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# ON POINCARÉ-WIRTINGER INEQUALITIES IN SPACES OF FUNCTIONS OF BOUNDED VARIATION 

M. BERGOUNIOUX


#### Abstract

The goal of this paper is to extend Poincaré-Wirtinger inequalities from Sobolev spaces to spaces of functions of bounded variation of second order. We give an application to an image processing variational problem.


## 1. Introduction

A useful tool when dealing with Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations is the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality that provides norm equivalences under appropriate assumptions. These inequalities usually provide Sobolev embeddings and compactness results (see Adams [1]). The goal of this paper is to extend PoincaréWirtinger inequalities from Sobolev spaces to spaces of functions of second order bounded variation. The result is known for the space of functions of first-order bounded variation (see [3]). Indeed, this space is very useful in image processing context and many variational models are developed to deal with denoising of texture extraction. Variational models in image processing can be improved using the so-called $B V^{2}$ space that we define in next section ( $[7,9,4,6]$. Generally these models require a priori estimates on functions while first and/or second order derivative estimates are available.

## 2. The spaces of functions of bounded variation

We briefly recall the definitions and the main properties of (classical) spaces of functions of bounded variation. One can refer to $[2,3,8]$ for a complete study of the $B V$ space and to $[7,4,9]$ for the so-called $B V^{2}$ space.

Let $\Omega$ be an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$ smooth enough (Lipschitz for example). The spaces $B V(\Omega)$ and $B V^{2}(\Omega)$ of functions of first-order and secondorder bounded variation are defined by

$$
B V(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{1}(\Omega) \mid \Phi_{1}(u)<+\infty\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}(u):=\sup \left\{\int_{\Omega} u(x) \operatorname{div} \xi(x) d x \mid \xi \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{1}(\Omega),\|\xi\|_{\infty} \leq 1\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
B V^{2}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) \mid \Phi_{2}(u)<+\infty\right\}
$$

where

[^0]- The Sobolev space $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ is defined as

$$
W^{1,1}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{1}(\Omega) \mid \nabla u \in L^{1}(\Omega)\right\}
$$

- The second-order total variation is:
$\Phi_{2}(u):=\sup \left\{\int_{\Omega}\langle\nabla u, \operatorname{div}(\xi)\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mid \xi \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right),\|\xi\|_{\infty} \leq 1\right\}<\infty$, with $\operatorname{div}(\xi)=\left(\operatorname{div}\left(\xi_{1}\right), \operatorname{div}\left(\xi_{2}\right), \ldots, \operatorname{div}\left(\xi_{n}\right)\right)$, and

$$
\forall i, \xi_{i}=\left(\xi_{i}^{1}, \xi_{i}^{2}, \ldots, \xi_{i}^{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \text { and } \operatorname{div}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \xi_{i}^{k}}{\partial x_{k}}
$$

The following result makes precise the connection between $B V(\Omega)$ and $B V^{2}(\Omega)$ :
Theorem 2.1. A function $u$ belongs to $B V^{2}(\Omega)$ if and only if $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \in B V(\Omega)$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. In particular

$$
\Phi_{2}(u) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Phi_{1}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \leq n \Phi_{2}(u) .
$$

Proof. See Piffet [9].
The space $B V(\Omega)$, endowed with the norm $\|u\|_{B V(\Omega)}=\|u\|_{L^{1}}+\Phi_{1}(u)$, and $B V^{2}(\Omega)$ endowed with the following norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{B V^{2}(\Omega)}:=\|f\|_{W^{1,1}(\Omega)}+\Phi_{2}(f)=\|f\|_{L^{1}}+\|\nabla f\|_{L^{1}}+\Phi_{2}(f), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{2}$ is given by (2.2), are Banach spaces.
As in [5] we may define the space $B V_{0}(\Omega)$ of functions of bounded variation that vanish on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ of $\Omega$. More precisely as $\Omega$ is bounded and $\partial \Omega$ is Lipschitz, functions of $B V(\Omega)$ have a trace of class $L^{1}$ on $\partial \Omega$, and the trace mapping $T: B V(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{1}(\partial \Omega)$ is linear and bounded (see [8]). The space $B V_{0}(\Omega)$ is then defined as the kernel of $T$. It is a Banach space, endowed with the induced norm.

