



HAL
open science

Clones or Complements? The division of labour between the main cities of the Randstad, the Flemish Diamond and the RheinRuhr Area

Evert Meijers

► **To cite this version:**

Evert Meijers. Clones or Complements? The division of labour between the main cities of the Randstad, the Flemish Diamond and the RheinRuhr Area. *Regional Studies*, 2007, 41 (07), pp.889-900. 10.1080/00343400601120239 . hal-00514639

HAL Id: hal-00514639

<https://hal.science/hal-00514639>

Submitted on 3 Sep 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Clones or Complements? The division of labour between the main cities of the Randstad, the Flemish Diamond and the RheinRuhr Area

Journal:	<i>Regional Studies</i>
Manuscript ID:	CRES-2005-0060.R2
Manuscript Type:	Main Section
JEL codes:	R11 - Regional Economic Activity: Growth, Development, and Changes < R1 - General Regional Economics < R - Urban, Rural, and Regional Economics, R12 - Size and Spatial Distributions of Regional Economic Activity < R1 - General Regional Economics < R - Urban, Rural, and Regional Economics, R14 - Land Use Patterns < R1 - General Regional Economics < R - Urban, Rural, and Regional Economics
Keywords:	Complementarity, Polycentric urban development, Service sector, Urban networks

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4 Clones or Complements? The division of labour
5
6
7
8
9 between the main cities of the Randstad, the Flemish
10
11
12 Diamond and the RheinRuhr Area
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 Evert Meijers
21
22
23

24 Delft University of Technology, OTB Research Institute for Housing, Urban and Mobility
25
26 Studies, P.O. Box 5030, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands. Tel. +31 (15) 2787892, E-mail:
27
28 e.j.meijers@tudelft.nl.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Acknowledgement:
44

45 The author would like to thank Hugo Priemus and Marjolein Spaans for their helpful
46
47 comments. The author wishes to acknowledge the financial assistance of the Dutch
48
49 government through the Habiforum Program Innovative Land Use and Delft University
50
51 of Technology through the Delft Centre for Sustainable Urban Areas.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Clones or Complements? The division of labour between the main cities of the Randstad, the Flemish Diamond and the RheinRuhr Area

Abstract

In the contemporary debate on the spatial organisation of urban regions much emphasis is put on the development of polycentric urban patterns on a variety of spatial scales. Polycentric development at the intra-urban scale of the polycentric city implies an unfolding of a spatial division of labour between the centres. This article analyses whether also on the inter-urban scale of polycentric urban regions such a trend towards complementarity can be found. Opposing trends occur, however, as the division of labour in service sector activities between the main cities of some prime examples of polycentric urban regions is diminishing.

Key words: Polycentricity, Complementarity, Service sector, Urban networks, Polycentric Urban Regions, Correspondence analysis.

JEL classifications: R11, R12, R14

Clones or Complements? The division of labour between the main cities of the Randstad, the Flemish Diamond and the RheinRuhr Area

1 Introduction

In the contemporary debate on the changing spatial organisation of urban regions much emphasis is put on the development of polycentric urban patterns. The concept of polycentricity basically means little more than the co-existence of a number of centres within a certain area and so can be applied to a wide variety of spatial scales. Polycentric urban patterns have been identified and conceptualised at the intra-urban scale and at the inter-urban scale (KLOOSTERMAN and MUSTERD, 2001a; DAVOUDI, 2003). An intra-urban polycentric urban pattern arises from the development of centres alongside the traditional inner city or central business district within a city region (a city and its smaller suburban satellites) and is labelled a 'polycentric city'. Nowadays, it is widely acknowledged that all post-industrial cities are in fact polycentric.¹ By polycentric urban patterns at the inter-urban scale, reference is made to regions in which a number of cities cluster together. These are often called polycentric urban regions: systems of historically distinct and administratively and politically independent cities located in close proximity and lacking a dominating city in political, economic, cultural and other aspects (KLOOSTERMAN and

