



# On Poincare-Wirtinger inequalities in spaces of functions of bounded variation

Maïtine Bergounioux

## ► To cite this version:

Maïtine Bergounioux. On Poincare-Wirtinger inequalities in spaces of functions of bounded variation. 2011. hal-00515451v2

**HAL Id: hal-00515451**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00515451v2>**

Preprint submitted on 10 Jun 2011 (v2), last revised 5 Oct 2011 (v3)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# ON POINCARÉ-WIRTINGER INEQUALITIES IN SPACES OF FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED VARIATION

M. BERGOUNIOUX

ABSTRACT. The goal of this paper is to extend Poincaré-Wirtinger inequalities from Sobolev spaces to spaces of functions of bounded variation of second order.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A useful tool when dealing with Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations is the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality that provides norm equivalences under appropriate assumptions. These inequalities usually provide Sobolev embeddings and compactness results (see Adams [1]). The goal of this paper is to extend Poincaré-Wirtinger inequalities from Sobolev spaces to spaces of functions of second order bounded variation. The result is known for the space of functions of first-order bounded variation (see [3, 9]). Indeed, this space is very useful in image processing context and many variational models are developed to deal with denoising of texture extraction. Variational models in image processing can be improved using the so-called  $BV^2$  space that we define in next section ([4, 5, 6, 8]). Generally these models require a priori estimates on functions while first and/or second order derivative estimates are available.

## 2. THE SPACES OF FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED VARIATION

We briefly recall the definitions and the main properties of (classical) spaces of functions of bounded variation. One can refer to [2, 3, 7] for a complete study of the  $BV$  space and to [4, 6, 8] for the so-called  $BV^2$  space.

Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  smooth enough (Lipschitz for example). The spaces  $BV(\Omega)$  and  $BV^2(\Omega)$  of functions of first-order and second-order bounded variation are defined by

$$BV(\Omega) = \{u \in L^1(\Omega) \mid \Phi_1(u) < +\infty\},$$

where

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi_1(u) := \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} u(x) \operatorname{div} \phi(x) dx \mid \phi \in C_c^1(\Omega), \|\phi\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

and

$$BV^2(\Omega) = \{u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) \mid \Phi_2(u) < +\infty\},$$

where

---

*Date:* June 8, 2011.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54C40, 14E20; Secondary 46E25, 20C20.

*Key words and phrases.* Measure theory.

- The Sobolev space  $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  is defined as

$$W^{1,1}(\Omega) = \{ u \in L^1(\Omega) \mid \nabla u \in L^1(\Omega) \}$$

- The second-order total variation is :

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi_2(u) := \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} u \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \xi_{ij}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} dx \mid \xi \in \mathcal{C}_c^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}), \|\xi\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \right\} < \infty,$$

$$\text{with } \|\xi\|_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^n |\xi_{ij}(x)|^2}.$$

The following result makes precise the connection between  $BV(\Omega)$  and  $BV^2(\Omega)$ :

**Theorem 2.1.** *A function  $u$  belongs to  $BV^2(\Omega)$  if and only if  $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in BV(\Omega)$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . In particular*

$$\Phi_2(u) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_1 \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right) \leq n \Phi_2(u).$$

*Proof.* Note that we may choose  $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  functions in the above definitions so that

$$\Phi_1(u) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} \xi dx \mid \xi \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n), \|\xi\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \right\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\Phi_2(u) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} u \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \xi_{ij}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} dx \mid \xi \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}), \|\xi\|_{\infty} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We first prove the left-hand side inequality : let  $\xi \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n})$  and perform an integration by parts

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \xi_{ij}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) dx = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \xi_{ij}}{\partial x_j} dx = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial(-\xi_{ij})}{\partial x_j} dx.$$

As  $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial(-\xi_{ij})}{\partial x_j} = -\operatorname{div} L_i(\xi)$  where  $L_i(\xi)$  is the  $i$ th row of the matrix  $\xi$  and  $L_i(\xi)$  satisfies  $\|L_i(\xi)\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ , we get

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \xi_{ij}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_1 \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right).$$

The inequality is obtained by taking the supremum over  $\xi$ .

