Analyticity of the entropy for some random walks

Francois Ledrappier

▶ **To cite this version:**

Francois Ledrappier. Analyticity of the entropy for some random walks. 2010. hal-00521426v1

HAL Id: hal-00521426

https://hal.science/hal-00521426v1

Preprint submitted on 27 Sep 2010 (v1), last revised 13 Dec 2010 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ANALYTICITY OF THE ENTROPY FOR SOME RANDOM WALKS

FRANÇOIS LEDRAPPIER

Abstract. We consider non-degenerate, finitely supported random walks on a free group. We show that the entropy and the linear drift vary analytically with the probability of constant support.

1. Introduction

Let F be a finitely generated group and for $x \in F$, denote $|x|$ the word length of x. Let p be a finitely supported probability measure on F and define inductively, with $p^{(0)}$ being the Dirac measure at the identity e,

$$p^{(n)}(x) \;=\; [p^{(n-1)} \star p](x) \;=\; \sum_{y\in F} p^{(n-1)}(xy^{-1})p(y).$$

Some of the asymptotic properties of the probabilities $p^{(n)}$ as $n \to \infty$ are reflected in two nonnegative numbers, the entropy h_p and the linear drift ℓ_p:

$$h_p := \lim_n -\frac{1}{n}\sum_{x\in F} p^{(n)}(x)\ln p^{(n)}(x) \quad \ell_p := \lim_n \frac{1}{n}\sum_{x\in F} |x|p^{(n)}(x).$$

Erschler asks whether h_p and ℓ_p depend continuously on p (see [**EK**]). In [**Er**] she gives examples of finitely supported probability measures p_k converging to p with $h_p < \lim_k h_{p_k}$. In those examples, the support of p is not the limit of the supports of the p_k. So in this note, we fix a finite set $B \subset F$ such that $\cup_n B^n = F$ and we consider probability measures in $\mathcal{P}(B)$, where $\mathcal{P}(B)$ is the set of probability measures p such that $p(x) > 0$ if, and only if, $x \in B$. The set $\mathcal{P}(B)$ is naturally identified with an open subset of the probabilities on B which is an open bounded convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^{|B|-1}$. We show:

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $F = \mathbb{F}_d$ is the free group with d generators, B is a finite subset of F such that $\cup_n B^n = F$. Then, with the above notation, the functions $p \mapsto h_p$ and $p \mapsto \ell_p$ are real analytic on $\mathcal{P}(B)$.*

The ratio h_p/ℓ_p has a geometric interpretation as the Hausdorff dimension D_p of the unique stationary measure for the action of F on the space ∂F of infinite reduced words. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that this dimension D_p is also real analytic in p, see below Corollary 2.1 for a more precise statement. Ruelle ([**R2**]) proved that the Hausdorff dimension of the Julia set of a rational function, as long as it is hyperbolic, depends real

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60G50; 60B15.
Key words and phrases. entropy, free group.

analytically of the parameters and our approach is inspired by [**R2**]. We first review properties of the random walk on F directed by a probability p. In particular, we can express h_p and ℓ_p in terms of the exit measure p^∞ of the random walk on the boundary ∂F (see [**Le**] and section 2 for background and notation). We then express this exit measure using thermodynamical formalism: if one views ∂F as a one-sided subshift of finite type, the exit measure p^∞ is the isolated eigenvector of maximal eigenvalue for a dual transfer operator $\mathcal{L}_p^*$ involving the Martin kernel of the random walk. Finally, from the description of the Martin kernel by Derriennic ([**De**]), we prove that the mapping $p \mapsto \mathcal{L}_p$ is real analytic. The proof uses contractions in projective metric on complex cones ([**Ru**], [**D1**]) and I want to thank Loïc Dubois for useful comments. Regularity of $p \mapsto p^\infty$ and Theorem 1.1 follow.

In the note, the letter C stands for a real number independent of the other variables, but which may vary from line to line. In the same way, the letter $\mathcal{O}_p$ stands for a neighborhood of $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$ in $\mathbb{C}^B$ which may vary from line to line.

2. Convolutions of p

We recall in this section the properties of the convolutions $p^{(n)}$ of a finitely supported probability measure p on the free group $\mathbb{F}_d = F$. We follow the notation from [**Le**]. Any element of F has a unique reduced word representation in generators $\{a_1, \cdots, a_d, a_{-1}, \cdots, a_{-d}\}$. Set $\delta(x,x) = 0$ and, for $x \neq x'$, $\delta(x,x') = \exp -(x \wedge x')$, where $(x \wedge x')$ is the number of common letters at the beginning of the reduced word representations of x and x'. Then δ defines a metric on F and extends to the completion $F \cup \partial F$ with respect to δ. The boundary ∂F is a compact space which can be represented as the space of infinite reduced words. Then the distance between two distinct infinite reduced words ξ and ξ' is given by

$$\delta(\xi, \xi') \ = \ \exp -(\xi \wedge \xi'),$$

where $(\xi \wedge \xi')$ is the length of the initial common part of ξ and ξ'.

There is a natural continuous action of F over ∂F which extends the left action of F on itself: one concatenates the reduced word representation of $x \in F$ at the beginning of the infinite word ξ and one obtains a reduced word by making the necessary reductions. A probability measure μ on ∂F is called stationary if it satisfies

$$\mu \ = \ \sum_{x \in F} p(x) x_* \mu.$$

There is a unique stationary probability measure on ∂F, denoted p^∞ and the entropy h_p and the linear drift ℓ_p are given by the following formulae:

$$h_p \ = \ -\sum_{x \in F} \left(\int_{\partial F} \ln \frac{dx_*^{-1} p^\infty}{dp^\infty}(\xi) dp^\infty(\xi) \right) p(x), \tag{1}$$

$$\ell_p \ = \ \sum_{x \in F} \left(\int_{\partial F} \theta_\xi(x^{-1}) dp^\infty(\xi) \right) p(x), \tag{2}$$

where $\theta_\xi(x) = |x| - 2(\xi \wedge x) = \lim_{y \to \xi}(|x^{-1}y| - |y|)$ is the Busemann function.

