/Millipore, Temecula, CA, USA; MAB1572, dilution 1:3000) overnight at 4 °C. The next day, sections were rinsed three times in PBS and then incubated for 2 hr at room temperature either with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit (diluted 1:200; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA), or with biotinylated goat anti-mouse (diluted 1:200, Vector Laboratories) secondary antibody. After washing, the sections were incubated with avidin-biotin-horseradish peroxidase complex (Vectastain *Elite* ABC Kit, Vector Laboratories) for 1 h, rinsed again in PBS, developed for 5 min in diaminobenzidine (DAB Peroxidase Substrate Kit, Vector Laboratories), and then thoroughly rinsed in PBS. The sections were mounted on glass slides in a 0.1% gelatin solution and dried overnight, after which they were dehydrated through a series of alcohols, cleared in xylene for 30 min and coverslipped with Eukitt (Kindler, Freiburg, Germany).

Quantification of CCK and PV-immunoreactive neurons. Every sixth section throughout the dorsal hippocampus was examined yielding a mean of 6 sections per animal. Cell numbers are expressed as densities, i.e. the number of counted cells was divided by the volume of the analyzed hippocampal area.

Statistical analysis. Data are presented as mean \pm SEM. Unless noted, data on drug effects were analyzed by paired-sample Student's *t*-test. Data on the time course of the DEX effect were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's Multiple Comparison. Data on stress effect on sIPSCs were analyzed by unpaired *t*-test or ANOVA as indicated in Results. Data on PV+ and CCK+ cell numbers within the different hippocampal subareas of control and chronically stressed rats were analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test.

Results

The glucocorticoid dexamethasone facilitates GABAergic transmission through a rapid non-classical GR mechanism

It is well known that stress affects the function of hippocampal excitatory transmission via glucocorticoid action. Here we hypothesize that GR activation may also affect the inhibitory network. To directly test this, we applied the potent and selective GR agonist DEX on hippocampal slices of rats. After the application of DEX (25nM), we detected a rapid increase in sIPSC frequency (6.0 ± 0.6 Hz before and 9.2 ± 0.7 Hz after DEX, $t_6=8.386$, $P<0.0001$, paired t-test; Fig. 1A,C1) and amplitude (98.9 ± 14.4 pA before and 140.8 ± 25.5 pA after DEX, $t_6=3.084$, $P=0.015$, Fig. 1A,C2). In all experiments, the initial significant facilitating effect of DEX occurred 5 min after exposure to DEX. This effect accelerated for another few minutes and subsided gradually afterwards (Fig. 1B1,B2, one-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Dunnett's Multiple Comparison). In addition, in 6 of the 9 cells recorded, DEX caused burst-like activities which were not detected before DEX application (Fig. 1A, arrow in the lower trace). Such a fast DEX effect has not been described before (Maggio and Segal, 2009). By contrast, miniature IPSCs (mIPSCs) showed no significant changes in response to DEX application (frequency: 2.3 ± 0.3 Hz before and 2.2 ± 0.3 Hz after DEX, $t_6=0.6281$, $P=0.5575$; amplitude: 52.3 ± 2.8 pA before and 54.0 ± 2.3 pA after DEX, $t_6=0.3932$, $P=0.7104$, paired t-test; Fig. 1D1,D2), indicating that in contrast to the previously observed slow effect of DEX (Maggio and Segal, 2009), the rapid effect of DEX which was observed here does not act on the terminals.

This rapid onset of the stimulatory effect of DEX on GABA transmission was quite unexpected. Although it has been reported that the activity of the hippocampal pyramidal neurons can be promoted via a nongenomic corticosterone action (Karst, *et al* 2005), the observed stimulation of sIPSCs could not be due to an increase in the excitatory drive because all the glutamatergic transmission was blocked in the presence of CNQX and APV (antagonist for AMPA and NMDA receptor, respectively) to isolate sIPSCs. Therefore we performed a series of experiments to examine the cellular target of DEX. First, the above described DEX effect remained in the presence of the nuclear MR and GR antagonists spironolactone ($10 \mu\text{M}$) (frequency: 3.9 ± 0.6 Hz before and 7.0 ± 1.1 Hz after DEX, $t_6=4.854$, $P=0.0028$; amplitude: 64.3 ± 4.6 pA before and 89.1 ± 12.1 pA after DEX, $t_6=2.560$, $P=0.043$, paired t-test; Fig. 1E) and mifepristone ($10 \mu\text{M}$;

frequency: 5.5 ± 0.8 Hz before and 8.2 ± 1.4 Hz after DEX, $t_5 = 3.758$, $P = 0.013$; amplitude: 73.6 ± 11.8 pA before and 103.5 ± 21.6 pA after DEX, $t_5 = 2.770$, $P = 0.039$; paired t-test; Fig. 1E). The antagonists by themselves showed no significant effects on the sIPSCs. Second, bath application of the membrane impermeable BSA-DEX conjugate (250nM) retained the stimulatory effect of DEX on GABA release (frequency: 6.4 ± 0.5 Hz before and 10.0 ± 0.5 Hz after BSA-DEX, $t_6 = 16.59$, $P < 0.0001$; amplitude: 78.5 ± 6.2 pA before and 101.0 ± 8.0 pA after BSA-DEX, $t_6 = 4.654$, $P = 0.0035$; paired t-test; Fig. 1F). Finally, intracellular application of the G-protein inhibitor GDP- β -S (0.5mM) via the pipette solution blocked the DEX-induced increase in sIPSC frequency (6.9 ± 0.5 Hz before and 6.3 ± 0.4 Hz after DEX, $t_5 = 1.728$, $P = 0.145$; Fig. 1G). Taken together, our data strongly suggest that the rapid effect of DEX (5 min after the application) was mediated by a nongenomic membrane bound GR which activated a G protein dependent signaling pathway. This fast mechanism differs from genomic GR mediated processes (> 25 min, Maggio and Segal, 2009). Furthermore, since the GDP- β -S application was restricted to the postsynaptic pyramidal neuron, this result suggests that DEX, at least partially, acts on the postsynaptic cell and that retrograde messenger(s) mediate the stimulatory effect on GABA release.

