



**Impacts of agglomeration on call centre operations:
evidence from North West England**

Journal:	<i>Regional Studies</i>
Manuscript ID:	CRES-2006-0196.R2
Manuscript Type:	Main Section
JEL codes:	R11 - Regional Economic Activity: Growth, Development, and Changes < R1 - General Regional Economics < R - Urban, Rural, and Regional Economics, R12 - Size and Spatial Distributions of Regional Economic Activity < R1 - General Regional Economics < R - Urban, Rural, and Regional Economics
Keywords:	Call centres, Agglomeration, Location factors, North-West England, Local labour markets, Service industries

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4 **IMPACTS OF AGGLOMERATION ON CALL CENTRE OPERATIONS: EVIDENCE FROM**
5 **NORTH WEST ENGLAND¹.**
6

7 Frank Peck and Ignazio Cabras

8
9 University of Central Lancashire
10 Paternoster Row Carlisle Carlisle CA3 8TB
11 United Kingdom
12 T: 01772 895241
13

14 frank.peck@cumbria.ac.uk

15
16 ignazio.cabras@cumbria.ac.uk
17

18
19 First received: August 2006
20

21
22 Accepted: October 2007
23
24
25

26 **ABSTRACT**
27

28
29 Call centres have until fairly recently provided a significant source of employment growth in
30 the peripheral regions of the UK. Despite the potential for locational dispersal throughout the
31 urban hierarchy, however, call centres tend to be highly concentrated in larger urban centres
32 and variations in wage costs between local labour markets appear to have little influence over
33 location patterns. This paper explores the consequences of high levels of agglomeration for
34 recruitment and retention of labour within call centres in the North West Region of England.
35 Using survey data, various measures of labour market stress are shown to be positively
36 correlated with urban size. The results tend to confirm that businesses are prepared to
37 absorb the costs of concentration in order to avoid the perceived risks of labour shortages in
38 smaller dispersed urban centres.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **Key words:**
52

53 **Contact Centres, Agglomeration, Location factors, Local Labour Market,**
54 **Service industries, North West England,**
55

56
57 R11 - Regional Economic Activity: Growth, Development, and Changes < R1 - General Regional
58 Economics < R - Urban, Rural, and Regional Economics, R12 - Size and Spatial Distributions of
59 Regional Economic Activity < R1 - General Regional Economics < R - Urban, Rural, and Regional
60 Economics

1
2
3 L'impact de l'agglomération sur les activités des centres d'appel
4 dans le nord-ouest de l'Angleterre.
5
6
7

8 Peck & Cabras
9

10
11 Jusqu'ici, les centres d'appel ont constitué un bassin d'emploi non-négligeable à la
12 périphérie du Royaume-Uni. Cependant, malgré le potentiel de redistribution
13 géographique à tous les niveaux de la hiérarchie urbaine, les centres d'appel ont
14 tendance à s'agglomérer dans les plus grandes zones urbaines, et il semble que la
15 variation de la masse salariale des marchés du travail locaux influe très peu sur la
16 distribution des emplacements. Cet article cherche à étudier les conséquences des taux
17 d'agglomération élevés quant à l'embauche et au maintien de l'emploi dans les
18 centres d'appel situés dans la région Nord-Ouest, en Angleterre. A partir des données
19 provenant des enquêtes, on montre que les mesures différentes de l'effort subi par le
20 marché du travail sont en corrélation étroite avec la taille urbaine. Les résultats ont
21 tendance à confirmer que les entreprises sont prêtes à absorber les frais
22 d'agglomération pour éviter les risques perçues par pénurie d'emploi dans les plus
23 petits centres urbains dispersés.
24
25
26
27

28
29 Centres de contact / Agglomération / Facteurs de localisation / Marché du travail local
30 / Industries des services / Nord-ouest de l'Angleterre
31
32

33
34 Classement JEL: R11; R12
35

36 **Auswirkungen der Agglomeration auf den Betrieb von Call Centern:**
37 **Belege aus Nordwestengland**
38

39
40 Frank Peck and Ignazio Cabras
41
42

43
44 **ABSTRACT**
45
46

47
48 In den peripheren Regionen Großbritanniens trugen Call Center bis vor
49 relativ kurzer Zeit signifikant zum wachsenden Beschäftigungsniveau
50 bei. Trotz des Potenzials für eine Standortstreuung innerhalb der
51 gesamten urbanen Hierarchie neigen die Call Center jedoch dazu, sich
52 in größeren urbanen Zentren hochgradig zu konzentrieren; die
53 unterschiedlichen Gehaltskosten der verschiedenen lokalen
54 Arbeitsmärkte scheinen die Standortmuster nur geringfügig zu
55 beeinflussen. In diesem Beitrag werden die Konsequenzen einer
56 hochgradigen Agglomeration für die Anwerbung und Beibehaltung von
57 Arbeitskräften in Call Centern im Nordwesten Englands untersucht.
58 Anhand von Umfragedaten wird gezeigt, dass verschiedene Faktoren
59 der Arbeitsmarktbelastung positiv mit der Stadtgröße korrelieren. Die
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Ergebnisse scheinen zu bestätigen, dass Unternehmen bereit sind, die Kosten einer Konzentration zu absorbieren, um die vermeintlichen Risiken eines Arbeitskräftemangels in kleineren, verstreuten Stadtzentren zu vermeiden.

