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# ALGEBRAS OF TAME ACYCLIC CLUSTER TYPE 

CLAIRE AMIOT AND STEFFEN OPPERMANN


#### Abstract

In this paper, we study algebras of tame acyclic cluster type. These are algebras of global dimension at most 2 whose generalized cluster category is equivalent to a cluster category of an acyclic quiver of tame representation type. We are particularly interested in their derived equivalence classification. We prove that the algebras which are cluster equivalent to a tree quiver are derived equivalent to the path algebra of this tree. Then we complete the classification by describing explicitly the algebras of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{n}$ for each possible orientation of $\widetilde{A}_{n}$. We give an explicit way to read off in which derived equivalence class these algebras are, and describe the Auslander-Reiten quiver of their derived categories.
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## 1. Introduction

The classification of finite dimensional algebras up to derived equivalence is a crucial problem in representation theory. It has a complete answer for algebras of global dimension 1 (see Ha87, Corollary 4.8]): Two finite dimensional $k$-algebras $\Lambda=k Q$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}=k Q^{\prime}$ are derived equivalent if and only if one can pass from the quiver $Q$ to $Q^{\prime}$ by a sequence of reflections (as introduced in BGP73]). Therefore it is possible to decide when two hereditary algebras are derived equivalent by simple combinatorial means. The aim of this paper is to apply results of (AO10] in order to generalize this result to certain algebras of global dimension 2.

The notion of reflection of an acyclic quiver has been generalized by Fomin and Zelevinsky [FZ02] to the notion of mutation in their definition of cluster algebras. Since then, categorical interpretations of the mutation have been discovered via 2-Calabi-Yau triangulated categories. These created a link between cluster algebras and representation theory. First, in [BMR 06 , cluster categories $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$ associated to acyclic quivers $Q$ were defined as the orbit categories $\mathcal{D}^{b}(k Q) / \mathbb{S}_{2}$, where $\mathbb{S}_{2}=\mathbb{S}[-2]$ is the second desuspension of the Serre functor $\mathbb{S}$ of the bounded derived category $\mathcal{D}^{b}(k Q)$. This notion has been generalized in Ami09 to algebras of global dimension two. In this case the generalized cluster category is defined to be the triangulated hull in the sense of Kel05] of the orbit category $\mathcal{D}^{b} \Lambda / \mathbb{S}_{2}$.

In this paper, we study more explicitly the derived equivalence classes of algebras of global dimension 2 which are of acyclic cluster type, that is algebras whose generalized cluster category is equivalent to some cluster category $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$ where $Q$ is an acyclic quiver. We strongly use the results and the techniques of AO10. In particular we use the notion of graded mutation of graded quiver with potential which is a refinement of the notion of mutation of quiver with potential introduced in DWZ08: Associated to an algebra $\Lambda$ there is a graded Jacobian algebra $\bar{\Lambda}$ Kel09 whose degree zero subalgebra is $\Lambda$. Graded mutation explains how to mutate such graded Jacobian algebras. Then from AO10 we deduce an analogue of the result for algebras of global dimension 1.

Theorem 1.1 (see Theorem 3.14). Let $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ be two finite dimensional algebras of global dimension 2. Assume that $\Lambda_{1}$ is of acyclic cluster type. Then the algebras $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ are derived equivalent if and only if one can pass from $\bar{\Lambda}_{1}$ to $\bar{\Lambda}_{2}$ using a sequence of graded mutations.

The setup is especially nice when the algebras are of tree cluster type.
Theorem 1.2 (Corollary 3.15). Let $Q$ be an acyclic quiver whose underlying graph is a tree. If $\Lambda$ is an algebra of global dimension 2 of cluster type $Q$, then it is derived equivalent to $k Q$.

To get a complete understanding of algebras of tame acyclic cluster type the rest of the paper is focused on the algebras of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$. To such an algebra, using graded mutation, we associate an integer that we call weight, which is zero when $\Lambda$ is hereditary. We prove that two algebras of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ are derived equivalent if and only if they have the same weight (Theorem 4.4). Then a result of AO10] which shows that two cluster equivalent algebras are graded derived equivalent permits us to compute explicitly the shape of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the derived category.

Theorem 1.3 (Corollary 5.5). Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ and of weight $w \neq 0$. Then the algebra $\Lambda$ is representation finite and not piecewise hereditary. The Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$ has exactly $3|w|$ connected components:

- $|w|$ components of type $\mathbb{Z} A_{\infty}^{\infty}$;
- $2|w|$ components of type $\mathbb{Z} A_{\infty}$.

Finally we use the explicit description of cluster-tilted algebra of type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ of Bas09 to deduce a description of all algebras of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ in term of quivers with relations.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to recalling results on generalized cluster categories, on Jacobian algebras, and on 2-Calabi-Yau categories. In Section 3, we apply the results of [AO10] to algebras of acyclic cluster type. The special case of algebras of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ is treated in the last three sections. We introduce the notion of weight and prove that it is an invariant of the derived equivalence class of the algebra in Section 4. We compute the shape of the AR-quiver of the derived category, and we finally describe explicitly these algebras in Section 6 . We end the paper by giving (as an example) the complete classification for $\widetilde{A}_{2,2}$.

## 2. Background

### 2.1. Cluster-tilting objects and mutation in 2-Calabi-Yau categories.

Definition 2.1 (Iyama). Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category, which is Hom-finite and 2-Calabi-Yau, that is there is a functorial isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, Y[2]) \simeq D \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(Y, X)$ for all objects $X$ and $Y$ in $\mathcal{T}$. An object $T$ is called cluster-tilting if

$$
\operatorname{add}(T)=\left\{X \in \mathcal{T} \mid \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, T[1])=0\right\}
$$

where $\operatorname{add}(T) \subset \mathcal{T}$ is the additive closure of $T$.
The endomorphism algebra of a cluster-tilting object $T \in \mathcal{T}$ is called a 2-Calabi-Yautilted algebra.

Theorem 2.2 ([Y08, Theorem 5.3]). Let $T$ be a basic cluster-tilting object in a 2-CalabiYau triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$. Let $T_{i}$ be an indecomposable summand of $T \simeq T_{i} \oplus T^{\prime}$. Then there exists a unique object $T_{i}^{*}$ non-isomorphic to $T_{i}$ such that $\mu_{i}(T):=T^{\prime} \oplus T_{i}^{*}$ is a basic cluster-tilting object in $\mathcal{T}$. Moreover $T_{i}^{*}$ is indecomposable and there exist triangles in $\mathcal{T}$

$$
T_{i} \xrightarrow{u} U \longrightarrow T_{i}^{*} \longrightarrow T_{i}[1] \text { and } T_{i}^{*} \longrightarrow U^{\prime} \xrightarrow{u^{\prime}} T_{i} \longrightarrow T_{i}^{*}[1]
$$

where $u$ (resp. $u^{\prime}$ ) is a minimal left (resp. right) add $\left(T^{\prime}\right)$-approximation.
2.2. Generalized cluster categories. Let $\Lambda$ be a finite dimensional $k$-algebra of global dimension at most 2 . We denote by $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$ the bounded derived category of finitely generated $\Lambda$-modules. It has a Serre functor that we denote $\mathbb{S}$. We denote by $\mathbb{S}_{2}$ the composition $\mathbb{S}[-2]$.

The generalized cluster category $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$ of $\Lambda$ has been defined in Ami09 as the triangulated hull of the orbit category $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda) / \mathbb{S}_{2}$ (see Kel05] or AO10, Section 7] for more details on triangulated hulls). We will denote by $\pi_{\Lambda}$ the triangle functor

$$
\pi_{\Lambda}: \mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda) / \mathbb{S}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}
$$

We set $\bar{\Lambda}:=\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\pi \Lambda)=\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\Lambda, \mathbb{S}_{2}^{-p} \Lambda\right)$. Therefore this algebra is naturally endowed with a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading.

Definition 2.3. A finite dimensional $k$-algebra $\Lambda$ of global dimension $\leq 2$ is said to be $\tau_{2}$-finite if the algebra $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\pi \Lambda)=\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(\Lambda, \mathbb{S}_{2}^{-p} \Lambda\right)$ is finite dimensional.
Theorem 2.4 ([Ami09, Theorem 4.10]). Let $\Lambda$ be a finite dimensional algebra of global dimension $\leq 2$ which is $\tau_{2}$-finite. Then $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$ is a Hom-finite, 2-Calabi-Yau category and the object $\pi(\Lambda) \in \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$ is a cluster-tilting object.
2.3. Jacobian algebras and 2-Calabi-Yau-tilted algebras. Quivers with potentials and the associated Jacobian algebras have been studied in DWZ08]. Let $Q$ be a finite quiver. For each arrow $a$ in $Q$, the cyclic derivative $\partial_{a}$ with respect to $a$ is the unique linear map

$$
\partial_{a}: k Q \rightarrow k Q
$$

which sends a path $p$ to the sum $\sum_{p=u a v} v u$ taken over all decompositions of the path $p$ (where $u$ and $v$ are possibly idempotent elements $e_{i}$ associated to a vertex $i$ ). A potential on $Q$ is any linear combination $W$ of cycles in $Q$. The associated Jacobian algebra is

$$
\operatorname{Jac}(Q, W):=k \hat{Q} /\left\langle\partial_{a} W \mid a \in Q_{1}\right\rangle
$$

where $k \hat{Q}$ is the completion of the path algebra $k Q$ and $\left\langle\partial_{a} W \mid a \in Q_{1}\right\rangle$ is the completion of the ideal generated by $\partial_{a} W$ for $a \in Q_{1}$.

Jacobian algebras are closely related to 2-Calabi-Yau-tilted algebras. In particular, we have the following two results:

Proposition 2.5 (Kel09, Theorem $6.11 a)]$ ). Let $\Lambda=k Q / I$ be an algebra of global dimension $\leq 2$, such that I is generated by a finite minimal set of relations $\left\{r_{i}\right\}$. The relation $r_{i}$ starts at the vertex $s\left(r_{i}\right)$ and ends at the vertex $t\left(r_{i}\right)$. Then we have an isomorphism of algebras:

$$
\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\pi \Lambda) \simeq \operatorname{Jac}(\bar{Q}, W)
$$

where the quiver $\bar{Q}$ is the quiver $Q$ with additional arrows $a_{i}: t\left(r_{i}\right) \rightarrow s\left(r_{i}\right)$, and the potential $W$ is $\sum_{i} a_{i} r_{i}$.
Definition 2.6. A potential $W$ on a quiver $Q$ is said to be rigid if any cycle $p$ of $Q$ is cyclically equivalent to an element in the Jacobian ideal $\left\langle\partial_{a} W \mid a \in Q_{1}\right\rangle$.

By [DWZ08] rigidity is stable under mutation, and it implies that the quiver of the Jacobian algebra has no loops nor 2-cycles.
Theorem 2.7 ([BIRS08, Theorem 5.1]). Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a 2-Calabi-Yau Hom-finite triangulated category with basic cluster-tilting object $T$. Assume that there exists a quiver with rigid potential $(Q, W)$ such that there is an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{T}}(T) \simeq \operatorname{Jac}(Q, W)
$$

Let $i$ be a vertex of $Q$ and denote by $T_{i}$ the indecomposable summand of $T=T_{i} \oplus T^{\prime}$ corresponding to $i$. Then there is an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{T}}\left(T^{\prime} \oplus T_{i}^{*}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Jac}\left(\mu_{i}(Q, W)\right)
$$

where $T_{i}^{*}$ is defined in Theorem 2.2 and where $\mu_{i}(Q, W)$ is the mutation at $i$ of $(Q, W)$ as defined in DWZ08 (see also Subsection 3.8 for definition).

For a sequence $s=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)$ of vertices of $Q$, we denote by $\mu_{s}$ the composition $\mu_{i_{r}} \circ \mu_{i_{r-1}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{i_{1}}$ and by $\mu_{s^{-}}$the composition $\mu_{i_{1}} \circ \mu_{i_{2}} \circ \cdots \circ \mu_{i_{r}}$.
2.4. Classical results on acyclic cluster categories. In this paper we are interested in algebras of global dimension $\leq 2$ whose generalized cluster category is equivalent to a cluster category associated to an acyclic quiver. Since we will strongly make use of them, we now recall some results for acyclic cluster categories.