Observe that in both expressions, the sum is a finite sum over $x \in B$. In the case of a finitely supported random walk on a general group, formula (1) holds, but with $(\partial F, p^\infty)$ replaced by the Poisson boundary of the random walk (see [**Fu**], [**Ka**]); formula (2) also holds, but with $(\partial F, p^\infty)$ replaced by some stationary measure on the Busemann boundary of the group ([**KL**]).

Recall that in the case of the free group the Hausdorff dimension of the measure p^∞ on $(\partial F, \delta)$ is given by h_p/ℓ_p ([**Le**], Theorem 4.15). So we have the following Corollary of Theorem 1.1:

Corollary 2.1. *Assume $F = \mathbb{F}_d$ is the free group with d generators, B is a finite subset of F such that $\cup_n B^n = F$. Then, with the above notation, the Hausdorff dimension of the stationary measure on $(\partial F, \delta)$ is a real analytic function of p in $\mathcal{P}(B)$.*

The Green function $G(x)$ associated to (F, p) is defined by

$$G(x) \;=\; \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{(n)}(x)$$

(see Proposition 3.2 below for the convergence of the series). For $y \in F$, the Martin kernel K_y is defined by

$$K_y(x) = \frac{G(x^{-1}y)}{G(y)}.$$

Derriennic ([**De**]) showed that $y_n \to \xi \in \partial F$ if, and only if, the Martin kernels K_{y_n} converge towards a function K_ξ called the Martin kernel at ξ. We have (see e.g. [**Le**] (3.11)):

$$\frac{dx_* p^\infty}{dp^\infty}(\xi) \;=\; K_\xi(x).$$

3. Random walk on F

The quantities introduced in Section 2 can be associated with the trajectories of a random walk on F. In this section, we recall the corresponding notation and properties. Let $\Omega = F^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the space of sequences of elements of F, M the product probability $p^{\mathbb{N}}$. The random walk is described by the probability $\mathbb{P}$ on the space of paths Ω, image of M by the mapping:

$$(\omega_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \mapsto (X_n)_{n\geq 0}, \text{ where } X_0 = e \text{ and } X_n = X_{n-1}\omega_n \text{ for } n > 0.$$

In particular, the distribution of X_n is the convolution $p^{(n)}$. The notation p^∞ reflects the following

Theorem 3.1 (Furstenberg, see [**Le**], Theorem 1.12)**.** *There is a mapping $X_\infty : \Omega \to \partial F$ such that for M-a.e. ω,*

$$\lim_n X_n(\omega) \;=\; X_\infty(\omega).$$

The image measure p^∞ is the only stationary probability measure on ∂F.

For $x, y \in F$, set $u(x,y)$ for the probability starting from x of ever reaching y. By left invariance, $u(x,y) = u(e, x^{-1}y)$. Moreover, by the strong Markov property, $G(x) = u(e,x)G(e)$ so that we have:

$$K_y(x) = \frac{u(x,y)}{u(e,y)}. \tag{3}$$

By definition, we have $0 < u(x,y) \leq 1$. The number $u(x,y)$ is given by the sum of the probabilities of the paths going from x to y which do not visit y before arriving at y.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$. There are numbers C and $\zeta, 0 < \zeta < 1$ and a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_p$ of p in $\mathbb{C}^B$ such that for all $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$, all $x \in F$ and all $n \geq 0$,*

$$|q^{(n)}(x)| \leq C\zeta^n.$$

Proof. Let $q \in \mathbb{C}^B$. Consider the convolution operator P_q in $\ell_2(F, \mathbb{C})$ defined by:

$$P_q f(x) = \sum_{y \in F} f(xy^{-1})q(y).$$

Derriennic and Guivarc'h ([**DG**]) showed that for $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, P_p has spectral radius smaller than one. In particular, there exists n_0 such that the operator norm of $P_p^{n_0}$ in $\ell_2(F)$ is smaller than one. Since B and B^{n_0} are finite, there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_p$ of p in $\mathbb{C}^B$ such that for all $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$, $\|P_q^{n_0}\|_2 < \lambda$ for some $\lambda < 1$ and $\|P_q^k\|_2 \leq C$ for $1 \leq k \leq n_0$. It follows that for all $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$, all $n \geq 0$,

$$\|P_q^n\|_2 \ \leq \ C\lambda^{[n/n_0]}.$$

In particular, for all $x \in F$, $|q^{(n)}(x)| = |[P_q^n \delta_e](x)| \leq |P_q^n \delta_e|_2 \leq C\lambda^{[n/n_0]}|\delta_e|_2 \leq C\lambda^{[n/n_0]}$. □

Fix $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$. For $x \in F$, V a finite subset of F and $v \in V$, let $\alpha_x^V(v)$ be the probability that the first visit in V of the random walk starting from x occurs at v. We have $0 < \sum_{v \in V} \alpha_x^V(v) \leq 1$ and

Proposition 3.3. *Fix x, V and v. The mapping $p \mapsto \alpha_x^V(v)$ extends to an analytic function on a neighborhood of $\mathcal{P}(B)$ in $\mathbb{C}^B$.*

Proof. The number $\alpha_x^V(v)$ can be written as the sum of the probabilities $\alpha_x^{n,V}(v)$ of entering V at v in exactly n steps. The function $p \mapsto \alpha_x^{n,V}(v)$ is a polynomial of degree n on $\mathcal{P}(B)$:

$$\alpha_x^{n,V}(v) \ = \ \sum_{\mathcal{E}} q_{i_1} q_{i_2} \cdots q_{i_n},$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is the set of paths $\{x, xi_1, xi_1i_2, \cdots, xi_1i_2 \cdots i_n = v\}$ of length n made of steps in B which start from x and enter V in v. By Proposition 3.2, there is a neighbourhood $\mathcal{O}_p$ of p in $\mathcal{P}(B)$ and numbers C, $\zeta, 0 < \zeta < 1$, such that for $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$ and for all $y \in F$,

$$|q|^{(n)}(y) \ \leq \ C\zeta^n.$$

It follows that for $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$,

$$|\alpha_x^{n,V}(v)| \leq C|q|^{(n)}(x^{-1}v) \leq C\zeta^n.$$

Therefore, $q \mapsto \alpha_x^V(v)$ is given locally by a uniformly converging series of polynomials, it is an analytic function on $\mathcal{O} := \cup_p \mathcal{O}_p$. □