DEX induced facilitation of GABAergic transmission is mediated by retrograde nitric oxide signaling

We then examined which retrograde messenger system may be involved in the stimulatory effect of DEX on GABA release. Earlier studies have demonstrated that in the hippocampus, endocannabinoids mediate the activity dependent suppression of GABAergic inhibition via activation of CB1 receptors that are expressed specifically by CCK+ cells (Freund and Katona, 2003). It is very unlikely that the same retrograde CB1-mediated messenger pathway is responsible for both facilitating and suppressing GABAergic transmission. On the other hand, NO-sensitive guanylyl cyclase has been reported to be present in the axon terminals of both PV+ and CCK+ cells (Szabadits, *et al* 2007). We therefore focused on the retrograde nitric oxide (NO) pathway.

Intracellular application of 7-nitroindazole (7-NI, 100 μ M), a selective inhibitor of neuronal NO synthase (NOS) which did not show any significant effect on hippocampal sIPSCs by itself,

completely blocked the DEX-induced increase of sIPSC amplitude and frequency (amplitude in 7-NI: 63.1 ± 6.6 pA; amplitude in 7-NI plus DEX: 66.2 ± 4.6 pA; *n.s.*; frequency in 7-NI: 6.5 ± 0.3 Hz; frequency in 7-NI plus DEX: 6.2 ± 0.5 Hz; *n.s.*; Fig. 2A). Similarly, a 30min-incubation of the slices with ODQ ($50 \mu\text{M}$ in aCSF), a selective inhibitor of NO-sensitive guanylyl cyclase (NOsGC), completely blocked the effect of DEX on GABA release (sIPSC amplitude in ODQ: 58.1 ± 3.7 pA, amplitude in ODQ plus DEX: 65.7 ± 3.1 pA, *n.s.*; frequency in ODQ: 6.2 ± 0.7 Hz, frequency in ODQ plus DEX: 6.0 ± 0.6 Hz, *n.s.*; Fig. 2B). Though, incubation with ODQ caused a small reduction in the sIPSC amplitude ($t_{14}=2.418$, $P=0.0298$; unpaired t-test) but not in the frequency which is most likely due to weak activation of NOsGC at baseline level. These results demonstrate that inhibiting NO synthesis or silencing NO downstream signaling can completely block the above fast DEX effect. In addition, the NO donor SNAP (S-nitroso-N-acetylpenicillamine) mimicked the facilitating effect of DEX on sIPSCs. Similarly to the effect elicited by DEX, bath application of SNAP ($100 \mu\text{M}$) increased sIPSC amplitude and frequency (baseline amplitude: 82.3 ± 4.4 pA; amplitude after SNAP 116.7 ± 7.4 pA; $P < 0.005$; baseline frequency: 5.8 ± 0.4 Hz; frequency after SNAP 10.4 ± 0.8 Hz; $P < 0.005$; paired t-test; Fig. 2C). Furthermore SNAP ($100 \mu\text{M}$) also mimicked the time course of the fast DEX effect (c.f. Fig. 2C2/C3 to Fig. 1B1/B2). Taken together, these results indicate that the fast DEX-induced facilitating effect on GABA transmission is mediated by nitric oxide retrograde signaling.

DEX induced facilitation of GABAergic transmission is partially mediated by NO-induced release of CCK

There are substantial evidences that the neuropeptide CCK is involved in the stress response (Becker, *et al* 2008; Hebb, *et al* 2005). Therefore we tested, whether the enhanced inhibitory activities in the presence of DEX would induce CCK release from CCK interneurons which would further modulate perisomatic GABA release (Földy, *et al* 2007). We incubated the slices with the selective CCK₂ receptor antagonist LY225910 ($20 \mu\text{M}$ in aCSF) for 30min before recording. The antagonist by itself had no effect on sIPSCs, but it blocked the response to further CCK application (data not shown). Then we applied 25nM DEX and observed an increase in sIPSC amplitude and frequency (amplitude in LY225910: 79.6 ± 9.8 pA, amplitude in LY225910 plus DEX: 89.8 ± 7.0 pA, $t_7=2.504$, $P=0.04$; frequency in LY225910: 6.2 ± 0.9 pA,

frequency in LY225910 plus DEX: 7.3 ± 0.8 pA, $t_7=4.788$, $P=0.002$; paired t-test; Fig. 3A,C1). However, compared to the condition without LY225910 pre-incubation, the extent of the increase was much lower (increase of amplitude: $19.5 \pm 6.0\%$ vs. $40.8 \pm 8.8\%$, unpaired t-test $t_{15}=1.946$, $P=0.07$; increase of frequency: $24.4 \pm 8.3\%$ vs. $60.2 \pm 9.9\%$, unpaired t-test $t_{15}=2.733$, $P=0.015$; Fig. 3C2). It is interesting to note that the duration of fast DEX effect was shortened in the presence of LY225910 (3 min vs. 5 min; Fig. 3B) while the onset time was unaffected (one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test, Fig. 3B). Furthermore, following the incubation with LY225910 the stimulatory effect of the NO donor SNAP on GABAergic transmission was also significantly reduced (increase of amplitude: $23.9 \pm 5.1\%$ vs. $42.0 \pm 4.9\%$, unpaired t-test, $t_{14}=2.550$, $P=0.02$; increase of frequency: $20.8 \pm 3.1\%$ vs. $78.3 \pm 9.6\%$, unpaired t-test, $t_{14}=5.718$, $P<0.0001$; Fig. 3D,E). The fact that the CCK₂ receptor antagonist partially blocked the fast effects of DEX and SNAP suggests that CCK additionally contributes to the DEX action and that NO signaling induces endogenous CCK release which in turn further facilitates GABAergic transmission.

Acute stress enhances hippocampal GABAergic transmission

The above data demonstrate that acute application of DEX caused a rapid facilitation of GABAergic transmission in the hippocampal CA1 area through a nongenomic GR mechanism. Since not only glucocorticoids mediate the stress response, it is important to ask whether exposure to real life stress also elicits the enhancement of inhibitory transmission. To answer this, we performed a series of experiments with rats exposed to short-term (acute) restraint stress.

