

**Exploring the luminescent sensing of anions by the use of an urea functionalized 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) based (3:1) Eu(III) complex**


Journal:	<i>Supramolecular Chemistry</i>
Manuscript ID:	GSCH-2008-0126.R2
Manuscript Type:	Special Issue Paper
Date Submitted by the Author:	29-Oct-2008
Complete List of Authors:	Gunnlaugsson, Thorfinnur; Trinity College Dublin, Chemistry dos Santos, cidalia; Trinity College Dublin, Chemistry
Keywords:	anions, sensing, lanthanide, europium, self-assembly



Graphical abstract

Exploring the luminescent sensing of anions by the use of an urea functionalized 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) based (3:1) Eu(III) complex


Cidália M. G. dos Santos and Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson



**Graphical abstract**

**Exploring the luminescent sensing of anions by the use of an urea functionalized 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) based (3:1) Eu(III) complex**

Cidália M. G. dos Santos and Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson



Graphical Abstract

Exploring the luminescent sensing of anions by the use of an urea  
functionalized 1,10-phenanthroline (*phen*) based (3:1) Eu(III)  
complex

Cidália M. G. dos Santos and Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson\*

*School of Chemistry, Centre for Synthesis and Chemical Biology, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin*

*2, Ireland. E-mail: gunnlaut@tcd.ie*

**Abstract:** The design, synthesis and photophysical evaluation of the Eu(III) complex **1<sub>3</sub>Eu**, formed from the aryl-urea based 1,10-phenanthroline (*phen*) heteroditopic receptor **1**, is described. The complex, which was formed in 3:1 [ligand:Eu(III)] stoichiometry, was used to sense anions such as acetate, phosphate and halides, in CH<sub>3</sub>CN. Here, the mechanism for the sensing of these anions by **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** was through hydrogen bonding at the urea moiety, and in the case of F<sup>-</sup>, also by the displacement of metal bound water molecules. Both these binding modes gave rise to significant modulation in spectroscopic output of the Eu(III) complex.

**Keywords:** Anions, sensing, lanthanide, europium, self-assembly, displacement assays.

## INTRODUCTION


Over the years, much effort has been devoted to the development of selective sensors for detecting anions.[1-4] In particular, the use hydrogen bonding receptors such as those based on the use of urea/thioureas,[5], pyrroles[6] and indoles,[7] has been extensively researched. At the same time, the use of transition metal ion complexes for binding ions, with subsequent changes in the photo-physical properties of the metal ion complex, has also been a fruitful area of research.[8] More recently, the use of lanthanide ion complexes in anion sensing has also become a fast growing area of research, where the anion sensing occurs via a

1  
2  
3 direct coordination at the metal ion itself.[9-13] In contrast to these developments, then he use  
4  
5 of a combination of two modes of binding, e.g. hydrogen bonding donors and metal ion  
6  
7 complexes, for anion recognition and sensing has been much less explored, and mostly  
8  
9 through the use of transition metal ions.[14] With the aim of developing such sensors for  
10  
11 anions using lanthanide ions, we have recently synthesised Tb(III) based cyclen complexes  
12  
13 that possess a di-aryl based urea pendant arm.[15] Here the hydrogen donating urea moiety  
14  
15 acted as an anion receptor, as well as a sensitizer, or an antenna, for the population of the  
16  
17 Tb(III) excited state. In this work, we showed, that the Tb(III) could be sensitized by  
18  
19 excitation of the antenna, and that the sensitization process could be modulated upon  
20  
21 recognition of several anions such as acetates and phosphates at the urea moiety in non-  
22  
23 aqueous solution. We also demonstrated that for this same system, at high concentration,  
24  
25 additional anion binding occurred at the lanthanide ion centre directly through the mechanism  
26  
27 of displacement of metal bound water molecules [16].  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33  
34 In this communication, we set out to further explore the use of a combination of  
35  
36 hydrogen bonding receptors and the lanthanides for anion sensing, by using acyclic ligands.  
37  
38 Here we describe the synthesis and the photophysical evaluation of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu**, formed from the  
39  
40 *phen* based urea heteroditopic receptors **1** and Eu(III), and we demonstrate that the  
41  
42 photophysical properties of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** are significantly modulated upon recognition of anions in  
43  
44 organic solvent. While similar ideas have been developed by several other researchers such as  
45  
46 Hamilton *et al.*[17], Fabbrizzi *et al.*[18] Branda *et al.*[19] and Steed *et al.*[20], these examples  
47  
48 have all been based on the use of transition metal ions, and not lanthanide ions. Hence, the  
49  
50 formation of lanthanide complexes, has not, to the best of our knowledge, been achieved to  
51  
52 date.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

## 60 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Synthesis:** The synthesis of **1** was achieved in two steps from the commercially available 5-nitro-1,10-phenanthroline by firstly converting it to the corresponding amine **2** in 92% yield, by using hydrazine monohydrate in the presence of 10% Pd/C catalyst in ethanol at 95°C, followed by reaction with trifluoro-*p*-tolyl isocyanate, in chloroform at room temperature,




**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of **1** and the Eu(III) complex of **1**, **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** (several structural isomers were expected to be formed).

which gave **1** as an off white precipitate, in 88% yield after recrystallization from a mixture of CHCl<sub>3</sub>:MeOH,[21] Scheme 1. The synthesis of the Eu(III) complex of **1**, was accomplished by reacting **1** with 0.5 equivalents of Eu(CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at room temperature overnight. The observed off white precipitate was isolated by filtration, and dried under vacuum to produce a bright yellow solid in a modest 28% yield. The successful synthesis of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** was supported by NMR spectroscopy and HRMS. Although the <sup>1</sup>H NMR was not greatly shifted (due to the presence of the paramagnetic Eu(III) ion), the formation of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** complex was clear in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR, which showed significant broadening for the *phen* protons, indicating the presence of a single species in solution possessing high symmetry. The <sup>19</sup>F spectrum also revealed the presence of the CF<sub>3</sub> group at -60.56 ppm as well as a resonance at -78.29 ppm which we assigned to the triflate counter ions. The ESMS also showed the expected europium

isotopic distribution pattern for  $1_3\text{Eu}$ , for instance for the  $m/z$  centred at 647 assigned to the  $[\text{M}/2]$  peak.

**Photophysical studies:** The formation and the stability of the complex between  $\text{Eu(III)}$  and **1**, was investigated by observing the changes in the ground and the singlet excited state of **1** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ), and the  $\text{Eu(III)}$  emission upon titration with  $\text{Eu}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_3$  in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  under ambient conditions. The changes observed in the absorption spectra of **1**, which had a  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  of 265 nm and a shoulder at 320 nm, are shown in Figure 1.




**Figure 1.** Changes on the absorption spectra of **1** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) upon addition of  $\text{Eu}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_3$ .  
*Insert:* The changes in absorbance at 263 nm as a function of equivalents of  $\text{Eu(III)}$ .

Upon binding to  $\text{Eu(III)}$ , small shifts were observed in the absorption spectra, where the 265 nm band was blue shifted to 260 nm, and the 320 nm shoulder was concomitantly red shift by ca. 5 nm. Three distinct isosbestic points were also observed at 280 nm, 300 nm, and 323 nm, respectively. The titration profile for the changes at 253 nm is also shown as an insert in Figure 1, which demonstrated the formation of a complex with 1:3 stoichiometry (e.g.  $1_3\text{Eu}$ ) as no significant changes occurred after ca. 0.33 eq. of  $\text{Eu(III)}$  added. The homogenous changes in the absorption spectra also demonstrate that the complex does not aggregate in solution.

The changes in the fluorescence emission were also monitored upon excitation at 265 nm and 320 nm. On both occasions, a broad band centred at 422 nm was observed. Upon addition of Eu(III), the emission intensity arising from this transition was quenched by 33%, without any changes in  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ . By plotting the changes in the emission intensity at 422 nm versus equivalents of Eu(III), in a similar manner to that described above, again demonstrates the formation of the desired 1:3 stoichiometry in solution.