1
2
3
4 LAMBREGTS, 2001). Though ‘polycentric urban region’ seems to have become one of the
5
6 more common concepts for urban regions with these characteristics, a wide variety of
7
8 more or less similar concepts is in circulation. Recent examples include ‘city networks’
9
10 (CAMAGNI and SALONE, 1993), ‘multicore city-regions’ (WESTIN and ÖSTHOL, 1994),
11
12 ‘network cities’ (BATTEN, 1995), or ‘polynucleated metropolitan regions’ (DIELEMAN and
13
14 FALUDI, 1998a). Several authors have suggested that the meaning of the concept of
15
16 polycentricity differs between the intra-urban and inter-urban scale (KLOOSTERMAN and
17
18 MUSTERD, 2001a; DAVOUDI, 2003). KLOOSTERMAN and MUSTERD (2001a) see four
19
20 dimensions along which inter-urban polycentricity may be qualitatively different from
21
22 intra-urban polycentricity: physical form, political entity; functional relationships and the
23
24 economic dimension. In this paper, differences in functional relationships between the
25
26 intra-urban level of the ‘Polycentric city’ and the inter-urban level of ‘polycentric urban
27
28 regions’ are explored.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 In terms of functional relationships, KLOOSTERMAN and MUSTERD (2001a:627) argue that
38
39 on the intra-urban scale ‘[t]he shift towards polycentricity in the context of one individual
40
41 city implies an unfolding of a spatial division of labour where ‘new’ locations are being
42
43 developed’. The balancing of agglomerative and dispersive forces by economic activities
44
45 and urban functions has led to a more spatially specialised metropolitan layout
46
47 incorporating many different types of centres (ROBERTS et al., 1999, HALL, 2001). As each
48
49 of them has some specific locational advantages, for instance relating to accessibility, rental
50
51 prices, room for expansion etc., they together cater for the diversity in locational needs of
52
53 these activities and functions. Though many of these centres are often labelled as
54
55 *subcentres*, they are often the main centre in the region for the specific activities and
56
57 functions found there. So, while a hierarchy remains, it is more appropriate to speak about
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 a hierarchy between different locations in connection with a specific urban function or
5 economic activity, rather than with a centre in general. This is a manifestation of a
6
7 disconnection between the size and function of centres. The many different urban
8
9 functions and economic activities each have their own hierarchy, which is reflected in
10
11 different settlement patterns, the main centres of which often do not overlap, but rather
12
13 tend to be spread over the variety of centres. Consequently a certain division of labour
14
15 between the centres has developed (HALBERT, 2004), leading to complementarity
16
17 (ROBERTS et al., 1999). On the higher scale of polycentric urban regions, KLOOSTERMAN
18
19 and MUSTERD see two possible outcomes of further polycentric development. On the one
20
21 hand, they speculate that a development similar to the development at the intra-urban level
22
23 will take place, i.e. functional differentiation may be strengthened as cities specialise in
24
25 specific urban functions, which they then provide for the entire region. On the other hand,
26
27 the functional differentiation between the cities making up the polycentric urban region
28
29 may erode, as the whole region becomes more of a homogeneous economic environment
30
31 characterised as one large labour market or location for business. The first explanation has
32
33 been accepted as the most likely outcome, for instance HALL (2001) suggests that within
34
35 increasingly polycentric urban structures there is increasing specialisation, citing as an
36
37 example the functional division of labour between the main cities of the Pearl River Delta
38
39 region in China. So, as regards the dimension of functional relationships, the key issue is
40
41 whether or not a division of labour is developing between centres or cities so that they
42
43 increasingly complement each other.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 Polycentric development processes at the intra-urban scale have been widely documented,
56
57 for a recent analysis see for instance HALBERT (2004). However, less is known about these
58
59 processes at the inter-urban level. This paper explores whether we see a further division of
60

1
2
3
4 labour also developing on the scale of polycentric urban regions. This question will be
5
6 framed in a wider theoretical debate on the spatial organisation of polycentric urban
7
8 regions, and in particular on the nature of the relationships between cities (section 2). In
9
10 section 3 we present our analysis of these relationships on the inter-urban scale of
11
12 polycentric urban regions. This includes details on methodology and data, as well as an
13
14 introduction to our three case study regions, which are all prime examples of polycentric
15
16 urban regions: the Randstad in the Netherlands, the Flemish Diamond in Belgium and the
17
18 RheinRuhr Area in Germany. The results of the comparative analysis of the division of
19
20 labour between the major cities of these regions are presented in section 4. In the final
21
22 section we compare our findings at the inter-urban level with polycentric urban
23
24 development patterns at the intra-urban level.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

32 2 Complementary Relationships

33
34
35
36
37

38 In the contemporary debate on the spatial organisation of urban regions much emphasis is
39
40 put on the nature of the functional relationships between the centres of urban regions. It is
41
42 debated whether or not we are witnessing a transformation in spatial structure that can be
43
44 labelled 'from hierarchy to network'. The pattern of centres within a city would then be
45
46 increasingly less characterised by a hierarchy with the traditional downtown centre at the
47
48 top and a number of subcentres. Often it is questionable whether subcentres are really that
49
50 'sub'. On a higher spatial scale, polycentric urban regions also seem to be at odds with the
51
52 traditional Christallerian urban pattern emphasising hierarchical relationships (CAMAGNI,
53
54 1993; CAPELLO, 2000). The clustering of more or less similar-sized cities close together
55
56 and the lack of a clear hierarchy between them seems to provide a completely different
57
58 urban pattern. It has been suggested that this pattern is following a 'network model', which
59
60

1
2
3
4 conflicts with the central place model (BATTEN, 1995; VAN DER KNAAP, 2002). The
5
6 unfolding of a division of labour between centres or cities in a region could be considered
7
8 as a manifestation of the development of complementary relationships between them.
9
10 Such complementary relationships are a key characteristic of this 'network model' of
11
12 spatial organisation, the others being the overlapping of the functional hinterlands of cities
13
14 resulting in functional integration and size neutrality, that is a relative disconnection
15
16 between size and function of a city. The latter means that the population number of a city
17
18 no longer determines its basis for activities and functions. Higher order functions can thus
19
20 be found in cities that are lower-ranked in terms of size, and the other way around, a city
21
22 may host a set of functions and activities that are of less significance than one would
23
24 expect from its size. Together, these network characteristics lead to a diffused criss-cross
25
26 pattern of spatial interactions. So, our question of whether a division of labour is
27
28 developing is in part similar to the question of whether polycentric urban regions are
29
30 characterised by a network model of spatial organisation, as has been assumed by
31
32 CAMAGNI and SALONE (1993) and VAN DER KNAAP (1994), who point to the Randstad as
33
34 an example. Policy-makers also assume the presence of such a network model, as can be
35
36 seen from the labelling of polycentric urban regions in strategic regional development
37
38 policies, for instance in Belgium ('urban networks'), Estonia ('urban networks'), France
39
40 ('réseaux de villes'), Germany ('Städtenetze'), Italy ('reti di città'), the Netherlands ('urban
41
42 networks') and Switzerland ('vernetztes Städtesystem').
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

53 From a theoretical standpoint, however, a polycentric urban region is not necessarily an
54
55 urban network. It makes sense to distinguish between both concepts. A polycentric urban
56
57 region can be identified more or less by structural characteristics such as the location of its
58
59 cities relative to each other and their size distribution (see KLOOSTERMAN and
60