4. Barriers and Hölder property of the Martin kernel

Set $r = \max\{|x|; x \in B\}$. A set V is called a barrier between x and y if $\delta(x,y) > r$ and if there exist two points z and z' of the geodesic between x and y, distinct from x and y such that $\delta(z,z') = r-1$ and V is the intersection of the two balls of radius $r-1$ centered at z and at z'. The basic geometric Lemma is the following:

Lemma 4.1 ([**De**], Lemme 1)**.** *If x and y admit a barrier V, then every trajectory of the random walk starting from x and reaching y has to visit V before arriving at y.*

For V, W finite subsets of F, denote A_V^W the matrix such that the line vectors are the $\alpha_v^W(w), w \in W$. In particular, if $W = \{y\}$, set u_V^y for the (column) vector

$$u_V^y = A_V^{\{y\}} = (\alpha_v^{\{y\}}(y))_{v\in V} = (u(v,y))_{v\in V}.$$

With this notation, Lemma 4.1 and the strong Markov property yield, if x and y admit V as a barrier:

$$u(x,y) \;=\; \sum \alpha_x^V(v)u(v,y) \;=\; \langle \alpha_x^V, u_V^y\rangle,$$

with the natural scalar product on $\mathbb{R}^V$. Then, Derriennic makes two observations: firstly, this formula iterates when one has k successive disjoint barriers between x and y and secondly there are only a finite number of possible matrices A_V^W when V and W are successive disjoint barriers with $\delta(V,W) = 1$. This gives the following formula for $u(x,y)$:

Lemma 4.2 ([**De**], Lemme 2)**.** *Let $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$. There are N square matrices with the same dimension $A_1, \cdots, A_N$, depending on p, such that for any $x, y \in F$, if $V_1, V_2, \cdots, V_k$ are disjoint successive barriers between x and y such that $\delta(V_i, V_{i+1}) = 1$ for $i = 1, \cdots, k-1$, there are $(k-1)$ indices $j_1, \cdots j_{k-1}$, depending only on the sequence V_i such that:*

$$u(x,y) = \langle \alpha_x^{V_1}, A_{j_1} \cdots A_{j_{k-1}} u_{V_k}^y\rangle. \tag{4}$$

By construction, the matrices A_j have nonnegative entries and satisfy $\sum_w A_j(v,w) \leq 1$. Moreover, we have the following properties:

Proposition 4.3 ([**De**], Corollaire 1)**.** *Assume the set B contains the generators and their inverses, then for each $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, for each $j = 1, \cdots, N$, the matrix A_j has all its 0 entries in full columns.*

From the proof of proposition 4.3, if the set B contains the generators and their inverses and $A_j = A_{V_j}^{V_{j+1}}$, columns of 0's correspond to the subset W_{j+1} of points in V_{j+1} which

cannot be entry points from paths starting in V_j. In particular, they depend only of the geometry of B and are the same for all $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$.

We may – and we shall from now on – assume that the set B contains the generators and their inverses. Indeed, since $h_{p^{(k)}} = kh_p$ and $\ell_{p^{(k)}} = k\ell_p$, we can replace in Theorem 1.1 the probability p by a convolution of order high enough that the generators and their inverses have positive probability. Then, by Proposition 4.3 the matrices $A_j(q)$ have the same columns of zeros for all $q \in \mathcal{P}(B)$. Moreover,

Proposition 4.4. *For each $j = 1, \cdots, N$, the mapping $p \mapsto A_j$ extends to analytic function on a neighborhood of $\mathcal{P}(B)$ in $\mathbb{C}^B$ into the set of matrices with the same configuration of zeros as A_j.*

Proof. The proof is completely analogous to the proof of Proposition 3.3; one may have to take a smaller neighborhood for the sake of avoiding introducing new zeros. □

We are interested in the function $\Phi : \partial F \to \mathbb{R}, \Phi(\xi) = -\ln K_\xi(\xi_1)$. By (3), (4) and Deriennic's Theorem, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\xi) &= -\ln \lim_{n\to\infty} K_{\xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_n}(\xi_1) \\
&= -\ln \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{u(\xi_1, \xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_n)}{u(e, \xi_1\xi_2\cdots\xi_n)} \\
&= -\ln \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha_{\xi_1}^{V_1(\xi)}, A_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots A_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) u_{V_k(\xi)}^{y_k}\rangle}{\langle \alpha_e^{V_1(\xi)}, A_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots A_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) u_{V_k(\xi)}^{y_k}\rangle},
\end{aligned}$$

where $A_{j_s}(\xi) = A_{V_s(\xi)}^{V_{s+1}(\xi)}$, the $V_s(\xi)$ are successive disjoint barriers between ξ_1 and ξ with $\delta(V_s(\xi), V_{s+1}(\xi)) = 1$ for all $s > 1$, $\delta(\xi_1, V_1) = 1$ and y_k is the closest point beyond V_k on the geodesic from ξ_1 to ξ.

Define on the nonnegative convex cone C_0 in $\mathbb{R}^m$ the projective distance between half lines as

$$\vartheta(f, g) := |\ln[f, g, h, h']|,$$

where h, h' are the intersections of the boundaries of the cone with the plane (f, g) and $[f, g, h, h']$ is the cross ratio of the four directions in the same plane. Represent the space of directions as the sector of the unit sphere $D = C_0 \cup S^{m-1}$; then, ϑ defines a distance on D. Let A be a $m \times m$ matrix with nonpositive entries and let $T : D \to D$ be the projective action of A. Then, by [**Bi**]:

$$\vartheta(Tf, Tg) \leq \beta\vartheta(f, g), \text{ where } \beta = \tanh\left(\frac{1}{4} \text{ Diam } T(D)\right). \tag{5}$$

When A_j is one of the matrices of Lemma 4.2, it acts on $\mathbb{R}^V$ and the image $T_j(D)$ has finite diameter, so that $\beta_j := \tanh\left(\frac{1}{4} \text{ Diam } T_j(D)\right) < 1$. Set $\beta_0 := \max_{j=1,\cdots,N} \beta_j$. Then, $\beta_0 < 1$.

