



**HAL**  
open science

## Resilience of acid subalpine grassland to short-term liming and fertilisation

T. Spiegelberger, C. Deléglise, S. Dedanieli, C. Bernard-Brunet

► **To cite this version:**

T. Spiegelberger, C. Deléglise, S. Dedanieli, C. Bernard-Brunet. Resilience of acid subalpine grassland to short-term liming and fertilisation. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 2010, 137 (1-2), p. 158 - p. 162. hal-00520715

**HAL Id: hal-00520715**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00520715>**

Submitted on 24 Sep 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Resilience of acid subalpine grassland to short-term liming and fertilisation

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 Thomas Spiegelberger<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Claire Deléglise<sup>1</sup>, Sébastien DeDanieli<sup>1</sup>, Claude Bernard-Brunet<sup>1</sup>  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 <sup>1</sup> Cemagref, Research Unit Mountain Ecosystems, 2 rue de la Papeterie, BP 76, F-38402  
16  
17 Saint-Martin-d'Hères, France

18  
19 <sup>2</sup> Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Laboratory of Ecological Systems  
20  
21 (ECOS) - Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research (WSL), and  
22  
23 Restoration Ecology Research Group, Site Lausanne, station 2, CH-1015 Lausanne,  
24  
25 Switzerland  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 <sup>3</sup> Corresponding author: tel: 00 33 4 76 76 28 19, fax: 00 33 4 76 51 38 03, email:  
35  
36 thomas.spiegelberger@cemagref.fr  
37  
38  
39  
40

41 Words in abstract: 273

42  
43 Pages: 18

44  
45 Number of Tables: 3

46  
47 Number of Figures: 1

48  
49 Number of Annexes: 1  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## Abstract

1  
2 A fertilisation experiment was started in the French Alps on an acid grassland at 2000 m in  
3  
4 1989 where lime as calcium carbonate (“liming”) and Thomas Slag enriched by potassium  
5  
6 chloride (“fertilisation”) was added in a random block design until 1992. Since then, no  
7  
8 further amendments were applied.  
9  
10

11  
12 Fifteen years after the last application, we revisited the experiment and observed that soil pH  
13  
14 was still significantly higher on limed plots, while nitrogen (N) concentrations were lower.  
15  
16

17  
18 On fertilised plots, soil carbon (C) and N concentrations were lower compared to unfertilised  
19  
20 plots. However, litter quality (C and N concentrations, near infrared spectroscopy [NIRS]  
21  
22 data) was similar for both treatments. Vegetation composition, but not species richness, nor  
23  
24 Shannon-Wiener or evenness differed between limed and unlimed plots, and fertilised and  
25  
26 unfertilised plots. Liming explained about 18% and fertilisation about 6% of the variability of  
27  
28 the vegetation composition. These changes in the vegetation composition are probably due to  
29  
30 lower abundances of former dominant grass species and to an increase in generalist grasses.  
31  
32 However, these changes did not influence the total aboveground productivity, which was  
33  
34 similar for all treatments. Tissue N and C concentrations and NIRS data indicated a changed  
35  
36 chemical composition of the biomass which persisted during time.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 We conclude that the three years of fertilisation and liming did substantially influence the  
47  
48 vegetation composition at our site and lead to an increase in the agricultural value of the  
49  
50 grassland. These changes are long-lasting as they changed key features of the functioning in  
51  
52 the soil of grasslands ecosystems. From an ecological point of view, specialised vegetation  
53  
54 was replaced by generalist species leading to a trivialisation of the vegetation.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**Keywords:** long-term fertilisation experiment; ecosystem resilience; soil-plant interactions;

pH; phosphorus; French Alps

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

# 1 Introduction

Species rich acid grasslands in mountain areas dominated by nard grass (*Nardus stricta* L.), classified as NATURA 2000 habitat type 6230, are widely distributed throughout Europe and harbour some valuable species. Nevertheless, the area occupied has declined in Europe in the last decades through either intensification of agricultural practices or land abandonment and too low intensive use. Species rich acid grasslands are prairies of low to intermediate productivity, which are in general used for extensive cattle grazing and their floristic composition has coevolved with these practises. In order to sustain a higher cattle stocking rate, different attempts have been made to increase their productivity since the early 1930s, many of which led to a decrease of the floristic diversity of these previously species rich acid grasslands (Schellberg *et al.*, 1999).

Long-term studies have shown that plant species richness, biomass and pH are related and that fertilisation has a negative effect upon species richness through increases in biomass (Crawley *et al.*, 2005; Silvertown *et al.*, 2006). However, once the fertilisation treatments are stopped, productivity of former fertilised grasslands decreases and vegetation composition and species richness approach pre-treatment levels. This has been shown for nitrogen fertilisation, while phosphorus fertilisation seems to have a more persistent effect (Smits *et al.*, 2008).