1
2
3
4 LAMBREGTS, 2001; PARR, 2004). Urban networks could be considered an advanced sort of
5
6 polycentric urban region. Polycentric urban regions also qualify for the label urban
7
8 network when relational characteristics as described by the network model of spatial
9
10 organisation have developed. So, to theoretically justify the label urban network, there
11
12 should be a certain minimum extent of functional integration, of a relative disconnection
13
14 between size and function as well as of complementarity.
15
16
17
18
19

20
21 Though some previous work on conceptualising 'complementarity' has been done
22
23 (ULLMANN, 1956; LAMBOUY, 1969; CAMAGNI and SALONE, 1993), it has remained a rather
24
25 vague concept despite its increasingly frequent, but often casual appearance in both
26
27 academic writings and policy documents. Here, we define complementarity as a result of
28
29 supply and demand. For centres or cities to be complementary, they need to satisfy two
30
31 important preconditions:
32
33

- 34 1.) There must be differentiation between the centres or cities in terms of urban
35
36 functions or activities taking place in the centre or city.ⁱⁱ
37
38
- 39 2.) The geographical markets of demand for these urban functions/activities or places
40
41 must at least partly overlap. This means that mere differentiation does not suffice.
42
43 The urban functions/activities in one centre or city should provide services to
44
45 business or households also making use of functions/activities in other centres.
46
47 Or, at the city level, activities in one city should provide their services also to
48
49 businesses or citizens located in the other city.
50
51
52
53

54
55 To a certain extent both preconditions are linked, as interaction is likely to result from
56
57 differentiation, which then leads to complementarity (Ullmann, 1956; Batten, 1995).
58
59 However, not all differentiation leads to interaction because of intervening opportunities
60

1
2
3
4 (intervening sources of supply) and the costs of interaction (Ullmann, 1956). Moreover,
5
6 the scale on which the interaction takes place varies according to the multiple scales on
7
8 which economic activities or urban functions operate.
9

10
11
12
13 The benefits of complementarity are linked to what ALONSO (1973) referred to as
14
15 'borrowed size'. When two cities complement each other, then the citizens and companies
16
17 in one place can take advantage of the consumer and business services the other city has to
18
19 offer. These functions can then be more specialised, as the demand market on which they
20
21 build is larger given the overlapping of hinterlands. In other words, complementarity is
22
23 linked to agglomeration economies, though, given the physical separation of the urban
24
25 centres and of the firms involved, such advantages are more appropriately described as
26
27 'regional externalities' (PARR, 2004).
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 3 The analysis of complementarity

35
36
37
38
39

40 Our analysis of complementary relationships focuses on service sector activities of the
41
42 main cities within three polycentric urban regions. This includes business services as well
43
44 as public services. In 1999, 66% of all jobs were in the service sector in the RheinRuhr
45
46 Area, while this number was 80.8% for the Randstad and 70.5% and 78.4% for the
47
48 Antwerp and Brussels functional urban regions respectively (IAURIF, 2001). It could be
49
50 hypothesised that such services, e.g. financial services, transportation and logistical
51
52 services, education facilities etc., in one place may have a function for businesses and
53
54 households in other places as well. This is less evident for the primary (agriculture, fishing
55
56 etc.) and in particular secondary (manufacturing) sectors, as, in general, these are often
57
58 relatively more connected to national or international markets rather than regional markets.
59
60

1
2
3
4 Furthermore, our analysis focuses on the first criterion for cities to be complementary,
5
6 namely differentiation on the supply side. Given the strong link between differentiation
7
8 and interaction, this may also indirectly reveal more about the second criterion of
9
10 overlapping demand markets, even though this second criterion is not further explored
11
12 here. Data is also not available to establish the extent to which each and every service
13
14 sector examined functions on a regional scale indeed. However, analyses for the producer
15
16 services sector in one of our case study regions, the Randstad, revealed an intricate web of
17
18 relationships spanning the whole Randstad area (MEIJERS, 1999) and beyond, as
19
20 polycentric urban regions can by no means be defined as single, closed functional units.
21
22 Rather they should be considered as open and multi-layered complexes of nodes,
23
24 networks, flows and interactions at global, regional and local scales (ALBRECHTS, 2001). So,
25
26 even when differentiation results in spatial interaction, this does not necessarily mean that
27
28 this interaction takes place on the regional level of polycentric urban regions. However,
29
30 our choice to focus on the service sector was also prompted by the assumption that these
31
32 may operate relatively more on this regional scale than other sectors. Still, it may be more
33
34 appropriate to speak of an analysis of *potential* complementarity, as we do not know the
35
36 extent to which it has materialized in reality.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 *Case study regions*

46
47 The Randstad, Flemish Diamond and RheinRuhr Area (see Figure 1) are all often cited as
48
49 archetypical examples of polycentric urban regions and have therefore been selected as
50
51 case study regions. They probably do not need much introduction given their currency in
52
53 the literature.ⁱⁱⁱ It is exactly the comparison of these three regions that may put findings for
54
55 individual regions into the right perspective.
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 The three regions have all been conceptualised as relevant functional entities by their
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The three regions have all been conceptualised as relevant functional entities by their respective governments, for strategic policies trying to enhance national and regional competitiveness. With nearly 12 million inhabitants and a population density of slightly more than 1000 inhabitants/km², the RheinRuhr Area in Germany is the largest and most densely populated conurbation, followed by the Randstad in the Netherlands (nearly 7 million inhabitants, a density of almost 1000 inhabitants/km²), while the Flemish Diamond in Belgium has over 5 million inhabitants but a considerably lower density of nearly 600 inhabitants/km² (IAURIF, 2002, and own calculations).

