



HAL
open science

Yet another proof of the Nualart-Peccati criterion

Ivan Nourdin

► **To cite this version:**

Ivan Nourdin. Yet another proof of the Nualart-Peccati criterion. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 2011. hal-00519072v1

HAL Id: hal-00519072

<https://hal.science/hal-00519072v1>

Submitted on 17 Sep 2010 (v1), last revised 15 Dec 2011 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Yet another proof of the Nualart-Peccati criterion

by Ivan Nourdin^{*†}
Université Nancy 1

This version: September 17th, 2010

Abstract: In [13], Nualart and Peccati showed that, surprisingly, the convergence in distribution of a normalized sequence of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals towards a standard Gaussian law is equivalent to convergence of just the fourth moment to 3. In [3], this result is extended to a sequence of multiple Wigner integrals, in the context of free Brownian motion. The goal of the present paper is to offer an elementary, unifying proof of these two latter results. The only advanced, needed tool is the product formula for multiple integrals. Unless this formula, the rest of the proof only relies on soft combinatorial arguments.

Keywords: Brownian motion; free Brownian motion; multiple Wiener-Itô integrals; multiple Wigner integrals; Nualart-Peccati criterion; product formula.

1 Introduction

The following surprising result, proved in [13], show that the convergence in distribution of a normalized sequence of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals towards a standard Gaussian law is equivalent to convergence of just the fourth moment to 3.

Theorem 1.1 (Nualart-Peccati, 2005) *Fix an integer $p \geq 2$. Let $\{B(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a classical Brownian motion, and let $(F_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of multiple integrals of the form*

$$F_n = \int_{[0, T]^p} f_n(t_1, \dots, t_p) dB(t_1) \dots dB(t_p), \quad (1.1)$$

where $f_n \in L^2([0, T]^p; \mathbb{R})$ is symmetric (it is not a restrictive assumption). Suppose moreover that $E[F_n^2] \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the following two assertions are equivalent:

- (i) The sequence (F_n) converges in distribution to $B(1) \sim N(0, 1)$;
- (ii) $E[F_n^4] \rightarrow E[B(1)^4] = 3$.

In [13], the original proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (i) makes use of tools coming from Brownian stochastic analysis. More precisely, using the symmetry of f_n , one has

$$F_n = p! \int_0^T dB(t_1) \int_0^{t_1} dB(t_2) \dots \int_0^{t_{p-1}} dB(t_p) f_n(t_1, \dots, t_p).$$

^{*}Institut Élie Cartan, Université Henri Poincaré, BP 239, 54506 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France, inourdin@gmail.com

[†]Supported in part by the (french) ANR grant ‘Exploration des Chemins Rugueux’

Now, thanks to the Dambis, Dubins and Schwartz theorem (see, e.g., [14]), one can rewrite F_n as a Brownian motion, say $\beta^{(n)}$, evaluated in

$$p!^2 \int_0^T dt_1 \left(\int_0^{t_1} dB(t_2) \dots \int_0^{t_{p-1}} dB(t_p) f_n(t_1, \dots, t_p) \right)^2. \quad (1.2)$$

Then, all the work in [13] consists to prove that (ii) implies that the quantity in (1.2) converges to 1 in L^2 . Once this is done, the desired conclusion follows easily, because $\beta^{(n)}(1) \sim N(0, 1)$ for all n .

Since the publication of [13], several researchers have been interested in understanding more deeply why Theorem 1.1 holds. Let us say a few words about some works in this direction:

1. In [12], Nualart and Ortiz-Latorre gave another proof of Theorem 1.1 using exclusively the tools of Malliavin calculus. The main ingredient of their proof is the identity $\delta D = -L$, where δ , D and L are basic operators in Malliavin calculus.

2. Based on the ideas developed in [7], in [8, Theorem 3.6] (see also [10]) the following bound is shown: if $E[F_n^2] = 1$, then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| P[F_n \leq x] - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-u^2/2} du \right| \leq \sqrt{\frac{p-1}{3p}} \sqrt{|E[F_n^4] - 3|}. \quad (1.3)$$

Of course, with (1.3) in hand, it is totally straightforward to obtain Theorem 1.1 as a corollary. However, the proof of (1.3), albeit not that difficult, requires the knowledge of both Malliavin calculus and Stein's method.

3. By using the tools of Malliavin calculus, Peccati and I computed in [9] a new expression for the cumulants of F_n , in terms of the contractions of the kernels f_n . As an immediate byproduct of this formula, we are able to recover Theorem 1.1, see [9, Theorem 5.8] for the details. See also [5] for a similar study in a multivariate setting.

4. In [6], Theorem 1.1 is extended to the case where, instead of $B(1) \sim N(0, 1)$ in the limit, a centered chi-square random variable, say Z , is considered. More precisely, it is proved in this latter reference that an adequately normalized sequence F_n of the form (1.1) converges in distribution towards Z if and only if $E[F_n^4] - 12E[F_n^3] \rightarrow E[Z^4] - 12E[Z^3]$. Here again, the proof is based on the use of the basic operators of Malliavin calculus.

5. The following result, proved in [3], is the exact analogue of Theorem 1.1, but in the situation where the classical Brownian motion B is replaced by a *free* Brownian motion S .

Theorem 1.2 (Kemp-Nourdin-Peccati-Speicher, 2010) *Fix an integer $p \geq 2$. Let $\{S(t)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ be a free Brownian motion, and let $(F_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of multiple integrals of the form*

$$F_n = \int_{[0, T]^p} f_n(t_1, \dots, t_p) dS(t_1) \dots dS(t_p),$$

where $f_n \in L^2([0, T]^p; \mathbb{R})$ is mirror symmetric (that is, $f_n(t_1, \dots, t_p) = f_n(t_p, \dots, t_1)$ for all $t_1, \dots, t_p \in [0, T]$). Suppose moreover that $E[F_n^2] \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the following two assertions are equivalent:

$$(i) \quad \forall k = 3, 4, \dots: E[F_n^k] \rightarrow E[S(1)^k];$$

$$(ii) \quad E[F_n^4] \rightarrow E[S(1)^4] = 2.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 contained in [3] is based on the use of combinatorial features related to the free probability realm, including non-crossing pairing and partitions.

