

Interpenetrated Constitutional Networks of Aromatic Metallosupramolecular Duplexes

Yves-Marie Paul Legrand, Florina Dumitru, Arie van Der Lee, Mihail Barboiu

► To cite this version:

Yves-Marie Paul Legrand, Florina Dumitru, Arie van Der Lee, Mihail Barboiu. Interpenetrated Constitutional Networks of Aromatic Metallosupramolecular Duplexes. Supramolecular Chemistry, 2009, 21 (03-04), pp.230-237. 10.1080/10610270802478271. hal-00513542

HAL Id: hal-00513542 https://hal.science/hal-00513542v1

Submitted on 1 Sep 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Supramolecular Chemistry



Interpenetrated Constitutional Networks of Aromatic Metallosupramolecular Duplexes

lournal:	Supramolecular Chemistry			
Journan				
Manuscript ID:	GSCH-2008-0078.R1			
Manuscript Type:	Full Paper			
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-Aug-2008			
Complete List of Authors:	Legrand, Yves-Marie; Institut Europeen des Membranes Dumitru, Florina; Institut Europeen des Membranes van der Lee, Arie; Institut Europeen des Membranes Barboiu, Mihail; Institut Européen des Membranes			
Keywords:	metallosupramolecular complexes, imine, self-assembly, aromatic interactions			
Note: The following files were submitted by the author for peer review, but cannot be converted to PDF. You must view these files (e.g. movies) online.				
2Zn2.cif 3Zn2.cif 4Zn2.cif Scheme1a.cdx Scheme1b.cdx Scheme1c.cdx				





Interpenetrated Constitutional Networks of Aromatic

Metallosupramolecular Duplexes

Y.-M. LEGRAND^a, F. DUMITRU^{a, b}, A. V. D. LEE^a & M. BARBOIU^{a,*}

^aInstitut Européen des Membranes, Adaptive Supramolecular Nanosystems Group - ENSCM-UMII-CNRS UMR- 5635, Place Eugène Bataillon, CC 047, F-34095 Montpellier, Cedex 5, France, ^bUniversity "Politehnica" of Bucharest, Department of Inorganic Chemistry, 1, Polizu st., RO-011061 Bucharest, Romania¹

We report hierarchical supramolecular organization promoted by the formation of metallosupramolecular duplex architectures. 3D supramolecular structure propagation is favoured by long-range aromatic-aromatic interactions toward robust double-helical configuration in 1_2Zn^{2+} , tubular squared architecture in 2_2Zn^{2+} and selforganized triangles in 4_2Zn^{2+} . The advantageous structural features of such external aromatic interactions are not preserved while introducing new variables such as methylene spacer between imine moiety and the aromatic rings, inducing a orthogonal spatial orientation of the peripheral aromatic arms in 3_2Zn^{2+} . Indeed, the introduction of supplementary aromatic functionality in 4_2Zn^{2+} , results in the combination of both internal and external forces and has led to metallosupramolecular triangle architectures that are stabilized by 3D "diphenylmethane embrace motifs". The homochiral triangles connected via tetrahedral nodes and face-to-face triangle interactions are organized in the crystal that each duplex constituent has an alternate opposite chirality

Keywords: metallosupramolecular complexes, imine, self-assembly, aromatic interactions

Corresponding author. E-mail: mihai.barboiu@iemm.univ-montp2.fr

INTRODUCTION

Molecular self-organisation is the basis for the construction of new useful synthetic materials in a bottom-up strategy. The way from molecular to nano(micro)-scale systems depend both on the nature of its constituents and on the interactions between them.[1-4]. The self-organization exploits non-covalent interactions in a multitude of ways and implements sets of molecules containing specific information stored in the arrangement of specific binding sites. The selection of one or more supramolecular architectures occurs as function of either internal (such as the nature and the geometry of the binding subunits, the composition, etc.) or external factors (such as the nature of the medium, the presence of specific molecules or anions, etc). Metal-directed self-assembly [3-5] or combined hydrogen-bonding-metal coordination [6] and π - π stacking-metal coordination [7] supramolecular interactions has been extensively used as a powerful tool for the spontaneous generation of such nanostructures.

We have recently reported the double helical complex 1_2Zn^{2+} (Fig.1a) which after being left to stand for some hours produced homochiral crystals containing only one of Δ and Λ mirror enantiomers. [8] In the crystal of 1_2Zn^{2+} each duplex of one helical sense is π - π stacked with two duplexes of the same helical sense (Fig.1b). This pattern generates double helix stacks of 1_2Zn^{2+} units. In the crystal the adjacent columns of one helical sense run in parallel directions and interweave the peripheral phenyl and pyridine rings which are in van der Waals contact in a one-over/one-under fashion (Fig.1c). This generates a gearwheels-type mechanism with a very uncommon topology such that each double helix is in van der Waals contact with four neighbouring ones of the same helical sense.