The following theorem follows from a result by Happel and Unger HU03.
Theorem 2.8 ( $\mathrm{BMR}^{+} 06$, Prop. 3.5]). Let $Q$ be an acyclic quiver, and let $T$ be a clustertilting object of $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$. Then there exists a sequence of mutations linking the cluster-tilting object $T$ to the canonical cluster-tilting object $\pi_{Q}(k Q)$. In other words, the cluster-tilting graph of the acyclic cluster category is connected

Another special feature of acyclic cluster categories is that they can be recognized by the quivers of their cluster-tilting objects.

Theorem 2.9 (KR08). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an algebraic triangulated category, which is Hom-finite and 2 -Calabi-Yau. If there exists a cluster-tilting object $T \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(T) \simeq k Q$, where $Q$ is an acyclic quiver then there exists a triangle equivalence $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{Q}$ sending $T$ on $\pi_{Q}(k Q)$.
Note that an analogue of these results is not known for generalized cluster categories.
If we combine Theorems 2.7, 2.8, and 2.9, we get the following.
Corollary 2.10. Let $T$ be a cluster-tilting object in a Hom-finite, 2-CY algebraic triangulated category $\mathcal{C}$. Assume that there exists an acyclic quiver $Q$ and a cluster-tilting object $T^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$ such that we have an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{Q}}\left(T^{\prime}\right) \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(T)
$$

Then $\mathcal{C}$ is triangle equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$ and this equivalence can be chosen in such a way that it sends $T$ on $T^{\prime}$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.8 there exists a sequence of mutation in $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$ such that $\mu_{s}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=$ $\pi_{Q}(k Q)$. Let $\left(Q^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right):=\mu_{s^{-}}(Q, 0)$. The potential $W^{\prime}$ is rigid and, by Theorem 2.7, the endomorphism algebra $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(T)=\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{Q}}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to the Jacobian algebra $\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right)$. Now let $T^{\prime \prime}$ be the cluster-tilting object $T^{\prime \prime}:=\mu_{s}(T)$ in $\mathcal{C}$. By Theorem 2.7, since $W^{\prime}$ is rigid, we have $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right) \simeq k Q$ and we can apply Theorem 2.9.

An algebra of the form $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{Q}}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, where $T^{\prime}$ is a cluster-tilting object in $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$, is called a cluster-tilted algebra of type $Q$.

## 3. Derived equivalent algebras of tree cluster type

In this section we investigate algebras of global dimension at most 2 whose generalized cluster category is a cluster category associated to a tree. We will strongly use results from AO10.

We start with a definition.
Definition 3.1. AO10, Def. 5.1] Two algebras $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ of global dimension $\leq 2$ and $\tau_{2}$-finite are said to be cluster equivalent if there exists a triangle equivalence $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda_{1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda_{2}}$ between their generalized cluster categories.

If $\Lambda$ is cluster equivalent to $k Q$ where $Q$ is an acyclic quiver, we will say that $\Lambda$ is of cluster type $Q$.

Note that since two derived equivalent algebras of global dimension $\leq 2$ are cluster equivalent (AO10, Cor. 7.16]), if the underlying graph of $Q$ is a tree, then the cluster type does not depend on the orientation of $Q$.
3.1. Graded equivalence and derived equivalence. Cluster equivalence is strongly related with graded equivalence. In this subsection, we will recall some results shown in AO10.

Let $A=\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} A^{p}$ be $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra. We denote by $d$ the degree map sending any homogeneous element of $A$ to its degree, and by $\operatorname{gr} A$ the category of finite generated graded modules over $A$. For a graded module $M=\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} M^{p}$, we denote by $M\langle q\rangle$ the graded module $\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} M^{p+q}$ (that is, the degree $p$ part of $M\langle q\rangle$ is $M^{p+q}$ ). The locally bounded subcategory

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(A, d):=\operatorname{add}\{A\langle p\rangle \mid p \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subseteq \operatorname{gr} A
$$

is called the $\mathbb{Z}$-covering of $A$.
Definition 3.2. Let $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ be two $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebras. Assume that $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are isomorphic as algebras. We will say that $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are graded equivalent (and write $\left.A_{1} \underset{\mathrm{gr}}{\sim} A_{2}\right)$ if there exist $r_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebras

$$
A_{2} \xrightarrow[\mathbb{Z}]{\sim} \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Cov}\left(A_{1}, \mathbb{Z}\right)}\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}\left\langle r_{i}\right\rangle, \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}\left\langle r_{i}+p\right\rangle\right)
$$

where $A_{1}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} P_{i}$ in $\operatorname{gr} A_{1}$. This is equivalent to the fact that the coverings $\operatorname{Cov}\left(A_{1}, d_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Cov}\left(A_{2}, d_{2}\right)$ are equivalent as categories with $\mathbb{Z}$-action.

The link between cluster equivalent algebras and graded equivalence algebras is given by the following result.

Theorem 3.3 (AO10, Thm 5.8]). Let $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ be two $\tau_{2}$-finite algebras of global dimension $\leq 2$. Assume there is $T \in \mathcal{D}_{1}$ such that $\pi_{1}(T)$ is basic cluster tilting in $\mathcal{C}_{1}$, and
(1) there is an isomorphism $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{1}}\left(\pi_{1} T\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{2}}\left(\pi_{2} \Lambda_{2}\right)$
(2) this isomorphism can be chosen in such a way that the two $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings defined on $\bar{\Lambda}_{2}$, given respectively by

$$
\bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{2}}\left(\Lambda_{2}, \mathbb{S}_{2}^{-q} \Lambda_{2}\right) \text { and } \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{1}}\left(T, \mathbb{S}_{2}^{-p} T\right)
$$

are equivalent.
Then the algebras $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ are derived equivalent, and hence cluster equivalent.
3.2. Mutation of a graded quiver with potential. In order to apply Theorem 3.3 we make use of a tool: the left (or right) mutation of a graded quiver with potential which extends the Derksen-Weyman-Zelevinsky mutation of a quiver with potential [DWZ08]. All definitions and results described in this subsection are proved in AO10, Section 6].

Definition $3.4(\boxed{A O 10})$. Let $(Q, W, d)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded quiver with potential homogeneous of degree 1 (graded QP for short). Let $i \in Q_{0}$ be a vertex, such that there is no loops nor 2-cycles incident to $i$. We define $\mu_{i}^{L}(Q, W, d)=\left(Q^{\prime}, W^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)$ the left graded mutation of $(Q, W, d)$ at vertex $i$. The quiver $Q^{\prime}$ is defined as in DWZ08 as follows:

- for any subquiver $u \xrightarrow{a} i \xrightarrow{b} v$, with $i, u$, and $v$ pairwise distinct vertices, we add an arrow $[b a]: u \rightarrow v$;
- we replace all arrows $a$ incident with $i$ by an arrow $a^{*}$ in the opposite direction.

The potential $W$ is also defined as in DWZ08 by the sum $[W]+W^{*}$ where [ $W$ ] is formed from the potential $W$ replacing all compositions $b a$ through the vertex $i$ by [ba], where $W^{*}$ is the sum $\sum b^{*} a^{*}[b a]$.

The new degree $d^{\prime}$ is defined as follows:

- $d^{\prime}(a)=d(a)$ if $a$ is an arrow of $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$;
- $d^{\prime}([b a])=d(b)+d(a)$ if $b a$ is a composition passing through $i$;
- $d^{\prime}\left(a^{*}\right)=-d(a)+1$ if the target of $a$ is $i$;
- $d^{\prime}\left(b^{*}\right)=-d(b)$ if the source of $b$ is $i$.

One can check that this operation is compatible with the reduction of a QP (see AO10]). It is possible to define the right graded mutation $\mu_{i}^{R}$ by interchanging target and source in the last two items in the definition. We have the following.

Lemma 3.5 (AO10]). Let $(Q, W, d)$ be a graded quiver with potential. Then we have an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebras

$$
\operatorname{Jac}(Q, W, d) \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Jac}\left(\mu_{i}^{R} \mu_{i}^{L}(Q, W, d)\right) .
$$

Moreover, this mutation preserves the graded equivalence. More precisely, we have the following.

Proposition 3.6. AO10 Let $\left(Q, W, d_{1}\right)$ and $\left(Q, W, d_{2}\right)$ be two $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $Q P$ such that the graded Jacobian algebras $\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W, d_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W, d_{2}\right)$ are graded equivalent. Then the graded Jacobian algebras $\operatorname{Jac}\left(\mu_{i}^{L}\left(Q, W, d_{1}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Jac}\left(\mu_{i}^{L}\left(Q, W, d_{2}\right)\right)$ are graded equivalence.

Definition 3.7. AO10 Let $\Lambda$ be a $\tau_{2}$-finite algebra of global dimension $\leq 2$. Let $T=$ $T_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus T_{n}$ be an object in $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$ such that $\pi(T)$ is a (basic) cluster-tilting object in $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$. Let $T_{i}$ be an indecomposable summand of $T \simeq T^{\prime} \oplus T_{i}$. Define $T_{i}^{L}$ as the cone in $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$ of the minimal left add $\left\{\mathbb{S}_{2}^{p} T^{\prime}, p \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$-approximation $u: T_{i} \rightarrow B$ of $T_{i}$. We denote by $\mu_{i}^{L}(T)$ the object $T_{i}^{L} \oplus T^{\prime}$ and call it the left mutation of $T$ at $T_{i}$.

It is immediate to check that we have $\pi\left(\mu_{i}^{L}(T)\right)=\mu_{i}(\pi(T))$, thus that $\pi\left(\mu_{i}^{L}(T)\right)$ is a cluster-tilting object in $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$.

This (left) mutation in the derived category is reflected by the graded (left) mutation of graded QP in the following sense.

Theorem 3.8 ( AO10, Thm 6.8]). Let $\Lambda$ and $T \in \mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$ as above. Assume that there exist a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $Q P(Q, W, d)$ with rigid potential homogeneous of degree 1 such that we have an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$
\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(T, \mathbb{S}_{2}^{p} T\right) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\sim} \operatorname{Jac}(Q, W, d) .
$$

Let $i \in Q_{0}$ and $T_{i}$ be the associated indecomposable summand of $T \simeq T_{i} \oplus T^{\prime}$. Then there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebras

$$
\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}\left(T^{\prime} \oplus T_{i}^{L}, \mathbb{S}_{2}^{-p}\left(T^{\prime} \oplus T_{i}^{L}\right)\right) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\sim} \operatorname{Jac}\left(\mu_{i}^{L}(Q, W, d)\right) .
$$

This result is particularly useful when we note that the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\bar{\Lambda}$ yields a grading on the quiver $\bar{Q}$.
Definition 3.9. Let $(Q, W)$ be a reduced quiver with potential. A grading $d$ on $Q$ will be said to be a $W$-grading if

- for all arrows $a$ in $Q_{1}, d(a) \in\{0,1\}$;
- the potential $W$ is homogeneous of degree 1 ;
- the set of relations $\left\{\partial_{a} W \mid d(a)=1\right\}$ is linearly independent, in particular for all $a \in Q_{1}$ such that $d(a)=1$ then we have $\partial_{a} W \neq 0$.
Proposition 3.10 (AO10). Let $\Lambda=k Q_{\Lambda} / I$ be an algebra of global dimension $\leq 2$ which is $\tau_{2}$-finite. Then there exists a quiver with potential $(\bar{Q}, W)$ and a $W$-grading $d$ such that we have an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$
\bar{\Lambda} \widetilde{\widetilde{Z}} \operatorname{Jac}(\bar{Q}, W, d) .
$$

Moreover, if $\Lambda$ is of acyclic cluster type, then $W$ is rigid.
The quiver with potential is given by Theorem 2.5 and the arrows of $Q_{\Lambda}$ have degree zero and the arrows $a_{i}$ corresponding to the relations have degree 1 . The rigidity comes from Theorem 2.7 and 2.8.
3.3. Application to acyclic cluster type. Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra of cluster type $Q$ where $Q$ is an acyclic quiver. We have the following diagram


By Theorem 2.4, the object $f\left(\pi_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)\right)$ is a cluster-tilting object in $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$. By Theorem 2.8, there exists a sequence of mutations such that the endomorphism algebra End $\mathcal{C}_{Q}\left(\mu_{s}\left(f\left(\pi_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)\right)\right)\right)$ is isomorphic to $k Q$. The endomorphism algebra $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{Q}}\left(f\left(\pi_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)\right)\right) \simeq \bar{\Lambda}$ is a graded Jacobian algebra $\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q_{\bar{\Lambda}}, W, d\right)$ by Proposition 3.10 with $W$ rigid.
Definition 3.11. The map $d_{s}: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is called a grading induced by $\Lambda$ if it satisfies

$$
\mu_{s}^{L}\left(Q_{\bar{\Lambda}}, W, d\right)=\left(Q, 0, d_{s}\right),
$$

where $s$ is a sequence of mutations as in the situation above.
Proposition 3.12. The grading induced by $\Lambda$ on $Q$ is unique up to graded equivalence and automorphism of $Q$.
Proof. Let $s$ and $t$ be two sequences of mutations such that the endomorphism algebras $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{Q}}\left(\mu_{s}\left(f\left(\pi_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)\right)\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{Q}}\left(\mu_{t}\left(f\left(\pi_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)\right)\right)\right)$ are isomorphic to $k Q$. Denote by $S$ (resp. $T)$ the object $\mu_{s}^{L}(\Lambda)$ (resp. $\left.\mu_{t}^{L}(\Lambda)\right)$ of $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$. The objects $f\left(\pi_{\Lambda}(S)\right)$ and $f\left(\pi_{\Lambda}(T)\right)$ are cluster-tilting objects in $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$ with endomorphism algebra isomorphic to $k Q$. Then we use the following easy fact.