Soil amendments, in particular fertiliser or lime, increase the soil nutrient availability and change the pH, a key determinant of microbial community composition (Bååth and Anderson, 2003). Changes in the belowground ecosystem induced by soil amendments are likely to

1 favour competitive plant species that have high rates of nutrient acquisition, high relative  
2 growth rates, and high tissue nutrient concentrations. Leaf litter of these plants is often  
3  
4 favourable to decomposers and breaks down more rapidly than that of plant species adapted to  
5  
6 low-nutrient conditions (Cornelissen *et al.*, 1999). This feedback between aboveground and  
7  
8 belowground food communities may generate relatively stable associations and thereby  
9  
10 decreases the ecosystem's resilience or even drive the ecosystem to an alternative state  
11  
12  
13 (Wardle, 2002).  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 Changing the soil nutrient availability through soil amendments raises questions about  
20  
21 ecosystem resilience which is defined as the time an ecosystems needs to recover from a  
22  
23 perturbation or to attain another stable state (May, 1973). Resilience is affected by a number  
24  
25 of ecosystem properties including nutrient cycling and it is supposed that the resilience of an  
26  
27 ecosystem depends on its nutrient turnover rate (DeAngelis *et al.*, 1989). Consequently,  
28  
29 resilience to perturbations and in particular to changes in key ecosystem properties (pH or P)  
30  
31 of grassland ecosystems under harsh environmental conditions may be particularly low  
32  
33  
34 (Spiegelberger *et al.*, 2006; Hejman *et al.*, 2007) and may even be irreversible (Semelová *et*  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

66 Here an experiment is presented in which the resilience of a subalpine grassland and the  
67  
68 effects of liming and fertilisation 15 year after cessation of treatments were investigated. On a  
69  
70 grassland dominated by nard grass in the French Alps, lime and fertiliser were applied from  
71  
72 1989 to 1992. Within a few years vegetation composition changed significantly (Brau-Nogue,  
73  
74 1996). The main hypothesis under study is that despite high leaching due to precipitation, soil  
75  
76 but also plant chemical properties continue to be influenced by soil amendments, that  
77  
78 vegetation composition still differs between treated and control plots and that in consequence,  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

15 years are not enough to allow returning of key ecosystem components towards the initial state.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Study site and experimental design

The experiment was set up in 1989 in a mountain pasture in the Northern French Alps (45°46'N; 6°35'E) on a subalpine grassland. It is situated on a south facing slope of 40° at an altitude of 2000 m asl. The 10-year mean annual temperature is ca. 5°C and mean annual precipitation is about 1600 mm, with about 500 mm falling during the summer months (<http://climatheque.meteo.fr>). At the beginning of the study, the soil (calcschist) was of low fertility (total N: 5.4%; total C: 34%; CaO : 0.07% ; P2O5 : 0.009% ; K2O : 0.09% ; MgO : 0.03%) and had a pH of 5.0 (Brau-Nogue, 1996). At this time, the site was dominated by *Deschampsia flexuosa* L. and *N. stricta* (cf. annex 1). Further species with an average cover of 5% to 8% were *Potentilla erecta* L., *Leontodon* sp. L., *Geum montanum* L., and *Gentiana kochiana* L. (Brau-Nogue, 1996). The site had been traditionally used as a dairy pasture without additional fertilisation for many centuries but management has become very extensive since the 1950s with low summer grazing pressure and no fertilisation. Since the beginning of the study, the site was grazed mainly by heifers with about 0.2 livestock units per ha.

Twelve plots (2 x 10 m each, the long side parallel to the main altitudinal gradient) were arranged in three randomized blocks. The blocks were each separated by a 1 x 10 m path and arranged in a single row. Two fertiliser treatments, liming as calcium carbonate (from hereon “liming” or Ca) and Thomas Slag (a by-product from steel manufacture process, rich in lime



1 was packed into a sample cell with a quartz window and the spectrum recorded by a  
2 Fourier49 transform NIR spectrophotometer Antaris II (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.,  
3 Milano, Italy). Each spectrum was produced of 32 averaged scans of the sample.  
4  
5 Measurements were made over a range of 1000 nm to 2500 nm to produce a spectrum with  
6  
7 6224 reflectance points. Reflectance (R) of monochromatic light was converted to absorbance  
8  
9 (A) using the equation  $A = \log(1/R)$ .  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14