Thus, there is several proofs of Theorem 1.1. Each of them has its own interest, because it allows to understand more deeply a particular aspect of this beautiful result. On the other hand, all these proofs require at one point to deal with sophisticated tools, such as stochastic Brownian analysis, Malliavin calculus or Stein's method.

The goal of this paper is to offer an elementary, unifying proof of both Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. As anticipated, the only advanced result we will need is the *product formula* for multiple integrals, that is the explicit expression for the product of two multiples integrals of order p and q , say, as a linear combination of multiple integrals of order less or equal to $p + q$. Unless this formula, the rest of the proof relies only on 'soft' combinatorial arguments.

The level of the paper is (hopefully) available to any good student. However, from our opinion its interest is not only to provide a new, simple proof of a known result. It is indeed noteworthy that the number of required tools has been reduced to its maximum (the product formula being essentially the only one we need), so that our approach might represent a valuable strategy to follow in order to generalize Theorem(s) 1.1 (and 1.2) beyond the (free) Brownian motion case. For instance, what happens when, in (1.1), the Brownian motion is more generally replaced by a q -Brownian motion? We are currently investigating this case (by means of the results contained in the paper [2]), and we refer the interested reader to a forthcoming work.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with some preliminary results. Section 3 contains our proof of Theorem 1.2, whereas Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Multiple integrals with respect to classical Brownian motion

In this section, our main reference is Nualart's book [11]. For simplicity of exposition, without loss of generality we fix the time horizon to be $T = 1$.

Let $\{B(t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a classical Brownian motion, that is a stochastic process defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , starting from 0, with independent increments, and such that $B(t) - B(s)$ is a centered Gaussian random variable with variance $t - s$ for all $t \geq s$.

For a real-valued kernel f belonging to $L^2([0,1]^p)$, let us quickly sketch out the construction of the *multiple Wiener-Itô integral* of f with respect to B , written

$$I_p(f) = \int_{[0,1]^p} f(t_1, \dots, t_p) dB(t_1) \dots dB(t_p) \quad (2.4)$$

in the sequel. (For the full details, we refer the reader to the classical reference [11].) Let $D^p \subset [0, 1]^p$ be the collection of all diagonals, i.e.

$$D^p = \{(t_1, \dots, t_p) \in [0, 1]^p : t_i = t_j \text{ for some } i \neq j\}. \quad (2.5)$$

For a characteristic function $f = \mathbf{1}_A$, where $A \subset [0, 1]^p$ has the form $A = [u_1, v_1] \times \dots \times [u_p, v_p]$ with $A \cap D^p = \emptyset$, the p th multiple integral of f , written (2.4), is defined by

$$I_p(f) = (B(v_1) - B(u_1)) \dots (B(v_p) - B(u_p)).$$

Then, we extend this definition by linearity to simple functions of the form $f = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$, where $A_i = [u_1^i, v_1^i] \times \dots \times [u_p^i, v_p^i]$ are disjoint p -dimensional rectangles as above which do not meet the diagonals. Simple computations show that

$$E[I_p(f)] = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

$$I_p(f) = I_p(\tilde{f}) \quad (2.7)$$

$$E[I_p(f)I_p(g)] = p! \langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \rangle_{L^2([0,1]^p)}. \quad (2.8)$$

Here, $\tilde{f} \in L^2([0, 1]^p)$ denotes as usual the symmetrization of f , that is the symmetric function canonically associated to f , given by

$$\tilde{f}(t_1, \dots, t_p) = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_p} f(t_{\pi(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(p)}). \quad (2.9)$$

Since each $f \in L^2([0, 1]^p)$ can be approximated in L^2 -norm by simple functions, we can extend the definition of (2.4) to all $f \in L^2([0, 1]^p)$. Note that, by construction, (2.6)-(2.8) is still true in this general setting. Then, one easily sees that, in addition,

$$E[I_p(f)I_q(g)] = 0 \text{ for any } p \neq q, f \in L^2([0, 1]^p) \text{ and } g \in L^2([0, 1]^q). \quad (2.10)$$

Before being in position to state the crucial product formula, we need to introduce the following quantity.

Definition 2.1 For symmetric functions $f \in L^2([0, 1]^p)$ and $g \in L^2([0, 1]^q)$, the contractions

$$f \otimes_r g \in L^2([0, 1]^{p+q-2r}) \quad (0 \leq r \leq \min(p, q))$$

are the (not necessarily symmetric) functions given by

$$f \otimes_r g(t_1, \dots, t_{p+q-2r}) := \int_{[0,1]^r} f(t_1, \dots, t_{p-r}, s_1, \dots, s_r) g(t_{p-r+1}, \dots, t_{p+q-2r}, s_1, \dots, s_r) ds_1 \dots ds_r.$$

By convention, we set $f \otimes_0 g = f \otimes g$, the tensor product of f and g .

The symmetrization of $f \otimes_r g$ is written $f \tilde{\otimes}_r g$. Observe that $f \otimes_p g = f \tilde{\otimes}_p g = \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2([0,1]^p)}$ whenever $p = q$. Also, using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, it is immediate to prove that

$$\|f \otimes_r g\|_{L^2([0,1]^{p+q-2r})} \leq \|f\|_{L^2([0,1]^p)} \|g\|_{L^2([0,1]^q)}$$

for all $r = 0, \dots, \min(p, q)$. (It is actually an equality for $r = 0$.) Moreover, a simple application of the triangle inequality leads to

$$\|f \widetilde{\otimes}_r g\|_{L^2([0,1]^{p+q-2r})} \leq \|f \otimes_r g\|_{L^2([0,1]^{p+q-2r})}.$$

We can now state the *product formula*, which is the main ingredient of our proof of Theorem 1.1. By taking the expectation in (2.11), observe that we recover both (2.8) and (2.10).

