

Rejuvenation in distinct cell populations – what does it mean?

Ralf Hass

▶ To cite this version:

Ralf Hass. Rejuvenation in distinct cell populations – what does it mean?. Experimental Gerontology, 2009, 44 (10), pp.634. 10.1016/j.exger.2009.07.006 . hal-00520355

HAL Id: hal-00520355 https://hal.science/hal-00520355

Submitted on 23 Sep 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Accepted Manuscript

Rejuvenation in distinct cell populations - what does it mean?

Ralf Hass

PII:S0531-5565(09)00143-0DOI:10.1016/j.exger.2009.07.006Reference:EXG 8629

To appear in: *Experimental Gerontology*

Received Date:14 May 2009Revised Date:20 July 2009Accepted Date:22 July 2009



Please cite this article as: Hass, R., Rejuvenation in distinct cell populations – what does it mean?, *Experimental Gerontology* (2009), doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2009.07.006

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Rejuvenation in distinct cell populations - what does it mean?

Ralf Hass

Clinic of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Biochemistry and Tumor Biology Lab, Medical University Hannover, D-30625 Hannover, Germany

<u>key words</u>: regeneration; aging; senescence; retrodifferentiation; longevity; tumorigenesis; life span;

address for correspondence and reprints: Ralf Hass Clinic of Obstetrics and Gynecology Biochemistry and Tumor Biology Lab Medical University Hannover Carl-Neuberg-Str. 1 D-30625 Hannover, Germany Phone: +49-511-532-6070; Fax: +49-511-532-6071 Email: hass.ralf@mh-hannover.de

Abstract

Rejuvenation represents a well organized and tightly regulated cellular process in vitro and in vivo, whereby senescent and/or certain differentiated cells revert specific properties acquired during previous steps of maturation to restore again a younger phenotype. Effects of the microenvironment and cellular mechanisms including asymmetric mitosis or retrodifferentiation can contribute to rejuvenation during a dynamic cellular development in contrast to terminally differentiated cells like unicellular organisms, which appear unable to retrodifferentiate and to rejuvenate. The process of rejuvenation is observed in distinct cell populations and includes a coordinated multistep network of transduction cascades with extracellular signalling and cell-to-cell communication to relay intracellular pathways. This provides a certain tissue homeostasis by a regenerative potential and renewal upon tissue-specific repair requirements in addition to residual stem cells, which can vary among different organs and species to extend their life span. However, dysfunctions within a rejuvenation program may also include the risk of neoplastic growth during such a retrograde development. In contrast to rejuvenation in certain cell types, a life span extension – also termed longevity – does not represent a retrograde development but an overall prolonged function of tissues, organs and/or whole organisms. Thus, rejuvenation of a distinct cell population could contribute to longevity of the corresponding organism but may not necessarily be required since longevity could also be achieved mechanistically by inhibition of the mTOR-mediated signalling pathway or by sufficient supply of anti-oxidative defence compounds, physiologically by nutrient restrictions, genetically by the induction of longevity genes or environmentally by the inhibition of aging.