### 15 **2.3 Data analysis**

16  
17 Mean species diversity per plot was calculated using the Shannon diversity index:  $H' = -\sum p_i$   
18  
19  $(\log(p_i + 1))$  where  $p_i$  is the vegetation cover per 1-m<sup>2</sup> subplot for each species i per 1-m<sup>2</sup>  
20  
21 subplot. Evenness was computed as  $J = H' / \log(S)$  where S is the species richness. Species  
22  
23 richness (S) is the cumulative number of species encountered across the three subplots. The  
24  
25 forage quality was characterized by the pastoral value (VP) calculated as :  $VP = 0.2 \sum (p_i \times I_{s_i})$   
26  
27 where  $p_i$  is the vegetation cover per 1-m<sup>2</sup> subplot accounted for by species i in vegetation  
28  
29 relevés, and where  $I_{s_i}$  is the specific index of forage quality of species i (Daget and Poissonet,  
30  
31 1972). Landolt mean indicator indices for nutrients (N-value 1-5: very nutrient poor to very  
32  
33 nutrient rich) and for soil reaction or pH (R-value 1-5: very acid to very basic) were  
34  
35 calculated from ecological indicator values for individual plants produced by Landolt (1977).  
36  
37 Scores were weighted to take account of the relative contribution of each species to the total  
38  
39 vegetation cover.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 Univariate data were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) to test for the effect of  
51  
52 liming, fertilisation and their interaction. Analyses were performed for the species richness  
53  
54 and diversity, individual plant species, forage quality, biomass, N- and C-content of soil, litter  
55  
56 and vegetation, pH and Landolt mean indicator indices using the factor block, liming and  
57  
58 fertilisation and the interaction between liming and fertilisation.  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2 Multivariate analysis of the vegetation composition and of the standardised and centred NIRS  
3  
4 spectra were carried out using redundancy analysis (RDA), a constrained form of principal  
5  
6 component analysis (Leps and Smilauer, 2003). Vegetation data were log-transformed prior to  
7  
8 analysis and, to eliminate interferences of scatter, the spectral data were transformed with first  
9  
10 and second derivative processing. RDA was carried out with the derivatives for the  
11  
12 wavelength range from 1650 to 1750 nm. Variables used in the analysis were block,  
13  
14 fertilisation and liming, and were tested using a Monte Carlo permutation test (999  
15  
16 permutations). ANOVAs were carried out using R statistical language (version 2.4.1) and  
17  
18 corresponding packages. RDA was calculated by Canoco (version 4.5).  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

## 25 **3 Results**

### 26 **3.1 Soil and litter**

27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 Soil pH was still significantly influenced by liming and the interaction between Ca x PK  
34  
35 (Table 1). The interaction showed that the increase in pH from unlimed to limed plots was  
36  
37 significantly higher when plots were not fertilised (Fig. 1). However, soil pH was higher on  
38  
39 limed compared to unlimed plots ( $5.9 \pm 0.05$  vs.  $5.3 \pm 0.05$  pH units). Soil N concentrations  
40  
41 were lower in limed compared to unlimed plots ( $0.8 \pm 0.05$  % vs.  $1.0 \pm 0.05$  %) and in  
42  
43 fertilised compared to unfertilised plots ( $0.8 \pm 0.06$  % vs.  $1.0 \pm 0.04$  %). Soil C concentration  
44  
45 was not influenced by liming ( $11.0 \pm 0.91$  % vs.  $12.7 \pm 0.83$  %), but fertilised had a lower C  
46  
47 concentration than unfertilised plots ( $10.7 \pm 0.92$  % vs.  $12.9 \pm 0.78$  %). Soil C:N ratio was not  
48  
49 influenced by the treatments ( $P > 0.05$ ). Soil quality assessed through RDA of the NIRS  
50  
51 spectra did not reveal changes due to treatments ( $P > 0.05$ ) and about 80 % of the variability  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57 of the data remained unexplained.  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Liming and fertilisation both increased Landolt N-values (Ca: +0.21; PK: +0.22, Table 1).

Similarly to soil pH, liming increased the Landolt R-value (+0.29), which was also higher after fertilisation (+0.24, Table 1).

Neither N nor C content of the litter was significantly affected by liming or fertilisation ( $F < 2.1$ ;  $P > 0.2$ ). Correspondingly, RDA on litter chemical composition assessed through NIRS did not reveal any treatment effect ( $P > 0.3$ ).

### 3.2 Vegetation

There was no treatment effect on species richness per 1 m<sup>2</sup> (overall mean:  $31.6 \pm 0.89$ ; range: 23 to 35 plant species), neither on Shannon diversity index (overall mean:  $2.93 \pm 0.023$ ), nor on evenness index (overall mean:  $0.95 \pm 0.002$ ; for both  $F < 2.1$ ,  $P > 0.2$ ). However, 15 years after the last application, liming and fertilisation still had a significant effect on vegetation composition. Liming accounted for 18.9 % of the variability in vegetation composition ( $F = 9.2$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ), fertilisation for 6.4 % ( $F = 3.1$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ), their interaction for 2.0 % ( $P > 0.1$ ), and blocks for 11.6 % (not tested).