Lemma 3.13. Let $R=R_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus R_{n}$ be a cluster-tilting object in $\mathcal{C}_{Q}$ such that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{Q}}(R) \simeq$ $k Q$, then there exists an automorphism $\varphi: Q \rightarrow Q$ of $Q$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $R_{i} \simeq$ $\pi_{Q}\left(P_{\varphi(i)}[p]\right)$, where $P_{i}$ is the indecomposable projective $P_{i}:=e_{i} k Q$.

We deduce from this lemma that there exists $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi: Q \rightarrow Q$ such that

$$
\pi_{\Lambda}\left(S_{i}\right) \simeq \pi_{\Lambda}\left(T_{\varphi(i)}\right)[p]=\pi_{\Lambda}\left(T_{\varphi(i)}[p]\right)
$$

Therefore the automorphism $\varphi$ induces an equivalence of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded categories

$$
\pi_{\Lambda}^{-1}\left(\pi_{\Lambda}(S)\right)=\operatorname{add}\left\{\mathbb{S}_{2}^{q} S \mid q \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \simeq \operatorname{add}\left\{\mathbb{S}_{2}^{q} T[p] \mid q \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

The left $\mathbb{Z}$-category is naturally equivalent (as $\mathbb{Z}$-category) to the category $\pi_{\Lambda}^{-1}\left(\pi_{\Lambda}(T)\right)=$ add $\left\{\mathbb{S}_{2}^{q} T \mid q \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. Therefore $\varphi$ gives rise to a graded equivalence

$$
\bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)}\left(S, \mathbb{S}_{2}^{-q} S\right) \underset{\mathrm{gr}}{\sim} \bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)}\left(T, \mathbb{S}_{2}^{-q} T\right)
$$

That is, we get a graded equivalence $\left(k Q, d_{s}\right) \underset{\mathrm{gr}}{\sim}\left(k Q, d_{t}\right)$ where $d_{s}$ (resp. $\left.d_{t}\right)$ is the grading induced by the sequence $s$ (resp. $t$ ).

Using the previous results we can prove the following.
Theorem 3.14. Let $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ be two algebras of cluster type $Q$ where $Q$ is an acyclic quiver. Let $d_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.d_{2}\right)$ be a grading on $k Q$ induced by $\Lambda_{1}$ (resp. $\Lambda_{2}$ ). Then the following are equivalent.
(1) The $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebras $\left(k Q, d_{1}\right)$ and $\left(k Q, d_{2}\right)$ are graded equivalent.
(2) There exists a derived equivalence $\mathcal{D}^{b}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{b}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)$ which makes the following diagram commutative


Proof. For $i=1,2$ denote by $\left(Q_{i}, W_{i}, \partial_{i}\right)$ the graded QP associated to $\bar{\Lambda}_{i}$.
$(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ For $i=1,2$, let $s_{i}$ be a sequence of mutations such that $\mu_{s_{i}}^{L}\left(Q_{i}, W_{i}, \partial_{i}\right)=$ $\left(Q, 0, d_{i}\right)$. Thus by assumption, we have a graded equivalence

$$
\operatorname{Jac}\left(\mu_{s_{1}}^{L}\left(Q_{1}, W_{1}, \partial_{1}\right)\right) \underset{\mathrm{gr}}{\sim} \operatorname{Jac}\left(\mu_{s_{2}}^{L}\left(Q_{2}, W_{2}, \partial_{2}\right)\right) .
$$

Then by Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 3.5, we immediately get that

$$
\operatorname{Jac}\left(\mu_{s_{2}^{-}}^{R} \mu_{s_{1}}^{L}\left(Q_{1}, W_{1}, \partial_{1}\right)\right) \underset{\mathrm{gr}}{\sim} \operatorname{Jac}\left(Q_{2}, W_{2}, \partial_{2}\right) .
$$

Now denote by $T \in \mathcal{D}^{b}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)$ the object $\mu_{s_{2}^{-}}^{R} \mu_{s_{1}}^{L}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)$. By Theorem 3.8 we have an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra

$$
\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^{b}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)}\left(T, \mathbb{S}_{2}^{-p} T\right) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\sim} \operatorname{Jac}\left(\mu_{s_{2}^{-}}^{R} \mu_{s_{1}}^{L}\left(Q_{1}, W_{1}, \partial_{1}\right)\right)
$$

Therefore, we get the result by Theorem 3.3.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ Assume that $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ are derived equivalent, then there exists a tilting complex $T$ in $\mathcal{D}^{b}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)$ such that we have a commutative diagram


Since the cluster category $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda_{2}}$ is acyclic, there exists a sequence $s$ such that $\mu_{s}\left(\pi_{2} \Lambda_{2}\right)=$ $\pi_{2}(T)=f\left(\pi_{1} \Lambda_{1}\right)$. Denote by $T^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}^{b}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)$ the object $T^{\prime}:=\mu_{s}^{L}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)$. Then we have $\pi_{2}(T)=$ $\pi_{2}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$, thus we have an equivalence of categories with $\mathbb{S}_{2}$-action

$$
\operatorname{add}\left\{\mathbb{S}_{2}^{p} T \mid p \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \simeq \operatorname{add}\left\{\mathbb{S}_{2}^{p} T^{\prime} \mid p \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

This exactly means that there is a graded equivalence

$$
\bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^{b}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)}\left(T, \mathbb{S}_{2}^{-p} T\right) \underset{\mathrm{gr}}{\sim} \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^{b}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)}\left(T^{\prime}, \mathbb{S}_{2}^{-p} T^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $-\stackrel{L}{\otimes}_{\Lambda_{1}} T$ is an equivalence, the left term is isomorphic as $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra to $\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q_{1}, W_{1}, \partial_{1}\right)$ and by Theorem 3.8 the left term is isomorphic to the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra $\operatorname{Jac}\left(\mu_{s}^{L}\left(Q_{2}, W_{2}, d_{2}\right)\right)$. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Jac}\left(\mu_{s}^{L}\left(Q_{2}, W_{2}, \partial_{2}\right)\right) \underset{\mathrm{gr}}{\sim} \operatorname{Jac}\left(Q_{1}, W_{1}, \partial_{1}\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $s^{\prime}$ be a sequence such that the cluster-tilting object $T^{\prime \prime}:=\mu_{s^{\prime}}\left(f \pi_{1} \Lambda_{1}\right)$ has endomorphism algebra isomorphic to $k Q$. Then we have

$$
\mu_{s^{\prime}}\left(Q_{1}, W_{1}\right)=(Q, 0) \text { and } \mu_{s^{\prime}} \mu_{s}\left(Q_{2}, W_{2}\right)=(Q, 0)
$$

Now let $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ be the gradings on $Q$ such that we have

$$
\mu_{s^{\prime}}^{L}\left(Q_{1}, W_{1}, \partial_{1}\right)=\left(Q, 0, d_{1}\right) \text { and } \mu_{s^{\prime}}^{L} \mu_{s}^{L}\left(Q_{2}, W_{2}, \partial_{2}\right)=\left(Q, 0, d_{2}\right)
$$

Now by $(*)$ and Proposition 3.6, we get

$$
\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, 0, d_{1}\right) \underset{\operatorname{gr}}{\sim} \operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W, d_{2}\right)
$$

Now by Proposition 3.12, any such two gradings will be graded equivalent and we get (1).

Corollary 3.15. If $Q$ is a tree, and if $\Lambda$ is of cluster type $Q$, then $\Lambda$ is derived equivalent to $k Q$.
Proof. By Theorem 3.14, it is enough to observe that if $Q$ is a connected tree, all gradings on $k Q$ are equivalent. We consider the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{Z}^{Q_{0}} & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{Q_{1}} \\
\left(r_{i}\right)_{i \in Q_{0}} & \mapsto\left(r_{t(a)}-r_{s(a)}\right)_{a \in Q_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the grading on $Q$ which are equivalent to the trivial grading are clearly the image of this map. Moreover, the kernel of this map is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. If $Q$ is a connected tree, then $\sharp Q_{0}=\sharp Q_{1}+1$ and so this map is surjective.

## 4. Derived equivalence classes of algebras of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$

In this section we study the algebras of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$, i.e. all algebras which are cluster equivalent to the path algebra $H$ of the acyclic quiver $Q_{H}$ :


Since $Q_{H}$ is not a tree, these algebras need not be derived equivalent. In this section we introduce an invariant of an algebra of cluster type $Q_{H}$ which distinguishes its class of derived equivalence.

### 4.1. The weight of an algebra of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$.

Definition 4.1. Let $d$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $Q_{H}$. We define the weight of the grading $d$ by

$$
w(H, d):=\sum_{i=1}^{p} d\left(a_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{q} d\left(b_{i}\right)
$$

Lemma 4.2. Let $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ be two $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings on $H$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) $\left(H, d_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H, d_{2}\right)$ are graded equivalent;
(2) $w\left(H, d_{1}\right)=w\left(H, d_{2}\right)$.

Proof. We consider the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi: & \mathbb{Z}^{\left(Q_{H}\right)_{0}}
\end{aligned} \mathbb{Z}^{\left(Q_{H}\right)_{1}} .
$$

The gradings $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are equivalent if and only if $d_{1}-d_{2}$ is in the image of $\phi$. The kernel of $\phi$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. Since $Q_{H}$ is of type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$, we have $\sharp\left(Q_{H}\right)_{0}=\sharp\left(Q_{H}\right)_{1}$, therefore the cokernel of this map is also isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. The weight can be seen as a $\operatorname{map} \mathbb{Z}^{\left(Q_{H}\right)_{1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. It is immediate to check that the composition $w \phi$ vanishes. Therefore $w\left(H, d_{1}\right)=w\left(H, d_{2}\right)$ if and only if $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are equivalent.

This result allows us to define the following.
Definition 4.3. Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra of global dimension at most 2 and of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$. Let $d$ be an grading on $Q_{H}$ induced by $\Lambda$. Then define the weight of $\Lambda$ by

$$
w(\Lambda):=w(d)=\sum_{i=1}^{p} d\left(a_{i}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{q} d\left(b_{i}\right) .
$$

If $p>q$, the weight of $\Lambda$ is well defined. Indeed in this case, there is no automorphism of $Q_{H}$. Hence by Proposition 3.12 the grading is unique up to graded equivalence. And by Lemma 4.2 two different induced gradings give the same weight.

Theorem 4.4. Let $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ be two algebras of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ with $p>q$. Then there is a derived equivalence between $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ if and only if $w\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)=w\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Let $Q_{H}$ be an orientation of $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ and let $\delta_{1}$ (resp. $\delta_{2}$ ) be a grading on $Q_{H}$ induced by $\Lambda_{1}$ (resp. $\Lambda_{2}$ ). Consider the following statements:
(a) $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ are derived equivalent;
(b) the gradings $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ are equivalent;
(c) $w\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)=w\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)$.

By Theorem 3.14 we have $(a) \Leftrightarrow(b)$. By Lemma 4.2 we have $(b) \Leftrightarrow(c)$.
Corollary 4.5. Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$. Then $\Lambda$ is piecewise hereditary if and only if $w(\Lambda)=0$.