The formation of this complex was also clearly evident from the changes in the Eu(III) emission, Figure 2, which shows the formation of new bands centred at 594, 616, 652, and 700 nm, corresponding to the deactivation of the Eu(III)  $^5\text{D}_0$  excited state to the  $^7\text{F}_J$  ground states ( $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_J$ ,  $J = 1-4$ ), respectively. These results confirm that the *phen* ligand is capable



**Figure 2.** Changes on the Eu(III) emission of **1** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ), which is enhanced upon addition of  $\text{Eu}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_3$ . *Insert:* The changes in lanthanide emission at 616 nm as a function of equivalents of Eu(III) added, upon excitation at 265 nm.

of successfully sensitize the Eu(III) excited state. Furthermore, as can be seen in Figure 2, the  $J = 2$  band, occurring at 616 nm, gave rise to large changes in the Eu(III) emission upon formation of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu**. This transition is highly sensitive to its coordination environment, so these results are indicative of direct coordination between the *phen* ligand and the Eu(III) ion.



Analysis of the changes in the  $J = 2$ , shown as inset in Figure 2, demonstrate the formation of the desired 1:3 complex, and its formation was further confirmed by using Job's plot analysis ( $m/n = f_{\max} / (1 - f_{\max})$ ), gave  $f_{\max} = 0.25$ , and  $m/n = 1/3$ , for the changes in the 616 nm band.

The luminescence changes observed in Figure 2, were also analysed by fitting the data using the nonlinear least-squares fitting program SPECFIT. The results of this fitting are shown in Table 1, and demonstrate the stepwise formation of **1Eu**, **1<sub>2</sub>Eu**, and **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** complexes in solution, and that the **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** complex is formed with  $\log K_{1:3}$  of  $\sim 6$ . Similar analysis was carried out on the changes observed in both the ground and the singlet excited state spectrum. On all occasions, the stepwise formation of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** was also observed, Table 1 (expressed as  $\log \beta$ ) where a good correlation was observed between all three spectroscopic techniques.

**Table 1.** Binding constants and binding modes between Eu(III) and **1**, obtained upon fitting the changes in the absorption, the fluorescence and the Eu(III) emission.


Technique	Species (Eu <sub>n</sub> :1 <sub>m</sub> )	Log $\beta$	Std. Deviation
<i>Absorbance</i>	<b>Eu1</b>	3.86	0.012
	<b>Eu1<sub>2</sub></b>	7.54	0.016
	<b>Eu1<sub>3</sub></b>	13.46	0.031
<i>Fluorescence</i>	<b>Eu1</b>	3.88	0.008
	<b>Eu1<sub>2</sub></b>	7.48	0.016
	<b>Eu1<sub>3</sub></b>	13.38	0.024
<i>Phosphorescence</i>	<b>Eu1</b>	3.61	0.011
	<b>Eu1<sub>2</sub></b>	7.28	0.016
	<b>Eu1<sub>3</sub></b>	13.30	0.017

From Table 1, it is clear that the 1:3 stoichiometry dominates in solution, and hence the Eu(III) ion would be expected to be hexa-coordinated. The overall coordination number of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** was further confirmed, by measuring the hydration state ( $q$ ), or the number of metal bound water molecules associated with the **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** structure, by measuring the excited state lifetimes for the Eu(III) emission in H<sub>2</sub>O and D<sub>2</sub>O, respectively, by direct excitation of the Eu(III) centre at 395 nm. This gave values of 0.333ms and 1.83 ms for  $\tau_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$  and  $\tau_{\text{D}_2\text{O}}$ , respectively, which translates, using the Horrocks's modified equation [22] developed by

Parker *et al.*, [23], in to a  $q$  value of 2.6, confirming the overall coordination number of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** as nine in aqueous solution.

**Anion Sensing:** Having successfully synthesised and, analysed the formation of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** in solution, we next investigated its ability to bind/recognize anions. As **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** has three urea based ligands, all of which are capable of participating in the recognition of anions through hydrogen bonding, we expected to see significant changes in the photophysical properties of the complex upon such binding. Moreover, and as demonstrated above, the complex has three metal bound solvent molecules, all of which could be displaced by other competitive coordinating ligands, such as anions, with concomitant changes in the coordination environment of the lanthanide ion and hence, in its photophysical properties. Hence, the anion sensing ability of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** could occur through either of these modes, or through the combination of both.

All of the three spectroscopic techniques discussed above were used to monitor the recognition of anions by **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** such as acetate, dihydrogenphosphate, fluoride and chloride as their tetrabutylammonium salts (TBA<sup>+</sup>), in CH<sub>3</sub>CN. Upon titrating AcO<sup>-</sup>, the absorption spectrum was significantly affected as demonstrated in Figure 3. These changes were




**Figure 3.** Changes on the absorbance of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** (4  $\mu$ M) upon addition of AcO<sup>-</sup>. *Insert:* shows changes in absorbance at 292 nm as a function of equivalents of acetate added.

accompanied by the formation of four isosbestic points at 256, 280, 303 and 322 nm, which were attributed to the interaction of the anion with the three urea moieties. Analysis of the changes in the absorption spectrum is shown as an inset in Figure 3, and demonstrated that these changes occurred up to ca. three equivalents of  $\text{AcO}^-$ , signifying the formation of hydrogen bonding complexes between these anion and the urea moieties. Fitting this data using SPECFIT, however, only gave accurate binding constants for two of these binding events, namely the 1:1 and the 2:1 (ion:complex) between **13Eu** and  $\text{AcO}^-$ , with binding constants  $\text{Log } K_{1:1}$  and  $\text{Log } K_{2:1}$  of  $6.23 (\pm 0.257)$  and  $6.17 (\pm 0.169)$ , respectively for these interactions. Similar changes were also observed in the absorption spectrum of **13Eu** for the titration of  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$  and  $\text{F}^-$ . As in the case of  $\text{AcO}^-$ , then for  $\text{F}^-$  we were only able to fit the spectral changes of two binding events. However, for  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$ , the best fits were observed only when the data was fitted to binding events which involved the 1:1 and 1:2, complex formation as well as the 2:1 and 2:2 complex between **13Eu** and  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$ . In contrast to these results, the titration of  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{Br}^-$  and  $\text{I}^-$ , gave only rise to the formation of 1:1 complexes. In the case of  $\text{Cl}^-$  and  $\text{Br}^-$ , the changes in the absorption spectra of **13Eu** were of similar nature, at the same time as being overall smaller to that observed above. However, in the case of  $\text{I}^-$  only absorption enhancements were observed without the formation of clear isosbestic points.

The fluorescence emission of **13Eu** was also affected upon carrying out anion titrations. After excitation at either 260 nm or 330 nm, fluorescence enhancements of 62%, 58%, 34%, 10%, and 16% were observed for  $\text{AcO}^-$ ,  $\text{F}^-$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{Br}^-$ , and  $\text{I}^-$ , respectively, with no apparent shifts in the 420 nm band. These changes were analysed in the same manner as described above for the ground state changes. As an example, then in the case of  $\text{AcO}^-$ , plotting the changes as a function of added equivalents, clearly showed that the changes occur up to the binding of three equivalents of  $\text{AcO}^-$ , indicative of the 3:1 binding stoichiometry. However, in the case of  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$ , initial quenching was also observed of ca. 15%.

Nevertheless, after the addition of 0.5 equivalents of  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$ , the emission was enhanced in a similar manner to that observed above.

