

Violations of conservation laws in viscous liquid dynamics

Jeppe Dyre

▶ To cite this version:

Jeppe Dyre. Violations of conservation laws in viscous liquid dynamics. Philosophical Magazine, 2007, 87 (3-5), pp.497-502. 10.1080/14786430600847115 . hal-00513728

HAL Id: hal-00513728 https://hal.science/hal-00513728

Submitted on 1 Sep 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Violations of conservation laws in viscous liquid dynamics

Journal:	Philosophical Magazine & Philosophical Magazine Letters	
Manuscript ID:	TPHM-06-Mar-0073.R1	
Journal Selection:	Philosophical Magazine	
Date Submitted by the Author:	04-Jun-2006	
Complete List of Authors:	Dyre, Jeppe; Roskilde University, IMFUFA	
Keywords:	glass, liquids	
Keywords (user supplied):		

Note: The following files were submitted by the author for peer review, but cannot be converted to PDF. You must view these files (e.g. movies) online.

2006_violations_philos_mag.tex



Violations of conservation laws in viscous liquid dynamics

Jeppe C. Dyre

Department of Mathematics and Physics (IMFUFA), DNRF centre "Glass and Time", Roskilde University, Postbox 260, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark

(Dated: June 4, 2006)

Abstract

The laws expressing conservation of momentum and energy apply to any isolated system, but these laws are violated for highly viscous liquids under laboratory conditions because of the unavoidable interactions with the measuring equipment over the long times needed to study the dynamics. Moreover, although particle number conservation applies strictly for any liquid, the solidity of viscous liquids implies that even this conservation law is apparently violated in coarsegrained descriptions of density fluctuations.



Glasses are made by cooling viscous liquids until they solidify [1–8]. Any liquid may form a glass if it is cooled rapidly enough to avoid crystallization [9]. In order to understand the properties of glasses one must understand the highly viscous liquid phase preceding glass formation. Viscous liquids and the glass transition continue to attract a great deal of interest because there is still no generally accepted description of viscous liquid dynamics, i.e., their equilibrium fluctuations and corresponding linear response properties. The question we address here is: On which principles should a realistic description of viscous liquids be based? "Ordinary" less viscous liquids like ambient water are fairly well understood; they are described by equations based on the fundamental laws expressing conservation of momentum, energy, and particle number [10–12]. We argue below that viscous liquids are qualitatively different, and that the basic conservation laws are of little use for arriving at a proper description of viscous liquid dynamics.

As the glass transition is approached the liquid viscosity increases enormously. Highly viscous liquids exhibit universal features as regards their physical properties. Thus whether involving covalent, ionic, metallic, van der Waals, or hydrogen bonds, virtually all viscous liquids share the *three non's*: a) *Non*-exponential time dependence of relaxations; b) *Non*-Arrhenius temperature dependence of the viscosity with an activation energy that increases as temperature decreases (this applies for the vast majority of viscous liquids – exceptions are, e.g., pure silica which is Arrhenius); c) *Non*-linearity of relaxations following even relatively small temperature jumps. The last point is perhaps least puzzling given the fact that this non-linearity in most models follows directly from the dramatic temperature dependence of the viscosity, but the first two *non*'s are crucial and defining characteristics of generic viscous liquids.

The most sophisticated and theoretically well-based theory for viscous liquid dynamics is the mode-coupling theory [13, 14]. After a series of approximations starting from Newton's second law, mode-coupling theory ends up with a self-consistent, nonlinear equation for the time dependence of the density autocorrelation function. The strength of the theory is evidenced by the fact that it uniquely predicts the dynamics from the static structure factor (and a few other static equilibrium averages). Comparisons to computer simulations and to experiments on moderately viscous liquids generally favour mode-coupling theory. Nevertheless, it seems that mode-coupling theory is able to predict the properties of the highly viscous liquid phase preceding conventional glass formation. As argued below, modePage 3 of 9

coupling theory's starting point of the momentum, energy and particle conservation laws does not apply for highly viscous liquids under realistic conditions. This may explain why the theory apparently only applies for the less-viscous phase.