Proof. Let us treat the case where $p>q$. The 'if'-part of the assertion is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.4 applied for $\Lambda_{1}=\Lambda$ and $\Lambda_{2}=H$.

The algebra $\Lambda$ is piecewise hereditary if and only if the derived category $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\mathcal{H})$ for some hereditary category $\mathcal{H}$. Therefore it implies that the generalized cluster category $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$ is equivalent to the cluster category $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Since $\Lambda$ is of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$, we have $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{A}_{p, q}}$. Hence we get $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\mathcal{H}) \simeq \mathcal{D}^{b}\left(\widetilde{A}_{p, q}\right)$. Therefore by Theorem 4.4 we have $w(\Lambda)=0$.

The case $p=q$ is a direct consequence of the result below.
4.2. The case $p=q$. In the case $p=q$ there is a unique non-trivial automorphism of
$Q_{H}$ fixing the vertices 1 and $p+1$ and interchanging the vertices $i$ and $2 p+2-i$ for $i=2, \ldots, p$. This automorphism induces a derived equivalence between algebras $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, p}$ such that $w\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)=-w\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)$. Therefore we obtain the following result, whose proof is the same as Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 4.6. Let $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ be two algebras of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, p}$. Then there is a derived equivalence between $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ if and only if $\left|w\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)\right|=\left|w\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)\right|$.

## 5. The Auslander-Reiten quiver of the derived category of an algebra of CLUSTER TYPE $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$

Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ and of weight $w \neq 0$. In this section we compute the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the derived category $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$. In order to do that, we use some results of AO10, Section 8].
5.1. Graded derived equivalence. Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$. Then, by Proposition 3.10 , there exists a graded quiver with reduced potential $(\bar{Q}, W, d)$ such that we have an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra $\bar{\Lambda} \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Jac}(\bar{Q}, W, d)$. Let $\partial$ be a grading induced by $\Lambda$ on $H$ where $Q_{H}$ is the following quiver


Then there exists a sequence of mutation $s$ such that $\mu_{s}(\bar{Q}, W, d)=\left(Q_{H}, 0, \partial\right)$. Now define the $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-graded quiver with reduced potential $\left(Q^{\prime}, W^{\prime},\left(d^{\prime}, \delta\right)\right)$ by the following

$$
\left(Q^{\prime}, W^{\prime},\left(d^{\prime}, \delta\right)\right):=\mu_{s^{-}}^{R}\left(Q_{H}, 0,(\partial, 0)\right) .
$$

Then by Lemma 3.5 we have an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra $\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q^{\prime}, W^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right) \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ $\operatorname{Jac}(\bar{Q}, W, d)$. Since the potentials $W$ and $W^{\prime}$ are reduced, the quivers $\bar{Q}$ and $Q^{\prime}$ are isomorphic. By definition of $\bar{Q}$ and $d$, the quiver $Q_{\Lambda}$ of the algebra $\Lambda$ is the subquiver of $\bar{Q}$ satisfying $\left(Q_{\Lambda}\right)_{0}=\bar{Q}_{0}$ and $\left(Q_{\Lambda}\right)_{1}=\left\{a \in \bar{Q}_{1} \mid d(a)=0\right\}$. Moreover we have an isomorphism $\Lambda \simeq k Q_{\Lambda} /\left\langle\partial_{a} W, a \in \bar{Q}_{1}, d(a)=1\right\rangle$. Since we have an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra $\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q^{\prime}, W^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{ } \operatorname{Jac}(\bar{Q}, W, d)$, we get an isomorphism $\Lambda \simeq k Q_{\Lambda} /\left\langle\partial_{a} W^{\prime}, a \in Q_{1}^{\prime}, d^{\prime}(a)=1\right\rangle$. The grading $\delta$, which is a grading on $Q^{\prime} \simeq \bar{Q}$, restricts on a grading on $Q_{\Lambda}$. The grading $\delta$ makes $W^{\prime}$ homogeneous of degree 1 . Hence the relations $\partial_{a} W^{\prime}, a \in Q_{1}^{\prime}$ with $d^{\prime}(a)=1$ are homogeneous with respect to the degree $\delta$. Consequently $\delta$ yields a grading on the algebra $\Lambda$ that we still denote by $\delta$.

Then we have the following direct consequence of AO10, Theorem 8.3].
Theorem 5.1 ( $\mid$ AO10|). In the setup above, there exists a triangle equivalence

$$
\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(\Lambda, \delta)) \xrightarrow[\sim]{F} \mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial))
$$

Moreover we have an isomorphism of triangle functors $F \circ\langle 1\rangle_{\delta} \simeq \mathbb{S}_{2}^{-1} \circ\langle-1\rangle_{\partial} \circ F$.
Remark 5.2. Note that in this situation, the compatibility condition defined in AO10, Definition 8.5] is automatically satisfied since $\bar{Q}$ is mutation acyclic and since $W$ is rigid. Indeed, two $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings on a quiver induce a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-grading on it. But in general two $\mathbb{Z}$ gradings on an algebra do not give rise to a $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$-grading on it.

Theorem 5.1 implies the following result which will be very useful to compute explicitly the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$.

Corollary 5.3. There exists a $k$-linear equivalence

$$
\left.\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial))\right) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle_{\partial}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 5.1 we deduce that there is a $k$-linear equivalence between the orbit categories

$$
\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(\Lambda, \delta)) /\langle 1\rangle_{\delta} \simeq \mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle_{\partial}
$$

Now we can use the following result due to Keller
Theorem 5.4 (Kel05]). Let $Q_{H}$ be an acyclic quiver and $\partial$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $Q_{H}$. Let $F:=-\stackrel{L}{\otimes}_{\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)} X$ be an auto-equivalence of $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial))$ for some object $X \in$ $\mathcal{D}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial))$. Assume that
(1) For each indecomposable $U \in \bmod \operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)$, the set $\left\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid F^{i} U \in \bmod \operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)\right\}$ is finite.
(2) There exists $N \geq 0$ such that for each indecomposable $X \in \mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial))$, there exists $0 \leq n \leq N$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $F^{i} X[-n] \in \bmod \operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)$.
Then the orbit category $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / F$ admits a natural triangulated structure such that the projection functor $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / F$ is triangulated.

It is already shown in $\mathrm{BMR}^{+} 06$ that the functor $\mathbb{S}_{2}$ satisfies conditions (1) and (2). Since the functor $\langle 1\rangle_{\partial}$ is an auto-equivalence of $\bmod \operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)$, then the functor $\mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle_{\partial}$
clearly satisfies again condition (1) and (2). Thus the orbit category $\left(\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(\Lambda, \delta)) /\langle 1\rangle_{\delta}\right) \simeq$ $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle_{\partial}$ is triangulated and the natural functor

$$
\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(\Lambda, \delta)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(\Lambda, \delta)) /\langle 1\rangle_{\delta}
$$

is a triangle functor.
By AO10, Corollary 7.14], the derived category $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$ is equivalent to the triangulated hull $\left(\overline{\mathcal{D}^{b}}(\operatorname{Cov}(\Lambda, \delta)) /\langle 1\rangle_{\delta}\right)_{\Delta}$. Hence we have the following commutative diagram

$$
\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(\Lambda, \delta)) \xrightarrow[C]{\stackrel{A}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(\Lambda, \delta)) /\langle 1\rangle \stackrel{B}{\longrightarrow}} \mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)
$$

where $A$ and $C$ are triangle functors. Therefore $B$ commutes with the shift and sends triangles to triangles. Hence the fully faithful functor $B$ is a $k$-equivalence

$$
\mathcal{D}^{b}\left(\operatorname{Cov}(\Lambda, \delta) /\langle 1\rangle_{\delta}\right) \simeq \mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle_{\partial} .
$$

5.2. The shape of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$. In this subsection we use Corollary 5.3 to compute explicitly the shape of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the derived category of an algebra of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$.

Throughout this subsection $\Lambda$ is an algebra of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ and of weight $w \neq 0$. Let $\partial$ be a grading induced by $\Lambda$ on $Q_{H}$. By Lemma 4.2 we can assume that $\partial$ is the following grading.


By Corollary 5.3 we have a $k$-linear equivalence

$$
\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle_{\partial} .
$$



Figure 5.1. Shape of the component $\mathcal{P}_{0}$ of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\bmod (\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial))$.

Therefore the quiver of the subcategory $\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)=\operatorname{add}\left\{H\langle p\rangle_{\partial}, p \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \subset \operatorname{gr} H$ consists of $|w|$ connected components of type $A_{\infty}^{\infty}$ with the following orientation.


Then it is not hard to compute the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the module category $\bmod \operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)$. It has $4|w|$ connected components: $\mathcal{P}_{i}, \mathcal{R}_{i}^{p}, \mathcal{R}_{i}^{q}$, and $\mathcal{I}_{i}$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z} / w \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $\mathcal{P}_{i}=\mathcal{P}_{0}\langle i\rangle, \mathcal{R}_{i}^{p}=\mathcal{R}_{0}^{p}\langle i\rangle, \mathcal{R}_{i}^{q}=\mathcal{R}_{0}^{q}\langle i\rangle$ and $\mathcal{I}_{i}=\mathcal{I}_{0}\langle i\rangle$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z} / w \mathbb{Z}$.

The component $\mathcal{P}_{0}$ is of type $\mathbb{N} A_{\infty}^{\infty}$ and contains the indecomposable projective modules $P_{j}\langle 0\rangle$ for $j=1, \ldots, p+q$. Its shape is described in Figure 5.1.

The component $\mathcal{I}_{0}$ is of type $(-\mathbb{N}) A_{\infty}^{\infty}$ and contains the indecomposable injective modules $I_{j}\langle 0\rangle$ for $j=1, \ldots, p+q$. Its shape is described in Figure 5.2.

The components $\mathcal{R}_{0}^{p}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{0}^{q}$ are of type $\mathbb{Z} A_{\infty}$ and contain the regular modules. The shape of the connected components $\mathcal{R}_{0}^{p}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{0}^{q}$ are described in Figures 5.3 and 5.4. In these figures, $S_{i}\langle m\rangle$ is the $m$-degree-shift of the simple module associated with the vertex


Figure 5.2. Shape of the component $\mathcal{I}_{0}$ of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\bmod (\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial))$.


Figure 5.3. Shape of the connected component $\mathcal{R}_{0}^{p}$.


Figure 5.4. Shape of the connected component $\mathcal{R}_{0}^{q}$.
$i$, the object $M\langle 0\rangle$ is defined by the exact sequence

$$
P_{p}\langle-w\rangle \xrightarrow{a_{p}} P_{p+1}\langle 0\rangle \longrightarrow M\langle 0\rangle \longrightarrow 0
$$

and the object $N\langle 0\rangle$ is defined by the exact sequence

$$
P_{p+2}\langle 0\rangle \xrightarrow{b_{q}} P_{p}\langle 0\rangle \longrightarrow N\langle 0\rangle \longrightarrow 0
$$

Under the forgetful functor $\bmod \operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial) \rightarrow \bmod H$, the components $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ are sent to $\mathcal{P}$ the preprojective component of $\bmod H$, the components $\mathcal{I}_{i}$ are sent to the preinjective component $\mathcal{I}$, the components $\mathcal{R}_{j}^{p}$ are sent to the exceptional tube of rank $p$ and the components $\mathcal{R}_{j}^{q}$ are sent to the exceptional tube of rank $q$. The indecomposable $H$-modules lying in the homogeneous tubes are not gradable if $w \neq 0$, therefore the description above is complete.


Figure 5.5. Shape of the component $\mathcal{P}_{(0,0)}$ of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial))$.