However, from these spectroscopic anion titrations, the most significant changes were observed in the Eu(III) emission of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu**, which was quenched up to *ca.* 2 equivalents of  $\text{AcO}^-$  (after initial slight enhancement  $\sim 3\%$ ), Figure 4. The insert in Figure 4, also shows the




**Figure 4.** Changes on the lanthanide emission intensity of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** ( $4\ \mu\text{M}$ ), which was quenched upon titration with  $\text{AcO}^-$ . Insert shows changes in intensity at 612 nm as a function of added equivalents of  $\text{AcO}^-$ .

profile of the changes in luminescence intensity at 612 nm ( $J = 2$  band) as a function of the number of equivalents of  $\text{AcO}^-$  added, and in a similar manner to that observed for the UV-Visible and fluorescence titrations above, demonstrates that two equivalents of  $\text{AcO}^-$  were needed to fully quench the lanthanide emission. We propose that this quenching can be attributed to an energy mismatch between the lowest triplet state ( $T_1$ ) of the antenna and the excited state of europium, hence making the transfer of energy unfavourable from the triplet state of the antenna,  $T_1$ , to the excited state of the Eu(III),  $^5\text{D}_0$ , upon anion recognition. Alternatively it is also possible that some contribution from dissociation, or displacement of **1** from the Eu(III) centre in **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** could occur upon formation of hydrogen bonding complex

between the urea and the anions, however to date we have been unable to fully quantify if such displacement is occurring. With the aim of evaluating these binding modes of the anion, analysis of the changes seen in Figure 4 were undertaken using SPECFIT. As had been observed for the changes in the ground state, the fitting of the changes observed in the  $\text{AcO}^-$ , showed that the 1:1 and the 2:1 stoichiometries were the most dominating, with  $\text{Log } K_{1:1}$  and  $\text{Log } K_{2:1}$ , of  $6.56 (\pm 0.118)$  and  $7.0 (\pm 0.061)$ , which are in agreement with those values observed from the ground and the singlet state changes. In a similar manner,  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$  also gave rise to luminescent quenching. However, these changes were best fitted using a variety of binding equilibria such as 1:1, 1:2, 2:1 and 2:2, which would indicate that the anion bound to  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  in a more complicated manner than  $\text{AcO}^-$ . However, for both of these anions, the main binding seems to be through hydrogen bonding at the urea moieties, with lesser contribution from direct binding to the lanthanide centre, via the displacement of metal bound solvent molecules.

However, such binding combination was observed for the titration of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  using  $\text{F}^-$ , Figure 5, where the Eu(III) emission was initially significantly enhanced up to ca. one



**Figure 5.** The overall changes on the Eu(III) emission of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  (4  $\mu\text{M}$ ) upon addition of  $\text{F}^-$ . *Insert:* The changes in the intensity at 612 nm as a function of added equivalents of  $\text{F}^-$ .

equivalent of the ion, which we propose is due to the displacement of a metal bound solvent molecule by  $F^-$ . However, these changes were subsequently followed by almost complete quenching in the Eu(III) emission, as demonstrated in the insert in Figure 5 for the changes in the 612 nm transition, in a similar manner to that observed for  $AcO^-$  above. This second binding, we assign to the recognition of the anion at the urea site. From these luminescence changes, analysis, using SPECFIT, gave good fitting of the experimental data, from which we were able to obtain two binding constants, log K of 10.35 and 8.2 for the 1:1 and 1:2 bindings, respectively. These are significantly higher binding values than observed for  $AcO^-$  or  $H_2PO_4^-$ , respectively, which might further support the different mode of binding experienced by  $F^-$ . In contrast to these results, the titration with  $Cl^-$ ,  $Br^-$  and  $F^-$ , only gave rise to 1:1 binding, with binding constant,  $LogK_{1:1}$  of 6.34, 6.18, and 6.26, being determined for these anions, respectively. From these results we have established, that only for  $F^-$  is the emission enhanced, which demonstrates that the initial anion binding is caused by the binding of the anion at the metal ion centre and that the second equivalent of the anion, binds to the complex via hydrogen bonding interactions at the urea site, which gives rise to quenching in the Eu(III) emission, as the efficiency of the sensitisation process is altered upon anion binding. Such binding interactions were also observed for the other anions investigated herein. We are currently in the process of evaluating these binding modes in greater detail as well as developing analogue systems which possess higher Eu(III) binding affinities and consequently could give rise to the sensing of anions by using such heteroditopic receptors and lanthanide luminescence in a more competitive aqueous environment.

## CONCLUSION

In this communication, we have described the synthesis and the characterisation of a new lanthanide complex between an urea based heteroditopic *phen* receptor and Eu(III). We

demonstrate, using ground, single excited and phosphorescence spectroscopy, that the resulting complex is formed in 3:1 (ligand to metal ion) stoichiometry, and that this complex can interact with anions in CH<sub>3</sub>CN. In particular, in the case of AcO<sup>-</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, and I<sup>-</sup>, the titration of these ions lead to significant changes in the Eu(III) emission, which was fully quenched, in the case of AcO<sup>-</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, within the addition of 3 equivalents of these anions. This we propose as being the result of binding of these anions at the urea moieties in **1<sub>3</sub>Eu**. In contrast, the titration of F<sup>-</sup>, gave rise to initial enhancement in the Eu(III) emission up to one equivalent, followed by luminescence quenching. This we assign to dual binding modes involving initial binding of the anion at the metal ion centre itself, with concomitant removal one solvent molecule, and enhancement in the Eu(III) emission, followed by quenching in the Eu(III) emission upon binding of the anion at one or more of the urea moieties in **1<sub>3</sub>Eu**.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Trinity College Dublin, CSCB, SFI and IRCSET for financial support. We also like to thank Dr. John E. O'Brien for his help.

## EXPERIMENTAL

**General:** Reagents (obtained from Aldrich) and solvents were purified using standard techniques. Melting points were determined using a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Mattson Genesis II FTIR spectrophotometer equipped with a Gateway 2000 4DX2-66 workstation. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz using a Bruker Spectrospin DPX-400 instrument. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded at 100 MHz using a Bruker Spectrospin DPX-400 instrument.



**1-[1,10]Phenanthroline-5-yl-3-(4-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-urea (1):** To a suspension of the amine (**3**) (1.0 g, 5.12 mmol) in  $\text{CHCl}_3$  (40 mL) trifluoro-p-tolyl isocyanate (0.79 mL, 5.63 mmol) was added. After five minutes all the amine was dissolved, and a dark yellow solution was observed. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, under an argon atmosphere. An off white precipitate was observed on the reaction vessel. This precipitate was filtered and washed with cold  $\text{CHCl}_3$ . The obtained solid was recrystallized from MeOH to yield a pale solid (1.38 g, 88% yield). m.p. decomposes above  $290^\circ\text{C}$ ; Calculated for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_4\text{OF}_3$   $m/z = 383.1120$   $[\text{M} + \text{H}]$ . Found  $m/z = 383.1107$ .  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $d_6$ -( $\text{CD}_3$ ) $_2\text{SO}$ ,  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ ): 9.55 (br s, 1H, NH), 9.16 (d, 1H, *phen*-CH,  $J = 4.0$  Hz), 9.12 (br s, 1H, NH), 9.00 (d, 1H, *phen*-CH,  $J = 4.0$  Hz), 8.65 (d, 1H, *phen*-CH,  $J = 8.5$  Hz), 8.43 (d, 1H, *phen*-CH,  $J = 8.0$  Hz), 8.39 (s, 1H, *phen*-CH), 7.89 (dd, 1H, *phen*-CH,  $J = 4.0$  Hz), 7.72 (m, 5H, *phen*-CH + Ar-CH);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $d_6$ -( $\text{CD}_3$ ) $_2\text{SO}$ ,  $\delta_{\text{C}}$ ): 152.87, 149.87, 148.74, 145.89, 143.34, 143.13, 135.61, 132.08, 130.44, 128.50, 126.25, 126.22, 123.77, 123.68, 122.95, 122.21, 118.01, 116.07;  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR (376 MHz,  $d_6$ -( $\text{CD}_3$ ) $_2\text{SO}$ ,  $\delta_{\text{F}}$ ): -60.58 ( $\text{CF}_3$ ); MS ( $\text{ES}^+$ )  $m/z = 383.11$  ( $\text{M} + \text{H}$ ). IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3394, 3284, 3211, 3089, 1711, 1613, 1563, 1505, 1472, 1411, 1377, 1329, 1310, 1270, 1202, 1162, 1153, 1108, 1097, 1066, 1017, 988, 945, 878, 848, 826, 803, 737, 711, 691.

