

Resistance measurements and weak localization in long SWNTs

Pier Giuseppe Gabrielli, Simone Gabrielli, Nicola Lisi

► To cite this version:

Pier Giuseppe Gabrielli, Simone Gabrielli, Nicola Lisi. Resistance measurements and weak localization in long SWNTs. Molecular Simulation, 2009, 35 (06), pp.473-476. 10.1080/08927020802635137 . hal-00515069

HAL Id: hal-00515069 https://hal.science/hal-00515069

Submitted on 4 Sep 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. Molecular Simulation Journal of Experimental Nanoscience



Resistance measurements and weak localization in long SWNTs

Journal:	Molecular Simulation/Journal of Experimental Nanoscience
Manuscript ID:	GMOS-2008-0209
Journal:	Molecular Simulation
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Oct-2008
Complete List of Authors:	Gabrielli, Pier Giuseppe; ENEA, FIM Gabrielli, Simone; Università di Roma 2, Physics Lisi, Nicola; ENEA, FIM
Keywords:	quantum transport, weak localization, carbon nanotube, phase coherence



http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tandf/jenmol

Resistance measurements and weak localization in long SWNTs

P. G. Gabrielli*°, S. Gabrielli** and N. Lisi*

^{*}ENEA, Centro Ricerche Casaccia, via Anguillarese 301 Rome Italy ^{**}Dip. di fisica, Univ. degli Studi di Roma "Tor Vergata" Rome Italy

In many I-V measurements an evidence has emerged pointing towards the existence of Luttinger liquid behavior in metallic SWNT, as expected for strongly interacting electrons in 1-d, such behavior was observed via the power law temperature and bias-voltage dependence of the current through tunneling contacts attached to the nanotubes. In particular recent advances in the growth of extremely long nanotube (>1 mm) have allowed for experimental measurements on the scaling behavior of resistance in individual, millimeter long SWNT for the temperature range of 1.6-300 K. From the linear scaling of resistance, the temperature dependent electron mean free path has been calculated for each temperature and, beyond the linear scaling regime, it has been observed that the resistance increases exponentially with length, indicating localization behavior. In this work we analyse the results of the resistance measurements of different lengths SWNT indicating the weak localization behavior.

Keywords: quantum transport, , weak localization, carbon nanotube, phase coherence

1 INTRODUCTION

The rapidly advancing technology of nanometric devices has led to the production of smaller and smaller systems: among the main items in the design of these electronic devices there are the measurement and understanding of the current-voltage (I-V) response of electronic circuits in which carbon nanotubes act as conducting elements. These devices, often called mesoscopic systems, are large on the atomic scale but sufficiently small that the electron wavefunction is coherent over the entire sample: the condition for coherence is that the electron traverse the wire without undergoing any inelastic collision. Indeed in perfect single-walled carbon nanotube (SWNT) electrons propagate ballistically if the inelastic scattering can be neglected.

On the other hand it is also well known that at lowtemperature charge transport in any disordered conductor is governed by the interplay between inelastic scattering and elastic scattering off static disorder (impurities and defects) of electrons, therefore, in low dimensions systems like SWNTs, an arbitrarily weak disorder localizes [1,2] all single-electron states and there would be no transport without inelastic processes (Anderson localization¹ of electronic states leads to metal-insulator transition at zero temperature [3]: note that, for electrons in a given conduction band, strong enough disorder can localize the whole band; the metal-insulator transition induced by disorder is called Anderson transition.).

Coherent quantum transport in low dimensional systems can be investigated with either the Kubo or the Landauer-

Buttiker formalism [4]. The first approach, which derives from the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, allows one to evaluate the intrinsic conduction regime within the linear response and gives direct access to the fundamental transport length scales² such as the elastic mean free path $l_{_0}$ and the localization length ξ . While $l_{_0}$ results from elastic backscattering by static disorder, ξ denotes the scale beyond which quantum conductance decays exponentially with the system length L driving the system from weak to strong localization. In other words the localization length ξ gives the scale beyond which weak localization are fully suppressed, since the quantum interference effects are usually reduced by inelastic scattering as decoherence owing to mechanisms such as electron-phonon (e-ph) or electron-electron (e-e) coupling. Within the framework of weak localization theory, it has been possible to derive perturbatively the relation between the measured conductance becomes more appropriate, since it rigorously treats G, its quantum correction δG and the coherence length l_{\bullet} that fixes the scale beyond which quantum interference effect are destroyed. The estimation of the coherence lengths is a central issue in mesoscopic physics, and weak localization provides an elegant framework to extract the behaviour of l_{ϕ} , that mainly depends on the dimensionality of charge transport. When l_0 becomes longer than the length of the nanotube between the leads, the carriers propagate ballistically and contact effects prevail. In such a situation, the Landauer-Buttiger