Set $f_k(\xi) := \dfrac{u^{y_k}_{V_k(\xi)}}{\|u^{y_k}_{V_k(\xi)}\|}, \alpha(\xi) := \alpha_e^{V_1(\xi)}, \alpha_1(\xi) := \alpha_{\xi_1}^{V_1(\xi)}$. For all ξ, $f_k(\xi) \in D$ and $\alpha(\xi), \alpha_1(\xi) \in C_0 - \{0\}$. The above formula for $\Phi(\xi)$ writes:

$$\Phi(\xi) = -\ln \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha_1(\xi), T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f_k(\xi)\rangle}{\langle \alpha(\xi), T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f_k(\xi)\rangle}. \tag{6}$$

Proposition 4.5. *Fix $p \in \mathcal{P}$. The function $\xi \mapsto \Phi(\xi)$ is Hölder continuous on ∂F.*

Proof. Let ξ, ξ' be two points of ∂F with $\delta(\xi, \xi') \le \exp(-((n+1)r+1))$. The points ξ and ξ' have the same first $(n+1)r+1$ coordinates. In particular, $V_s(\xi) = V_s(\xi')$ for $1 \le s \le n$. By using (6), we see that $\Phi(\xi') - \Phi(\xi)$ is given by the limit, as k goes to infinity, of

$$\ln \frac{\langle \alpha_1(\xi), T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f_k(\xi)\rangle}{\langle \alpha_1(\xi'), T_{j_1}(\xi')\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi') f_k(\xi')\rangle} \frac{\langle \alpha(\xi'), T_{j_1}(\xi')\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi') f_k(\xi')\rangle}{\langle \alpha(\xi), T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f_k(\xi)\rangle}.$$

We have $\alpha_1(\xi) = \alpha_1(\xi') =: \alpha_1, \alpha(\xi) = \alpha(\xi') =: \alpha$ and $T_{j_s}(\xi) = T_{j_s}(\xi') =: T_{j_s}$ for $s = 1, \cdots, n$. Moreover, for any $f, f' \in D$,

$$\vartheta\left(T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f, T_{j_1}(\xi')\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi') f'\right) \;=\; \vartheta\left(T_{j_1}\cdots T_{j_{n-1}} g_k, T_{j_1}\cdots T_{j_{n-1}} g_k'\right)$$

for $g_k = T_{j_n} T_{j_{n+1}}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f, g_k' = T_{j_n} T_{j_{n+1}}(\xi')\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi') f'$.

We have $\vartheta(g_k, g_k') \le$ Diam $T_{j_n} D < \infty$ and, by repeated application of (5),

$$\vartheta\left(T_{j_1}\cdots T_{j_{n-1}} g_k, T_{j_1}\cdots T_{j_{n-1}} g_k'\right) \;\le\; \beta_0^{n-1}\vartheta\left(g_k, g_k'\right) \;\le C\beta_0^n. \tag{7}$$

Using all the above notations, we get

$$\Phi(\xi) - \Phi(\xi') = \ln \lim_k \frac{\langle \alpha_1, T_{j_1}\cdots T_{j_{n-1}} g_k'\rangle}{\langle \alpha_1, T_{j_1}\cdots T_{j_{n-1}} g_k\rangle} \frac{\langle \alpha, T_{j_1}\cdots T_{j_{n-1}} g_k\rangle}{\langle \alpha, T_{j_1}\cdots T_{j_{n-1}} g_k'\rangle}. \tag{8}$$

As ξ varies, α and α_1 belong to a finite family of vectors of $C_0 - \{0\}$. It then follows from (7) that, as soon as $\delta(\xi, \xi') \le \exp(-((n+1)r+1))$, $|\Phi(\xi) - \Phi(\xi')| \le C\beta_0^n$. □

Let us choose $\beta, \beta_0^{1/r} < \beta < 1$, and consider the space Γ_β of functions ϕ on ∂F such that there is a constant C_β with the property that, if the points ξ and ξ' have the same first n coordinates, then $|\phi(\xi) - \phi(\xi')| < C_\beta \beta^n$. For $\phi \in \Gamma_\beta$, denote $\|\phi\|_\beta$ the best constant C_β in this definition. The space Γ_β is a Banach space for the norm $\|\phi\| := \|\phi\|_\beta + \max_{\partial F} |\phi|$. Proposition 4.5 says that for $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, the function $\Phi_p(\xi) = -\ln K_\xi(\xi_1)$ belongs to Γ_β.

5. Regularity of the Martin kernel

We want to extend the mapping $p \mapsto \Phi_p$ to a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_p$ of p in $\mathbb{C}^B$. Firstly, we redefine Γ_γ as the space of complex functions ϕ on ∂F such that there is a constant C_γ with the property that, for all $n \ge 0$, if the points ξ and ξ' have the same first n coordinates, then $|\phi(\xi) - \phi(\xi')| < C_\gamma \gamma^n$. The space Γ_γ is a complex Banach space for the norm $\|\phi\| := \|\phi\|_\gamma + \max_{\partial F} |\phi|$, where $\|\phi\|_\gamma$ the best possible constant C_γ. In this section,

we find a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_p$ and a $\gamma = \gamma(p), 0 < \gamma < 1$, such that formula (6) makes sense on $\mathcal{O}_p$ and defines a function in Γ_γ.

In recent papers, Rugh ([**Ru**]) and Dubois ([**D1**]) show how to extend (5) to the complex setting. In a complex Banach space X, they define a $\mathbb{C}$-cone as a subset invariant by multiplication by $\mathbb{C}$, different from $\{0\}$ and not containing any complex 2-dimensional subspace in its closure. A $\mathbb{C}$-cone $\mathcal{C}$ is called linearly convex if each point in the complement of $\mathcal{C}$ is contain in a complex hyperplane not intersecting $\mathcal{C}$. Let $K < +\infty$. A $\mathbb{C}$-cone $\mathcal{C}$ is called K-regular if it has some interior and if, for each vector space P of complex dimension 2, there is some nonzero linear form $m \in X^*$ such that, for all $u \in \mathcal{C} \cap P$,

$$\|m\|\|u\| \ \leq \ K|\langle m, u\rangle|.$$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a linearly convex $\mathbb{C}$-cone. A projective distance $\vartheta_\mathcal{C}$ on $(\mathcal{C} - \{0\}) \times (\mathcal{C} - \{0\})$ is defined as follows ([**D1**], Section 2): if f and g are colinear, set $\vartheta_\mathcal{C}(x, y) = 0$; otherwise, consider the following set $E(f, g)$:

$$E(f, g) \ := \ \{z, z \in \mathbb{C}, zf - g \notin \mathcal{C}\},$$

and then define

$$\vartheta_\mathcal{C}(f, g) = \ln \frac{b}{a}, \quad \text{where } b = \sup |E(f, g)| \in (0, +\infty], a = \inf |E(f, g)| \in [0, +\infty).$$