Theorem 2.2 *For symmetric functions $f \in L^2([0, 1]^p)$ and $g \in L^2([0, 1]^q)$, we have*

$$I_p(f)I_q(g) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(p,q)} r! \binom{p}{r} \binom{q}{r} I_{p+q-2r}(f \widetilde{\otimes}_r g). \quad (2.11)$$

2.2 Multiple integrals with respect to free Brownian motion

In this section, our main references are: (i) the monograph [4] by Nica and Speicher for the generalities about free probability; (ii) the paper [1] by Biane and Speicher for the free stochastic analysis. We refer the reader to them for any unexplained notion or result.

Let $\{S(t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a free Brownian motion, that is a stochastic process defined on a non-commutative probability space (\mathcal{A}, E) , starting from 0, with freely independent increments, and such that $S(t) - S(s)$ is a centered semicircular random variable with variance $t - s$ for all $t \geq s$. We may think of free Brownian motion as ‘infinite-dimensional matrix-valued Brownian motion’. For more details about the construction and features of S , see [1, Section 1.1] and the references therein.

When $f \in L^2([0, 1]^p)$ is real-valued, we write f^* to indicate the function of $L^2([0, 1]^p)$ given by $f^*(t_1, \dots, t_p) = f(t_p, \dots, t_1)$. (Hence, to say that f_n is mirror-symmetric in Theorem 1.2 means that $f_n = f_n^*$.) We quickly sketch out the construction of the *multiple Wigner integral* of f with respect to S . Let $D^p \subset [0, 1]^p$ be the collection of all diagonals, see (2.5). For a characteristic function $f = \mathbf{1}_A$, where $A \subset [0, 1]^p$ has the form $A = [u_1, v_1] \times \dots \times [u_p, v_p]$ with $A \cap D^p = \emptyset$, the p th multiple Wigner integral of f , written

$$I_p(f) = \int_{[0,1]^p} f(t_1, \dots, t_p) dS(t_1) \dots dS(t_p),$$

is defined by

$$I_p(f) = (S(v_1) - S(u_1)) \dots (S(v_p) - S(u_p)).$$

Then, as in the previous section we extend this definition by linearity to simple functions of the form $f = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$, where $A_i = [u_1^i, v_1^i] \times \dots \times [u_p^i, v_p^i]$ are disjoint p -dimensional rectangles as above which do not meet the diagonals. Simple computations show that

$$E[I_p(f)] = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

$$E[I_p(f)I_p(g)] = \langle f, g^* \rangle_{L^2([0,1]^p)}. \quad (2.13)$$

By approximation, the definition of $I_p(f)$ is extended to all $f \in L^2([0, 1]^p)$, and (2.12)-(2.13) still holds in this more general setting. It turns out that

$$E[I_p(f)I_q(g)] = 0 \text{ for } p \neq q, f \in L^2([0, 1]^p) \text{ and } g \in L^2([0, 1]^q). \quad (2.14)$$

Before giving the product formula in the free context, we need to introduce the analogue for Definition 2.1.

Definition 2.3 For functions $f \in L^2([0, 1]^p)$ and $g \in L^2([0, 1]^q)$, the contractions

$$f \overset{r}{\frown} g \in L^2([0, 1]^{p+q-2r}) \quad (0 \leq r \leq \min(p, q))$$

are the functions given by

$$f \overset{r}{\frown} g(t_1, \dots, t_{p+q-2r}) := \int_{[0, 1]^r} f(t_1, \dots, t_{p-r}, s_1, \dots, s_r) g(s_r, \dots, s_1, t_{p-r+1}, \dots, t_{p+q-2r}) ds_1 \dots ds_r.$$

By convention, we set $f \overset{0}{\frown} g = f \otimes g$, the tensor product of f and g .

Observe that $f \overset{p}{\frown} g = \langle f, g^* \rangle_{L^2([0, 1]^p)}$ whenever $p = q$. Also, using Cauchy-Schwarz, it is immediate to prove that $\|f \overset{r}{\frown} g\|_{L^2([0, 1]^{p+q-2r})} \leq \|f\|_{L^2([0, 1]^p)} \|g\|_{L^2([0, 1]^q)}$ for all $r = 0, \dots, \min(p, q)$. (It is actually an equality for $r = 0$.)

We can now state the *product formula* in the free Brownian motion context, compare with Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.4 For functions $f \in L^2([0, 1]^p)$ and $g \in L^2([0, 1]^q)$, we have

$$I_p(f)I_q(g) = \sum_{r=0}^{\min(p, q)} I_{p+q-2r}(f \overset{r}{\frown} g). \quad (2.15)$$

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let the notation and assumptions of Theorem 1.2 prevail. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $E[F_n^2] = 1$ for all n (instead of $E[F_n^2] \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$). Moreover, because $f_n = f_n^*$, observe that $\|f_n\|_{L^2([0, 1]^p)}^2 = E[F_n^2] = 1$.