<Figure 1>

Data

In order to analyse the division of labour in commercial and public services between the main cities making up the Randstad, Flemish Diamond and RheinRuhr Area respectively, use was made of databases registering all the establishments and the number of people working in them. This also includes government and non-commercial organisations. An establishment is defined as a location of a firm, organisation, institution or independent profession in or from which an economic activity or independent liberal profession is being practiced by at least one employed person. Multi-establishment firms have separate recordings for each establishment. The economic activities are coded according to the European Union wide NACE Rev. 1 classification (Nomenclature statistique des Activités économiques dans la Communauté Européenne). Use was made of datasets presenting the economic activities of establishments at the two-digit level of detail. This includes 29 different economic activities in the commercial and public services sector.^{iv} Each establishment was given a weighting based on the number of people employed in it.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 For the Randstad, a dataset presenting data on the municipal level was derived from the
8
9 National Information System on Employment (LISA) database for the years 1996 and
10
11 2002. The dataset for the Flemish Diamond was provided by the National Office for
12
13 Social Security in Brussels (NOSS). This semi-governmental body is responsible for the
14
15 financing of social security for employees. The data covers the municipal level for the years
16
17 1996 and 2002. The dataset for the RheinRuhr Area region was provided by an institution
18
19 also involved in social security, the Institut für Arbeitsmarkt- und Berufsforschung and
20
21 produced by its Regionaldirektion NRW der Bundesagentur für Arbeit. Data was available
22
23 at the level of 'Kreisfreie Städte' for the five years 1998-2002. Due to divergent
24
25 delimitations of functional urban areas, or the absence thereof (Flemish Diamond), our
26
27 data concerns solely the central cities.
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 *Method*

35
36 Correspondence analysis was used to analyse the differentiation in the service sector
37
38 profiles of the cities within a polycentric urban region. Correspondence analysis is a
39
40 technique to analyse the association between rows and columns of a table or matrix by
41
42 representing the rows and columns as points in a low-dimensional Euclidean space (in
43
44 practice often a two-dimensional plot). Categories with similar distributions are
45
46 represented as points that are close in space, and categories that have very dissimilar
47
48 distributions are positioned far apart. For an extensive discussion of correspondence
49
50 analysis see GREENACRE (1993) and CLAUSEN (1998). Though often used as a tool to
51
52 enable the graphic interpretation of complex data, correspondence analysis also provides a
53
54 single statistic that describes the extent of differentiation in the service sector profiles of a
55
56 group of cities. This statistic is called the total inertia. Total inertia is a measure of the
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 extent to which the profile points are spread around a centroid, which represents the
5 average profile. The larger the distance of the category points to the centroid, the higher
6 the inertia. The highest attainable inertia is equal to the dimensionality of the problem (in
7 our case the number of cities – 1). This maximum would be reached if all the cities host
8 completely different service activities, whereas zero inertia is attained when they all have
9 exactly the same commercial and public services within their boundaries. In reality, values
10 will be far from the maximum, as reaching the maximum value would imply, for example,
11 that all schools are located in one city, all supermarkets in another one, and all banks in yet
12 another one. In other words, cities have a large component of employment in non-
13 tradeable economic activities.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

30 Provided that the contingency tables for the Randstad, Flemish Diamond and RheinRuhr
31 Area have a similar format (the same number of cities in the rows and the same categories
32 of service sector activities in the columns), the total inertia-statistic of the three regions
33 provides for a comparable measure of differentiation. This implies that the same number
34 of cities for these three regions had to be selected. Being the smallest region in terms of
35 the number of cities included, the Flemish Diamond sets the maximum. Using a threshold
36 value of 80,000 inhabitants in 2000, this region includes four cities, which also happen to
37 be the corners of the 'diamond': Brussels, Ghent, Antwerp, Leuven. This also matches well
38 with the Randstad region, where it is very common to identify four main cities
39 (Amsterdam, Rotterdam, The Hague and Utrecht), which are distinctively larger than the
40 others. We could have used a lower threshold for the Flemish Diamond to include two or
41 three remaining smaller cities, but this would make the selection in the Randstad region
42 quite arbitrary, as there is a much larger number of similar-sized cities in the league below
43 the four main cities. Though identifying four main cities in the RheinRuhr Area is less
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 obvious, for reasons of comparison a selection can also be made of four cities that have
5
6 the most inhabitants and are characterised by the highest centrality: Cologne, Düsseldorf,
7
8 Essen and Dortmund.
9

10 11 12 13 14 4 The division of labour in the Randstad, the Flemish Diamond 15 16 and the RheinRuhr Area 17 18 19 20 21

22 A comparison in time of the total inertia statistic, presenting the extent to which the cities
23
24 differ from each other in terms of service sector activities, leads to some remarkable
25
26 conclusions.^v Figure 2 presents the development of differentiation and thus the potential
27
28 complementarity for the three regions.
29
30
31
32

33
34 <Figure 2>
35
36
37

38 The first conclusion is that the extent of existing and/or potential complementarity in the
39
40 Randstad and Flemish Diamond is considerably higher than in the RheinRuhr Area. So, as
41
42 regards service sector activities, the cities in the RheinRuhr Area are much more similar to
43
44 each other than those in the Randstad and Flemish Diamond. The latter two seem to be
45
46 characterised by cities that are more specialised in certain types of service activities.
47
48 Perhaps this can be partly explained by the historical development of the three regions.
49
50 The polycentric pattern in the Randstad and Flemish Diamond has basically been inherited
51
52 from the past, as fragmented political and administrative structures prevailed for centuries
53
54 in the Low Countries, thus preventing the rise of one powerful city that dominated the
55
56 others (see also DIELEMAN and FALUDI, 1998c). As a result all cities were able to develop
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 specialised urban functions according to their competencies or local competitive
5 advantages. Though this also holds for the RheinRuhr Area to a certain extent, this area
6
7 later witnessed a rapid and overwhelming process of urbanisation and industrialisation
8
9 linked to such natural resources as deposits of coal and iron ore. Consequently, the main
10
11 economic base for each city turned out to be manufacturing, which dominated over other
12
13 types of economic activities for a long time.^{vi}
14
15
16
17
18