We next recall standard properties of functions of 1st and 2nd order bounded variation. We first have embedding theorems
Proposition 2.2. [2, 3, 7, 9] Let $\Omega$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with Lipschitz boundary.
(1) $B V(\Omega) \subset L^{2}(\Omega)$ with continuous embedding, if $n=2$.
(2) $B V(\Omega) \subset L^{p}(\Omega)$ with compact embedding, for every $p \in[1,2)$, if $n=2$.
(3) Assume $n \geq 2$. Then

$$
B V^{2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W^{1, q}(\Omega) \text { with } q \leq \frac{n}{n-1}
$$

with continuous embedding. Moreover the embedding is compact if $q<\frac{n}{n-1}$. In particular

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B V^{2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega) \text { for } q \leq \frac{n}{n-2} \text { if } n>2 \\
& B V^{2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega), \forall q \in[1, \infty[, \text { if } n=2
\end{aligned}
$$

We get lower semi-continuity results as well:

Theorem 2.3. (1) The mapping $u \mapsto \Phi_{1}(u)$ is lower semi-continuous from $B V(\Omega)$ to $\mathbb{R}^{+}$for the $L^{1}(\Omega)$ topology.
(2) The mapping $u \mapsto \Phi_{2}(u)$ is lower semi-continuous from $B V^{2}(\Omega)$ endowed with the strong topology of $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ to $\mathbb{R}$. More precisely, if $\left\{u_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of $B V^{2}(\Omega)$ that strongly converges to $u$ in $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ then

$$
\Phi_{2}(u) \leq \liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{2}\left(u_{k}\right)
$$

We end this section with a "density " result in $B V(\Omega)$ :
Theorem 2.4 ([3] Theorem 10.1.2. p 375 ). The space $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ is dense in $B V(\Omega)$ in the following sense : for every $u \in B V(\Omega)$ there exist a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{1}}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \Phi_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)=\Phi_{1}(u) .
$$

## 3. Poincaré-Wirtinger inequalities

3.1. Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality in $B V(\Omega)$. We first recall the classical Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality for the Sobolev-space $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ (see [3] p. 161-180 for example, or [1]).
Theorem 3.1. Let $\Omega$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, which is bounded in one direction. Then, there exists a constant $C_{\Omega}$ such that

$$
\forall v \in W_{0}^{1,1}(\Omega) \quad\|v\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\Omega}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}
$$

Moreover, if $\Omega$ is an open bounded set of class $\mathcal{C}^{1}$, then there exists a constant $C_{\Omega}$ such that

$$
\forall u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega) \quad\|u-m(u)\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\Omega}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}
$$

where where $m(u):=\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u(x) d x$ is the mean-value of $u$.
A consequence of the previous results is a Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality in the BVspace

Theorem 3.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open bounded set of class $\mathcal{C}^{1}$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\forall u \in B V(\Omega) \quad\|u-m(u)\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C \Phi_{1}(u)
$$

Proof. The result is mentioned in [3], p. 399 (proof of Lemma 10.3.2), but we give the proof for convenience. Let $u \in B V(\Omega)$ and $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$ be a sequence such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{L^{1}}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \Phi_{1}\left(u_{n}\right)=\Phi_{1}(u) .
$$

It is clear that $m\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow m(u)$. In addition $u_{n} \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ since $\Omega$ is bounded. We use theorem 3.1 to infer

$$
\forall n \quad\left\|u_{n}-m\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}}=\Phi_{1}\left(u_{n}\right) .
$$

Passing to the limit gives the result.

We have the same result without any regularity assumption on the set $\Omega$ for functions in $B V_{0}(\Omega)$ (the proof is the same):
Theorem 3.3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\forall u \in B V_{0}(\Omega) \quad\|u\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C \Phi_{1}(u)
$$