Proposition 5.1 ([**D1**], Theorem 2.7)**.** *Let X_1, X_2 be complex Banach spaces, and let $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset X_1, \mathcal{C}_2 \subset X_2$ be complex cones. Let $A : X_1 \to X_2$ be a linear map with $A(\mathcal{C}_1 - \{0\}) \subset (\mathcal{C}_2 - \{0\})$ and assume that*

$$\Delta := \sup_{f,g \in (\mathcal{C}_1 - \{0\})} \vartheta_{\mathcal{C}_2}(Af, Ag) < +\infty.$$

Then, for all $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_1$,

$$\vartheta_{\mathcal{C}_2}(Af, Ag) \ \leq \ \tanh\Big(\frac{\Delta}{4}\Big)\vartheta_{\mathcal{C}_1}(f, g). \tag{9}$$

Proposition 5.2 ([**D1**], Lemma 2.6)**.** *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a K-regular, linearly convex $\mathbb{C}$-cone and let $f \sim g$ if, and only if, there is $\lambda, \lambda \neq 0$ such that $\lambda f = g$. Then $\vartheta_\mathcal{C}$ defines a complete projective metric on $\mathcal{C}/\sim$. Moreover, if $f, g \in \mathcal{C}, \|f\| = \|g\| = 1$, then there is a complex number ρ of modulus 1, $\rho = \rho(f, g)$, such that*

$$\|\rho f - g\| \ \leq \ K\vartheta_\mathcal{C}(f, g). \tag{10}$$

Proposition 5.3 ([**Ru**], Corollary 5.6, [**D1**], Remark 3.6)**.** *For $m \geq 1$, the set*

$$\mathbb{C}^m_+ = \{u \in \mathbb{C}^m : \mathfrak{Re}(u_i\overline{u_j}) \geq 0, \forall i, j\} = \{u \in \mathbb{C}^m : |u_i + u_j| \geq |u_i - u_j|, \forall i, j\}$$

is a regular linearly convex $\mathbb{C}$-cone. The inclusion

$$\pi : (C_0 - \{0\}, \vartheta) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^m_+ - \{0\}, \vartheta_{\mathbb{C}^m_+})$$

is an isometric embedding.

Moreover, [**D1**] studies and characterizes the $m \times m$ matrices which preserve $\mathbb{C}_+^m$. We need the following properties. Let A be a $m \times m$ matrix with all 0 entries in m' full columns and $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_m$ the $(m-m')$-line vectors made of the nonzeros entries of the line vectors of A. Set:

$$\delta_{k,l} := \vartheta_{\mathbb{C}_+^{m-m'}}(\lambda_k, \lambda_l), \quad \Delta_{k,l} := \mathrm{Diam}_{\mathrm{RHP}}\Big\{\frac{\langle \lambda_k, x\rangle}{\langle \lambda_l, x\rangle}; x \in (\mathbb{C}_+^{m-m'})^*, x \neq 0\Big\},$$

where $\mathrm{Diam}_{\mathrm{RHP}}$ denotes the diameter with respect to the Poincaré metric of the right half-plane. Observe that $\mathrm{Diam}_{\vartheta_{\mathbb{C}_+^m}}(A(\mathbb{C}_+^m - \{0\})) = \mathrm{Diam}_{\vartheta_{\mathbb{C}_+^m}}(A(\mathbb{C}_+^{m-m'} - \{0\}))$. Then, we have ([**D1**], Proposition 3.5):

$$\mathrm{Diam}_{\vartheta_{\mathbb{C}_+^m}}(A(\mathbb{C}_+^m - \{0\})) \ \leq \ \max_{k,l} \delta_{k,l} + 2 \max_{k,l} \Delta_{k,l} \ \leq \ 3\mathrm{Diam}_{\vartheta_{\mathbb{C}_+^m}}(A(\mathbb{C}_+^m - \{0\})). \tag{11}$$

From the proof of Proposition 3.5 in [**D1**], in particular from equation (3.12), it also follows that for a real matrix A:

$$\mathrm{Diam}_{\vartheta_{\mathbb{C}_+^m}}(A(\mathbb{C}_+^m - \{0\})) \ \leq \ 3\mathrm{Diam}_{\vartheta}(A(\mathbb{R}_+^m - \{0\})).$$

Fix $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$. We choose $\gamma = \gamma(p) < 1$ such that

$$9(\tanh)^{-1}\beta_0 < (\tanh)^{-1}(\gamma^{2r}).$$

Then for the real matrices $A = A_1(p), \cdots, A_N(p)$,

$$3\mathrm{Diam}_{\vartheta_{\mathbb{C}_+^m}}(A(\mathbb{C}_+^m - \{0\})) \leq 9\mathrm{Diam}\ _{\vartheta}(A(\mathbb{R}_+^m - \{0\})) \leq 36(\tanh)^{-1}\beta_0 < 4(\tanh)^{-1}(\gamma^{2r}). \tag{12}$$

Proposition 5.4. *Fix $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$. There is a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_p$ of p in $\mathbb{C}^B$ such that the mapping $p \mapsto \Phi_p$ extends to an analytic mapping from $\mathcal{O}_p$ into $\Gamma_{\gamma(p)}$.*

Proof. We first extend $A_j, j = 1, \cdots, N$ analytically on a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_p$ by Proposition 4.4. Set $S = S^{2m-1} = \{f; f \in \mathbb{C}_+^m, \|f\| = 1\}$. For each $A_j(q), j = 1, \cdots N, q \in \mathcal{O}_p$ and each $f \in S$ such that $A_j(q)f \neq 0$, we define again $T_j(q)f$ by:

$$T_j(q)f \ = \ \frac{A_j(q)f}{\|A_j(q)f\|}.$$

For $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, the function Φ_p is given by the limit from formula (6):

$$\Phi_p(\xi) = -\ln \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{\langle \alpha_1(\xi), T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi)f_0\rangle}{\langle \alpha(\xi), T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi)f_0\rangle},$$

where $f_0 \in S$ the column vector $\{1/\sqrt{|B|}, \cdots, 1/\sqrt{|B|}\}$: we use the fact that the limit of $T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi)f$ does not depend on the initial point f.

