



**HAL**  
open science

# Simplicial Differential Calculus, Divided Differences, and Construction of Weil Functors

Wolfgang Bertram

► **To cite this version:**

Wolfgang Bertram. Simplicial Differential Calculus, Divided Differences, and Construction of Weil Functors. 2010. hal-00516973v1

**HAL Id: hal-00516973**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00516973v1>**

Preprint submitted on 13 Sep 2010 (v1), last revised 11 Jan 2011 (v2)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# SIMPLICIAL DIFFERENTIAL CALCULUS, DIVIDED DIFFERENCES, AND CONSTRUCTION OF WEIL FUNCTORS

WOLFGANG BERTRAM

ABSTRACT. We define a *simplicial differential calculus* by generalizing *divided differences* from the case of curves to the case of general maps, defined on general topological vector spaces, or even on modules over a topological ring  $\mathbb{K}$ . This calculus has the advantage that the number of evaluation points grows linearly with the degree, and not exponentially as in the classical, “cubic” approach. In particular, it is better adapted to the case of *positive characteristic*, where it permits to define Weil functors corresponding to scalar extension from  $\mathbb{K}$  to truncated polynomial rings  $\mathbb{K}[X]/(X^{k+1})$ .

## INTRODUCTION

When one tries to develop differential calculus in positive characteristic, a major problem arises from the fact that the Taylor expansion of a function  $f$  involves a factor  $\frac{1}{k!}$  in front of the differential  $d^k f(x)$ . In the present work, we define a version of differential calculus, called *simplicial differential calculus*, that allows to avoid this factor. The methods are completely general and should be of interest also in the case of characteristic zero since they point a way to reduce the growth of variables from the exponential growth, arising in “cubic” differential calculus, to a linear one. Moreover, we hope that they build a bridge between differential geometry and algebraic geometry since they show how to “imbed” infinitesimal methods used there, based on “simplicial” ring extensions, into ordinary cubic differential calculus.

Let us explain the problem first by looking at functions of *one* variable (i.e., curves), before coming to the general case. If  $f : I \rightarrow W$  is such a function, say of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$ , the second differential at  $x$  can be obtained as a limit

$$f''(x) = \lim_{s,t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+t+s) - f(x+t) - f(x+s) + f(x)}{st}.$$

This formula arises simply from iterating the formula for the first differential. There are similar formulae for higher differentials  $d^k f(x)$ ; at each stage the number of points where evaluation of  $f$  takes place is doubled, so that  $2^k$  points are involved. This corresponds to the vertices of a hypercube, and therefore we call this version of differential calculus “cubic”. A consequent generalization of this calculus is the general differential calculus developed in [BGN04], where a characteristic feature is that we look at higher order difference quotient maps  $f^{[k]}$  involving evaluation

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13B02, 13F20, 13N99, 14B10, 39A12, 58A20, 58A32

*Key words and phrases.* divided differences, differential calculus, jet functor, scalar extension, Weil functor, Taylor expansion.

of  $f$  at  $2^k$  generically pairwise different points, and in [Be08] we followed this line of thought by investigating the differential geometry of *higher order tangent maps*  $T^k f$ . The advantage of this calculus is its easy inductive definition; the drawback is the exponential growth of variables.

Now, in the case of curves, there is another formula for the second differential:

$$\frac{1}{2}f''(x) = \lim_{a,b,c \rightarrow x} \left( \frac{f(a)}{(a-b)(a-c)} + \frac{f(b)}{(b-a)(b-c)} + \frac{f(c)}{(c-a)(c-b)} \right)$$

It involves evaluation only at 3 points, and it has the advantage to let drop out right away the correct term for the Taylor expansion, so that we can write the expansion without having to divide by factorials. This generalizes to any order: define *divided differences* by the formula (see Chapter 7 in [BGN04], [Sch84] or [Ro00]; see also the Wikipedia-page on “divided differences”):

$$[t_1, \dots, t_{k+1}; f] := \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \frac{f(t_j)}{\prod_{i \neq j} (t_j - t_i)}$$

where  $t_i \neq t_j$ . If  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^k$  in the usual sense (say, over  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ), then the divided differences admit a *continuous* extension to a map defined on  $I^{k+1}$  (including the “singular set”, where some of the  $t_i$ ’s coincide), and the  $k$ -th derivative  $f^{(k)}(t)$  is obtained as a “diagonal value” of this extended function via

$$\frac{1}{k!}f^{(k)}(t) = [t, \dots, t; f].$$

Since evaluation of  $f$  only at  $k+1$  points is used, we call this definition of higher order differentials *simplicial*. Geometrically, the factor  $k!$  represents the ratio between the volume of the standard hypercube and the standard simplex.

Next let us look at functions of several (finitely or infinitely many) variables, say  $f : U \rightarrow W$  defined on an open part  $U$  of some topological vector space  $V$ . As shown in [BGN04], the “cubic” calculus generalizes very well to this framework. However, to our knowledge, so far there has not been developed a reasonable “simplicial” theory, generalizing the divided differences.<sup>1</sup> By “reasonable” we mean a calculus that shares some main features with “usual” calculus – above all, there must be some version of the chain rule, so that one can define categories like smooth manifolds, bundles, etc. For this it is not enough to look simply at curves  $\gamma$  and to consider divided differences of the function  $f \circ \gamma$ ; rather, these should appear as certain special values of the general simplicial theory. We propose the following general definition of generalized divided differences (Section 1.2):

$$\begin{aligned} f^{<k>}(v_0, \dots, v_k; s_0, \dots, s_k) := & \frac{f(v_0)}{(s_0 - s_1) \cdots (s_0 - s_k)} + \frac{f(v_0 + (s_1 - s_0)v_1)}{(s_1 - s_0)(s_1 - s_2) \cdots (s_1 - s_k)} \\ & + \dots + \frac{f(v_0 + (s_k - s_0)v_1 + \dots + (s_k - s_0) \cdots (s_k - s_{k-1})v_k)}{(s_k - s_0) \cdots (s_k - s_{k-1})} \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>The definition of “ $r$ -th order difference factorizer” in Section 5.b of [Nel88] may be seen as an attempt in this direction; however, the definition given there has several drawbacks, and the author decides “not to study them in any depth in this paper”.

Here,  $\mathbf{v} := (v_0, \dots, v_k)$  is a  $k + 1$ -tuple of “space variables”, and  $\mathbf{s} := (s_0, \dots, s_k)$  a  $k + 1$ -tuple of “time (scalar) variables”; hence the growth of the number of variables is linear in  $k$ . If  $v_0 = x$ ,  $s_0 = 0$ ,  $v_1 = h$  and all other  $v_j = 0$ , then we are back in the case of divided differences of  $f \circ \gamma$  for the curve  $\gamma(t) = x + th$ . We will say that  $f$  is *k times simplicially differentiable*, or of class  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$ , if these generalized divided differences extend to *continuous* maps defined also for singular scalar values (that is, for  $\mathbf{s}$  such that not all  $s_i - s_j$  are invertible, in particular, to  $\mathbf{s} = (0, \dots, 0)$ ).

The main motivation for this definition is that there is indeed a version of the chain rule, and that the corresponding theory of manifolds and their bundles permits to define *jet bundles* also in positive characteristic; this seems to be indeed the correct framework for generalizing the theory of *Weil functors* to arbitrary characteristic (see [KMS93], Chapter VIII for an account on the real theory).<sup>2</sup> To state the chain rule, we define for any  $\mathbf{s}$ , the *simplicial s-extension* to be the vector

$$\mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}f(\mathbf{v}) := \left( f(v_0), f^{<1>}(v_0, v_1; s_0, s_1), \dots, f^{<k>}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s}) \right),$$

so that  $\mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}f : \mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}U \rightarrow W^{k+1}$  is a map from an open part  $\mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}U$  of  $V^{k+1}$  to  $W^{k+1}$ . Then the chain rule (Theorem 1.10) says that  $\mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}(g \circ f) = \mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}g \circ \mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}f$ , i.e.,  $\mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  is a *covariant functor*. In particular, for  $\mathbf{s} = (0, \dots, 0)$  this really is a true generalization of the classical chain rule. Closely related to this is a result (Theorem 1.7 and Corollary 1.11) characterizing  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$ -maps as the maps satisfying a certain “limited expansion”, which contains as a special case a version of the Taylor expansion involving only the “simplicial differentials”  $\mathrm{SJ}^{(0, \dots, 0)}f$ , without division by factorials.

The chain rule leads directly to the algebraic viewpoint of *scalar extension* (Chapter 2), and to the construction of *Weil functors* (Chapter 3). Here we take advantage of the generality of our framework, allowing to take for  $\mathbb{K}$  a commutative topological base *ring* – all definitions and results mentioned so far make sense in this generality. Now combine this with the basic observation from the theory of Weil functors: applying a covariant, product preserving functor like  $F := \mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  to the base ring  $\mathbb{K}$  with its structure maps  $a$  (addition) and  $m$  (multiplication), we get again a ring  $(F\mathbb{K}, Fa, Fm)$ . The ring  $\mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}\mathbb{K}$  thus obtained is never a field (even if  $\mathbb{K}$  is), but it is still a well-behaved commutative topological ring, and therefore we can speak of smooth maps over this ring. We prove (Theorem 2.7): *If  $f$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^{<k+m>}$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ , then  $\mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}f$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^{<m>}$  over  $\mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}\mathbb{K}$ , and we determine explicitly the structure of  $\mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}\mathbb{K}$  (Lemma 2.8): *The ring  $\mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}\mathbb{K}$  is naturally isomorphic to the truncated polynomial ring**

$$\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{s}} := \mathbb{K}[X]/(X(X - (s_1 - s_0)) \cdot \dots \cdot (X - (s_k - s_0))).$$

Putting these two results together, for  $\mathbf{s} = 0$ , we may say that  $\mathrm{SJ}^{(0, \dots, 0)}$  is the *functor of scalar extension from  $\mathbb{K}$  to  $\mathbb{K}[X]/(X^{k+1})$* . These results have multiple applications: on the one hand, as long as  $\mathbf{s}$  is non-singular (i.e., if  $s_i - s_j$  is invertible for  $i \neq j$ ), they reduce the complicated structure of finite differences to the

<sup>2</sup>Note, however, that Thm 35.5 in loc. cit. contains an error: not all finite-dimensional quotients of polynomial algebras are Weil algebras (this is one of the points of the present work). A corrected version of this claim can be found in Section 1.5 of [K08].

better accessible structure of rings, and on the other hand, if  $\mathbf{s} = (0, \dots, 0)$ , then difference calculus contracts to “local (i.e., support-decreasing) calculus”, hence leads to differential geometry: in this case the functor of scalar extension  $\mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  carries over to the category of manifolds, defining for each  $\mathbb{K}$ -manifold  $M$  a bundle  $\mathrm{SJ}^k M := \mathrm{SJ}^{(0, \dots, 0)} M$  over  $M$ . This is precisely the version of the jet functor that works well in any characteristic, and it now makes sense to consider  $\mathrm{SJ}^k M$  as a manifold *defined over the ring*  $\mathrm{SJ}^k \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}[X]/(X^{k+1})$  (Theorem 3.2).