Figure 5.6. Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial))$
Since $\bmod \operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)$ is a hereditary category, one can easily deduce the shape of the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial))$. It has three kinds of components $\mathcal{P}_{(i, n)}, \mathcal{R}_{(i, n)}^{p}$, and $\mathcal{R}_{(i, n)}^{q}$, with $i \in \mathbb{Z} / w \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The component $\mathcal{P}_{(0,0)}$ contains $\mathcal{P}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{0}[-1]$ and is described in Figure 5.5. The component $\mathcal{R}_{(0,0)}^{p}$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{R}_{(0,0)}^{q}\right)$ is $\mathcal{R}_{0}^{p}$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_{0}^{q}$ ). Moreover we have $\mathcal{P}_{(i, n)}=\mathcal{P}_{(0,0)}\langle i\rangle[n], \mathcal{R}_{(i, n)}^{p}=\mathcal{R}_{(0,0)}^{p}\langle i\rangle[n]$, and $\mathcal{R}_{(i, n)}^{q}=\mathcal{R}_{(0,0)}^{q}\langle i\rangle[n]$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z} / w \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The morphisms satisfy the following:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{P}_{(i, n)}, \mathcal{P}_{(j, m)}\right) \neq 0 & \text { if and only if } & i=j \text { and } m \in\{n, n+1\} \\
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{P}_{(i, n)}^{p, q}, \mathcal{R}_{j, m)}^{p, q}\right) \neq 0 & \text { if and only if } & i=j \text { and } m=n \\
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{R}_{(i, n}^{p, q}, \mathcal{P}_{(j, m)}\right) \neq 0 & \text { if and only if } & i=j \text { and } m=n+1 \\
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{R}_{(i, n)}^{p, q}, \mathcal{R}_{(j, m)}^{p, q}\right) \neq 0 & \text { if and only if } & i=j \text { and } m \in\{n, n+1\}
\end{array}
$$

Now we can compute the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the orbit category $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle$. We look at the action of the functor $\mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle$ on the connected components of the AR-quiver of $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial))$. The functor $\mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle$ acts on the set $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{(i, n)}, i \in \mathbb{Z} / w \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ by

$$
\mathbb{S}_{2}\left(\mathcal{P}_{(i, n)}\right)\langle 1\rangle=\tau\left(\mathcal{P}_{(i, n)}\right)[-1]\langle 1\rangle=\mathcal{P}_{(i, n)}[-1]\langle 1\rangle=\mathcal{P}_{(i+1, n-1)}
$$



Figure 5.7. Auslander-Reiten quiver of the category $\mathcal{D}^{b} \Lambda$, where $\Lambda$ is of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ and of weight $w>0$.

Then there are exactly $|w|$ orbits which are $\left(\mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle\right)^{\mathbb{Z}} \cdot \mathcal{P}_{(i, 0)}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z} / w \mathbb{Z}$. Similarly $\mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle$ acts on the sets $\left\{\mathcal{R}_{(i, n)}^{p}, i \in \mathbb{Z} / w \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathcal{R}_{(i, n)}^{q}, i \in \mathbb{Z} / w \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ by

$$
\mathbb{S}_{2}\left(\mathcal{R}_{(i, n)}^{p}\right)\langle 1\rangle=\mathcal{R}_{(i+1, n-1)}^{p} \text { and } \mathbb{S}_{2}\left(\mathcal{R}_{(i, n)}^{q}\right)\langle 1\rangle=\mathcal{R}_{(i+1, n-1)}^{q}
$$

Therefore we get $2|w|$ orbits which are $\left(\mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle\right)^{\mathbb{Z}} \cdot \mathcal{R}_{(i, 0)}^{p}$ and $\left(\mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle\right)^{\mathbb{Z}} \cdot \mathcal{R}_{(i, 0)}^{q}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z} / w \mathbb{Z}$.
Finally the shape of the AR-quiver of $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle$ is described in Figure 5.7 This description can be resumed in the following.
Corollary 5.5. Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ and of weight $w \neq 0$. Then the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$ has exactly $3|w|$ connected components:

- $|w|$ components of type $\mathbb{Z} A_{\infty}^{\infty}$;
- $2|w|$ components of type $\mathbb{Z} A_{\infty}$.
5.3. Consequences of the description of the AR-quiver. From this description we also get the following consequences.

Corollary 5.6. Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ and of weight $w \neq 0$. Then $\Lambda$ is representation-finite.
Proof. The algebra $\Lambda$ is derived discrete in the sense of Vos01. Hence it is representationfinite.
Corollary 5.7. Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ and of weight $w$, then the Coxeter polynomial of $\Lambda$ is

$$
X^{p+q}-(-1)^{w} X^{p-w}-(-1)^{w} X^{q+w}+1
$$

Proof. The Coxeter matrix is the matrix of the automorphism $\tau$ at the level of the Grothendieck group $K_{0}\left(\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)\right)$ in the basis $\left\{\left[S_{i}\right] \mid 1 \leq i \leq p+q\right\}$ consisting of the representatives of the simples. The Coxeter polynomial $C(X)$ is by definition its characteristic polynomial.

In the category $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle$ we have the isomorphisms

$$
\tau^{-p}\left(S_{2}\langle 0\rangle\right) \simeq S_{2}\langle w\rangle \simeq \mathbb{S}_{2}^{-w}\left(S_{2}\langle 0\rangle\right) \simeq \tau^{-w} S_{2}\langle 0\rangle[w] .
$$

Hence we get the equality $\left[\tau^{-p+w} S_{2}\right]=(-1)^{w}\left[S_{2}\right]$ in $K_{0}\left(\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle\right)$. Moreover from the previous computation (see Figure 5.3), it is immediate to see that the family ( $\left.\left[\tau^{i} S_{2}\right],-p+w+1 \leq i \leq, 0\right)$ is linearly independent in $K_{0}\left(\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)\right)$. Therefore all $(w-p)^{t h}$ roots of $(-1)^{w}$ are eigenvalues of $[\tau]$ and the polynomial $X^{p-w}-(-1)^{w}$ divides $C(X)$.

Similarly we have $\left[\tau^{-q-w} S_{p+q}\right]=(-1)^{w}\left[S_{p+q}\right]$ in $K_{0}\left(\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle\right)$. Hence, by the same argument as above, the polynomial $X^{q+w}-(-1)^{w}$ divides $C(X)$.

Now, since $S_{2}$ does not appear in the composition series of $\tau^{n} S_{p+q}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the product $\left(X^{q+w}-(-1)^{w}\right)\left(X^{p-w}-(-1)^{w}\right)$ divides the Coxeter polynomial $C(X)$. Using the fact that $C(X)$ is of degree $p+q$ and that its constant term is 1 , we get the result.
Remark 5.8. Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ and of weight $w \neq 0$. Then the category $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$ is locally fractionally Calabi-Yau of dimensions $\frac{p-2 w}{p-w}$ and $\frac{q+2 w}{q+w}$ in the sense that there exists objects $X$ and $Y$ such that there are isomorphisms $\mathbb{S}^{p-w} X \simeq X[p-2 w]$ and $\mathbb{S}^{q+w} Y \simeq Y[q+2 w]$. These kind of algebras are studied in [AO, Section 6].

From the previous section, we also deduce a result for the image of the derived category in the cluster category.
Corollary 5.9. Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{(p, q)}$ and of weight $w \neq 0$. Then the quiver of the orbit category $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda) / \mathbb{S}_{2}$ has 3 connected components which are of the forms $\mathbb{Z} \widetilde{A}_{p, q}, \mathbb{Z} A_{\infty} /\left(\tau^{p}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Z} A_{\infty} /\left(\tau^{q}\right)$.
Proof. From Corollary 5.3 we have the following diagram


The upper functor is a triangle functor, hence it commutes with $\mathbb{S}_{2}$. Therefore, the $k$ equivalence of Corollary 5.3 commutes with $\mathbb{S}_{2}$. Using this we deduce that we have a $k$-equivalence

$$
\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda) / \mathbb{S}_{2} \longrightarrow \sim\left(\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle\right) / \mathbb{S}_{2}
$$

Therefore the AR quiver of $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda) / \mathbb{S}_{2}$ is the same as the AR quiver of the orbit category $\left(\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle\right) / \mathbb{S}_{2}$. Note that since $\mathbb{S}_{2} \simeq\langle-1\rangle$ in $\left(\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle\right)$, then we have just to understand the action of $\langle 1\rangle$ in the category $\left(\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle\right)$. We know that the category $\left(\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle\right)$ has $3|w|$ components which are

$$
\mathcal{P}_{(i, 0)}, \mathcal{R}_{(i, 0)}^{p} \text { and } \mathcal{R}_{(i, 0)}^{q}, \text { for } i \in \mathbb{Z} / w \mathbb{Z}
$$

Moreover we have the equality

$$
\mathcal{P}_{(i, 0)}\langle 1\rangle=\mathcal{P}_{(i+1,0)}, \mathcal{R}_{(i, 0)}^{p}\langle 1\rangle=\mathcal{R}_{(i+1,0)}^{p}, \quad \operatorname{and} \mathcal{R}_{(i, 0)}^{q}\langle 1\rangle=\mathcal{R}_{(i+1,0)}^{q}
$$

Thus for each connected component $\Gamma$ of the AR quiver of $\left(\mathcal{D}^{b}(\operatorname{Cov}(H, \partial)) / \mathbb{S}_{2}\langle 1\rangle\right)$ we have $\Gamma\langle w\rangle=\Gamma$. Now it is easy to check that for $X \in \mathcal{R}_{(i, 0)}$ or we have $X\langle w\rangle \simeq \tau^{-p} X$. The action of $\langle w\rangle$ on the component $\mathcal{P}_{(0,0)}$ makes $\mathcal{P}_{(0,0)} /\langle w\rangle$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \widetilde{A}_{p, q}$. Therefore we get the result.

## 6. Explicit description of algebras of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$

In this section, we describe explicitly (in term of quiver with relations) the algebras of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$, and give a way to compute very easily the weight of such an algebra. The strategy consists of describing first the cluster-tilted algebras of type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$, following Bas09], and then showing that all algebras of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ can been seen as the degree zero part of a cluster-tilted algebra of type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ with an appropriate grading. We first start by describing the algebras of cluster type $A_{n}$ using a similar approach.
6.1. Algebras of cluster type $A_{n}$. The aim of this section is to describe all algebras of global dimension $\leq 2$ which are of cluster type $A_{n}$. By Corollary 3.15, we already know that they are the algebras of global dimension $\leq 2$ derived equivalent to $k Q$, where $Q$ is a quiver of type $A_{n}$. A description of iterated-tilted algebras of type $A_{n}$ was done by Assem Ass82]. Here we use other techniques, based on further developments in cluster-tilting theory.
Definition 6.1. For $n \geq 1$, we define the class $\mathcal{M}_{n}^{A}$ of quivers $Q$ that satisfy the following:

- they have $n$ vertices;
- all non-trivial cycles are oriented and of length 3 ;
- a vertex has valency at most 4;
- if a vertex has valency 4 , then two of its adjacent arrows belong to one 3 -cycle, and the other two belong to another 3-cycle;
- if a vertex has valency 3 , then two of its adjacent arrows belong to a 3 -cycle, and the third arrow does not belong to any 3 -cycle.
The set $\mathcal{M}^{A}$ denotes the union of all $\mathcal{M}_{n}^{A}$. For a quiver $Q$ in $\mathcal{M}_{A}$ we denote by $W_{Q}$ the sum of all oriented 3 -cycles. This is a potential in the sense of [DWZ08].
Theorem 6.2 ( $\overline{\mathrm{BV} 08]) \text {. Let } \Gamma \text { be a finite dimensional algebra. Then } \Gamma \text { is a cluster-tilted }}$ algebra of type $A_{n}$ if and only if there exists a quiver $Q$ in $\mathcal{M}_{n}^{A}$ such that we have an isomorphism $\Gamma \simeq \operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W_{Q}\right)$.

The main result of this subsection is the following.
Theorem 6.3. Let $Q$ be a quiver in $\mathcal{M}_{n}^{A}$, and let d be $W_{Q}$-grading (cf Definition 3.9). It yields a grading on the Jacobian algebra $B:=\operatorname{Jac}(Q, W)$. Denote by $\Lambda:=B_{0}$ its degree zero part. Then $\Lambda$ is an algebra of global dimension $\leq 2$ and of cluster type $A_{n}$. Moreover each algebra of global dimension $\leq 2$ and of cluster type $A_{n}$ is isomorphic to such a $\Lambda$.
Proof. We divide the proof into several steps for the convenience of the reader.