Cell models of rejuvenation in vivo and in vitro

Rejuvenation in vitro and in vivo has been observed and characterized in a variety of different cell types and can be triggered by different mechanisms, including asymmetric cell division or retrodifferentiation as a retrograde development to a more juvenile phenotype (Fig. 1). Thus, rejuvenation has been described in a regained pluripotency of unmobilised adult human peripheral blood mononuclear cells in response to a CR3/43 monoclonal antibody directed against the human monomorphic region of the beta chain of HLA-DP, DQ and DR. These mononuclear cells rejuvenated into pluripotent progenitors cells and displayed again certain properties associated with stem cells [Abuljadayel, 2003]. Moreover, in vitro rejuvenation has been detected in an accompanying retrodifferentiation system with human myeloid cell lines, involving signaling of poly(ADPribose)polymerase-1 (PARP-1) [Selle et al., 2007]. Further rejuvenation studies using an in vivo approach in a drosophila model demonstrated a reversion of spermatogonia back to the stem cell phenotype. This effect was dependent on a functional Jak-STAT signalling pathway [Brawley and Matunis, 2004]. A retrograde development from unipotent testicular cells to form pluripotent stem cells with a germline-derived phenotype has been recently observed without further stimulation in vitro and in vivo [Ko et al., 2009]. This process was determined by clonal analysis and suggested effects of the microenvironment and intercellular communication for cellular establishing а retrodifferentiation/rejuvenation program which restores a stem cell phenotype (Fig. 1). In this context, Conboy et al. designed a system of parabiotic pairings, whereby young and old animals share a circulatory environment to induce effects of rejuvenation in vivo. In this heterochronic parabiosis model, satellite cells from old mice were exposed to serum factors present in young mice which rejuvenated by restoring Notch signalling as well as the proliferative and regenerative capacity in aged satellite tissues [Conboy et al., 2005]. Together, distinct molecular pathways and predominantly the cellular microenvironment coupled with intercellular communication appear to be associated with phenotypes of rejuvenation in a variety of different cell types (Fig. 1).

Damage-induced aging, repair-mediated longevity, rejuvenation and tumor promotion

Aging, longevity as a prolonged life span, and a possible rejuvenation program could be affected very early in life with long-lasting consequences. For example, aging and

rejuvenation can reflect a response to environmental changes such as accumulation of somatic damage accompanied by an insufficient cellular repair [Kirkwood, 2008] and interference with a proper repair or damage elimination may uncouple this sensitive network. Thus, certain environmental factors and damaging compounds can induce epigenetic effects during early developments to influence a variety of stable gene expression patterns, which sustain even following disappearance of the initial signal and may thus persistently determine a distinct phenotype [Vaiserman, 2009]. Multiple damage products, including chemical damage to macromolecules (e.g. proteins, lipids, carbohydrates) are formed throughout the cellular metabolism and need to be sufficiently neutralized to avoid persistent dysfunctions. Moreover, reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated either from exogenous sources such as radiation (UV, X-ray) and pharmacological compounds or ROS exposure from endogenous metabolites (e.g. by mitochondria), can promote oxidative stress in proteins and lipids and eventually cause DNA damage, whereby insufficient cellular repair efforts may contribute to premature aging and apoptosis [von Zglinicki et al., 2001; Bertram and Hass, 2008].

To cope with oxidative stress and accelerated aging, a variety of anti-oxidative defence systems are available including superoxide dismutase, gluthatione peroxidase and catalase together with the cofactors glutaredoxin and thioredoxin [Jones, 2006]. To minimize the generation of oxidative stress products, previous work has shown in a variety of mammalian species that caloric restriction significantly contributes to an overall extension in life span [Barzilai and Bartke, 2009]. Although these effects are not necessarily associated with rejuvenation, longevity is also observed in certain animals even after starvation [Egger, 2008] and may imply the risk to develop neoplastic properties. Conversely, a certain threshold of oxidative stress or nutrient restriction can also trigger rejuvenation in certain cell types, whereby the activation of poly(ADPribose)polymerase (PARP) enzymes confers signals during the stress response via associated factors such as the proteasome complex [Harnacke et al., 2006; Selle et al. 2007]. A molecular involvement of PARP in aging, longevity and tumor development is widely acknowledged [Bürkle, 2006; Beneke and Bürkle, 2007]. Thus, insufficient activities by the cellular repair machinery due to a significant threshold of damaged DNA and damaged protein components may simultaneously dysfunction telomers and result in chromosomal instability to favorize neoplastic growth [Hande, 2004].