A second main topic of the present work is to investigate the relation between “cubic” and “simplicial” calculus. Indeed, the point of view of Weil functors has been already investigated in the “cubic” framework ([Be08]), where we have observed that this framework leads to some loss of information in the case of *positive* characteristic.<sup>3</sup> We recall in Section 1.1 the “cubic”  $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$ -concept from [BGN04], and we prove (Theorem 1.6): “*Cubic implies simplicial*”: *if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$ , then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$ . Moreover, there is an “imbedding” of the simplicial divided differences into the cubic higher order difference quotients.* The latter are far too complicated to allow for an explicit, “closed” formula which would be comparable to the simplicial formula given above; all the more it is appreciable that the point of view of scalar extension works also on the the cubic level: we define inductively a family of rings  $\mathbb{A}^{\mathbf{t}}$  (where now  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{K}^{2^k-1}$ , and the  $\mathbb{K}$ -dimension of  $\mathbb{A}^{\mathbf{t}}$  is  $2^k$ ) and show (Theorem 2.6): *The cubic extended tangent functor  $T^{(\mathbf{t})}$  can be interpreted as the scalar extension functor from  $\mathbb{K}$  to  $\mathbb{A}^{\mathbf{t}}$ .* In particular, for  $\mathbf{t} = 0$ , we get the higher order tangent functors  $T^k$  considered in [Be08]. The imbedding of simplicial divided differences into the cubic theory then translates into algebra (Theorem 2.9): *There is an imbedding of algebras  $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{s}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\mathbf{t}}$  (where  $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{s})$  depends on  $\mathbf{s}$ ).* Correspondingly, if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$ , the “simplicial Weil functors” can be imbedded into a family of “cubic Weil functors” (Theorem 3.2). This imbedding is “off-diagonal” (i.e., “most” components of  $\mathbf{t}$  are zero, but some are not), and has a more subtle structure than the “diagonal” imbedding used in [Be08].

Finally, let us mention some open problems and further topics (see also [Be08b]). Firstly, we conjecture that the converse of Theorem 1.6 also holds: “*simplicial smooth implies cubic smooth*”, hence both concepts are equivalent (to be more precise, we conjecture that this is true at least if  $\mathbb{K}$  is a field since that assumption has turned out to be sufficient for a similar result concerning curves, see [BGN04], Prop. 6.9). A proof of this conjecture would imply that the simplicial differential is always *polynomial* (which is indeed the case under the assumption that  $f$  be  $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$ ), and should also indicate a procedure how to recover, in a natural way, the algebras  $\mathbb{A}^{\mathbf{t}}$  from the “smaller” algebras  $\mathbb{B}^{\mathbf{s}}$ . Secondly, the simplicial point of view suggests to adapt the “cubic” differential geometry and Lie theory from [Be08] to this framework. In a certain sense, this amounts to combine the theory of scalar extensions and Weil functors with “simplicial” concepts present in [Wh82]. This is of course a vast topic, which will be taken up elsewhere.

---

<sup>3</sup>*cf.* the note in loc. cit., p. 13; the theory from [Be08] works in arbitrary characteristic, mainly because we use there the “second order Taylor formula” from [BGN04], which is already simplicial in nature; but the theory itself is not simplicial.

*Acknowledgment.* I thank my colleague Alain Genestier for stimulating discussions and for suggesting to me the correct formula describing the generalized divided differences.

*Notation.* In the following, the base ring  $\mathbb{K}$  will be a *unital commutative topological ring with dense unit group*  $\mathbb{K}^\times \subset \mathbb{K}$ ; all  $\mathbb{K}$ -modules  $V, W$  will be *topological  $\mathbb{K}$ -modules*, and domains of definition  $U$  will be *open* (or, more generally, subsets having a dense interior). The class of continuous maps will be denoted by  $\mathcal{C}^{[0]}$  or  $\mathcal{C}^{<0>}$ . For some purely algebraic results in Chapter 2, the topology will not be necessary, and one might instead use arguments of Zariski-density; we leave such modifications to the reader.

## 1. DIFFERENTIAL CALCULI

**1.1. Cubic differential calculus.** We recall the basic definitions of the “cubic” theory developed in [BGN04] (see also Chapter 1 of [Be08]).

**Definition 1.1.** *We say that  $f : U \rightarrow W$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^{[1]}$  if the first order difference quotient map*

$$(x, v, t) \mapsto \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t}$$

*extends continuously onto the extended domain*

$$U^{[1]} := \{(x, v, t) \in V \times V \times \mathbb{K} \mid x \in U, x + tv \in U\},$$

*i.e., if there exists a continuous map  $f^{[1]} : U^{[1]} \rightarrow W$  such that  $f^{[1]}(x, v, t) = \frac{f(x+tv)-f(x)}{t}$  whenever  $t$  is invertible. By density of  $\mathbb{K}^\times$  in  $\mathbb{K}$ , the map  $f^{[1]}$  is unique if it exists, and so is the value*

$$df(x)v := f^{[1]}(x, v, 0).$$

*The extended tangent map is then defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}f : U^{[1]} &\rightarrow W^{[1]} = W \times W \times \mathbb{K}, \\ (x, v, t) &\mapsto \hat{T}f(x, v, t) := \hat{T}^{(t)}f(x, v) := (f(x), f^{[1]}(x, v, t), t). \end{aligned}$$

If  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{[1]}$ , the differential  $df(x) : V \rightarrow W$  is continuous and linear, and  $\hat{T}$  is a functor:  $\hat{T}(g \circ f) = \hat{T}g \circ \hat{T}f$ ; this is equivalent to saying that for each  $t \in \mathbb{K}$  we have a functor  $\hat{T}^{(t)}$ , and for  $t = 0$  this gives the usual chain rule (see loc. cit. for the easy proofs). Moreover, for each  $t$ , the functor  $\hat{T}^{(t)}$  commutes with direct products:  $\hat{T}^{(t)}(g \times f)$  is naturally identified with  $\hat{T}^{(t)}f \times \hat{T}^{(t)}g$ .

**Definition 1.2.** *The classes  $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$  are defined by induction: we say that  $f$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^{[k+1]}$  if it is of class  $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$  and if  $f^{[k]} : U^{[k]} \rightarrow W$  is again of class  $\mathcal{C}^{[1]}$ , where  $f^{[k]} := (f^{[k-1]})^{[1]}$ . The higher order extended tangent maps are defined by  $\hat{T}^{k+1}f := \hat{T}(\hat{T}^k f)$ .*

Among the higher order differentiation rules proved in [BGN04], *Schwarz’ Lemma* and the *generalized Taylor expansion* are the most important. Both will be discussed in more detail later on. Explicit formulae for the higher order difference quotient

maps tend to be very complicated. For convenience of the reader, we give here the explicit formula in case  $k = 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & f^{[2]}((x, v_1, t_1), (v_2, v_{12}, t_{12}), t_2) \\ &= \frac{f^{[1]}((x, v_1, t_1) + t_2(v_2, v_{12}, t_{12})) - f^{[1]}(x, v_1, t_1)}{t_2} \\ &= \frac{f(x + t_2v_2 + (t_1 + t_2t_{12})(v_1 + t_2v_{12})) - f(x + t_2v_2)}{t_2(t_1 + t_2t_{12})} - \frac{f(x + t_1v_1) - f(x)}{t_1t_2} \end{aligned}$$

where of course it is assumed that the scalars by which we divide belong to  $\mathbb{K}^\times$ . It is useful to observe already here that the factor  $t_{12}$  never stands alone, hence in the limit  $(t_1, t_2) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ , we get a local (i.e., support-decreasing) operator even if  $t_{12}$  does not tend to zero (e.g., for  $t_{12} = 1$ ); in finite dimension over  $\mathbb{R}$ , by the classical Peetre-Theorem (see [KrM97]), we thus obtain a differential operator. In the general case, this observation will be taken up later (Theorem 3.2). However, it would be hopeless to try to develop the theory by writing out in this way the formulae for  $f^{[k]}$  in general – they involve, in a fairly complicated way, the values of  $f$  at  $2^k$  generically different points. Here is a first step towards an efficient organization of these variables: we put together “space variables” on the one hand and “time variables” on the other hand; that is,  $f^{[k]}$  contains  $2^k - 1$  variables from  $\mathbb{K}$  which we may fix and look at the remaining transformation on the space level:

**Definition 1.3.** *Let  $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$  be the standard  $n$ -element set and fix a family  $\mathbf{t} := (t_J)_{J \subset I, J \neq \emptyset}$  of elements  $t_J \in \mathbb{K}$ . In other words,  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{K}^{2^k - 1}$ . If  $J = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ , then instead of  $t_J$  we write also  $t_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ . We define the (cubic)  $\mathbf{t}$ -extension  $T^{(\mathbf{t})}f$  of  $f$  to be the partial map of  $\hat{T}^n f$  where the scalar parameters have the fixed value  $\mathbf{t}$ . Thus, for  $n = 1$ ,  $T^{(\mathbf{t})}f(x, v) = (f(x), f^{[1]}(x, v, t))$  is the map introduced above, and for  $n = 2$  we get with  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, t_{1,2})$*

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(\mathbf{t})}f(x, v_1, v_2, v_{1,2}) = \\ \left( f(x), f^{[1]}(x, v_1, t_1), f^{[1]}(x, v_2, t_2), f^{[2]}((x, v_1, t_1), (v_2, v_{1,2}, t_{1,2}), t_2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

For general  $\mathbf{t}$ ,  $T^{(\mathbf{t})}f$  is defined on an open set  $T^{(\mathbf{t})}U \subset V^{2^n}$  and takes values in  $W^{2^n}$ .