## Step 1: The global dimension of the algebra $\Lambda$ is at most 2.

Denote by $Q^{(0)}$ the subquiver of $Q$ which is defined by $Q_{0}^{(0)}:=Q_{0}$ and $Q_{1}^{(0)}:=\{a \in$ $\left.Q_{1}, d(a)=0\right\}$. Then we have

$$
\Lambda \simeq k Q^{(0)} /\left\langle\partial_{a} W_{Q}, d(a)=1\right\rangle
$$

Note that since $W$ is a sum of 3-cycles, the ideal of relations $\left\langle\partial_{a} W_{Q}, d(a)=1\right\rangle$ is included in $k Q_{2}^{(0)}$, that is every relation is of length 2 . Let $i \in Q_{0}$ be a vertex. Then the projective resolution of the simple $\Lambda$-module associated to $i$ is given by

$$
\bigoplus_{b, s(b)=i, d(b)=1} e_{t(b)} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\left(f_{a, b}\right)} \bigoplus_{a, t(a)=i, d(a)=0} e_{s(a)} \Lambda \longrightarrow e_{i} \Lambda \longrightarrow S_{i} \longrightarrow 0
$$

Let $b: i \rightarrow l$ be an arrow of degree 1 , and $a: j \rightarrow i$ such that $f_{a, b} \neq 0$. Then there exists a 3 -cycle:

and the map $f_{a, b}: e_{l} \Lambda \rightarrow e_{j} \Lambda$ is induced by $c$. The arrow $c$ does not belong to another 3 -cycle, therefore there is no relation between some predecessor of $l$ and $j$. Hence the map $f_{a, b}: e_{l} \Lambda \rightarrow e_{j} \Lambda$ is a monomorphism.

Let $a$ be an arrow with $t(a)=i$ and of degree 0 , then $a$ belongs to at most one cycle. Therefore there exists at most one arrow $b$ of degree 1 with $s(b)=i$ such that $f_{a, b}$ does not vanish. Therefore the map

$$
\bigoplus_{b, s(b)=i, d(b)=1} e_{t(b)} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\left(f_{a, b}\right)} \bigoplus_{a, t(a)=i, d(a)=0} e_{s(a)} \Lambda
$$

is injective, and the projective dimension of $S_{i}$ is at most 2.
Step 2: We have an isomorphism $\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W_{Q}\right) \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}}(\pi \Lambda)$.
Since $d$ is a $W_{Q}$-grading the set $\left\{\partial_{a} W_{Q}, d(a)=1\right\}$ is a set of minimal relations for $\Lambda$. Therefore by Proposition 2.5, we have $\bar{Q}^{(0)}=Q$ and $W_{\Lambda}=W_{Q}$ and hence Step 2.

Step 3: There is a triangle equivalence $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{A_{n}}$
By Theorem 6.2 there exists a cluster-tilting object in $\mathcal{C}_{A_{n}}$ whose endomorphism algebra is $\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W_{Q}\right)$. Therefore we are done by Corollary 2.10.

Step 4: Each algebra of cluster type $A_{n}$ and of global dimension $\leq 2$ is isomorphic to the degree zero part of a graded Jacobian algebra $\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W_{Q}, d\right)$ where $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{n}^{A}$ and $d$ is $a W_{Q^{-}}$grading.

Let $\Lambda \simeq k Q_{\Lambda} / I$ be an algebra of global dimension $\leq 2$ and of cluster type $A_{n}$. Denote by $f: \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{Q}$ the triangle equivalence. By Proposition 3.10 there exists a graded QP $\left(Q_{\bar{\Lambda}}, W, d\right)$, where $W$ is rigid and $d$ is a $W$-grading, such that we have

$$
\bar{\Lambda} \simeq \widetilde{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Jac}\left(Q_{\bar{\Lambda}}, W, d\right)
$$

Now the object $f\left(\pi_{\Lambda}(\Lambda)\right)$ is a cluster-tilting object in $\mathcal{C}_{A_{n}}$. Thus by Theorem 6.2, there exists $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{n}^{A}$ such that we have an isomorphism

$$
\bar{\Lambda} \simeq \operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W_{Q}\right)
$$

It is clear that we have $Q=Q_{\bar{\Lambda}}$. We then conclude using the following lemma.
Lemma 6.4. Let $(Q, W, d)$ be a graded $Q P$ where $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{n}^{A}$ and $W$ is rigid. Then d makes $W_{Q}$ homogeneous of degree 1 and we have an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebras

$$
\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W_{Q}, d\right) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\simeq} \operatorname{Jac}(Q, W, d)
$$

Before proving this lemma, we give a definition.
Definition 6.5. Let $Q$ be a quiver. A cycle $a_{1} \ldots a_{r}$ in $Q$ is called irreducible if for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq r$ we have $s\left(a_{i}\right) \neq s\left(a_{j}\right)$. All cycles which are not irreducible are called reducible.

Note that if $d$ is a grading on $Q$, such that with respect to this grading all irreducible cycles are of degree 1 , then all reducible cycles are of degree at least 2 .

Proof of Lemma 6.4. Denote by $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{l}$ the oriented 3-cycles of $Q$, so that we have $W_{Q}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} C_{i}$. One easily checks that the irreducible oriented cycles of the quiver $Q$ are exactly the $C_{i}$ 's (up to cyclic equivalence). Therefore we can assume that

$$
W=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i} C_{i}+\text { extra terms }
$$

where the extra terms are reducible. If there exists $i$ with $\lambda_{i}=0$ then the cycle $C_{i}$ and all the cycles cyclically equivalent to it are not in the Jacobian ideal since there are no cycle of length $\leq 2$ in the quiver $Q$. Therefore since $W$ is rigid, we have $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, r$. Now the existence of the degree $d$ implies that $d\left(C_{i}\right)=1$ for all $i=1, \ldots, r$. Hence the extra terms in the potential have to be zero and we have $W=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i} C_{i}$ with $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$.
Remark 6.6. Note that Theorem 6.3 is not true for the other Dynkin type. Let $(Q, d)$ be the following graded quiver

and $W:=c b a+c b^{\prime} a^{\prime}$. The Jacobian algebra $\operatorname{Jac}(Q, W)$ is a cluster-tilted algebra of type $D_{4}$. The grading $d$ is a $W$-grading but the degree zero part of the graded algebra $\mathrm{Jac}(Q, W, d)$ is an iterated-tilted algebra of type $A_{4}$ and of global dimension 3, so it cannot be of cluster type $D_{4}$.

### 6.2. Cluster-tilted algebras of type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$.

Definition 6.7 (Bas09). For $p \geq q \geq 1$, we define the class $\mathcal{M}_{p, q}^{\widetilde{A}}$ of quivers $Q$ that satisfy the following conditions:

- $Q$ has $p+q$ vertices;
- there exist integers $1 \leq p_{1}<p_{2}<\ldots p_{r} \leq p$ and $1 \leq q_{1}<q_{2}<\ldots<q_{r} \leq q$ such that $Q$ contains precisely one full subquiver $C$ which is a non-oriented cycle of type ( $p_{1}, q_{1}, p_{2}-p_{1}, q_{2}-q_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}-p_{r-1}, q_{r}-q_{r-1}$ ) (that is $C$ is the composition of $p_{1}$ arrows going in one direction with $q_{1}$ arrows going in the other direction, with $p_{2}-p_{1}$ arrows going the first direction, etc...). We denote by $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p_{r}}$ the arrows of $C$ going in one direction and we call them the $p$-arrows. We denote by $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q_{r}}$ the arrows going in the opposite direction and we call them the $q$-arrows.
- each arrow connecting $C$ to a vertex not in $C$ is in exactly one 3 -cycle of $Q$ of the form

where $\alpha$ is in $C$. We denote by $u(\alpha)$ the connecting vertex. It has valency at most 4. When its valency is 4 , the adjacent arrows which are not $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ belong to exactly one 3 -cycle, and when it has valency 3 , the third arrow does not belong to any 3 -cycle. Moreover, the subquiver containing $C, \alpha^{\prime}$ and $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ is a full subquiver of $Q$. Hence we cannot have $u(\alpha)=u(\beta)$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$.


Figure 6.1. Shape of a quiver in $\mathcal{M}_{p, q}^{\widetilde{A}}$.

- the full subquiver of $Q$ whose vertices are not in $C$ is a disjoint union of quivers $Q^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{M}^{A}$ where $\alpha$ is an arrow of the non-oriented cycle. The quiver $Q^{\alpha}$ is empty if there is no 3 -cycle containing $\alpha$, and the quiver $Q^{\alpha}$ contains the vertex $u(\alpha)$ if there is a 3 -cycle

- We have the equalities

$$
p=\sum_{l=1}^{p_{r}} \sharp Q_{0}^{a_{l}}+p_{r} \quad \text { and } \quad q=\sum_{l=1}^{q_{r}} \sharp Q_{0}^{b_{l}}+q_{r} .
$$

For a quiver $Q$ in $\mathcal{M}_{p, q}^{\widetilde{A}}$ we denote by $W_{Q}$ the sum of all oriented 3-cycles. More precisely we define

$$
W_{Q}:=\sum_{\alpha \in C}\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime} \alpha^{\prime} \alpha+W_{Q^{\alpha}}\right)
$$

where $C$ is the non-oriented cycle of $Q$. This is a rigid potential in the sense of [DWZ08].

Theorem $6.8(\boxed{\text { Bas09 }})$. Let $\Gamma$ be a finite dimensional algebra. Then $\Gamma$ is a cluster-tilted algebra of type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ if and only if there exists a quiver $Q$ in $\mathcal{M}_{p, q}^{\widetilde{A}}$ such that we have an isomorphism

$$
\Gamma \simeq \operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W_{Q}\right)
$$

6.3. Algebras of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$. We have then the same kind of result as for the $A_{n}$ case.

Theorem 6.9. Let $Q$ be a quiver in $\mathcal{M}_{p, q}^{\widetilde{A}}$, and let d be $W_{Q}$-grading. It yields a grading on the Jacobian algebra $B:=\operatorname{Jac}(Q, W)$. Denote by $\Lambda:=B_{0}$ its degree zero part. Then $\Lambda$ is an algebra of global dimension $\leq 2$ and of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$. Moreover each algebra of global dimension $\leq 2$ and of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ is isomorphic to such a $\Lambda$.

The proof of the first part is exactly the same as in the proof of Theorem 6.3. For the proof of the second part, we will need the following.

Lemma 6.10. Let $(Q, W, d)$ be a graded quiver with reduced potential such that:

- the quiver $Q$ is in $\mathcal{M}_{p, q}^{\widetilde{A}}$,
- the potential $W$ is rigid,
- the grading d is $W$-grading.

Then there exists an algebra isomorphism $\varphi: k \hat{Q} \rightarrow k \hat{Q}$ (where $k \hat{Q}$ is the completion of the path algebra $k Q$ ) such that $\varphi$ is the identity on the vertices, and such that $\varphi\left(W_{Q}\right)$ is cyclically equivalent to $W$. Moreover there exists a $W_{Q}$-grading $d^{\prime}$ on $Q$ such that $\varphi:\left(k \hat{Q}, d^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(k \hat{Q}, d)$ is an isomorphism of graded algebras.

Remark 6.11. This means that the graded QP $(Q, W, d)$ and $\left(Q, W_{Q}, d^{\prime}\right)$ are graded right equivalent in the sense of AO10, Def. 6.3].

Proof. We denote by $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{l}$ the oriented cycles such that $W_{Q}=\sum_{i=1}^{l} C_{i}$, by $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p_{r}}$ the $p$-arrows, and by $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q_{r}}$ the $q$-arrows of $Q$. These are irreducible cycles of $Q$. But contrary to the $A_{n}$-case, there might be other irreducible cycles in the quiver $Q$. We treat here the most complicated case.