Key words:

Call Center
Agglomeration
Standortfaktoren
Lokaler Arbeitsmarkt
Dienstleistungsbranchen
Nordwestengland

R11, R12

Efectos de la aglomeración en las operaciones de los centros de llamadas: ejemplo del noroeste de Inglaterra

Frank Peck and Ignazio Cabras

ABSTRACT

Los centros de llamadas han aportado hasta hace poco una fuente importante de crecimiento de empleo en las regiones periféricas del Reino Unido. Pese a la posible dispersión de ubicación en toda la jerarquía urbana, los centros de llamadas tienden a concentrarse en gran medida en grandes centros urbanos; asimismo las variaciones en los costes de salarios entre los mercados laborales locales parecen tener poca influencia en los modelos de ubicación. En este artículo analizamos las consecuencias de los altos niveles de aglomeración para la captación y retención de mano de obra en los centros de llamadas en la región noroeste de Inglaterra. Con ayuda de datos recabados en estudios, mostramos de qué modo los diferentes factores de la presión para el mercado laboral están positivamente relacionados con el tamaño urbano. Los resultados tienden a confirmar que los negocios están dispuestos a absorber los costes de concentración a fin de evitar los riesgos percibidos de la falta de personal en los centros urbanos más pequeños y dispersos.

Key words:

Centros de contacto
Aglomeración
Factores de ubicación
Mercado laboral local
Industrias de servicios
Noroeste de Inglaterra

R11, R12

1
2
3
4
5 **IMPACTS OF AGGLOMERATION ON CALL CENTRE OPERATIONS: EVIDENCE FROM**
6
7 **NORTH WEST ENGLAND.**
8
9

10
11 **INTRODUCTION**
12

13
14
15 The increased use of call centre technologies and forms of organisation has been one of the
16 more significant aspects of change affecting the service sector within regional economies
17 over the past ten years. In the UK, the impacts of these changes on the development of cities
18 and regions has been widely researched including studies of locational determinants and their
19 impacts on employment (BRISTOW, MUNDAY and GRIPAIS 2000; BISHOP, GRIPAIS
20 and BRISTOW 2003, RICHARDSON, BELT and MARSHALL, 2000; RICHARDSON and
21 BELT, 2001). Early expansion of call centres focussed primarily on finance and insurance
22 industries (MARSHALL and RICHARDSON, 1996), but the approach was soon adopted
23 widely across many sectors including prominently in travel and transport, computer services,
24 distribution, hotels, telecommunications retailing and utilities. These previous studies have
25 also noted that the key motivation for these changes has been to improve efficiency and
26 reduce the costs of interaction with customers and clients by displacing traditional face-to-
27 face interactions. While accepting that call centres have created jobs in peripheral regions,
28 previous research has also observed some of the negative effects on the quality of
29 employment and raised concerns about the sustainability of call centre employment in
30 competition with low labour cost offshore locations.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 Research on UK peripheral regions confirms that call centres have until fairly recently
50 provided a significant source of employment growth at least over the past ten years
51 (BRISTOW, MUNDAY and GRIPAIS 2000; BISHOP GRIPAIS and BRISTOW 2003,
52 DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND INDUSTRY 2004). Despite the potential for locational
53 dispersal throughout the urban hierarchy, however, studies have shown that call centres tend
54 to be highly concentrated in larger urban centres. Furthermore, analyses of location have
55 shown that despite the significance of labour costs for these activities, wage costs appear to
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 have little influence over location patterns at the scale of the UK regions (BISHOP, GRIPAIS
4 and BRISTOW 2003). This lack of association between location and labour costs is explored
5
6 further in this paper which examines the labour market difficulties experienced by call centre
7
8 managers operating in different urban environments across the North West Region of
9
10 England.
11

12 13 14 15 **LOCATIONAL DETERMINANTS OF CONTACT CENTRES** 16

17
18 Discussion of the location patterns of call centres has previously been placed within the
19
20 context of the impacts of information and communication technologies (ICTs) on processes of
21
22 uneven development. Studies of call centres conducted during the 1990s in particular were
23
24 stimulated in part by the possibility of increased levels of decentralisation and the evolution of
25
26 a new spatial division of labour within the service sector (RICHARDSON and MARSHALL
27
28 1996, 1999). It is suggested that once appropriate telecommunications infrastructure is
29
30 available, call centres become to some extent spatially mobile and businesses are able to
31
32 exploit spatial variations in other factors of production more effectively. Reduced cost of
33
34 communications over long distances has enabled businesses to locate call centre functions at
35
36 considerable distances from consumers and remote from other corporate functions which has
37
38 led to greater flexibility in locational decision-making. The adoption of call centre organisation
39
40 is commonly motivated by a desire to increase efficiency and reduce the costs associated
41
42 with the customer interface in service organisations. Contact centres therefore offer
43
44 opportunities to reduce overall costs through, for instance, the closure of local branch
45
46 networks or the relocation of customer contact activities away from high cost locations leading
47
48 to new forms of inter-regional and increasingly international divisions of labour
49
50 (RICHARDSON, BELT and MARSHALL, 2000).
51
52

53
54 The findings of these earlier studies tend to suggest that while these activities have the
55
56 potential to operate from smaller centres of population and more remote areas, issues related
57
58 to labour supply have tended to reassert the importance of agglomeration at sub-national
59
60 scale within the UK. While technology can make contact centres potentially mobile, location