Immediately after exposing animals to 30 min restraint stress, we found an increase in sIPSC frequency in the hippocampal slices of the stressed rats compared to the controls (control: 7.3 ± 0.3 Hz; acute stress: 11.8 ± 0.7 Hz; $t_{15}=5.424$ $P<0.0001$; unpaired t-test; Fig. 4A,B1), whereas the amplitude of the sIPSCs remained unaffected (control: 90.01 ± 9.3 pA; acute stress: 98.41 ± 11.2 pA; $t_{15}=0.5772$ $P=0.5723$; Fig. 4A,B2). In addition, in 7 out of the 10 cells recorded from the acutely stressed animals, burst-like activities were frequently observed, whereas in the control group, this phenomenon was sparsely detected (2 of the 7 cells, data not shown). This data indicates that acute stress exposure results in an enhancement of

hippocampal GABAergic transmission that is similar though not identical to the effect elicited by DEX (see Fig. 1 and Maggio and Segal, 2009).

Chronic stress results in a Ca^{2+} -dependent elevation of GABAergic transmission

We then asked whether the elevation in hippocampal sIPSCs sustains following long-term stress during which the system is exposed to prolonged high level of stress hormones including corticosterone. To examine this, we used rats exposed to three weeks of restraint stress. To assess the physiological effects of chronic restraint stress, body weights were recorded daily throughout the experiment and adrenal weights were determined at the end of the experiment. Stress significantly reduced body weight gain, and two-way ANOVA (stress \times time) revealed a significant main effect of stress ($F_{1,27} = 606.6$, $P < 0.001$; Fig 5A). Furthermore, the chronic stress increased relative adrenal weights (two-tailed unpaired t -test: $t_{10} = 2.579$, $P < 0.05$; Fig 5B), which is in line with earlier findings that increased adrenal weights are reliable indicators of sustained HPA (hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal)-axis hyperactivity (Magariños and McEwen, 1995; McLaughlin, *et al* 2007).

We next investigated whether long-term stress affected the occurrence of sIPSCs in CA1 pyramidal neurons. Exposure to chronic restraint stress resulted in a significant increase in the frequency of hippocampal sIPSC (control: 5.9 ± 0.3 Hz; stress: 10.4 ± 0.5 Hz; $t_{48} = 9.279$; $P < 0.0001$; Fig. 6A,B), without significant changes in the amplitude the sIPSCs (control: 96.1 ± 6.6 pA; stress: 97.2 ± 8.1 pA; n.s.; Fig. 6A,C). Burst-like activities were also observed in 10 of 16 cells recorded from this group.

Furthermore, the stress-induced enhancement of the sIPSC frequency could be normalized by the membrane permeable Ca^{2+} chelator EGTA-AM which reduces the concentration of intracellular free Ca^{2+} . One-way ANOVA revealed a significant difference in the sIPSC frequency between the groups ($F_{(3,60)} = 35.19$; $P < 0.0001$). Tukey's *post hoc* test showed a significant difference between the control and the acute stress groups ($q = 13.05$; $P < 0.001$). Bath application of EGTA-AM to the hippocampal slices of chronically-stressed animals normalized the sIPSC frequency to control level (100 μ M; stress+EGTA-AM: 6.4 ± 0.4 Hz; $q = 7.884$, $P < 0.001$ vs stress; $q = 1.208$, $P > 0.05$ vs control; Fig. 6A,B,C). While in the control slices, EGTA-AM caused no significant changes in sIPSC (Fig. 6A,B,C). This indicates that

chronic stress induced an enhancement of GABAergic transmission which is concomitant with an increased intracellular free Ca^{2+} in hippocampal interneurons.

Importantly, bath application of DEX (25nM) to the slices from the chronically stressed rats failed to elicit any significant change in sIPSCs of the CA1 pyramidal neurons (frequency: 10.2 ± 0.9 Hz before and 10.3 ± 1.0 Hz after DEX, paired t-test, *n.s.*; amplitude: 87.2 ± 6.4 pA before and 85.3 ± 5.1 pA after DEX, paired t-test, *n.s.*, Fig. 7). The origin of the loss of the DEX effect after chronic stress is yet to be determined (see Discussion).

Chronic stress reduces in the number of the PV-immunoreactive neurons while the number of CCK-immunoreactive neurons remains unchanged

Considering i) the theory of functional dichotomy of PV+ and CCK+ neurons proposed by Freund (Freund and Katona, 2003) and ii) our present results which indicate that DEX facilitates the release of endogenous CCK (Fig. 3) which specifically stimulates PV+ neurons, we asked whether these two subtypes of perisomatic interneurons are differentially affected by chronic stress. We first examined effects of chronic restraint stress on the structural integrity of PV+ and CCK+ neurons. The number of these neurons in the dorsal hippocampus of control and chronically stressed rats were quantified. We found that chronic stress decreased the number of PV-immunoreactive neurons in all hippocampal subregions: 31% reduction in dentate gyrus, ($P < 0.01$, Fig. 8C), 23% reduction in CA2-3 ($P < 0.01$, Fig. 8C), and 36% reduction in CA1 ($P < 0.01$, Fig. 8A1,A2,C). In contrast, the number of CCK-immunoreactive neurons remained unaltered (Fig. 8B1,B2,D).

Chronic stress impairs CCK-induced rhythmic burst activity originating from the PV interneurons

We then ask whether the above differential effects of chronic stress on the number of PV and CCK-immunoreactive neurons also affect the function of PV+ and CCK+ neurons. It is reported that an elaborately regulated Ca^{2+} signaling is essential for the temporal precision of the output from interneurons (Hefft and Jonas, 2005). Since our data indicated that chronic stress induced an excessive intracellular free Ca^{2+} in the hippocampal interneurons (Fig. 5), we decided to test whether chronic stress affects the ability of the perisomatic interneurons to