¹The localization is a property of the states in random QM systems and can be interpreted by total back-reflection of particles from potential barriers so that they become localized in a single potential well.

[°]Corresponding author. Email: piergiuseppe.gabrielli@casaccia.enea.it

² Important length scales: the coherence length l_{ϕ} , the energy relaxation length l, the elastic mean free length l_{0} , the Fermi wave length λ_{F} of the electron, the sample size L: in mesoscopic systems it will be $\lambda_{F} \leq l_{0} < L < l_{\phi} \leq l$. Note that $k_{F}l \geq 1$ is called the Ioffe-Regel limit and the atomic Bohr radius $a_{0} << \lambda_{F}$.

formalism transmission properties for open systems and arbitrary interface geometries.

2 QUANTUM TRANSPORT IN SWNT

2.1 Conductivity and Transport

The more general formula for actual local current measured by experimentalists (generalized Ohm's law) for conductivity in infinite length system is

$$J_{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},t) = \int d\mathbf{r}' \sum_{\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega) E_{\beta}(\mathbf{r}',t)$$
(1)

where the (non-local) conductivity $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ is response to actual (external + induced) electric field and is given by the Kubo formula [5] (where periodic boundary conditions and coupling of only the charge degrees of freedom, none spin degree of freedom is considered, to external **E**-field are assumed)

$$\sigma_{_{\alpha\beta}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega) = \frac{e^2}{\hbar\omega} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt e^{i\omega t} \left\langle \psi \left[j_{_{\alpha}}^{_{\alpha}}(\mathbf{r},t), j_{_{\beta}}(\mathbf{r}',0) \right] \psi \right\rangle + \frac{ne^2}{m\omega} i\delta_{_{\alpha\beta}}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$$
(2)

The wave function ψ is the ground state of the many-body Hamiltonian which contains all possible interactions in the solid (except the interaction between the total electric field and the particles of the system) and the first term is called retarded current-current correlation function. Kubo first derived the equations for electrical conductivity in the solid and Kubo formulas are the name applied to the correlation function which describes the linear response.

But this procedure is physically incorrect as a way of defining the conductivity in a finite system in which electrons enter from an external electrode at one end and are removed at the other end collected by another external electrode. In a finite (ideal) sample if the chemical potential is higher at one lead, electrons of the large reservoir with constant μ_1 , than at the other lead, with constant μ_2 , the current is the response to the gradient of chemical potential for electrons not to the electric field; in other words, if $\mu_1 > \mu_2$ and *e* is the absolute value of the electronic charge, the voltage difference ΔV between the two baths due to flow across the sample of the current *I* is

$$\Delta V = \frac{I}{G_e} = \frac{\mu_1 - \mu_2}{e}$$
(3)

where

$$G_{e} = \frac{e^{2}}{\pi\hbar} T(E_{f})$$
(4)

is the irreducible conductance measured between the two outside reservoirs being T the transmission probability for channel (to go from electrode 1 to electrode 2). The inelastic processes (which break the time-reversal invariance and the phase coherence of the states at the two extremities, dissipate energy and restore equilibrium) in this case are assumed to exist only in the two electrons baths, so that the ramdomized phase of the injected and absorbed electrons through these processes results in no phase relation between particles.