A set of interconverting Δ and Λ mirror enantiomers of $\mathbf{1}_2 Zn^{2+}$ units represents a constitutional dynamic library [8c, d] in solution. After some hours, single-crystals started to form in the acetonitrile/*i*-propylether system and resolved homochiral metallosupramolecular domains of unique helicity had been amplified through a phase-change driven selection

process. [8d] Constitutional affinity drives the selection of interdigitated homochiral doublehelical architectures under internal pressure of the 3D long range multiscrew-type interactions (Fig.1d). Weak aromatic interactions, stabilize such supramolecular assemblies by π -stacking and van der Waals aromatic interactions and constitute the driving force of the homochiral crystal phase cohesion.

Figure 1 here

Scheme 1 here

To obtain systematic insights into the creation of these architectures interacting via peripheral aromatic groups which are responsible for the constitutional communication between homochiral double-helical columns within the 3D crystalline space, we investigated new similar systems by combining metal-ion coordination with weak aromatic-aromatic interactions. As a step towards this goal, it is of interest to investigate whether changes in expression of the crystal packing can be modulated by considering *outer structural factors*, by introducing different aromatic substituents on the peripheral surface. One possible presumption is to consider that the additional supramolecular aromatic interactions like in biphenyl-type compound **2** or different spatial orientation of phenyl moiety like in the benzyl-type compound **3** or supplementary hydrophobic interactions in the bisphenylmethane-type compound **4** might direct the constitutional organisation of the crystalline frameworks by controlling the supramolecular connectivity between the ligands of the metallosupramolecular duplexes $2_2 Zn^{2+}$, $3_2 Zn^{2+}$ and $4_2 Zn^{2+}$ (Scheme 1).

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials and Methods: 2,6-pyridinedicarboxaldehyde was prepared by oxidation of 2,6-pyridinemethanol with activated MnO_2 according to the procedure described in the literature [11a]. 4-aminobiphenyl, benzylamine, benzhydrylamine were purchased from

Supramolecular Chemistry

Aldrich and used as received. All other reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. All organic solutions were routinely dried by using sodium sulfate (Na₂SO₄). ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on an ARX 300 MHz Bruker spectrometer in CDCl₃ with the use of the residual solvent peak as reference. The assignments were made on the base of the COSY and NOESY spectra. Mass spectrometer studies were performed in the positive ion mode using a quadrupole mass spectrometer (Micromass, Platform II). Samples were continuously introduced into the mass spectrometer through a Waters 616HPLC pump. The temperature (60°C) and the extraction cone voltage (Vc=5-10V) were usually set to avoid fragmentations.

Synthesis of ligands 1-4 : Ligand 1 [11] and 3 [12] were synthesised as previously described. Ligand 2 – has been synthesized in EtOH by condensation of 2,6-pyridinedicarboxaldehyde (0.1g, 0.74 mmol) with 4-aminobiphenyl (0.25g, 1.48mmol), under reflux for 12 h with constant stirring. After solvent evaporation, the resulting crude material was recrystallized from acetonitrile to give 2 as a light-yellow solid, (0.275g, 85%). F.M. $C_{31}H_{23}N_3$, MW=437.55 g/mol. ¹H-NMR (300Hz, CDCl₃, ppm) : δ = 8.769 (s, 2H, CH=N), 8.347-8.321 (d, 2H, H^b, *J*=7.8Hz), 8.005-7.952 (t, 1H, H^a, *J*=7.8Hz), 7.696-7.632 (m, 8H, H^{c+d}), 7.488-7.338 (m, 10H, H^{arom}). MS (ESI): m/z (100%): 438.25 (**2**-H⁺).

Ligand 4: – has been synthesized in EtOH by condensation of 2,6-pyridinedicarboxaldehyde (0.1g, 0.74 mmol) with benzhydrylamine (0.27g, 1.48mmol), under reflux for 12 h with constant stirring. After solvent evaporation, the resulting crude material was recrystallized from acetonitrile to give **4** as a white solid, (0.310 g, 90%). F.M. $C_{33}H_{27}N_3$, MW=465.59 g/mol. ¹H-NMR (300Hz, CDCl₃, ppm) : δ = 8.530 (s, 2H, CH=N), 8.273-8.248 (d, 2H, H^b, *J*=7.5Hz), 7.848-7.796 (t, 1H, H^a, *J*=7.8Hz), 7.404-7.217 (m, 20H, H^{ar}), 5.700 (s, 2H, CH). MS (ESI): m/z (100 %): 466.29 (**4**-H⁺).

Synthesis and single crystal structures of $1_2Zn^{2+}-4_2Zn^{2+}$ complexes. The reactions were performed typically on a 10 mg scale of ligand. The ligands 1-4 and Zn(CF₃SO₃)₂ were dissolved in CD₃CN (1 mL), and stirred overnight at 60 °C. Layering such solutions duplex complexes $1_2Zn^{2+}-4_2Zn^{2+}$ in acetonitrile with the *i*-propylether at room temperature, resulted in a unique set of single-crystals suitable for X-ray single-crystal experiments.

