

Impact of three ampicillin dosage regimens on selection of ampicillin resistance in Enterobacteriaceae and excretion of blaTEM genes in swine feces.

Delphine Bibbal, Véronique Dupouy-Guiraute, Jean-Pierre Ferré, Pierre-Louis Toutain, Olivier Fayet, Marie-Françoise Prère, Alain Bousquet-mélou

▶ To cite this version:

Delphine Bibbal, Véronique Dupouy-Guiraute, Jean-Pierre Ferré, Pierre-Louis Toutain, Olivier Fayet, et al.. Impact of three ampicillin dosage regimens on selection of ampicillin resistance in Enterobacteriaceae and excretion of bla $^{\rm TEM}$ genes in swine feces.. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 2007, 73 (15), pp.4785-90. $10.1128/{\rm AEM.00252-07}$. hal-00517560

HAL Id: hal-00517560

https://hal.science/hal-00517560

Submitted on 16 Sep 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 Impact of three ampicillin dosage regimens on selection of ampicillin
- 2 resistance in *Enterobacteriaceae* and excretion of bla_{TEM} genes in swine feces
- 3
- 4 D. Bibbal, V. Dupouy, J.P. Ferré, P.L. Toutain, O. Fayet, M.F. Prère, and A. Bousquet-
- 5 Mélou^{1*}
- 6
- 7 UMR181 Physiopathologie et Toxicologie Expérimentales, INRA, ENVT, Ecole Nationale
- 8 Vétérinaire de Toulouse, 23 Chemin des Capelles, BP 87 614, 31076 Toulouse Cedex 3,
- 9 France¹.
- 10 UMR5100 Microbiologie et Génétique Moléculaires, CNRS, UPS, Laboratoire de
- 11 Microbiologie et Génétique Moléculaires, Université Paul Sabatier, 118 route de Narbonne,
- 12 31062 Toulouse Cedex, France².
- 13
- 14
- 15 * Corresponding author. Mailing address: UMR181 Physiopathologie et Toxicologie
- 16 Expérimentales, INRA, ENVT, Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire de Toulouse, 23 Chemin des
- 17 Capelles, BP 87 614, 31076 Toulouse Cedex 3, France. Phone: 33 (0) 561 193 925. Fax: 33
- 18 (0) 561 193 917. E-mail: a.bousquet-melou@envt.fr

ABSTRACT

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

The aim of this study was to assess the impact of three ampicillin dosage regimens on ampicillin resistance among *Enterobacteriaceae* recovered from swine feces using phenotypic and genotypic approaches. Phenotypically, ampicillin resistance was determined from the percentage of resistant Enterobacteriaceae and MICs of E. coli isolates. The pool of ampicillin resistance genes was also monitored by quantification of bla_{TEM} genes, which code for the most frequently produced β-lactamases in Gram-negative bacteria, using a newlydeveloped real-time PCR assay. Ampicillin was administered intramuscularly and by oral route to fed or fasted pigs for 7 days at 20 mg/kg. The average percentage of resistant Enterobacteriaceae before treatment was between 2.5% and 12% and bla_{TEM} genes quantities were below 10⁷ copies/g of feces. By days four and seven, the percentage of resistant Enterobacteriaceae exceeded 50% in all treated groups, with some highly resistant strains (MIC>256 μ g/mL). In the control group, bla_{TEM} genes quantities fluctuated between 10^4 - 10^6 copies/g of feces, whereas they fluctuated between 10⁶-10⁸ and 10⁷-10⁹ copies/g of feces for intramuscular and oral routes, respectively. Whereas phenotypic evaluations did not discriminate between the three ampicillin dosage regimens, bla_{TEM} genes quantification was able to differentiate between the effects of two routes of ampicillin administration. Our results suggest that fecal bla_{TEM} genes quantification provides a sensitive tool to evaluate the impact of ampicillin administration on the selection of ampicillin resistance in the digestive microflora and its dissemination in the environment.

INTRODUCTION

The major mechanism of resistance to β -lactam antibiotics in Gram-negative bacteria results from the production of β -lactamases. Most of these are coded by the plasmid-mediated bla_{TEM-1} gene (16, 25). The continuous introduction of new β -lactam antibiotics with different activity spectra in human medicine has led to the selection of β -lactamase mutations, which confer resistance to the newly-developed β -lactam antibiotics (22). β -lactam antibiotics are also used in veterinary medicine where they contribute to the selective pressure that leads to the emergence and diffusion of intestinal bacteria harboring resistance genes. Thus, commensal bacteria in the gut form a reservoir of antibiotic resistance genes potentially transmissible to humans via the food-chain and the environment (24, 26, 30).