**Europium complex of 1,  $1_3\text{Eu}$ :** To a suspension of the urea (**1**) (0.10 g, 0.26 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  (20 mL) was added  $\text{Eu}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_3$  (0.06 g, 0.11 mmol). Within few minutes a clear yellow solution was observed. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight, at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. The precipitate was isolated by filtration, and dried under vacuum to produce a bright yellow solid in (0.13 g, 28% yield).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $d_6$ -( $\text{CD}_3$ ) $_2\text{SO}$ ,  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ ): 9.52 (s, 1H, NH), 9.15 (br s, 1H, *phen*-CH), 9.10 (s, 1H, NH), 8.98 (br s, 1H, *phen*-CH), 8.64 (d, 1H, *phen*-CH,  $J = 8.6$  Hz), 8.43 (d, 1H, *phen*-CH,  $J = 7.6$  Hz), 8.35 (s, 1H, *phen*-CH), 7.88 (br s, 1H, *phen*-CH), 7.74 (d, 2H, Ar-CH,  $J = 8.6$  Hz), 7.70 (br s, 1H,



*phen*-CH), 7.69 (d, 2H, Ar-CH,  $J = 8.6$  Hz);  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR (376 MHz,  $d_6$ -( $\text{CD}_3$ ) $_2\text{SO}$ ,  $\delta_{\text{F}}$ ): -60.56 ( $\text{CF}_3$ ), -78.29 ( $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3$ ); MS ( $\text{ES}^+$ )  $m/z = 558.00$  [(M-2*phen* ligands) + Na] 648.95 [M/2], 684.91 [(M/2) + 2H $_2$ O].; IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3334, 1656, 1605, 1542, 1412, 1314, 1223, 1160, 1107, 1066, 1026, 844, 808, 733, 719, 695.

## References:

- [1] Sessler, J. L.; Gale, P. A.; Cho, W. S., *Anion Receptor Chemistry*; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, UK, 2006.
- [2] Gunnlaugsson, T.; Glynn, M.; Tocci (née Hussey), G. M.; Kruger, P. E.; Pfeffer, F. M. *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **2006**, 250, 3094; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Ali H.D.P.; Glynn, M.; Kruger, P.E.; Hussey G. M. Pfeffer F. M.; dos Santos, C. M. G.; Tierney, J.; *J. of. Fluoresc.*, **2005**, 15, 287. Gunnlaugsson, T.; Leonard J.P. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 3114; Leonard J.P.; Gunnlaugsson T. *J. of. Fluoresc.* **2005**, 15, 585.
- [3] Steed, J. W., *Chem. Commun.*, **2006**, 2637; Martínez-Máñez, R. Sancenón, F. *Chem. Rev.*, **2003**, 103, 4419. Suksai, C.; Tuntulani, T. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2003**, 32, 192 J. F. Callan, A.P. de Silva, D.C. Magri, *Tetrahedron*, **2005**, 36, 8551; deSilva, A.P.; Gunaratne, H.Q.N.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Huxley, A. J. M.; McCoy, C. P.; Rademacher J. T.; Rice, T. E. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 1515.
- [4] Gale, P. A., *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **2006**, 39, 465. Gale P. A. *Chem. Commun.*, **2005**, 3761; Gale, P.A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **2001**, 213, 79; Gale, P.A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **2000**, 199, 181.
- [5] Quinlan E.; Matthews S. E.; Gunnlaugsson, T., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2006**, 47, 9333; Palacios, M. A.; Nishiyabu, R.; Marquez, M.; Anzenbacher, Jr., P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2007**, 129, 7538; Gómez D. E., Fabbriizzi, L., Licchelli, M., Monzani, E. *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **2005**, 3, 1495; Pfeffer F. M.; Buschgens, A. M.; Barnett, N. W.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Kruger, P. E., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2005**, 46, 6579; Pfeffer, F. M.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Jensen, P.; Kruger, P.E.,


- Org. Lett.* **2005**, 7, 5375; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Kruger, P. E.; Lee, T. C.; Parkesh, R.; Pfeffer, F. M.; Hussey, G. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2003**, 44, 6575; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Davis, A.P.; Glynn, M. *Chem. Commun.*, **2001**, 2556.
- [6] Katayev, E. A.; Sessler, J. L.; Khrustalev, V. N.; Ustynyuk, Y. A. *J. Org. Chem.*, **2007**, 72, 7244; Evans, L. S.; Gale, P. A.; Light, M. E. Quesada, R. *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **2006**, 4, 1019.
- [7] Pfeffer, F. M.; Lim K. F.; Sedgwick, K. J. *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **2007**, 5, 1795; Bates, G. W.; Triyanti, Light, M. E.; Albrecht, M.; Gale, P. A. *J. Org. Chem.*, **2007**, 72, 8921.
- [8] Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M.; Rabaioli, G.; Taglietti, A. F. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2000**, 205, 85.
- [9] Leonard, J. P.; Nolan, C. B. Stomeo F.; Gunnlaugsson, T. *Top. Curr. Chem.*, **2007**, 281, 1; Gunnlaugsson T.; F. Stomeo, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **2007**, 5, 1999; Pope, S. J. A. *Polyhedron*, **2007**, 26, 4818; Pandya, S.; Yu, J.; Parker, D. *Dalton Trans.* **2006**, 2757; Shinoda, S.; Miyake, H.; Tsukube, H. *Handbook of the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths*; Elsevier North Holland, 2005; Vol. 35; Tsukube, H.; Shinoda, S.; *Chem. Rev.*, **2002**, 102, 2389; Parker, D. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2004**, 33 156 Gunnlaugsson, T.; Harte, A. J.; Leonard, J. P.; Nieuwenhuyzen, M. *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 2134.
- [10] Poole, R. A. Kielar, F.; Richardson, S. L.; Stenson, P. S.; Parker D. *Chem. Commun.*, **2006**, 4084; Parker, D. Dickins, R. S. Puschmann, H.; Cossland C.; Howard, J. A. K. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, 102, 1977.
- [11] Plush, S.E; Gunnlaugsson, T. *Dalton Trans.*, **2008**, 3801.
- [13] S Plush, S.E; Gunnlaugsson, T. *Org. Lett.*, **2007**, 9, 1919.
- [14] Lankshear, M. D.; Cowley, A. R.; Beer, P. D. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 612; Tozawa, T.; Misawa, Y.; Tokita, S.; Kubo, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2000**, 41, 5219; Kirkovits, G. J.; Shriver, J. A.; Gale, P. A.; Sessler, J. L. *Journal of Inclusion Phenomena and Macrocyclic Chemistry* **2001**, 41, 69-75; Reetz, M. T. In *Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry*; Atwood, L.,

- Davies, J. E. D., MacNicol, D. D., Vogtle, F., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1996; Vol. 2, pp 553-562; 7; Beer, P. D.; Timoshenko, V. Maestri, M.; Passaniti P.; Balzani, V. *Chem. Commun.*, **1999**, 1755; Beer, P.D. *Chem. Commun.*, **1996**, 689.
- [15] dos Santos, C. M. G.; Fernandez, P. B.; Plush, S. E.; Leonard, J. P.; Gunnlaugsson, T. *Chem. Commun.*, **2007**, 3389.
- [16] Gunnlaugsson, T.; Harte, A. J.; Leonard, J. P.; Nieuwenhuyzen, M. *Supramol. Chem.* **2003**, 15, 505; Faulkner S.; Burton-Pye, B. P.; Khan, T.; Martin L. R.; Wray, S. D.; Sakabara, P. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 1668; Dickins, R. S.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Parker, D.; Peacock, R. D. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 1643.
- [17] Goodman, M. S.; Weiss, J.; Hamilton, A. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, 35, 8943.
- [18] Amendola, V.; Boiocchi, M.; Colasson, B.; Fabbrizzi, L. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, 45, 6138.
- [19] Norsten, T. B.; Chichak, K.; Branda, N. R. *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 1794.
- [20] Turner, D. R.; Spencer, E. C.; Howard, J. A. K.; Tocher, D. A.; Steed, J. W. *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 1352; Turner, D. R.; Smith, B.; Spencer, E. C.; Goeta, A. E.; Evans, I. R.; Tocher, D. A.; Howard, J. A.; Steed, J. W. *New J. Chem.* **2005**, 29, 90.
- [21] dos Santos, C. M. G.; McCabe T.; Gunnlaugsson, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2007**, 48, 3135.
- [22] Supkowski, R. M.; Horrocks, W. D. *Inorg. Chem. Acta.*, **2002**, 340, 44.
- [23] Beeby, A.; Clarkson, I. M.; Dickens, R. S.; Faulkner, S.; Parker, D.; Royle, L.; de Sousa, A.S.; Williams, J.A.G.; Woods, M. J. *Chem. Soc, Perkin Trans.*, 2, **1999**, 493.