19
20 However, at the same time, our second conclusion is that the overall extent of
21
22 complementarity in the Randstad and Flemish Diamond has declined considerably in the
23
24 period from 1996-2002. This decrease was 12.8% in the Randstad and even 18% in the
25
26 Flemish Diamond. Interestingly, over the same period the cities in the RheinRuhr Area
27
28 became more different from each other as regards their service sector activities. The
29
30 sudden upward change between 2001 and 2002 in the RheinRuhr Area is largely due to
31
32 Dortmund becoming relatively more specialised in adult education. The truth, however, is
33
34 that from 2002 on workers of mostly large firms who had become redundant were not
35
36 simply dismissed but employed in a “Personalentwicklungs-Agentur”, a personal
37
38 development centre where they are retrained for other jobs. So, they are not actually
39
40 involved in teaching adults. Without this bias the extent of differentiation in the
41
42 RheinRuhr Area would show a slight increase by some 3 %.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 51 *Detailed regional analysis*

52
53 In the remainder of this section, each polycentric urban region featuring as a case study
54
55 will be presented individually. This allows a more detailed analysis of how the total inertia
56
57 for each region has come about. It will tell us which cities and which service-sector
58
59 activities contribute to the extent of complementarity (and which do not). One of the main
60

1
2
3
4 advantages of correspondence analysis is that it graphically displays associations, thus
5
6 enabling an easier interpretation of the associations between cities and service sector
7
8 activities. These two-dimensional plots are analysed here (Figures 3, 4 and 5). However,
9
10 they first require some guidance for correct interpretation.
11
12
13

14
15
16 The title of the Figures 3, 4 and 5 also presents the 'percentage of total inertia explained'
17
18 by the plot. The method diminishes the number of dimensions (3 in our case) to just 2, in
19
20 order to be able to present them in a two-dimensional plot. Though this is done in the
21
22 most accurate way, it inevitably leads to a loss of information. This percentage of explained
23
24 inertia indicates how accurate the two-dimensional plot still is. The percentages found for
25
26 the three regions are all satisfying, even very high in the cases of RheinRuhr Area and
27
28 Flemish Diamond.
29
30
31

32
33
34 In each plot, two axes together indicate the origin (0,0), which resembles the average
35
36 profile of the four cities. The further a city is away from the origin, the more it contributes
37
38 to the extent of complementarity. If two cities lie close together, then their economic
39
40 profiles are more or less similar. The same condition applies to the economic activities.
41
42 Economic activities lying close together are more or less similarly distributed between the
43
44 cities. The distance between cities and economic activities is more complicated, since these
45
46 are not defined as chi-square distances. All cities influence the location of an economic
47
48 activity, and conversely, all economic activities contribute to the location of a city. In
49
50 general, cities and activities will be close to each other when the observed value for this
51
52 pair of points in the table is larger than expected, and the distance will be large when the
53
54 observed value is less than the expected value. For reasons of clarity, out of the 29
55
56 economic service activities included in the analysis, only those activities contributing at
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 least 0.001 to the extent of complementarity are depicted. Service activities that do not
5 meet this threshold value are either insignificant in terms of the number of jobs, or
6
7 because the distribution of jobs in this activity over the four cities is similar to the
8
9 distribution of all jobs over these cities. Obviously, this is for instance the case with retail
10
11 trade, where the expected number of jobs in the four cities is more or less equal to the
12
13 observed number. In addition, the numbers in the figures that mark the location of a
14
15 certain economic activity are displayed in three sizes. The largest size contributes at least
16
17 0.01 to the total inertia, the middle size between 0.005 and 0.01 and the smallest size
18
19 between 0.001 and 0.005.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 <Figure 3>
28
29

30 31 32 *Randstad*

33
34 In 2002, the three largest Randstad cities - Amsterdam, Rotterdam and The Hague - had
35
36 relatively distinct profiles in commercial and public services. Utrecht had a more general
37
38 and average profile and is, therefore, located closer to the origin (Figure 3). The Hague and
39
40 Rotterdam contribute most to the total inertia (i.e. are most specialised) as they are located
41
42 furthest from the origin. The Hague, which is the seat of the Dutch government, is very
43
44 much associated with public administration and relatively more extra-territorial
45
46 organisations and bodies are present there. Given the fact that Rotterdam's harbour is one
47
48 of the largest in the world, it is not surprising to find that Rotterdam holds a strong
49
50 position in water transport and supporting and auxiliary transport activities. Other
51
52 specialisations include sewage and refuse disposal and construction. Amsterdam has a
53
54 relatively dominant position in the commercial services sector, in particular in financial
55
56 intermediation, computers and related activities and publishing and printing. Moreover,
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 leisure seems to be more important for Amsterdam given the strong presence of the hotels
5
6 and restaurants and recreation, culture and sports sectors. Utrecht's profile in service
7
8 activities resembles Amsterdam's the most. Moreover, trade and business activities as well
9
10 as education are activities strongly present in this city. In general, it seems that the three
11
12 largest cities in the Randstad have different roles in providing services to companies and
13
14 citizens, each of them specialising in either commercial services (Amsterdam),
15
16 transportation (Rotterdam), or public administration (The Hague).
17
18
19
20
21