By induction it follows immediately from the remarks concerning the case  $n = 1$  that  $T^{(\mathbf{t})}$  is a covariant functor preserving direct products. For  $\mathbf{t} = 0$ , this is the higher order tangent functor denoted by  $T^n$  in [Be08].

**1.2. Simplicial differential calculus.** We will write  $k$ -tuples of vectors or of scalars in the form  $\mathbf{v} := (v_0, \dots, v_k) \in V^{k+1}$ ,  $\mathbf{s} := (s_0, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{K}^{k+1}$ , and we will say that  $\mathbf{s}$  is *non-singular* if, for  $i \neq j$ ,  $s_i - s_j$  is invertible.

**Definition 1.4.** *For a map  $f : U \rightarrow W$  and non-singular  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{K}^{k+1}$ , we define (generalized) divided differences by*

$$f^{>k<}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s}) := \frac{f(v_0)}{\prod_{j=1, \dots, k} (s_0 - s_j)} + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{f(v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^i \prod_{\ell=0}^{j-1} (s_i - s_\ell) v_j)}{\prod_{\substack{j=0, \dots, k \\ j \neq i}} (s_i - s_j)}.$$

For convenience, we re-write this formula:  $f^{>0<}(v_0; s_0) := f(v_0)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{>1<}(v_0, v_1; s_0, s_1) &= \frac{f(v_0)}{s_0 - s_1} + \frac{f(v_0 + (s_1 - s_0)v_1)}{s_1 - s_0} \\ f^{>2<}(v_0, v_1, v_2; s_0, s_1, s_2) &= \frac{f(v_0)}{(s_0 - s_1)(s_0 - s_2)} + \frac{f(v_0 + (s_1 - s_0)v_1)}{(s_1 - s_0)(s_1 - s_2)} + \\ &\quad \frac{f(v_0 + (s_2 - s_0)v_1 + (s_2 - s_1)(s_2 - s_0)v_2)}{(s_2 - s_0)(s_2 - s_1)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{>k<}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s}) &:= \frac{f(v_0)}{(s_0 - s_1) \cdots (s_0 - s_k)} + \frac{f(v_0 + (s_1 - s_0)v_1)}{(s_1 - s_0)(s_1 - s_2) \cdots (s_1 - s_k)} + \\ &\quad \frac{f(v_0 + (s_2 - s_0)v_1 + (s_2 - s_1)(s_2 - s_0)v_2)}{(s_2 - s_0)(s_2 - s_1)(s_2 - s_3) \cdots (s_2 - s_k)} + \cdots + \\ &\quad \frac{f(v_0 + (s_k - s_0)v_1 + \cdots + (s_k - s_{k-1})(s_k - s_{k-2}) \cdots (s_k - s_0)v_k)}{(s_k - s_0)(s_k - s_1) \cdots (s_k - s_{k-1})} \end{aligned}$$

We say that  $f$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$ , or  $k$  times continuously simplicially differentiable, if  $f^{>\ell<}$  extends continuously to singular values of  $\mathbf{s}$ , for all  $\ell = 1, \dots, k$ . This means that there are continuous maps  $f^{<\ell>} : U^{<\ell>} \rightarrow W$ , where

$$U^{<\ell>} := \{(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{s}) \in V^\ell \times \mathbb{K}^\ell \mid v_0 \in U, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, \ell : v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^i \prod_{m=1}^j (s_i - s_m) v_j \in U\},$$

such that, whenever  $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{s}) \in U^{<\ell>}$  and  $\mathbf{s}$  is non-singular,

$$f^{>\ell<}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s}) = f^{<\ell>}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s}).$$

The map  $f^{<\ell>}$  will be called the extended divided difference map. Note that, by density of  $\mathbb{K}^\times$  in  $\mathbb{K}$ , the extension  $f^{<\ell>}$  is unique (if it exists), and hence in particular the value  $f^{<\ell>}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{0})$ , called the  $\ell$ -th order simplicial differential, is uniquely determined.

One may observe that  $f^{<k>}(\mathbf{v}; s_0, \dots, s_k) = f^{<k>}(\mathbf{v}; s_0 - t, \dots, s_k - t)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{K}$  since only differences of scalar values appear in the definition; in particular, we may choose  $t = s_0$ , so that for many purposes one may assume that  $s_0 = 0$ . It is clear that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{[1]}$  if and only if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{<1>}$ , since

$$(1.1) \quad f^{[1]}(x, v, t) = f^{<1>}(x, v; 0, t), \quad f^{<1>}(v_0, v_1; s, t) = f^{[1]}(v_0, v_1, t - s).$$

For  $k > 1$ , it is less easy to compare both concepts. In order to attack this problem, we start by proving a recursion formula:

**Lemma 1.5.** *The following recursion formula holds: for non-singular  $\mathbf{s}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} f^{>k+1<}(v_0, \dots, v_{k+1}; s_0, \dots, s_{k+1}) &= \frac{1}{s_k - s_{k+1}} \left( f^{>k<}(v_0, \dots, v_k; s_0, \dots, s_k) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. f^{>k<}(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_k + (s_{k+1} - s_k)v_{k+1}; s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}, s_{k+1}) \right) \end{aligned}$$



*Proof.* We are going to compute the right-hand side term of this equation. To this end, observe that, in the definition of  $f^{>k<}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s})$ , the values of  $f$  at  $k+1$  (generically pairwise different) points occur, where the  $j$ -th point depends only on  $(v_1, \dots, v_j; s_0, \dots, s_j)$ . Hence, for  $j = 0, \dots, k-1$ , these points of evaluation are the same for both terms of which the difference is taken. Using the algebraic identity

$$\frac{1}{a-c} \left( \frac{1}{b-a} - \frac{1}{b-c} \right) = \frac{1}{(b-a)(b-c)},$$

we get for the difference of two such terms

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{s_k - s_{k+1}} \left( \frac{f(v_0 + (s_j - s_0)v_1 + \dots + (s_j - s_{j-1}) \dots (s_j - s_0)v_j)}{(s_j - s_k) \prod_{\substack{i=0, \dots, k-1 \\ i \neq j}} (s_j - s_i)} - \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{f(v_0 + (s_j - s_0)v_1 + \dots + (s_j - s_{j-1}) \dots (s_j - s_0)v_j)}{(s_j - s_{k+1}) \prod_{\substack{i=0, \dots, k-1 \\ i \neq j}} (s_j - s_i)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{s_k - s_{k+1}} \left( \frac{1}{s_j - s_k} - \frac{1}{s_j - s_{k+1}} \right) \frac{f(v_0 + (s_j - s_0)v_1 + \dots + (s_j - s_{j-1}) \dots (s_j - s_0)v_j)}{\prod_{\substack{i=0, \dots, k-1 \\ i \neq j}} (s_j - s_i)} \\ &= \frac{f(v_0 + (s_j - s_0)v_1 + \dots + (s_j - s_{j-1}) \dots (s_j - s_0)v_j)}{\prod_{\substack{i=0, \dots, k+1 \\ i \neq j}} (s_j - s_i)} \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the  $j$ -th term appearing in the definition of  $f^{>k+1<}$ . It remains to show that the difference of the  $k$ -th terms leads exactly to the last two terms in the definition of  $f^{>k+1<}$ . Now, from

$$\frac{1}{s_k - s_{k+1}} \frac{f(v_0 + (s_k - s_0)v_1 + \dots + (s_k - s_{k-1}) \dots (s_k - s_0)v_k)}{\prod_{i=0, \dots, k-1} (s_k - s_i)}$$

we get ready-made the  $k$ -th term, and from

$$\frac{1}{s_k - s_{k+1}} \frac{f(v_0 + (s_{k+1} - s_0)v_1 + \dots + (s_{k+1} - s_{k-1}) \dots (s_{k+1} - s_0)(v_k + (s_{k+1} - s_k)v_{k+1}))}{\prod_{i=0, \dots, k-1} (s_{k+1} - s_i)}$$

we get the last term.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.6.** *If  $f$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$ , then  $f$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$ . Moreover,  $f^{<j>}$  (with  $j = 0, \dots, k$ ) is then of class  $\mathcal{C}^{[k-j]}$ , and the following relation holds for all  $\mathbf{s}$ :*

$$-f^{<k>}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s}) =$$

$$(f^{<k-1>})^{[1]} \left( (v_0, \dots, v_{k-1}; s_0, \dots, s_{k-1}), (0, \dots, 0, v_k; 0, \dots, 0, 1), s_k - s_{k-1} \right).$$

*The simplicial differential quotient maps can be imbedded into the cubic ones in the sense that there exist  $\mathcal{C}^{[\infty]}$ - (in fact, affine continuous) maps  $g_k : U^{<k>} \rightarrow U^{[k]}$  such that*

$$f^{<k>}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s}) = \pm f^{[k]}(g_k(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s})).$$

*For  $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  we have the following explicit formulae for these imbeddings:*

$$\begin{aligned} f^{<1>}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s}) &= f^{[1]}(v_0, v_1, s_1 - s_0) \\ f^{<2>}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s}) &= -f^{[2]}((v_0, v_1, s_1 - s_0), (0, v_2, 1), s_2 - s_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$f^{<3>}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s}) = f^{[3]} \left( ((v_0, v_1, s_1 - s_0), (0, v_2, 1), s_2 - s_1), ((0, 0, 0), (0, v_3, 0), 1), s_3 - s_2 \right)$$

*Proof.* All claims are proved by induction, the case  $k = 1$  being trivial thanks to Equation (1.1). We write the recursion formula from the preceding lemma as

$$f^{>k+1<}(v_0, \dots, v_{k+1}; s_0, \dots, s_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{s_k - s_{k+1}} \left( f^{>k<}(v_0, \dots, v_k; s_0, \dots, s_k) - f^{>k<}(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_k + (s_{k+1} - s_k)v_{k+1}; s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}, s_k + (s_{k+1} - s_k)) \right)$$

which has the form of a first order difference quotient, equal to

$$-(f^{<k>})^{[1]} \left( (v_0, \dots, v_k; s_0, \dots, s_k), (0, \dots, 0, v_{k+1}; 0, \dots, 0, 1), s_{k+1} - s_k \right)$$

for non-singular  $\mathbf{s}$ . Now assume we have proved the claims of the theorem for rank  $k$ , and let  $f$  be a map of class  $\mathcal{C}^{[k+1]}$ . By induction,  $f^{<k>}$  is thus of class  $\mathcal{C}^{[1]}$ , and hence the right hand side term from the formula extends to a continuous map of  $(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s})$  on the extended domain. Thus  $f^{>k+1<}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s})$  indeed admits a continuous extension onto the extended domain, given by the right hand side term. This proves that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{<k+1>}$ , and that the formula for  $f^{<k+1>}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s})$  from the claim holds. Note that, by density, this formula holds for all  $\mathbf{s}$  (including singular values). Moreover, it shows that  $f^{<k+1>}$  is imbedded into  $f^{[k+1]}$  by a continuous affine map, and hence all maps  $f^{<j>}$ , being composition of  $\mathcal{C}^{[k+1-j]}$ -maps, are again  $\mathcal{C}^{[k+1-j]}$ , by the chain rule.  $\square$