Plots that were neither limed nor fertilised were dominated by *N. stricta*, *F. rubra* and *D. flexuosa* while plots which received lime were characterized by a low proportion of the initially dominant acidophilous grasses *N. stricta* and *D. flexuosa* and a higher proportion of the generalist grasses *F. rubra* and *Phleum alpinum* L. (Table 2). The proportion of forbs or legumes typical of nutrient-poor grasslands such as *T. alpinum*, *G. kochiana*, or *Arnica montana* L. was lower in limed plots. Plots that were only fertilised presented intermediate proportions of *N. stricta* and *F. rubra*. In contrast to limed plots, *T. alpinum* was not reduced on plots only fertilised. Plots that were both limed and fertilised were characterized by a very high proportion of legumes that were hardly present on unlimed and unfertilised plots

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

(*Trifolium badium* Schreb., *Trifolium repens* L., *Trifolium pratense* L., Table 2) and by the absence of *T. alpinum*.

Fifteen years after cessation of application, total above-ground biomass was similar for all treatments (overall mean: 2.17 t ha<sup>-1</sup>;  $F < 0.4$ ,  $P > 0.5$ ). However, plant tissue N and C concentrations were still affected by liming and fertilisation. Herbage N concentration was significantly higher in fertilised plots than in unfertilised plots ( $2.2 \pm 0.06$  % vs.  $1.9 \pm 0.04$  %) and herbage C concentration was significantly lower in limed plots than in unlimed plots ( $42.1 \pm 0.44$  % vs.  $44.1 \pm 0.25$  %, Table 3). Plant tissue C:N ratio was significantly lower on fertilised than in unfertilised plots ( $20.0 \pm 0.55$  vs.  $23.4 \pm 0.49$ ).

The RDA ordination conducted on NIRS spectra of plant tissue composition showed a tendency for liming and was significantly affected by fertilisation (Ca:  $F = 2.6$ ,  $P = 0.066$ ; PK:  $F = 6.5$ ;  $P = 0.002$ ). Consequently, liming accounted for 6.0 % of the spectra variability, fertilisation for 14.7 %, and blocks for 8.5 %.

Liming and fertilisation still had a strong effect on the pastoral value after 15 years of cessation of the amendments. The pastoral value was 42 % higher on limed plots than on unlimed plots ( $30 \pm 1.9$  vs.  $21 \pm 1.5$ ;  $F = 34.3$ ;  $p = 0.001$ ) probably due to a reduction of the cover of *N.stricta* ( $I_s = 0$ ) and *D. flexuosa* ( $I_s = 1$ ), both acidophilous grass species with low nutritive quality. On fertilised plots, pastoral value was 43 % higher than on non-fertilised plots ( $30 \pm 2.0$  vs.  $21 \pm 1.4$ ;  $F = 35.7$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ) due to an important proportion of legumes of high nutritive quality on these plots.

## 4 Discussion

1 Species rich subalpine acid grasslands, regardless their management background (grazing or  
2 hay making) and the period when they were started (1930's, 1960's or 1980/90's) seem to  
3  
4 respond in a similar way to short-term perturbations and manipulation of soil nutrient  
5  
6 availability. Other long-term experiments in the Swiss Alps where lime and fertiliser were  
7  
8 added from 1932 to 1935 (Lüdi, 1959; Dähler, 1992; Hegg *et al.*, 1992; Spiegelberger *et al.*,  
9  
10 2006) and the Czech Giant Mountains (Hejcman *et al.*, 2007; Klaudivsova *et al.*, 2009) where  
11  
12 lime and fertiliser were added from 1965 to 1967 showed similar patterns in their resilience  
13  
14 compared to the here presented experiment.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 In our study above-ground productivity quickly increased by about 40 % during the first 3  
23  
24 years following liming and fertilisation (unpubl. data), probably as a consequence of an  
25  
26 increased availability of soil nutrients, but this trend reversed when the treatments were  
27  
28 stopped. A similar pattern was found at Schynige Platte (Lüdi, 1959) where biomass  
29  
30 production began to decrease in the early 1940s, five years after cessation of the treatments.  
31  
32

33 So consistently, above-ground biomass of mountain grasslands seems to reverse – similarly to  
34  
35 experiments in lowlands (Willems and Nieuwstadt, 1996; Hrevusova *et al.*, 2009) – to its  
36  
37 original level within about a decade, while other ecosystem properties (e.g. vegetation  
38  
39 composition or soil chemical composition, but see below) still remain changed.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 The vegetation composition was still remarkably different between limed and unlimed plots.  
47  
48 Already after three consecutive years of liming vegetation composition was substantially  
49  
50 changed: the proportion of *N. stricta* declined from 20 % to 10 % in the limed plots until  
51  
52 1997, when *N. stricta* represented only 2 % of the vegetation cover (Brau-Nogue, 1996). Ten  
53  
54 years later, i.e. 15 years after cessation of the treatments, acidophilous grasses (*N. stricta* and  
55  
56 *D. flexuosa*) and forbs and legumes typical of nutrient-poor habitats (*A. montana*, *Campanula*  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

*barbata* L., *Homogyne alpina* Cass., *T. alpinum*), which initially were dominant, were still less abundant on limed plots than more generalist species and more valuable fodder grasses (*F. rubra*, *P. alpinum*, *T. pratense*) and did not (yet) recover from this disturbance.