Compound 1₂Zn²⁺: ¹H-NMR (CD₃CN, ppm) : *δ*= 8.82 (s, 4H, CH=N), 8.60-8.55 (t, 2H, H^a, *J*=7.8 Hz), 8.27-8.24 (d, 4H, H^b, *J*=7.8 Hz), 7.38-7.25 (m, 12H, H^{ar}, *J*=8.7 Hz), 6.91-6.88 (d, 8H, H^{ar}, J=3.35Hz). ES-MS: m/z (%): 317.7 (100)

Compound $2_2 Zn^{2+}$: ¹H-NMR (CD₃CN, ppm) : δ = 8.901 (s, 4H, CH=N), 8.626-8.574 (t, 2H, H^a, *J*=7.8Hz), 8.297-8.271 (d, 4H, H^b, *J*=7.8 Hz), 7.631-7.414 (m, 32H, H^d+H^{ar}, *J*^{d-c}=8.4Hz), 6.923-6.895 (d, 8H, H^c, *J*^{c-d}=8.4Hz). ES-MS: m/z (%): 469.46 (100)

Compound **3**₂Zn²⁺: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, ppm) : δ= 8.62-8.58 (t, 2H, H^a, *J*=7.8 Hz), 8.05 (s, 2H, CH=N), 7.85-7.80 (d, 2H, H^b), 7.20-7.10 (t, 2H, H^{ar}), 7.05-6.95 (t, 4H, H^{ar}), 6.65-6.55 (d, 4H, H^{arom}), 4.60 (s, 8H, CH₂). ES-MS: m/z (%): 373.7 (100)

Compound 4₂Zn²⁺: ¹H-NMR (CD₃CN, ppm) : *δ*= 8.244-8.192 (t, 2H, *J*=7.8Hz), 7.935/7.927* (s, 4H, CH=N), 7.692-7.666 (d, 4H, *J*=7.8 Hz), 7.210-7.112 (m, 40H, H^{ar}), 5.548/5.540* (s, 4H, CH). ES-MS: m/z (%):497.54 (100).* *atropisomerism at room temperature see text*.

X-ray Single Crystal Diffraction Data for $1_2Zn^{2+}-5_2Zn^{2+}$, 1_2Fe^{2+} and 1_2Co^{2+} complexes: The diffraction intensities were collected at the joint X-ray Scattering Service of the Institut Charles Gerhardt and the Institut Européen des Membranes of the University of Montpellier II, France, at 175 K using an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur-I and a Gemini-S diffractometer. The crystal-to-detector distance was 50 mm for all five measurements. The structures were solved by direct methods using SIR2002 [13a] or by ab-initio (charge-flipping) methods using SUPERFLIP [13b] and refined by least-squares methods on F using CRYSTALS [13C],

Supramolecular Chemistry

against |F| on data having $I > 2\sigma(I)$; *R*-factors are based on these data. Hydrogen atoms were partly located from difference Fourier synthesis, partly placed based on geometrical arguments, and in general not refined. Non-hydrogen atoms were in general refined anisotropically, except where the data to parameter ration did not allow doing this. Full details can be found in the cif-files and in Supplementary material.

Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. CCDC-695886-695888. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (fax: (+44) 1223 336-033; email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The crystal structures of the complexes 2_2Zn^{2+} , 3_2Zn^{2+} and 4_2Zn^{2+} were determined from crystals obtained from the acetonitrile/*i*-propylether solutions at room temperature. The molecular and the crystal packing structures are presented in Figures 2 and 3. In all duplex structures 2_2Zn^{2+} , 3_2Zn^{2+} and 4_2Zn^{2+} the Zn^{2+} ions are fully coordinated by two ligands arranged into two orthogonal planes and present an octahedral coordination geometry (Fig. 2). The average Zn^{2+} -N_{Pyridine} Zn^{2+} -N_{imine} and Zn^{2+} -N_{imine}, distances are 2.05 or 2.24 Å, respectively.

Figure 2 here

The unit cell of $2_2 Zn^{2+}$ was found to contain six homoduplex complexes together with twelve triflate counterions. Overall the crystal structure is racemic and the duplexes are organized in parallel layers of Δ and Λ mirror enantiomers which are alternatively stratified. Within a layer they are associated by two different sets of π - π stacking interactions (Fig.3a).

Figure 3 here

These aromatic stacking interactions are constitutionally different and allow in one direction the internal overlaps between the biphenyl-pyridine triads with the average centroidcentroid distances of 3.71 and 3.98 Å corresponding to van der Waals contact. The terminal phenyl moiety of the biphenyl triad in further π - π stacked with a similar one of the adjacent layer (the average centroid-centroid distance of 3.94 Å). In the other direction, each layer is alternatively stratified above each other by stacking only the terminal biphenyl moieties, such that each layer is in van der Waals contact (the average centroid-centroid distance of 4.10 Å). The square packing arrangement of duplex subunits results in the formation of a tubular structure with a hydrophobic hole of approximately 3 Å. The triflate anions are arranged into approximately linear array tightly fitting into the central cavity of the resulted tubular channels (Fig.3b).

Figure 4 here

As expected, the solution studies revealed that the introduction of the methylene spacer between the imine moiety and the terminal phenyl moieties dramatically change the spatial orientation of the phenyl moieties. The signals of the phenyl moieties of the complex 3_2Zn^{2+} were overall strongly shielded ($\Delta\delta = 1.0$) with respect to the ligand 3, suggesting strong intramolecular attractive π - π stacking interactions between the phenyl and central pyridine moiety.