It is trivial that (i) implies (ii). Conversely, assume that (ii) is in order, and let us prove that (i) holds. For $k = 1$, it is obviously true because $E[F_n] = 0 = E[S(1)]$. So, fix an integer $k \geq 2$. Iterative applications of the product formula (2.15) leads to

$$F_n^k = I_p(f_n)^k = \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in A_k} I_{kp-2r_1-\dots-2r_{k-1}}(f_n \overset{r_1}{\frown} \dots \overset{r_{k-1}}{\frown} f_n), \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$A_k = \{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}^{k-1} : r_2 \leq 2p - 2r_1, r_3 \leq 3p - 2r_1 - 2r_2, \dots, r_{k-1} \leq (k-1)p - 2r_1 - \dots - 2r_{k-2}\}.$$

In order to simplify the exposition, note that we have removed the brackets in the writing of $f_n \overset{r_1}{\frown} \dots \overset{r_{k-1}}{\frown} f_n$. We use the implicit convention that these quantities are always defined iteratively from the left to the right. For instance, $f_n \overset{r_1}{\frown} f_n \overset{r_2}{\frown} f_n \overset{r_3}{\frown} f_n$ stands for $((f_n \overset{r_1}{\frown} f_n) \overset{r_2}{\frown} f_n) \overset{r_3}{\frown} f_n$.

By taking the expectation in (3.16), we deduce that

$$E[F_n^k] = \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in B_k} f_n \overset{r_1}{\frown} \dots \overset{r_{k-1}}{\frown} f_n, \quad (3.17)$$

with $B_k = \{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in A_k : 2r_1 + \dots + 2r_{k-1} = kp\}$. We decompose B_k as $C_k \cup E_k$, with $C_k = B_k \cap \{0, p\}^{k-1}$ and $E_k = B_k \setminus C_k$. We then have, for all $k \geq 2$,

$$E[F_n^k] = \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in C_k} f_n \overset{r_1}{\frown} \dots \overset{r_{k-1}}{\frown} f_n + \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in E_k} f_n \overset{r_1}{\frown} \dots \overset{r_{k-1}}{\frown} f_n. \quad (3.18)$$

Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4 imply together that the first sum in (3.18) is equal to $E[S(1)^k]$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.1 and because (ii) is in order, we have that $\|f_n \overset{r}{\frown} f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})} \rightarrow 0$ for all $r = 1, \dots, p-1$. Hence, the second sum in (3.18) must converge to zero by Lemma 3.5. Thus, (i) is in order, and the proof of the theorem is concluded. \square

Lemma 3.1 *We have $E[F_n^4] = 2 + \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \|f_n \overset{r}{\frown} f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})}^2$.*

Proof. The product formula (2.15) yields $F_n^2 = \sum_{r=0}^p I_{2p-2r}(f_n \overset{r}{\frown} f_n)$. Using (2.13)-(2.14), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} E[F_n^4] &= \|f_n \otimes f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p})}^2 + (\|f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^p)}^2)^2 + \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \langle f_n \overset{r}{\frown} f_n, (f_n \overset{r}{\frown} f_n)^* \rangle_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})} \\ &= 2\|f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^p)}^4 + \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \|f_n \overset{r}{\frown} f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})}^2 = 2 + \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \|f_n \overset{r}{\frown} f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

since $\|f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^p)}^2 = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f_n \overset{r}{\frown} f_n(t_1, \dots, t_{2p-2r}) &= \int_{[0,1]^{2p-2r}} f_n(t_1, \dots, t_{p-r}, s_1, \dots, s_r) f_n(s_r, \dots, s_1, t_{p-r+1}, \dots, t_{2p-2r}) \\ &= \int_{[0,1]^{2p-2r}} f_n(s_r, \dots, s_1, t_{p-r}, \dots, t_1) f_n(t_{2p-2r}, \dots, t_{p-r+1}, s_1, \dots, s_r) \\ &= f_n \overset{r}{\frown} f_n(t_{2p-2r}, \dots, t_1) = (f_n \overset{r}{\frown} f_n)^*(t_1, \dots, t_{2p-2r}). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 3.2 *The cardinality of C_k coincides with $E[S(1)^k]$.*

Proof. By dividing all the r_i 's by p , one get that

$$C_k \stackrel{\text{bij.}}{\cong} \tilde{C}_k := \{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{k-1} : r_2 \leq 2 - 2r_1, r_3 \leq 3 - 2r_1 - 2r_2, \dots, r_{k-1} \leq k - 1 - 2r_1 - \dots - 2r_{k-2}, 2r_1 + \dots + 2r_{k-1} = k\}.$$

On the other hand, consider the representation $S(1) = I_1(\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]})$. As above, iterative applications of the product formula (2.15) leads to

$$S(1)^k = I_1(\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]})^k = \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in \tilde{A}_k} I_{k-2r_1-\dots-2r_{k-1}}(\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \overset{r_1}{\frown} \dots \overset{r_{k-1}}{\frown} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}),$$

where

$$\tilde{A}_k = \{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{k-1} : r_2 \leq 2 - 2r_1, r_3 \leq 3 - 2r_1 - 2r_2, \dots, \\ r_{k-1} \leq k - 1 - 2r_1 - \dots - 2r_{k-2}\}.$$

By taking the expectation, we deduce that

$$E[S(1)^k] = \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in \tilde{C}_k} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \overset{r_1}{\frown} \dots \overset{r_{k-1}}{\frown} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} = \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in \tilde{C}_k} 1 = \#\tilde{C}_k = \#C_k.$$

□

Remark 3.3 When k is even, it is well-known that $E[S(1)^k]$ is given by $\text{Cat}_{k/2}$, the Catalan number of order $k/2$. There is many combinatorial ways to define this number. One of them is to see it at the number of paths in the lattice \mathbb{Z}^2 which start at $(0, 0)$, end at $(k, 0)$, make steps of the form $(1, 1)$ or $(1, -1)$, and never lies below the x -axis, i.e., all their points are of the form (i, j) with $j \geq 0$.