Graphical abstract

Exploring the luminescent sensing of anions by the use of an urea functionalized 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) based (3:1) Eu(III) complex

Cidália M. G. dos Santos and Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson



Exploring the luminescent sensing of anions by the use of an urea  
functionalized 1,10-phenanthroline (*phen*) based (3:1) Eu(III)  
complex

Cidália M. G. dos Santos and Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson\*

*School of Chemistry, Centre for Synthesis and Chemical Biology, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin*

*2, Ireland. E-mail: gunnlaut@tcd.ie*

**Abstract:** The design, synthesis and photophysical evaluation of the Eu(III) complex **1<sub>3</sub>Eu**, formed from the aryl-urea based 1,10-phenanthroline (*phen*) heteroditopic receptor **1**, is described. The complex, which was formed in 3:1 [ligand:Eu(III)] stoichiometry, was used to sense anions such as acetate, phosphate and halides, in CH<sub>3</sub>CN. Here, the mechanism for the sensing of these anions by **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** was through hydrogen bonding at the urea moiety, and in the case of F<sup>−</sup>, also by the displacement of metal bound water molecules. Both these binding modes gave rise to significant modulation in spectroscopic output of the Eu(III) complex.

**Keywords:** Anions, sensing, lanthanide, europium, self-assembly, displacement assays.

## INTRODUCTION


Over the years, much effort has been devoted to the development of selective sensors for detecting anions.[1-4] In particular, the use hydrogen bonding receptors such as those based on the use of urea/thioureas,[5], pyrroles[6] and indoles,[7] has been extensively researched. At the same time, the use of transition metal ion complexes for binding ions, with subsequent changes in the photo-physical properties of the metal ion complex, has also been a fruitful area of research.[8] More recently, the use of lanthanide ion complexes in anion sensing has also become a fast growing area of research, where the anion sensing occurs via a

direct coordination at the metal ion itself.[9-13] In contrast to these developments, then he use of a combination of two modes of binding, e.g. hydrogen bonding donors and metal ion complexes, for anion recognition and sensing has been much less explored, and mostly through the use of transition metal ions.[14] With the aim of developing such sensors for anions using lanthanide ions, we have recently synthesised Tb(III) based cyclen complexes that possess a di-aryl based urea pendant arm.[15] Here the hydrogen donating urea moiety acted as an anion receptor, as well as a sensitizer, or an antenna, for the population of the Tb(III) excited state. In this work, we showed, that the Tb(III) could be sensitized by excitation of the antenna, and that the sensitization process could be modulated upon recognition of several anions such as acetates and phosphates at the urea moiety in non-aqueous solution. We also demonstrated that for this same system, at high concentration, additional anion binding occurred at the lanthanide ion centre directly through the mechanism of displacement of metal bound water molecules [16].

In this communication, we set out to further explore the use of a combination of hydrogen bonding receptors and the lanthanides for anion sensing, by using acyclic ligands. Here we describe the synthesis and the photophysical evaluation of **13Eu**, formed from the *phen* based urea heteroditopic receptors **1** and Eu(III), and we demonstrate that the photophysical properties of **13Eu** are significantly modulated upon recognition of anions in organic solvent. While similar ideas have been developed by several other researchers such as Hamilton *et al.*[17], Fabbrizzi *et al.*[18] Branda *et al.*[19] and Steed *et al.*[20], these examples have all been based on the use of transition metal ions, and not lanthanide ions. Hence, the formation of lanthanide complexes, has not, to the best of our knowledge, been achieved to date.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Synthesis:** The synthesis of **1** was achieved in two steps from the commercially available 5-nitro-1,10-phenanthroline by firstly converting it to the corresponding amine **2** in 92% yield, by using hydrazine monohydrate in the presence of 10% Pd/C catalyst in ethanol at 95°C, followed by reaction with trifluoro-*p*-tolyl isocyanate, in chloroform at room temperature,




**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of **1** and the Eu(III) complex of **1**, **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** (several structural isomers were expected to be formed).

which gave **1** as an off white precipitate, in 88% yield after recrystallization from a mixture of  $\text{CHCl}_3$ :MeOH,[21] Scheme 1. The synthesis of the Eu(III) complex of **1**, was accomplished by reacting **1** with 0.5 equivalents of  $\text{Eu}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_3$  in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  at room temperature overnight. The observed off white precipitate was isolated by filtration, and dried under vacuum to produce a bright yellow solid in a modest 28% yield. The successful synthesis of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** was supported by NMR spectroscopy and HRMS. Although the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR was not greatly shifted (due to the presence of the paramagnetic Eu(III) ion), the formation of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** complex was clear in the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR, which showed significant broadening for the *phen* protons, indicating the presence of a single species in solution possessing high symmetry. The  $^{19}\text{F}$  spectrum also revealed the presence of the  $\text{CF}_3$  group at -60.56 ppm as well as a resonance at -78.29 ppm which we assigned to the triflate counter ions. The ESMS also showed the expected europium

isotopic distribution pattern for  $1_3\text{Eu}$ , for instance for the  $m/z$  centred at 647 assigned to the  $[\text{M}/2]$  peak.

**Photophysical studies:** The formation and the stability of the complex between  $\text{Eu(III)}$  and **1**, was investigated by observing the changes in the ground and the singlet excited state of **1** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ), and the  $\text{Eu(III)}$  emission upon titration with  $\text{Eu}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_3$  in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  under ambient conditions. The changes observed in the absorption spectra of **1**, which had a  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  of 265 nm and a shoulder at 320 nm, are shown in Figure 1.




**Figure 1.** Changes on the absorption spectra of **1** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) upon addition of  $\text{Eu}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_3$ .  
*Insert:* The changes in absorbance at 263 nm as a function of equivalents of  $\text{Eu(III)}$ .

Upon binding to  $\text{Eu(III)}$ , small shifts were observed in the absorption spectra, where the 265 nm band was blue shifted to 260 nm, and the 320 nm shoulder was concomitantly red shift by ca. 5 nm. Three distinct isosbestic points were also observed at 280 nm, 300 nm, and 323 nm, respectively. The titration profile for the changes at 253 nm is also shown as an insert in Figure 1, which demonstrated the formation of a complex with 1:3 stoichiometry (e.g.  $1_3\text{Eu}$ ) as no significant changes occurred after ca. 0.33 eq. of  $\text{Eu(III)}$  added. The homogenous changes in the absorption spectra also demonstrate that the complex does not aggregate in solution.



The changes in the fluorescence emission were also monitored upon excitation at 265 nm and 320 nm. On both occasions, a broad band centred at 422 nm was observed. Upon addition of Eu(III), the emission intensity arising from this transition was quenched by 33%, without any changes in  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ . By plotting the changes in the emission intensity at 422 nm versus equivalents of Eu(III), in a similar manner to that described above, again demonstrates the formation of the desired 1:3 stoichiometry in solution.

The formation of this complex was also clearly evident from the changes in the Eu(III) emission, Figure 2, which shows the formation of new bands centred at 594, 616, 652, and 700 nm, corresponding to the deactivation of the Eu(III)  $^5\text{D}_0$  excited state to the  $^7\text{F}_J$  ground states ( $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_J$ ,  $J = 1-4$ ), respectively. These results confirm that the *phen* ligand is capable



**Figure 2.** Changes on the Eu(III) emission of **1** (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ), which is enhanced upon addition of  $\text{Eu}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_3$ . *Insert:* The changes in lanthanide emission at 616 nm as a function of equivalents of Eu(III) added, upon excitation at 265 nm.

of successfully sensitize the Eu(III) excited state. Furthermore, as can be seen in Figure 2, the  $J = 2$  band, occurring at 616 nm, gave rise to large changes in the Eu(III) emission upon formation of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu**. This transition is highly sensitive to its coordination environment, so these results are indicative of direct coordination between the *phen* ligand and the Eu(III) ion.