The unit cell of the complex 3_2Zn^{2+} was found to contain four duplex complexes together with eight triflate counterions. In the crystal the two ligands are strongly intertwined stabilizing the duplex superstructure by internal π - π stacking interactions (Fig. 2c). The relative position of the duplex ligands allows an internal overlap between the phenyl moieties and the central pyridine moiety with an average centroid-centroid distance of 3.9 Å corresponding to a van der Waals contact. In the crystal the communication between duplexes 3_2Zn^{2+} is disrupted, each duplex being closely packed with the two neighbouring ones by

Supramolecular Chemistry

weak van der Waals contacts (Fig. 4). It is useful to briefly emphasize the influence of the introduction of the methylene spacer which is clearly important for internal holding and stabilizing the duplex formation by the π - π stacking. However the external π - π stacking communication is completely removed and non-communicating duplex structures are present in the crystal structure. Overall the crystal structure is racemic and Δ and Λ mirror enantiomers duplexes are closely packed via van der Waals contacts.

Compared with $1_2 Zn^{2+}$ duplex with an intermediate orientation of the terminal phenyl rings allowing internal and external π - π stacking interactions, the external aromatic interactions are completely suppressed in the $3_2 Zn^{2+}$ framework and further substitution of the methylene moiety in 3 with a second phenyl moiety in ligand 4 would result in external structural communication between the multiaromatic duplexes $4_2 Zn^{2+}$.

The free rotation of the diphenylmethane groups around CH-N bond is possible in *cisoid-cisoid* [5f] conformation of the ligand **4** and one singlet was identified in ¹H-NMR spectrum. Two sets of singlet signals were identified in the regions of 7.9 and 5.5 ppm of ¹H-NMR spectrum of 4_2 Zn²⁺ for imine and CH protons, indicating a hindered rotation of the four diphenylmethane groups sterically very close to the neighbouring ones (see Fig. 2d) when 4_2 Zn²⁺ duplexes form in the presence of the Zn²⁺ metal ions. On account of the hindered rotation of diphenylmethane groups around CH-N bond in the 4_2 Zn²⁺ duplex, the two sets of signals in the ¹H-NMR spectrum can be assigned to the Δ and Λ mirror atropenantiomers. (Supporting informations)

Figure 5 here

The unit cell of 4_2Zn^{2+} complex was found to contain sixteen duplex complexes together with thirty-two very disordered triflate counterions and filling the interstices between the duplexes so that all available space is filled. Symmetry expansion of the crystal cell of 4_2Zn^{2+} shows that the self-assembly of the duplex units form triangle-like trimers. Three duplexes of the same chirality are self-assembled into a metallosupramolecular triangle that is stabilized by a new three-dimensional "diphenylmethane embrace trimer" in which three V-shaped bisaromatic units fill their interstices so that their internal available space is filled (Fig. 5a). Aromatic embrace motifs are well documented for a series of aromatic clusters [10] and their significance in terms of interactions (for example stabilization energy of the benzene trimer is estimated to be -20 KJ/mol) is widely recognized [14]. Diphenylbenzene trimers formation in the solid state has been previously reported. [15] The diphenylmethane embrace trimerization in 4_2Zn^{2+} may contribute to the stabilization of such hierarchically self-assembled duplexes. The crystal structure is racemic. The homochiral triangles connected via tetrahedral nodes and face-to-face triangle interactions are organized in the crystal that each duplex constituent has an alternate opposite chirality (Fig 5b).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion we have demonstrated in this paper the synthesis of large solid state supramolecular crystalline superstructures stitched together from a combination of metalcoordination and weak π - π stacking and van der Waals aromatic interactions. Hierarchical supramolecular organization is promoted by the formation of metallosupramolecular duplex architectures stabilized by internal π - π stacking interactions and by long-range supramolecular spatial interactions between duplex systems via specific aromatic interactions. Long-range 3D supramolecular structure propagation is favoured via weak internal stacking interactions toward robust double-helical configurations in $1_2 Zn^{2+}$, tubular squared packed architecture in $2_2 Zn^{2+}$ and homochiral triangles in $4_2 Zn^{2+}$. The advantageous structural features of such external interactions are not preserved while introducing new variables such as methylene spacer between imine moiety and phenyl groups, inducing a very different spatial orientation of the lateral aromatic arms in $3_2 Zn^{2+}$. This configuration is clearly important for internal holding and stabilize the duplex formation by the π - π stacking.

Supramolecular Chemistry

 However the external π - π stacking interactions are completely removed and noncomunicating duplex structures are present in the crystal structure of $3_2 Zn^{2+}$. Indeed, the introduction of supplementary aromatic functionality results in the combination of both internal and external forces and has led to metallosupramolecular triangle architectures that are stabilized by is stabilized by a new three-dimensional "diphenylmethane embrace motif".

The use of such multiple hierarchical aromatic interactions provide a very powerful tool and a target supramolecular platform for the stabilization of constitutional tertiary superstructures but also for a kind of modulable structure in the presence of external stimuli. Functional supramolecular devices for gas storage or catalysts are envisaged for the systems reported here and such studies are underway.

