



**HAL**  
open science

## UV NANOSECOND LASER-INDUCED BIREFRINGENCE IN LBG GLASSES

Dominique Vouagner, Camille Coussa, Valeria Califano, Christine Martinet,  
Bernard Champagnon, V. Sigaev

► **To cite this version:**

Dominique Vouagner, Camille Coussa, Valeria Califano, Christine Martinet, Bernard Champagnon, et al.. UV NANOSECOND LASER-INDUCED BIREFRINGENCE IN LBG GLASSES. Philosophical Magazine, 2007, 87 (3-5), pp.535-542. 10.1080/14786430600900104 . hal-00513739

**HAL Id: hal-00513739**

**<https://hal.science/hal-00513739>**

Submitted on 1 Sep 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**UV NANOSECOND LASER-INDUCED BIREFRINGENCE IN LBG GLASSES**

Journal:	<i>Philosophical Magazine &amp; Philosophical Magazine Letters</i>
Manuscript ID:	TPHM-06-Apr-0110.R1
Journal Selection:	Philosophical Magazine
Date Submitted by the Author:	16-Jun-2006
Complete List of Authors:	vouagner, dominique; LPCML UCBL YONI COUSSA, Camille; LPCML UCB LYON I Califano, Valeria; LPCML UCB LYON I; University of Naples Federico II, Material and Production Engineering Martinet, Christine; University Lyon1-UMR5620 CNRS, LPCML champagnon, bernard; University Lyon1-UMR5620 CNRS, LPCML Sigaev, V.; Mendeleev University of Chemical Technology of Russia
Keywords:	glass, irradiation effects, lasers, non-linear optics, oxide glasses, Raman spectroscopy
Keywords (user supplied):	



## UV NANOSECOND LASER-INDUCED BIREFRINGENCE IN LBG GLASSES

\*D. Vouagner, \*C. Coussa, \*,<sup>†</sup>V. Califano, \*C. Martinet, \*B. Champagnon, ‡V. Sigaev

\*Laboratoire de Physico Chimie des Matériaux Luminescents - UMR 5620 CNRS  
Université Claude Bernard Lyon I, 12 rue Ampère 69622 Villeurbanne – France

<sup>†</sup>Department of Material and Production Engineering, University of Naples Federico II,  
Piazzale Tecchio, Naples – Italy

<sup>‡</sup>Mendeleev University of Chemical Technology of Russia, Miusskaya pl. 9, 125190 Moskow  
– Russia

In this paper, we present results on UV pulsed laser-induced birefringence in  $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3\text{-B}_2\text{O}_3\text{-GeO}_2$  (LBG) glasses. Samples are irradiated at a wavelength of 355 nm delivered by an Nd-YAG laser operating in the nanosecond regime. After irradiation, glasses are analyzed by Micro Raman spectroscopy and Small Angle X-ray Scattering (SAXS). Raman spectra show figures characteristic of a light polarization rotation effect in agreement with an anisotropic distribution of the scattering intensity observed by SAXS measurements. These results are interpreted as the interaction of the glass with the electromagnetic field of the UV beam (UV poling).

**Keywords:** pulsed laser, glass, UV poling, birefringence, Raman spectroscopy, SAXS

### 1. Introduction

Since the beginning of the eighties, photosensitivity of glasses has created a great interest for the development of integrated optoelectronic devices allowing high-speed signal processing in telecommunications. This domain is still actually subjected to many studies of research because photo written optical components in waveguides can be obtained in very compact forms and at low costs. Moreover, a few years ago, it was demonstrated that permanent refractive index variations could be induced by laser irradiations. Pulsed lasers with ultrashort pulses duration are particularly adapted for waveguide inscriptions because highly localized refractive index variations can be obtained at the focal point [1]. Laser-induced defects can be responsible for photosensitivity but volume changes such as densification are considered to have the main contribution [2, 3]. Glass properties modifications proceed via photolytic or thermal pathways which are the two principal schemes of laser-light interaction [1].