However, effects of ROS may vary among certain cell types and particularly among tissues and whole organisms with respect to the induction of aging and rejuvenation. For example, the long-lived naked mole-rat can cope with high levels of oxidative damage without the promotion of aging [Andziak et al., 2006]. In contrast, increased oxidative stress in mice with a deficiency of the anti-oxidative enzyme manganese superoxide dismutase express higher incidents of cancer instead of premature aging IVan Remmen et al., 2003]. Thus, a sufficient multi-component response to ROS including a variety of different signalling pathways may limit the induction of aging in certain species or tissues to favor longevity (Fig. 1). This is also addressed by the hypothesis, that aging is variable and may significantly alter even within individuals of the same stem [Campisi and Vijg, 2009]. Further observations in mutant worms of Caenorhabditis elegans revealed an extended life span following resistance to thermal injury and to oxidative stress and to heavy metal poisoning, which evoked the theory of a so-called "multiplex stress resistance" and postulates an association between stress resistance and longevity [Johnson et al., 1996; Miller, 2009]. Extension of the life span may also be achieved by exogenous compounds which stimulate growth activities in certain cells and tissues irrespective of a persisting aged phenotype. Thus, in vivo studies in 65 healthy older adults (men and women) at 60 to 81 years of age describe clinical evidence of rejuvenation after daily oral administration of a mimetic of the growth-hormone-releasing acylated peptide ghrelin for more than 1 year [Nass et al., 2008]. Despite the relatively low sample number and the short duration of this study, multi-systemic alterations were observed similar to a younger phenotype which contributes to the discussion of a life span extension upon endocrine interventions (Fig. 1).

Aging-related dysfunctional protein aggregates and rejuvenation by asymmetric cell division

A variety of aging-related diseases including neurodegenerative disorders (e.g. Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease, Huntington's disease) are associated with the formation of protein aggregates [Dobson, 2001]. These protein components can be generated by transcription and translation errors, by misfolding of functional tertiary structures or by oxidative stress-induced formation of protein carbonyls. Moreover, accumulation of advanced glycation end products (AGEs) can relay signals via the appropriate receptor (RAGE) for the continuous production of pro-inflammatory cytokines

and are also implicated in multiple detrimental human illnesses (including diabetes, atherosclerosis, arthritis) and aging-associated diseases [Lin et al., 2009]. When these compounds are insufficiently metabolised by appropriate control mechanisms such as the proteasome system, they can form insoluble inclusion bodies that accumulate within the cells [Kopito, 2000]. Defects in the immune response, which develop with advanced age, also contribute to a less effective clearance from aberrant functioning cells with damaging compounds, although the loss of immune function with aging and the concomitant age-associated increase in circulating pro-inflammatory cytokines is poorly understood [Swain and Nikolich-Zugich, 2009].

Further examples of dysfunctional aggresome appearance in vivo are represented by the polyglutamin aggregation in epithelial crypts of ataxia type 3 patients or by lens cataracts. The aggresomes can interfere with the normal metabolism by trapping and depleting freely diffused key proteins to paralyse distinct signalling pathways and to damage DNA. Thus, an accumulation of protein aggregates may be associated with increasing impairment of cellular functions and the promotion of aging [Lindner et al., 2008]. In addition, a significant and persistent increase of damaging compounds in aged cells contributes to the deterioration of cellular homeostasis and DNA damage-induced cell cycle arrest, eventually resulting in cell death, which are hallmarks of STASIS (stress or aberrant signaling-inducing senescence). Whereas this process appears to be irreversible, certain organisms can cope with these insoluble inclusion bodies by an asymmetric segregation during cell division suggesting rejuvenation by the production of an aggresome-free daughter cell as compared to the corresponding parental cell (Fig. 1). Indeed, studies in Escherichia coli have demonstrated an asymmetric distribution of damaging protein aggregates during mitosis resulting in a loss of reproductive activity in those cells eventually carrying the insoluble protein aggregates at the older poles. In contrast, daughter cells without damaging inclusion bodies continue proliferation and thus appear rejuvenated as compared to the corresponding parental cells [Lindner et al., 2008].

Differentiation-associated rejuvenation by retrodifferentiation

Retrodifferentiation characterizes a biological phenomenon of completely reversible differentiation events, whereby all morphological and functional properties of retrodifferentiated and previously more undifferentiated cells are indistinguishable.