Let the notation of the proof of Lemma 3.2 prevail. Set $s_i = 1 - 2r_i$. Then

$$\tilde{C}_k \stackrel{\text{bij.}}{\equiv} \left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}) \in \{-1, 1\}^{k-1} : 1 + s_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - s_2), 1 + s_1 + s_2 \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - s_3), \right. \\ \left. \dots, 1 + s_1 + \dots + s_{k-2} \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - s_{k-1}), 1 + s_1 + \dots + s_{k-1} = 0 \right\}.$$

It turns out that the set of conditions

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} s_j \in \{-1, 1\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1 \\ 1 + s_1 + \dots + s_j \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - s_{j+1}), \quad j = 1, \dots, k-2 \\ 1 + s_1 + \dots + s_{k-1} = 0, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.19)$$

is equivalent to

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} s_j \in \{-1, 1\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k-1 \\ 1 + s_1 + \dots + s_j \geq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, k-2 \\ 1 + s_1 + \dots + s_{k-1} = 0. \end{array} \right. \quad (3.20)$$

Indeed, it is clear that (3.19) implies (3.20). Conversely, suppose that (3.20) is in order, and let $j \in \{1, \dots, k-2\}$. Because $\frac{1}{2}(1 - s_{j+1}) \leq 1$, one has that $1 + s_1 + \dots + s_j \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - s_{j+1})$ when $1 + s_1 + \dots + s_j \geq 1$. If $1 + s_1 + \dots + s_j = 0$ then, because $1 + s_1 + \dots + s_{j+1} \geq 0$ (even if $j = k-2$), one has $s_{j+1} = 1$, implying in turn $1 + s_1 + \dots + s_j \geq \frac{1}{2}(1 - s_{j+1}) = 0$. Thus

$$\tilde{C}_k \stackrel{\text{bij.}}{\equiv} \left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}) \in \{-1, 1\}^{k-1} : 1 + s_1 \geq 0, 1 + s_1 + s_2 \geq 0, \right. \\ \left. \dots, 1 + s_1 + \dots + s_{k-2} \geq 0, 1 + s_1 + \dots + s_{k-1} = 0 \right\},$$

and we recover the result of Lemma 3.2 when k is even. (The case where k is odd is trivial.)

Lemma 3.4 We have $f_n \overset{r_1}{\frown} \dots \overset{r_{k-1}}{\frown} f_n = 1$ for all $(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in C_k$.

Proof. It is evident, using the identities $f_n \overset{0}{\frown} f_n = f_n \otimes f_n$ and

$$f_n \overset{p}{\frown} f_n = \int_{[0,1]^p} f_n(t_1, \dots, t_p) f_n(t_p, \dots, t_1) dt_1 \dots dt_p = \|f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^p)}^2 = 1.$$

□

Lemma 3.5 As $n \rightarrow \infty$, assume that $\|f_n \overset{r}{\frown} f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})} \rightarrow 0$ for all $r = 1, \dots, p-1$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have $f_n \overset{r_1}{\frown} \dots \overset{r_{k-1}}{\frown} f_n \rightarrow 0$ for all $(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in E_k$.

Proof. Fix $(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in E_k$, and let $j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ be the smallest integer such that $r_j \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |f_n \overset{r_1}{\frown} \dots \overset{r_{k-1}}{\frown} f_n| \\ = & |f_n \overset{r_1}{\frown} \dots \overset{r_{j-1}}{\frown} f_n \overset{r_j}{\frown} f_n \overset{r_{j+1}}{\frown} \dots \overset{r_{k-1}}{\frown} f_n| \\ = & |(f_n \otimes \dots \otimes f_n) \overset{r_j}{\frown} f_n \overset{r_{j+1}}{\frown} \dots \overset{r_{k-1}}{\frown} f_n| \quad (\text{using } f_n \overset{p}{\frown} f_n = 1) \\ \leq & \|(f_n \otimes \dots \otimes f_n) \otimes (f_n \overset{r_j}{\frown} f_n)\|_{L^2([0,1]^q)} \|f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^p)}^{k-j-1} \quad (\text{by Cauchy-Schwarz, for a certain } q) \\ = & \|f_n \overset{r_j}{\frown} f_n\| \quad (\text{because } \|f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^p)}^2 = 1) \\ \rightarrow & 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We follow the same route as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Let the notation and assumptions of Theorem 1.1 prevail. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $E[F_n^2] = 1$ for all n (instead of $E[F_n^2] \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$). Moreover, observe that $p! \|f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^p)}^2 = E[F_n^2] = 1$.

Fix an integer $k \geq 2$. Iterative applications of the product formula (2.11) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} F_n^k = I_p(f_n)^k &= \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in A_k} I_{kp-2r_1-\dots-2r_{k-1}}(f_n \overset{\sim}{\otimes}_{r_1} \dots \overset{\sim}{\otimes}_{r_{k-1}} f_n) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} r_j! \binom{p}{r_j} \binom{jp-2r_1-\dots-2r_{j-1}}{r_j}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

where

$$A_k = \{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}^{k-1} : r_2 \leq 2p - 2r_1, r_3 \leq 3p - 2r_1 - 2r_2, \dots, r_{k-1} \leq (k-1)p - 2r_1 - \dots - 2r_{k-2}\}.$$

In order to simplify the exposition, note that we have removed all the brackets in the writing of $f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_1} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{r_{k-1}} f_n$. We use the implicit convention that these quantities are always defined iteratively from the left to the right. For instance, $f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_1} f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_2} f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_3} f_n$ stands for $((f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_1} f_n) \tilde{\otimes}_{r_2} f_n) \tilde{\otimes}_{r_3} f_n$.

By taking the expectation in (4.21), we deduce that

$$E[F_n^k] = \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in B_k} f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_1} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{r_{k-1}} f_n \times \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} r_j! \binom{p}{r_j} \binom{jp - 2r_1 - \dots - 2r_{j-1}}{r_j}, \quad (4.22)$$

with $B_k = \{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in A_k : 2r_1 + \dots + 2r_{k-1} = kp\}$. Combining (4.22) with the crude bound (consequence of Cauchy-Schwarz)

$$\|f_n \tilde{\otimes}_r f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})} \leq \|f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^p)}^2 = 1/p! \leq 1,$$

we have that $E[F_n^k] \leq \#B_k$, that is, for every k the k th moment of F_n is uniformly bounded.