As mentioned in the introduction, we conjecture that the concepts  $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$  are equivalent; however, the proof of the converse of the statement from the theorem is likely to be considerably more complicated. For the purposes of the present work, this converse is not needed, as it is clearer and more instructive to develop the  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$ -theory independently from the  $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$ -theory, before comparing both approaches. Thus, in the following, we develop the basic simplicial theory. First of all, it is clear that  $f^{<1>}(v_0, v_1; 0, 0) = df(v_0)v_1$  is the usual first differential. We will explain now how higher coefficients like  $f^{<2>}(v_0, v_1, v_2; 0, 0, 0)$  are related to second and higher differentials.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Assume  $f : U \rightarrow W$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$ . Then the following “limited expansions” hold: for all  $\mathbf{s}$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} f(v_0 + (s_1 - s_0)v_1) &= f(v_0) + (s_1 - s_0)f^{<1>}(v_0, v_1; s_0, s_1) \\ f(v_0 + (s_2 - s_0)(v_1 + (s_2 - s_1)v_2)) &= f(v_0) + (s_2 - s_0)f^{<1>}(v_0, v_1; s_0, s_1) + \\ &\quad (s_2 - s_1)(s_2 - s_0)f^{<2>}(v_0, v_1, v_2; s_0, s_1, s_2) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ f(v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^k \prod_{\ell=0}^{j-1} (s_k - s_\ell)v_j) &= f(v_0) + \sum_{j=1}^k \prod_{\ell=0}^{j-1} (s_k - s_\ell)f^{<j>}(v_0, \dots, v_j; s_0, \dots, s_j) \end{aligned}$$

*In particular, choosing  $s_0 = s_1 = \dots = s_{k-1} = 0$  and  $s_k = t$ , we get*

$$f(v_0 + tv_1 + t^2v_2 + \dots + t^k v_k) = f(v_0) + tf^{<1>}(v_0, v_1; 0, 0) + t^2 f^{<2>}(v_0, v_1, v_2; 0, 0, 0) + \dots + t^k f^{<k>}(\mathbf{v}; 0, \dots, t),$$

which, for  $v_2 = \dots = v_k = 0$  and  $v_1 =: h$  gives the radial Taylor expansion

$$f(v_0 + th) = f(v_0) + tf^{<1>}(v_0, h; 0, 0) + t^2 f^{<2>}(v_0, h, 0; 0, 0, 0) \\ + \dots + t^k f^{<k>}(v_0, h, \dots, 0; 0, \dots, t).$$

*Proof.* The claim is proved by induction. The computation can be seen as multivariable analog of the proof of the generalized Taylor expansion from [BGN04], Th. 5.1, consisting of a repeated application of the relation  $f(x + tv) = f(x) + tf^{[1]}(x, v, t)$ . For  $k = 1$  we have

$$f(v_0 + (s_1 - s_0)v_1) = f(v_0) + (s_1 - s_0)f^{[1]}(v_0, v_1, s_1 - s_0) \\ = f(v_0) + (s_1 - s_0)f^{<1>}(v_0, v_1; s_0, s_1).$$

For  $k = 2$ , replace in the preceding equation  $s_1$  by  $s_2$  and  $v_1$  by  $v_1 + (s_2 - s_1)v_2$ ,

$$f(v_0 + (s_2 - s_0)(v_1 + (s_2 - s_1)v_2)) = f(v_0) + (s_2 - s_0)f^{<1>}(v_0, v_1 + (s_2 - s_1)v_2; s_0, s_2) \\ = f(v_0) + (s_2 - s_0)(f^{<1>}(v_0, v_1; s_0, s_1) + \\ (s_2 - s_1)f^{<2>}(v_0, v_1, v_2; s_0, s_1, s_2))$$

where for the last equality we used the recursion formula (in its form valid on the extended domain, given in Theorem 1.6). For  $k = 3$ , we replace again  $s_2$  by  $s_3$  and  $v_2$  by  $v_2 + (s_3 - s_2)v_3$ , and proceed in the same way, and so on. The remaining statements are immediate consequences.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.8.** *Assume  $f : U \rightarrow W$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$  and denote by*

$$f(x + th) = f(x) + ta_1(x, h) + t^2 a_2(x, h) + \dots + t^k a_k(x, h) + t^k R_k(x, h, t)$$

the radial Taylor expansion of  $f$  at  $x$  from [BGN04], Theorem 5.1. Then this expansion coincides with the one given in the preceding theorem, that is,

$$a_j(x, h) = f^{<j>}(x, h, 0, \dots, 0; 0, \dots, 0).$$

In particular, the maps  $h \mapsto f^{<j>}(x, h, 0, \dots, 0; 0, \dots, 0)$  are polynomial. If 2 is invertible in  $\mathbb{K}$ , then

$$f^{<2>}(v_0, v_1, v_2; 0, 0, 0) = df(v_0)v_2 + \frac{1}{2}d^2 f(v_0)(v_1, v_1),$$

and if 2 and 3 are invertible in  $\mathbb{K}$ , then

$$f^{<3>}(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3; 0, 0, 0, 0) = df(v_0)v_3 + d^2 f(v_0)(v_1, v_2) + \frac{1}{6}d^3 f(v_0)(v_1, v_1, v_1),$$

and if  $2, \dots, k$  are invertible in  $\mathbb{K}$ , then  $f^{<k>}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{0})$  is polynomial in  $\mathbf{v}$ , and

$$f^{<k>}(v_0, v_1, 0, \dots, 0; 0, \dots, 0) = \frac{1}{k!}d^k f(v_0)(v_1, \dots, v_1).$$

*Proof.* The first claim follows from uniqueness of the radial Taylor expansion (see [BGN04], Lemma 5.2). It has been shown in [BGN04], Theorem 5.6, that the coefficients  $a_j(x, h)$  are polynomial mappings in  $h$ , hence  $f^{<j>}(x, h, 0, \dots, 0; 0, \dots, 0)$  is polynomial in  $h$ . Note that, as shown in [BGN04],  $a_2(x, h) = \frac{1}{2}d^2 f(x)(h, h)$

and  $a_3(x, h) = \frac{1}{6}d^2f(x)(h, h, h)$  (if 2, resp. 6, are invertible). For the remaining statements, we use again the radial Taylor expansion for  $f(x + th)$  and let  $h = v_1 + tv_2 + t^2v_3$ ,

$$f(v_0 + t(v_1 + tv_2 + t^2v_3)) = f(v_0) + tdf(v_0)h + \frac{t^2}{2}d^2f(v_0)(h, h) + \frac{t^3}{6}d^3f(v_0)(h, h, h) + t^3R_3,$$

use multilinearity and symmetry of  $d^2f(v_0)$  and of  $d^3f(v_0)$  and compare terms according to powers of  $t$  with the limited expansion from the theorem; uniqueness of these terms leads to the two equalities concerning  $f^{<2>}$  and  $f^{<3>}$ . Clearly, this procedure can be applied at any order, leading to an explicit and polynomial formula for  $f^{<k>}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{0})$  (we leave it to the reader to work out the explicit combinatorial formula involving all higher differentials  $d^j f(v_0)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ ; it has the same structure as the formula for the highest component in  $J^k f(v_0)$  given in [Be08], Theorem 8.6).  $\square$

As mentioned above, we conjecture that the converse of Theorem 1.6 holds. It should be a major step towards the proof of the conjecture to prove, if  $f$  is assumed  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$ , that  $f^{<k>}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{0})$  is always polynomial in  $\mathbf{v}$ . In this context, note that from the expression of  $f^{<3>}$ , we should indeed be able to recover the second differential  $d^2f(v_0)$ , without a factor  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and then one has to prove that this expression is indeed bilinear; similarly for higher order differentials.

**Definition 1.9.** Assume  $f : U \rightarrow W$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$ , and let  $v_0 \in U$ . For any  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{K}^{k+1}$  we define the simplicial  $\mathbf{s}$ -extension of  $f$  by

$$\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}f : \text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}U \rightarrow W^{k+1}, \quad \mathbf{v} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} f(v_0) \\ f^{<1>}(v_0, v_1; s_0, s_1) \\ \vdots \\ f^{<k>}(v_0, \dots, v_k; s_0, \dots, s_k) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}U := \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in V^{k+1} \mid v_0 \in U, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, k : v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^i \prod_{\ell=1}^j (s_i - s_\ell) v_j \in U \right\}$$

(this set is open in  $V^{k+1}$ ). For  $\mathbf{s} = (0, \dots, 0)$ , the map

$$\text{SJ}^k f := \text{SJ}^{(0, \dots, 0)} f : U \times V^k \rightarrow W^{k+1}$$

is called the simplicial  $k$ -jet of  $f$ .

**Theorem 1.10.** (Chain rule) *The simplicial  $\mathbf{s}$ -extension is a covariant functor: if  $f : U \rightarrow W$  and  $g : U' \rightarrow W'$  are of class  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$  and such that  $f(U) \subset U'$ , then  $g \circ f$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$  and, for all  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{K}^{k+1}$ ,*

$$\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}(g \circ f) = \text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}(g) \circ \text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}(f).$$

*The identity map  $\text{id}_U$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$  and satisfies  $\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}(\text{id}_U) = \text{id}_{\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}(U)}$ . In particular, for  $\mathbf{s} = 0$ , we see that the simplicial  $k$ -jet defines a covariant functor. Moreover, these functors commute with direct products.*

*Proof.* First, we prove the claims for non-singular  $\mathbf{s}$ , i.e.,  $s_i - s_j \in \mathbb{K}^\times$ ; by density of  $\mathbb{K}^\times$  in  $\mathbb{K}$  and by continuity of terms on both sides of the equation, it holds then for all  $\mathbf{s}$ .