Moreover, retrodifferentiation as a retrograde development includes a process of rejuvenation to a previous younger state of development [Hass, 2009]. Consequently, retrodifferentiation-associated rejuvenation could provide enormous potential to maintain tissue homeostasis by cell renewal and repair mechanisms (Fig. 1), however, regulatory dysfunctions within these reversible developments may also involve the risk of tumor promotion.

A variety of cellular phenomena *in vitro* have been characterised as retrodifferentiation, including the reversion of nerve growth factor-induced PC12 cells, a regained pluripotency in unmobilised adult human peripheral blood mononuclear cells in response to a certain monoclonal antibody or the retrograde development and reversion of phorbol ester-differentiated human myeloid leukemia cells [Hass, 2009]. The molecular events for the induction of a retrodifferentiation and rejuvenation program in these cell systems, however, develop their own sequence and kinetic upon a distinct trigger. Consequently, retrodifferentiation and rejuvenation does not necessarily follow the previous maturation process in opposite direction since the eventual reversion to the original cellular phenotype involves different signalling cascades [Hass, 2009].

The simultaneous association of retrodifferentiation with the reversibility of an aged phenotype and thus rejuvenation, has been observed *in vitro* in a differentiated monoblastoid human cell line model [Selle et al., 2007]. Retrodifferentiation of differentiated and aged monocyte-like cells by involving signaling cascades of poly(ADP-ribose)polymerase-1 (PARP-1) lost markers such as expression of senescence-associated ß-galactosidase (SA-ß-gal) and reverted to more undifferentiated and juvenile myeloid precursor phenotype with a restored proliferative capacity [Selle et al., 2007].

Since retrodifferentiation-associated rejuvenation requires a highly organized and timely coordinated network of a retrograde development in combination with an escape from aging, dysfunctional control mechanisms may result in aberrant cell cycle progression and thus, tumor growth. For example, proliferation of retrodifferentiated cells supported by factors such as Bmi-1 which can also promote self-renewal of diverse adult stem cells or growth of cancer cells, needs to be balanced with tumor suppressor and growth inhibitory activities such as the cell cycle inhibitor p16^{ink4a} to avoid neoplastic growth [Pardal et al., 2005]. Consequently, certain imbalances in the cell and tissue homeostasis and across

the dynamic equilibrium between retrodifferentiation steps and the velocity of cell cycle progression may favour either rejuvenation, contribute to tumorigenesis or result in cell death (e.g. apoptosis) (Fig. 1).

Rejuvenation by regeneration

One property of aging is supposed to be a decline in regenerative capacity and conversely, increasing regenerative potential should represent a characteristic of rejuvenation. Whereas the regeneration of tissues and organs is performed by proliferating and differentiating precursor cells and/or appropriate stem cells, it seems plausible to postulate that stem cell aging may trigger organismal aging. However, several elegant studies successfully question such a correlation, since the self-renewal of stem cells with sustained proliferative capacity (for example in the hematopoietic system, the intestinal epithelium or skin) exceeds the 'normal' life time and it thus appears unlikely, that the 'normal' life span would be determined by a limitation of available stem cell populations or their functionality [Rubin, 2002; Conboy et al., 2005; Rando, 2006]. This is also supported by the fact, that different tissues exhibit different regenerative potential including those with the ability for high tissue turnover combined with high regenerative potential (e.g. blood, gut, skin), low tissue turnover combined with high regenerative potential (e.g. skeletal muscle, liver) and low tissue turnover combined with low regenerative potential (e.g. heart, brain).

Therefore, the reduced regenerative potential with increasing age may also be associated with secondary effects. Indeed, regeneration e.g. of adult skeletal muscle by myoblasts and/or appropriate resident stem cells is controlled by the Notch signaling pathway, which is altered during aging [Brack et al., 2007]. Moreover, experiments of heterochronic parabiotic pairings demonstrated, that the exposure of resident stem cells from aged tissue to serum from young animals restores the ability of the stem cells again to participate in tissue maintenance, repair and regeneration [Conboy et al., 2005; Conboy and Rando, 2005]. Thus, stem cells would function permanently to restore tissues and organs after providing an appropriate microenvironment, however, in an aged microenvironment, their function of self-renewal is limited or abolished. Despite correlative data with no clear evidence available to date, these findings may suggest that declining options for cell renewal and a reduced regenerative potential of certain tissues in

combination with environmental factors influence the life span of a corresponding organism, although these effects may be limited in those organisms carrying predominantly postmitotic tissues. Conversely, rejuvenation of distinct cells which enhance the regenerative potential and maintenance of tissue homeostasis contribute to a life span extension.