At low temperature, in presence of only elastic scattering for the electrons at the Fermi surface with a linear series of random scatterers connecting the two reservoirs, the true conductance G due to barrier (including spin degeneracy) is correctly given by the transmission and reflection coefficients of the sample by the Landauer-Buttiker, not the Kubo, formula [4,5]

$$G = \frac{e^2}{\pi\hbar} \frac{\mathrm{T}(E_{_{\mathrm{F}}})}{1 - \mathrm{T}(E_{_{\mathrm{F}}})}$$
(5)

Electronic transport measurements [6] on individual SWNT demonstrate that, in the absence of scattering (then the transmission probability is T = 1), the momentum relaxation length and the localization length ξ are much larger than the wire length and the transport in these systems is ballistic: the wavefunction of the electron is extended over the total length of the nanotube and there are only two channels which contribute to the electronic transport giving $G = 2G_c$. However, as already outlined, in presence of some mechanism of scattering the conductance is described by the Landauer formula (4) and the conductance is no longer exactly quantized.

Because the electron can lose energy and equilibrate with heat bath only via inelastic collisions it is necessary to reexamine the conventional concept of energy dissipation in a quasi 1-d resistor systems. In the theory of the 1-delectron systems, called Luttinger-liquid (LL)[7,8] (see AppendixA), the correlated electron state is characterized by a parameter g that measures the strength of the interaction between the electrons (g=1 for non-interacting electrons gas). The most important feature of the LL, in contrast to Landau Fermi-Liquid theory³ (FL), is the absence of the fermion quasiparticle branch at low energy: excited states of the system must be described by the bosonic fluctuations of the charge and spin densities dispersing with different velocities, which correspond to many-body electron state with a huge number of the electron-hole pairs. This have a pronounced effect on the tunneling into a LL conductor: the I-V curve of a tunnel

³ Landau Fermi-Liquid theory is concerned with the properties of many-fermion system at low temperature (much lower than Fermi energy) in the normal state, i.e. in the absence or at least at temperatures above any symmetry breaking phase transition (superconduction, etc).

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

57

58

59 60

junction between a normal FL and a LL conductor is expected to be non-ohmic and described by a power law with an exponent depending on interaction strength.

Not only, in [9] Bockrath et al. observe a Luttinger-liquid behavior in (rope of) SWNT's in measurements of the electrical transport as a function of temperature resulting in a power laws for linear response conductance

$$G(T) \propto T^{\alpha} \tag{6}$$

where $\alpha = f(g)$ and $g = \left[1 + \frac{2U}{\Delta}\right]^{-1/2} (U$ is the charging

energy of the tube and Δ [10] is the single-particle level spacing).

2.2 Weak localization

When the temperature is so high that the conductivity can be treated as local quantity, like in Anderson localization which deals with wave function of the single electron in presence of impurities, the conductance is obtained by combination of smaller parts of materials. But when the temperature is low or the sample is small the dephasing length l_{φ} is greater than the sample linear dimensions L so that the quantum corrections to the conductivity are nonlocal and the conductance can no longer be treated as a selfaveraging quantity. Since the lack of self-averaging of the conductance is a feature of mesoscopic conductors, in SWNT it is necessary to analyze the effects of weak disorder and the inelastic scatterings on charges transport.

34 Due to the existence of the impurities the transport is more 35 diffusive than ballistic (the existence of scattering is possible in both regimes but in diffusive one we have that 36 37 $l = v_{k}\tau \ll L$ so that the material is characterized by a 38 relatively low mobility) though the elastic scattering of the 39 electrons, if these impurities are equivalent to static defects, 40 can modify the interference terms but does not cause 41 decoherence [4]. At low temperature the conduction take 42 place mainly with electrons at Fermi energy and, due to 43 some gate potential, the Fermi point upon which the 44 electrons travel can be shifted slightly, therefore it is 45 possible that an electron that moved on one side (path) of an 46 impurity begins to move on the other side (path) after the 47 shift. This process (analogous to Aharonov-Bohm effect in 48 the presence of some magnetic field) induced a quantum 49 fluctuation of the conductance of the order of $2e^2/h$ and 50 depends on the exact configuration of scattering centers 51 within the sample: these two paths are time-reversed with 52 respect to one another and since the electron return to its 53 original position it can interfere with itself creating an 54 additional resistance called weak localization [11,12]. Then 55 the weak localization is caused by the quantum interference effect on the diffusive motion of a single electron. 56

From semiclassical point of view it is possible to calculate this additional resistance considering that the

conductivity is related to the current-current correlation function, as in eq. (2), and being $D = v_F^2 \tau$ the diffusion coefficient and $l_{\tau} = \sqrt{D\tau_{\tau}}$ for 1D we get

$$\Delta \sigma_{_{WL}} \approx -\frac{e^2}{\pi \hbar} l_{_{\varphi}} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{\tau_{_{\varphi}}}{\tau} \right)^{_{-1/2}} \right]$$
(7)

Even though in [13] it has been suggested that zero-point fluctuations cause the dephasing in one dimensional quantum wire at low temperature (ascribed to finite broadening of Fermi surface) and presenting a zero-point-limited dephasing time τ_0 in good agreement with the measured saturation values τ_{φ} found in many experiments, in [14] this hypothesis is rejected using purely physical arguments.