1
2
3 is heavily constrained by other factors associated with the pre-existing spatial division of
4 labour leading to perpetuation of existing concentrations of service activities (BRISTOW,
5 MUNDAY and GRIPAIOU, 2000). This conclusion has been confirmed more recent by
6
7 BISHOP et al (2003) who examined the key spatial variables that influence location patterns
8
9 *within* the UK. This investigation included size of population, population density, economic
10 activity rates, levels of earnings and the percentage of employment in finance, business
11 services and telecommunications (as a measure of possible constraints on location imposed
12 by linkage effects). Their findings show strong associations between location patterns and
13 measures of urban size including population density. However, levels of earnings appear to
14 have no significant relationship with location patterns.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 BISHOP et al (2003) consider two arguments that might explain the lack of association
26 between measures of labour cost and the spatial distribution of contact centres. First, they
27 suggest that labour cost differentials at the intra-regional scale in the UK are insufficient to
28 induce locational change, particularly in comparison to the cost benefits of relocation at the
29 international scale. Secondly, they suggest that other factors in the study have a more
30 powerful influence on location *at this spatial scale* compared to labour costs. These include
31 the influence of the inherited spatial division of labour within corporations and the economies
32 that may be obtained from close location with linked activities within the same business or
33 related businesses. There may also be benefits that arise from shared sites and properties
34 within existing facilities and in some instances the knowledge embedded in a workforce or in
35 a local labour market that may not easily be reproduced elsewhere.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 These findings are supported by earlier studies which showed that labour cost was not the
51 only consideration in decisions to establish contact centres in peripheral regions. In North-
52 East England, for instance, interviews conducted with contact centre managers suggested
53 that cost of labour was not the only consideration, but rather the availability of a 'sufficient
54 pool of quality labour at a lower cost than other regions' (RICHARDSON et al 2000, p.362). A
55 recent report on UK contact centres by OMIS (2005) also indicates that staff accessibility is a
56 key consideration in current contact centre location decisions. This suggests that the size of
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the labour pool is important particularly for larger contact centres, which will tend to draw
4 them towards larger agglomerations in spite of the risks that this may entail for high labour
5 turnover and retention problems. The reference to 'pool of quality labour' also implies that
6 some contact centre operators are prepared to forgo any labour costs advantages in small
7 centres of population because of a belief that smaller labour pools will not guarantee a
8 continued flow of suitable applicants; they are prepared to pay the cost of concentration (in
9 terms of higher wages and labour turnover) in order to reduce the risk of labour shortage.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

19 While the influence of labour availability may lead to agglomeration, there is also the
20 possibility that linkage effects influence this outcome. There is, however, less conclusive
21 evidence concerning the influence of linkage effects on the location of contact centres. It can
22 be noted however, that while many contact centres are freestanding and located at a distance
23 from other parts of the same firm, there are also cases where customer contact functions are
24 co-located with other functions. The importance of these functional linkages may well vary
25 depending on the nature of products and services. In a recent analysis of service-based
26 growth in the North East of France, for instance, SCHULZ et al (2004) argue that even service
27 industries that specialise in distance selling can benefit from spatial proximity on the supply
28 side and that proximity to a pool of workers with relevant accumulated knowledge and
29 providers of linked services can generate competitive advantages to offset against relatively
30 high wage levels.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 If one assumes that labour availability and linkage effects are powerful influences on
46 locational behaviour, it may not be so surprising that firms operating contact centres do not
47 immediately respond to spatial wage differentials. While relocation at an international scale
48 may be considered as an option in some situations, in the short to medium term the key issue
49 for contact centres may not be relocation to reduce wage costs, but minimising labour market
50 problems *at their present location* through the development of appropriate management
51 strategies and ongoing innovation in technology. This question provides the focus for the
52 empirical work in this article. Rather than seeking to identify spatial variables that might
53 correlate with location patterns, our approach treats 'characteristics of location' as one of
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 several sets of factors that might contribute towards the experience of contact centres in
4 meeting their labour requirements. In other words, our aim is to show how recruitment and
5 retention difficulties vary between contact centres and to examine the relative importance of
6 *business* and *location* characteristics in influencing these difficulties using a case study of the
7 North West Region of England.
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

15 **THE CONTACT CENTRE 'INDUSTRY' IN NORTHWEST ENGLAND**

16
17
18 The North West Region of England provides highly relevant illustration of the significance of
19 agglomeration on the contact centre industry. Various sources of data tend to confirm the
20 high level of concentration of contact centre activities within the two major centres of
21 economic activity in Merseyside and Greater Manchester. One source is provided by the
22 study by BISHOP *et al* (2003) who developed a database of over 1,800 contact centres
23 across the UK. The spatial pattern shows concentrations in the South-East of England but
24 there are significant outliers in the North West, Scotland and the North East (p.2758). The
25 striking feature of the distribution of contact centre employment, however, concerns high
26 levels of concentration in the major conurbations. The main centres measured by levels of
27 employment are in Tyneside, Greater Glasgow, West Yorkshire, London, Greater Manchester
28 and the West Midlands.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Early studies of call centres were hampered by a lack of reliable data on this activity across
44 the UK. This situation has improved slightly in that the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC)
45 has recently been modified to include reflect the significance of call centres. However, even
46 this category fails to detect contact centre activities that are embedded within large
47 organisations and often co-located with other functions. In an attempt to overcome this
48 problem, the Department for Trade and Industry (2004) commissioned the development of a
49 database building on information supplied by the UK Call and Contact Centre Association
50 supplemented by systematic sample telephone enquiries and cross checking of information
51 from other available publicly-available sources. This data (compiled by ContactBabel)
52 generally confirms the significance of contact centres for UK employment and estimates the
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 number of 'seats' at around half a million and provided employment for over 800,000 workers
4
5 across the UK during 2003-4 (Table 1). Significant growth in number of contact centres and
6
7 levels of employment were also confirmed particularly during the 1990s (annual growth over
8
9 ten percent), although this data shows continued growth since 2000 though at lower levels.
10
11 This analysis also suggests that there may be continued growth at least up to 2007.
12
13