We have to show that this limit extends on some neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_p$ of p to an analytic function into Γ_γ. Set

$$\Phi_{p,k}(\xi) \ := \ -\ln \frac{\langle \alpha_1(\xi), A_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots A_{j_{k-1}}(\xi)f_0\rangle}{\langle \alpha(\xi), A_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots A_{j_{k-1}}(\xi)f_0\rangle}.$$

We are going to find $\mathcal{O}_p$ and k_0 such that, for $k \geq k_0$, the functions $\Phi_{p,k}(\xi)$ extend to analytic functions from $\mathcal{O}_p$ into Γ_γ and, as $k \to \infty$, the functions $\Phi_{p,k}(\xi)$ converge in Γ_γ uniformly on $\mathcal{O}_p$.

The functions $q \mapsto \langle \alpha_1(\xi), A_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots A_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f_0\rangle, q \mapsto \langle \alpha(\xi), A_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots A_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f_0\rangle$ are polynomials in q and depend only on a finite number of coordinates of ξ. Therefore, if we can find a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_p$ and a k such that these two functions do not vanish, then $\Phi_{p,k}$ extends to an analytic function from $\mathcal{O}_p$ to Γ_γ.

Step1: Contraction

By (11), (12) and Proposition 4.4, we can choose a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_p$ such that for $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$, the diameter Δ of $A_j(q)\mathbb{C}^m_+$ is smaller than $4(\tanh)^{-1}(\gamma^{2r})$ for all $j = 1, \cdots, N$.[1] The set $\mathcal{D} := S \cap \big(\cup_j A_j(p)\mathbb{C}^m_+\big)$ is compactly contained in the interior of S. We choose a smaller neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_p$ such that, if $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$,

$$\Delta < 4(\tanh)^{-1}(\gamma^{2r}) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \notin A_j(\mathcal{D} \cup \{f_0\}) \ \text{ for } j = 1, \cdots, N.$$

For $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$, the projective images $T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f_0$ are all defined and we have, by repeated application of (9)

$$\vartheta_{\mathcal{C}}\left(T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f_0, T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f_{k,k'}(\xi)\right) \ \leq \ \gamma^{2(k-1)r}\vartheta(f_0, f_{k,k'}(\xi)),$$

where $k' > k$ and $f_{k,k'}(\xi) := T_{j_k}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k'-1}}(\xi) f_0$. The $f_{k,k'}(\xi)$ are all in $\mathcal{D}$. Then, $\vartheta_{\mathcal{C}}(f_0, f_{k,k'}(\xi)) \leq C$ for all $\xi \in \partial F$, all $k, k' \geq 1$. Set

$$g = T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f_0, \quad g' = T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f_{k,k'}(\xi).$$

For all $\xi \in \partial F$, all $k, k' \geq 1$, consider the number $\rho(\xi, k, k')$ associated by Proposition 5.2 to g and g'. We have, by (10):

$$|\rho(\xi, k, k')| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\rho(\xi, k, k')g - g'\| \ \leq \ KC\gamma^{2kr}.$$

Since $\alpha(p,\xi)$ and $\alpha_1(p,\xi)$ take finite many values, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \alpha(p,\xi), g\rangle\langle \alpha_1(p,\xi), g'\rangle - \langle \alpha(p,\xi), g'\rangle\langle \alpha_1(p,\xi), g\rangle| \\ = \ & |\langle \alpha(p,\xi), \rho(\xi,k,k')g\rangle\langle \alpha_1(p,\xi), g'\rangle - \langle \alpha(p,\xi), g'\rangle\langle \alpha_1(p,\xi), \rho(\xi,k,k')g\rangle| \\ \leq \ & KC\gamma^{2kr}. \end{aligned}$$

Since g and g' are in the compact set $\mathcal{D} \cup \{f_0\}$, we can, by Proposition 3.3, choose a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_p$ such that, for all $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$, all $\xi \in \partial F$, all $k < k'$

$$\begin{aligned} & \big|\langle \alpha(\xi), T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f_0\rangle\langle \alpha_1(\xi), T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k'-1}}(\xi) f_0\rangle \\ & -\langle \alpha(\xi), T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k'-1}}(\xi) f_0\rangle\langle \alpha_1(\xi), T_{j_1}(\xi)\cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f_0\rangle\big| \ \leq \ KC\gamma^{2kr}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2: The $\Phi_{q,k}$ extend

Recall that D is the set of unit vectors in the positive quadrant. For $g, g' \in \cup_j T_j(p)(D) \cup \{f_0\}$, $\langle \alpha(p,\xi), g\rangle\langle \alpha_1(p,\xi), g'\rangle$ is real positive and bounded away from 0 uniformly in ξ, g and

[1] One can also use directly [**D2**], Theorem 4.5.

g'. Recall the isometric inclusion $\pi : D \to S$ of Proposition 5.3. There is a neighborhood $\mathcal{C}_0$ of $\pi\big(\cup_j T_j(p)(D) \cup \{f_0\}\big)$ in S and $\delta > 0$ such that for $g, g' \in \mathcal{C}_0$, $\big|\langle \alpha(p,\xi), g\rangle \langle \alpha_1(p,\xi), g'\rangle\big| > \delta$. Of course, we can take $\mathcal{C}_0$ invariant by multiplication by all z with $|z| = 1$. Then, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $\vartheta_{C_+^m}\big(g, \pi(\cup_j T_j(p)(D) \cup \{f_0\})\big) < \varepsilon, \vartheta_{C_+^m}\big(g', \pi(\cup_j T_j(p)(D) \cup \{f_0\})\big) < \varepsilon$, then $\big|\langle \alpha(p,\xi), g\rangle \langle \alpha_1(p,\xi), g'\rangle\big| > \delta/2$.