Assume that for all $i=1, \ldots p_{r}$, and all $j=1, \ldots, q_{r}$ we have $Q^{a_{i}} \neq \varnothing$ and $Q^{b_{j}} \neq \varnothing$.
Denote by $C_{a}$ a cycle containing exactly once the arrows $a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, p_{r}$ and $b_{j}^{\prime}, b_{j}^{\prime \prime}, j=$ $1, \ldots, q_{r}$ and by $C_{b}$ a cycle containing exactly once the arrows $b_{j}, j=1, \ldots, q_{r}$ and $a_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i}^{\prime \prime}, i=1, \ldots, p_{r}$. Then one can check that the irreducible cycles of $Q$ are $C_{a}, C_{b}$ and the $C_{i}$ 's up to cyclic equivalence. Therefore we can write

$$
W=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{i} C_{i}+\alpha C_{a}+\beta C_{b}+\text { extra terms },
$$

where $\lambda_{i}, \alpha, \beta \in k$ and the extra terms are linear combination of reducible cycles.
First we show that we can assume $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$.
If $p_{r}+q_{r} \geq 3$, then we have immediately $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for $i=1, \ldots, l$ by the rigidity of $W$. Assume $p_{r}=q_{r}=1$. Then $Q$ is of this form:


We can assume (up to renumbering) that $C_{1}$ is cyclically equivalent to $a_{1} a_{1}^{\prime} a_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $C_{2}$ is cyclically equivalent to $b_{1} b_{1}^{\prime} b_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, and we have $C_{a}=a_{1} b_{1}^{\prime} b_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $C_{b}=b_{1} a_{1}^{\prime} a_{1}^{\prime \prime}$. Then it is easy to see that the rigidity of $W$ implies that $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for $i=3, \ldots, l$ and that $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}-\alpha \beta \neq 0$. Therefore, up to the automorphism of $Q$ exchanging $a_{1}$ and $b_{1}$ we can assume that $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \neq 0$.

Since the potential $W$ is homogeneous of degree 1 we have
$(*) d\left(a_{i}\right)+d\left(a_{i}^{\prime}\right)+d\left(a_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)=d\left(b_{j}\right)+d\left(b_{j}^{\prime}\right)+d\left(b_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)=1 \quad$ for all $i=1, \ldots, p_{r}, j=1, \ldots, q_{r}$
By definition we have
$(\dagger) d\left(C_{a}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{p_{r}} d\left(a_{i}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{q_{r}}\left(d\left(b_{j}^{\prime}\right)+d\left(b_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \quad$ and $\quad d\left(C_{b}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{q_{r}} d\left(b_{j}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{p_{r}}\left(d\left(a_{i}^{\prime}\right)+d\left(a_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)$
Hence combining $(*)$ and $(\dagger)$ we get

$$
d\left(C_{a}\right)+d\left(C_{b}\right)=p_{r}+q_{r} .
$$

Using $(\ddagger)$ and the fact that $d\left(C_{a}\right)$ and $d\left(C_{b}\right)$ are non-negative (since $d$ is a map $Q_{1} \rightarrow$ $\{0,1\}$ ), we divide the proof into 4 subcases.

Case 1: $d\left(C_{a}\right) \geq 2$ and $d\left(C_{b}\right) \geq 2$.
In this case, since $W$ is homogeneous of degree 1 , we have $\alpha=\beta=0$, and there is no extra term in the potential $W$. For $i=1, \ldots, l$ we denote by $c_{i}$ the arrow such that $C_{i}=c_{i}^{\prime \prime} c_{i}^{\prime} c_{i}$. Then we define $\varphi$ on $Q_{1}$ by

$$
\varphi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{i} c_{i} & \text { if } x=c_{i} \\
x & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is then clear than $\varphi$ is an isomorphism of the graded algebra $(k Q, d)$ sending $W_{Q}$ on $W$.

Case 2: $d\left(C_{a}\right)=1$ and $d\left(C_{b}\right) \geq 2$.
In this case, since $W$ is homogeneous of degree 1 , we have $\beta=0$ and there is no extra term in the potential $W$. Up to cyclic equivalence we can assume that $C_{1}=b_{1}^{\prime \prime} b_{1}^{\prime} b_{1}$ and
$C_{a}=b_{1}^{\prime \prime} b_{1}^{\prime} C_{a}^{\prime}$. For $i=2, \ldots, l$ we denote by $c_{i}$ the arrow such that $C_{i}=c_{i}^{\prime \prime} c_{i}^{\prime} c_{i}$. Now we define $\varphi$ on $Q_{1}$ by

$$
\varphi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\lambda_{1} b_{1}+\alpha C_{a}^{\prime} & \text { if } x=b_{1} \\
\lambda_{i} c_{i} & \text { if } x=c_{i}, \text { and } i \geq 2 \\
x & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $C_{a}=b_{1}^{\prime \prime} b_{1}^{\prime} C_{a}^{\prime}$ and $C_{1}=b_{1}^{\prime \prime} b_{1}^{\prime} b_{1}$ are oriented cycles, the path $C_{a}^{\prime}$ has the same source and the same target as $b_{1}$, thus $\varphi$ is an algebra morphism. Moreover, since $\lambda_{i} \neq 0, \varphi$ is an isomorphism of the completion $k \hat{Q}$. Now, we have

$$
d\left(C_{a}^{\prime}\right)=d\left(C_{a}\right)-d\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right)-d\left(b_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=1-d\left(b_{1}^{\prime}\right)-d\left(b_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)=d\left(b_{1}\right) .
$$

Therefore $\varphi$ is an isomorphism of the graded algebra $(k \hat{Q}, d)$ sending $W_{Q}$ on $W$.
Case 3: $d\left(C_{a}\right)=1$ and $d\left(C_{b}\right)=1$.
From ( $\ddagger$ ) we have automatically $p_{r}=q_{r}=1$. All the cycles $C_{1}=a_{1}^{\prime \prime} a_{1}^{\prime} a_{1}, C_{2}=b_{1}^{\prime \prime} b_{1}^{\prime} b_{1}$, $C_{b}=a_{1}^{\prime \prime} a_{1}^{\prime} b_{1}, C_{a}=b_{1}^{\prime \prime} b_{1}^{\prime} a_{1}, C_{3}, \ldots, C_{l}$ are homogeneous of degree 1 . Since $W$ is rigid we have $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}-\alpha \beta \neq 0$. And since the grading $d$ makes $W$ homogeneous of degree 1 , there is no extra term in the potential $W$ and we have $d\left(a_{1}\right)=d\left(b_{1}\right)$. Then we can define:

$$
\varphi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{1} a_{1}+\beta b_{1} & \text { if } x=a_{1} \\
\lambda_{2} b_{1}+\alpha a_{1} & \text { if } x=b_{1} \\
x & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}-\alpha \beta=0$, this algebra morphism is an isomorphism. Moreover, since $d\left(a_{1}\right)=$ $d\left(b_{1}\right), \varphi:(k Q, d) \rightarrow(k Q, d)$ is a isomorphism of graded algebras. By construction it sends $W_{Q}$ on $W$.
Case 4: $d\left(C_{a}\right)=0$.
From ( $\ddagger$ ), we have $d\left(C_{b}\right) \geq 2$. Hence, since $W$ is homogeneous of degree 1 , we have $\alpha=\beta=0$. In this case, since the degree of $C_{a}$ is 0 , the oriented cycles of the quiver $Q$ which are homogeneous of degree 1 are cyclically equivalent to something of the form $C_{i} C_{a}^{n}$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we can write up to cyclic equivalence:

$$
W=\sum_{i=1}^{l} C_{i} P_{i}\left(C_{a}\right)
$$

where $P_{i} \in k[X]$ is a polynomial with constant term $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$. For each $i=1, \ldots, l$ we write $C_{i}=c_{i}^{\prime \prime} c_{i}^{\prime} c_{i}$ and we define

$$
\varphi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
c_{i} P_{i}\left(C_{a}\right) & \text { if } x=c_{i} \\
x & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\varphi$ is an automorphism of the completion $k \hat{Q}$ since $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, l$. Since $d\left(C_{a}\right)=0$, this automorphism is an automorphism of the graded algebra $(k \hat{Q}, d)$.

The other cases, namely there exists $1 \leq i \leq p_{r}$ and $1 \leq j \leq q_{r}$ such that $Q^{a_{i}}=\varnothing$ and $Q^{b_{j}}=\varnothing$, and for all $i=1, \ldots p_{r}$, we have $Q^{a_{i}} \neq \varnothing$ and there exists $j$ such that $Q^{b_{j}}=\varnothing$ are simpler since in these cases there are less irreducible cycles. The proof is let to the reader.

Remark 6.12. (1) Note that the first part of this lemma can be deduced directly from DWZ08. Indeed since $W$ is rigid the quiver with potential $(Q, W)$ is right equivalent in the sense of DWZ08 to $\left(Q, W_{Q}\right)$ (there exists a sequence $s$ such that $\mu_{s}(Q)$ is acyclic, therefore $\mu_{s}(Q, W)$ is right equivalent to $\left.\mu_{s}\left(Q, W_{Q}\right)\right)$. By definition, this implies that there exists an automorphism $\varphi: k Q \rightarrow k Q$ which is the identity on the vertices, and such that $\varphi\left(W_{Q}\right)$ is cyclically equivalent to $W$. However, we have proved this lemma constructing explicitly the isomorphism $\varphi$.
(2) In the case $p_{r}=q_{r}=1$, it might happen that $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}=0$, then the automorphism $\varphi$ constructed above will exchange the arrows $a_{1}$ and $b_{1}$. The degree map $d^{\prime}$ will satisfy $d^{\prime}\left(a_{1}\right)=d\left(b_{1}\right)$ and $d^{\prime}\left(b_{1}\right)=d\left(a_{1}\right)$. This is the only case where $d$ and $d^{\prime}$ are not the same.

From Lemma 3.10 we deduce the following result, which is a restatement of the second part of Theorem 6.9 and finish the proof of Theorem 6.9.

Corollary 6.13. Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra of global dimension at most 2 and of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$. Then there exists a quiver $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{p, q}^{\widetilde{A}}$ and a $W_{Q \text {-grading } d^{\prime}}$ such that $\Lambda$ is isomorphic to the degree zero part of $\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W_{Q}, d\right)$.
Proof. By Proposition 3.10, there exists a reduced graded quiver with potential ( $Q, W, d$ ), such that $\bar{\Lambda} \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{ } \operatorname{Jac}(Q, W, d)$. Moreover, $W$ is rigid and $d$ is a $W$-grading. By Theorem 6.8, the quiver $Q$ is in $\mathcal{M}_{p, q}^{\widetilde{A}}$. By Lemma 6.10, there exists a $W_{Q}$-grading $d^{\prime}$ such that we have $\operatorname{Jac}(Q, W, d) \underset{\mathbb{Z}}{\sim} \operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W_{Q}, d^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore $\Lambda$ is isomorphic to the degree zero part of $\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W_{Q}, d^{\prime}\right)$.
Remark 6.14. (1) This corollary implies that an algebra $\Lambda$ of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ is always isomorphic to an algebra of the form $k Q_{\Lambda} / I$, where the relations are paths and difference of two paths. This fact is a consequence of BGRS85 when $\Lambda$ is representation-finite. However, since we dispose of a direct and explicit proof for this fact, we decided not to use BGRS85.
(2) This corollary gives a description of the iterated tilted algebras of global dimension $\leq 2$ of type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$. A description of all iterated tilted algebras (not distinguishing with respect to their global dimension) of type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ has been given in AS87.
Corollary 6.15. There are only finitely many algebras (up to isomorphism) of global dimension $\leq 2$ and of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$.
Proof. There are only finitely many quivers in the set $\mathcal{M}_{p, q}^{\widetilde{A}}$. And given $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{p, q}^{\widetilde{A}}$ there are finitely many $W_{Q}$-gradings.
6.4. An alternative description of the weight. In this subsection, we give an explicit way to compute the weight of an algebra of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$.
Definition 6.16. Let $Q$ be a quiver in $\mathcal{M}_{p, q}^{\widetilde{A}}$ and $d$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $Q$ such that the potential $W_{Q}$ is homogeneous of degree 1 . Then let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p_{r}}$ be the $p$-arrows and $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{q_{r}}$ be the $q$-arrows of $Q$. The weight of the graded quiver $(Q, d)$ is defined to be

$$
w\left(Q, W_{Q}, d\right):=\sum_{l=1}^{p_{r}} d\left(a_{l}\right)-\sum_{l=1}^{q_{r}} d\left(b_{l}\right) .
$$

The aim of the subsection is to show the following.
Proposition 6.17. Let $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{p, q}^{\widetilde{A}}$ and d be a $W_{Q}$ grading. Let $\Lambda$ be the degree zero part of the graded algebra $\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W_{Q}, d\right)$. Then $\Lambda$ is an algebra of global dimension at most 2 and of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ and we have

$$
w(\Lambda)=w\left(Q, W_{Q}, d\right)
$$

Proof. The first part of the statement follows from Theorem 6.9.
Let $s$ be a a sequence of mutation such that $\mu_{s}\left(Q, W_{Q}\right)=(H, 0)$ where $Q_{H}$ is an acyclic quiver of type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$. Define a grading $\partial$ on $Q_{H}$ by $\mu_{s}^{L}\left(Q, W_{Q}, d\right)=(H, 0, \partial)$. By definition we have $w(\Lambda)=w(H, 0, \partial)$. Hence the proof of the proposition comes directly from the following technical lemma.
Lemma 6.18. Let $Q$ be a quiver in $\mathcal{M}_{p, q}^{\widetilde{A}}$ and d be a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading on $Q$ such that $W_{Q}$ is homogeneous of degree 1. Let $i$ be a vertex of $Q$. Then we have

$$
w\left(\mu_{i}^{L}\left(Q, W_{Q}, d\right)\right)=w\left(Q, W_{Q}, d\right)
$$

Proof. We define the grading $d^{\prime}$ on the quiver $\mu_{i}(Q)$ by $\mu_{i}^{L}(Q, W, d)=\left(\mu_{i}(Q, W), d^{\prime}\right)$. We distinguish the following different cases.