Analysis of the changes in the  $J = 2$ , shown as inset in Figure 2, demonstrate the formation of the desired 1:3 complex, and its formation was further confirmed by using Job's plot analysis ( $m/n = f_{\max} / (1 - f_{\max})$ ), gave  $f_{\max} = 0.25$ , and  $m/n = 1/3$ , for the changes in the 616 nm band.

The luminescence changes observed in Figure 2, were also analysed by fitting the data using the nonlinear least-squares fitting program SPECFIT. The results of this fitting are shown in Table 1, and demonstrate the stepwise formation of **1Eu**, **1<sub>2</sub>Eu**, and **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** complexes in solution, and that the **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** complex is formed with  $\log K_{1:3}$  of  $\sim 6$ . Similar analysis was carried out on the changes observed in both the ground and the singlet excited state spectrum. On all occasions, the stepwise formation of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** was also observed, Table 1 (expressed as  $\log \beta$ ) where a good correlation was observed between all three spectroscopic techniques.

**Table 1.** Binding constants and binding modes between Eu(III) and **1**, obtained upon fitting the changes in the absorption, the fluorescence and the Eu(III) emission.


Technique	Species (Eu <sub>n</sub> :1 <sub>m</sub> )	Log $\beta$	Std. Deviation
<i>Absorbance</i>	<b>Eu1</b>	3.86	0.012
	<b>Eu1<sub>2</sub></b>	7.54	0.016
	<b>Eu1<sub>3</sub></b>	13.46	0.031
<i>Fluorescence</i>	<b>Eu1</b>	3.88	0.008
	<b>Eu1<sub>2</sub></b>	7.48	0.016
	<b>Eu1<sub>3</sub></b>	13.38	0.024
<i>Phosphorescence</i>	<b>Eu1</b>	3.61	0.011
	<b>Eu1<sub>2</sub></b>	7.28	0.016
	<b>Eu1<sub>3</sub></b>	13.30	0.017

From Table 1, it is clear that the 1:3 stoichiometry dominates in solution, and hence the Eu(III) ion would be expected to be hexa-coordinated. The overall coordination number of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** was further confirmed, by measuring the hydration state ( $q$ ), or the number of metal bound water molecules associated with the **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** structure, by measuring the excited state lifetimes for the Eu(III) emission in H<sub>2</sub>O and D<sub>2</sub>O, respectively, by direct excitation of the Eu(III) centre at 395 nm. This gave values of 0.333ms and 1.83 ms for  $\tau_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$  and  $\tau_{\text{D}_2\text{O}}$ , respectively, which translates, using the Horrocks's modified equation [22] developed by

Parker *et al.*, [23], in to a  $q$  value of 2.6, confirming the overall coordination number of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** as nine in aqueous solution.

**Anion Sensing:** Having successfully synthesised and, analysed the formation of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** in solution, we next investigated its ability to bind/recognize anions. As **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** has three urea based ligands, all of which are capable of participating in the recognition of anions through hydrogen bonding, we expected to see significant changes in the photophysical properties of the complex upon such binding. Moreover, and as demonstrated above, the complex has three metal bound solvent molecules, all of which could be displaced by other competitive coordinating ligands, such as anions, with concomitant changes in the coordination environment of the lanthanide ion and hence, in its photophysical properties. Hence, the anion sensing ability of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** could occur through either of these modes, or through the combination of both.

All of the three spectroscopic techniques discussed above were used to monitor the recognition of anions by **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** such as acetate, dihydrogenphosphate, fluoride and chloride as their tetrabutylammonium salts (TBA<sup>+</sup>), in CH<sub>3</sub>CN. Upon titrating AcO<sup>-</sup>, the absorption spectrum was significantly affected as demonstrated in Figure 3. These changes were




**Figure 3.** Changes on the absorbance of **1<sub>3</sub>Eu** (4 μM) upon addition of AcO<sup>-</sup>. *Insert:* shows changes in absorbance at 292 nm as a function of equivalents of acetate added.

accompanied by the formation of four isosbestic points at 256, 280, 303 and 322 nm, which were attributed to the interaction of the anion with the three urea moieties. Analysis of the changes in the absorption spectrum is shown as an inset in Figure 3, and demonstrated that these changes occurred up to ca. three equivalents of  $\text{AcO}^-$ , signifying the formation of hydrogen bonding complexes between these anion and the urea moieties. Fitting this data using SPECFIT, however, only gave accurate binding constants for two of these binding events, namely the 1:1 and the 2:1 (ion:complex) between **13Eu** and  $\text{AcO}^-$ , with binding constants  $\text{Log } K_{1:1}$  and  $\text{Log } K_{2:1}$  of 6.23 ( $\pm 0.257$ ) and 6.17 ( $\pm 0.169$ ), respectively for these interactions. Similar changes were also observed in the absorption spectrum of **13Eu** for the titration of  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$  and  $\text{F}^-$ . As in the case of  $\text{AcO}^-$ , then for  $\text{F}^-$  we were only able to fit the spectral changes of two binding events. However, for  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$ , the best fits were observed only when the data was fitted to binding events which involved the 1:1 and 1:2, complex formation as well as the 2:1 and 2:2 complex between **13Eu** and  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$ . In contrast to these results, the titration of  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{Br}^-$  and  $\text{I}^-$ , gave only rise to the formation of 1:1 complexes. In the case of  $\text{Cl}^-$  and  $\text{Br}^-$ , the changes in the absorption spectra of **13Eu** were of similar nature, at the same time as being overall smaller to that observed above. However, in the case of  $\text{I}^-$  only absorption enhancements were observed without the formation of clear isosbestic points.

The fluorescence emission of **13Eu** was also affected upon carrying out anion titrations. After excitation at either 260 nm or 330 nm, fluorescence enhancements of 62%, 58%, 34%, 10%, and 16% were observed for  $\text{AcO}^-$ ,  $\text{F}^-$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-$ ,  $\text{Br}^-$ , and  $\text{I}^-$ , respectively, with no apparent shifts in the 420 nm band. These changes were analysed in the same manner as described above for the ground state changes. As an example, then in the case of  $\text{AcO}^-$ , plotting the changes as a function of added equivalents, clearly showed that the changes occur up to the binding of three equivalents of  $\text{AcO}^-$ , indicative of the 3:1 binding stoichiometry. However, in the case of  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$ , initial quenching was also observed of ca. 15%.

Nevertheless, after the addition of 0.5 equivalents of  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$ , the emission was enhanced in a similar manner to that observed above.

However, from these spectroscopic anion titrations, the most significant changes were observed in the Eu(III) emission of **1**<sub>3</sub>Eu, which was quenched up to *ca.* 2 equivalents of  $\text{AcO}^-$  (after initial slight enhancement  $\sim 3\%$ ), Figure 4. The insert in Figure 4, also shows the




**Figure 4.** Changes on the lanthanide emission intensity of **1**<sub>3</sub>Eu (4  $\mu\text{M}$ ), which was quenched upon titration with  $\text{AcO}^-$ . Insert shows changes in intensity at 612 nm as a function of added equivalents of  $\text{AcO}^-$ .

profile of the changes in luminescence intensity at 612 nm ( $J = 2$  band) as a function of the number of equivalents of  $\text{AcO}^-$  added, and in a similar manner to that observed for the UV-Visible and fluorescence titrations above, demonstrates that two equivalents of  $\text{AcO}^-$  were needed to fully quench the lanthanide emission. We propose that this quenching can be attributed to an energy mismatch between the lowest triplet state ( $T_1$ ) of the antenna and the excited state of europium, hence making the transfer of energy unfavourable from the triplet state of the antenna,  $T_1$ , to the excited state of the Eu(III),  $^5\text{D}_0$ , upon anion recognition. Alternatively it is also possible that some contribution from dissociation, or displacement of **1** from the Eu(III) centre in **1**<sub>3</sub>Eu could occur upon formation of hydrogen bonding complex

between the urea and the anions, however to date we have been unable to fully quantify if such displacement is occurring. With the aim of evaluating these binding modes of the anion, analysis of the changes seen in Figure 4 were undertaken using SPECFIT. As had been observed for the changes in the ground state, the fitting of the changes observed in the  $\text{AcO}^-$ , showed that the 1:1 and the 2:1 stoichiometries were the most dominating, with  $\text{Log } K_{1:1}$  and  $\text{Log } K_{2:1}$ , of  $6.56 (\pm 0.118)$  and  $7.0 (\pm 0.061)$ , which are in agreement with those values observed from the ground and the singlet state changes. In a similar manner,  $\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$  also gave rise to luminescent quenching. However, these changes were best fitted using a variety of binding equilibria such as 1:1, 1:2, 2:1 and 2:2, which would indicate that the anion bound to  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  in a more complicated manner than  $\text{AcO}^-$ . However, for both of these anions, the main binding seems to be through hydrogen bonding at the urea moieties, with lesser contribution from direct binding to the lanthanide centre, via the displacement of metal bound solvent molecules.