generate rhythmic firing which is of great importance in controlling the timing of pyramidal neuron action potentials. It is known that in the hippocampus, both CCK analogs and the muscarinic acetylcholine receptor agonist carbachol can provoke rhythmic sIPSCs *in vitro*. Carbachol-induced rhythmic IPSCs are inhibited by endocannabinoids, by N-type calcium channel blockers and by activation of GABA_B receptors (Karson, *et al* 2008). In contrast, CCK-triggered IPSCs are inhibited by P/Q-type calcium channel blockers, but are insensitive to endocannabinoids (Karson, *et al* 2008). Given the distinct cellular properties of CCK+ and PV+ neurons, carbachol presumably triggers rhythmic sIPSCs originating from CCK+ cells whereas CCK stimulates sIPSCs from PV+ cells (Karson, *et al* 2008). In the present study, bath application of carbachol (5 μ M) induced a rhythmic pattern of sIPSCs in hippocampal slices of control rats (Fig. 9A1,A2). Autocorrelation analysis of 10-sec stretches of sIPSCs (selected from shortly after the onset of the enhancement) in all 8 cells showed regular-repeating peaks. After carbachol application, power spectral analysis of the same stretches from each cell revealed a significant enhancement in the total power and more importantly, a sharp peak power within the theta frequency range, 4-14Hz (relative theta power was 46.7 \pm 1.1% before carbachol and 57.7 \pm 1.1% after carbachol; $t_7=8.326$, $P<0.0001$; paired t-test; Fig. 9A2,E). Similarly, bath application of the CCK analog CCK8-S (1 μ M) induced rhythmic sIPSCs which were manifested by regular-repeating peaks in the autocorrelation analysis, and a significant increase of power specifically in the theta frequency range in all 8 tested cells (relative theta power was 47.1 \pm 1.0% before and 60.2 \pm 1.9% after CCK application; $t_7=6.374$, $P<0.0001$; paired t-test; Fig. 9C1,C2,E). Consistent with previous studies, the rhythmicity triggered by the CCK analog was less variable and more persistent compared to that induced by carbachol.

In hippocampal slices from the chronically stressed rats the response to carbachol was similar to that in controls (relative theta power before carbachol: 46.2 \pm 1.5%, after carbachol: 59.4 \pm 1.9%; $t_7=12.28$, $P<0.0001$; Fig. 9B1,B2,E). Two-way ANOVA of repeated measures on data from the control and the chronic stress group also revealed no significant effect of stress ($F=0.1567$; $P=0.6991$). However, CCK8-S failed to induce rhythmicity in all 10 tested cells. In contrast to control, the CCK agonist induced an arrhythmic pattern in the slices from the stressed rats as indicated by flat autocorrelation curves. Similarly, CCK8-S did not change

relative theta power in stressed rats (before CCK $49.4 \pm 1.2\%$; after CCK $48.7 \pm 1.6\%$; $t_9 = 0.730$, $P = 0.48$; Fig. 9D1,D2,E) despite a massive increase in the total power. This demonstrates that in addition to the enhancement of GABAergic transmission, the temporal precision in firing of the PV+ cells is impaired after chronic stress, whereas the same parameter remains unaffected in CCK+ cells.

Discussion

The present study provides four novel findings: 1) acute application of a glucocorticoid receptor (GR) agonist rapidly elicits an increase in sIPSCs in the hippocampus, notably via a membrane bound GR and by retrograde nitric oxide (NO) signaling; 2) exposing animals to a brief acute stress causes a similar enhancement of hippocampal sIPSCs; 3) chronic stress also results in elevated GABAergic transmission in the hippocampus which is Ca^{2+} -dependent, and DEX application elicits no further increase in sIPSCs; 4) chronic stress impairs the generation of rhythmic spontaneous IPSCs originating specifically from PV+ neurons. Given the pivotal role of perisomatic inhibition in synchronizing activities of pyramidal neurons, the chronic stress-induced deficit of the PV interneurons to generate rhythmic firing may result in abnormal network oscillations and may thus contribute to cognitive impairments that are often observed in patients with stress-related psychiatric disorders. An association between the functional deficit of PV interneurons and the altered gamma oscillation has been suggested as an underlying mechanism in working memory deficits in schizophrenic patients (Lewis, *et al* 2005; Lodge, *et al* 2009).

Glucocorticoids facilitate GABAergic transmission by activating nongenomic glucocorticoid receptors

Stress activates the HPA axis to increase release of corticosteroids from the adrenal glands. The cellular effects of corticosteroids are mediated chiefly via slow genomic mechanisms (De Kloet, *et al* 1998; Maggio and Segal, 2009). However, recently the existence of a rapid, nongenomic pathway gained substantial credit (Di, *et al* 2005; 2009; Haller, *et al* 2008; Karst, *et al* 2005; Campolongo, *et al* 2009). In the hypothalamus, corticosterone elicits rapid opposing effects on glutamate and GABA release of magnocellular neurons through

retrograde endocannabinoid and nitric oxide signaling via divergent nongenomic pathways (Di, *et al* 2005; 2009). In the basolateral nucleus of the amygdala, corticosterone was suggested to activate a G_s -associated membrane receptor and to induce endocannabinoid release which eventually facilitates the consolidation of aversive memories (Campolongo, *et al* 2009). In the hippocampus, corticosterone can boost glutamate release and promote neuronal excitability via a nongenomic MR pathway (Karst, *et al* 2005). To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to provide functional evidence for the presence of membrane bound GRs in the hippocampus. We show here that acute application of DEX elicits a surprisingly rapid facilitation of GABAergic transmission in the hippocampus of the control animals. The fast onset of the DEX effect demonstrated in the present study is substantially different from the time course of DEX effect described in a recent paper (Maggio and Segal, 2009). There, the authors reported that DEX caused an increase in the amplitude but not in the frequency of sIPSCs in the dorsal hippocampus of the rat. More importantly, Maggio and Segal described a slow-onset and long-lasting response, detected 30-45 min after DEX application. They suggested that this slow effect was caused by activation of the genomic GR (Maggio and Segal, 2009). In the present paper the fast DEX effect on sIPSCs which acts through the nongenomic mechanism occurs within a narrow time window (about 5 to 10 min after DEX application, see Fig. 1). There are at least three possibilities that can explain the discrepancy between the two studies. i) In the study by Maggio and Segal (2009), the perfusion rate during the recordings was quite slow, which means that the equilibrium could be reached only after 6 min, whereas in our case the perfusion rate was much faster, thus the equilibrium could be reached already within 1 min. The slow perfusion rate may confound the fast onset of DEX effect. ii) The numerical values of sIPSC frequency and amplitude were calculated as the total number of events in 7 min of recording epochs (Maggio and Segal, 2009) which exceeds the narrow time window of the fast DEX effect demonstrated in Fig. 1. iii) In the study by Maggio and Segal (2009) the sIPSCs were recorded using a CsCl-containing pipette solution. This may explain why the recorded sIPSCs were small in amplitude and sparse in frequency. Therefore the fast-onset enhancement of sIPSCs in response to DEX may have been concealed.