22 23 *Flemish Diamond*

24
25 As Figure 4 displays, out of the four main Flemish Diamond cities, the smallest one,
26
27 Leuven, is the most specialised, namely in research and development. Together with
28
29 Ghent, another old university town, it holds a strong position in education and healthcare
30
31 and social work. Antwerp has - like Rotterdam - an important port within its boundaries,
32
33 resulting in the strong presence of water transport and supporting and auxiliary transport
34
35 activities. Also construction and business activities are relatively more common in Antwerp
36
37 than in the other cities. Brussels, the main seat of government of the European Union, the
38
39 Belgian state as well as the Flanders and Brussels Capital Region, is consequently strongly
40
41 specialised in public administration and defence (for example NATO), and related
42
43 activities of membership organisations and extra-territorial organisations and bodies.
44
45 Moreover, it holds a strong position in commercial services activities, including financial
46
47 intermediation, insurance and pension funding as well as in post and telecommunications.
48
49 Like the Randstad, the Flemish Diamond seems to be characterised by a quite distinct
50
51 division of labour between the cities.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

<Figure 4>

RheinRuhr Area

Figure 5 presents Dortmund and Essen, the main cities of the Ruhr area, relatively close on the right of the plot, while Cologne and Düsseldorf, the main cities of the Rheinschiene are on the left, but more distant from each other. The activities most exclusively linked to one city (thus contributing most to the inertia), which are insurance and pension funding and recreation, culture and sports, are both linked to the city of Cologne. Air transport also has a strong presence, while Cologne's strong position in land transport and post and telecommunications is shared with Dortmund. Public services such as education, health and social work are relatively more common in Dortmund. The same holds for construction. Düsseldorf holds a strong position in a number of commercial services, such as financial intermediation, activities auxiliary to financial intermediation, real estate, activities that support transport activities and other business activities. It shares a strong position in wholesale trade with Cologne. At this level of analysis, Essen does not seem to offer anything the other cities do not already provide themselves. In general, the main groups of service activities seem to be more evenly spread over the region.

<Figure 5>

Closing remark

Looking at the three regions individually, it is apparent that main groups of economic service activities can be much more exclusively attributed to one city in the Randstad and Flemish Diamond than in the RheinRuhr Area. For instance, clusters of government-related activities can quite exclusively be found in The Hague and Brussels, commercial financial services in Amsterdam and Brussels, transport services in Rotterdam and

1
2
3
4 Antwerp, leisure activities in Amsterdam, research and education in Ghent and Leuven. In
5
6 the RheinRuhr Area, the activities making up these main groups of service activities are all
7
8 much more spread over the whole region.
9
10

11 12 13 14 5 Conclusion

15
16
17
18
19 One could argue that polycentric urban regions are not necessarily urban networks. The
20
21 first term primarily relates to the morphology of the regional urban system, 'the image on
22
23 the map', the latter implies the presence of the characteristics of what is labelled the
24
25 'network model' of spatial organisation. According to the network model of spatial
26
27 organisation, a key relationship between the centres is complementarity. In this paper, the
28
29 analysis focused on the division of labour in service sector activities between the main
30
31 cities of three prime examples of polycentric urban regions: the Randstad, the Flemish
32
33 Diamond and the RheinRuhr Area. The objective was to examine whether or not these
34
35 cities complement each other, or, to be exact, have the potential to do so, as for
36
37 complementarity to develop not only a division of labour in service sector activities on the
38
39 supply side is important, but also a geographical overlapping of demand markets for these
40
41 activities. It has been assumed that a division of labour also implies strong spatial
42
43 interaction, but this link requires further analysis. It was found that the division of labour
44
45 between the main cities of the Randstad and Flemish Diamond is much stronger than in
46
47 the RheinRuhr Area, thus indicating that the existing and potential complementarity is
48
49 much higher in these regions. As far as the aspect of complementary relationships is
50
51 concerned, the Randstad and Flemish Diamond seem to bear more features of the
52
53 network model of spatial organisation than does the RheinRuhr Area nowadays.
54
55 Comparatively, as far as the aspect of complementarity is concerned, the 'urban network'
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 label is more applicable to the Randstad and the Flemish Diamond than to the RheinRuhr
5
6 Area. Some explanation for this is likely to be found in the different urban development
7
8 pathways of the regions. The polycentric layout in the Randstad and Flemish Diamond has
9
10 been shaped over the past centuries as fragmented political and administrative structures
11
12 and rivalry have prevented the rise of one continuously dominant city. Major urban
13
14 development in the RheinRuhr area took place much later, when because of the presence
15
16 of natural resources such as coal and iron ore the area witnessed rapid industrialisation and
17
18 urbanisation.
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 The extent of existing and potential complementarity in the Randstad and Flemish
26
27 Diamond is, however, declining at a relatively fast pace. This empirical evidence supports
28
29 the idea that further polycentric development at the inter-urban scale eventually leads to a
30
31 more homogeneous economic environment. This means either that the range of different
32
33 business milieus and specialised clusters of service activities diminishes, or that local
34
35 competitive advantages are becoming increasingly regionalised. Analysing business start-
36
37 ups in the Randstad, KLOOSTERMAN and LAMBREGTS (2001) found that cluster formation
38
39 is indeed taking place at a supralocal level.
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 As regards the dimension of functional relationships, the meaning of polycentric
47
48 development differs between the intra-urban and inter-urban scale. Our explorative
49
50 analysis at the macro-level suggests that opposing trends occur. A division of labour seems
51
52 to develop at the intra-urban level, whereas at the inter-urban level this division of labour
53
54 is diminishing. Perhaps an explanation can come from the differences in the genesis of
55
56 polycentric urban patterns at both scales. Contrary to the intra-urban scale where new
57
58 centres develop next to an existing main centre, polycentric urban patterns at the regional
59
60