Assume that (i) is in order. By bounded convergence, it is clear that $E[F_n^4] \rightarrow E[B(1)^4]$. Conversely, assume that (ii) is in order. It is well-known (the so-called ‘‘method of moments’’) that to prove assertion (i) is equivalent to prove that, for all $k \geq 1$,

$$E[F_n^k] \rightarrow E[B(1)^k] \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.23)$$

The case $k = 1$ being immediate, assume that $k \geq 2$ is given. We decompose B_k as $C_k \cup E_k$, with $C_k = B_k \cap \{0, p\}^{k-1}$ and $E_k = B_k \setminus C_k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E[F_n^k] &= \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in C_k} f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_1} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{r_{k-1}} f_n \times \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} r_j! \binom{jp - 2r_1 - \dots - 2r_{j-1}}{r_j} \\ &+ \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in E_k} f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_1} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{r_{k-1}} f_n \times \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} r_j! \binom{p}{r_j} \binom{jp - 2r_1 - \dots - 2r_{j-1}}{r_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

By Lemma 4.1 together with assumption (ii), we have that $\|f_n \otimes_r f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})}$ (as well as $\|f_n \tilde{\otimes}_r f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})}$) tends to zero for any $r = 1, \dots, p-1$. Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 imply together that the first sum in (4.24) converges to $E[B(1)^k]$, whereas the second sum converges to zero by Lemma 4.4. Thus, (4.23) is in order, and the proof of the theorem is concluded. \square

Lemma 4.1 *We have*

$$E[F_n^4] = 3 + \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{r}^2 \left[(p!)^2 \|f_n \otimes_r f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})}^2 + (r!)^2 \binom{p}{r}^2 (2p-2r)! \|f_n \tilde{\otimes}_r f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})}^2 \right].$$

Proof (following [13]). Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{2p}$. If $r \in \{0, \dots, p\}$ denotes the cardinality of $\{\pi(1), \dots, \pi(p)\} \cap \{1, \dots, p\}$ then it is readily checked that r is also the cardinality of $\{\pi(p+1), \dots, \pi(2p)\} \cap \{p+1, \dots, 2p\}$ and that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{[0,1]^{2p}} f_n(t_1, \dots, t_p) f_n(t_{\pi(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(p)}) f_n(t_{p+1}, \dots, t_{2p}) f_n(t_{\pi(p+1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(2p)}) dt_1 \dots dt_{2p} \\ &= \int_{[0,1]^{2p-2r}} f_n \otimes_r f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{2p-2r})^2 dx_1 \dots dx_{2p-2r} = \|f_n \otimes_r f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Moreover, for any fixed $r \in \{0, \dots, p\}$, there are $\binom{p}{r}^2 (p!)^2$ permutations $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{2p}$ such that $\#\{\pi(1), \dots, \pi(p)\} \cap \{1, \dots, p\} = r$. (Indeed, such a permutation is completely determined by the choice of: (a) r distinct elements x_1, \dots, x_r of $\{1, \dots, p\}$; (b) $p - r$ distinct elements x_{r+1}, \dots, x_p of $\{p + 1, \dots, 2p\}$; (c) a bijection between $\{1, \dots, p\}$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$; (d) a bijection between $\{p + 1, \dots, 2p\}$ and $\{1, \dots, 2p\} \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$.) Now, recall from (2.9) that the symmetrization of $f_n \otimes f_n$ is given by

$$f_n \tilde{\otimes} f_n(t_1, \dots, t_{2p}) = \frac{1}{(2p)!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{2p}} f_n(t_{\pi(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(p)}) f_n(t_{\pi(p+1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(2p)}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n \tilde{\otimes} f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p})}^2 &= \frac{1}{(2p)!^2} \sum_{\pi, \pi' \in \mathfrak{S}_{2p}} \int_{[0,1]^{2p}} f_n(t_{\pi(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(p)}) f_n(t_{\pi(p+1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(2p)}) \\ &\quad \times f_n(t_{\pi'(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi'(p)}) f_n(t_{\pi'(p+1)}, \dots, t_{\pi'(2p)}) dt_1 \dots dt_{2p} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2p)!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{2p}} \int_{[0,1]^{2p}} f_n(t_1, \dots, t_p) f_n(t_{p+1}, \dots, t_{2p}) \\ &\quad \times f_n(t_{\pi(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(p)}) f_n(t_{\pi(p+1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(2p)}) dt_1 \dots dt_{2p} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2p)!} \sum_{r=0}^p \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{2p} \\ \{\pi(1), \dots, \pi(p)\} \cap \{1, \dots, p\} = r}} \int_{[0,1]^{2p}} f_n(t_1, \dots, t_p) f_n(t_{p+1}, \dots, t_{2p}) \\ &\quad \times f_n(t_{\pi(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(p)}) f_n(t_{\pi(p+1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(2p)}) dt_1 \dots dt_{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (4.25), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (2p)! \|f_n \tilde{\otimes} f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p})}^2 &= 2(p!)^2 \|f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^p)}^4 + (p!)^2 \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{r}^2 \|f_n \otimes_r f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})}^2 \\ &= 2 + (p!)^2 \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{r}^2 \|f_n \otimes_r f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

The product formula (2.11) leads to $F_n^2 = \sum_{r=0}^p r! \binom{p}{r}^2 I_{2p-2r}(f_n \tilde{\otimes}_r f_n)$. Using (2.8)-(2.10), we infer

$$\begin{aligned} E[F_n^4] &= \sum_{r=0}^p (r!)^2 \binom{p}{r}^4 (2p - 2r)! \|f_n \tilde{\otimes}_r f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})}^2 \\ &= (2p)! \|f_n \tilde{\otimes} f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p})}^2 + 1 + \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} (r!)^2 \binom{p}{r}^4 (2p - 2r)! \|f_n \tilde{\otimes}_r f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By inserting (4.26) in the previous identity, we get the desired result. \square