Let us prove the right-hand side inequality : for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi_j}{\partial x_j}(x) dx = - \int_{\Omega} f(x) \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_j}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(x) dx.$$

Let be  $\psi^k : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  defined as follows : every line of the matrix  $\psi^k(x)$  but the  $k$ th is null and the line  $k$  is  $[\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_n(x)]$  so that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_j}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \psi_{ij}^k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

Therefore,  $\forall k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k}(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) dx = - \int_{\Omega} f(x) \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial \psi_{ij}^k}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) dx \leq \Phi_2(f) .$$

This holds for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , so that  $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$\Phi_1 \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) \leq \Phi_2(f)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_1 \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) \leq n \Phi_2(f) .$$

□

The space  $BV(\Omega)$ , endowed with the norm  $\|u\|_{BV(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^1} + \Phi_1(u)$ , and  $BV^2(\Omega)$  endowed with the following norm

$$(2.3) \quad \|f\|_{BV^2(\Omega)} := \|f\|_{W^{1,1}(\Omega)} + \Phi_2(f) = \|f\|_{L^1} + \|\nabla f\|_{L^1} + \Phi_2(f),$$

where  $\Phi_2$  is given by (2.2), are Banach spaces.

We next recall standard properties of functions of 1st and 2nd order bounded variation. We first have embedding theorems

**Proposition 2.2.** [2, 3, 6, 8] *Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with Lipschitz boundary.*

- (1)  $BV(\Omega) \subset L^2(\Omega)$  with continuous embedding, if  $n = 2$ .
- (2)  $BV(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$  with compact embedding, for every  $p \in [1, 2)$ , if  $n = 2$ .
- (3) Assume  $n \geq 2$ . Then

$$BV^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1,q}(\Omega) \text{ with } q \leq \frac{n}{n-1},$$

with continuous embedding. Moreover the embedding is compact if  $q < \frac{n}{n-1}$ .

We get lower semi-continuity results as well:

- Theorem 2.3.**
- (1) *The mapping  $u \mapsto \Phi_1(u)$  is lower semi-continuous from  $BV(\Omega)$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$  for the  $L^1(\Omega)$  topology.*
  - (2) *The mapping  $u \mapsto \Phi_2(u)$  is lower semi-continuous from  $BV^2(\Omega)$  endowed with the strong topology of  $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . More precisely, if  $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of  $BV^2(\Omega)$  that strongly converges to  $u$  in  $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  then*

$$\Phi_2(u) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_2(u_k).$$

We end this section with a “density ” result in  $BV(\Omega)$  :

**Theorem 2.4** ([3] Theorem 10.1.2. p 375 ). *The space  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$  is dense in  $BV(\Omega)$  in the following sense : for every  $u \in BV(\Omega)$  there exist a sequence  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$  such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n - u\|_{L^1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi_1(u_n) = \Phi_1(u) .$$

*This convergence is called the **strict** convergence as in [2].*

Let us define the space  $BV_0(\Omega)$  as the space of functions of bounded variation that vanish on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of  $\Omega$ . More precisely as  $\Omega$  is bounded and  $\partial\Omega$  is Lipschitz, functions of  $BV(\Omega)$  have a trace of class  $L^1$  on  $\partial\Omega$  [2, 3, 9], and the trace mapping  $T : BV(\Omega) \rightarrow L^1(\partial\Omega)$  is linear, continuous from  $BV(\Omega)$  equipped with the strict convergence to  $L^1(\partial\Omega)$  endowed with the strong topology ([3] Theorem 10.2.2 p 386). The space  $BV_0(\Omega)$  is then defined as the kernel of  $T$ . It is a Banach space, endowed with the induced norm.

**Remark 2.5.** We may also define  $\widetilde{BV_0}$  as the closure of  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  (the space of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  functions with compact support in  $\Omega$ ) for the strict convergence of  $BV(\Omega)$ .

It is easy to see that  $\widetilde{BV_0}(\Omega) \subset BV_0(\Omega)$ . The converse inclusion is more technical to prove: the proof of [3] p 189 has to be adapted. Though we do not prove it in the present paper we conjecture it is true.

### 3. POINCARÉ-WIRTINGER INEQUALITIES

**3.1. Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality in  $BV(\Omega)$ .** We first recall the classical Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality for the Sobolev-space  $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  (see [3] p. 161–180 for example, or [1]).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , which is bounded in one direction. Then, there exists a constant  $C_\Omega$  such that

$$\forall v \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) \quad \|v\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C_\Omega \|\nabla v\|_{L^1(\Omega)} .$$

Moreover, if  $\Omega$  is an open bounded set of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$ , then there exists a constant  $C_\Omega$  such that

$$\forall u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) \quad \|u - m(u)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C_\Omega \|\nabla u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} ,$$

where where  $m(u) := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega u(x) dx$  is the mean-value of  $u$ .