In this paper, we investigate photo-induced modifications by pulsed laser irradiations of  $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3\text{-B}_2\text{O}_3\text{-GeO}_2$  (LBG) glasses. Results are analysed in terms of birefringence in agreement with the non linear optical behaviour of the irradiated glasses. Anisotropy induced by thermal poling was previously obtained on these glasses [4]. The LBG system of the same stoichiometric composition as the stillwellite-like  $\text{LaBGeO}_5$  crystal is chosen because

e-mail : [youagner@pcml.univ-lyon1.fr](mailto:youagner@pcml.univ-lyon1.fr)

1  
2  
3 second harmonic generation (SHG)  $\chi^{(2)}$  signals can be obtained from this crystal characterized  
4 by a non centrosymmetric structure [5]. SHG was recently measured in surface crystallized  
5 stillwellite-type glasses with the same composition as that of our samples [6].  
6  
7

## 8 9 **2. Experimental**

### 10 11 **2.1 Glasses elaboration**

12  
13 LBG glasses are prepared from commercial reagent-grade powders of  $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$  and  
14  $\text{GeO}_2$  mixed in a platinum crucible using a conventional melt-quenching method. The melt  
15 conditions are 1300-1320°C for 40 minutes. The melt is then quenched at room temperature.  
16 Investigated glasses are characterized by the nominal composition 25  $\text{La}_2\text{O}_3$ , 25  $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ , 50  
17  $\text{GeO}_2$ .  
18

19 All glasses are transparent and without any crystalline inclusions. The glass transition  $T_g$  and  
20 the crystallisation  $T_p$  temperatures, determined by differential thermal analysis (DTA), are  
21 685°C and 931°C respectively. Previous results have shown that the LBG glass crystallized in  
22 a stillwellite-type structure [7].  
23  
24

### 25 26 27 **2.2 Samples preparation**

28  
29 Glass samples are cut in slices of 1 mm thick; they are mechanically polished on both  
30 sides for optical measurements and treatments. They are then cleaned in acetone. For SAXS  
31 measurements, glasses are thinned by polishing until a thickness of about 200  $\mu\text{m}$  is attained  
32 to limit X-rays absorption for an optimized transmitted signal.  
33

34 Before poling, glasses are annealed for 2 hours below  $T_g$  to remove internal stress. It is  
35 checked that no birefringence induced by defects and/or by the polishing process remains into  
36 the samples using a polarizing microscope in a crossed polarized configuration.  
37  
38

### 39 40 **2.3 Irradiation by UV laser**

41 The irradiation is performed by heating the glass plate to 400°C in a temperature-  
42 regulated cell (Linkam TMS 92) while injecting the focused laser beam into the sample via a  
43 microscope setup equipped with a UV objective (X15) as shown in Fig.1. The third harmonic  
44 (355 nm) of a linearly polarized Q-switched YAG laser (repetition rate = 10 Hz, pulse  
45 duration = 10 ns) is used for the irradiation. The diameter of the focused beam is estimated to  
46 be around 10  $\mu\text{m}$  and the laser irradiance on the sample equal to a few tens of  $\text{kW}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}$ . The  
47 laser intensity and the temperature are adjusted in order to obtain marked birefringence  
48 phenomena without cracks. After different times of irradiation at high temperature, the heater  
49 is switched off and the glass plate is cooled down to room temperature. The laser irradiation is  
50 stopped only after the cooling down of the sample to room temperature. **(Insert Fig. 1 about**  
51 **here)**  
52

53 A moderate photolytic radiation (355 nm or 3,5 eV) is chosen for irradiation in order to avoid  
54 strong structural modifications in the glass by direct UV bond breaking [8]; this can be  
55 especially critical for the Ge-O bond present in LBG glasses : in fact, a bond energy value of  
56 3.7 eV can be given as a good estimation from literature data on germanosilicate glasses [9].  
57 It can be noticed that the laser wavelength is higher than the band edge wavelength located  
58 near 260 nm (Fig.2). In this case, the absorption is low at the incident wavelength and is due  
59 to the glass matrix principally if there is no strong dopant absorption [1]. In fact, the  
60