14
15 TABLE 1
16
17

18
19 Significantly for the present study, this data shows that the NW Region is host to at least 540
20
21 contact centres operating with over 80,000 agent seats and providing over 132,000 jobs in
22
23 2003-4. The data also confirms the concentration of these contact centres within the major
24
25 conurbations of Greater Manchester, Merseyside and Central Lancashire where more than
26
27 fourth fifths of these businesses are located. ContactBabel data also appears to show *strong*
28
29 *growth* in employment in contact businesses during 2003-2004 (PECK and CABRAS, 2005).
30
31 Growth has occurred in both agent 'seats' and also in levels of employment even in the larger
32
33 conurbations in which one might anticipate higher levels of labour cost (Table 2).
34
35 Interestingly, the highest growth occurred in Cheshire, where contact centres and agent
36
37 positions both register a growth of over ten percent. Detailed examination of the location
38
39 patterns shows that these contact centres are predominantly located in urban settlements in
40
41 the north of the county where there is likely to be good access to labour from both
42
43 Manchester and Merseyside.
44
45

46
47 TABLE 2
48
49

50
51 One of the useful attributes of the ContactBabel dataset is that it enables disaggregation of
52
53 data across different product-markets. Previous research has indicated that expansion of
54
55 contact centres focused initially on the finance and insurance sector (MARSHALL AND
56
57 RICHARDSON, 1996; DATAMONITOR 1996, 2002; HENLEY CENTRE 1996) but these
58
59 technologies and forms of management were subsequently adopted much more widely
60
(RICHARDSON et al 2000). ContactBabel data (reported in Department for Trade and

1
2
3 Industry 2004) suggests that across the UK as a whole 15 percent of all contact centre
4 employees are associated with financial services, 14 percent with retailing and distribution,
5 and 12 percent with motoring, transport and travel.
6
7
8
9

10
11 In order to investigate variations in the labour market experiences of contact centres in the
12 region, a questionnaire was designed to gather quantitative information on various measures
13 of 'labour market stress' experienced by managers. Four related measures of stress were
14 identified as follows; (a) the level of attrition in new recruits (percentage of new recruits who
15 leave within six months), (b) the levels of absenteeism, (c) the expressed degree of difficulty
16 in finding new recruits and (d) managers' assessment of the quality of new recruits.
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 Questionnaires were posted or distributed via CallNorthWest networks to 361 businesses and
24 65 responses were obtained, a response rate of 18 percent². As well as the various
25 measures of 'labour market stress', the questionnaire also gathered data on employment size,
26 market structure and labour characteristics of businesses and also managers' evaluation of
27 their present location. Finally, the dataset was completed by adding a number of variables
28 designed to enable analysis of location. These included prominently various measures of
29 urban size (population, population density, total employment at the scale of unitary or district
30 authority). In the analysis, interest focused on the relative significance of business attributes
31 and locational characteristics as predictors of the level of labour market stress experienced by
32 contact centres.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 44 45 **CHARACTERISTIC OF SURVEYED CONTACT CENTRE BUSINESSES**

46
47
48 The sectoral composition of the respondent establishments shown in Table 3 broadly reflects
49 the product-market specialisms that have been noted in previous studies of contact centres
50 (CONTACTBABEL, 2005). The three largest categories are the public sector (15), specialist
51 outsourcing businesses (12) and finance (10). The remainder are diverse covering different
52 service industries as well as manufacturing. Nearly a half of all respondents employ less than
53 50 workers but the larger contact centres tend to be over-represented in the finance sector
54 and in motoring organisations. The spatial distribution of respondent call centres illustrates
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the tendency for concentration in urban cores, but there is some dispersion in all five sub-
4 regions (Figure 1).
5
6

7 **(TABLE 3; FIGURE 1)**
8
9

10
11 The questionnaire provided data on the employment structure in surveyed contact centres.
12
13 Figure 2a indicates that around three quarters of contact centres have more female than male
14 employees. These data are consistent with patterns reported by RICHARDSON and
15 MARSHALL (1996) and by RICHARDSON et al (2000) in their study of the North East of
16 England, although they also suggest that this dependence on female workers 'would decline
17 as more young men entered in the industry' (p. 361). Even so, the evidence shows that
18 female workers are still predominant in many businesses and that 'women's labour power is
19 clearly central to the call centre industry' (BELT et al, 2002).
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 Again Richardson, commenting a report from MITIAL (1998), suggested that contact centre
30 businesses were moving away from recruiting a predominantly part-time workforce to save
31 cost and promote flexibility" (p.362). Although we have no time series to measure change,
32 our survey findings show that a substantial number of contact centres employ very few part-
33 time workers, while others occupy different positions on the scale including a small minority
34 that operate almost entirely on part-time labour (figure 2b).
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 **(FIGURE 2a; FIGURE 2b)**
44
45

46
47 Managers were also asked to indicate the most important skills required from new recruits to
48 their operations. These skills were grouped into two broad categories that attempted to
49 distinguish between 'routine' skills (identified as communication skills, customer service skills,
50 telephone handling, and call control) and 'higher level' skills associated with handling
51 customer enquiries (questioning skills, listening skills, multilingual skills, management skills,
52 IT skills, and numeracy). The results appear to show that the majority of surveyed employers
53 are less concerned for these higher level skills; less than 20 percent of respondents
54 highlighted these as important to their recruitment operations. These findings appear to
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 contradict those reported in a recent study conducted by the Learning Skills Council (LSC,
4 2003) which suggested that a skills mismatch exists in the contact centre industry. The
5 authors argue that a high percentage of employers experience a significant shortage of 'high-
6 calibre' applicants and that there is a need for improved induction and training inputs provided
7 directly by the businesses. Much depends, however, on detailed definitions of terms such as
8 'high level' and 'high calibre'. Also, a recent report for CallNorthWest (CABRAS, 2006) has
9 stressed the fact that the definition of 'high' and 'low' skill and the training inputs associated
10 with these will vary considerably for contact centres in different product-markets.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **LABOUR MARKET CONDITIONS IN CONTACT CENTRE BUSINESSES**