Previous work has demonstrated that certain fishes and amphibiens, e.g. salamanders can continuously regenerate most organs and body parts, such as limbs, eyes, jaw, brain (and spinal cord), heart by reprogramming differentiated cells to retrodifferentiate and rejuvenate. Thus, lost or damaged tissues are reconstituted in a subsequent proliferation and differentiation program [Tsonis, 2008]. Similar types of rejuvenation to preserve the regenerative potential are also observed in some annelids, whereby repeated regeneration in animals following consecutive amputations contributed to a substantially increased life span as compared to untreated animals [Egger, 2008]. However, the mechanisms which regulate cellular rejuvenation and simultaneously limit this process to restore and maintain the previous tissue size and homeostasis remain obscure.

Indeed, although rejuvenation of somatic cells may preserve a certain pluripotency to balance biological requirements and developmental flexibility for the maintenance of a regenerative potential, these processes are tightly controlled and limited by the appropriate size of the reconstituted organ or tissue. However, why can certain species reconstitute distinct organs or tissues while others cannot? A seemingly plausible explanation implies, that the induction of regenerative capacities in growth-arrested differentiated tissues would require a retrodifferentiation-associated rejuvenation to obtain precursor cells with proliferative capacity, whereby for example, a large accumulation of undifferentiated proliferating blastema involves the risk to develop uncontrolled growth and thus, a subsequent tumorigenic development. Another possible explanation regards the rejuvenation processes to provide a required prerequisite for enabling a distinct tissue homeostasis to ensure the survival in certain species, whereas a similar regenerative effort in other species may not even be economically beneficial for nature without changing important parts of the physiology. Together, this would suggest a strictly limited rejuvenation ability to fulfill certain requirements (Fig. 1). However, the regenerative ability e.g. of cartilage or ear hole scars at significantly elevated levels in middle-aged mice as compared to young mice [Reines et al., 2009] questions this hypothesis, since the

regeneration of ear hole scars does not represent an absolute requirement for the survival of the mice. More interestingly, the reconstitution of ear hole scars by local retrodifferentiation-associated rejuvenation, followed by subsequent proliferation and differentiation processes increases with age, suggesting that aging can be paralleled by enhanced regenerative ability to a certain extend [Reines et al., 2009]. At the cellular level, these findings may appear counterintuitive and contradictory to the theory of an age-dependent reduction in regenerative potential. Regarding the entire organism and thus, the sum of the simultaneous biological interplay of all cells, tissues and organs, however, this apparent controversy could make sense, whereby the potential of a regeneration program in certain cell types may not fully be established at the time when many other cell populations already age. Consequently, the whole functionality of these mice would be developed to maximal extension in middle-age whereby differentiated somatic cells are optimized for their appropriate requirements including regenerative pathways. This hypothesis suggests a progressively-increasing rejuvenation ability of distinct cells or cell types in parallel to aging to provide a maximal regenerative potential and tissue homeostasis during middle age.

Concluding remarks

Rejuvenation is determined by distinct molecular effects in certain cell populations causing a retrograde development to restore a more juvenile phenotype. This needs to be distinguished from longevity, which represents an extension of the life span at the level of tissues or whole organisms displaying the sum of various kinds of development from different cell populations (rejuvenation versus aging, proliferation versus apoptosis).