A consequence of the previous results is a Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality in the BV-space

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a Lipschitz open bounded set. Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\forall u \in BV(\Omega) \quad \|u - m(u)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C \Phi_1(u) .$$

*Proof.* The result is mentioned in [3], p. 399 (proof of Lemma 10.3.2), but we give the proof for convenience. Let  $u \in BV(\Omega)$  and  $(u_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$  be a sequence such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n - u\|_{L^1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi_1(u_n) = \Phi_1(u) .$$

It is clear that  $m(u_n) \rightarrow m(u)$ . In addition  $u_n \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  since  $\Omega$  is bounded. We use theorem 3.1 to infer

$$\forall n \quad \|u_n - m(u_n)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^1} = \Phi_1(u_n) .$$

Passing to the limit gives the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a Lipschitz open bounded set. Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\forall u \in BV(\Omega) \text{ such that } \int_{\Omega} u(x) dx = 0 \quad \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C\Phi_1(u) .$$

**Remark 3.4.** *We have the same result on the set  $\Omega$  for functions in  $\widetilde{BV}_0$ . The proof is the same and we use the definition of  $\widetilde{BV}_0$  to approximate any function of  $\widetilde{BV}_0$  by a sequence of  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  functions : let  $\Omega$  be a Lipschitz open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then there exists a constant  $C_{\Omega} > 0$  such that*

$$\forall u \in \widetilde{BV}_0 \quad \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C_{\Omega}\Phi_1(u) .$$

Another result can be found in [9] for functions in  $BV_0(\Omega)$ . More precisely

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a connected and Lipschitz open bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . There exists a constant  $C_{\Omega} > 0$  such that*

$$\forall u \in BV_0(\Omega) \quad \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C_{\Omega}\Phi_1(u) .$$

*Proof.* We use the fact that  $\partial\Omega$  is a borelian set so that Corollary 5.12.8 of [9] can be used. Indeed the capacity of  $\partial\Omega$  is different from 0 since the  $n - 1$  dimensional Hausdorff measure of  $A$  is nonnegative ( [9] Lemma 5.12.8 and Lemma 5.12.3).  $\square$

**3.2. Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality in  $BV^2(\Omega)$ .** We may extend the previous inequalities to functions in  $BV^2(\Omega)$ :

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a connected Lipschitz open, bounded set . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\forall u \in BV^2(\Omega) \quad \|\nabla u - M(\nabla u)\|_{L^1(\Omega), \mathcal{N}} \leq C_{\mathcal{N}}\Phi_2(u) ,$$

where  $M(V) := \{m(V_1), \dots, m(V_n)\}$  is the (vectorial) mean-value of  $V$  and

$$\|V\|_{L^1(\Omega), \mathcal{N}} = \mathcal{N}(\|V_1\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \dots, \|V_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)}) ,$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  denotes any norm in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (for example the  $\ell^1$ -norm).

*Proof.* As  $u \in BV^2(\Omega)$  we use Proposition 2.1 to infer that for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$   $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \in BV(\Omega)$ . With Theorem 3.2 we get the existence of  $C$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \quad \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} - m\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right) \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C\Phi_1\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right) \leq nC\Phi_2(u) .$$

This gives the result.  $\square$

Let us detail the particular case where  $u \in BV_m^2(\Omega)$  defined as follows

$$BV_m^2(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in BV^2(\Omega) \mid \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} dx = 0 \ i = 1, \dots, n \right\} .$$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a connected Lipschitz open, bounded set. Then, there exists a constant  $C_{\Omega} > 0$  only depending on  $\Omega$  such that*

$$(3.2) \quad \forall u \in BV_m^2(\Omega), \ \forall i = 1, \dots, n \quad \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C_{\Omega}\Phi_2(u) .$$

At last a direct corollary of Theorem 3.5 is the following:

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a connected Lipschitz open, bounded set. Then, there exists a constant  $C_\Omega > 0$  only depending on  $\Omega$  such that*

$$(3.3) \quad \forall u \in BV^2(\Omega) \text{ such that } \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \forall i = 1, \dots, n \quad \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C_\Omega \Phi_2(u) .$$

*Proof.* We use Theorem 3.5 with  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$  to infer

$$\left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C \Phi_1\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\right) ,$$

and we conclude with Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**3.3. Example.** Assume  $n = 2$  and  $\Omega = ]a_1, b_1[ \times ]a_2, b_2[$ . Then  $BV^2(\Omega) \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , the trace of any function in  $BV^2(\Omega)$  belongs to  $L^2(\Omega)$  and the Green-formula gives