Antimicrobial resistance in food animals deserves special attention. One of the most heavily medicated sectors is pig-farming, world-wide antibiotic consumption in pigs accounting for 60% of the antibiotics used in animals (8). A relationship has been demonstrated between the high use of antimicrobials in pig herds and increased occurrence of resistant bacterial strains in their digestive tracts (4, 10, 30, 33). When antibiotics are administered to pigs, both the level and time-development of antibiotic exposure of the intestinal microflora are dependent on the mode of drug administration (34). This exposure is a key determinant of antibiotic resistance development in the gut flora, and the relation between antibiotic dosage regimen and resistance merits attention. The impact of different antibiotic dosage regimens on the emergence of resistance must be evaluated by appropriate quantitative indicators of the resistance level. Traditionally, this has involved phenotypic methods that measure bacterial antibiotic susceptibility (28). In addition, quantitative PCR has been recommended for resistance genes surveillance because i) it is sensitive ii) unambiguous

standard curves can be used to quantify the resistance genes from various matrices and iii) no bacterial cultivation is required (12, 17, 27, 35).

The aim of the present study was both to develop and validate a real-time PCR assay to quantify fecal bla_{TEM} genes in swine stools, and to explore the impact of three different ampicillin dosage regimens on fecal ampicillin resistance in swine using different indicators. Ampicillin resistance was evaluated by quantifying the bla_{TEM} genes in feces by real-time PCR assay associated with two conventional phenotypic methods based on determination of the MICs of $E.\ coli$ isolates and the percentage of resistant Enterobacteriaceae. The three dosage regimens tested were: intramuscular route, oral route in fed and oral route in fasted swine.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

Study design and sample collection. Eighteen 7-week old, commercial healthy piglets, that had never received antibiotics, were used. They were housed separately in individual pens throughout all the experiments. A meal was given twice daily and water was provided ad libitum. Ampicillin was administered once a day at 20 mg/kg for seven days (from day 0 to day 6) following three modalities: intramuscular route, oral route in fasted pigs or oral route in fed pigs. The design schedule consisted of three successive series of 6 animals receiving ampicillin treatments as follows: intramuscular (n=2), oral route in fed conditions (n=2), control without treatment (n=2) in the first series; intramuscular (n=2), oral route in fasted conditions (n=2), control without treatment (n=2) in the second series; oral route in fed conditions (n=2), oral route in fasted conditions (n=2), control without treatment (n=2) in the third series. Six pigs were used in the control group and 4 pigs in each ampicillin treatment group. Intramuscular injections of ampicillin sodium (Ampicilline Cadril, Laboratory Coophavet, Ancenis, France) were administered in the neck. For oral routes, a medicinal premix (Ampicilline 80 Porc Franvet, Laboratory Franvet, Segré, France) was dissolved in water and administered by gastric intubation. Fasted swine were starved 16 hours before ampicillin administration and fed 4 hours after ampicillin administration. Ampicillin was administered to fed pigs just at the end of their morning meal.

For phenotypic evaluation of ampicillin resistance, fecal samples were taken from each pig, by digital manipulation or immediately after spontaneous defecation, at days 0 (before ampicillin administration), 1, 4, and 7. The samples were immediately transferred to the laboratory and the *Enterobacteriaceae* were counted. For the quantification of bla_{TEM} genes in feces by real-time PCR, feces of each pig were collected two or three times before the treatment. The value given for day 0 is the mean of these samplings. Feces were then collected each day from day 1 to day 7. Samples were obtained as already described. Two

hundred mg of feces from each sample were frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until assayed.

Phenotypic evaluation of ampicillin resistance. Feces (5 g) from each pig were homogenized with 45 mL of peptone water, including 30% of glycerol, with a BagMixer (Interscience, St Nom, France). Ten-fold serial dilutions of the filtrate were prepared and 100 μ L of the dilutions were spread on MacConkey plates (AEB 151602, AES, Ker Lann, France) containing 0 and 16 μ g/mL of ampicillin. *Enterobacteriaceae* growing in the presence of 16 μ g/mL of ampicillin were classified as resistant, considering the MIC breakpoint proposed by the CLSI (MIC \geq 32 μ g/mL) (20). The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. *Enterobacteriaceae* counts from both plates were used to calculate the percentage of resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* at each sampling time.