22
23
24
25 The data analysis focuses in particular on various measures of 'labour market difficulty' and
26 the factors that might cause this to vary between contact centres. Three key variables were
27 identified to try to capture different aspects of the labour market problems faced by
28 companies. Businesses tend to vary in the ways in which they customarily measure 'rates of
29 attrition' which can often lead to false comparisons. In order to standardise this, respondents
30 were asked to indicate in the past 12 months, what percentage of *new* employees have
31 resigned their post within six months of their appointment. Managers were also asked to
32 indicate (using likart scales) the level of difficulty experienced in recruiting new agents, the
33 degree of difficulty experienced due to absenteeism and their level of satisfaction with the
34 quality of new recruits. The distributions of responses to these questions are shown in figure
35 3. Most contact centres (65%) lose less than 10 percent of their new recruits within 6 months
36 but a significant minority have rates of attrition above this, including seven businesses that
37 currently lose over 20 percent of their new recruits (Fig 3a). Many managers also claim to be
38 experiencing high levels of absenteeism that impacts on performance (52%; Fig 3b).
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1
2
3
4
5 **(FIGURE 3; TABLE 4)**
6
7
8

9 **DETERMINANTS OF LABOUR MARKET STRESS - BUSINESS CHARACTERISTICS**

10
11
12
13 The close correlation between measures of labour market difficulty suggests that there may
14 be common characteristics of contact centres that experience greater problems in
15 recruitment. One may hypothesise that labour market difficulties may be correlated with a
16 number of variables. Larger contact centres, for instance, will have proportionally greater
17 demand for labour which may heighten recruitment difficulties compared to smaller contact
18 centres in similar sized labour markets. More tentatively, larger contact centres may also
19 appear more impersonal and less likely to generate staff loyalty, though it is also possible that
20 larger employers may develop more effective management processes to counteract this.

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29 Contact centres that are in expanding markets may experience greater difficulties in recruiting
30 and retaining staff. Problems in recruitment and retention may also be linked to variations in
31 contractual terms for workers (part time as opposed to full time; use of fixed contracts), the
32 degree of knowledge and sophistication required in handling calls in different sectors and the
33 mix of in-bound to out-bound calls. These characteristics may influence retention in
34 particular, due to their influence on job interest and possibly on the level of autonomy given to
35 operators in handling enquiries.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
The results demonstrate quite clearly that the size of contact centre is by far the best predictor
of attrition rates. Table 5 shows that the statistical relationship between rates of attrition and
size measured by numbers of agent positions is highly significant. Of the remaining variables,
none even approaches being linked to variation in attrition. This result seemed so clear cut
that tests were made on the sensitivity of these finding by repeating the analysis with different
size bands. Even when the largest contact centres are excluded from the analysis, this result
is still confirmed. The remaining negative findings are also, in themselves, quite interesting.
There is no association, for instance, between patterns of growth/decline and rates of attrition
as one might have expected due to the presumed impact of growth on demand for labour.

1
2
3 Rates of attrition also seem to be uncorrelated with variations in part-time work, use of fixed
4 term contracts and the mix of inbound to outbound calls.
5
6

7 **(TABLE 5)**
8
9

10
11 Of the remaining measures of labour market stress, there were significant correlations
12 between levels of business growth and absenteeism, though the result shows a *negative*
13 correlation which suggests that, in general, absenteeism tends to be relatively low in contact
14 centres experiencing higher growth. One explanation for this result might be that high growth
15 increases levels of job security and may even create promotion opportunities for some staff,
16 thereby influencing staff morale and a more positive attitude to work. A slightly weaker
17 correlation also suggests that there are higher absentee rates in contact centres with high
18 proportions of part-time work. Results also indicate that managers' evaluation of the level of
19 recruitment difficulty was significantly higher in contact centres experiencing rapid growth as
20 expected. Interestingly, however, the result for employment type suggests that managers in
21 contact centres with high proportions of part time posts have less recruitment difficulty
22 (though as noted above, more difficulty with absenteeism). Lastly, managers' views on the
23 quality of new recruits do not seem to vary systematically with any of the observed
24 characteristics of contact centres.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Contact centres are also analysed by market sector. In order to carry out statistical
42 comparison, respondents were grouped into four categories as shown in Table 6. While there
43 are no statistically significant differences between these groups overall, the results do show
44 that contact centres in financial services within the survey have proportionally more
45 businesses with high rates of attrition (61 percent with rates above 20%). The counts are
46 small in these categories, but contact centres in finance tend to be the larger ones and this is
47 consistent with the strong correlation between attrition and size of contact centre noted
48 above.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 **(TABLE 6)**
57
58
59
60

DETERMINANTS OF LABOUR MARKET STRESS: LOCATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS

While the analysis appears to show that levels of labour market difficulty are correlated with size and growth characteristics of businesses, the methodology also involves examination of the association with location. Using sub-regions as categories, Table 7 shows that there are differences in the responses of managers in different areas. In particular, levels of difficulty are generally higher in the larger urban cores (Manchester and Liverpool) compared to other areas. In order to refine this analysis, measures of labour market difficulty are tested against urban size as measured by total employment and population by local authority district/ unitary authority. The results confirm that managers' recruitment difficulties as well as rates of pay for agents and supervisors are positively correlated with employment size (Table 8). These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that agglomeration tends to induce greater difficulty in recruitment and wage pressures. Admittedly, the correlations between total jobs in local authority areas and rates of attrition and levels of absenteeism are much weaker, but the coefficients are positive in both cases. Also, the association between urban size and managers' assessments of the quality of recruits generates a negative correlation. Viewing this evidence as a whole, there does appear to be a fairly consistent difference in these various measures of labour market experience and urban size.