1  
2  
3 attenuation length of the wave calculated at 355 nm is in the order of the millimetre range.  
4 Consequently, the penetration depth of the radiation is limited by the focused point of the  
5 laser beam into the glass so that points of birefringence are localized at 150 -200  $\mu\text{m}$  below  
6 the surface. **(Insert Fig. 2 about here)**  
7  
8

## 9 **2.4 Light scattering analyses**

10  
11 Light scattering analyses are performed by sending the analysing beam perpendicularly to  
12 the  $S_2$  surface of the glass containing the poling electric field.  
13  
14

### 15 **2.4.1 Micro Raman spectroscopy**

16  
17 Raman spectra are recorded by means of a RM 100 Renishaw monochromator in a  
18 backscattering configuration using a microscope equipped with an X50 objective. The  
19 excitation source is a 514.5 nm line of an Ar laser. The laser power is equal to 50 mW. The  
20 signal detection is carried out by a cooled CCD camera.  
21

22 A half wave plate is used to rotate the polarization of the incident laser beam (V vertical or H  
23 horizontal). Spectra are recorded in the VV or VH crossed configuration by keeping a  
24 constant direction for the scattered beam (V). The sample can be rotated around the axis  
25 defined by the laser beam direction: micro Raman analyses are performed at  $45^\circ$  of H and V  
26 axes, inside the glass. In order to focus the laser beam in birefringent regions, irradiated  
27 samples are observed in transmitted light through crossed polarizers.  
28  
29

### 30 **2.4.2 SAXS measurements**

31  
32 SAXS experiments are carried out at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) at  
33 Grenoble on the D2AM beam line. SAXS profiles are recorded by means of the two-  
34 dimensional detector of the line. The X-rays energy is equal to 12 keV in order to avoid the K  
35 fluorescence of germanium in LBG samples. The beam is focused on a 0.3 mm diameter spot.  
36  
37  
38  
39

## 40 **3. Results**

41  
42 Birefringence observations on the UV irradiated samples are shown in Fig. 3. The  
43 direction of the electric field of the laser beam irradiating the glass sample is given Fig. 3a:  
44 the field is orientated along the  $S_2$  face of the glass where birefringence effects due to the  
45 poling process can be detected. In fact, the observation of the irradiated  $S_2$  face through  
46 crossed polarization in a microscope exhibited birefringence zones whose intensities are  
47 maximal when the electric field direction makes an angle of  $45^\circ$  between the polarizer (P) and  
48 analyser (A) directions (Fig. 3b). More precisely, when the sample is rotated at  $360^\circ$  with  
49 respect to the zero position, four positions of extinction at  $0^\circ$ ,  $90^\circ$ ,  $180^\circ$  and  $360^\circ$  and four  
50 positions of maximal intensity at  $45^\circ$ ,  $135^\circ$ ,  $225^\circ$  and  $315^\circ$  are found respectively. No  
51 degradation of the birefringence is observed after several months at room temperature. **(Insert**  
52 **Fig. 3a and 3b about here)**  
53

54  
55 Micro Raman measurements are performed on the laser-induced birefringence domains. For  
56 an accurate localization of these regions, glasses are observed with polarized light before  
57 probing them with the laser beam. Spectra displayed in Fig. 4 are recorded in the VH  
58 configuration at  $45^\circ$ . Two clear differences are observables between spectra obtained from  
59 these birefringent domains and those relative to the unmodified regions of the glass: an  
60 evolution of the intensity ratio of peaks located near  $300$  and  $800\text{ cm}^{-1}$  respectively and the

1  
2  
3 growth of a new peak close to  $550\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for spectra recorded in the birefringence area. In fact,  
4 spectra performed in birefringent regions are very similar to that obtained by probing non  
5 irradiated points in the VV configuration (inset of Fig. 4). **(Insert Fig. 4 about here)**

6  
7 As shown in Fig.5 representing the 2D SAXS profile of a UV poled LBG glass, anisotropic  
8 scattering is obtained when X-rays are focused on the  $S_2$  face: the ellipsoidal shape of the  
9 scattering figure indicates that X-rays are not scattered in the same way in the whole cone of  
10 the angle opening; in fact, they are scattered in the two mutual perpendicular directions,  
11 parallel and perpendicular to the direction of the electric field of the laser beam [10].