For each sample, 20 colonies were randomly picked on the MacConkey plates without ampicillin and stored at -80°C until assayed. These colonies were considered as $E.\ coli$ on the basis of β -glucuronidase production using TBX agar (Tryptone Bile X-glucuronide agar, AES laboratoire, Bruz, France) (11). Only a few colonies were β -glucuronidase negative. All β -glucuronidase negative isolates and a portion of β -glucuronidase positive isolates were tested by the API 20E *Enterobacteriaceae* identification system (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) to confirm their identification. For MICs determination, ampicillin susceptibility was tested by microdilution broth dilution method according to the recommendations reported by the CLSI (19). The control strain was $E.\ coli$ ATCC 25922.

Bacteria and growth conditions. *E. coli* JS238[pOFX326], the plasmid of which carries a monocopy of the target gene $bla_{\text{TEM-1}}$, was used to optimize real-time PCR, assess sensitivity and generate quantification standards. The strain was cultured in Mueller-Hinton broth containing ampicillin at the concentration of 50 μ g/mL at 37°C overnight.

DNA extraction. pOFX326 was purified with the QIAprep Spin Miniprep (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Quality was assessed by migration on gel electrophoresis in 1% agarose, after digestion with *Hind*III and concentration was assessed by spectrophotometry at 260 nm. The QIAamp DNA Stool kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Gremany) was used to extract DNA from feces according to manufacturer's recommendations. For each series of extractions, a positive control and a negative control were co-extracted and subjected to real-time PCR.

Design of primers. The PCR primers were designed with Pimer 3 and Oligo Analyser. The specificity of the sequence was further checked against all the available GenBank DNA sequences. The forward and reverse primers chosen for bla_{TEM} genes quantification were 5'-TTCCTGTTTTTGCTCACCCAG-3' and 5'-CTCAAGGATCTTACCGCTGTTG-3', respectively. These primers amplify a 112 bp segment of the $bla_{\text{TEM-1D}}$ gene (GeneBank accession number AF 1888200) from nucleotide positions 270 to 382. A 100% homology was demonstrated with 130 bla_{TEM} genes for which the nucleotide sequence was available, except for TEM-60.

Real-time PCR assay. The PCR amplification was performed in a 25 μL reaction mixture with a SYBR Green PCR Core Reagents kit (Perkin Elmer Biosystems, Foster City, USA). The reaction mixture contained 5 μL of test DNA solution, 2.5 μL of 10X SYBR Green PCR Buffer, 1.6 μL of a deoxynucleoside triphosphate solution (2.5 mM each of dATP, dCTP and dGTP and 5 mM of dUTP), 0.25 μL of each primer (20 μM), 4 μL of 25 mM MgCl₂, 11.275 μL of Ultra Pure Water (Qbiogene, Montréal, Canada) and 0.125 μL of AmpliTaq Gold® DNA Polymerase, LD (5 U/μL) (Perkin Elmer Biosystems). Amplification was performed using a GeneAmp® PCR System 5700 thermocycler (Perkin Elmer Biosystems) with the following conditions: 95°C for 10 min followed by 45 cycles of 15 seconds at 95°C and 1 minute at 60°C. A standard curve with three replicates of the control plasmid pOFX326 diluted in Tris-EDTA buffer was generated for each PCR assay. All

sample PCRs were done in duplicate. The samples were checked for absence of background levels of PCR-inhibiting compounds by spiking DNA extracted from the samples with target DNA and subjecting these spiked DNA samples to real-time PCR both undiluted and diluted (1:10).

The impact of DNA fecal environment on amplification sensitivity and performance was assessed by comparing standard curves obtained with the control plasmid diluted in Tris-EDTA or in swine fecal DNA. The accuracy and reproducibility of the entire assay (from DNA extraction to real time PCR analysis) was measured by spiking 200 mg of feces with an overnight culture of *E. coli* JS238[pOFX326]. Five aliquots per day were subjected to DNA extraction on three different days. The extraction recovery rate was calculated. It was checked to be the same for different concentrations of bla_{TEM} genes in feces by spiking fecal samples with 10-fold serial dilutions of an overnight culture of *E. coli* JS238[pOFX326]. These samples were subjected to DNA extraction and then to real-time PCR.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using Systat 10 (Systat Software Inc., Richmond, CA, USA). Changes in the level of ampicillin resistance were analyzed using a generalized linear mixed-effects model with the following equation:

164
$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + M_i + D_j + A_k \Big|_{M_i} + M^*D_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk},$$

where Y_{ijk} is the measure of resistance for pig k undergoing ampicillin administration with modality i at day j, μ the overall mean, M_i the differential effect of treatment i, D_j the differential effect of day j, M^*D_{ij} the corresponding interaction, $A_k\big|_{M_i}$ the differential effect of animal k nested within treatment i and ϵ_{ijk} an error term. Y, the measure of resistance, was monitored in various ways. For the phenotypic evaluation of resistance, Y was the log-transformed percentage of the resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* population or the log-transformed percentage of *E. coli* isolates with MIC > 16 μ g/ml. For the genotypic evaluation, Y was the

- log-transformed quantity of *bla*_{TEM} genes. Multiple comparisons were performed using the
- 173 Tukey test. The selected level of significance was P<0.05.

RESULTS

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

174

Validation of the PCR assay. In order to construct calibration curves and determine the specificity and sensitivity of the primers in swine fecal DNA, the control plasmid pOFX326 was diluted in Tris-EDTA buffer and in swine fecal DNA. Each dilution was subjected to real time PCR and the amplifications were repeated four times. Melting-curve analysis of the control plasmid, diluted either in Tris-EDTA buffer or in swine fecal DNA, showed specific amplification with a PCR amplicon at a $T_{\rm m}$ value of 81°C (data not shown). Despite the use of highly purified AmpliTaq Gold® DNA Polymerase, analysis of the Ultra-Pure Water melting-curves revealed contamination and thus restricted the PCR quantification limit (data not shown). Fig. 1 shows the two standard curves: the relation between Ct (threshold cycles) values and the logarithm of bla_{TEM} concentration was linear from 10 to 10^6 copies/µL. The determination coefficients (r²) were of 0.996 in Tris-EDTA and 0.985 in swine fecal DNA. The closeness between these standard curves indicated that the complex fecal DNA environment did not affect amplification sensitivity or performance. The intra- and inter-day coefficients of variation of the entire assay (from DNA extraction to real time PCR analysis) were 16.7% and 18.2%, respectively. The extraction recovery rate was 70-113% (mean 98.5 %). This was checked to be the same for different concentrations of bla_{TEM} genes in feces by spiking fecal samples with 10-fold serial dilutions of an overnight culture of E. coli JS238[pOFX326]. The correlation between bla_{TEM} copy number/g feces and dilution factors of the JS238[pOFX326] solution was high (with a determination coefficient, r²=0.904). Thus the extraction yields for different concentrations of E. Coli JS238[pOFX326] in feces were similar. Overall data demonstrated that this PCR analysis was suitable for quantification of bla_{TEM} genes in swine feces from 10 to 10⁶ copies/µL of eluate of extracted DNA, which corresponds to 10^4 to 10^9 copies/g of feces.

Phenotypic evaluation of ampicillin resistance. Average percentages of ampicillin-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* for each treatment group are given in Fig. 2a. The average percentage of resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* ranged from 0.9% to 12% before ampicillin administration. On the first day of treatment, it rose to 26% for the intramuscular route and to 40% and 49% for the oral routes in fed and fasted pigs respectively. By days 4 and 7, the level of resistance exceeded 50% in all treated groups. In contrast, the level of resistance in the control group remained below 13% at all times. Treated animals excreted significantly higher percentages of resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* compared to the control group (P<0.05). However, no significant differences were observed between the three modes of drug administration (P>0.05). Furthermore, Fig. 2a shows the high inter-individual variability within each group.

Ampicillin resistance was also monitored from the percentage of resistant *E. coli* isolates for each treatment group (Fig. 2b). The average percentage of resistant *E. coli* ranged from 1% to 38% before ampicillin administration. At day 1 of treatment, about 70% of isolates were resistant, whatever the mode of drug administration. By days 4 and 7, nearly all the isolates, whatever the dosage regimen, were resistant. In contrast, the percentages of resistant *E. coli* remained below 36% in the control group. Statistical analysis indicated that oral administration in fed pigs led to a higher fecal excretion of resistant *E. coli* than in control pigs (*P*<0.05). The two other dosage regimens did not differ significantly from the control group due to the great heterogeneity of the control group data (*P*>0.05). High interindividual variability also existed within each ampicillin-treated group.