By induction, we show that  $\text{id}^{\langle k \rangle}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s}) = v_k$ . Indeed, for  $k = 0$  and  $k = 1$  it follows directly from the definitions, and using the recursion formula (Lemma 1.5)

$$\text{id}^{\langle k+1 \rangle}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s}) = \frac{v_k - (v_k + (s_{k+1} - s_k)v_{k+1})}{s_k - s_{k+1}} = v_{k+1},$$

whence  $\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}(\text{id}_U) = \text{id}_{\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}(U)}$ .

Next we show that, for non-singular  $\mathbf{s}$ ,  $\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}f$  is (linearly) conjugate to the direct product  $\times^{k+1}f : \times^{k+1}U \rightarrow \times^{k+1}W$ . In order to prove this, define the linear operator

$$(1.2) \quad M_{\mathbf{s}} : V^{k+1} \rightarrow W^{k+1}, \quad \mathbf{v} \mapsto (v_0 + (s_i - s_0)v_1 + \dots + \prod_{j=0}^i (s_i - s_j)v_i)_{i=0, \dots, k}$$

which may be identified with the invertible lower triangular  $(k+1) \times (k+1)$ -matrix

$$(1.3) \quad M_{\mathbf{s}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ 1 & s_1 - s_0 & & & \\ 1 & s_2 - s_0 & (s_2 - s_1)(s_2 - s_0) & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & s_k - s_0 & (s_k - s_1)(s_k - s_0) & \dots & \prod_{i < k} (s_k - s_i) \end{pmatrix}$$

Using this notation, the “limited expansion” from the preceding theorem can be restated as follows: for  $i = 0, \dots, k$ ,

$$f((M_{\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{v})_i) = (M_{\mathbf{s}}(\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}f(\mathbf{v})))_i,$$

that is,

$$(1.4) \quad (\times^{k+1}f) \circ M_{\mathbf{s}} = M_{\mathbf{s}} \circ \text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}f$$

where  $\times^{k+1}f : U^{k+1} \rightarrow W^{k+1}$  is simply the  $k+1$ -fold direct product of  $f$  with itself. The operator  $M_{\mathbf{s}}$  is invertible (since so is its “matrix”); let  $N_{\mathbf{s}} := (M_{\mathbf{s}})^{-1}$ , so that

$$(1.5) \quad N_{\mathbf{s}} \circ (\times^{k+1}f) \circ M_{\mathbf{s}} = \text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}f.$$

In other words, the operator  $\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  is linearly conjugate to the direct product functor and hence is itself a (covariant) functor: for  $f$  and  $g$  as in the theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}(g \circ f) &= N_{\mathbf{s}} \circ (\times^{k+1}(g \circ f)) \circ M_{\mathbf{s}} \\ &= N_{\mathbf{s}} \circ (\times^{k+1}g) \circ (\times^{k+1}f) \circ M_{\mathbf{s}} \\ &= N_{\mathbf{s}} \circ (\times^{k+1}g) \circ M_{\mathbf{s}} \circ N_{\mathbf{s}} \circ (\times^{k+1}f) \circ M_{\mathbf{s}} \\ &= \text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}g \circ \text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}f. \end{aligned}$$

As explained above, by continuity and density the result follows for all  $\mathbf{s}$ . Finally, the same arguments show that these functors commute with direct products.  $\square$

One should regard  $M_{\mathbf{s}}$  as a “change of variables”, which is bijective as long as  $\mathbf{s}$  is non-singular, and then serves to “trivialize” the whole situation. However, as soon as  $\mathbf{s}$  becomes singular, the change of variables is no longer bijective, leading to the non-trivial structure of differential calculus. Nevertheless, certain features of the “trivial” situation survive, among them functoriality. The promised “explicit

formula” for  $N_{\mathbf{s}}$  with non-singular  $\mathbf{s}$  can be derived easily from the explicit formula of the simplicial difference quotients: it is the linear map

$$N_{\mathbf{s}} : W^{k+1} \rightarrow V^{k+1}, \quad \mathbf{w} \mapsto (N_{\mathbf{s}}\mathbf{w})_i = \frac{w_0}{\prod_{m=1, \dots, k} (s_0 - s_m)} + \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{w_j}{\prod_{\substack{m=0, \dots, j \\ m \neq j}} (s_j - s_m)}$$

which can be identified with a lower triangular matrix of the type

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ (s_0 - s_1)^{-1} & & & & & \\ ((s_0 - s_1)(s_0 - s_2))^{-1} & & & & & \\ \dots & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

Of course, one may check by a direct computation that the inverse matrix of  $M_{\mathbf{s}}$  is indeed given by such a formula. Finally, we point out that, in the situation of Corollary 1.8, for  $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{0}$ , the Chain Rule can be written out explicitly and then corresponds to the “Faa de Bruno formula” (cf. [Be08], 8.7).

**Corollary 1.11.** *A map  $f : U \rightarrow W$  is of class  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$  if and only if, for  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , there exist continuous maps  $f^{<j>}$  such that the “limited expansion” from Theorem 1.7 holds on the extended domain.*

*Proof.* One direction has been proved in Theorem 1.7. As to the converse, the arguments given above show that the maps  $f^{<j>}$  are necessarily given by Equation (1.5), whence are indeed continuous extensions of the simplicial difference quotient maps.  $\square$

## 2. THE RING THEORETIC POINT OF VIEW

In this chapter we are going to explain that the functors arising in higher order difference- and differential calculus can all be understood as certain *functors of scalar extension*. The basic remark is very simple: whenever we have a covariant functor  $F$  commuting with direct products, applying  $F$  to the base ring  $\mathbb{K}$  yields a new ring  $F\mathbb{K}$ , and in the given context,  $F$  can then be interpreted as the functor of scalar extension by  $F\mathbb{K}$ . Differential calculus corresponds to a “contraction” of the ring  $F$ : as the parameter  $\mathbf{s}$  becomes singular, the ring  $F = F_{\mathbf{s}}$  tends to a ring  $F_0$  that is less rigid, hence allows for more symmetries and a richer invariant theory.

**2.1. First order difference calculus and quadratic scalar extension.** Recall from Definition 1.1 the definition of the functor  $\hat{T}^{(t)}$ , which is equivalent to the functor  $\text{SJ}^{(0,t)}$  from Definition 1.9.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(\mathbb{K}, a, m)$  be the base ring with addition map  $a : \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  and product map  $m : \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ , and let  $F = \hat{T}^{(t)}$  be the extended tangent functor with fixed parameter  $t \in \mathbb{K}$ . Then  $(F\mathbb{K}, Fa, Fm)$  is again a ring, and it is isomorphic to the truncated polynomial ring  $\mathbb{K}[X]/(X^2 - tX)$ .*

*Proof.* As a  $\mathbb{K}$ -module,  $F\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$ . For invertible  $t$ , we get  $\hat{m}^{(t)}$  by writing the difference quotient

$$(x_0, x_1) \cdot (y_0, y_1) = \left( x_0 y_0, \frac{(x_0 + tx_1)(y_0 + ty_1) - x_0 y_0}{t} \right)$$

$$= (x_0y_0, x_0y_1 + x_1y_0 + tx_1y_1).$$

In a similar way, we see that the sum in this ring is just the usual sum in  $\mathbb{K}^2$ . Hence as a ring, we get  $\mathbb{K} \oplus \omega\mathbb{K}$  with relation  $\omega^2 = t\omega$ . It can also be described as the truncated polynomial ring  $\mathbb{K}[X]/(X^2 - tX)$ . Again by density, these statements remain true for non-invertible scalars  $t$ , and in particular for  $t = 0$  we obtain the *tangent ring*  $T\mathbb{K}$ , which is nothing but the ring of *dual numbers over*  $\mathbb{K}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}[X]/(X^2) = \mathbb{K} \oplus \varepsilon\mathbb{K}$ ,  $\varepsilon^2 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Assume  $f : U \rightarrow W$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{[2]}$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then  $\hat{T}^{(t)}f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{[1]}$  over the ring  $\mathbb{K}[X]/(X^2 - tX)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof from the special case  $t = 0$  ([Be08], Theorem 6.3) can be applied word by word; it uses only the fact that  $\hat{T}^{(t)}$  is a covariant functor commuting with direct products and with diagonal mappings.  $\square$

At first order, cubic and simplicial calculus coincide, and hence the following is an equivalent version of the preceding theorem:

**Theorem 2.3.** *Assume  $f : U \rightarrow W$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{<2>}$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ . Then  $\text{SJ}^{(s_0, s_1)}f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{<1>}$  over the ring  $\mathbb{K}[X]/(X^2 - (s_1 - s_0)X)$ .*

We add a few remarks on the structure of the ring  $\mathbb{K}_t := \mathbb{K}[X]/(X^2 - tX)$ . There is a well-defined projection

$$\pi : \mathbb{K}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad [P(X)] \mapsto P(0)$$

which splits via the natural map  $\mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_t$ ,  $r \mapsto [r]$  (inclusion of constant polynomials). The kernel of the projection is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K}$  with product  $(a, b) \mapsto atb$ ; if  $t$  is invertible, the kernel is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K}$  as a ring, and then  $\mathbb{K}_t$  is isomorphic to the direct product of rings  $\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$ . If  $t$  is nilpotent, the kernel is a nilpotent  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra, and if  $t = 0$ , the kernel carries the zero product.

Describing an element  $z = a + \omega b \in \mathbb{K}_t$  by the  $2 \times 2$ -matrix of its left translation, we are led to define  $\text{tr}(z) := 2a + tb$  and  $\det(z) := a^2 + tab$  and  $\bar{z} := a + bt - \omega b$ . Then every  $z$  satisfies the relation  $z^2 + \text{tr}(z)z + \det(z) = 0$ , and  $z$  is invertible iff  $\det(z)$  is invertible in  $\mathbb{K}$ , in which case  $z^{-1} = \frac{\bar{z}}{\bar{z}z} = \frac{\bar{z}}{\det(z)}$ .