Similarly, vegetation composition between fertilised and unfertilised plots was 15 years after cessation of the treatments still different. In contrast to other studies (Willems and Nieuwstadt, 1996), it was not the cover of large grasses, but the cover of legumes which was particularly different between fertilised and unfertilised plots (cf. Table 2). Phosphorus fertilisation has been shown to have long-term effects on plant composition because it is not effectively removed through harvesting (Ekholm *et al.*, 2005) and tends to accumulate in the soil as calcium-phosphate. As a consequence, vegetation composition remains often changed for several years after cessation of fertiliser applications (Marini *et al.*, 2007). However, while vegetation composition is still different, resilience of the species richness and biomass was achieved after 15 years as already shown for other grasslands (Hrevusova *et al.*, 2009).

Despite this shift in vegetation composition in both treatments towards species commonly seen as more productive, aboveground biomass did not reflect this difference. We suggest that an initial fertilisation leads to a higher plant N concentration, which would make this plant material more easily degradable (Knorr *et al.*, 2005). However, while we detected changes through NIRS-analysis in the leaf quality due to liming, we did not detect such changes neither in the litter, nor in the soil quality. Interestingly, both liming and fertilisation led to a depletion of soil N concentration, but plant N concentration was only higher in the fertilised plots and not in limed ones.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Liming quickly increased the soil pH and soil nutrient availability after three years and induced a change in the vegetation composition (Brau-Nogue, 1996). With time, it can be expected that soil returns to initially poor and acid conditions. However, our results show that 15 years later soil pH is still affected by liming, as pH on plots that received lime was still higher than on control plots. The difference in pH is relatively small (5.93 vs. 5.25) but represents an about 30 % higher H<sup>+</sup>-concentration in the unlimed compared to the limed plots.

The long-term effects on vegetation are also visible through the strong increase of the pastoral value. 15 years after the last treatment, pastoral value is about 40 % higher on limed and fertilised plots than on control plots indicating that fodder quality can be improved for a long period of time with low input of lime and fertilizer. However, we did not observe any long term effects of treatments on species richness or diversity. Long-term effects of liming on vegetation composition have been observed in other experiments (Hegg *et al.*, 1992; Hejman *et al.*, 2007; Klaudisova *et al.*, 2009). They are mainly attributed to direct and indirect effects of lime through increase of the soil pH and improvement of the nutrient availability.

Acidophilous and oligotrophic species are often disadvantaged by a higher Ca<sup>2+</sup> as these species are not physiologically adapted to acid soils and do not withstand an increase in Ca<sup>2+</sup> concentration and indirectly by competitive exclusion (Grime *et al.*, 2007).

In conclusion, our study shows that even short-term soil amendments in species rich mountain grasslands dominated by nard grass can substantially increase their pastoral values. This increase may persist over periods of time that far exceed the period of influence on biomass, due to changes in vegetation composition or nutrient content. However, from a conservational point of view, these ecosystems, which stand on the priority list of the EU-habitats, may persistently be altered as some typical plant species were replaced on the long-term by much

1 more common species. Management methods commonly used to manage such ecosystems as  
2 frequent cutting may not be sufficient to allow recovery from disturbances like soil  
3  
4 amendments. We highly recommend not only using one (easily measurable) ecosystem  
5  
6 component such as above-ground biomass to estimate the resilience of those habitats, but  
7  
8 rather assessing the state of the ecosystem return towards its initial composition through  
9  
10 vegetation composition and soil chemical properties.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

## 17 **Acknowledgments**

18  
19  
20 We are grateful to Frédéric Braisaz who allowed André Bornard to install the experiment in  
21  
22 1989. Financial support for field work was provided by Cemagref. Comments by A. Buttler,  
23  
24 R. Eschen, K. Lannas, G. Loucougaray, the editor and two anonymous reviewers on a  
25  
26 previous version did substantially improve the manuscript.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