Acknowledgements

This work, conducted as part of the award "Dynamic adaptive materials for separation and sensing Microsystems" (M.B.) made under the European Heads of Research Councils and European Science Foundation EURYI (European Young Investigator) Awards scheme in 2004, was supported by funds from the Participating Organizations of EURYI and the EC Sixth Framework Program. See www.esf.org/euryi. This research was also supported in part by the ANR-06-Blan-0117 POLYFUNMAG Program and CNRS.

References

a) Lehn, J.-M. Supramolecular Chemistry-Concepts and Perspectives, VCH, Weinheim,
 1995, pp124-138; (b) Lehn, J.-M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2002, 99, 4763-4768.

2. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2000, 21, Special Issue on Crystal Engineering.

3. For recent reviews on metal ion metal self-assembly, see for exemple: (a) Leininger, S., Olenyuk; B., Stang, P.J. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 853-908; (b) Swiegers, G.F.; Malfetese, T.F. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 3483-3538; (c) Holliday, B.J.; Mirkin, C.A. *Angew. Chem.* **2001**, *113*, 2076-2097, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2001**, *40*, 2022-2043.

4. For recent reviews on metal hydrogen-bonding self-assembly, see for exemple: (a) Desiraju, G.R. *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **2002**, *35*, 565-573 b) Lehn, J.-M. in *Supramolecular Polymers*, ed. Ciferi, A. Dekker, New York, NY, **2000**, 615-641; (c) Archer, E.A.; Gong, H.; Krische, M. J. *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 1139-1159.

5. a) Schmuck, C. Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 2552-2556, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 2448-2451; b) OH, K.; Jeong, K.-S.; Moore, J. S. Nature 2001, 414, 889-893; c)

6. a) Braga, D.; J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2000, 21, 3705-3713; b) Noveron, J.C.; Lah, M.S.; del Sesto, R.E.; Arif, A.M.; Miller, J.S.; Stang, P.S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6613-6625; c) Uemura, K. S.; Kitagawa, M.; Kondo, K.; Fukui, R.; Kitaura, H. C.; Chang, T.; Mizutani, T. Chem. Eur. J. 2002, 8, 3587-3600; d) Tadokoro, M.; Kanno, H.; Kitajiama, T.; Shimada, H.; Nakanishi, N.; Isodobe, K.;. Nakasuji, K. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2002, 99, 4950-4995; e) Muthu, S.; Yip, J.H.K.; Vittal, J.J.; J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2002, 23, 4561-4568 f) Barboiu, M.; Lehn, J.-M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2002, 99, 5201-5206; g) Barboiu M.; Cerneaux, S.; van der Lee, A.; Vaughan, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3545-3550; h) Cazacu, A.; Tong, C.; van der Lee, A.; Fyles, T. M.; Barboiu, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9541-9548; i) Arnal-Herault, C.; Michau, M.; Pasc-Banu, A.; Barboiu, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 4268-4272; (k) Arnal-Herault, C.; Pasc-Banu, A.; Michau, M.; Cot, D.; Petit, E.; Barboiu, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8409-8413; (1) Michau, M.; Barboiu, M.; Caraballo, R. Arnal-Hérault, C.; Periat P.; van der Lee, A.; Pasc, A. Chem. Eur.J., 2008, 14, 1776-1783 m) Barboiu, M.; Supuran, C.T.; Menabuoni, L.; Scozzafava, A.; , Mincione, F.; Briganti, F.; Mincione, G. J. Enzymol. Inhib., 1999, 15, 23-46; n) Barboiu, M.; Guizard, C.; Hovnanian, N.; Palmeri, J.; Reibel, C.; Luca, C.; Cot, L. J. Membrane Sci., 2000, 172, 91-103; o) Barboiu, M.; Guizard, C.; Luca, C.; Hovnanian, N.; Palmeri, J.; Cot, L. J. Membrane Sci, 2000,174, 277-286; p) Villamo, O.; Barboiu, C.; Barboiu, M.; Yau-Chun-Wan, W.; Hovnanian, N. J. Memb. Sci., 2002, 204, 97-110.

Supramolecular Chemistry

7. a) Barboiu, M.; Lehn, J.-M. *Revista de Chimie*. 2006, 9, 909-914; b) Barboiu, M.; Vaughan,
G.; Kyritsakas, N.; Lehn, J.-M. *Chem. Eur J.* 2003, 9, 763-769; c) Barboiu, M.; Petit, E.;
Vaughan, G. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2004, 10, 2263-2270; d) Blondeau, P.; van der Lee, A.; Barboiu,
M. *Inorg. Chem.* 2005, 44, 5649-5653 e) Barboiu, M.; Lehn, J.M. *Revista de Chimie*, 2008 *i59(3)*, 255-259.

8. a) Dumitru, F.; Petit, E.; van der Lee, A.; Barboiu, M. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2005, *21*, 4255-4262; b) Legrand, Y.M.; van der Lee, A.; Barboiu, M. *Inorg. Chem.* 2007, *46*, 9540-9547; c) Lehn, J. M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2007, *36*, 151-160; d) Angelin, M.; Fischer, A. & Ramstrom, O. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, *73*, 3593-3595.