3.2. Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality in $B V^{2}(\Omega)$. We may extend the previous inequalities to functions in $B V^{2}(\Omega)$ :
Corollary 3.4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open, bounded set of class $\mathcal{C}^{1}$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\forall u \in B V^{2}(\Omega) \quad|\nabla u-M(\nabla u)|_{L^{1}(\Omega), \mathcal{N}} \leq C_{\mathcal{N}} \Phi_{2}(u)
$$

where $M(V):=\left\{m\left(V_{1}\right), \cdots, m\left(V_{n}\right)\right)$ is the (vectorial) mean-value of $V$ and

$$
|V|_{L^{1}(\Omega), \mathcal{N}}=\mathcal{N}\left(\left(\left\|V_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}, \cdots,\left\|V_{n}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}\right)\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ denotes any norm in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (for example the $\ell^{1}$-norm).
Proof. As $u \in B V^{2}(\Omega)$ we use Proposition 2.1 to infer that for every $i \in\{1, \cdots, n\}$ $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \in B V(\Omega)$. With Theorem 3.3 we get the existence of $C$ such that

$$
\forall i \in\{1, \cdots, n\} \quad\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}-m\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C \Phi_{1}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right) \leq n C \Phi_{2}(u)
$$

This gives the result.
Corollary 3.5. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open, bounded set. Then, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\forall u \in B V^{2}(\Omega) \text { such that } \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega \quad\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C \Phi_{2}(u) .
$$

3.3. Examples. Let us detail the particular case where $u \in B V^{2}(\Omega)$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$. This is the usual case in the context of image processing. Indeed, one often performs a reflexion of the image along its frame to avoid undesirable boundary effects. We assume also that $\left.\Omega=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\right] a_{i}, b_{i}$ [ (the frame is a square). This is a bounded open subset (not $\mathcal{C}^{1}$ ).
By definition, for every $i \in\{1, \cdots, n\}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \in B V_{0}(\Omega)$; indeed,

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}} \in B V(\Omega) \text { and } \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}{ }_{\mid a_{i}, b_{i}[ }=0
$$

Therefore we use Corollary 3.5 to get : for all $u \in B V^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}(u) \simeq\|\nabla u\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \leq C \Phi_{2}(u), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ denotes a generic constant.

## 4. An application in image processing

In [4] we have investigated a second order model for image processing:

$$
F(v)=\frac{1}{2}\left\|u_{d}-v\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\lambda \Phi_{2}(v)+\delta\|v\|_{W^{1,1}(\Omega)}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a squared bounded open set, $\lambda, \delta>0, u_{d} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $v \in B V^{2}(\Omega)$ such that $\frac{\partial v}{\partial n}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$. We are looking for a solution to the optimization problem

$$
(\mathcal{P}) \quad \inf \left\{F(v) \mid v \in \widetilde{B V^{2}}(\Omega)\right\},
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{B V^{2}}(\Omega)=\left\{v \in B V^{2}(\Omega) \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial v}{\partial n}{ }_{\mid \partial \Omega}=0 .\right.\right\}
$$

We chose $\delta>0$ because we were not able to prove existence of solution without this assumption. Now, with Poincaré-Wirtinger tool we can get rid of the $\delta$-term so that the model is improved. More precisely
Theorem 4.1. Assume $\lambda>0$ and $\delta=0$. Then problem $(\mathcal{P})$ has at least a solution.

Proof. Let $v_{n} \in \widetilde{B V^{2}}(\Omega)$ be a minimizing sequence, i.e.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} F\left(v_{n}\right)=\inf \left\{F(v) \mid v \in \widetilde{B V^{2}}(\Omega)\right\}<+\infty
$$

The sequence $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $B V^{2}(\Omega)$. Indeed $\Phi_{2}\left(v_{n}\right)$ is bounded and $\Phi_{1}\left(v_{n}\right)$ as well with relation (3.1). As $v_{n}$ is $L^{2}$-bounded it is also bounded in $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$. With the compactness result of Proposition 2.2 this yields that $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ strongly converges (up to a subsequence) in $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ to $v^{*} \in B V^{2}(\Omega)$. With the continuity of the trace operator we get $v^{*} \in \widetilde{B V^{2}(\Omega)}$. Theorem 2.3 gives the following:

$$
\Phi_{2}\left(v^{*}\right) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \Phi_{2}\left(v_{n}\right)
$$

So

$$
F\left(v^{*}\right) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow+\infty} F\left(v_{n}\right)=\min _{v \in B V^{2}(\Omega)} F(v)
$$

and $v^{*}$ is a solution to $(\mathcal{P})$.
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