12 **(Insert Fig. 5 about here)**

#### 13 14 15 16 **4. Discussion**

17  
18 The intensity variation of light with a  $\pi/2$  periodicity and the coincidence of light  
19 maxima with the electric field direction at  $45^\circ$  respect to the polarizers are an evidence for  
20 localized birefringence into the LBG sample (Fig. 3b). This observation is in a good  
21 agreement with microRaman measurements (Fig.4): spectra recorded in the VH configuration  
22 evolve towards the VV spectra (inset of Fig.4) when domains of birefringence are probed  
23 whereas no evolution of spectra is observed during analysis of non irradiated points. Similar  
24 results were obtained previously in thermally poled LBG glasses where orientation of  
25 hyperpolarisable moieties in the bulk sample could be responsible for birefringence effects  
26 [4].

27  
28 Moreover, anisotropy is clearly demonstrated by SAXS measurements performed in laser  
29 irradiated area with this marked ellipsoidal shape of diffusion visible on Fig.5. For non poled  
30 glasses, a circular shape of scattering characteristic of isotropy is observed. One can notice  
31 that this anisotropy is stronger than that observed in thermally poled LBG samples of the  
32 same composition [10].

33  
34 The origin of this laser-induced anisotropy in LBG glasses can now be discussed. No external  
35 electric field is applied during irradiation: the electric field only of the laser wave is sufficient  
36 to induce birefringence effects in the glasses and the process is comparable to UV poling. The  
37 field value is estimated to be around a few  $\text{kV.cm}^{-1}$  which is very similar to field values  
38 applied during thermal poling processes [11]. Moreover, the role of temperature seems to be  
39 critical to induce birefringence: heating of the samples during irradiation increases the glass  
40 network mobility. Several mechanisms have been proposed in literature to explain the  
41 photosensitivity of different kinds of glasses. Pre-existing defects in glasses can largely  
42 contribute to refractive index variations by a photoinduced change of absorption after UV  
43 laser irradiation according to the Kramers-Krönig relationship (color centre model) [12]. This  
44 mechanism is well-known for germanosilicates glasses characterized by a defect centre at 240  
45 nm. In our case, samples are excited by laser at the onset of the glass matrix absorption and no  
46 characteristic absorption band can be clearly evidenced at 355 nm (excitation wavelength) as  
47 shown in the inset of Fig. 1. Densification is a possible mechanism too explaining the  
48 photosensitivity of glasses. Laser-induced volume compaction or expansion can occur  
49 depending on the glass composition and structure [1]. Moreover, if chemical bonds or glass  
50 microstructure are modified under laser light irradiation, the refractive index variation will not  
51 only depends on volume modifications but also it will be strongly influenced by changes of  
52 the electronic polarisability [13]. In addition, other effects induced by laser such as stresses  
53 and changes in glass composition can also contribute to refractive index variations [1]. Until  
54 now, our results do not permit to conclude about the mechanism implied in laser-induced  
55 birefringence in LBG glasses. However, the parallel behaviour of thermal poling and UV  
56 poling leads to consider the electromagnetic field of the laser as the driven force for the  
57 birefringent effects.  
58  
59  
60

## 5. Conclusion

A permanent change in refractive index is observed after UV nanosecond laser irradiations of LBG glasses. The interaction between the electric field of the laser wave and the glass can be interpreted as a UV poling process. This local modification could lead to directly written waveguides. New experiments are in progress and will be discussed in a next paper.