1
2
3
4 scale start from existing centres (cities) and derive their significance from the alleged
5
6 development of functional relationships between them. Obviously, further research, for
7
8 instance including the micro-level (individual sectors of activities), is needed to confirm
9
10 these opposite trends.
11
12

13 14 15 16 6 References 17

18
19
20
21 ALBRECHTS, L. (2001) How to Proceed from Image and Discourse to Action: As Applied
22
23 to the Flemish Diamond, *Urban Studies* **38**, 733-745.
24
25

26
27
28 ALONSO, W. (1973) Urban Zero Population Growth, *Daedalus* **109**, 191-206
29
30

31
32
33 BATTEN, D.F. (1995) Network Cities: Creative Urban Agglomerations for the 21st
34
35 Century, *Urban Studies* **32**, 313-327.
36
37

38
39
40 BOGAERTS, A., DIELEMAN, F.M., DIJST, M. and GEERTMAN, S. (2005) *Strengthening*
41
42 *Polycentrism, or Edgeless Development? Employment Location and Growth in Randstad's North*
43
44 *Wing, 1996-2002*. Unpublished paper based on research undertaken in the project
45
46 Spatial Deconcentration of Economic Land Use and Quality of Life in European
47
48 Metropolitan Areas (SELMA), Utrecht University, The Netherlands. Contact: Martin
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Dijst, m.dijst@geo.uu.nl.

56
57
58
59
60
CAMAGNI, R. (1993) From city hierarchy to city networks: reflections about an emerging
paradigm, in Lakshmanan, T.R. and Nijkamp, P. (Eds) *Structure and change in the Space
Economy: Festschrift in honour of Martin Beckmann*, pp.66-87. Springer Verlag, Berlin.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7 CAMAGNI, R. and SALONE, C. (1993) Network Urban Structures in Northern Italy:
8
9 Elements for a Theoretical Framework, *Urban Studies* **30**, 1053-1064.
10
11
12
13
14 CAPELLO, R. (2000) The City Network Paradigm: Measuring Urban Network Externalities,
15
16 *Urban Studies* **37**, 1925-1945.
17
18
19
20
21 CLAUSEN, S-E. (1998) *Applied correspondence analysis: an introduction*, Sage University Papers
22
23 Series on Quantitative Applications in the Social Sciences, 07-121. Sage, Thousand
24
25 Oaks.
26
27
28
29
30 DAVOUDI, S. (2003) Polycentricity in European Spatial Planning: From an Analytical Tool
31
32 to a Normative Agenda, *European Planning Studies* **11**, 979-999.
33
34
35
36
37 DIELEMAN, F.M. and FALUDI, A. (1998a) Polynucleated Metropolitan Regions in
38
39 Northwest Europe: Theme of the Special Issue, *European Planning Studies* **6**, 365-377.
40
41
42
43
44 DIELEMAN, F.M. and FALUDI, A. (Eds) (1998b) *European Planning Studies: Special Issue:*
45
46 *Polynucleated Metropolitan Regions in Northwest Europe*, **6**.
47
48
49
50
51 DIELEMAN, F.M. and FALUDI, A. (1998c) Randstad, RhineRuhr and Flemish Diamond as
52
53 One Polynucleated Macro-region, *Journal of Economic and Social Geography* **89**, 320-327.
54
55
56
57
58 GORDON, P. and RICHARDSON, H.W. (1996) Beyond polycentricity: the dispersed
59
60 metropolis, *Journal of the American Planning Association* **62**, 289-294.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 GREENACRE, M.J. (1993) *Correspondence analysis in practice*. Academic Press Limited, London.
8
9

10
11 HALBERT, L. (2004) The Decentralization of Intrametropolitan Business Services in the
12 Paris Region: Patterns, Interpretation, Consequences, *Economic Geography* **80**, 381-404.
13
14

15
16
17
18 HALL, P. (2001) Global City-Regions in the Twenty-first Century, in Scott, A.J. (ed.) *Global*
19 *City Regions - Trends, Theory, Policy*, pp.57-77. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
20
21
22

23
24
25 IAURIF (l'Institute d'Aménagement et d'Urbanisme de la Region d'Ile-de-France) (2001)
26 *The Metropolises of North West Europe in Figures*. IAURIF, Paris.
27
28
29

30
31
32 IAURIF (l'Institute d'Aménagement et d'Urbanisme de la Region d'Ile-de-France) (2002)
33 *Economic Performance of the European Regions*, Cahier No.135, IAURIF, Paris.
34
35
36

37
38
39 KLOOSTERMAN, R.C. and LAMBREGTS, B. (2001) Clustering of Economic Activities in
40 Polycentric Urban Regions: The Case of the Randstad, *Urban Studies* **38**, 717-732.
41
42
43

44
45
46 KLOOSTERMAN, R.C. and MUSTERD, S. (2001a) The Polycentric Urban Region: Towards a
47 Research Agenda, *Urban Studies* **38**, 623-633.
48
49
50