The automorphism group  $\text{Aut}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathbb{K}_t)$  becomes richer as  $t$  becomes singular. If  $t$  is invertible,  $\mathbb{K}_t$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$ , and the only non-trivial  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear automorphism is the *exchange automorphism* exchanging both copies. Let us describe this automorphism in a more geometric way, that shows how this automorphism survives also for singular  $t$ . In general, there are automorphisms arising from the affine group of  $\mathbb{K}$  which acts on the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ ; such automorphisms define automorphisms of  $\mathbb{K}_t$  if they preserve the ideal  $(X^2 - tX)$  by which we take the quotient; and this ideal is preserved if the affine map of  $\mathbb{K}$  preserves the set of zeroes  $\{0, t\}$  of the ideal. Thus, if  $t$  is invertible, the exchange automorphism is induced by the affine map exchanging the two roots (acting on polynomials by  $[a + bX] \mapsto [a + b(t - X)]$ , hence this is also the map  $z \mapsto \bar{z}$  described above); for  $t = 0$ , there are more such automorphisms since all dilations preserve the zero set  $\{0\}$ , and hence we have a one-parameter family of automorphisms, given by  $[P(X)] \mapsto [P(rX)]$  with  $r \in \mathbb{K}^\times$ .

**2.2. Higher order cubic calculus and iterated scalar extensions.** In differential geometry, the iterated tangent functors  $T^k = T \circ \dots \circ T$  play an important role (see [Be08], [Wh82]). In a similar way, we may compose the scalar extension functors from the preceding section: fixing  $t' = s + s'X_1 \in \mathbb{K}' := \mathbb{K}_t = \mathbb{K}[X_1]/(X_1^2 - tX_1)$ , we consider the iterated scalar extension

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{A} &:= \mathbb{K}'_{t'} = (\mathbb{K}_t)_{t'} = (\mathbb{K}[X_1]/(X_1^2 - tX_1))[X_2]/(X_2^2 - s'X_1X_2 - sX_2) \\ &= \mathbb{K}[X_1, X_2]/((X_1^2 - tX_1), (X_2^2 - s'X_1X_2 - sX_2)) \end{aligned}$$

and applying twice Theorem 2.2, the second order functor  $T^{(t, s, s')}$  is seen to be the scalar extension functor from  $\mathbb{K}$  to  $\mathbb{A}$ . More systematically, we now construct a sequence  $\mathbb{A}_0, \mathbb{A}_1, \dots$  of  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebras and of scalar extension functors, extending the base ring from  $\mathbb{K}$  to  $\mathbb{A}_k$ . At each step we have a quadratic ring extension, so that the dimension over  $\mathbb{K}$  doubles, that is, there will be a canonical identification  $\mathbb{A}_k = \mathbb{K}^{2^k}$  as  $\mathbb{K}$ -module.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $I = \{1, \dots, n\}$  be the standard  $n$ -element set and fix a family  $\mathbf{t} := (t_J)_{J \subset I}$  of elements  $t_J \in \mathbb{K}$ . If  $J = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ , then instead of  $t_J$  we write also  $t_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ , and we write  $X_J := X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k}$  for a product of indeterminates. Let  $\mathbb{A}_k := \mathbb{A}_k^{(\mathbf{t})}$  be the  $\mathbb{K}$ -algebra

$$\mathbb{A}_k := \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_k]/R_k$$

where  $R_k = R_k^{(\mathbf{t})}$  is the ideal generated by the polynomials (depending on  $\mathbf{t}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(X_1, \dots, X_k) &= X_1^2 - t_1 X_1 \\ P_2(X_1, \dots, X_k) &= X_2^2 - t_2 X_2 - t_{1,2} X_1 X_2 \\ P_3(X_1, \dots, X_k) &= X_3^2 - t_3 X_3 - t_{1,3} X_1 X_3 - t_{2,3} X_2 X_3 - t_{1,2,3} X_1 X_2 X_3 \\ &\vdots \\ P_k(X_1, \dots, X_k) &= X_k^2 - \sum_{J \subset \{1, \dots, k-1\}} t_{J \cup \{k\}} X_J X_k. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.5.** The algebra  $\mathbb{A}_k$  is a quadratic ring extension of  $\mathbb{A}_{k-1}$ . More precisely,  $\mathbb{A}_k$  is a free  $\mathbb{K}$ -module having dimension  $2^k$ , with canonical basis the classes of the polynomials  $X_J$  with  $J \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$ , and as a ring,

$$\mathbb{A}_k = \mathbb{A}_{k-1}[X_k]/(X_k^2 - \mathbf{t}' \cdot X_k)$$

where  $\mathbf{t}' := (t_J)_{J \subset \{1, \dots, k\}, k \in J}$  is identified with an element of  $\mathbb{A}_{k-1} = \mathbb{K}^{2^{k-1}}$  by identifying a set  $J \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $k \in J$  with the set  $J \setminus \{k\}$ .

*Proof.* For  $k = 1$  the claim is obviously true. For general  $k$ , the lemma translates merely the fact that, under the inclusion  $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}] \subset \mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_k]$ , the ideal  $R_k$  is generated by  $R_{k-1}$  together with the polynomial  $P_k$ , so that

$$\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_k]/R_k = (\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}]/R_{k-1})/(P_k),$$

and  $P_k$  is a quadratic polynomial of  $X_k$  if all variables except the last are frozen.  $\square$

Combinatorial formulas for the ‘‘structure constants’’  $\Gamma_L^{JK}(\mathbf{t}) \in \mathbb{K}$ , defined by

$$X_J \cdot X_K \equiv \sum_{L \subset \{1, \dots, k\}} \Gamma_L^{JK}(\mathbf{t}) X_L,$$



are fairly complicated. It is quite easy to see that  $\Gamma_L^{JK}(\mathbf{t}) = 0$  unless  $(J \cup K) \subset L \subset \{1, \dots, \max(J, K)\}$ , and that, if  $J \cap K = \emptyset$ , then  $X_J \cdot X_K = X_{J \cup K}$ . The general case is illustrated by relations of the kind

$$X_2 \cdot X_{\{1,2\}} = X_1 X_2^2 \equiv X_1(t_2 X_2 + t_{1,2} X_1 X_2) = (t_2 + t_1 t_{1,2}) X_{\{1,2\}},$$

$$X_2 \cdot X_{\{2,3\}} = X_2^2 X_3 \equiv (t_2 X_2 + t_{1,2} X_1 X_2) X_3 = t_2 X_{\{2,3\}} + t_2 t_{1,2} X_{\{1,2,3\}}.$$

Of course, for special choices of  $\mathbf{t}$  the structure may become much simpler; this is in particular the case for  $\mathbf{t} = 0$ , where we get the higher order tangent ring  $T^k \mathbb{K}$ . Similar remarks hold concerning inversion in  $\mathbb{A}_k$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Assume  $f : U \rightarrow W$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{[k+m]}$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  and let  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{K}^{2^k-1}$ . Then  $T^{(\mathbf{t})} f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{[m]}$  over the algebra  $\mathbb{A}_k^{(\mathbf{t})}$ .*

*Proof.* The result follows by induction from Theorem 2.2 since, by the lemma, the inductive definition of the rings  $\mathbb{A}_k$  corresponds to the inductive definition of the functors  $T^{(\mathbf{t})}$ .  $\square$

Let us add some remarks on the structure of the rings  $\mathbb{A}_k$ , and in particular on their automorphisms. For simplicity, let us consider the case  $k = 2$ . There are surjective ring homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{A}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad P(X_1, X_2) \mapsto P(X_1, 0) \mapsto P(0, 0)$$

which admit sections. Note that  $P(X_1, X_2) \mapsto P(0, X_2)$  does not pass to a well-defined homomorphism on  $\mathbb{A}_2$ ; however, this is the case if  $t_{1,2} = 0$  (in this case, the rings  $\mathbb{A}^{(t_1, t_2, 0)}$  and  $\mathbb{A}^{(t_2, t_1, 0)}$  are isomorphic).

As in the first order case, the automorphism group becomes richer as  $\mathbf{t}$  tends to singular values: for non-singular  $\mathbf{t}$ , iterating the ring isomorphism  $\mathbb{A}_1 \cong \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K}$ , we have  $\mathbb{A}_2 \cong \mathbb{K}^4$ , and hence the permutation group  $\Sigma_4$  acts by automorphisms of  $\mathbb{A}_2$ . Two of these automorphisms are the two commuting exchange automorphisms, coming in each step from the quadratic scalar extension  $\mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{A}_1 \subset \mathbb{A}_2$ . The others seem not to have a simple geometric description.

On the other hand, “geometric” automorphisms come from the affine group of  $\mathbb{K}^2$ , acting on  $\mathbb{K}[X_1, X_2]$  in the usual way: namely, if  $\mathbb{K}$  has no zero-divisors, the equations  $X_1(X_1 - t_1) = 0$ ,  $X_2(X_2 - t_{1,2}X_1 - t_2) = 0$  define two pairs of lines forming a trapezoid in  $\mathbb{K}^2$ . An affine transformation of  $\mathbb{K}^2$  preserving this figure gives rise to an automorphism of  $\mathbb{A}_2$ . In the generic case, there is exactly one non-trivial such map (it is of order 2).

If  $t_{1,2} = 0$  and  $t_1 = t_2$ , then the trapezoid becomes a square, and we obviously have a new symmetry exchanging both axes (the “flip”): this symmetry is precisely the one giving rise to Schwarz’ lemma (see its proof in [BGN04], Lemma 4.6). If moreover  $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ , then we are in the case of the ring  $TT\mathbb{K}$ , and the figure degenerates to two perpendicular lines – in particular, this figure is preserved by all  $2 \times 2$ -diagonal matrices, and by their composition with the flip (if  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , this gives the full description of the automorphism group, see [KMS93], p. 320).

If  $t_{1,2} = 1$  and  $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ , the figure degenerates to three concurrent lines, and all multiples of the identity on  $\mathbb{K}^2$  give rise to endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{A}_2$ .

### 2.3. Simplicial calculus and simplicial ring extensions.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Fix  $\mathbf{s} = (s_0, \dots, s_k) \in \mathbb{K}^{k+1}$  and assume  $s_0 = 0$  (otherwise replace  $s_i$  by  $s_i - s_0$ ). Then the simplicial  $\mathbf{s}$ -extension functor from Theorem 1.10 is the functor of scalar extension from  $\mathbb{K}$  to the ring*

$$\mathbb{B}_k := \mathbb{B}_k^{\mathbf{s}} := \mathbb{K}[X]/(X(X - s_1)) \dots (X - s_k),$$

that is, if  $f : U \rightarrow W$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{<k+m>}$  over  $\mathbb{K}$ , then  $\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{<m>}$  over  $\mathbb{B}_k$ . In particular, if  $s_i = 0$  for all  $i$ , we get the jet functor of scalar extension from  $\mathbb{K}$  to  $\mathbb{K}[X]/(X^{k+1})$ .