(TABLE 7; TABLE 8)

These results raise the question whether these systematic variations between large and small labour markets simply reflect the fact that large contact centres tend to be in the larger labour markets. To explore this further, the analysis in table eight was repeated on subsets of the data in different size bands. The results were broadly unchanged. In particular, there were still positive associations between urban size and recruitment difficulties as well as agent pay within different size categories. This would seem to suggest that there is a systematic difference in labour market experience by urban size and that these statistical differences are not simply a consequence of variations in business size structure across the urban hierarchy.

1
2
3 Given these findings, it is relevant to ask whether these apparent agglomeration
4
5 diseconomies have generated pressures for relocation either within the region towards the
6
7 lower cost / low attrition locations in the north-west region or elsewhere. Only thirteen
8
9 respondents (20%) indicated that some consideration had been given to relocation within the
10
11 past 12 months. Of these, the majority (8) involved possible moves within the NW region;
12
13 another two had examined locations in the Midlands and only three overseas. The replies
14
15 concerning moves within the region also did not appear to involve any systematic
16
17 decentralisation away from the urban cores. Businesses that had considered relocation can
18
19 be found in all sub-regions and not just in the large urban centres. At least four businesses
20
21 had considered new premises *within* Greater Manchester while only two had looked at
22
23 movement into Lancashire and none had considered sites in Cumbria. The fact that the
24
25 majority of potential movers seem to be considering locations in close proximity to their
26
27 present location seems to suggest that it is not labour market push factors that are inducing
28
29 this, but rather may have more to do with growth and premises considerations. Figure 4,
30
31 indeed, shows that most potential movers are currently occupying premises that have been
32
33 converted to their use.

34
35 **(FIGURE 4)**

36 37 38 **CONCLUSIONS**

39
40
41
42 The evidence presented in this paper tends to confirm findings from other recent research
43
44 concerning the locational preferences of businesses that operate contact centres within the
45
46 UK. Location patterns within NW England show a strong preference for larger metropolitan
47
48 areas "either within city centres or at the near peripheries of cities" (BRISTOW et al 2000,
49
50 p.530). Confirmation of this pattern suggests that, at least at sub-national scale, the
51
52 availability of ICT infrastructure has not led to the spread of contact centres throughout the
53
54 urban hierarchy and that labour availability, in particular, continues to constrain location to a
55
56 choice between locations within (or accessible to) the most densely populated areas
57
58 (BISHOP et al 2003).
59
60

1
2
3 The more significant finding from this research, however, concerns the impact of these
4 locational preferences on the operations of contact centres. Our results show that the
5 continued preference for metropolitan areas within the NW Region is marked by high levels of
6 labour market difficulty as measured in particular by labour turnover and recruitment
7 problems. Measures of urban size in general have been shown to be much more strongly
8 correlated with recruitment difficulties compared to most business characteristics. The
9 exception relates to size of business which is strongly correlated with rate of attrition.
10 However, many of the larger contact centres are more dependent on large labour catchments
11 and may have less flexibility in terms of location at the sub-regional scale in the UK in order to
12 ensure continued supply of labour. These results provide evidence to suggest that
13 businesses that operate contact centres are prepared to absorb the costs of concentration (in
14 terms of higher wages and high labour turnover) in order to reduce the risk of labour shortage.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 In spite of high levels of attrition in many cases, relatively few contact centre managers
30 reported plans to relocate on the basis of labour availability or cost. There is further
31 investigation required into these location patterns and the agglomerative forces that appear to
32 be holding customer contact activities in relatively high labour cost locations. The significance
33 of customised premises may be a subject of useful enquiry in investigating intra-urban
34 relocation patterns and the development of contact centres within urban peripheries.
35 However, interest should also surround the linkage effects upon contact centres that might tie
36 them to particular agglomerations in order to access workers with knowledge in particular
37 market segments, as implied in the work of SCHULZ et al (2004) and LIEFOOGHE (2005).
38 Contact centre operations will obviously vary in levels of skill requirements and the extent of
39 product knowledge. In some operations, prior knowledge may be of little significance and in
40 these circumstances training inputs may be minimal and locational choice may be fairly
41 broad, including options for offshoring to low cost labour locations. In contrast, other contact
42 centre operations may require greater levels of product knowledge and the types of enquiries
43 may place greater demands on operators to respond flexibly to information and implement
44 decisions.
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Relatively little attention has been given to the possible variations in locational requirements
4
5 between contact centres involving different types of product-markets and the institutional and
6
7 functional linkages that might exist between contact centres and other operations within the
8
9 same firm and also linked firms. This raises the possibility of future research on the process
10
11 by which outsourcing of business services occurs and the role of dedicated contact centres
12
13 within local service clusters (BENNEWORTH 2002; DANIELS 2004; KARLSSON 2004).

14
15 There is the question whether companies with a long-standing presence in a region may be
16
17 less inclined to relocate call centre functions abroad. These institutional differences may also
18
19 affect the potential for alternative employment and redeployment in instances where
20
21 offshoring does occur. The growth of contact centres in the public sector is also a relatively
22
23 new aspect of contact centre development. It is likely, for instance, that the processes
24
25 involved in setting up contact centres for public services may be influenced to a greater extent
26
27 by regional development benefits in providing employment in relatively remote industrial
28
29 towns and cities within peripheral economies.
30
31
32
33

34 REFERENCES

35
36
37
38 BELT V., RICHARDSON R., and WEBSTER J. (2002) Women, social skills and interactive
39
40 service work in telephone call centres, *New Technology, Work and Employment* 17 (1), 20-34
41
42

43
44
45 BENNEWORTH P. (2002) Creating new industries and service clusters on Tyneside *Local*
46
47 *Economy* 17(4), 313-327
48
49

50
51 BISHOP P., GRIPAIO P., and BRISTOW G. (2003) Determinants of call centre location:
52
53 some evidence for UK urban areas *Urban Studies* 40(13), 2751-2768
54
55