However, such binding combination was observed for the titration of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  using  $\text{F}^-$ , Figure 5, where the Eu(III) emission was initially significantly enhanced up to ca. one



**Figure 5.** The overall changes on the Eu(III) emission of  $\text{Eu}^{3+}$  (4  $\mu\text{M}$ ) upon addition of  $\text{F}^-$ . *Insert:* The changes in the intensity at 612 nm as a function of added equivalents of  $\text{F}^-$ .

equivalent of the ion, which we propose is due to the displacement of a metal bound solvent molecule by  $F^-$ . However, these changes were subsequently followed by almost complete quenching in the Eu(III) emission, as demonstrated in the insert in Figure 5 for the changes in the 612 nm transition, in a similar manner to that observed for  $AcO^-$  above. This second binding, we assign to the recognition of the anion at the urea site. From these luminescence changes, analysis, using SPECFIT, gave good fitting of the experimental data, from which we were able to obtain two binding constants, log K of 10.35 and 8.2 for the 1:1 and 1:2 bindings, respectively. These are significantly higher binding values than observed for  $AcO^-$  or  $H_2PO_4^-$ , respectively, which might further support the different mode of binding experienced by  $F^-$ . In contrast to these results, the titration with  $Cl^-$ ,  $Br^-$  and  $F^-$ , only gave rise to 1:1 binding, with binding constant,  $LogK_{1:1}$  of 6.34, 6.18, and 6.26, being determined for these anions, respectively. From these results we have established, that only for  $F^-$  is the emission enhanced, which demonstrates that the initial anion binding is caused by the binding of the anion at the metal ion centre and that the second equivalent of the anion, binds to the complex via hydrogen bonding interactions at the urea site, which gives rise to quenching in the Eu(III) emission, as the efficiency of the sensitisation process is altered upon anion binding. Such binding interactions were also observed for the other anions investigated herein. We are currently in the process of evaluating these binding modes in greater detail as well as developing analogue systems which possess higher Eu(III) binding affinities and consequently could give rise to the sensing of anions by using such heteroditopic receptors and lanthanide luminescence in a more competitive aqueous environment.

## CONCLUSION

In this communication, we have described the synthesis and the characterisation of a new lanthanide complex between an urea based heteroditopic *phen* receptor and Eu(III). We



demonstrate, using ground, single excited and phosphorescence spectroscopy, that the resulting complex is formed in 3:1 (ligand to metal ion) stoichiometry, and that this complex can interact with anions in CH<sub>3</sub>CN. In particular, in the case of AcO<sup>-</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, and I<sup>-</sup>, the titration of these ions lead to significant changes in the Eu(III) emission, which was fully quenched, in the case of AcO<sup>-</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, within the addition of 3 equivalents of these anions. This we propose as being the result of binding of these anions at the urea moieties in **1<sub>3</sub>Eu**. In contrast, the titration of F<sup>-</sup>, gave rise to initial enhancement in the Eu(III) emission up to one equivalent, followed by luminescence quenching. This we assign to dual binding modes involving initial binding of the anion at the metal ion centre itself, with concomitant removal one solvent molecule, and enhancement in the Eu(III) emission, followed by quenching in the Eu(III) emission upon binding of the anion at one or more of the urea moieties in **1<sub>3</sub>Eu**.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Trinity College Dublin, CSCB, SFI and IRCSET for financial support. We also like to thank Dr. John E. O'Brien for his help.

## EXPERIMENTAL

**General:** Reagents (obtained from Aldrich) and solvents were purified using standard techniques. Melting points were determined using a Gallenkamp melting point apparatus. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Mattson Genesis II FTIR spectrophotometer equipped with a Gateway 2000 4DX2-66 workstation. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded at 400 MHz using a Bruker Spectrospin DPX-400 instrument. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded at 100 MHz using a Bruker Spectrospin DPX-400 instrument.



**1-[1,10]Phenanthroline-5-yl-3-(4-trifluoromethyl-phenyl)-urea (1):** To a suspension of the amine (**3**) (1.0 g, 5.12 mmol) in  $\text{CHCl}_3$  (40 mL) trifluoro-p-tolyl isocyanate (0.79 mL, 5.63 mmol) was added. After five minutes all the amine was dissolved, and a dark yellow solution was observed. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature, under an argon atmosphere. An off white precipitate was observed on the reaction vessel. This precipitate was filtered and washed with cold  $\text{CHCl}_3$ . The obtained solid was recrystallized from MeOH to yield a pale solid (1.38 g, 88% yield). m.p. decomposes above  $290^\circ\text{C}$ ; Calculated for  $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_4\text{OF}_3$   $m/z = 383.1120$  [ $\text{M} + \text{H}$ ]. Found  $m/z = 383.1107$ .  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $d_6$ -( $\text{CD}_3$ ) $_2\text{SO}$ ,  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ ): 9.55 (br s, 1H, NH), 9.16 (d, 1H, *phen*-CH,  $J = 4.0$  Hz), 9.12 (br s, 1H, NH), 9.00 (d, 1H, *phen*-CH,  $J = 4.0$  Hz), 8.65 (d, 1H, *phen*-CH,  $J = 8.5$  Hz), 8.43 (d, 1H, *phen*-CH,  $J = 8.0$  Hz), 8.39 (s, 1H, *phen*-CH), 7.89 (dd, 1H, *phen*-CH,  $J = 4.0$  Hz), 7.72 (m, 5H, *phen*-CH + Ar-CH);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $d_6$ -( $\text{CD}_3$ ) $_2\text{SO}$ ,  $\delta_{\text{C}}$ ): 152.87, 149.87, 148.74, 145.89, 143.34, 143.13, 135.61, 132.08, 130.44, 128.50, 126.25, 126.22, 123.77, 123.68, 122.95, 122.21, 118.01, 116.07;  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR (376 MHz,  $d_6$ -( $\text{CD}_3$ ) $_2\text{SO}$ ,  $\delta_{\text{F}}$ ): -60.58 ( $\text{CF}_3$ ); MS ( $\text{ES}^+$ )  $m/z = 383.11$  ( $\text{M} + \text{H}$ ). IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3394, 3284, 3211, 3089, 1711, 1613, 1563, 1505, 1472, 1411, 1377, 1329, 1310, 1270, 1202, 1162, 1153, 1108, 1097, 1066, 1017, 988, 945, 878, 848, 826, 803, 737, 711, 691.

**Europium complex of 1,  $1_3\text{Eu}$ :** To a suspension of the urea (**1**) (0.10 g, 0.26 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  (20 mL) was added  $\text{Eu}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_3$  (0.06 g, 0.11 mmol). Within few minutes a clear yellow solution was observed. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight, at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. The precipitate was isolated by filtration, and dried under vacuum to produce a bright yellow solid in (0.13 g, 28% yield).  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (600 MHz,  $d_6$ -( $\text{CD}_3$ ) $_2\text{SO}$ ,  $\delta_{\text{H}}$ ): 9.52 (s, 1H, NH), 9.15 (br s, 1H, *phen*-CH), 9.10 (s, 1H, NH), 8.98 (br s, 1H, *phen*-CH), 8.64 (d, 1H, *phen*-CH,  $J = 8.6$  Hz), 8.43 (d, 1H, *phen*-CH,  $J = 7.6$  Hz), 8.35 (s, 1H, *phen*-CH), 7.88 (br s, 1H, *phen*-CH), 7.74 (d, 2H, Ar-CH,  $J = 8.6$  Hz), 7.70 (br s, 1H,

*phen*-CH), 7.69 (d, 2H, Ar-CH,  $J = 8.6$  Hz);  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR (376 MHz,  $d_6$ -( $\text{CD}_3$ ) $_2\text{SO}$ ,  $\delta_{\text{F}}$ ): -60.56 ( $\text{CF}_3$ ), -78.29 ( $\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3$ ); MS ( $\text{ES}^+$ )  $m/z = 558.00$  [(M-2*phen* ligands) + Na] 648.95 [M/2], 684.91 [(M/2) + 2H $_2$ O].; IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3334, 1656, 1605, 1542, 1412, 1314, 1223, 1160, 1107, 1066, 1026, 844, 808, 733, 719, 695.