We demonstrate here that the DEX effect is mediated by a membrane bound receptor since

the membrane impermeable BSA-DEX retained the effect. In addition, activation of the nongenomic GRs induces downstream retrograde NO signaling which eventually augments GABAergic transmission from presynaptic interneurons. The involvement of NO in modulating GABAergic transmission is further supported by the studies demonstrating that hippocampal GABAergic synapses possess the molecular machinery for retrograde NO signaling (Szabadits, *et al* 2007). Furthermore, NO signaling has been reported to facilitate action potentials in the peptidergic neurons of the posterior pituitary (Klyachko, *et al* 2001). It is tempting to speculate that NO signaling induced by nongenomic GR action in the hippocampus also modulates opening of ion channels involved in certain phase(s) of an action potential; therefore mIPSCs were not affected by DEX in our experiment. Taken together, our results suggest that activation of nongenomic GRs, by evoking retrograde NO signaling, facilitates the firing activity of the hippocampal interneurons. Given the association between GABAergic inhibition and network oscillation, the crosstalk between glucocorticoids and NO signaling may play a role in boosting oscillations to enhance cortical circuit performance in stressful situations.

Furthermore, we observed that the DEX-induced facilitation of GABA release was partially blocked by the CCK₂ receptor antagonist LY225910. Moreover, the facilitating effect of the NO donor SNAP on sIPSCs was also significantly reduced in the presence of LY225910. The most likely interpretation for this is that DEX induces retrograde NO signaling which activates the NO sensitive guanylyl cyclase and thus leads to cGMP production. On the one hand, cGMP increases GABA transmission from both PV+ and CCK+ cells, and on the other hand it induces CCK release from CCK+ cells. However, it remains to be elucidated how cGMP is associated with CCK release. Since activation of CCK₂ receptors massively increases the activity of PV+ cells (Földy, *et al* 2007), the endogenous CCK should also contribute to the facilitating effect of DEX on GABA release (Fig. 3B). This interpretation is in line with recent data demonstrating that stress increases cortical CCK release (Becker, *et al* 2008).

Stress increases GABAergic transmission in the hippocampus

Here we show for the first time that exposing animals to acute stress modulates the GABAergic input to CA1 pyramidal neurons. The effect of acute stress on the occurrence of

sIPSCs was partially mimicked by DEX application to control slices. Both acute stress and DEX increased the frequency of sIPSCs to a similar extent, whereas the amplitude of sIPSC was affected only by DEX. In addition, in our *ex vivo* experimental condition, the DEX-induced facilitation of sIPSCs appeared to be relatively brief and started to dwindle already ~10 min after the initial exposure to the agonist, whereas the animals in the acute stress group were subjected to restraint for 30 min. The similarity and diversity between the effect of DEX and acute stress are not surprising. On one hand, corticosterone is the main stress hormone in rodents; on the other hand, a stress response involves release of various other “stress mediators” that act in concert along with corticosterone. In addition, together with the data by Maggio and Segal (2009), it is possible that an acute stress response collectively involves fast non-genomic GR action as elucidated in the present study, as well as genomic GR actions which emerge after approximately 30 min of stress exposure.

Furthermore, we provide the first electrophysiological evidence demonstrating that the altered hippocampal GABAergic transmission sustains following a prolonged period of stress. Three weeks of daily restraint stress induced an elevation in Ca^{2+} -dependent sIPSC frequency, and the membrane permeable Ca^{2+} chelator EGTA-AM was able to compensate this stress effect. This is in line with previous findings that chronic stress and glucocorticoid treatments regulate hippocampal GAD expression which presumably leads to enhanced GABA synthesis and hippocampal GABA receptor expression (Bowers, *et al* 1998; Stone, *et al* 2001). One may argue that the observed increased interneuron activity in the stressed animals may be due to an increased excitatory drive to interneurons rather than an effect on interneurons themselves since enhanced excitatory activity evoked by chronic stress has long been reported (Joëls, *et al* 2007; Joëls, 2008). However, this should not take credit for the observations under our experimental condition where glutamatergic transmission was blocked by CNQX and APV in the bath to isolate inhibitory postsynaptic currents. In addition, the increased sIPSC frequency in chronically stressed animals may indicate an increased discharge of interneurons or an enhanced release probability at their terminals.

Importantly, the same concentration of DEX that elicited rapid facilitation of sIPSCs in the control hippocampal slices failed to induce any further increase in sIPSCs in the slices from the chronically stressed animals. This result can be explained by two possibilities. (i) The rapid

nongenomic DEX-NO signaling pathway is continually activated during the entire period of chronic stress, which may contribute to the Ca^{2+} dependent enhancement of sIPSC frequency observed in the hippocampus of the stressed animals. It is likely that the ligand binding domains of all the membrane bound GRs are saturated due to a sustained increase of GC levels and therefore bath application of DEX failed to induce any further effect. Or alternatively (ii), the DEX-NO signaling is destructed or desensitized after a certain period of stress exposure. However, during the time when this pathway is still active, sustained hyperactivity and enhanced Ca^{2+} influx into the interneurons might induce activity-dependent gene transcription through mechanisms such as epigenetics and progressively result in adaptive alterations in expression levels or properties of proteins that are associated with GABA metabolism or transmission (e.g. GAD) and/or ion channels that regulate the firing activity of the interneurons (e.g. voltage-gated calcium channel). These secondary changes may underlie the observed chronic stress-induced elevation in hippocampal GABAergic transmission.

Chronic stress results in a functional deficit of the PV+ neuronal network while CCK+ neurons remain largely unchanged

According to the theory of the functional dichotomy of PV+ and CCK+ neurons proposed by Freund (Freund and Katona, 2003) one may assume that the functional integrity of CCK+ neurons should be more affected in the chronically stressed animals. However, as discussed above endogenous CCK is released in response to GC exposure. Since CCK specifically stimulates PV+ cells (Földy, *et al* 2007) it appears that during stress, stimulatory effects converge on the PV+ cells in that they are affected by both NO and CCK. Being in this hub site, PV+ cells appear to be in a more vulnerable position compared to CCK+ cells. In support of this hypothesis, an earlier morphometric study suggested that PV+ neurons are the one vulnerable to stress (Czéh, *et al* 2005). In consistent, our present results show that after three weeks of restraint stress the number of PV-immunoreactive cells was significantly reduced in all hippocampal subfields. Furthermore the PV interneuron network of the stressed animals exhibited a functional deficit in that it failed to generate rhythmic sIPSCs in response to the CCK analog.