51
52
53 KLOOSTERMAN, R.C. and MUSTERD, S. (Eds) (2001b) *Urban Studies: Special Issue: Polycentric*
54 *Urban Regions*, **38**.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 KNAAP, G.A. VAN DER (1994) *Ruimtelijke complexen en schaa spanning op de stedenring*, EGI-
5
6 onderzoekspublikatie 19, Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam, Rotterdam.
7
8
9
10
11 KNAAP, G.A. VAN DER (2002) *Stedelijke bewegingsruimte, over veranderingen in stad en land*. Sdu
12
13 Uitgevers, The Hague.
14
15
16
17
18 LAMBOOY, J.G. (1969) City and City Region in the Perspective of Hierarchy and
19
20 Complementarity, *Journal of Economic and Social Geography* **60**, 141-154.
21
22
23
24
25 LANG, R.E. and LEFURGY, J. (2003) Edgeless Cities: Examining the Noncentered
26
27 Metropolis, *Housing Policy Debate* **14**, 427-460.
28
29
30
31
32 MEIJERS, L.D. (1999) *Ruimtelijke netwerken van zakelijke dienstverlening*. Erasmus Universiteit
33
34 Rotterdam, Rotterdam.
35
36
37
38
39 MEIJERS, E.J., ROMEIN, A. and HOPPENBROUWER, E.C. (Eds.) (2003) *Planning polycentric*
40
41 *urban regions in North West Europe: Value, feasibility and design*. Delft University Press,
42
43 Delft.
44
45
46
47
48 MUSTERD, S. and ZELM, I. VAN (2001) Polycentricity, Households and the Identity of
49
50 Places, *Urban Studies* **38**, 679-696.
51
52
53
54
55 PARR, J.B. (2004) The Polycentric Urban Region: A Closer Inspection, *Regional Studies* **38**,
56
57 231-240.
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60


PRIEMUS, H., ZONNEVELD, W. and FALUDI, A. (Eds.) (2004) *European Planning Studies: Special Issue: Territorial Governance in Polynuclear Urban Regions in Northwest Europe*, **12**.

ROBERTS, M., LLOYD-JONES, T., ERICKSON, B. and NICE, S. (1999) Place and Space in the Networked City: Conceptualizing the Integrated Metropolis, *Journal of Urban Design* **4**, 51-66.

ULLMANN, E.L. (1956) The Role of Transportation and the Bases for Interaction, in W.L. THOMAS, W.L. (Ed.) *Man's Role in Changing the Face of Earth*, pp. 862-880. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago.

WESTIN, L. and ÖSTHOL, A. (1994) Functional Networks, Infrastructure and Regional Mobilization, in LUNDQVIST, L. and PERSSON, O. (Eds) *Northern Perspectives on European Integration*, pp.43-57. NordREFO, Stockholm.

Figure 1. The Randstad, Flemish Diamond and RheinRuhr Area.



Only

Figure 2. Development of the extent of (potential) complementarity in the Randstad, Flemish Diamond and RheinRuhr Area, 1996-2002.






Figure 3. Differentiation in the spread of service sector activities over the main Randstad cities, 2002 (percentage of total inertia explained: 83,8%).




22	Publishing, printing and reproduction	64	Post and telecommunications	80	Education
45	Construction	65	Financial intermediation	90	Sewage and refuse disposal, sanitation
51	Wholesale trade	66	Insurance and pension funding	91	Activities of membership organisations
55	Hotels and restaurants	72	Computers and related activities	92	Recreational, cultural and sporting activities
61	Water transport	74	Other business activities	99	Extra-territorial organisations and bodies
63	Supporting and auxiliary transport activities	75	Public administration and defence		

Figure 4. Differentiation in the spread of service sector activities over the main Flemish Diamond cities, 2002 (percentage of total inertia explained: 96,1%).



45	Construction	65	Financial intermediation	80	Education
51	Wholesale trade	66	Insurance and pension funding	85	Health and social work
61	Water transport	73	Research and development	91	Activities of membership organisations
63	Supporting and auxiliary transport activities	74	Other business activities	99	Extra-territorial organisations and bodies
64	Post and telecommunications	75	Public administration and defence		

Figure 5. Differentiation in the spread of service sector activities over the main RheinRuhr Area cities, 2002 (percentage of total inertia explained: 92,2%).



45	Construction	64	Post and telecommunications	74	Other business activities
51	Wholesale trade	65	Financial intermediation	80	Education
60	Land transport	66	Insurance and pension funding	85	Health and social work
62	Air transport	67	Activities auxiliary to financial intermediation	92	Recreational, cultural and sporting activities
63	Supporting and auxiliary transport activities	70	Real estate activities		

Notes

ⁱ Questions have been raised over whether the dominant form of the deconcentration of employment and urban functions indeed results in a clustering in centres, as some have found evidence, particularly in the US, for a dispersal over the urban territory in a non-centred way (GORDON and RICHARDSON, 1996; LANG and LEFURGY, 2003). However, evidence for metropolitan areas in North West Europe justifies the term ‘Polycentric City’ as a process of ‘concentrated deconcentration’ rather than dispersal resulting in a polycentric structure (HALBERT, 2004; BOGAERTS et al., 2005).

ⁱⁱ Another source of differentiation that we do not elaborate on in this paper relates to differences in places, e.g. the working environment or living environment the centre or city provides (see also MUSTERD and VAN ZELM, 2001).

ⁱⁱⁱ The reader is referred to special issues of: European Planning Studies by DIELEMAN and FALUDI, 1998b, 6 (4); Urban Studies by KLOOSTERMAN and MUSTERD, 2001b, 38 (4); European Planning Studies by PRIEMUS, ZONNEVELD and FALUDI, 2004, 12 (3), as well as a collection edited by MEIJERS et al., 2003.

^{iv} Code 22 ‘Publishing, printing and reproduction of recorded media’, officially part of the manufacturing sector, is also included.

^v In order to test for the robustness of the analysis presented here, we ran the same correspondence analyses using more cities (fourteen) and a more detailed level of breakdown (3-digit) for the Randstad and RheinRuhr Area (similar data for the Flemish Diamond was not available), which repeatedly confirmed our main conclusions. A further analysis for all three regions, taking all 2-digit NACE-sectors into account confirms our main conclusions. Only the total inertia statistic for the RheinRuhr Area presents a

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

somewhat more ambiguous picture, as in stead of being rather stable, the other analyses show a decline.

^{vi} Note that manufacturing activities are not included in the analysis.

For Peer Review Only