A liquid is characterized by several diffusion constants. Of primary interest here are the single-particle diffusion constant D_s , which determines the mean-square displacement at long times via $\langle \Delta x^2(t) \rangle = 2D_s t$, and the transverse momentum diffusion constant: the kinematic viscosity ν of the Navier-Stokes equation. For "ordinary" liquids like ambient water – with viscosity in the 10^{-3} Pa s range – these diffusion constants typically have values within one or two orders of magnitude of 10^{-7} m²/s. This is easily understood from elementary kinetic theory, according to which the diffusion constant is of order the mean-free path squared over the mean time between collisions of the diffusing entity. Very rough estimates of these quantities are one Angstrom and one picosecond respectively, resulting in the value 10^{-8} m²/s.

For liquids approaching the glass transition the diffusion constants decouple. The singleparticle diffusion constant decreases, whereas the kinematic diffusion constant increases. Just above the glass transition the viscosity is typically of order 10^{12} Pa s, which is a factor 10^{15} larger than for "ordinary" liquids. Thus if the single-particle diffusion constant is taken to be inversely proportional to the viscosity as predicted by the Debye-Stokes-Einstein equation [15], the ratio $\lambda = D_s/\nu$ decreases from roughly 1 to roughly 10^{-30} close to the glass transition. Such small dimensionless numbers are highly unusual in condensed matter physics. The small magnitude of λ strongly suggests that there is a qualitative change of behaviour going from "ordinary" to extremely viscous liquids.

The question is how this qualitative change manifests itself. The Navier-Stokes equation most likely still applies for extremely viscous liquids, although this remains to be proven experimentally. The extremely high viscosity, however, itself hints at new and different physics of viscous liquids compared to "ordinary" liquids: As an example, if the viscosity is 10^{10} Pa s and the density is 10^3 kg/m³, the dynamic viscosity is 10^7 m²/s. Typical alpha relaxation times for this viscosity are in the second's range, which is thus the relevant time scale for studying viscous liquid dynamics. In this case transverse momentum diffuses more than one kilometre over the alpha relaxation time, so for typical laboratory sample sizes momentum has ample time to diffuse from the sample holder into and out of the liquid. This means that liquid momentum cannot be regarded as conserved and that consequently descriptions based on momentum conservation are misleading [16].

To make these considerations more precise, note first that for any physical quantity A with zero mean, if square brackets denote an equilibrium average, at any given temperature we can define a characteristic time τ_A by

$$\tau_A = \frac{1}{\langle A^2 \rangle} \int_0^\infty \langle A(0)A(t) \rangle dt \,. \tag{1}$$

This definition applies, e.g., for the liquid's electrical dipole moment, its average shear stress, its volume, etc, but it also applies for the liquid's momentum and energy (all quantities are understood to have been subtracted their mean value). The momentum characteristic time, $\tau_{\rm mom}$, is sample-size dependent, of course, varying as for any diffusion process with sample dimension L as $\propto L^2$. For an "ordinary" liquid like ambient water, $\tau_{\rm mom}$ is much larger than the dipole characteristic time, which is in the picosecond range. Thus for the calculating the frequency-dependent dielectric constant via the Kubo formula involving the dipole autocorrelation function, because it almost doesn't change over the physically relevant time, momentum may be regarded as constant and conserved. The situation is the opposite for viscous liquids. Taking the above example of a liquid with alpha relaxation time of one second, the momentum characteristic time is here much *smaller* than the dipole characteristic time. Consequently, momentum may not be regarded as conserved over the time span relevant for calculating the frequency-dependent dielectric constant. In other words, just as there are adiabatic and isothermal static linear responses, there are also two kinds of linear response functions: Those that refer to a system with constant momentum ("adiabatic" type linear response functions), and those that refer to a system which continuously exchanges momentum with its surroundings; the latter are relevant for viscous liquids (but few other places). It is possible that these two response functions turn out to be identical in some cases, but it is not obvious that this should be so – whereas it obviously is the case for less-viscous liquids.

The next variable to consider is energy. Heat conduction is notoriously slow and the heat diffusion constant is almost independent of the viscosity. Nevertheless, because a typical layer thickness in, e.g., dielectric relaxation measurements is 100 μ m or smaller, when the alpha relaxation time becomes larger than one second, heat exchange to and from the measuring cell becomes unavoidable. Thus eventually, when the viscosity becomes sufficiently large, τ_{en} becomes smaller than the liquid's alpha relaxation time, and we are in

a situation analogous to that above of momentum non-conservation: Energy conservation is violated for viscous liquids under laboratory conditions, and descriptions based on energy conservation may lead to erroneous results.