## References:

- [1] Sessler, J. L.; Gale, P. A.; Cho, W. S., *Anion Receptor Chemistry*; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, UK, 2006.
- [2] Gunnlaugsson, T.; Glynn, M.; Tocci (née Hussey), G. M.; Kruger, P. E.; Pfeffer, F. M. *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **2006**, 250, 3094; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Ali H.D.P.; Glynn, M.; Kruger, P.E.; Hussey G. M. Pfeffer F. M.; dos Santos, C. M. G.; Tierney, J.; *J. of. Fluoresc.*, **2005**, 15, 287. Gunnlaugsson, T.; Leonard J.P. *Chem. Commun.* **2005**, 3114; Leonard J.P.; Gunnlaugsson T. *J. of. Fluoresc.* **2005**, 15, 585.
- [3] Steed, J. W., *Chem. Commun.*, **2006**, 2637; Martínez-Máñez, R. Sancenón, F. *Chem. Rev.*, **2003**, 103, 4419. Suksai, C.; Tuntulani, T. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2003**, 32, 192 J. F. Callan, A.P. de Silva, D.C. Magri, *Tetrahedron*, **2005**, 36, 8551; deSilva, A.P.; Gunaratne, H.Q.N.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Huxley, A. J. M.; McCoy, C. P.; Rademacher J. T.; Rice, T. E. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, 97, 1515.
- [4] Gale, P. A., *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **2006**, 39, 465. Gale P. A. *Chem. Commun.*, **2005**, 3761; Gale, P.A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **2001**, 213, 79; Gale, P.A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **2000**, 199, 181.
- [5] Quinlan E.; Matthews S. E.; Gunnlaugsson, T., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2006**, 47, 9333; Palacios, M. A.; Nishiyabu, R.; Marquez, M.; Anzenbacher, Jr., P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2007**, 129, 7538; Gómez D. E., Fabbriizzi, L., Licchelli, M., Monzani, E. *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **2005**, 3, 1495; Pfeffer F. M.; Buschgens, A. M.; Barnett, N. W.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Kruger, P. E., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2005**, 46, 6579; Pfeffer, F. M.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Jensen, P.; Kruger, P.E.,


- Org. Lett.* **2005**, 7, 5375; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Kruger, P. E.; Lee, T. C.; Parkesh, R.; Pfeffer, F. M.; Hussey, G. M.; *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2003**, 44, 6575; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Davis, A.P.; Glynn, M. *Chem. Commun.*, **2001**, 2556.
- [6] Katayev, E. A.; Sessler, J. L.; Khrustalev, V. N.; Ustynyuk, Y. A. *J. Org. Chem.*, **2007**, 72, 7244; Evans, L. S.; Gale, P. A.; Light, M. E. Quesada, R. *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **2006**, 4, 1019.
- [7] Pfeffer, F. M.; Lim K. F.; Sedgwick, K. J. *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **2007**, 5, 1795; Bates, G. W.; Triyanti, Light, M. E.; Albrecht, M.; Gale, P. A. *J. Org. Chem.*, **2007**, 72, 8921.
- [8] Fabbrizzi, L.; Licchelli, M.; Rabaioli, G.; Taglietti, A. F. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2000**, 205, 85.
- [9] Leonard, J. P.; Nolan, C. B. Stomeo F.; Gunnlaugsson, T. *Top. Curr. Chem.*, **2007**, 281, 1; Gunnlaugsson T.; F. Stomeo, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **2007**, 5, 1999; Pope, S. J. A. *Polyhedron*, **2007**, 26, 4818; Pandya, S.; Yu, J.; Parker, D. *Dalton Trans.* **2006**, 2757; Shinoda, S.; Miyake, H.; Tsukube, H. *Handbook of the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths*; Elsevier North Holland, 2005; Vol. 35; Tsukube, H.; Shinoda, S.; *Chem. Rev.*, **2002**, 102, 2389; Parker, D. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2004**, 33 156 Gunnlaugsson, T.; Harte, A. J.; Leonard, J. P.; Nieuwenhuyzen, M. *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 2134.
- [10] Poole, R. A. Kielar, F.; Richardson, S. L.; Stenson, P. S.; Parker D. *Chem. Commun.*, **2006**, 4084; Parker, D. Dickins, R. S. Puschmann, H.; Cossland C.; Howard, J. A. K. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, 102, 1977.
- [11] Plush, S.E; Gunnlaugsson, T. *Dalton Trans.*, **2008**, 3801.
- [13] S Plush, S.E; Gunnlaugsson, T. *Org. Lett.*, **2007**, 9, 1919.
- [14] Lankshear, M. D.; Cowley, A. R.; Beer, P. D. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 612; Tozawa, T.; Misawa, Y.; Tokita, S.; Kubo, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2000**, 41, 5219; Kirkovits, G. J.; Shriver, J. A.; Gale, P. A.; Sessler, J. L. *Journal of Inclusion Phenomena and Macrocyclic Chemistry* **2001**, 41, 69-75; Reetz, M. T. In *Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry*; Atwood, L.,

- Davies, J. E. D., MacNicol, D. D., Vogtle, F., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1996; Vol. 2, pp 553-562; 7; Beer, P. D.; Timoshenko, V. Maestri, M.; Passaniti P.; Balzani, V. *Chem. Commun.*, **1999**, 1755; Beer, P.D. *Chem. Commun.*, **1996**, 689.
- [15] dos Santos, C. M. G.; Fernandez, P. B.; Plush, S. E.; Leonard, J. P.; Gunnlaugsson, T. *Chem. Commun.*, **2007**, 3389.
- [16] Gunnlaugsson, T.; Harte, A. J.; Leonard, J. P.; Nieuwenhuyzen, M. *Supramol. Chem.* **2003**, 15, 505; Faulkner S.; Burton-Pye, B. P.; Khan, T.; Martin L. R.; Wray, S. D.; Sakabara, P. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 1668; Dickins, R. S.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Parker, D.; Peacock, R. D. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 1643.
- [17] Goodman, M. S.; Weiss, J.; Hamilton, A. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, 35, 8943.
- [18] Amendola, V.; Boiocchi, M.; Colasson, B.; Fabbrizzi, L. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, 45, 6138.
- [19] Norsten, T. B.; Chichak, K.; Branda, N. R. *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 1794.
- [20] Turner, D. R.; Spencer, E. C.; Howard, J. A. K.; Tocher, D. A.; Steed, J. W. *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 1352; Turner, D. R.; Smith, B.; Spencer, E. C.; Goeta, A. E.; Evans, I. R.; Tocher, D. A.; Howard, J. A.; Steed, J. W. *New J. Chem.* **2005**, 29, 90.
- [21] dos Santos, C. M. G.; McCabe T.; Gunnlaugsson, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2007**, 48, 3135.
- [22] Supkowski, R. M.; Horrocks, W. D. *Inorg. Chem. Acta.*, **2002**, 340, 44.
- [23] Beeby, A.; Clarkson, I. M.; Dickens, R. S.; Faulkner, S.; Parker, D.; Royle, L.; de Sousa, A.S.; Williams, J.A.G.; Woods, M. J. *Chem. Soc, Perkin Trans.*, 2, **1999**, 493.

## Graphical abstract

Exploring the luminescent sensing of anions by the use of an urea functionalized 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) based (3:1) Eu(III) complex

Cidália M. G. dos Santos and Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3






Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5