## 32 **References**

- 33  
34  
35 Bååth, E., Anderson, T.H., 2003. Comparison of soil fungal/bacterial ratios in a pH gradient  
36  
37 using physiological and PLFA-based techniques. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 35, 955-963.  
38  
39  
40 Brau-Nogue, C., 1996. Dynamique des pelouses d'alpages laitiers des Alpes du Nord externes.  
41  
42 Département de Biologie, Joseph Fourier, Grenoble, France.  
43  
44  
45 Cornelissen, J.H.C., Pérez-Harguindeguy, N., Diaz, S., Grime, J.P., Marzano, B., Cabido, M.,  
46  
47 Vendramini, F., Cerabolini, B., 1999. Leaf structure and defence control litter  
48  
49 decomposition rate across species and life forms in regional floras on two continents. *New*  
50  
51 *Phytol.* 143, 191-200.  
52  
53  
54  
55 Crawley, M.J., Johnston, A.E., Silvertown, J., Dodd, M., Mazancourt, C.d., Heard, M.S.,  
56  
57 Henman, D.F., Edwards, G.R., 2005. Determinants of species richness in the park grass  
58  
59 experiment. *Am. Nat.* 165, 179-192.  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Daget, P., Poissonet, J., 1972. Un procédé d'estimation de la valeur pastorale des pâturages. *Fourrages* 49, 31-39.
- Dähler, W., 1992. Long term influence of fertilization in a Nardetum. *Vegetatio* 103, 135-140.
- DeAngelis, D.L., Bartell, S.M., Brenkert, A.L., 1989. Effects of nutrient recycling and food-chain length on resilience. *Am. Nat.* 134, 778-805.
- Ekholm, P., Turtola, E., Gronroos, J., Seuri, P., Ylivainio, K., 2005. Phosphorus loss from different farming systems estimated from soil surface phosphorus balance. *Agric. Ecosys. Environ.* 110, 266-278.
- Grime, J.P., Hodgson, J.G., Hunt, R., 2007. *Comparative Plant Ecology*. Castlepoint Press, Dalbeattie.
- Hegg, O., Feller, U., Dähler, W., Scherrer, C., 1992. Long term influence of fertilization in a Nardetum. *Vegetatio* 103, 151-158.
- Hejman, M., Klaudivova, M., Stursa, J., Pavlu, V., Schellberg, J., Hejmanova, P., Hakl, J., Rauch, O., Vacek, S., 2007. Revisiting a 37 years abandoned fertilizer experiment on *Nardus* grassland in the Czech Republic. *Agric. Ecosys. Environ.* 118, 231-236.
- Hrevusova, Z., Hejman, M., Pavlu, V.V., Hakl, J., Klaudivova, M., Mrkvicka, J., 2009. Long-term dynamics of biomass production, soil chemical properties and plant species composition of alluvial grassland after the cessation of fertilizer application in the Czech Republic. *Agric. Ecosys. Environ.* 130, 123-130.
- Klaudivova, M., Hejman, M., Pavlu, V., 2009. Long-term residual effect of short-term fertilizer application on Ca, N and P concentrations in grasses *Nardus stricta* L. and *Avenella flexuosa* L. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.* 85, 187-193.
- Knorr, M., Frey, S.D., Curtis, P.S., 2005. Nitrogen additions and litter decomposition: a meta-analysis. *Ecology* 86, 3252-3257.

- 1  
2 Landolt, E., 1977. Ökologischer Zeigerwert zur Schweizer Flora. Veröffentlichungen des  
3 Geobotanischen Instituts ETH, Zürich.
- 4  
5 Leps, J., Smilauer, P., 2003. Multivariate Analysis of Ecological Data using CANOCO.  
6  
7 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 8  
9  
10 Lüdi, W., 1959. Versuche zur Alpweidenverbesserung auf der Schynigen Platte bei  
11  
12 Interlaken. Alpengarten Schynige Platte, Interlaken, pp. 1-8.
- 13  
14  
15 Marini, L., Scotton, M., Klimek, S., Isselstein, J., Pecile, A., 2007. Effects of local factors on  
16  
17 plant species richness and composition of Alpine meadows. *Agric. Ecosys. Environ.* 119,  
18  
19 281-288.
- 20  
21  
22 May, R.M., 1973. *Stability and Complexity in Model Ecosystems*. Princeton University Press,  
23  
24 Princeton, NJ.
- 25  
26  
27 Schellberg, J., Moseler, B.M., Kuhbauch, W., Rademacher, I.F., 1999. Long-term effects of  
28  
29 fertilizer on soil nutrient concentration, yield, forage quality and floristic composition of a  
30  
31 hay meadow in the Eifel mountains, Germany. *Grass Forage Sci.* 54, 195-207.
- 32  
33  
34 Semelová, V., Hejzman, M., Pavl, V., Vacek, S., Podrázský, V., 2008. The Grass Garden in  
35  
36 the Giant Mts. (Czech Republic): Residual effect of long-term fertilization after 62 years.  
37  
38 *Agric. Ecosys. Environ.* 123, 337-342.
- 39  
40  
41 Silvertown, J., Poulton, P., Johnston, E., Edwards, G., Heard, M., Biss, P.M., 2006. The Park  
42  
43 Grass Experiment 1856-2006: Its contribution to ecology. *J. Ecol.* 94, 801-814.
- 44  
45  
46 Smits, N.A.C., Willems, J.H., Bobbink, R., 2008. Long-term after-effects of fertilisation on  
47  
48 the restoration of calcareous grasslands. *Appl. Veg. Sci.* 11, 279-U292.
- 49  
50  
51 Spiegelberger, T., Hegg, O., Matthies, D., Hedlund, K., Schaffner, U., 2006. Long-term  
52  
53 effects of short-term perturbation in a sub-alpine grassland. *Ecology* 87, 1939-1944.
- 54  
55  
56 Wardle, D.A., 2002. *Communities and Ecosystems - Linking the Aboveground and*  
57  
58 *Belowground Components*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- 59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Willems, J.H., Nieuwstadt, M.G.L.v., 1996. Long-term after effects of fertilization on above-ground phytomass and species diversity in calcareous grassland. *J. Veg. Sci.* 7, 177-184.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Table 1: Analysis of variance model of the effects of block, liming (Ca) and fertilisation (PK), and the interaction of liming and fertilisation (Ca x PK) on soil pH, soil N and C-content and soil R and N-Landolt values of a subalpine acid grassland 15 years after abandonment of the treatments. Significant *P*-values ( $P < 0.05$ ) are highlighted.