This functional deficit of PV interneuron network may reflect a dysfunction of CCK₂ receptors. At this point we can not rule out this possibility. However, bath application of CCK induced a massive increase of sIPSCs in both the control and chronic stress group. It was only the rhythmicity of the CCK-induced sIPSCs that was impaired by chronic stress. On the other hand, application of EGTA-AM could normalize chronic stress-induced elevation in the hippocampal sIPSC frequency, suggesting that the stress-stimulated GABA transmission comes along with excessive intracellular free Ca²⁺. As reported earlier, an elaborately regulated Ca²⁺ signaling contributes to the synchronous release of GABA quanta from hippocampal PV+ neurons (Caillard, *et al* 2000; Hefft and Jonas, 2005). Therefore, we hypothesize that during chronic stress, the prolonged elevation of corticosterone results in sustained high activity of PV+ cells which in turn leads to increased Ca²⁺ influx that is beyond the buffering capacity of the calcium binding protein PV expressed in those neurons. As a consequence, the high presynaptic Ca²⁺ level boosts GABA release from the PV+ cells and results in inaccurately timed response patterns of these neurons.

Conclusions

The summary of our findings and a theoretical explanation are presented in Fig. 10. Our data demonstrate that short-term exposure to DEX increases activity of hippocampal interneurons through retrograde signaling of NO and CCK (downstream from NO) by activating membrane bound GRs in the pyramidal neurons. We suggest that the outlined nongenomic action of DEX provides a potential pathogenic mechanism which underlies the chronic stress-induced effects reported in the present study, although the cellular mechanisms of acute glucocorticoid action and the chronic stress effects are likely to differ. We hypothesize that the continual activation of nongenomic GRs contributes to the development of the chronic stress-induced Ca²⁺ dependent elevation in GABAergic transmission. Furthermore, since hippocampal PV interneurons are affected by both NO and CCK their excessive excitation may eventually develop into a cellular and functional deficit. Considering the vital role of PV+ cells in the regulation of network oscillations (Somogyi and Klausberger, 2005; Sohal, *et al* 2009), the stress-induced deficits of these cells may underlie the altered oscillation patterns that are

implicated in cognitive impairments which are common in patients with stress-related psychiatric illnesses.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that, except for income received from my primary employer, no financial support or compensation has been received from any individual or corporate entity over the past three years for research or professional service and there are no personal financial holdings that could be perceived as constituting a potential conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank C. Heckmann for excellent technical assistance. This work was supported by the DFG-Research Center for Molecular Physiology of the Brain (CMPB, G.F. and W.Z.). W.H. received a Georg-Christoph-Lichtenberg stipend from the Ministry of Lower Saxony, Germany. None of the authors have conflict of interest to declare.

References

- Becker C, et al. (2008) Repeated social defeat-induced depression-like behavioral and biological alterations in rats: involvement of cholecystokinin. *Mol Psychiatry* 13:1079-92.
- Bowers G, Cullinan WE, Herman JP (1998) Region-specific regulation of glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) mRNA expression in central stress circuits. *J Neurosci* 18:5938-47.
- Brambilla P, Perez J, Barale F, Schettini G, Soares JC (2003) GABAergic dysfunction in mood disorders. *Mol Psychiatry* 8:721-37, 715.
- Buzsáki G, Draguhn A (2004) Neuronal oscillations in cortical networks. *Science* 304:1926-9.
- Caillard O, et al. (2000) Role of the calcium-binding protein parvalbumin in short-term synaptic plasticity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 97:13372-7.
- Campolongo P, et al. (2009) Endocannabinoids in the rat basolateral amygdala enhance memory consolidation: Involvement of the glucocorticoid system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106:4888-93.
- Czéh B, et al. (2005) Chronic stress decreases the number of parvalbumin-immunoreactive interneurons in the hippocampus: prevention by treatment with a substance P receptor (NK1) antagonist. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 30:67-79.
- de Groote L, Linthorst AC (2007) Exposure to novelty and forced swimming evoke stressor-dependent changes in extracellular GABA in the rat hippocampus. *Neuroscience* 148:794-805.
- De Kloet ER, Vreugdenhil E, Oitzl MS, Joëls M (1998) Brain corticosteroid receptor balance in health and disease. *Endocr Rev* 19:269-301.
- Di S, et al. (2005) Rapid glucocorticoid-mediated endocannabinoid release and opposing regulation of glutamate and gamma-aminobutyric acid inputs to hypothalamic magnocellular neurons. *Endocrinology* 146:4292-301.
- Di S, Maxson MM, Franco A, Tasker JG (2009) Glucocorticoids regulate glutamate and GABA synapse-specific retrograde transmission via divergent nongenomic signaling pathways. *J Neurosci* 29:393-401.
- Drevets WC, Price JL, Furey ML (2008) Brain structural and functional abnormalities in mood disorders: implications for neurocircuitry models of depression. *Brain Struct Funct* 213:93-118.
- Földy C, et al. (2007) Cell type-specific gating of perisomatic inhibition by cholecystokinin. *Nat Neurosci* 10:1128-30
- Freund TF, Katona I (2003) Perisomatic Inhibition. *Neuron*. 56:33-42.
- Haller J, Mikics E, Makara GB (2008) The effects of nongenomic glucocorticoid mechanisms on bodily functions and the central neural system. A critical evaluation of findings. *Front Neuroendocrinol* 29:273-91.
- Hasler G, van der Veen JW, Tuminis T, Meyers N, Shen J, Drevets WC (2007) Reduced prefrontal glutamate/glutamine and gamma-aminobutyric acid levels in major depression determined using proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 64:193-200.
- Hebb AL, et al (2005) Cholecystokinin and endogenous opioid peptides: interactive influence on pain, cognition, and emotion. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 29:1225-38.
- Hefft S, Jonas P (2005) Asynchronous GABA release generates long-lasting inhibition at a hippocampal interneuron-principal neuron synapse. *Nat Neurosci* 8:1319-28.
- Joëls M, Karst H, Krugers HJ, Lucassen PJ (2007) Chronic stress: implications for neuronal morphology, function and neurogenesis. *Front Neuroendocrinol* 28:72-96.
- Joëls M (2008) Functional actions of corticosteroids in the hippocampus. *Eur J Pharmacol* 583:312-21. Review.
- Karst H, Joëls M (2005) Corticosterone slowly enhances miniature excitatory postsynaptic current amplitude in mice CA1 hippocampal cells. *J Neurophysiol*. 94:3479-86.
- Karst H, Berger S, Turiault M, Tronche F, Schütz G, Joëls M (2005) Mineralocorticoid receptors are indispensable for nongenomic modulation of hippocampal glutamate transmission by corticosterone. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102:19204-7.