56
57 BRISTOW G., MUNDAY M., AND GRIPAIO P. (2000) Call centre growth and location:
58
59 corporate strategy and the spatial division of labour *Environmental and Planning A* 32(3), 519-
60
538

1
2
3
4
5 CABRAS I. (2006) *Contact centres and Incapacity Benefit Claimants: new possibilities for*
6 *occupational development*, Research Report to CallNorthWest, University of Central
7 Lancashire, Preston
8
9

10
11
12
13 CONTACTBABEL (2005) *Call Centre in the North West- Baseline Research Report to*
14 *CallNorthWest*, University of Central Lancashire, Preston
15
16

17
18
19 DANIELS P. W. (2004) *Reflections on the Old Economy, New Economy and Services,*
20 *Growth and Change*, 35(2), 115-138
21
22

23
24
25 DATAMONITOR (1996), *Call Centres in Europe 1996-2001*, *Datamonitor*, London
26
27

28
29 DATAMONITOR (2002), *Call Centres Industry Profile: United Kingdom*, *Datamonitor*, London
30
31

32
33 DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND INDUSTRY (2004) *The UK Contact Centre Industry: A*
34 *Study*. Report by CM Insight, ContactBabel, and The Call and Contact Centre Association
35 (CCA) on behalf of the UK Department of Trade and Industry, London.
36
37
38

39
40
41 HENLEY CENTRE (1996), *Teleculture Futures: Harnessing Telecommunications to meet*
42 *Customer Needs for the 21st Century*, Henley Centre, London
43
44

45
46
47 KARLSSON C (1999) *Spatial industrial dynamics in Sweden: urban growth industries*, *Growth*
48 *and Change*, 30(2), 184-212
49
50

51
52
53 LEARNING AND SKILLS COUNCIL (2003) *National Employers Skills Survey 2003*, London.
54
55

56
57 LIEFOOGHE C. (2005) *Services: the future of industry. From coalmining and textile industries*
58 *to environmental services and distant selling in the Nord-Pas-de-Calais region –France,*
59 *Regions* **259**, 13-16
60

1
2
3
4
5 MARSHALL J.N. and RICHARDSON R. (1996) The impact of 'telemediated' services on
6 corporate structures: the example of 'branchless' retail banking in Britain *Environment and*
7 *Planning A* **28**, 1843-1858.
8
9

10
11
12
13 MITIAL (1998) Call Centre Location Study. *Mitial Industrial Market Research*, Wrexham.
14

15
16
17 NATIONAL STATISTICS (2005) *Annual Population Survey*, Official Labour Market Statistics,
18 London
19

20
21
22
23 OMIS (2005) Best Locations for Contact Centres 2004-2005 - 3rd Edition UK Survey. *OMIS*
24 *Research*, Banbury.
25
26

27
28
29 PECK F. and CABRAS I. (2005) 'Regional Economic Policy and the Contact Centre Industry
30 in the North West Region'. 28th National Conference of the Institute for Small Business &
31 Entrepreneurship, Blackpool, 1-3 November 2005
32
33

34
35
36 RICHARDSON R. and BELT V. (2001) Saved by the bell? Call centres and economic
37 development in less favoured regions, *Economics and Industrial Democracy* **22**, 67-98.
38
39

40
41
42 RICHARDSON R., BELT. V. and MARSHALL N. (2000) Taking calls to Newcastle: the
43 regional implications of the growth in call centres, *Regional Studies*, **34**(4), 357-369
44
45

46
47
48 RICHARDSON R. and MARSHALL J. N. (1996) The growth of telephone call centres in
49 peripheral areas of Britain: evidence from Tyne and Wear, *Area* **28**(3), 308-17.
50
51

52
53
54 RICHARDSON R. and MARSHALL J. N. (1999) Teleservices, Call Centre and Urban and
55 Regional Development, *The Service Industries Journal*, **19**(1), 96-116.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 SCHULZ C., DÖRRENBÄCHER P., LIEFOOGHE C. (2004) Far away, so close? Regional
4 clustering of mail order firms and related business services in the Lille metropolitan Area of
5 France, University of Birmingham, School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences,
6
7
8 Working Papers in Services, Space, Society, No. 14
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review Only

Table 1. Contact centres and agent positions in UK

	Contact Centres in UK		Agent Positions	
1995	2,515	n/a	143.9	n/a
1996	2,740	9%	169.8	18%
1997	3,070	12%	203.8	20%
1998	3,470	13%	264.9	30%
1999	3,990	15%	331.2	25%
2000	4,470	12%	387.5	17%
2001	4,825	8%	430.1	11%
2002	5,065	5%	460.2	7%
2003	5,320	5%	494.3	7%
2004	5,535	4%	538.7	9%
2005	5,700	3%	581.8	8%
2006	5,845	3%	616.7	6%
2007	5,980	2%	647.6	5%

Source: collation of analysis and data from Gartner Dataquest, Datamonitor and ContactBabel and reported by DTI (2004)

Table 2: Contact centres industry in the NW between 2003 and 2005

	2003			2004			2005		
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c
Cheshire	81	9,799	15,678	90	10,850	17,360	110	12,225	19,682
Cumbria	24	3,147	5,035	25	3,380	5,408	25	2,500	4,025
Lancashire	88	13,652	21,844	85	14,900	23,840	90	16,530	26,614
Greater Manchester	229	32,080	51,328	240	34,985	55,976	255	39,000	62,790
Greater Merseyside	99	17,245	27,592	100	18,455	29,528	125	22,400	36,064
NW England	521	75,923	121,477	540	82,570	132,112	605	92,655	149,175

Source: ContactBabel 2004, 2005; CallNorthWest 2006.

a: Number of contact centres

b: Agent positions

c: Total number of staff employed in contact centres.