9. Scudder, M.L.; Goodwin, H.A.; Dance, I.G. New J. Chem. 1999, 23, 695-705.

10. Lions F.; Martin, K. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1957, 79(11), 2733-2738.

11. a) Vance, A.L.; Alcock, N.A.; Heppert, J.A.; Busch, D. H. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 6912-

6920; b) de Bruin, B.; Bill, E.; Bothe, E.; Weyhermüller, T.; Wieghardt, K. *Inorg. Chem.* 2000, *39*, 2936–2947.

12. Creber, M.L.; Orrell, K.G.; Osborne, A.G.; Sik, V.; Bingham, A. L.; Hursthouse, M.B. J. *Organomet. Chem.* **2001**, *631*, 125-134.

13. a) Burla, M. C.; Camalli, M. B.; Carrozzini, G. L.; Cascarano, R.; Giacovazzo, C.;
Polidori, G.; Spagna, R. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, **2003**, *36*, 1103; (b) Betteridge, P. W.;
Carruthers, J. R.; Cooper, R. I.; Prout, K.; Watkin, D. J. J. Appl. Crystallogr., **2003**, *36*, 1487.
(c) Palatinus, L.; Chapuis, G. J. Appl. Cryst. **2007**, *40*, 786-790

14. Morimoto, T.; Uno, H.; Furuta, H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 3672-3675.

15. a) Kusukawa, T.; Fujita, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 124, 13576. b) Mislow, K. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 4975; b) Precigoux, G.; Barrans, Y.; Busetta, B.; Marsau, P. Acta Crystallogr., Sect.B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem. 1975, 31, 1497.

Figure caption

FIGURE 1. From achiral ligands to metallosupramolecular homochiral double helical strands. a) Synthesis of 1_2Zn^{2+} duplex complexes; b) homochiral double helix stacks of 1_2Zn^{2+} units and c) crystal packing of double-helix columns: generation a *gearwheels-type* mechanism such that each double helix is in van der Waals contact with four neighbouring ones of the same helical sense.

FIGURE 2. Crystal structure in stick representation of the duplex complexes a) $1_2 Zn^{2+}$, b) $2_2 Zn^{2+}$, c) $3_2 Zn^{2+}$ and d) $4_2 Zn^{2+}$; the Zn^{2+} ions are shown as white spheres.

FIGURE 3 Crystal packing of the duplex complex 2_2Zn^{2+} : a) side view in stick representation and enlarged details of the π - π stacking interactions; b) The square packing arrangement of duplex subunits with the triflate anions in CPK representation filling the central cavity of the resulted tubular channels. The Zn^{2+} ions are shown as gray spheres.

FIGURE 4. Crystal packing in stick representation of the duplex complex 3_2 Zn²⁺.

FIGURE 5 Crystal packing of the duplex complex 4_2Zn^{2+} : a) side view in CPK representation of triangle like trimers and enlarged details of the "diphenylmethane embrace motif"; b) The packing arrangement of homochiral triangle enantiomers: top view of tetrahedral nodes and side view in CPK representation of face-to- face triangle self-assembly.

SCHEME 1. Synthesis of $2_2 Zn^{2+}$, $3_2 Zn^{2+}$ and $4_2 Zn^{2+}$ duplex complexes



SCHEME 1 Synthesis of 22Zn2+, 32Zn2+ and 42Zn2+ duplex complexes 190x194mm (96 x 96 DPI)



FIGURE 1. From achiral ligands to metallosupramolecular homochiral double helical strands. a) Synthesis of 12Zn2+ duplex complexes; b) homochiral double helix stacks of 12Zn2+ units and c) crystal packing of double-helix columns: generation a gearwheels-type mechanism such that each double helix is in van der Waals contact with four neighbouring ones of the same helical sense. 151x245mm (96 x 96 DPI)



FIGURE 2. Crystal structure in stick representation of the duplex complexes a) 12Zn2+, b) 22Zn2+, c) 32Zn2+ and d) 42Zn2+; the Zn2+ ions are shown as white spheres. 190x182mm (96 x 96 DPI)

3.98 A

4.10 A

3.71 A



FIGURE 3 Crystal packing of the duplex complex 22Zn2+: a) side view in stick representation and enlarged details of the π - π stacking interactions; b) The square packing arrangement of duplex subunits with the triflate anions in CPK representation filling the central cavity of the resulted tubular channels. The Zn2+ ions are shown as gray spheres. 142x149mm (96 x 96 DPI)





FIGURE 4 Crystal packing in stick representation of the duplex complex 32Zn2+. 325x160mm (96 x 96 DPI)







FIGURE 5 Crystal packing of the duplex complex 42Zn2+: a) side view in CPK representation of triangle like trimers and enlarged details of the diphenylmethane embrace motif ; b) The packing arrangement of homochiral triangle enantiomers: top view of tetrahedral nodes and side view in CPK representation of face-to- face triangle self-assembly. 190x205mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Interpenetrated Constitutional Networks of Aromatic Metallosupramolecular Duplexes