- Karson MA, Whittington KC, Alger BE (2008) Cholecystokinin inhibits endocannabinoid-sensitive hippocampal IPSPs and stimulates others. *Neuropharmacology* 54:117-128.
- Klausberger T, et al. (2005) Complementary roles of cholecystokinin- and parvalbumin-expressing GABAergic neurons in hippocampal network oscillations. *J Neurosci* 25:9782-93.
- Klyachko VA, Ahern GP, Jackson MB (2001) cGMP-mediated facilitation in nerve terminals by enhancement of the spike afterhyperpolarization. *Neuron* 31: 1015-25.
- Krystal JH, Sanacora G, Blumberg H, Anand A, Charney DS, Marek G, et al. (2002) Glutamate and GABA systems as targets for novel antidepressant and mood-stabilizing treatments. *Mol Psychiatry* 7:S71-80.
- Lewis DA, Hashimoto T, Volk DW (2005) Cortical inhibitory neurons and schizophrenia. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 6:312-24.
- Lodge DJ, Behrens MM, Grace AA (2009) A loss of parvalbumin-containing interneurons is associated with diminished oscillatory activity in an animal model of schizophrenia. *J Neurosci* 29:2344-54.
- Magariños AM, McEwen BS (1995) Stress-induced atrophy of apical dendrites of hippocampal CA3c neurons: involvement of glucocorticoid secretion and excitatory amino acid receptors. *Neuroscience* 69: 89-98.
- Maggio N, Segal M (2009) Differential corticosteroid modulation of inhibitory synaptic currents in the dorsal and ventral hippocampus. *J Neurosci* 29:2857-66.
- McEwen BS, De Kloet ER, Rostene W (1986) Adrenal steroid receptors and actions in the nervous system. *Physiol Rev* 66: 1121-88.
- McEwen BS (2007) Physiology and neurobiology of stress and adaptation: central role of the brain. *Physiol Rev* 87:873-904.
- McLaughlin KJ, Gomez JL, Baran SE, Conrad CD (2007) The effects of chronic stress on hippocampal morphology and function: an evaluation of chronic restraint paradigms. *Brain Res* 1161:56-64.
- Pittenger C, Duman RS (2008) Stress, depression, and neuroplasticity: a convergence of mechanisms. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 33:88-109.
- Sanacora G, Mason GF, Rothman DL, Behar KL, Hyder F, Petroff OA, et al (1999). Reduced cortical gamma-aminobutyric acid levels in depressed patients determined by proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 56:1043-7.
- Sohal VS, Zhang F, Yizhar O, Deisseroth K (2009) Parvalbumin neurons and gamma rhythms enhance cortical circuit performance. *Nature* 459:698-702.
- Somogyi P, Klausberger T (2005) Defined types of cortical interneurone structure space and spike timing in the hippocampus. *J Physiol* 562:9-26.
- Stone DJ, J. P. Walsh, R. Sebro, R. Stevens, H. Pantazopoulos, F. M Benes (2001) Effects of pre- and postnatal corticosterone exposure on the rat hippocampal GABA system. *Hippocampus* 11, 492-507.
- Szabadits E, et al. (2007) Hippocampal GABAergic synapses possess the molecular machinery for retrograde nitric oxide signaling. *J Neurosci* 27:8101-11.

Figure legends

Figure 1

Glucocorticoid receptor stimulation facilitates GABAergic transmission through a nongenomic mechanism. **A:** Representative recordings of sIPSCs in a CA1 pyramidal cell before and ~7min after the application of the GR agonist DEX which rapidly increases both frequency and amplitude of sIPSCs. **B:** Time course of DEX effect on sIPSC frequency (*B1*) and amplitude (*B2*) (1-min bins; graphs show relative changes). **C:** Numerical data of the means and cumulative plot of frequency (*C1*) and amplitude (*C2*) of sIPSCs before (white bars) and 5-9 min after DEX (gray bars). **D:** Numerical data of the means and cumulative plot of frequency (*C1*) and amplitude (*C2*) of mIPSCs before (white bars) and 5-9min after DEX (gray bars). **E-G:** The stimulatory effect of DEX on sIPSCs is mediated by a nongenomic GR. **E1,E2:** The DEX effect was resistant to the wash-in of spironolactone and mifepristone which are antagonists at nuclear MRs/GRs. **F1,F2:** Membrane impermeable BSA-DEX could retain the DEX effect. **G1,G2:** Intracellular application of GDP- β -S, which irreversibly inactivates G proteins, blocked the DEX effect. Data are means \pm SEM. Numbers indicate the number of neurons tested for each experimental condition, same cells in all conditions. Six normal adult male rats were used; * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, *** $P < 0.001$.

Figure 2

The DEX-induced facilitation of GABA transmission is mediated by the nitric oxide retrograde pathway. **A:** The DEX effect is abolished in the presence of a NO synthase inhibitor 7-NI. (Left) representative recordings of sIPSCs from a CA1 pyramidal cell before and ~7min after DEX application, 7-NI was applied intracellularly via the pipette solution. (Right) Numerical data of mean sIPSCs before and after DEX. **B:** The DEX effect is abolished in the presence of an inhibitor of NO-sensitive guanylyl cyclase, ODQ. (Left) representative recordings of sIPSCs from a CA1 pyramidal cell before and after DEX application; slices were incubated in ODQ for ~30min. (Right) Numerical data of mean sIPSCs before and after DEX. **C:** Bath application of the NO donor SNAP mimicked the DEX effect. **C1 left:** Representative sIPSC recordings from a CA1 pyramidal cell before and ~6min after SNAP. **C1 right:** Numerical data of mean sIPSCs before and 5-9 min after SNAP. **C2,C3:** Time course of the SNAP effect

on sIPSCs (1-min bins; Graphs show relative changes). Data are mean±SEM. Numbers within the bar graphs indicate the number of neurons tested for each experimental condition, same cells in all conditions; six normal adult male rats were used; *** $P<0.001$.