Although a variety of different and cell type-specific effects may trigger a rejuvenation program, a certain homeostatic balance, distinct stem cell interaction with their neighbors (the niche) and a coordinated interplay of transcription factors may be required to relay sufficient signals for a stable induction of this machinery. Moreover, while specific types of damage may promote aging not in general, but in distinct tissues or cells, these effects indicate furthermore, that only a limited cell number or just a single individual cell within a certain population may retain the capability to rejuvenate for re-establishing pluripotent precursors. However, since most studies in support of this hypothesis represent only correlative data, more efforts are required which causally address a relationship between damage and aging and vice versa, between rejuvenation and repair. This would also

address the suggestion of an increasing regenerative potential during middle age followed by a reduced regenerative ability in the older organism.

Together, rejuvenation can be linked to different phenotypes: In the case of cellular regeneration or an accompanying retrodifferentiation process, rejuvenation is linked to the restoration of previous younger cell functions, whereas in the case of ROS-induced cell damage and asymmetric aggresom distribution during mitosis, rejuvenation is associated with a loss of dysfunction displayed during aging (Fig. 1). Finally, as concentration gradients and threshold effects in the microenvironment combined with a corresponding metabolic balance in certain individual cells can contribute to rejuvenation, this interference with the normal biological clock and the apparently infinite life span of stem cells and cells with a capability to rejuvenate may eventually be terminated by multimetabolic breakdown of the systemic interdependent and intrinsic entity of all cells and tissues combined in the corresponding organism during the mystery of death.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by a grant from the Niedersächsische Krebsgesellschaft e.V.

References

Abuljadayel, I.S., 2003. Induction of stem cell-like plasticity in mononuclear cells derived from unmobilised adult human peripheral blood. Curr Med Res Opin 19, 355-375

Andziak, B., O'Connor, T.P., Qi, W., DeWaal, E.M., Pierce, A., Chaudhuri, A.R., Van Remmen, H., Buffenstein, R., 2006. High oxidative damage levels in the longest-living rodent, the naked mole-rat. Aging Cell 5, 463-471

Barzilai, N., Bartke, A., 2009. Biological approaches to mechanistically understand the healthy life span extension achieved by calorie restriction and modulation of hormones. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 64, 187-191

Beneke, S., Bürkle, A., 2007. Poly-(ADP-ribosyl)ation in mammalian ageing. Nucleic Acids Res 35, 7456-7465

Bertram, C., Hass, R., 2008. Cellular responses to ROS-induced DNA damage and aging. Biol Chem 389, 211-220

Brack, A.S., Conboy, M.J., Roy, S., Lee, M., Kuo, C.J., Keller, C., Rando, T.A., 2007. Increased Wnt signalling during aging alters muscle stem cell fate and increases fibrosis. Science 317, 807-810

Brawley, C., Matunis, E., 2004. Regeneration of male germline stem cells by spermatogonial dedifferentiation in vivo. Science 304, 1331–1334

Bürkle, A., 2006. DNA repair and PARP in aging. Free Radic Res 40, 1295-1302

Campisi, J., Vijg, J., 2009. Does damage to DNA and other macromolecules play a role in aging? If so, how? J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 64, 175-178

Conboy, I.M., Conboy, M.J., Wagers, A.J., Girma, E.R., Weissman, I.L., Rando, T.A., 2005. Rejuvenation of aged progenitor cells by exposure to a young systemic environment. Nature 433, 760-764

Conboy, I.M., Rando, T.A., 2005. Aging, stem cells and tissue regeneration: lessons from muscle. Cell Cycle 4, 407-410

de Grey, A., Rae, M. 2007. Ending Aging: The Rejuvenation Breakthroughs that Could Reverse Human Aging in Our Lifetime. New York, NY: St. Martin's Press. pp. 416.

Dobson, C.M., 2001. The structural basis of protein folding and its links with human disease. Philos Trans R Soc London B 356, 133-145

Egger, B., 2008. Regeneration: rewarding, but potentially risky. Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today 84, 257-264

Guarente, L.P., Partridge, L., Wallace, D.C., (Eds.), 2008. Molecular Biology of Aging. Cold Spring Harbor Monograph Series 51, 610 pp.