For $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$ and $k_0 > 1 + \ln(\varepsilon/2)/2r \ln \gamma$, the $\vartheta_{\mathbb{C}_+^m}$-diameter of each one of the sets $T_{j_1}(q,\xi) \cdots T_{j_{k_0-1}}(q,\xi)S$ is smaller than $\varepsilon/2$, for all ξ. As ξ varies, there is only a finite number of mappings $T_{j_1}(q,\xi) \cdots T_{j_{k_0-1}}(q,\xi)$. By continuity of $q \mapsto T_j$ (where the T_js now are considered as mappings from $\mathcal{C}/\sim$ into itself), there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_p$ such that for $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$, the Hausdorff distance between $T_{j_1}(q,\xi) \cdots T_{j_{k_0-1}}(q,\xi)S/\sim$ and $T_{j_1}(p,\xi) \cdots T_{j_{k_0-1}}(p,\xi)S/\sim$ is smaller than $\varepsilon/2$. It follows that if $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$, and g, g' are in the same $T_{j_1}(q,\xi) \cdots T_{j_{k_0-1}}(q,\xi)S$ for some ξ, then

$$\big|\langle \alpha(p,\xi), g\rangle \langle \alpha_1(p,\xi), g'\rangle\big| > \delta/2.$$

By taking a possibly smaller $\mathcal{O}_p$, we have that if $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$, and g, g' are in the same $T_{j_1}(q,\xi) \cdots T_{j_{k_0-1}}(q,\xi)S$ for some ξ, then

$$\big|\langle \alpha(q,\xi), g\rangle \langle \alpha_1(q,\xi), g'\rangle\big| > \delta/4.$$

In particular this last expression does not vanish and $\Phi_{q,k}$ is an analytic function on $\mathcal{O}_p$ for $k \geq k_0$.

Step3: The $\Phi_{q,k}$ converge uniformly on ∂F

Take a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_p$ and k_0 such that for $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$ the conclusions of Steps 1 and 2 hold. We claim that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is k_1 such that for $k, k' \geq k_1$, $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$, $\max_\xi |\Phi_{q,k}(\xi) - \Phi_{q,k'}(\xi)| < \varepsilon$. Suppose $k_1 > k_0$. We have to estimate

$$\max_\xi \Big| \ln \frac{\langle \alpha(\xi), T_{j_1}(\xi) \cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f_0\rangle}{\langle \alpha(\xi), T_{j_1}(\xi) \cdots T_{j_{k'-1}}(\xi) f_0\rangle} \frac{\langle \alpha_1(\xi), T_{j_1}(\xi) \cdots T_{j_{k'-1}}(\xi) f_0\rangle}{\langle \alpha_1(\xi), T_{j_1}(\xi) \cdots T_{j_{k-1}}(\xi) f_0\rangle} \Big|.$$

By the conclusions of Steps 1 and 2, this quantity is smaller that $C \max\{\gamma^{2kr}, \gamma^{2k'r}\}$. This is smaller than ε if k_1 is large enough.

Step 4:The $\Phi_{q,k}$ converge in norm $\|.\|_{\gamma(p)}$

With the same $\mathcal{O}_p, k_0$, we now claim that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $k_2 = \max\{k_0, \ln\gamma / r \ln \varepsilon\}$ such that for $k, k' \geq k_2$ and $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$, $\|\Phi_{q,k}(\xi) - \Phi_{q,k'}(\xi)\|_\gamma < \varepsilon$. Let ξ, ξ' be two points of ∂F with $\delta(\xi, \xi') \leq \exp(-((n+1)r + 1))$. We want to show that there is a constant C independent on n, such that, for all $q \in \mathcal{O}_p$, all $k, k' \geq k_2$:

$$|\Phi_{q,k}(\xi) - \Phi_{q,k'}(\xi) - \Phi_{q,k}(\xi') + \Phi_{q,k'}(\xi')| \ \leq \ C\gamma^{(n+1)r+1}\varepsilon.$$

Since $k, k' \geq k_0$, the difference $\Phi_{q,k}(\xi) - \Phi_{q,k'}(\xi)$ is given by:

$$\Phi_{q,k}(\xi) - \Phi_{q,k'}(\xi) = \ln \frac{\langle \alpha_1, T_{j_1} \cdots T_{j_{k'-1}} f_0\rangle}{\langle \alpha_1, T_{j_1} \cdots T_{j_{k-1}} f_0\rangle} \frac{\langle \alpha, T_{j_1} \cdots T_{j_{k-1}} f_0\rangle}{\langle \alpha, T_{j_1} \cdots T_{j_{k'-1}} f_0\rangle}.$$

For $k, k' \leq n+1$, $\Phi_{q,k}(\xi) - \Phi_{q,k'}(\xi) = \Phi_{q,k}(\xi') - \Phi_{q,k'}(\xi')$, and there is nothing to prove.

Assume $k' > k \geq n+1$, Step 3 shows that both $|\Phi_{q,k}(\xi) - \Phi_{q,k'}(\xi)|$ and $|\Phi_{q,k}(\xi') - \Phi_{q,k'}(\xi')|$ are smaller than $C\gamma^{2kr} \leq C\gamma^{nr}\gamma^{kr} \leq C\gamma^{nr}\varepsilon$.

The remaining case, when $k_0 \leq k \leq n+1 \leq k'$, clearly follows from the other two and this shows Step 4.

Finally we have that the functions $\Phi_{p,k}$ are analytic and converge uniformly in Γ_γ on a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_p$ of p. The limit is an analytic function on $\mathcal{O}_p$.

□

6. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we consider ∂F as a subshift of finite type and set τ for the shift transformation on ∂F:

$$\tau\xi = \eta_1\eta_2\cdots \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_n = \xi_{n+1}.$$

For $\gamma < 1$ and $\phi \in \Gamma_\gamma$ with real values, we define the transfer operator $\mathcal{L}_\phi$ on Γ_γ by

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi\psi(\xi) := \sum_{\eta\in\tau^{-1}\xi} e^{\phi(\eta)}\psi(\eta).$$

Then, $\mathcal{L}_\phi$ is a bounded operator in Γ_γ and, by Ruelle's transfer operator theorem (see e.g. [**Bo**]), there exists a number $P(\phi)$, a positive function $h_\phi \in \Gamma_\gamma$ and an unique linear functional ν_ϕ on Γ_γ such that:

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi h_\phi = e^{P(\phi)}h_\phi, \quad \mathcal{L}_\phi^*\nu_\phi = e^{P(\phi)}\nu_\phi \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_\phi(1) = 1.$$

The functional ν_ϕ extends to probability measure on ∂F and is the only eigenvector of $\mathcal{L}_\phi^*$ with that property. Moreover, $\phi \mapsto \mathcal{L}_\phi$ is a real analytic map from Γ_γ to the space of linear operators on Γ_γ ([**R1**], page 91). Consequently, the mapping $\phi \mapsto \nu_\phi$ is real analytic from Γ_γ into the dual space Γ_γ^* (see e.g. [**Co**], Corollary 4.6). By Proposition 5.4, the mapping $p \mapsto \nu_{\Phi_p}$ is real analytic from a neighborhood of p in $\mathcal{P}(B)$ into the space $\Gamma^*_{\gamma(p)}$.