We now proceed to discuss density fluctuations in viscous liquids. Molecules in an "ordinary" liquid basically move by a superposition of Brownian and vibrational motions. In contrast, molecules in viscous liquids effectively move much more slowly because almost all motion goes into vibrations. These vibrations take place around the "inherent states" introduced by Stillinger and Weber defined as the potential energy minima and their basins of attraction [17]. Only rarely does anything happen in the form of a so-called flow event, a sudden rearrangement of molecules. Flow events are rare simply because the energy barriers to be overcome are large compared to k_BT . This picture of viscous liquids goes back to Kauzmann, who in 1948 described flow events as "jumps of molecular units of flow between different positions of equilibrium in the liquid's quasicrystalline lattice" [1]. Goldstein in 1969 first emphasized the importance of potential energy minima in configuration space and expressed the belief that "the existence of potential energy barriers large compared to thermal energy are intrinsic to the occurrence of the glassy state, and dominate flow, at least at low temperatures" [18]. Since then extensive computer simulations on somewhat viscous liquids have clearly confirmed this picture [19–22] (although it is still not possible to simulate the very high viscosities reached when the calorimetric glass transition is approached).

In between flow events a viscous liquid is indistinguishable from an amorphous solid; in fact any attempt to simulate a viscous liquid with viscosity close to the laboratory glass transition on present-day computers would show nothing but vibrating molecules. Based on this, one concludes that a viscous liquid is more like a solid than like ordinary less-viscous liquids – albeit a solid that flows [23]:

Viscous liquid \cong Solid that flows.

The "solidity" of viscous liquids [24] has an important consequence for the description of density fluctuations: The small molecular displacements in the surroundings of a flow event may be estimated by reference to solid-state elasticity theory. To leading order in r^{-1} the molecular displacement following a localized disturbance in an elastic solid varies as r^{-2} where r in the present case is the distance to the flow event [16, 25]. More precisely, if the flow event takes place at the orgin and the displacement vector is **u**, the leading order term is $\mathbf{u} \propto \mathbf{r}/r^3$. Like the Coulomb electrical field $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r})$ has zero divergence, implying that to leading order there are no long-ranged density changes induced by a flow event.

In highly viscous liquids flow events may be regarded as instantaneous on the time scale of the alpha relaxation process below the solidity length (introduced in Ref. [24]). Numbering the flow events consecutively after the time they take place, t_{μ} , if \mathbf{r}_{μ} is the centre of the μ 'th flow event and b_{μ} measures its intensity, the above considerations translate into the following expression for the time derivative of the density $\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$ in a coarse-grained description:

$$\dot{\rho}(\mathbf{r},t) = \sum_{\mu} b_{\mu} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{\mu}) \delta(t - t_{\mu}) \,. \tag{2}$$

This equation does not constitute a dynamic theory, because it contains no information about the correlations between different flow events. Nevertheless, it serves to emphasize that density in viscous liquids has the appearance of a non-conserved field variable: Density can change at one point in space while not changing at any other point; thus if the individual molecular motions are not traced but only the coarse-grained density profile, it would appear that molecules have been created or annihilated. Another way of seeing that Eq. (2) corresponds to a non-conserved variable is that when it is transformed into k-space, this equation corresponds to decay rates that are k-independent [16] whereas conserved variables have decay rates proportional to k^2 .

In conclusion, viscous liquids are qualitatively different from the less-viscous liquids studied in conventional liquid state theory. A proper description of viscous liquid dynamics should take into account the fact that the fundamental conservation laws do not hold under laboratory conditions. Thus it appears that Newton's laws do not provide a useful starting point whereas, e.g., a stochastic description is more appropriate. The obvious choice here are Langevin equations for the relevant variables (density, dipole density, stress tensor, etc) [16], or the equivalent description of the probability functional in terms of the corresponding Smoluchowski equations.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a grant from the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF) for funding the centre for viscous liquid dynamics "Glass and Time."