Case 1: there exists $\alpha$ in the non-oriented cycle of $Q$ such that $i \in Q^{\alpha}$ and $i \neq u(\alpha)$
Then the vertices adjacent to $i$ are not in the non-oriented cycle. Therefore the mutation of $Q$ at $i$ does not affect the non-oriented cycle, and so the weight clearly remains the same.

Case 2: $i=u(\alpha)$ for some arrow $\alpha$ which is on the non-oriented cycle
Assume that $\alpha$ is a $p$-arrow. There exists a 3 -cycle $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \alpha^{\prime} \alpha$ which is summand of $W$.


The new arrows $\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ and $\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}$ become $p$-arrows in the non-oriented cycle. Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(\mu_{i}^{L}(Q, W, d)\right) & =w(Q, W, d)-d(\alpha)+d^{\prime}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{*}\right)+d^{\prime}\left(\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}\right) \\
& =w(Q, W, d)-d(\alpha)-d\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)-d\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)+1 \\
& =w(Q, W, d) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality holds since $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \alpha^{\prime} \alpha$ is a summand in the potential $W$, and hence we have $d(\alpha)+d\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)+d\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$.

Case 3: $i$ is on the non-oriented cycle between two p-arrows

Then the quiver $Q$ looks locally like


The arrows $a_{t}$ and $a_{t+1}$ are replaced by the new $p$-arrow $\left[a_{t+1} a_{t}\right]$ in the non-oriented cycle. Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(\mu_{i}^{L}(Q, W, d)\right) & =w(Q, W, d)-d\left(a_{t}\right)-d\left(a_{t+1}\right)+d^{\prime}\left(\left[a_{t+1} a_{t}\right]\right) \\
& =w(Q, W, d)
\end{aligned}
$$

The case where $i$ is between two $q$ arrows is similar.
Case 4: $i$ is a sink on the non-oriented cycle
Assume that $i$ is the target of the $p$-arrow $a_{l}$ and the target of the $q$-arrow $b_{t}$.


Then the arrows $a_{l}$ and $b_{t}$ are replaced by the arrows $a_{l}^{*}$ and $b_{t}^{*}$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(\mu_{i}^{L}(Q, W, d)\right) & =w(Q, W, d)-d\left(a_{l}\right)+d\left(b_{t}\right)+d^{\prime}\left(b_{t}^{*}\right)-d^{\prime}\left(a_{l}^{*}\right) \\
& =w(Q, W, d)-d\left(a_{l}\right)+d\left(b_{t}\right)+\left(-d\left(b_{t}\right)+1\right)-\left(-d\left(a_{l}\right)+1\right) \\
& =w(Q, W, d)
\end{aligned}
$$

The case where $i$ is the source of one $p$-arrow and of one $q$-arrow is similar.
This alternative description of the weight gives us the following consequences.
Corollary 6.19. The number of derived equivalence classes of algebras of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ is $\left[\frac{p}{2}\right]+\left[\frac{q}{2}\right]+1$ if $p \neq q$ and $\left[\frac{p}{2}\right]+1$ if $p=q$.

Proof. Let $Q$ be a quiver in $\mathcal{M}_{p, q}^{\widetilde{A}}$. It is clear from the definition that $w$ is maximal when the $W_{Q}$-grading satisfies $d\left(a_{l}\right)=1$ for $l=1, \ldots, p_{r}$ and $d\left(b_{j}\right)=0$ for $j=1, \ldots, q_{r}$. In this case the weight is equal to $p_{r}=p-\sum_{l=1}^{p_{r}} \sharp Q_{0}^{a_{l}}$. But since $d$ is a $W_{Q}$-grading, $d\left(a_{l}\right)=1$ implies that the quiver $Q^{a_{l}}$ is non-empty. Then we have $w=p_{r}=p-\sum_{l=1}^{p_{r}} \sharp Q_{0}^{a_{l}} \leq p-p_{r}$. Thus we have $w \leq\left[\frac{p}{2}\right]$. For the same reason, we have $w \geq-\left[\frac{q}{2}\right]$. Now it is easy to see that any values $-\left[\frac{q}{2}\right],-\left[\frac{q}{2}\right]+1, \ldots,\left[\frac{p}{2}\right]$ can occur.

Corollary 6.20. Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$, then there exists a tilting object $T$ in $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$ such that the quiver of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)}(T)$ has an oriented cycle.

Proof. Let $-\frac{q}{2} \leq w \leq \frac{p}{2}$ be a non-zero integer. We construct an algebra $B$ cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ of weight $w$ such that $Q_{B}$ has an oriented cycle. Without loss of generality we can assume $w>0$. We define $B$ as follows.


It is clear that $B$ is the degree 0 part of the Jacobian algebra $\operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W_{Q}, d\right)$ with the graded quiver


Then by Theorem 4.4 this algebra $B$ is the endomorphism algebra of some tilting complex $T \in \mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$.

We end this subsection by giving a result linking the weight of an algebra of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ with the set of cluster-tilting objects of $\mathcal{C}_{\widetilde{A}_{p, q}}$ coming from tilting complexes in $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$. We start with some notation.

Let $\Lambda$ be an algebra of global dimension at most 2 which is $\tau_{2}$-finite. We define a subset $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}$ of the set of tilting complexes of $\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)$ by

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}:=\left\{T \in \mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda) \text { tilting } \mid \operatorname{gldim}\left(\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{D}^{b}(\Lambda)}(T)\right) \leq 2\right\}
$$

By Theorem 2.4, the set $\pi_{\Lambda}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}\right) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$ is a subset of the cluster-tilting objects of $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$. Moreover, if $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ are derived equivalent, they are cluster equivalent and we clearly have $\pi_{\Lambda_{1}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_{1}}\right)=\pi_{\Lambda_{2}}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_{2}}\right)$. We prove here the converse in the case of algebras of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$, and explain what inclusions still hold in the other case.

Proposition 6.21. Let $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ be two algebras of global dimension 2 and of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$. Then we have

- $0 \leq w\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)<w\left(\Lambda_{2}\right) \Rightarrow \pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_{2}}\right) \subsetneq \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_{1}}\right)$
- $w\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)<w\left(\Lambda_{1}\right) \leq 0 \Rightarrow \pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_{2}}\right) \subsetneq \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_{1}}\right)$
- $w\left(\Lambda_{1}\right) w\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)<0 \Rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_{1}}\right) \backslash \pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_{2}}\right) \neq \emptyset \neq \pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_{2}}\right) \backslash \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_{1}}\right)$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $w\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)=w\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)+1>0$. It is enough to show that $\pi_{2}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right) \in \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_{1}}\right)$. We denote by $H$ some hereditary algebra of type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$ and by $\pi_{2}$ a triangle functor $\pi_{2}: \mathcal{D}^{b}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{H}$.

Let $\left(Q, W_{Q}, d\right)$ be a graded quiver with potential such that we have isomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebras $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{H}}\left(\pi_{2} \Lambda_{2}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W_{Q}, d\right)$. Since $w\left(\Lambda_{2}\right) \geq 1$, there exists a $p$-arrow $a_{i} \in Q$ such that $d\left(a_{i}\right)=1$. Since $d$ is a $W_{Q^{-}}$-grading, the subquiver $Q^{a_{i}}$ is not empty. More precisely, there exists arrows $a_{i}^{\prime}$ and $a_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ such that $a_{i} a_{i}^{\prime} a_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ is an oriented triangle in $Q$.

Define a new degree $d^{\prime}$ on $Q$ by:

$$
d^{\prime}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \text { if } x=a_{i} \\
1 & \text { if } x=a_{i}^{\prime} \\
d(x) & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is immediate to see that $d^{\prime}$ is a $W_{Q}$-grading. Define the algebra $\Lambda_{3}$ as the degree 0 part of the graded $\operatorname{Jacobian} \operatorname{algebra} \operatorname{Jac}\left(Q, W_{Q}, d^{\prime}\right)$. By Theorem 6.9, it is an algebra of global dimension 2 which is of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{p, q}$, and by Corollary 2.10 we can assume $\pi_{2}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)=$ $\pi_{3}\left(\Lambda_{3}\right)$ where $\pi_{3}$ is a triangle functor $\pi_{3}: \mathcal{D}^{b}\left(\Lambda_{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda_{3}}$. Moreover by Proposition 6.17, we have $w\left(\Lambda_{3}\right)=w\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)-1=w\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)$. Therefore by Theorem 4.4 the algebra $\Lambda_{3}$ is derived equivalent to $\Lambda_{1}$. The image of $\Lambda_{3}$ through this equivalence is clearly an object $X$ in $\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_{1}}$ which satisfies $\pi_{1}(X) \simeq \pi_{2}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)$.

For any $0 \leq w \leq\left[\frac{p}{2}\right]$, one can easily construct a quiver $Q$ such that $Q$ admits a $W_{Q}$-grading of weight $w$ but no $W_{Q}$-grading of weight $w+1$. Therefore the inclusion is strict.

The second point holds by symmetry.
For the third point, it is enough to see that for any $w>0$ the quiver $Q$ constructed in the proof of Corollary 6.20 satisfies the following: there exists a $W_{Q}$-grading of weight $w$ and for any $w^{\prime}<0$ there is no $W_{Q^{-}}$-grading of weight $w^{\prime}$. The argument for $w<0$ holds by symmetry.

We end this subsection by asking the following intriguing questions:
Question 6.22. - Let $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ be $\tau_{2}$-finite algebras of global dimension $\leq 2$ which are cluster equivalent. Do we have the implication

$$
\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_{1}}\right)=\pi_{2}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda_{2}}\right) \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{b}\left(\Lambda_{1}\right) \simeq \mathcal{D}^{b}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right) ?
$$

- Let $\Lambda$ be a $\tau_{2}$-finite algebra of global dimension $\leq 2$. Do the following implication hold?

$$
\pi_{\Lambda}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Lambda}\right)=\left\{X \in \mathcal{C}_{\Lambda} \mid X \text { cluster-tilting }\right\} \Rightarrow \Lambda \text { piecewise hereditary }
$$

6.5. Example. In this subsection we compute explicitly all algebras (up to isomorphisms) of global dimension $\leq 2$ and of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{2,2}$ and organize them according to their derived equivalence classes.

The strategy consists of first describing all quivers (up to isomorphism of quivers) which are in the set $\mathcal{M}_{2,2}^{\widetilde{A}}$. In our case an easy computation or [Ke] shows that there are only 4 different quivers in $\mathcal{M}_{2,2}^{\widetilde{A}}$ which are:


Note that since $p=q$ there is an isomorphism between the two quivers corresponding to $p_{r}=2, q_{r}=1$ and $p_{r}=1, q_{r}=2$


Then one can easily check that there are 11 graded quivers $(Q, d)$ with $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{p, q}^{\widetilde{A}}$ and $d$ a $W_{Q}$-grading up to isomorphism of graded quiver, Therefore there are exactly 11 non-isomorphic algebras of global dimension 2 and of cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{2,2}$.

The only possible weights are $|w|=0$ or $|w|=1$. Therefore these eleven algebras are divided into two derived equivalence classes.

There are 8 algebras of global dimension $\leq 2$ which are derived equivalent to $\widetilde{A}_{2,2}$ :



There are 3 algebras of global dimension $\leq 2$ which are cluster type $\widetilde{A}_{2,2}$ and not derived equivalent to $\widetilde{A}_{2,2}$. There are all derived equivalent to each other and not piecewise hereditary:
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