	Df	pH		N-content		C-content		N-value		R-value	
		<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>
Block	2	1.3	0.283	2.0	0.215	0.2	0.837	<b>12.2</b>	<b>0.008</b>	3.2	0.113
Ca	1	<b>134.4</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>0.020</b>	4.0	0.093	<b>38.6</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	<b>32.0</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>
PK	1	0	0.926	<b>9.0</b>	<b>0.024</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>0.045</b>	<b>41.4</b>	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	<b>22.5</b>	<b>0.003</b>
Ca x PK	1	<b>14.9</b>	<b>0.001</b>	2.1	0.195	3.1	0.128	<b>11.8</b>	<b>0.014</b>	2.8	0.145
Residuals	30										

**Table 2**[Click here to download Tables: Table 2.doc](#)

Table 2: Mean percent cover ( $\pm$  SE) of the most abundant plant species per plot in each treatment and analysis of variance model for liming and fertilisation 15 years after abandonment of the treatments. Significant  $P$ -values ( $P < 0.05$ ) are highlighted.

	R-	N-	Is-	Liming				Fertilisation			
	value	value	value	Ca -	Ca +	$F$	$P$	PK -	PK +	$F$	$P$
<i>Arnica montana</i>	2	2	0	2.1 $\pm$ 0.77	0.2 $\pm$ 0.13	<b>7.2</b>	<b>0.036</b>	0.3 $\pm$ 0.18	2.0 $\pm$ 0.77	5.7	0.055
<i>Deschampsia flexuosa</i>	2	2	1	9.8 $\pm$ 1.02	5.4 $\pm$ 0.79	<b>10.9</b>	<b>0.016</b>	8.2 $\pm$ 0.88	7.0 $\pm$ 1.17	0.9	0.388
<i>Festuca rubra</i>	3	3	2	12.7 $\pm$ 1.26	22.5 $\pm$ 1.90	<b>7.8</b>	<b>0.031</b>	18.2 $\pm$ 2.42	16.9 $\pm$ 1.46	0.1	0.729
<i>Gentiana kochiana</i>	2	2	0	2.3 $\pm$ 0.27	1.2 $\pm$ 0.25	<b>11.5</b>	<b>0.015</b>	2.2 $\pm$ 0.27	1.3 $\pm$ 0.28	<b>6.6</b>	<b>0.042</b>
<i>Nardus stricta</i>	2	2	0	12.9 $\pm$ 2.44	2.0 $\pm$ 0.50	<b>21.1</b>	<b>0.004</b>	9.9 $\pm$ 2.77	4.9 $\pm$ 1.21	3.0	0.135
<i>Phleum alpinum</i>	2	2	3	5.9 $\pm$ 1.27	7.8 $\pm$ 0.94	<b>6.2</b>	<b>0.047</b>	5.7 $\pm$ 1.26	8.1 $\pm$ 0.91	<b>8.6</b>	<b>0.026</b>
<i>Trifolium alpinum</i>	2	2	3	4.3 $\pm$ 0.74	0.2 $\pm$ 0.10	<b>23.6</b>	<b>0.003</b>	2.5 $\pm$ 0.77	2.0 $\pm$ 0.66	0.5	0.515
<i>Trifolium badium</i>	4	3	2	0.1 $\pm$ 0.11	4.5 $\pm$ 2.36	<b>13.5</b>	<b>0.010</b>	0.7 $\pm$ 0.36	3.9 $\pm$ 2.39	2.7	0.150
<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	3	3	4	3.9 $\pm$ 1.45	8.6 $\pm$ 1.65	<b>33.9</b>	<b>0.001</b>	3.0 $\pm$ 0.93	9.4 $\pm$ 1.84	<b>40.5</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<i>Trifolium repens</i>	3	4	4	0.4 $\pm$ 0.20	3.8 $\pm$ 1.82	<b>13.5</b>	<b>0.010</b>	0.7 $\pm$ 0.34	3.5 $\pm$ 1.82	<b>6.5</b>	<b>0.044</b>

Table 3: Analysis of variance model of the effects of block, liming (Ca) and fertilisation (PK), and the interaction of liming and fertilisation (Ca x PK) on plant tissue N- and C-content of a subalpine acid grassland 15 years after abandonment of the treatments. Significant *P*-values ( $P < 0.05$ ) are highlighted.