## 6. Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to J.P. Simon (ESRF-D2AM beam line) and the ESRF staff for SAXS experiments and to the CECOMO (Lyon) for the use of the Raman facilities.

## 7. Bibliography

- [1] H. Ebendorff-Heidepriem, *Optical Materials* **25** 109 (2004)
- [2] D.L. Williams, S.T. Davey, R. Kashyap, J.R. Armitage, B.J. Ainslie, *Appl. Phys. Lett* **59** 762 (1991)
- [3] B. Poumellec, P. Niay, M. Douay, J.F. Bayon *Photosensitivity and Quadratic Non Linearity in Glass Waveguides: Fundamentals and Applications* **22** 112 (1995) OSA Technical Digest Series (Optical Society of America, Washington D.C., 1995)
- [4] V. Califano, B. Champagnon, D. Vouagner, E. Fanelli, P. Pernice, V.N. Sigaev, S.V. Lotarev, D.A. Zakharkin, *Physics and Chemistry of Glasses* **46 n°2** 194 (2005)
- [5] V.N. Sigaev, E.V. Lopatina, P.D. Sarkirov, A. Marotta, P. Pernice, *Thermochim. Acta* **286** 25 (1996)
- [6] Y. Takahashi, Y. Benino, T. Fujiwara, T. Komatsu, *J. Appl. Phys.* **89 (10)** 5284 (2001)
- [7] I. Kratochvilova, S. Kamba, I. Gregora, J. Petzelt, V.N. Sigaev, E.N. Smelyanskaya, V.I. Molev, *Ferroelectrics* **239** 39 (2000)
- [8] J. Nishii, H. Yamanaka, H. Hosono, H. Kawazoe, *Opt. Lett.* **21(17)** 1360 (1996)
- [9] W.L. Jolly, *Modern Inorganic Chemistry*, McGraw-Hill, New York, (1984)
- [10] V. Califano, D. Vouagner, C. Coussa, B. Champagnon, F. Chassagneux, E. Fanelli, P. Pernice, A. Aronne, V. Sigaev, J.P. Simon (to be published)
- [11] O. Deparis, F.P. Mezzapesa, C. Corbari, P. G. Kazansky, K. Sakaguchi, *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids* **351** 2166 (2005)
- [12] M. Douay, W.X. Xie, T. Taunay, P. Bernage, P. Niay, P. Cordier, B. Poumellec, L. Dong, J.F. Bayon, H. Poignant, E. Delevaque, *Journal of Lightwave Technology* **15 n°8** 1329 (1997)
- [13] B. Poumellec, P. Guenot, I. Riant, P. Sansonetti, P. Niay, P. Bernage, J.F. Bayon, *Optical Materials* **4** 441 (1995)

## Figures captions

Figure 1: Experimental setup used for pulsed laser irradiation of glasses. 1: pulsed laser source; 2: microscope; 3: heating cell; M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>: highly reflective mirrors at 355 nm; S: glass sample; O: objective

Figure 2: Absorption curve of LBG glasses. The arrow indicates the position of the 355 nm wavelength used for samples irradiation.

Figure 3: Localized laser-induced birefringence in LBG glasses observed with a polarizing microscope: (a) Electric field direction of the laser wave along the  $S_2$  face of the glass, (b) Irradiated  $S_2$  face observed through crossed polarization showing maximal intensities for the electric field direction at  $45^\circ$  between the polarizer (P) and analyser (A) directions. The picture covers a 2 mm x 1.5 mm area. Domains of birefringence are spreading on a length scale of about  $10\ \mu\text{m}$ .

Figure 4: Raman spectra performed at  $45^\circ$  of H and V axes, inside the glass. Spectra of the birefringent points evolve towards the VV spectra depicted in the inset.

Figure 5: Two-dimensional SAXS profile of a UV irradiated LBG glass showing the ellipsoidal shape of the figure of scattering.

Figure 1





Figure 2





1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Figure 3a




Figure 3b




Figure 4




Figure 5