Hande, M.P., 2004. DNA repair factors and telomere-chromosome integrity in mammalian cells. Cytogenet Genome Res 104, 116-122

Harnacke, K., Kruhøffer, M., Ørntoft, T.F., Hass, R., 2005. Downmodulation of Poly(ADPribose) polymerase-1 in human TUR leukemia cells restores transcriptional responsiveness for differentiation and cell cycle arrest. Eur J Cell Biol 84, 885-896

Harrison, D.E., Strong, R., Sharp, Z.D., Nelson, J.F., Astle, C.M., Flurkey, K., Nadon, N.L., Wilkinson, J.E., Frenkel, K., Carter, C.S., Pahor, M., Javors, M.A., Fernandez, E., Miller, R.A., 2009. Rapamycin fed late in life extends lifespan in genetically heterogeneous mice. Nature 460, 392-395

Hass, R., 2009. Retrodifferentiation – a mechanism for cellular regeneration? Biol Chem 390, 409-416

Jones, D.P., 2006. Extracellular redox state: refining the definition of oxidative stress in aging. Rejuvenation Res 9, 169-181

Nass, R., Pezzoli, S.S., Oliveri, M.C., Patrie, J.T., Harrell, F.E. Jr., Clasey, J.L., Heymsfield, S.B., Bach, M.A., Vance, M.L., Thorner, M.O., 2008. Effects of an oral ghrelin mimetic on body composition and clinical outcomes in healthy older adults: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 149, 601-611

Johnson, T.E., Lithgow, G.J., Murakami, S. 1996. Hypothesis: interventions that increase the response to stress offer the potential for effective life prolongation and increased health. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 51, B392-5

Kirkwood, T.B., 2008. Understanding ageing from an evolutionary perspective. J Intern Med 263, 117-127

Ko, K., Tapia, N., Wu, G., Kim, J.B., Bravo, M.J., Sasse, P., Glaser, T., Ruau, D., Han, D.W., Greber, B., Hausdörfer, K., Sebastiano, V., Stehling, M., Fleischmann, B.K., Brüstle, O., Zenke, M., Schöler, H.R., 2009. Induction of pluripotency in adult unipotent germline stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 5, 87-96

Kopito, R.R., 2000. Aggresomes, inclusion bodies and protein aggregation. Trends Cell Biol 10, 524-530

Lin, L., Park, S., Lakatta, E.G., 2009. RAGE signaling in inflammation and arterial aging. Front Biosci 14, 1403-1413

Lindner, A.B., Madden, R., Demarez, A., Stewart, E.J., Taddei, F., 2008. Asymmetric segregation of protein aggregates is associated with cellular aging and rejuvenation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105, 3076-3081

Miller, R.A., 2009. Cell stress and aging: new emphasis on multiplex resistance mechanisms. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 64, 179-182

Pardal, R., Molofsky, A.V., He, S., Morrison, S.J., 2005. Stem Cell Self-Renewal and Cancer Cell Proliferation Are Regulated by Common Networks That Balance the Activation of Proto-oncogenes and Tumor Suppressors, Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology 70, 177-185

Rando, T.A., 2006. Stem cells, ageing and the quest for immortality. Nature 441, 1080-1086

Reines, R., Cheng, L.I., Matzinger, P., 2009. Unexpected regeneration in middle-aged mice. Rejuvenation Res 12, 45-51

Rubin, H., 2002. The disparity between human cell senescence in vitro and lifelong replication in vivo. Nat Biotechnol 20, 675-681

Selle, A., Ullrich, O., Harnacke, K., Hass, R., 2007. Retrodifferentiation and rejuvenation of senescent monocytic cells requires PARP-1. Exp Gerontol 42, 554-562

Swain, S.L., Nikolich-Zugich, J., 2009. Key research opportunities in immune system aging. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 64, 183-186

Tsonis, P.A., 2008. Stem Cells and beta-Cells. Curr Stem Cell Res Ther 1, 53-54

Vaiserman, A.M., 2008. Epigenetic engineering and its possible role in anti-aging intervention. Rejuvenation Res 11, 39-42