Table 3. Sectoral and size distribution of respondent contact centres

Sector	10-50	51-150	151-250	251-500	>500	a	b	Total
Finance	3	4	1	1	1	16%	15%	10
Public Sector	6	6	2	0	1	7%	23%	15
Outsourcing Services	7	4	1	0	0	15%	18%	12
Printing/Publishing	2	2	0	1	0	3%	8%	5
IT	1	1	2	0	0	8%	6%	4
Motoring/Finance	0	0	1	1	0	2%	3%	2
Other services ¹	5	1	2	0	0	17%	13%	8
Manufacturing	5	0	0	0	0	12%	8%	5
Entertainment	2	0	0	0	0	4%	3%	2
Retail/Distribution	1	1	0	0	0	15%	3%	2
Total	31	19	9	3	2			65

Source: Survey data, ContactBabel 2005

a: Percentage in NW Region.

b: Percentage of survey respondents.

¹ Including Transport and travel, food and drink, education

Peer Review Only

Table 4: Relationships between measures of labour market difficulty

		Absenteeism	Recruitment difficulties	Quality of new recruits
Six month attrition	Pearson Correlation	.354(**)	.357(**)	-.320 (*)
	Spearman's Rho	.394(**)	.396(**)	-.328(**)
	n. of obs.	65	64	63
Absenteeism	Pearson Correlation		.140	-.288(*)
	Spearman's Rho		.139	-.280(*)
	n. of obs.		64	63
Recruitment difficulties	Pearson Correlation			-.613 (**)
	Spearman's Rho			-.588(**)
	n. of obs.			62

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Source: Survey Data

Table 5: Association between labour market stress and contact centre characteristics

		Business Expect.	Part-time Contract	Fixed-term Contract	Inbound Calls	CC Size	Age
Six months Attrition	Pearson Correlation	-.089	.036	-.038	.030	.502(**)	-.045
	Spearman's Rho	-.002	.108	-0.66	.020	.494(**)	.062
	n. of obs.	65	63	59	64	64	65
Absenteeism	Pearson Correlation	-.246(*)	.211	.001	.034	.113	.221
	Spearman's Rho	-.243(*)	.264(*)	.022	.065	.152	.172
	n. of obs.	65	63	59	64	64	65
Recruitment Difficulties	Pearson Correlation	.257(*)	-.285(*)	.189	-.168	.173	.094
	Spearman's Rho	.287(*)	-.247(*)	.096	-.171	.217	.059
	n. of obs.	64	62	58	63	64	64
Quality of new recruits	Pearson Correlation	-.032	.066	-.038	.215	-.190	-.114
	Spearman's Rho	-.083	.057	-0.42	.302	-.194	-.060
	n. of obs.	63	63	59	63	62	63

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Source: Survey Data

Table 6. Six months attrition levels in contact centres by economic sector

	Six months attrition		
	<20%	>20%	Total
Public Sector	11	4	15
	73.3%	26.7%	100%
Finance	5	8	13
	38.5%	61.5%	100%
Telemarketing/Outsourcing Services	12	5	17
	70.6%	29.4	100%
Other Services	14	6	20
	70.0%	30.0%	100%
Total	42	23	65
	68.3%	21.7%	100%

Table 7: Variations in levels of recruitment difficulty by sub-region

	Recruitment difficulties			
	No Difficulties	Medium Difficulties	High Difficulties	Total
Greater Manchester	1	9	7	17
	5.9%	52.9%	41.2%	100.0%
Cheshire and Warrington	8	9	1	18
	44.4%	50.0%	5.6%	100.0%
Liverpool	3	5	3	11
	27.3%	45.5%	27.3%	100.0%
Lancashire	7	5	1	13
	53.8%	38.5%	7.7%	100.0%
Cumbria	5	0	0	5
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Total	24	28	12	6
	37.5%	43.8%	18.8%	100.0%

Source: Survey data

Table 8. Association between labour market characteristics and urban size

		Total Jobs	Population
Six month attrition	Pearson Correlation	.231	.121
	Spearman's Rho	.227	.081
	n. obs.	65	65
Recruitment difficulties	Pearson Correlation	.333(**)	.312(*)
	Spearman's Rho	.364(**)	.301(*)
	n. obs.	64	64
Absenteeism	Pearson Correlation	.180	.244(*)
	Spearman's Rho	.243	.293(*)
	n. obs.	65	65
Quality of new recruits	Pearson Correlation	-.078	-.107
	Spearman's Rho	-.163	-.194
	n. obs.	63	63
Agent salary level	Pearson Correlation	.313(*)	.164
	Spearman's Rho	.311(*)	.092
	n. obs.	65	65
Supervisor salary level	Pearson Correlation	.266(*)	.182
	Spearman's Rho	.388(**)	.124
	n. obs.	63	63


** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Source: Survey Data


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 1: Distribution of call centre survey respondents



Only


Figure 2. Employment structure of respondent call centres



Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60


Figure 3: Measures of labour market stress for call centre respondents



View Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 4: Relocation considerations by type of premises



Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

¹ Research reported in this paper was supported financially by CallNorthWest (CNW), an initiative funded by the North-West Development Agency. CNW is hosted by the University of Central Lancashire in Preston and provides support for contact centre managers through provision of education and training, recruitment and retention services and general business support. The authors are grateful for support, advice and access to information on the contact centre industry in the NW region. An earlier version of the paper was presented to the Regional Studies Association International Conference "Shaping EU Regional Policy: Economic, social and political pressures" Leuven, Belgium, 8th-9th June 2006. Valuable feedback was received from three anonymous referees and the Regional Studies editorial team.

² The method for survey work depended on just one mailing due to conditions imposed by CallNorthWest on confidentiality of company data. This restricted the ability to chase non-respondents to boost the rate of return. The response rate of 18 percent reflects these constraints.