A more sophisticated theory [15,16] tells to us that in presence of a vector potential **A**, for $\omega \tau <<1$, the probability of return path in a disordered SWNT can be conveniently obtained by calculating the Cooperon $C_{\omega}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$, which is a retarded classical electron-electron propagator satisfying a modified diffusion equation in the frequency domain [16]

$$\left[-D\left(\nabla_{r}-\frac{2ie}{\hbar}\mathbf{A}\right)^{2}+i\omega+\frac{1}{\tau_{*}}\right]C_{\omega}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')=\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') \quad (8)$$

The WL correction to the conductivity is due to enhanced probability to return, so that

$$\Delta \sigma_{_{WL}}(\omega) = -\frac{2e^2 D}{\pi \hbar} C_{_{o}}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')$$
⁽⁹⁾

3 RESISTANCE IN LONG SWNT

The conductance of metallic SWNT has been shown to depend strongly on the nature of the contacts between the nanotube and the leads. In a typical experimental setup [10] a bias voltage is applied across a nanotube connected to metallic leads, while a gate voltage applied to a third electrode acts as a chemical potential and modulates the charge on the nanotube (see [10] fgg.1.3 and 4). At room temperature the main origin of the resistivity at low bias in high-quality metallic SWNT is believed to be inelastic scattering by acoustic phonon: the scattering is weak resulting in long mean-free path in a range from few hundred nanometers to several micrometers both in the measurements and in the calculations. Then at low bias regime we get ballistic transport. When sufficiently large bias are applied to drive the electric current, higher energy vibrational modes are activated and e-ph coupling limits ballistic transport: electrons gain enough energy to emit optical or zone-boundary phonons leading to a saturation

of the current, in [17] indicated at $\sim 20\mu$ A. The effect of electron-(optical) phonon coupling was found to strongly affect electronic conductance and to induce some energy dependence of the coherence length scale, completely similar to the experimental data obtained in the weak localization regime [18,19].

4 CONCLUSION

We study the suppression of the quasi-ballistic conduction in long (many l_{*}) SWNT noting that some environmental conditions also at low temperature introduce some dynamic disorder which involves, by means inelastic scattering [18-20], a weak localization correction to the conductance.

Appendix A LUTTINGER LIQUID: VERY BRIEF REVIEW

A Luttinger liquid (LL) is a one-dimensional (Fermi liquid) correlated electron state characterized by a parameter g that measures the strength of the interaction between electrons: strong repulsive interactions have $g \ll 1$, whereas g=1 for the non-interacting electron gas (remembering that weakly interacting electrons in normal metal are described by quasiparticles of the Fermi liquid). The LL's are very special in that they retain a Fermi surface enclosing the same k-space volume as that of free fermions, but there are no fermionic quasi-particles (like in normal Fermi liquids), their elementary excitations are bosonic collective charge and spin fluctuations dispersing with different velocities. An incoming electron decays into such charge and spin excitations which then spatially separate with time (charge-spin separation): the correlations between these excitations are anomalous and show up as interactiondependent non-universal power laws in many physical properties where those of ordinary metals are characterized by universal (interaction-independent) powers. A list of such properties includes: 1) a continuous momentum distribution function n(k), varying with as $|k - k_{\rm r}|^{\alpha}$ with an interactiondependent exponent α , and a pseudogap in the singleparticle density of states $\propto \omega^{a}$, consequences of the nonexistence of fermionic quasi-particles; 2) similar power-law behavior in all correlation functions (in those for charge or spin density wave fluctuations) with universal scaling relations between the different non-universal exponents,

which depend only on one effective coupling constant per degree of freedom; 3) finite spin and charge response at small wave vectors and finite Drude weight in the conductivity; 4) spin-charge separation; persistent currents quantized in units of $2k_{e}$.