- [1] W. Kauzmann, Chem. Rev. **43** 219 (1948).
- [2] G. Harrison, *The dynamic properties of supercooled liquids* (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
- [3] S. Brawer, *Relaxation in viscous liquids and glasses* (American Ceramic Society, Columbus, Ohio, 1985).
- [4] S. R. Elliott, *Physics of amorphous materials* (Longman Scientific, London, 1990).
- [5] C. A. Angell, Science **267** 1924 (1995).
- [6] P. G. Debenedetti, *Metastable liquids: Concepts and principles* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1996).
- [7] C. A Angell, K. L. Ngai, G. B. McKenna, P. F. McMillan, and S. W. Martin, J. Appl. Phys. 88 3113 (2000).
- [8] C. Alba-Simionesco, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris (Ser. IV) 2 203 (2001).
- [9] G. Tammann, J. Soc. Glass Technol. 9 166 (1925).
- [10] J. P. Boon and S. Yip, *Molecular hydrodynamics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980).
- [11] J. P. Hansen and I. R. McDonald, *Theory of simple liquids* 2nd Ed. (Academic Press, New York, 1986).
- [12] J.-L. Barrat and J.-P. Hansen, Basic concepts for simple and complex liquids (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003).
- [13] W. Götze and L. Sjögren, Rep. Progr. Phys. 55 241 (1992).
- [14] S. P. Das, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76** 785 (2004).
- [15] The Debye-Stokes-Einstein relation does not always apply, but the occasional 1-3 order of magnitude deviations do not affect the argument presented here. See, e.g.: F. Fujara, B. Geil, H. Sillescu, and G. Fleischer, Z. Phys. B 88 195 (1992); F. H. Stillinger and J. A. Hodgdon, Phys. Rev. E 50 2064 (1994); G. Diezemann, H. Sillescu, G. Hinze, and R. Böhmer, Phys. Rev. E 57 4398 (1998); S. F. Swallen, P. A. Bonvallet, R. J. McMahon, and M. D. Ediger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 Art. No. 015901 (2003).

- [16] J. C. Dyre, Phys. Rev. E 72 Art. No. 011501 (2005); Europhys. Lett. 71 646 (2005); e-print cond-mat/0602362.
 - [17] F. H. Stillinger and T. A. Weber, Phys. Rev. A 28 2408 (1983).
 - [18] M. Goldstein, J. Chem. Phys. 51 3728 (1969).
 - [19] A. Heuer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** 4051 (1997).
 - [20] S. Sastry, P. G. Debenedetti, and F. H. Stillinger, Nature **393** 554 (1998).
 - [21] L. Angelani, R. Di Leonardo, G. Ruocco, A. Scala, and F. Sciortino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 5356 (2000).
- [22] T. B. Schrøder, S. Sastry, J. C. Dyre, and S. C. Glotzer, J. Chem. Phys. 112 9834 (2000).
- [23] Phenomenologically one often defines a solid as a system which does not flow, implying that a "solid that flows" is a contradiction in terms. Whether a system flows or not, however, is a matter of time scale; even a crystal flows at finite temperature: In a gravitational field, for instance, a flat vertical macroscopic crystalline sample has lower free energy than, e.g., a cubicshaped sample. Since any crystal in thermal equilibrium contains point defects, and since their movements are impeded only by finite energy barriers, "flow" from the cubic-shaped sample to the flat is not only possible but bound to take place over sufficiently long time scales.
- [24] J. C. Dyre, Phys. Rev. E **59** 2458 (1999).
- [25] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Theory of elasticity, 2nd Ed. (Pergamon, London, 1970).

	"Ordinary" liquids	Viscous liquids
Relaxation time	Picoseconds	Seconds, years,
Momentum conservation	Crucially important	Disobeyed
Energy conservation	Crucially important	Disobeyed
Particle number conservation	Crucially important	Apparently disobeyed

Fig. 1 Basic conservation laws are violated in viscous liquids under realistic laboratory conditions: Both momentum and energy are unavoidably exchanged with the measuring equipment over the relevant time scale (the alpha relaxation time). Particle number is strictly conserved, of course, but nevertheless the solidity of viscous liquids implies that density has the appearance of

non-conserved field variable in a coarse-grained description.

<page-header>