Van Remmen, H., Ikeno, Y., Hamilton, M., Pahlavani, M., Wolf, N., Thorpe, S.R., Alderson, N.L., Baynes, J.W., Epstein, C.J., Huang, T.T., Nelson, J., Strong, R., Richardson, A., 2003. Life-long reduction in MnSOD activity results in increased DNA damage and higher incidence of cancer but does not accelerate aging. Physiol Genomics 16, 29-37

von Zglinicki, T., Bürkle, A., Kirkwood, T.B.L., 2001. Stress, DNA damage and ageing - an integrative approach. Exp Gerontol 36, 1049-1062.

Figure Legend

Figure 1:

Undifferentiated and juvenile stem or precursor cells are induced to develop along a pathway to form a certain differentiated cell phenotype (e.g. differentiated cell A). This differentiated cell population contributes to specific tissue functions and provides structural maintenance within the appropriate tissues and organs. During the steps of differentiation, the cells can also acquire properties of aging including the accumulation of damage products, a reduction in telomerase activity or the induction of senescenceassociated ß-galactosidase activity. Upon distinct microenvironmental conditions such as exogenous growth factor/chemokine stimulation and contact communication between neighboring cells at a certain cell density, however, some cells could be triggered to rejuvenate to a younger phenotype by loosing properties of aging. Further contributors to the microenvironmental conditions include pH, osmolarity, temperature, air pressure, O₂ concentration, biomechanical or electromagnetical influence, etc. Examples of rejuvenation upon microenvironmental conditions are represented by the system of underscores heterochronic parabiosis which the importance of the cellular microenvironment to decide on cell fate [Conboy and Rando, 2005; Brack et al., 2007]. Recent studies substantiate this hypothesis demonstrating a rejuvenation of a unipotent population back to pluripotent stem cells depending on the maintenance of a certain cell density over time [Ko et al., 2009]. Other effects of rejuvenation can be accompanied by disproportional mitosis [Lindner et al., 2008] or by a retrodifferentiation process, whereby the cells revert back to a previous stable state of development to restore again a younger phenotype [Hass, 2009].

Processes which can interfere with the normal biological clock including rejuvenation could contribute to an organismal life span extension as compared to the average life span of the appropriate species, also termed longevity. Besides rejuvenation, longevity can also be achieved pharmacologically by the inhibition of distinct pathways, including the mTOR signalling [Harrison et al., 2009], or genetically, e.g. by an induction of anti-oxidative defence products. Longevity could furthermore be displayed by a more general resistance mechanism involving an adaptation to environmental stress [Johnson et al., 1996]. However, aberrant signaling and impaired cell cycle regulation of either stem/precursor cell development into mature phenotypes or vice versa the rejuvenation back to a more juvenile state may include the risk of neoplastic growth and tumor progression.

According to several different expressions characterizing certain phenomena associated with rejuvenation, it appears worthwhile trying to summarize some definitions used in the literature:

<u>retrodifferentiation</u>: characterizes a biological phenomenon of completely reversible differentiation events, whereby all morphological and functional properties of retrodifferentiated and previously more undifferentiated cells are indistinguishable. Retrodifferentiation-associated rejuvenation requires a highly organized and timely coordinated network of a retrograde development in combination with an escape from aging [Hass et al., 2009].

longevity: denotes the length or duration of the life span of living organisms and is often used to indicate an unusually long life. It can be determined by statistical analysis based on mortality rates of various population groups [Guarente et al., 2008].

<u>rejuvenation</u>: restores cells to a more youthful condition and increases regenerative potential. It is distinct from life extension. Life extension strategies often study the causes of aging and try to oppose those causes in order to slow aging. Rejuvenation is the reversal of aging and thus requires a different strategy, namely repair of the damage that is associated with aging or replacement of damaged tissue with new tissue. Rejuvenation can be a means of life extension, but most life extension strategies do not involve rejuvenation. The reverse of the damage is also termed "SENS" (<u>Strategies for Engineered Negligible Senescence</u>) [de Grey and Rae, 2007].