Genotypic evaluation of ampicillin resistance. Ampicillin resistance in feces was measured by bla_{TEM} genes quantification using the validated PCR assay. bla_{TEM} genes copy numbers per gram of wet feces were measured on each day of treatment for each pig (Fig. 3). The baseline values for all pigs were below 10^7 copies/g of feces. bla_{TEM} quantities increased

after ampicillin administration. The between-day fluctuations for a given animal were large. The $bla_{\rm TEM}$ quantities for the oral routes fluctuated between 10^7 and 10^9 copies/g of feces, but only between 10^5 and 10^8 copies/g of feces for the intramuscular route. Two fed pigs treated by oral route excreted the highest $bla_{\rm TEM}$ quantities with values above 10^9 copies/g of feces. The $bla_{\rm TEM}$ quantities for the control group were lower than those of the three ampicillintreated groups and fluctuated between 10^4 and 10^6 copies/g of feces.

Fig. 4 shows the mean quantities of bla_{TEM} genes for each dosage regimen. Statistical analysis indicated that all ampicillin treatments had a significant effect on the excretion of bla_{TEM} genes compared to the control group (P<0.001). Moreover, oral administration in fed pigs led to a significantly higher excretion of bla_{TEM} genes than intramuscular administration (P<0.05).

Comparisons of real time PCR assessments and phenotypic plate assays. We investigated the agreement between resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* counts and bla_{TEM} concentrations. Fig. 5 shows a significant correlation (with a determination coefficient, r^2 =0.67) between the quantities of bla_{TEM} genes and the counts of ampicillin-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*.

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to explore the impact of three ampicillin dosage regimens on the selection of ampicillin resistance in swine feces. Three indicators of ampicillin resistance *i.e.* two classical phenotypic methods and a new genotypic method allowing quantification of bla_{TEM} genes in feces were selected. The results, whichever resistance indicator was used, indicated that the different modes of ampicillin administration led immediately (day one of treatment) to a large increase in the level of ampicillin resistance in the fecal microflora. In addition, the results suggested that the quantitative PCR of fecal bla_{TEM} genes might be a promising tool to quantify the digestive reservoir of bla_{TEM} genes and evaluate the impact of β -lactam administration on the selection of ampicillin resistance in the gut microflora.

Antibiotic impact on the gut microflora is generally measured by phenotypic evaluation of antibiotic resistance on a limited bacterial population, either using isolates of indicator bacteria or families of bacteria. *E. coli* and *Enterobacteriaceae* are good candidates for studies of the antibiotic resistance level of the fecal flora and are commonly used for this in pigs (28). These bacteria are easily culturable and their isolation is facilitated by specific culture media. In the present experiment, results obtained with the two phenotypic indicators of ampicillin resistance implied that all treatments had a similar negative impact on the gut microflora with the emergence of a high level of resistance at all three dosage regimens. These results are consistent with those of previous studies demonstrating that ampicillin treatment could have a marked effect on the level of resistance in intestinal microbiota of several species (7, 18, 29). Nevertheless, the phenotypic indicators commonly used to assess antibiotic resistance exhibit methodological features that impact both their metrological performances and relevance. Firstly, the selected indicator bacteria must be cultured and the reliability of results has been questioned due to considerable variation originating from the

culture medium, bacterial inoculum, antibiotic preparation and incubation conditions (23). Secondly, the isolates might not be representative of the whole population of bacteria (6). These limits impair the sensitivity and precision of phenotypic indicators for the assessment of resistance levels and have prompted investigators to develop molecular techniques as alternatives, in particular quantitative PCR (12, 17, 27, 35).

Molecular techniques can be used to reveal the presence of genetic determinants without bacterial cultivation and irrespective of the bacterial species carrying these genetic determinants (5, 31). However, a requisite to this approach is the knowledge of the underlying resistance mechanisms, and when few genes are involved in resistance, they may provide candidates for resistance markers (3). bla_{TEM} genes code for the most commonly encountered β -lactamases in Gram-negative bacteria (21). We therefore developed and validated a real-time PCR assay to quantify bla_{TEM} genes in swine feces. This PCR assay was suitable for quantification of bla_{TEM} genes from 10^4 to 10^9 copies/g of feces.

Examination of the agreement between resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* counts and bla_{TEM} concentrations revealed a significant correlation between the quantities of bla_{TEM} genes and the counts of ampicillin-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*. The observed scatter is probably due partly to the inaccuracy of both techniques and to the fact that amplified bla_{TEM} genes may be harbored by bacteria other than *Enterobacteriaceae* (13).