	Df	N-content		C-content		C/N	
		<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>
Block	2	<b>6.2</b>	<b>0.035</b>	0.7	0.526	4.7	0.058
Ca	1	0.8	0.398	<b>19.1</b>	<b>0.005</b>	4.9	0.068
PK	1	<b>32.1</b>	<b>0.001</b>	5.4	0.059	<b>27.4</b>	<b>0.002</b>
Ca x PK	1	0.6	0.610	0.05	0.835	0.006	0.941
Residuals	30						




Fig. 1: Mean soil pH and SE of a subalpine acid grassland 15 years after abandonment of liming and fertilisation (PK). White colons: no liming (Ca<sup>-</sup>); gray colons: liming (Ca<sup>+</sup>). Dotted lines were added to visualise the stronger increase in pH when plots were not fertilised. For statistics see Table 1.

Annex 1: Relative cover of plant species assessed by point intercept method of a subalpine acid grassland in 1989 before the start of the fertilisation and liming treatments (data taken from Brau-Nogue, 1996).

	Plot 1	Plot 2	Plot 3	Plot 4	Plot 5	Plot 6	Plot 7	Plot 8	Plot 9	Plot 10	Plot 11	Plot 12	Mean
<i>Deschampsia flexuosa</i>	23.5	17.3	21.5	24.8	15.7	23.3	20.8	18.4	17.6	15.8	24.7	17.9	20.1
<i>Nardus stricta</i>	14.1	18.5	15.1	16.8	22.5	14.0	12.5	11.5	25.0	21.1	19.8	17.9	17.4
<i>Potentilla erecta</i>	5.9	9.9	7.5	5.0	6.7	7.0	5.6	13.8	11.8	11.8	11.1	5.1	8.4
<i>Leontodon sp.</i>	9.4	14.8	12.9	13.9	12.4	8.1	2.8	6.9	0.0	2.6	2.5	2.6	7.4
<i>Geum montanum</i>	5.9	8.6	10.8	5.0	15.7	5.8	6.9	5.7	10.3	5.3	2.5	2.6	7.1
<i>Gentiana kochiana</i>	1.2	7.4	1.1	2.0	7.9	4.7	4.2	3.4	5.9	3.9	8.6	6.4	4.7
<i>Festuca rubra</i>	7.1	3.7	4.3	5.0	2.2	1.2	9.7	6.9	1.5	1.3	1.2	6.4	4.2
<i>Trifolium alpinum</i>	7.1	6.2	2.2	5.9	1.1	3.5	2.8	3.4	2.9	1.3	3.7	7.7	4.0
<i>Meum athamanticum</i>	3.5	0.0	2.2	3.0	1.1	2.3	8.3	6.9	4.4	5.3	2.5	3.8	3.6
<i>Campanula barbata</i>	2.4	2.5	4.3	3.0	1.1	2.3	1.4	5.7	2.9	1.3	3.7	1.3	2.7
<i>Euphorbia sp.</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	5.9	10.5	4.9	9.0	2.6
<i>Plantago alpina</i>	0.0	2.5	3.2	3.0	2.2	4.7	1.4	3.4	5.9	1.3	1.2	1.3	2.5
<i>Phleum alpinum</i>	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	4.5	7.0	2.8	1.1	0.0	1.3	2.5	5.1	2.1
<i>Potentilla aurea</i>	2.4	1.2	2.2	4.0	2.2	5.8	1.4	1.1	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.9
<i>Luzula sp.</i>	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.0	2.2	1.2	0.0	1.1	0.0	1.3	2.5	1.3	1.1
<i>Vaccinium myrtillus</i>	1.2	0.0	3.2	1.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	2.6	1.1
<i>Arnica montana</i>	2.4	2.5	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7

<i>Phyteuma sp.</i>	3.5	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.7
<i>Hypericum sp.</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.6
<i>Anthoxanthum odoratum</i>	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	2.3	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.5
<i>Homogyne alpina</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	1.4	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.3
<i>Hieracium sp.</i>	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
<i>Silene vulgaris</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.2
<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
<i>Viola sp.</i>	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
<i>Avenula versicolor</i>	0.0	1.2	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2
<i>Carex sempervirens</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
<i>Polygala sp.</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
<i>Ranunculus sp.</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.1
<i>Agrostis vulgaris</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.1
<i>Ranunculus acris</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.1
<i>Poa alpina</i>	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
<i>Cerastium sp.</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
<i>Crocus vernus</i>	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
<i>Ranunculus breyninus</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1

---