The main observation is that, for all $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, $\mathcal{L}^*_{\Phi_p} p^\infty = p^\infty$; this implies that $P(\Phi_p) = 0$ and that the distribution ν_{Φ_p} is the restriction of the measure p^∞ to any Γ_γ such that $\Phi_p \in \Gamma_\gamma$. Indeed, we have:

$$\frac{d\tau_* p^\infty}{dp^\infty}(\xi) = \frac{d(\xi_1)_* p^\infty}{dp^\infty} = K_{\xi_1}(\xi) = e^{\Phi_p(\xi)}$$

so that, for all continuous ψ:

$$\int (\mathcal{L}_{\Phi_p}\psi)dp^\infty = \sum_a \int_{a\xi, \xi_1 \neq a^{-1}} \frac{dp^\infty(a\xi)}{dp^\infty(\xi)}\psi(a\xi)dp^\infty(\xi) = \int \psi dp^\infty.$$

Recall the equations (1) and (2) for h_p and ℓ_p. ℓ_p is given by a finite sum (in x) of integrals with respect to p^∞ of the functions $\xi \mapsto \theta_\xi(x)$. Since these functions only depend on a finite number of coordinates in ∂F, they belong to Γ_γ for all $\gamma < 1$. Since $p \mapsto \nu_{\Phi_p}$ is real

analytic from a neighborhood of p into $\Gamma^*_{\gamma(p)}$, $p \mapsto \ell_p$ is real analytic on a neighborhood of p. Since this is true for all $p \in \mathcal{P}(B)$, the function $p \mapsto \ell_p$ is real analytic on $\mathcal{P}(B)$.

The argument is the same for h_p, since the function $\ln \frac{dx_*^{-1}p^\infty}{dp^\infty}(\xi) = \ln K_\xi(x^{-1}) \in \Gamma_\gamma$ for all x and for all $\gamma, \beta < \gamma < 1$ and the mappings $p \mapsto \ln K_\xi(x^{-1})$ are real analytic from a neighborhood of p into $\Gamma_{\gamma(p)}$. Indeed, $\ln K_\xi(\xi_1) \in \Gamma_\beta$ by Proposition 4.5 and $p \mapsto \ln K_\xi(\xi_1)$ is real analytic into $\Gamma_{\gamma(p)}$ by Proposition 5.4. Moreover, if a is a generator different from ξ_1, $\ln K_\xi(a) = -\ln K_{a^{-1}\xi}(a^{-1})$ also lies in Γ_β and $p \mapsto \ln K_\xi(a)$ is also real analytic into $\Gamma_{\gamma(p)}$. For a general $x \in F$, $x = a_1 \cdots a_t$, write

$$K_\xi(x^{-1}) \;=\; K_\xi(a_t^{-1} \cdots a_1^{-1}) \;=\; K_\xi(a_t^{-1}) K_{a_t\xi}(a_{t-1}^{-1}) \cdots K_{a_2 \cdots a_t \xi}(a_1^{-1}).$$

This achieves the proof of Theorem 1.1.

References

[**Bi**] G. Birkhoff, Extension of Jentzsch's theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.,* **85** (1957), 219–227.

[**Bo**] R. Bowen, Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov Diffeomorphisms, *Lecture Notes in Math.,* **470** (1974), Springer, ed.

[**Co**] G. Contreras, Regularity of topological and metric entropy of hyperbolic flows, *Math. Z.,* **210** (1992), 97–111.

[**De**] Y. Derriennic, Marche aléatoire sur le groupe libre et frontière de Martin, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.* **32** (1975), 261–276.

[**DG**] Y. Derriennic and Y. Guivarc'h, Théorème du renouvellement pour les groupes non-moyennables, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A–B*, **277** (1973) A613–A615.

[**D1**] L. Dubois, Projective metrics and contraction principles for complex cones, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **79** (2009), 719–737.

[**D2**] L. Dubois, An explicit Berry-Esséen bound for uniformly expanding maps on the interval, *Israel J. Math.*, to appear.

[**Er**] A. Erschler, On continuity of range, entropy and drift for random walks on groups, *preprint.*

[**EK**] A. Erschler and V. A. Kaimanovich, Continuity of entropy for random walks on hyperbolic groups, *in preparation.*

[**Fu**] H. Furstenberg, Random walks and discrete subgroups of Lie groups, *Advances Probab. Related Topics,* **1** (1971), 1–63.

[**Ka**] V.A. Kaimanovich, The Poisson formula for groups with hyperbolic properties, *Ann. Math.,* **152** (2000), 659–692.

[**KL**] A. Karlsson and F. Ledrappier, Drift and entropy for random walks, *Pure Appl. Math. Quarterly,* **3** (2007), 1027–1036.

[**Le**] F. Ledrappier, Some Asymptotic properties of random walks on free groups, *in* Topics in Probability and Lie Groups, J.C. Taylor, ed. *CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes,* **28** (2001), 117–152.

[**R1**] D. Ruelle, Thermodynamic formalism, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA (1978).

[**R2**] D. Ruelle, Repellers for real analytic maps, *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.,* **2** (1982) 99–107.

[**Ru**] H.H. Rugh, Cones and gauges in complex spaces: Spectral gaps and complex Perron-Frobenius theory. *Ann. Math.*, **171** (2010), 1707–1752.

LPMA, UMR CNRS 7599, Université Paris 6, Boîte Courrier 188, 4, Place Jussieu, 75252 PARIS cedex 05, France

E-mail address: francois.ledrappier@upmc.fr