Lemma 4.2 *As $n \rightarrow \infty$, assume that*

$$\|f_n \otimes_r f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})} \rightarrow 0, \quad r = 1, \dots, p-1. \quad (4.27)$$

Then, for any $(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in C_k$, we have

$$f_n \widetilde{\otimes}_{r_1} \dots \widetilde{\otimes}_{r_{k-1}} f_n \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\binom{j-2r_1/p-\dots-2r_{j-1}/p}{r_j/p}}{(r_j)! \binom{j p - 2r_1 - \dots - 2r_{j-1}}{r_j}} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. In all the proof, for sake of conciseness we write $f_n^{\widetilde{\otimes} d}$ instead of $\overbrace{f_n \widetilde{\otimes} \dots \widetilde{\otimes} f_n}^{d \text{ times}}$. (Here, “ d times” just means that f_n appears d times in the expression.) It is readily checked that $f_n^{\widetilde{\otimes} d} = \widetilde{f_n^{\otimes d}}$ so that, according to (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^{\widetilde{\otimes} d} \otimes_p f_n(t_1, \dots, t_{dp-p}) &= \frac{1}{(dp)!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{dp}} \int_{[0,1]^p} f_n(t_{\pi(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(d)}) \dots f_n(t_{\pi(dp-p+1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(dp)}) \\ &\quad \times f_n(t_{dp-p+1}, \dots, t_{dp}) dt_{dp-d+1} \dots dt_{dp}. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathfrak{A}_{dp} be the set of permutations $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{dp}$ for which there exists (at least one) j in $\{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $\{\pi(jp-p+1), \dots, \pi(jp)\} = \{dp-p+1, \dots, dp\}$. When $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}_{dp}$ is given, we let j^* be the smallest integer j having the previous property, and we define $\sigma_\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{dp-p}$ by $\sigma_\pi(i) = \pi(i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq j^*p-p$ and $\sigma_\pi(i) = \pi(i+p)$ for $j^*p-p+1 \leq i \leq dp-p$. For a given $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{dp-p}$, we observe that there is exactly d permutations $\pi \in \mathfrak{A}_{dp}$ such that $\sigma_\pi = \sigma$. On the other hand, because of (4.27), it is readily checked that, if $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{dp} \setminus \mathfrak{A}_{dp}$, then the function

$$\begin{aligned} (t_1, \dots, t_{dp-p}) &\mapsto \int_{[0,1]^p} f_n(t_{\pi(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(d)}) \dots f_n(t_{\pi(dp-p+1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(dp)}) \\ &\quad \times f_n(t_{dp-p+1}, \dots, t_{dp}) dt_{dp-d+1} \dots dt_{dp} \end{aligned}$$

tends to zero in $L^2([0,1]^{dp-p})$. Using moreover that $f_n \otimes_p f_n = \|f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^p)}^2 = \frac{1}{p!}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} f_n^{\widetilde{\otimes} d} \otimes_p f_n(t_1, \dots, t_{dp-p}) &\approx \frac{1}{(dp)!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{A}_{dp}} \int_{[0,1]^p} f_n(t_{\pi(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(d)}) \dots f_n(t_{\pi(dp-p+1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(dp)}) \\ &\quad \times f_n(t_{dp-p+1}, \dots, t_{dp}) dt_{dp-d+1} \dots dt_{dp} \\ &\approx \frac{d}{p!(dp)!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{dp-p}} f_n(t_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, t_{\sigma(d)}) \dots f_n(t_{\sigma(dp-2p+1)}, \dots, t_{\sigma(dp-p)}) \\ &\approx \frac{d}{p! \binom{dp}{p}} \widetilde{f_n^{\otimes(d-1)}}(t_1, \dots, t_{dp-p}) = \frac{d}{p! \binom{dp}{p}} f_n^{\widetilde{\otimes}(d-1)}(t_1, \dots, t_{dp-p}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Here and in the rest of the proof, the notation $h_n \approx g_n$ (for h_n and g_n two functions of, say, q arguments) means that $h_n - g_n$ tends to zero in $L^2([0,1]^q)$. Because the right-hand side of (4.28) is a symmetric function, we eventually get that

$$f_n^{\widetilde{\otimes} d} \widetilde{\otimes}_p f_n \approx \frac{d!}{p! \binom{dp}{p}} f_n^{\widetilde{\otimes}(d-1)}.$$

On the other hand, we have $f_n^{\tilde{\otimes} d} \tilde{\otimes} f_n = f_n^{\tilde{\otimes}(d+1)}$ by the very definition of $f_n^{\tilde{\otimes} d}$. We can summarize these two last identities by writing that, for any $r \in \{0, p\}$,

$$f_n^{\tilde{\otimes} d} \tilde{\otimes}_r f_n \approx \frac{\binom{d}{r/p}}{r! \binom{dp}{r}} f_n^{\tilde{\otimes}(d+1-2r/p)}. \quad (4.29)$$

Now, let $(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in C_k$. We have $f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_1} f_n = \frac{\binom{1}{r_1/p}}{(r_1)! \binom{p}{r_1}} f_n^{\tilde{\otimes}(2-2r_1/p)}$. Then, thanks to (4.29),

$$f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_1} f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_2} f_n \approx \frac{\binom{1}{r_1/p} \binom{2-2r_1/p}{r_2/p}}{(r_1)! \binom{p}{r_1} (r_2)! \binom{2p-2r_1}{r_2}} f_n^{\tilde{\otimes}(3-2r_1/p-2r_2/p)},$$

and so on. Iterating this procedure leads eventually to

$$f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_1} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{r_{k-1}} f_n \approx \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\binom{j-2r_1/p-\dots-2r_{j-1}/p}{r_j/p}}{(r_j)! \binom{jp-2r_1-\dots-2r_{j-1}}{r_j}}, \quad (4.30)$$

which is exactly the desired formula. The proof of the lemma is done. \square

Lemma 4.3 *We have*

$$E[B(1)^k] = \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in C_k} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \binom{j-2r_1/p-\dots-2r_{j-1}/p}{r_j/p}.$$