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} dx_i dx_j = \int_{a_j}^{b_j} (u(b_i, x_j) - u(a_i, x_j)) dx_j .$$

Therefore we get : for all  $u \in BV^2(\Omega)$  such that  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$

$$(3.4) \quad \Phi_1(u) = \|\nabla u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C_\Omega \Phi_2(u) .$$

#### 4. AN APPLICATION IN IMAGE PROCESSING

In [4] we have investigated a second order variational model for image processing:

$$\min_{v \in BV^2(\Omega)} F(v) := \frac{1}{2} \|u_d - v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda \Phi_2(v) + \delta \|v\|_{W^{1,1}(\Omega)},$$

where  $\Omega$  is a square open set of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\lambda, \delta > 0$  and  $u_d \in L^2(\Omega)$ . We chose  $\delta > 0$  because we were not able to prove existence of solution without this assumption. However, we may now avoid the use of the penalization term  $\delta \|v\|_{W^{1,1}(\Omega)}$  if we look for solutions in

$$BV_0^2(\Omega) := \{u \in BV^2(\Omega) \mid u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} ,$$

solving

$$(\mathcal{P}) \quad \inf \{ F(v) \mid v \in BV_0^2(\Omega) \} .$$

More precisely

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\delta = 0$ . Then problem  $(\mathcal{P})$  has at least a solution.*

*Proof.* Let  $v_n \in BV_0^2(\Omega)$  be a minimizing sequence, i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} F(v_n) = \inf \{ F(v) \mid v \in BV_0^2(\Omega) \} < +\infty .$$

The sequence  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $BV^2(\Omega)$ .

Indeed  $\Phi_2(v_n)$  is bounded and  $\Phi_1(v_n)$  as well with relation (3.4). As  $v_n$  is  $L^2$ -bounded it is also bounded in  $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ . This yields that  $v_n$  is bounded in  $BV^2(\Omega)$ . With Proposition 2.2 we get the strong convergence (up to a subsequence)

of  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  to  $v^*$ , the weak convergence in  $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  and the strong convergence in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . With Theorem 2.3 we get

$$\Phi_2(v^*) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi_2(v_n),$$

so that

$$F(v^*) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} F(v_n) = \min_{v \in BV_0^2(\Omega)} F(v).$$

It remains to prove that  $v^* \in BV_0^2(\Omega)$ . The continuity of the trace operator  $\gamma_0$  from  $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  to  $L^2(\partial\Omega) \subset H^{1/2}(\Omega)$  gives the result since  $\gamma_0(v_n) = 0$  for every  $n$  and  $v_n$  strongly converges to  $v^*$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

A full study of this model with  $\delta > 0$  (including numerical tests) has been performed in [4].

#### REFERENCES

1. Adams, R.A. : *Sobolev spaces*. Academic Press, Springer Verlag (1978).
2. L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco and D. Pallara, *Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems*. Oxford mathematical monographs, Oxford University Press (2000).
3. H. Attouch, G. Buttazzo and G. Michaille, *Variational analysis in Sobolev and BV spaces : applications to PDEs and optimization*. MPS-SIAM series on optimization, (2006)
4. M. Bergounioux and L. Piffet, *A second-order model for image denoising*, Set Valued and Variational Analysis, Vol. 18, 3-4, pp. 277-306
5. K. Bredies, K. Kunisch and T. Pock, *Total Generalized Variation*, preprint (2009)
6. F. Demengel, *Fonctions à hessien borné*, Annales de l'institut Fourier, tome **34**, no 2, pp. 155-190 (1984)
7. L.C. Evans, R. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, CRC Press, (1992)
8. L. Piffet, *Modèles variationnels pour l'extraction de textures 2D*, PhD Thesis, Orléans, (2010)
9. W.P. Ziemer, *Weakly differentiable functions*, Springer (1980)

UNIVERSITÉ D'ORLÉANS, UFR SCIENCES, MAPMO, UMR 6628, ROUTE DE CHARTRES, BP 6759, 45067 ORLÉANS CEDEX 2

*Current address:* Université d'Orléans, UFR Sciences, MAPMO, UMR 6628, Route de Chartres, BP 6759, 45067 Orléans cedex 2

*E-mail address:* maitine.bergounioux@univ-orleans.fr