*Proof.* The proof from Theorem 2.2 carries over to the present situation, *mutatis mutandis*: let  $F$  be a functor of the type in question (covariant, preserving direct products and diagonal imbeddings). Recall from Corollary 1.11 that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{<m>}$  if and only if there exists a continuous map  $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{t}) \mapsto g_{\mathbf{t}}(\mathbf{v})$  such that

$$\times^{m+1} f \circ M_{\mathbf{t}} = M_{\mathbf{t}} \circ g_{\mathbf{t}}.$$

If  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{<m+k>}$ , then  $g$  is actually of class  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$  and hence we can apply the functor  $F = \text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  to this relation; we obtain a relation of the same kind, where  $f, g_{\mathbf{t}}$  are replaced by  $Ff, Fg_{\mathbf{t}}$ , and  $\mathbb{K}$  by  $F\mathbb{K}$ , and  $V, W$  by their scalar extensions  $V_{F\mathbb{K}}, W_{F\mathbb{K}}$ . This proves that  $Ff$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{<m>}$  over  $F\mathbb{K}$ . It remains to determine the ring  $F\mathbb{K}$ . This is the content of the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.8.** *The rings  $\mathbb{B}_k^{\mathbf{s}}$  and  $\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}\mathbb{K}$  are canonically isomorphic. More precisely, if  $b_0, \dots, b_k$  denotes the standard basis in  $\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}^{k+1}$  and  $c_0, \dots, c_k$  the basis of  $\mathbb{B}_k$  given by (the classes of) the polynomials*

$$c_j(X) = X(X - s_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (X - s_j),$$

then  $\mathbb{B}_k^{\mathbf{s}} \rightarrow \text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}\mathbb{K}$ ,  $c_j \mapsto b_j$  is a ring isomorphism. In particular, for  $\mathbf{s} = 0$ , the standard bases of these rings correspond to each other.

*Proof of the Lemma.* Once again it suffices to prove the claim for non-singular  $\mathbf{s}$ . Indeed, if we have shown that the  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear bijection in question is a ring isomorphism for non-singular  $\mathbf{s}$  then, since the products on both sides depend continuously on  $\mathbf{s}$ , by density of the non-singular elements this bijection will be a ring isomorphism for all  $\mathbf{s}$ .

For non-singular  $\mathbf{s}$ , since  $X - s_i$  and  $X - s_j$  are then coprime for  $i \neq j$ , by the Chinese Remainder Theorem,  $\mathbb{B}_k^{\mathbf{s}}$  is uniquely isomorphic to the direct product of rings  $\prod_{i=0}^k \mathbb{K}[X]/(X - s_i) = \mathbb{K}^{k+1}$ . Thus there is a unique  $\mathbb{K}$ -basis  $e_0, \dots, e_k$  of  $\mathbb{B}_k^{\mathbf{s}}$  such that  $e_i \cdot e_j = \delta_{ij}e_i$ . In fact,  $e_i$  is the class of the polynomial  $E_i(X)$  of degree  $k$  satisfying

$$\forall j = 0, \dots, k : \quad E_i(s_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

These polynomials are determined as follows: let  $A := A_{\mathbf{s}} := (a_{ij})_{i,j=0,\dots,k}$  be the base change matrix, defined by  $c_j = \sum_{i=0}^k a_{ij}e_i$ . It follows that

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{n=0}^k a_{jn}E_n(s_i) = c_j(s_i) = s_i(s_i - s_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (s_i - s_j).$$

Note that these are exactly the coefficients of the matrix  $M_{\mathbf{s}}$  given by Equations (1.2), resp. (1.3), whence  $A_{\mathbf{s}} = M_{\mathbf{s}}$ .

On the other hand, as seen in the proof of Theorem 1.10, the simplicial  $\mathbf{s}$ -extension of the product map  $m : \mathbb{K} \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  is conjugate to a direct product  $\times^{k+1}m$  via

$$\mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}m = N_{\mathbf{s}} \circ \times^{k+1}m \circ M_{\mathbf{s}}$$

where  $N_{\mathbf{s}} = (M_{\mathbf{s}})^{-1}$ . Therefore the new base  $f_j := N_{\mathbf{s}}(b_j)$  in  $\mathbb{K}^{k+1} = \mathrm{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}\mathbb{K}$  is characterized by the idempotent relations  $f_j \cdot f_i = \delta_{ij}f_j$ . Since  $A_{\mathbf{s}} = M_{\mathbf{s}}$ , the bases  $e_j$  and  $f_j$  correspond to each other under the bijection from the lemma, and they satisfy the same multiplication table. This proves the lemma and the theorem for non-singular  $\mathbf{s}$  and hence for all  $\mathbf{s}$ .  $\square$

We add a few remarks on the structure of the ring: there are projections  $\mathbb{B}_{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_k$ , hence by composition  $\mathbb{B}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_j$  for  $j \leq k$ , but these projections do not have a section, except for  $j = 0$ . As to the automorphism group, if  $\mathbf{s}$  is non-singular, there is of course an action of the symmetric group on  $\mathbb{B}_k \cong \mathbb{K}^{k+1}$ , permuting the roots  $s_i$ . This action degenerates for singular  $\mathbf{s}$ , and for  $\mathbf{s} = 0$  survives by a sign: namely, for  $\mathbf{s} = 0$ , every dilation of  $\mathbb{K}$  acts on the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{K}[X]$ , and this action descends to  $\mathbb{B}_k$ .

**2.4. Imbedding of simplicial ring extensions into cubic ones.** Recall that, if  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$ , then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$ , and the  $\mathbf{s}$ -extended simplicial divided differences can be imbedded into the cubic  $\mathbf{t}$ -extension (Theorem 1.6). This means that, on the ring level, the rings  $\mathbb{B}_k^{(\mathbf{s})}$  can be imbedded into the algebras  $\mathbb{A}_k^{(\mathbf{t})}$ . In the following, we prove a purely algebraic version of this result:

**Theorem 2.9.** *Fix  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{K}^{k+1}$ , and assume that  $s_0 = 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{K}^{2^{k-1}}$  be such that for all  $i = 0, \dots, k$ ,*

$$t_{\{i\}} = t_i = s_{k-i} - s_{k-i-1}, \quad t_{\{i,i+1\}} = t_{i,i+1} = 1, \quad t_J = 0 \text{ else.}$$

*Then the subring  $\langle X_k \rangle$  of  $\mathbb{A}_k^{(\mathbf{t})}$  generated by the class of the polynomial  $X_k$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{B}_k^{\mathbf{s}}$ .*

*Proof.* By choice of  $\mathbf{t}$ ,  $\mathbb{A}_k^{\mathbf{t}}$  is the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_k]$ , quotiented by the relations

$$X_1^2 \equiv t_1 X_1, \quad \forall j = 2, \dots, k : X_j^2 \equiv X_{j-1} X_j + t_j X_j.$$

Let  $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{A}_k^{\mathbf{t}}$  be the  $\mathbb{K}$ -submodule

$$\mathbb{B} := \mathbb{K} \oplus \mathbb{K}X_k \oplus \mathbb{K}X_k X_{k-1} \oplus \mathbb{K}X_k X_{k-1} X_{k-2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{K}X_k X_{k-1} \cdots X_1.$$

We claim that  $\langle X_k \rangle = \mathbb{B}$ . Indeed, by an easy induction it follows from the relations written above that, for all  $j, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist constants  $c_1, \dots, c_\ell \in \mathbb{K}$  such that

$$X_j^\ell \equiv X_j X_{j-1} \cdots X_{j-\ell+1} + c_1 X_j X_{j-1} \cdots X_{j-\ell} + \dots + c_{\ell-1} X_j,$$

whence  $X_k^\ell \in \mathbb{B}$ , whence  $\langle X_k \rangle \subset \mathbb{B}$ . On the other hand,  $X_k X_{k-1} \equiv X_k^2 - t_k X_k$  belongs to  $\langle X_k \rangle$ , hence also  $X_k X_{k-1} X_{k-2} \equiv X_k^3 - c_1 X_k X_{k-1} - c_2 X_k$  belongs to  $\langle X_k \rangle$ , and so on, whence the other inclusion and hence  $\langle X_k \rangle = \mathbb{B}$ .

Let  $(P)$  be the kernel of the surjective homomorphism  $\phi : \mathbb{K}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$  sending  $X$  to  $X_k$ , so that  $\mathbb{B} \cong \mathbb{K}[X]/(P)$ . Now we show that this establishes an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{B}$  with  $\mathbb{B}_k^{\mathbf{s}}$ . Again, by density, it will suffice to prove this for non-singular  $\mathbf{s}$ , since the products on both sides depend continuously on  $\mathbf{s}$ . For reasons of dimension,  $P$

is a polynomial of degree  $k$ . We show by induction: *the polynomial  $P$  has simple roots in  $\mathbb{K}$ , equal to  $0, s_1, \dots, s_k$ , hence is proportional to  $X(X - s_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (X - s_k)$ .* Indeed, for  $k = 1$  we have  $X_1(X_1 - t_1) = 0$ , hence  $0$  and  $t_1$  are roots of  $P$ , and they are simple since  $P$  is of degree two and  $t_1$  is invertible. Assume the claim proved at rank  $k - 1$ , i.e.,

$$X_{k-1}(X_{k-1} - s_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (X_{k-1} - s_{k-1}) \equiv 0.$$

We multiply by  $X_k$ , and note that (using the defining relations of  $\mathbb{A}_k^{\mathbf{t}}$ )

$$X_k(X_{k-1} - s_j) \equiv X_k^2 - t_k X_k - s_j X_k = (X_k - (t_k + s_j))X_k,$$

so that we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\equiv X_k X_{k-1} (X_{k-1} - s_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (X_{k-1} - s_{k-1}) \\ &\equiv (X_k - t_k) X_k (X_{k-1} - s_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (X_{k-1} - s_{k-1}) \\ &\equiv \dots \\ &\equiv (X_k - t_k) (X_k - (t_k + s_1)) \cdot \dots \cdot (X_k - (t_k + s_{k-1})) X_k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, at rank  $k$ ,  $P$  has necessarily as roots  $0, t_k, t_k + s_1, \dots, t_k + s_{k-1}$ .  $\square$

The imbedding  $\mathbb{B}_k^{(\mathbf{s})} \subset \mathbb{A}_k^{(\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{s}))}$  just constructed coincides in fact with the imbedding of  $f^{<k>}$  into  $f^{[k]}$  constructed in Theorem 1.6, which could have been used to give another (less algebraic) proof of the preceding result.