Y.-M. LEGRAND^a, F. DUMITRU^{a, b}, A. V. D. LEE^a & M. BARBOIU^{a,*}

^aInstitut Européen des Membranes, Adaptive Supramolecular Nanosystems Group - ENSCM-UMII-CNRS UMR- 5635, Place Eugène Bataillon, CC 047, F-34095 Montpellier, Cedex 5, France, ^bUniversity "Politehnica" of Bucharest, Department of Inorganic Chemistry, 1, Polizu st., RO-011061 Bucharest, Romania¹

E-mail: mihai.barboiu@iemm.univ-montp2.fr

Supplementary Material

¹H-NMR (ppm) Spectra:







Crystal ClassNa1b1c1c1Volume4Radiation typeMDx1Mu0Size0ColouryuDiffractometer typeXAbsorption typemReflections meas.8Rint0Hmin, Hmax-2Kmin, Kmax-2Lmin, Lmax-2Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	Aonoclinic 7.854 4.078 8.612 345.9712(3) Ao K\a .51 .743 .09x 0.11x 0.17 ellow CALIBUR nulti-scan 5283 .0012 26 26 20 20 27 27	Space Group alpha beta gamma Z Wavelength Mr Temp (K) Shape Scan type Transmission range Independent reflect. Theta max	P 1 2 90 111.72 90 4 0.710730 990.32 175 prismatic OMEGA 0.92 0.94 14420 32.57
a1b14c1Volume4Radiation typeMDx1Mu0Size0ColouryuDiffractometer typeXAbsorption typemReflections meas.8Rint0Hmin, Hmax-2Kmin, Kmax-2Lmin, Lmax-2Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	7.854 4.078 8.612 345.9712(3) 40 K\a .51 .743 .09x 0.11x 0.17 ellow CCALIBUR nulti-scan 5283 .0012 26 26 20 20 27 27	alpha beta gamma Z Wavelength Mr Temp (K) Shape Scan type Transmission range Independent reflect. Theta max	90 111.72 90 4 0.710730 990.32 175 prismatic OMEGA 0.92 0.94 14420 32.57
b 1- c 1 Volume 4 Radiation type M Dx 1 Mu 0 Size 0 Colour yu Diffractometer type X Absorption type m Reflections meas. 8 Rint 0 Hmin, Hmax -2 Kmin, Kmax -2 Lmin, Lmax -2 <u>Refinement on F</u> R-factor 0 Max shift/su 0	4.078 8.612 345.9712(3) 40 K\a .51 .743 .09x 0.11x 0.17 ellow CALIBUR nulti-scan 5283 .0012 26 26 20 20 27 27	beta gamma Z Wavelength Mr Temp (K) Shape Scan type Transmission range Independent reflect. Theta max	111.72 90 4 0.710730 990.32 175 prismatic OMEGA 0.92 0.94 14420 32.57
c1Volume4Radiation typeMDx1Mu0Size0ColouryuDiffractometer typeXAbsorption typemReflections meas.8Rint0Hmin, Hmax-2Kmin, Kmax-2Lmin, Lmax-2Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	8.612 345.9712(3) 40 K\a .51 .743 .09x 0.11x 0.17 ellow CALIBUR nulti-scan 5283 .0012 26 26 20 20 27 27	gamma Z Wavelength Mr Temp (K) Shape Scan type Transmission range Independent reflect. Theta max	90 4 0.710730 990.32 175 prismatic OMEGA 0.92 0.94 14420 32.57
Volume4Radiation typeMDx1Mu0Size0ColouryaDiffractometer typeXAbsorption typemReflections meas.8Rint0Hmin, Hmax-2Kmin, Kmax-2Lmin, Lmax-2Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	345.9712(3) Ao K\a .51 .743 .09x 0.11x 0.17 ellow CCALIBUR nulti-scan 5283 .0012 26 26 20 20 27 27	Z Wavelength Mr Temp (K) Shape Scan type Transmission range Independent reflect. Theta max	4 0.710730 990.32 175 prismatic OMEGA 0.92 0.94 14420 32.57
Radiation typeMDx1Mu0Size0ColouryaDiffractometer typeXAbsorption typemReflections meas.8Rint0Hmin, Hmax-2Kmin, Kmax-2Lmin, Lmax-2Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	Ao K\a .51 .743 .09x 0.11x 0.17 ellow CALIBUR nulti-scan 5283 .0012 26 26 20 20 27 27	Wavelength Mr Temp (K) Shape Scan type Transmission range Independent reflect. Theta max	0.710730 990.32 175 prismatic OMEGA 0.92 0.94 14420 32.57
Dx1Mu0Size0ColouryuDiffractometer typeXAbsorption typemReflections meas.8Rint0Hmin, Hmax-2Kmin, Kmax-2Lmin, Lmax-2Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	.51 .743 .09x 0.11x 0.17 ellow CALIBUR nulti-scan 5283 .0012 26 26 20 20 27 27	Mr Temp (K) Shape Scan type Transmission range Independent reflect. Theta max	990.32 175 prismatic OMEGA 0.92 0.94 14420 32.57
Mu0Size0ColourydDiffractometer typeXAbsorption typemReflections meas.8Rint0Hmin, Hmax-2Kmin, Kmax-2Lmin, Lmax-2Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	.743 .09x 0.11x 0.17 ellow CALIBUR nulti-scan 5283 .0012 26 26 20 20 27 27	Temp (K) Shape Scan type Transmission range Independent reflect. Theta max	175 prismatic OMEGA 0.92 0.94 14420 32.57
Size0ColouryaDiffractometer typeXAbsorption typemReflections meas.8Rint0Hmin, Hmax-2Kmin, Kmax-2Lmin, Lmax-2Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	.09x 0.11x 0.17 ellow CALIBUR nulti-scan 5283 .0012 26 26 20 20 27 27	Shape Scan type Transmission range Independent reflect. Theta max	prismatic OMEGA 0.92 0.94 14420 32.57
ColouryaDiffractometer typeXAbsorption typemReflections meas.8Rint0Hmin, Hmax-2Kmin, Kmax-2Lmin, Lmax-2Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	ellow CALIBUR nulti-scan 5283 .0012 26 26 20 20 27 27	Shape Scan type Transmission range Independent reflect. Theta max	prismatic OMEGA 0.92 0.94 14420 32.57
Diffractometer typeXAbsorption typemReflections meas.8Rint0Hmin, Hmax-2Kmin, Kmax-2Lmin, Lmax-2Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	CALIBUR nulti-scan 5283 .0012 26 26 20 20 27 27	Scan type Transmission range Independent reflect. Theta max	OMEGA 0.92 0.94 14420 32.57
Absorption type m Reflections meas. 8 Rint 0 Hmin, Hmax -2 Kmin, Kmax -2 Lmin, Lmax -2 <u>Refinement on F</u> R-factor 0 Max shift/su 0	nulti-scan 5283 .0012 26 26 20 20 27 27	Transmission range Independent reflect. Theta max	0.92 0.94 14420 32.57
Reflections meas.8Rint0Hmin, Hmax-2Kmin, Kmax-2Lmin, Lmax-2Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	5283 .0012 26 26 20 20 27 27	Independent reflect. Theta max	14420 32.57
Rint0Hmin, Hmax-2Kmin, Kmax-2Lmin, Lmax-2Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	.0012 26 26 20 20 27 27	Theta max	32.57
Hmin, Hmax-2Kmin, Kmax-2Lmin, Lmax-2Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	26 26 20 20 27 27		
Kmin, Kmax-2Lmin, Lmax-2Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	20 20 27 27		
Lmin, Lmax-2Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	27 27		
Refinement on F R-factor 0 Max shift/su 0			
Refinement on FR-factor0Max shift/su0	0.40		
R-factor 0 Max shift/su 0	0.40		
Max shift/su 0	.049	Weighted R-factor	0.044
Max Shird Su	.0384		
Delta Rho min -(0.46	Delta Rho max	0.81
Reflections used 4	862	sigma(I) limit	2.00
Nb of parameters 5	86	Goodness of fit	1.137
1.0			
0	and a	see e) - C
	the second	The a line	5 7
		A A A A	San a
06	A AST	the prod	EDA
e V 🕖	In JA		80
1			200
80	dr .		P
20	CAF		A
60	0	A A BE	A
8	2	V V	Q
5:1	0	o ondo	8
m A		2 A	
		A Dr.	
Jul	T	a pr)
-ND		yo-co	
HTU	CUL-	6	