Figure 3

The DEX-induced increase in GABAergic transmission is partially mediated by endogenous CCK release downstream from the nitric oxide signaling. **A:** Representative sIPSCs from a CA1 pyramidal cell after incubation with the CCK₂ receptor antagonist LY225910 for 30min and then ~7min after DEX application. **B:** Time course of the DEX effect following 30min-incubation with LY225910 (1-min bins; Graphs show relative changes), showing that the duration of significant DEX effect was shortened by blocking CCK₂ receptor. **C:** Numerical data of mean sIPSCs before and 5-7 min after DEX exposure in the presence of LY225910 (C1) and mean relative changes of sIPSCs (C2) in response to DEX without and with LY225910, respectively. Bath application of DEX still induced a significant increase in sIPSCs. However, the increase was smaller compared to the condition without LY225910 preincubation, revealing that CCK mediated signaling is also involved in the DEX-induced enhancement of sIPSCs. **D:** Representative sIPSCs after bath application of the NO donor SNAP in the presence of LY225910. **E:** Numerical data of mean sIPSCs (E1) and mean relative changes of sIPSCs (E2) in response to SNAP without and with LY225910, respectively, showing that LY225910 partially blocked the stimulatory effect of SNAP. This indicates that NO signaling induces endogenous CCK release which in turn facilitates GABAergic transmission. Data are mean±SEM. Numbers within the bar graphs indicate the number of neurons tested for each experimental condition, same cells in all conditions; seven normal adult male rats were used; * $P<0.05$, ** $P<0.01$, *** $P<0.001$.

Figure 4

Acute stress increases hippocampal GABAergic transmission. **A:** Representative voltage-clamp recordings of sIPSCs in CA1 pyramidal cells of control and acutely stressed rats. Acute stress significantly increased sIPSC frequency (B1), whereas the amplitude (B2) was not affected. Data are mean±SEM; numbers within the bars indicate the number of neurons

recorded; *** $P < 0.001$.

Figure 5

Physiological parameters demonstrating the responses to chronic stress. **A:** Body weight gain (Control: $n=23$; Stress: $n=22$), **B:** Relative weight of the adrenal glands (mg/100 g body weight, 6 animals per group). Data are mean \pm SEM. * $P < 0.05$.

Figure 6

Chronic stress increases hippocampal GABAergic transmission. **A:** Representative voltage-clamp recordings of sIPSCs in CA1 pyramidal cells of a control and a chronically stressed rat. Stress significantly increased sIPSC frequency (**B**), whereas the amplitude (**C**) was not affected (control: $n=14$; stress: $n=12$). The sIPSC frequency which was elevated by stress was normalized by EGTA-AM (7 animals per group). Data are mean \pm SEM; numbers within the bars indicate the number of neurons recorded; *** $P < 0.001$ | vs. control, +++ $P < 0.001$ | vs. stress.

Figure 7

Bath application of DEX (25nM) failed to elicit any significant change in sIPSCs in the hippocampus of chronically stressed rats. **A:** Representative recordings of sIPSCs in a CA1 pyramidal cell from a stressed rat before and after the application of the GR agonist DEX. **B:** Numerical data of the means of frequency (*B1*) and amplitude (*B2*) of sIPSCs before (black bar) and after DEX (gray bar) (5 neurons recorded from 5 stressed animals). Data are mean \pm SEM.

Figure 8

Chronic stress reduces the number of PV-immunoreactive cells while the number CCK-immunoreactive cells remains unaltered. **A:** Representative examples of parvalbumin stained CA1 sections from a control (A1) and a stressed rat (A2). **B:** Representative examples of cholecystokinin stained CA1 sections from a control (B1) and a stressed (B2) rat. Stress significantly decreased the number of PV+ cells in all hippocampal subareas (C), whereas the

number of CCK+ cells was not affected (D). This indicates that chronic stress affects the structural integrity of PV+ but not of CCK+ cells. Data are mean±SEM; 6 animals per group were analyzed; ** $P<0.01$. DG: dentate gyrus. Scale bars: 100 μm .


Figure 9


Chronic stress results in a functional deficit of PV+ neurons while CCK+ neurons remain functionally intact. **A, C:** Representative data from a control animal. Bath application of carbachol (A) or CCK-8S (C) induced rhythmic sIPSCs. **A1,C1:** Representative recordings of sIPSCs in a CA1 pyramidal cell before and during washing in of carbachol (A1) or CCK-8S (C1). Lower traces are time expanded from the indicated segments in the upper traces. **A2,C2:** Autocorrelation (left) and spectral power analysis (right) of 10-sec stretches from the representative recordings after the experimental treatment. Graphs are representative of autocorrelation and spectra from all recorded cells. **B, D:** Representative data from a stressed animal. In slices from chronically stressed rats carbachol had the same effect as in controls (B). In contrast to control, CCK-8S failed to induce a rhythmic sIPSC pattern in stressed animals (D). This indicates that the temporal precision in firing of the PV+ cells is impaired after chronic stress, whereas the same property of CCK+ cells remains unaffected. **E:** Numerical data of relative theta powers before and after the treatments. Data are mean±SEM. Numbers within the bars indicate numbers of neurons tested for each animal group (7 animals per group) and experimental condition, same cells in all conditions; *** $P<0.001$.


Figure 10




Theoretical summary of the present findings. **A:** Under control conditions, PV+ and CCK+ cells provide two parallel, functionally distinct sources of perisomatic GABAergic inhibition to the pyramidal cells. In addition, CCK, presumably released from the CCK+ cells, stimulates the PV+ cells. **B:** In response to acute stress, the glucocorticoid surge rapidly activates membrane bound GRs on pyramidal cells which results in NO release. Retrograde NO signaling stimulates GABA release from the GABAergic terminals. In addition, NO induces release of CCK which in turn stimulates PV+ cells. **C:** During chronic stress, the above mechanisms are continuously or repetitively activated which results in sustained elevation of Ca^{2+} influx and

GABA release. In this situation, the PV+ cells are in a more vulnerable position as they receive stimulatory effects also from the CCK+ cells. The persistently elevated Ca^{2+} level in the PV+ cells is detrimental to their cellular integrity, and their capacity to generate rhythmic oscillations is impaired. Thus, chronic stress causes functional and structural deficits in PV+ cells. Secondary adaptive alterations of proteins associated with GABAergic transmission are probably induced to facilitate sustained hyperactivity of interneurons. CCK: cholecystokinin; GR: glucocorticoid receptor; NO: nitric oxide; PV: parvalbumin; pyr: pyramidal neuron.










Body weight gain



Adrenal weight