Proof. The identity is clear when k is an odd integer because $C_k = \emptyset$ in this case. Assume now that k is even. Consider the representation $B(1) = I_1(\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]})$. Iterative applications of the product formula (2.11) leads to

$$B(1)^k = I_1(\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]})^k = \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in \tilde{A}_k} I_{k-2r_1-\dots-2r_{k-1}}(\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \tilde{\otimes}_{r_1} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{r_{k-1}} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}) \times \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \binom{j-2r_1-\dots-2r_{j-1}}{r_j},$$

where

$$\tilde{A}_k = \{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{k-1} : r_2 \leq 2 - 2r_1, r_3 \leq 3 - 2r_1 - 2r_2, \dots, r_{k-1} \leq k - 1 - 2r_1 - \dots - 2r_{k-2}\}.$$

By taking the expectation, we deduce that

$$E[B(1)^k] = \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in \tilde{C}_k} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \tilde{\otimes}_{r_1} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{r_{k-1}} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \times \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \binom{j-2r_1-\dots-2r_{j-1}}{r_j},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_k = \{ & (r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^{k-1} : r_2 \leq 2 - 2r_1, r_3 \leq 3 - 2r_1 - 2r_2, \dots, \\ & r_{k-1} \leq k - 1 - 2r_1 - \dots - 2r_{k-2}, 2r_1 + \dots + 2r_{k-1} = k \}. \end{aligned}$$

It is readily checked that $\mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \tilde{\otimes}_{r_1} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{r_{k-1}} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} = \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} \otimes_{r_1} \dots \otimes_{r_{k-1}} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]} = 1$ for all $(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in \tilde{C}_k$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} E[B(1)^k] &= \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in \tilde{C}_k} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \binom{j - 2r_1 - \dots - 2r_{j-1}}{r_j} \\ &= \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in C_k} \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \binom{j - 2r_1/p - \dots - 2r_{j-1}/p}{r_j/p}, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired conclusion. \square

Lemma 4.4 *As $n \rightarrow \infty$, assume that $\|f_n \tilde{\otimes}_r f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^{2p-2r})} \rightarrow 0$ for all $r = 1, \dots, p-1$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have $f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_1} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{r_{k-1}} f_n \rightarrow 0$ for all $(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in E_k$.*

Proof. Fix $(r_1, \dots, r_{k-1}) \in E_k$, and let $j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ be the smallest integer such that $r_j \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_1} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{r_{k-1}} f_n| \\ &= |f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_1} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{r_{j-1}} f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_j} f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_{j+1}} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{r_{k-1}} f_n| \\ &\leq |(f_n \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} f_n) \tilde{\otimes}_{r_j} f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_{j+1}} \dots \tilde{\otimes}_{r_{k-1}} f_n| \quad (\text{using } f_n \tilde{\otimes}_p f_n = \frac{1}{p!} \leq 1) \\ &\leq \|(f_n \tilde{\otimes} \dots \tilde{\otimes} f_n) \tilde{\otimes} (f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_j} f_n)\|_{L^2([0,1]^q)} \|f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^p)}^{k-j-1} \quad (\text{by Cauchy-Schwarz, for a certain } q) \\ &\leq \|f_n \tilde{\otimes}_{r_j} f_n\| \quad (\text{because } \|f_n\|_{L^2([0,1]^p)}^2 = \frac{1}{p!} \leq 1) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

\square

References

- [1] P. Biane and R. Speicher (1998). Stochastic calculus with respect to free Brownian motion and analysis on Wigner space. *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields* **112**, 373–409.
- [2] C. Donati-Martin (2003). Stochastic integration with respect to q -Brownian motion. *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields* **125**, 77–95.
- [3] T. Kemp, I. Nourdin, G. Peccati and R. Speicher (2010). Wigner chaos and the fourth moment. Submitted.

- [4] A. Nica and R. Speicher (2006). *Lectures on the Combinatorics of Free Probability*. Lecture Notes of the London Mathematical Society **335**. Cambridge University Press.
- [5] S. Nouredine and I. Nourdin (2010). On the Gaussian approximation of vector-valued multiple integrals. Preprint.
- [6] I. Nourdin and G. Peccati (2009). Non-central convergence of multiple integrals. *Ann. Probab.* **37**, no. 4, 1412–1426.
- [7] I. Nourdin and G. Peccati (2009). Stein’s method on Wiener chaos. *Probab. Theory Rel. Fields* **145**, no. 1, 75–118.
- [8] I. Nourdin and G. Peccati (2010). Stein’s method meets Malliavin calculus: a short survey with new estimates. In the volume: *Recent Development in Stochastic Dynamics and Stochastic Analysis*, World Scientific, 207–236.
- [9] I. Nourdin and G. Peccati (2010). Cumulants on the Wiener space. *J. Funct. Anal.* **258**, 3775–3791.
- [10] I. Nourdin, G. Peccati and G. Reinert (2009). Invariance principles for homogeneous sums: universality of Gaussian Wiener chaos. To appear in: *Ann. Probab.*
- [11] D. Nualart (2006). *The Malliavin calculus and related topics*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Second edition.
- [12] D. Nualart and S. Ortiz-Latorre (2008). Central limit theorems for multiple stochastic integrals and Malliavin calculus. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **118** (4), 614–628.
- [13] D. Nualart and G. Peccati (2005). Central limit theorems for sequences of multiple stochastic integrals. *Ann. Probab.* **33** (1), 177–193.
- [14] D. Revuz and M. Yor (2005). *Continuous martingales and Brownian motion*. Third edition, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York.