REFERENCES

 P.W. Anderson, (1958), Absence of Diffusion in Certain Random Lattice, *Phys.Rev.* **109**, 1492 (1958); B.Kramer and A.MacKinnon, Localization: theory and experiment, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **56**, 1469 (1993)
 E. Abrahams, P.W. Anderson, D.C. Licciardello and T.V.

Ramakrishnan, Scaling Theory of Localization: Absence of Quantum Diffusion in Two Dimensions, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **42**, 673 (1979);

S. Roche, J. Jang, L. Foa Torres and R.Saito, Charge transport in carbon nanotubes: quantum effects of electron-phonon coupling, *J.Phys.: Condens Matter* **19**, 183203 (2007).

[3] D.M. Basko, I.L. Aleiner and B.L. Altshuler, Metal-insulator transition in a weakly interacting many-electron system with localized single-particle states, *Ann.Phys.* **321**, 1126 (2006).

[4] Y. Imry, Introduction to Mesoscopic Physics, Oxford (1997).

[5] D.K.Ferry and S.M.Goodnick, *Transport in Nanostructures*, Cambridge (1997).

[6] R.Saito, G.Dresselhaus and M.S. Dresselhaus, *Physical Properties of Carbon Nanotube*, Imperial College Press (1998).

[7] T.Ando, Theory of Electronic States and Transport in Carbon Nanotubes, *J.Phys. Soc. Jpn*, **74**, 777 (2005); J.-C.Charlier, X.Blase and S.Roche, Electronic and Transport Properties of Nanotubes, *Rev.Mod.Phys.* **79**, 677 (2007).

[8] T.Giamarchi, Quantum Physics in One Dimension, Oxford (2004).

[9] M. Bockrath, D.H. Cobden, J.Lu, A.G.Rinler, R.E.Smalley, L.Balents and P.L.McEuen, Luttinger-liquid behaviour in carbon nanotubes, *Nature* **397**, 598 (1999); J.Nygard, D.H.Cobden, M.Bockrath, P.L.McEuen, P.E.Lindelof, Electrical transport measurements on single-walled carbon nanotubes, *Appl.Phys.A* **69**, 297 (1999).

[10]J.Cao, Q.Wang and H.Dai, Electron transport in very clean, asgrown suspended carbon nanotubes, *Nature Materials*, **4**, 745 (2005)

[11] G.Bergmann, Weak localization in thin films, *Phy.Rep.* **107**, 1 (1984).

[12] I.V.Gornyi, A.D.Mirlin and D.G.Poliakov, Electron Transport in a Disordered Luttinger Liquid, *Phys.Rev.B*, **75**, 085421 (2007).

[13] P.Mohanty and R.A.Webb, Decoherence and Quantum Fluctuations, *Phys.Rev.B* **55**, R13452 (1997).

[14] I.L. Aleiner and B.L. Altshuler and M.E.Gershenson, Interaction

effects and phase relaxation in disordered systems, cond-mat/9808053

[15] H.Bruus and K.Flensberg, Many-Boby Quantum Theory in Condensed Matter Physics, An Introduction, Oxford (2004).

[16] B.L.Altshuler, A.G.Aronov and D.E.Khmelnitskii, Effect of electron-electron collisions with small energy transfers on quantum localization, *J.Phys.* C 15, 7367 (1982).

[17] Z.Yao, C.L.Kane and C.Dekker, High-Field Electrical Transport in Single-Wall Carbon Nanotubes, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **84**, 2941 (2000);

Ji-Y.Park et al, Electron-Phonon Scattering in Metallic Single-Wall carbon Nanotubes, *Nano Letters*, **4**, 517 (2004).

[18] S.Roche, J.Jiang, F.Triozon and R.Saito, Quantum Dephasing in Carbon Nanotubes due to Electron-Phonon Coupling, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **95**, 076803 (2005).

[19] B.Sojetz, C.Miko, L.Forrò and C.Strunk, Effect of Band Structure on Quantum Interference in Multiwall Carbon Nanotubes, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **94**, 186802 (2005)

[20] Y.Imry, O.Entin-Wohlman and A.Aharony, Landauer transport with inelastic scattering, *Europhys.Lett.* **72**, 263 (2005).

12

3