4 ₂ Zn ²⁺ in I-43d					
Formula	C70 H58 F12 N6 O10 S2 Zn1				
Crystal Class	Cubic	Space Group	I -4 3 d		
a	27.337(2)	alpha	90		
b	27.355(2)	beta	90		
с	27.362(2)	gamma	90		
Volume	20461(3)	Z	12		
Radiation type	Mo K\a	Wavelength	0.710730		
Dx	1.46	Mr	375.19		
Mu	0.518	Temperature (K)	175		
Size	0.06x 0.12x 0.12	-			
Colour	colorless	Shape	prismatic		
Diffractometer type	XCALIBUR	Scan type	OMEGA		
Absorption type	multi-scan	Transmission range	0.94 0.97		
Reflec. measured	133414	Independent reflect.	5976		
Rint	0.0018	Theta max	32.42		
Hmin, Hmax	-39 40				
Kmin, Kmax	-36 39				
Lmin, Lmax	-40 40				
Definition					
Refinement on F	0.165	Weishest D. f t	0.204		
R-factor	0.105	weighted R-factor	0.204		
Max snift/su	6./134	Dalta Dha mar	1 24		
Delta Kno min	-0.75	Delta Rho max	1.34		
Reflections used	836	sigma(1) limit	2.00		
Nb of parameters	104	Goodness of fit	4.110		
~		NOMOVE FORCED	Prob		
0		0 mm	8 lemp		
. 7943			280 0290		
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	JC30e		
(8)		C16d 6 C25e	-&		
2904[	Sc29d		c C14c C18c ⊾ C15cC17c		
CN I	p x	CI4d (CX) CI3c X	<b>N</b> -		