During our experiment to monitor bla_{TEM} genes excretion, we found that treated pigs excreted more bla_{TEM} genes than control pigs. Moreover, as in the phenotypic evaluations, the fecal excretion of bla_{TEM} genes showed large individual day-to-day fluctuations. As indicated above, these fluctuations were correlated with counts of ampicillin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. Similarly, Belloc et al. (2) studied the effect of quinolone treatment on selection and persistence of quinolone-resistant E. coli in swine fecal flora and observed great variability both in the percentage of resistant strains and pattern of emergence of resistance. In

the present study, despite the great variability and the small number of pigs per mode of treatment, at least two of the three modes of drug administration (i.e. intramuscular route and oral route in fed pigs) could be differentiated by quantifying the bla_{TEM} genes excreted in feces, but not by phenotypic evaluation. These results imply that a genotypic indicator can be used advantageously as a complement to phenotypic approaches to quantitatively evaluate the intestinal reservoir of resistance genes. For example, bla_{TEM} genes quantification has already been used to evaluate ampicillin-induced selective pressure on the gut microbiota in dogs (12).

Our results, showing that oral administration of ampicillin in fed pigs was associated with the highest excretion level of fecal bla_{TEM} genes, are consistent with both our pharmacokinetic measurements (not shown) and published data. These latter indicate that β -lactam absorption following oral administration is largely incomplete in pigs (1, 14) and that feeding decreases β -lactam absorption in pigs as in dogs (15) and humans (32). As a consequence, these expected high concentrations of unabsorbed ampicillin in the intestine are likely to exert great pressure on the gut microflora, and this all the more if ampicillin is administered to fed pigs. Following intramuscular administration, ampicillin can gain access to the gastrointestinal lumen by biliary excretion (9), which explains why the intramuscular route was also associated with an increase in fecal bla_{TEM} genes excretion. Thus the pharmacokinetic profiles of the three modes of ampicillin administration tested in the present study were apparently different and resulted in different intestinal exposures.

In conclusion, our study indicates that fecal bla_{TEM} genes quantification might be a useful tool to evaluate and discriminate the impact of different modes of ampicillin administration on the gut microflora. In the future, this quantitative tool might help to quantify the flux of resistance genes in epidemiological investigations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- This work was supported by the Conseil Régional de Midi-Pyrénées grant 03011987.
- 317 We thank Nathalie Arpaillange and Nadine Gauthier for their technical support and Jean-
- 318 Pierre Gau, Patrice Rouby, Joseph Maligoy and Jean Denjean for taking care of the animals.

319 **REFERENCES**

- 320 1. Agerso, H., and C. Friis. 1998. Bioavailability of amoxycillin in pigs. J Vet
- 321 Pharmacol Ther **21:**41-6.
- 322 2. Belloc, C., D. N. Lam, J. L. Pellerin, F. Beaudeau, and A. Laval. 2005. Effect of
- 323 quinolone treatment on selection and persistence of quinolone-resistant Escherichia
- 324 coli in swine faecal flora. J Appl Microbiol **99:**954-9.
- 325 3. **Bergeron, M. G., and M. Ouellette.** 1998. Preventing antibiotic resistance through
- rapid genotypic identification of bacteria and of their antibiotic resistance genes in the
- 327 clinical microbiology laboratory. J Clin Microbiol **36:**2169-72.
- 328 4. Blake, D. P., R. W. Humphry, K. P. Scott, K. Hillman, D. R. Fenlon, and J. C.
- Low. 2003. Influence of tetracycline exposure on tetracycline resistance and the
- carriage of tetracycline resistance genes within commensal Escherichia coli
- populations. J Appl Microbiol **94:**1087-97.
- 332 5. Courvalin, P. 1991. Genotypic approach to the study of bacterial resistance to
- antibiotics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother **35:**1019-23.
- 334 6. Davison, H. C., J. C. Low, and M. E. Woolhouse. 2000. What is antibiotic
- resistance and how can we measure it? Trends Microbiol **8:**554-9.
- 336 7. Escoula, L., M. Coste, and G. Larrieu. 1982. Small filter membrane bags for the
- study of antibiotic action in the digestive tract: bioavailability and in situ efficacy of
- ampicillin in the pig caecum. Res Vet Sci **33:**338-42.
- 8. **FEDESA.** 2000. Press release on the European Union conference: The microbial
- threat. Copenhagen, FEDESA.
- Galtier, P., and J. Charpenteau. 1979. Pharmacokinetics of ampicillin in pigs. J. vet.
- 342 Pharmacol. Therap. **2:**173-180.