### 3. THE WEIL FUNCTORS

We define *manifolds of class  $\mathcal{C}^{[k]}$*  as in [BGN04] or in [Be08], Section 2. The definition of *manifolds of class  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$*  follows the same pattern (using the  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$ -chain rule), and may be left to the reader. Then we ask which of the scalar extension functors  $F = \hat{T}^{(\mathbf{t})}$ , resp.  $F = \text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ , give rise to a bundle  $FM$  over a manifold  $M$ , to be interpreted as scalar extension of  $M$  by the ring  $F\mathbb{K}$ . In fact, the construction of the tangent bundle (as described in [Be08], Section 3) carries over word by word, replacing  $T$  by  $F$ , provided the domains of definition are direct products, i.e., if the functor  $F$  is *local* in the following sense:

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $F$  be one of the functors  $\hat{T}^{(\mathbf{t})}$ , resp.  $\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}$ , as above. We say that  $F$  is local if it is support-decreasing in the sense that, for an open set  $U \subset V$ ,*

$$FU = U \times V^{2^k - 1}, \quad \text{respectively} \quad FU = U \times V^k.$$

Recall the explicit formula for  $f^{[2]}$  given in Section 1.1, and the remark that it defines a “local operator” even if  $t_{12}$  is not zero. Here is the generalization of this fact:

**Theorem 3.2.** *i) The functor  $\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  is local for  $\mathbf{s} = 0$ . Thus for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a functor  $\text{SJ}^k = \text{SJ}^{(0, \dots, 0)}$  in the category of  $\mathcal{C}^{<\infty>}$ -manifolds, assigning to  $M$  its  $k$ -th order simplicial jet bundle  $\text{SJ}^k M$ .*

*ii) The functor  $\hat{T}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  is local if  $t_J = 0$  whenever  $J$  is of cardinality one (i.e., if  $t_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ). Thus for all such  $\mathbf{t}$  there exist functors  $\hat{T}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  in the category of  $\mathcal{C}^{[\infty]}$ -manifolds, assigning to  $M$  a  $k$ -th order tangent bundle of type  $\mathbf{t}$ . Moreover, if  $t_{i, i+1} = 1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$  and  $t_J = 0$  else, then the bundles  $\text{SJ}^k M$  can be imbedded into  $T^{(\mathbf{t})} M$ .*

*Proof.* i) Recall the definition of  $\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}U$  (Definition 1.9). If  $\mathbf{s} = 0$ , it is obvious that only the condition  $v_0 \in U$  remains, and all other  $v_i$  can be chosen arbitrarily, hence the functor  $\text{SJ}^{(0)}$  is local. As said above, this suffices to construct the bundle  $\text{SJ}^{(0, \dots, 0)}M$  over  $M$ ; we recall briefly the construction as described in [Be08], Section 3: let  $\mathcal{A} = (\phi_i, U_i)_{i \in I}$  be an atlas of  $M$ , where  $I$  is some index set and  $\phi_i : M \supset U_i \rightarrow V$ , where  $V$  is a topological  $\mathbb{K}$ -module (the model space of  $M$ ). On

$$S := \{(i, x) \in I \times V \mid x \in \phi_i(U_i)\}$$

define an equivalence relation  $(i, x) \sim (j, y)$  iff  $\phi_i^{-1}(x) = \phi_j^{-1}(y)$  iff  $\phi_{ji}(x) = y$ . Then  $M = S / \sim$  as a set. Given a local functor  $F$  as above, with  $FU = U \times V^k$ , we let  $FS := S \times V^k \subset I \times V^{k+1}$  and define an equivalence relation by

$$(i, \mathbf{v}) \sim (j, \mathbf{v}') \quad \text{iff} \quad (F\phi)_{ij}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v}'.$$

By functoriality, this is indeed an equivalence relation, and by locality this defines an atlas on  $FM := FS / \sim$  (same proof as in loc. cit.). In particular, the projection  $FS \rightarrow S$  induces a smooth projection  $FM \rightarrow M$ , and every smooth map  $f : M \rightarrow N$  induces a bundle map  $Ff : FM \rightarrow FN$ .

ii) Now consider the functor  $F := \hat{T}^{(\mathbf{t})}$  and assume that  $t_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . We prove by induction that  $FU = U \times V^{2^k-1}$ . For  $k = 1$  and  $t_1 = 0$ ,

$$FU = \{(x, v) \mid x \in U, v \in V, x + t_1 v \in U\} = U \times V$$

(and this case corresponds to the tangent bundle). For the induction step, we use the recursion for  $\mathbb{A}_k$  from Lemma 2.5, which gives the following recursion for the domains (notation as in the lemma, and write  $T_j U$  for  $T^{(\mathbf{t})}U$  if  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{K}^{2^j-1}$ )

$$T_k U = \{(x, w) \in T_{k-1} U \times V^{2^k-1} \mid x + \mathbf{t}' \cdot w \in T_{k-1} U\}$$

where the product  $\mathbf{t}' \cdot w$  is the action of the ring  $\mathbb{A}_{k-1}$  on the scalar extension  $V_{\mathbb{A}_{k-1}} = V^{2^k-1}$ . By induction,  $T_{k-1} U = U \times V^{2^{k-1}-1}$ . Therefore, writing out the  $2^k-1$  components of the condition  $x + \mathbf{t}' \cdot w \in T_{k-1} U$ , only the first component may add a non-trivial condition (all other conditions mean that some vector lies in  $V$ , which is always true). But this first condition is of the form  $(\mathbf{t}')_1 w_1 \in U$ , where  $(\mathbf{t}')_1 = t_k = 0$  by assumption, and hence also is satisfied for all  $w_1 \in V$ , hence any  $w \in V^{2^k-1}$  satisfies the condition. Moreover, again by induction, any  $x \in U \times V^{2^{k-1}-1}$  belongs to  $T_{k-1} U$ ; summing up,  $T_k U = U \times V^{2^k-1}$ .

The construction of the bundles is now as above in Part i), and the imbedding of bundles follows from the corresponding imbedding of functors.  $\square$

Comparing the functors  $\text{SJ}^{(\mathbf{s})}$  and  $\hat{T}^{(\mathbf{t})}$ , the “more efficient” organization of the simplicial functor corresponds to the fact it has just one “local contraction”, whereas the cubic functor admits many of them. This may lead to the conjecture that the generalized divided differences also give the good definition of a “pointwise” concept of differentiability: one may say that a map  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^{<k>}$  at a point  $p \in U$  if all limits  $\lim_{\mathbf{s} \rightarrow 0, \mathbf{v} \rightarrow (p, 0, \dots, 0)} f^{>j<}(\mathbf{v}; \mathbf{s})$  exist. The proofs of the chain rule and of the Taylor expansion then go through essentially without any changes. On the cubic level, similar “pointwise” concepts can be defined, but appear to be less natural since

the limit condition must be formulated differently (for  $t_i \rightarrow 0$  the limits shall exist while the other components of  $\mathfrak{t}$  may remain arbitrary).

We add some final Remarks. As said in the introduction, it is an important topic for further work to adapt the approach to differential geometry and Lie theory over general base rings from [Be08] to this new simplicial framework. As long as it is not clarified whether the converse of Theorem 1.6 holds, one should still work in the  $\mathcal{C}^{[\infty]}$ -category since in this case we already know that the simplicial jet bundles  $\text{SJ}^k M$  over  $M$  will be *polynomial bundles* (i.e., the transition functions are polynomial in fibers); for the  $\mathcal{C}^{<\infty>}$ -category, this would follow as a corollary from the conjectured converse of Theorem 1.6. Although we did not use partial derivatives in an explicit way, our interpretation of jet bundles in [Be08] followed common definitions; over  $\mathbb{R}$ , or over any ring of characteristic zero, higher order tangent bundles  $T^k M$  or its symmetric part  $J^k M$  are indeed equivalent objects, so that one may work with either of them. However, the difference between them is that the bundle projections  $T^k M \rightarrow T^j M$  have canonical sections, whereas the bundles  $J^k M \rightarrow J^j M$  have not. An intrinsic, or “simplicial”, theory of the bundles  $J^k M$  should not use the sections of the ambient  $T^k M$ ; such a theory would then automatically be valid for the bundles  $\text{SJ}^k M$ , and hence be fully valid also in positive characteristic. Analogous remarks apply to Lie theory, and in particular to the relation between Lie groups and Lie algebras. We will discuss such topics in subsequent work.

#### REFERENCES

- [Be08] Bertram, W., *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces over General Base Fields and Rings*, Memoirs of the AMS, volume **192**, number 900 (2008). arXiv: math.DG/0502168
- [Be08b] Bertram, W., Difference Problems and Differential Problems. In : Contemporary Geometry and Topology and Related Topics, p. 73 - 86 (Proceedings Eighth International Workshop on Differential Geometry and Its Applications, Cluj University Press 2008) arxiv: math.GM/0712.0321
- [BGN04] Bertram, W., H. Gloeckner and K.-H. Neeb, “Differential Calculus over general base fields and rings”, *Expo. Math.* 22 (2004), 213 –282.
- [KMS93] Kolar, I., P. Michor and J. Slovák, *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer 1993
- [K08] Kolar, I., “Weil bundles as generalized jet spaces”, pp. 625-664 in: *Handbook of Global Analysis*, ed. D. Krupka and D. Saunders, Elsevier 2008
- [KrM97] Kriegl, A., and P. W. Michor, *The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis*, AMS, Providence, 1997.
- [Nel88] Nel, L.D., “Categorical differential calculus for infinite dimensional spaces”, *Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques* XXIX-4 (1988), 257–286
- [Ro00] Robert, A., *A course in p-adic analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York 2000
- [Sch84] Schikhof, W., *Ultrametric Calculus*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1984.
- [Wh82] White, E.J., *The method of iterated tangents with applications in local Riemannian geometry*. Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, vol. 13, Pitman Publishing Incorporated, Marshfield, Mass., 1982

INSTITUT ÉLIE CARTAN NANCY, NANCY-UNIVERSITÉ, CNRS, INRIA,, BOULEVARD DES AIGUILLETES, B.P. 239,, F-54506 VANDŒUVRE-LÈS-NANCY, FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* `bertram@iecn.u-nancy.fr`