- 343 10. Gellin, G., B. E. Langlois, K. A. Dawson, and D. K. Aaron. 1989. Antibiotic
- resistance of gram-negative enteric bacteria from pigs in three herds with different
- histories of antibiotic exposure. Appl Environ Microbiol **55:**2287-92.
- 346 11. Hansen, W., and E. Yourassowsky. 1984. Detection of beta-glucuronidase in
- lactose-fermenting members of the family Enterobacteriaceae and its presence in
- bacterial urine cultures. J Clin Microbiol **20:**1177-9.
- Harmoinen, J., S. Mentula, M. Heikkila, M. van der Rest, P. J. Rajala-Schultz, C.
- J. Donskey, R. Frias, P. Koski, N. Wickstrand, H. Jousimies-Somer, E.
- Westermarck, and K. Lindevall. 2004. Orally administered targeted recombinant
- Beta-lactamase prevents ampicillin-induced selective pressure on the gut microbiota: a
- novel approach to reducing antimicrobial resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother
- **48:**75-9.
- Heritage, J., N. Ransome, P. A. Chambers, and M. H. Wilcox. 2001. A comparison
- of culture and PCR to determine the prevalence of ampicillin-resistant bacteria in the
- faecal flora of general practice patients. J Antimicrob Chemother **48:**287-9.
- 358 14. Jensen, G. M., J. Lykkesfeldt, K. Frydendahl, K. Moller, and O. Svendsen. 2004.
- Pharmacokinetics of amoxicillin after oral administration in recently weaned piglets
- with experimentally induced Escherichia coli subtype O149:F4 diarrhea. Am J Vet
- 361 Res **65:**992-5.
- 362 15. Kung, K., B. R. Hauser, and M. Wanner. 1995. Effect of the interval between
- feeding and drug administration on oral ampicillin absorption in dogs. J Small Anim
- 364 Pract **36:**65-8.
- 16. Livermore, D. M., F. Moosdeen, M. A. Lindridge, P. Kho, and J. D. Williams.
- 366 1986. Behaviour of TEM-1 beta-lactamase as a resistance mechanism to ampicillin,
- mezlocillin and azlocillin in Escherichia coli. J Antimicrob Chemother **17:**139-46.

- 368 17. Maeda, H., C. Fujimoto, Y. Haruki, T. Maeda, S. Kokeguchi, M. Petelin, H. Arai,
- 369 I. Tanimoto, F. Nishimura, and S. Takashiba. 2003. Quantitative real-time PCR
- using TaqMan and SYBR Green for Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans,
- Porphyromonas gingivalis, Prevotella intermedia, tetQ gene and total bacteria. FEMS
- 372 Immunol Med Microbiol **39:**81-6.
- 373 18. Mentula, S., T. Virtanen, A. Kanervo-Nordstrom, J. Harmoinen, E.
- Westermarck, M. Rautio, P. Huovinen, and E. Kononen. 2006. Relatedness of
- Escherichia coli strains with different susceptibility patterns isolated from beagle dogs
- during ampicillin treatment. Int J Antimicrob Agents **27:**46-50.
- 377 19. NCCLS. 2003. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that
- grow aerobically; Approved standard-Sixt Edition Document M7-A6. NCCLS,
- Wayne, PA.
- 380 20. NCCLS. 2003. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing;
- Thirteenth Informational Supplement- Document M100-S13 (M7) for use with
- document M7-A6-MIC testing. NCCLS, Wayne, PA.
- 383 21. Paterson, D. L. 2006. Resistance in gram-negative bacteria: Enterobacteriaceae. Am J
- Infect Control **34:**S20-8; discussion S64-73.
- 385 22. Paterson, D. L., and R. A. Bonomo. 2005. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases: a
- 386 clinical update. Clin Microbiol Rev **18:**657-86.
- 387 23. **Phillips, I.** 1998. The 1997 Garrod Lecture. The subtleties of antibiotic resistance. J
- 388 Antimicrob Chemother **42:**5-12.
- 24. Phillips, I., M. Casewell, T. Cox, B. De Groot, C. Friis, R. Jones, C. Nightingale,
- **R. Preston, and J. Waddell.** 2004. Does the use of antibiotics in food animals pose a
- risk to human health? A critical review of published data. J Antimicrob Chemother
- **53:**28-52.

- 393 25. **Poole, K.** 2004. Resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics. Cell Mol Life Sci **61:**2200-23.
- 394 26. Salyers, A. A., A. Gupta, and Y. Wang. 2004. Human intestinal bacteria as
- reservoirs for antibiotic resistance genes. Trends Microbiol **12:**412-6.
- 396 27. Smith, M. S., R. K. Yang, C. W. Knapp, Y. Niu, N. Peak, M. M. Hanfelt, J. C.
- Galland, and D. W. Graham. 2004. Quantification of tetracycline resistance genes in
- feedlot lagoons by real-time PCR. Appl Environ Microbiol **70:**7372-7.
- 399 28. Sorum, H., and M. Sunde. 2001. Resistance to antibiotics in the normal flora of
- animals. Vet Res **32:**227-41.
- 401 29. Sullivan, A., C. Edlund, and C. E. Nord. 2001. Effect of antimicrobial agents on the
- ecological balance of human microflora. Lancet Infect Dis 1:101-14.
- 403 30. Sunde, M., K. Fossum, A. Solberg, and H. Sorum. 1998. Antibiotic resistance in
- Escherichia coli of the normal intestinal flora of swine. Microb Drug Resist **4:**289-99.
- 405 31. **Tenover, F. C.** 1989. DNA probes for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Clin Lab
- 406 Med **9:**341-7.
- Welling, P. G. 1989. Effects of food on drug absorption. Pharmacol Ther 43:425-41.
- Wiuff, C., J. Lykkesfeldt, O. Svendsen, and F. M. Aarestrup. 2003. The effects of
- oral and intramuscular administration and dose escalation of enrofloxacin on the
- selection of quinolone resistance among Salmonella and coliforms in pigs. Res Vet Sci
- **411 75:**185-93.
- 412 34. Yan, S. S., and J. M. Gilbert. 2004. Antimicrobial drug delivery in food animals and
- 413 microbial food safety concerns: an overview of in vitro and in vivo factors potentially
- affecting the animal gut microflora. Adv Drug Deliv Rev **56:**1497-521.
- 415 35. Yu, Z., F. C. Michel, Jr., G. Hansen, T. Wittum, and M. Morrison. 2005.
- Development and application of real-time PCR assays for quantification of genes
- 417 encoding tetracycline resistance. Appl Environ Microbiol **71:**6926-33.

FIGURE LEGENDS

419	
420	FIG. 1. Standard curves calculated with the control plasmid diluted in Tris -EDTA buffer (•)
421	or in DNA extracted from swine feces (*). Amplification was repeated four times for each
422	dilution.
423	
424	FIG. 2. a) Percentage of ampicillin resistant Enterobacteriaceae for each mode of ampicillin
425	administration. These percentages were calculated from the total counts of
426	Enterobacteriaceae in the absence or presence of ampicillin (16 µg/mL). b) Percentage of
427	ampicillin-resistant E. coli (i.e. with MIC above 16 µg/mL), for each mode of ampicillin
428	administration. Ampicillin susceptibility was tested at each sampling point on 20 isolates
429	from each pig. Treated pigs had received ampicillin at 20 mg/kg from day 0 to day 6 by
430	intramuscular route (▲) (n=4), oral route in fasted (■) (n=4) or fed (□) (n=4) pigs. 6 pigs
431	were used as a control (●). Values are means and error bars represent standard deviations.
432	
433	FIG. 3. Copy number of bla_{TEM} genes per g of feces detected by real-time PCR for each pig.
434	Ampicillin was administered at 20 mg/kg from day 0 to day 6. Modes of administration were:
435	a) oral route in fed pigs (n=4), b) oral route in fasted pigs (n=4), c) intramuscular route (n=4).
436	d) 6 pigs were used as a control.
437	
438	FIG. 4. Copy number of bla_{TEM} genes per g of feces for each mode of ampicilling
439	administration. Treated pigs had received ampicillin at 20 mg/kg from day 0 to day 6 by
440	intramuscular route (▲) (n=4), oral route in fasted (■) (n=4) or fed (□) (n=4) pigs. 6 pigs
441	were used as a control (●). Values are means and error bars represent standard deviations.

442	
443	FIG. 5. Relationship between the log of the bla_{TEM} copy number/g feces and the log of counts
444	of ampicillin-resistant Enterobacteriaceae /g of feces.
445	









